

# **The International Commission on Mathematical Instruction ICMI**

## **The Fifteenth ICMI Study: The Professional Education and Development of Teachers of Mathematics**

### **Contents**

1. Introduction
2. Why Conduct a Study on the Professional Education of Mathematics Teachers?
3. Scope and Focus of the Study
- 4.1. Strand I: Teacher Preparation Programs and the Early Years of Teaching
- 4.2. Strand II: Professional Learning for and in Practice
5. Design of the Study
6. Contributions to the Study
7. Study timeline
8. International Programme Committee and Contacts

### **1. Introduction**

This document announces a new Study to be conducted by the International Commission on Mathematical Instruction. The focus of this Study, the fifteenth to be led by ICMI, will be the professional education and development of mathematics teachers around the world. The premise of this Study is that the education and continued development of teachers is key to students' opportunities to learn mathematics. What teachers of mathematics know, care about, and do is a product of their experiences and socialization both prior to and after entering teaching, together with the impact of their professional education. This impact is variously significant: In some systems, the effects of professional education appear to be weak or even negligible, whereas other systems are structured to support effective ongoing professional education and instructional improvement. The curriculum of mathematics teacher preparation varies around the world, both because of different cultures and educational environments, and because assumptions about teachers' learning vary. Countries differ also in the educational, social, economic, geographic, and political problems they face, as well as in the resources available to solve these problems. A study focused on mathematics teacher education practice and policy around the world can provide insights useful to examining and strengthening all systems.

We recognize that all countries face challenges in preparing and maintaining a high-quality teaching force of professionals who can teach mathematics effectively, and who can help prepare young people for successful adult lives and for participation in the development and progress of society. Systems of teacher education, both initial and continuing, are built on features that are embedded in culture and the organization and nature of schooling. More cross-cultural exchange of knowledge and information about the professional development of teachers of mathematics would be beneficial. Learning about practices and programs around the world can provide important resources for research, theory, practice, and policy in teacher education, locally and globally. Study 15, *The Professional Education and Development of Teachers of Mathematics*, is

designed to offer an opportunity to develop a cross-cultural conversation about mathematics teacher education in mathematics around the world.

Because the professional education of teachers of mathematics involves multiple communities and forms of expertise, the Study also explicitly welcomes contributions from individuals from a variety of backgrounds. Mathematicians and school practitioners are particularly encouraged to submit proposals for contributions.

The Study will proceed in three phases: (a) the dissemination of a Discussion Document announcing the Study and inviting contributions; (b) a Study Conference, to be held in Brazil, 15-21 May 2005; and (c) publication of the Study Volume – a Report of the Study’s achievements, products and results.

First is this Discussion Document, defining the focus of the Study and inviting proposals for participation in a Study Conference. We welcome individual as well as group proposals; focusing on work within a single program or setting, as well as comparative inquiries across programs and settings. In order to make grounded investigations of practice in different countries possible, we invite proposals in three formats: papers, demonstrations, and interactive work-sessions. Details are provided below.

Second, a Study Conference will be held in Brazil in May 2005, bringing together researchers and practitioners from around the world. The Conference will be deliberately designed for active inquiry into professional development of teachers of mathematics in different countries and settings. Some sessions will offer paper presentations; other sessions will engage participants in direct encounters with particular practices, materials and methods, or curricula.

Third, a Study Report – the Study Volume – will be produced, representing and reporting selected activities and results of the Study Conference and its products. This Report will be useful to the mathematics education community, as well as for other researchers, practitioners, and policymakers concerned with the professional education of teachers.

## **2. Why Conduct a Study on the Professional Education of Mathematics Teachers?**

Three main reasons underlie the decision to launch an ICMI study focused on teacher education. One reason rests with the central role of teachers in students’ learning of mathematics, nonetheless too often overlooked or taken for granted. Concerns about students’ learning compel attention to teachers, and to what the work of teaching demands, and what teachers know and can do. A second reason is that no effort to improve students’ opportunities to learn mathematics can succeed without parallel attention to their teachers’ opportunities for learning. The professional formation of teachers is a crucial element in the effort to build an effective system of mathematics education. Third, teacher education is a vast enterprise, and although research on mathematics teacher education is relatively new, it is also rapidly expanding.

The timing is right for this Study. The past decade has seen substantial increase in scholarship on mathematics teacher education and development. A growing number of international and national conferences focus on theoretical and practical problems of teacher education. Publication of peer-reviewed articles, book chapters, and books about the development of teachers of mathematics is on the rise. Centers for research and development in teacher education exist increasingly in many settings. A Survey Team led by Jill Adler will report on the development of research on mathematics teacher education as part of the program at the tenth International Congress on Mathematics Education (ICME-10) in July 2004 in Copenhagen. In addition, it is significant that the past decade has also included the launching of a new international journal (in 1996): the *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education* (JMTE) is published by Kluwer, and edited by an international team of scholars. Seven volumes later, JMTE hosts a thriving international discourse about research and practice in teacher education.

Mathematics teacher education is a developing field, with important contributions to make to practice, policy, theory, and research and design in other fields. Theories of mathematics teachers' learning are still emerging, with much yet to know about the knowledge, skills, personal qualities and sensibilities that teaching mathematics entails, and about how such professional resources are acquired. The outcomes of teacher education are mathematics teachers' practice, and the effectiveness of that practice in the contexts in which teachers work. Yet we have much to learn about how to track teachers' knowledge into their practice, where knowledge is used to help students learn. And we have more to understand about how teacher education can be an effective intervention in the complex process of learning to teach mathematics, which is all too often most influenced by teachers' prior experiences as learners, or by the contexts of their professional work.

Study 15 aims to assemble from around the world important new work — development, research, theory, and practice — concerning the professional development of teachers of mathematics. Our goal is to examine what is known in a set of critical areas, and what significant questions and problems warrant collective attention. Toward that end, the Study aims also to contribute to the strengthening the international community of researchers and practitioners of mathematics teacher education whose collective efforts can help to address problems and develop useful theory.

### **3. Scope and Focus of the Study**

This Study focuses on the initial and continuing education of teachers of mathematics. Our focus is the development of teachers at all levels, from those who teach in early schooling to those who teach at the secondary school. (In this Discussion Document, we use "primary" to refer to teachers of students of ages 5 – 11; "middle" to refer to ages 11 – 14, and "secondary" for ages 14 and older.) Teacher development is a vast topic; this study focuses strategically on a small set of core issues relevant to understanding and strengthening teacher education around the world.

The Study is organized in two main strands, each representing a critical cluster of challenges for teacher education and development. In one strand, Teacher Preparation and the Early Years of Teaching, we will investigate how teachers in different countries

are recruited and prepared, with a particular focus on how their preparation to teach mathematics is combined with other aspects of professional or general academic education. In this strand, we will also invite contributions that offer insight into the early phase of teachers’ practice. In the second strand, Professional Learning for and in Practice, we will focus on how the gap between theory and practice is addressed in different countries and programs at all phases of teachers’ development. In this strand, we will study alternative approaches for bridging this endemic divide, and for supporting teachers’ learning in and from practice. This strand may be explored at any of the developmental stages — preservice, early years, and continuing practice — of teachers’ practice. In both strands, we seek additionally to learn how teachers in different countries learn the mathematics they need for their work as teachers, and how challenges of teaching in a multicultural society are addressed within the professional learning opportunities of teachers.

Table 1 provides a graphic representation of the scope and focus of the Study. The table makes plain that for Strand 1, the focus will be on the preservice and early years of teaching only; the Study will not focus on issues of recruitment, program structure and curriculum for experienced teachers. However, Strand II, focused on professional learning in and from practice, may be studied at all phases of teachers’ development.

		Phases of teacher development	
		Initial teacher education (preservice and early years of teaching)	Continuing practice
Strands	Programs of teacher education (recruitment, structure, curriculum, first years)	yes	no
	Professional learning for and in practice	yes	yes

Table 1: Scope and focus of the Study

#### **4.1 — Strand I: Teacher Preparation Programs and the Early Years of Teaching**

This strand of the Study will examine a small set of important questions about the initial preparation and support of teachers in countries around the world, at the preservice stage, and into the early years of teaching. How those phases are structured and experienced varies across countries, as does the effectiveness of those varying structures. Questions central to the investigation of initial teacher preparation and beginning teaching will include:

- a) *Structure of teacher preparation:* How is the preparation of teachers organized – into what kinds of institutions, over what period of time, and with what connections with other university or collegiate study? Who teaches teachers, and what qualifies them to do so? How long is teacher preparation, and how is it distributed between formal study and field or apprenticeship experience? How is the preparation of teachers for secondary schooling distinguished from that of teachers for the primary and middle levels of schooling?
- b) *Recruitment and retention:* Who enters teaching, and what are the incentives or disincentives to choose teaching as a career in particular settings? What proportion of those who prepare to teach actually end up teaching, and for how long? How do teachers' salaries and benefits relate to those of other occupations?
- c) *Curriculum of teacher preparation:* The Study seeks to probe a small set of key challenges of teacher preparation curriculum and investigate whether and how different systems experience, recognize, and address these issues. Two such issues are:
- What is the nature of the *diversity* that is most pressing within a particular context — for example, linguistic, cultural, socio-economic, religious, racial — and how are teachers prepared to teach the diversity of students whom they will face in their classes?
  - How are teachers prepared to know mathematics for teaching? What are the special problems of *subject matter preparation* in different settings, and how are they addressed? Is interdisciplinarity in teacher education commonplace, and if so, how is managed? How do faculty in education interact with faculty in mathematics over issues of teacher education?

In addition, we invite proposals that identify and examine other specific central challenges for the curriculum of teacher preparation.

- d) *The early years of teaching:* What are the conditions for beginning teachers of mathematics in particular settings? What supports exist, for what aspects of the early years of teaching, and how effective are they? What are the special problems faced by beginning teachers, and how are these experienced, mediated, or solved? What is the retention rate of beginning teachers, and what factors seem to affect whether or not beginning teachers remain in teaching? What systems of evaluation of beginning teachers are used, and what are their effects?
- e) *Most pressing problems of preparing teachers:* Across the initial preparation and early years, what are special problems of teaching mathematics within a particular context and how are beginning teachers prepared to deal with these problems?

- f) *History and change in teacher preparation*: How has mathematics teacher preparation evolved in particular countries? What was its earliest inception, and how and why did it change? What led to the current structure and features, and how does its history shape the contemporary context and structure of teacher education?

Proposals for this Strand may offer descriptions accompanied by analyses of practices, programs, policies, and their enactment and outcomes. This is a scientific Study, and thus, we seek papers based on systematically-gathered information and analyses.

In order to maximize the range of systems of teacher preparation about which we can learn through this Study, we seek proposals from a variety of countries. The Study's investigation will be improved if the countries represented on the Program differ in size, population diversity (language, culture, race, socioeconomic), performance in mathematics, centralization of curricular guidance and accountability, and level of societal and economic development.

Contributions to Strand I will be organized into a coherent section of the Study, with an overview and one or more analytic comparative commentaries to extend what can be learned from the individual cases and studies.

## **4.2 — Strand II: Professional Learning for and in Practice**

This strand of the Study adds substantive focus, in complement to the first. Whereas the first Strand examines programs and practices for beginning teachers' learning, the focus of the second relates to teachers' learning across the lifespan. This strand's central focus is rooted in two related and persistent challenges of teacher education. One problem is the role of experience in learning to teach; a second is the divide between formal knowledge and practice. Both problems lead to the central question of Strand II: How can teachers learn for practice, in and from practice?

Researchers and practitioners alike know that, although most teachers report that they learned to teach "from experience," experience is not always a good teacher. Prospective teachers enter formal professional education with many ideas about good mathematics teaching formed from their experience as pupils. Their experience learning mathematics has often left them with powerful images of how mathematics is taught and learned, as well as who is good at mathematics and who not. These formative experiences have also shaped what they know of and about the subject. These experiences, along with many others, affect teachers' identities, knowledge, and visions of practice, in ways which do not always help them teach mathematics to students.

Moreover, teacher education often seems remote from the work of teaching mathematics, and professional development does not necessarily draw on or connect to teachers' practice. Opportunities to learn from practice are not the norm in many settings. Teachers may of course sometimes learn on their own from studying their students' work; they may at times work with colleagues to design lessons, revise curriculum materials, develop assessments, or analyze students' progress. In some countries and settings, such opportunities are more than happy coincidence; they are

deliberately planned. In some settings, teachers' work is structured to support learning from practice. Teachers may work with artifacts of practice — videotapes, students' work, curriculum materials — or they may directly observe and discuss one another's work. We seek to learn about the forms such work can effectively take and what the challenges are in deploying them.

Strand II of the Study asks how mathematics teachers' learning may be better structured to support learning in and from professional practice, at the beginning of teachers' learning, during the early years of their work, and later, as they become more experienced. Central questions include:

- a) *What sorts of learning seem to emerge from the study of practice?* What do teachers learn from different opportunities to work on practice — their own, or others'? In what ways are teachers learning more about mathematics, about students' learning of mathematics, and about the teaching of mathematics, as they work on records or experiences in practice? What seems to support the learning of content? In what ways are teachers learning about diversity, about culture, and about ways to address the important problems that derive from social and cultural differences in particular countries and settings?
- b) *In what ways are practices of teaching and learning mathematics made available for study?* How is practice made visible and accessible for teachers to study it alone or with others? How is "practice" captured or engaged by teachers as they work on learning in and from practice? (e.g., video, journals, lesson study, joint research, observing one another and taking notes)
- c) *What kinds of collaboration are practiced in different countries?* How are teachers organized in schools (e.g., in departments) and what forms of professional interaction and joint work are engaged, supported, or used?
- d) *What kinds of leadership help support teachers' learning from the practice of mathematics teaching?* Are there roles that help make the study of practice more productive? Who plays such roles, and what do they do? What contribution do such people make to teachers' learning from practice?
- e) *What are crucial practices of learning from practice?* What are the skills and practices, the resources and the structures that support teachers' examination of practice? How have ideas such as "reflection," "lesson study," and analysis of student work been developed in different settings? What do such ideas mean in actual settings, and what do they involve in action?
- f) *How does language play a role in learning from practice?* What sort of language for discussing teaching and learning mathematics — professional language — is developed among teachers as they work on practice?

Examining how some systems and settings organize teachers' work or their opportunities for continued learning close to the work of teaching can offer images and

resources for grounding the ongoing development of professional practice educatively in practice.

## **5. Design of the Study**

The Study on the Professional Education of Teachers of Mathematics is designed to enable researchers and practitioners around the world to learn about how teachers of mathematics are initially prepared and how their early professional practice is organized in different countries. In addition, the Study takes aim at an endemic problem of professional education — that is, how learning from experience can be supported at different points in a teacher’s career, and under different circumstances. Toward this end, the study is designed to invite a variety of kinds of contributions for collective examination and deliberation at the Conference: research papers; program descriptions accompanied by analysis; conceptual work; demonstrations of practice; and interactive work on important common problems of teacher education and teacher learning.

The Study Conference will be organized to be different from a conventional research meeting. Although research papers will be part of the program, substantial time will be designed for direct engagement with artifacts and materials of practice, for critique and deliberation, and for collective work on significant problems in the field. The Program Committee will design the Conference using the proposals we receive, and add, as needed, commentators, activities, and other resources so that the Conference enables participants to work together at the meeting, and to generate new insights, ideas, and questions important to the professional education of teachers of mathematics around the world. We anticipate that participants will be organized into working groups that will meet regularly across the Conference, affording the opportunity for joint discussion, work, and possible plans for future collaborative activity. Working groups’ ideas will be shared across the Conference; we will experiment with useful formats for such exchange of ideas generated in the course of the Conference. We also envision innovative plenary activities to provide common experiences for collective examination, discussion, and learning. Participation in the Study Conference is by invitation only, as is detailed below.

## **6. Call for Contributions to the Study**

The Study is designed to investigate practices and programs of mathematics teacher education in different countries, and to contribute to an international discourse about the professional education and development of teachers of mathematics. The International Programme Committee welcomes high-quality proposals from diverse researchers and practitioners who can make solid practical and scientific contributions to the Study. New researchers in the field are encouraged to submit proposals, as are those actively engaged in curriculum development for teacher education or professional development in any setting. Mathematicians — who play a crucial role in preparing and supporting teachers who are not specialists of the discipline — are urged to submit proposals and to participate in the Study. To ensure a rich and varied scope of resources for the Study, participation from countries under-represented in mathematics education research meetings is encouraged.

The conference will be a working one where every participant will be expected to be active. As is the normal practice for ICMI studies, participation in the Study conference is by invitation only, given on the basis of a submitted contribution. Proposed contributions will be reviewed and selections made based on the quality of the work, as well as to increase the diversity of perspectives offered, and the potential to contribute to the advancement of the Study. The number of participants invited to participate will be limited to approximately 120 people. The Study Volume, to be published after the conference in the ICMI Study Series, will be based on selected contributions and reports prepared for the conference, as well as on the outcomes of the conference. The Study Website (<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~dball/icmistry15.html>), accessible also after the conference, will contain selected examples of practice in teacher education, or teachers' learning. A report on the Study and its outcomes will be presented at the 11th International Congress on Mathematical Education to be held in Mexico in 2008.

The International Programme Committee (IPC) for the Study invites submission of contributions on specific questions, problems or issues related to this Discussion Document. Proposals for contributions are invited for three formats: (a) papers; (b) demonstrations; (c) interactive work-sessions. Submissions should reach the Programme Chairs by e-mail (at the addresses below) no later than October 15, 2004, but earlier if possible. All submissions must be in English, the language of the conference. To avoid confusion or loss of proposals, please label electronic attached files: **<your surname\_your given name>\_ICMI15\_prop.doc**.

The contributions of those invited to the conference will be made available to other participants among the conference materials or on the conference website (<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~dball/icmistry15.html>). However an invitation to the conference does not imply that a formal presentation of the submitted contribution will be made during the conference or appear in the Study Volume published after the conference.

It is hoped that the conference will attract not only "experts" but also some "newcomers" to the field with interesting and refreshing ideas or promising work in progress. Unfortunately, an invitation to participate in the conference does not imply a financial support from the organisers, and participants should finance their own attendance at the conference. Funds are being sought to provide partial support to enable participants from non-affluent countries to attend the conference, but it is unlikely than more that a few such grants will be available.

Papers should be no longer than 2000 words and five single-spaced pages at most.

Papers will be organized into thematic sessions by the Program Committee. Papers should report on analysis of practices and programs of mathematics teacher education in particular settings, with attention to the main questions and foci of the Study as discussed above. For example, one paper might report on special practices of helping beginning primary teachers learn mathematics for teaching. Another might analyze how teachers in a particular setting work together on studying student work in geometry, and use that systematically to improve their teaching of geometry. Invited are: research reports; conceptual-analytic or theoretical papers grounded in examples of practice; and descriptions, accompanied by evidence appropriate to the claims of the paper. Camera-ready

copy for inclusion in the materials for the Conference is required. All submissions should be in English, the language of the Study Conference, and should use Times 14-point font. Please also write a 200 word abstract that includes the main goal of your paper, demonstration, and worksession, and what its main elements will comprise. Paper proposals without abstracts will not be reviewed.

Demonstrations are sessions in which particular materials, approaches, or practices will be shared, examined, and critically discussed. We encourage sessions that will make as vivid as possible the materials, approaches, or practices to be demonstrated. Such sessions may engage participants actively in examples; may use artifacts of practice, such as videotapes, examples of teachers' work, or actual materials. For example, if a group of teachers studies videotapes of their teaching, a session might be designed to provide Conference participants with an opportunity to experience, firsthand, what opportunities for learning this might offer, as well as what some of the challenges might be. Proposals for demonstrations should include the goals of the session, what will be demonstrated and how it relates to the foci of the Study, a clear plan for the session itself, capacity for participation in the session, and any special requirements (technology, space, other) for the session. Proposals for demonstrations should be no longer than 1200 words, or three single-spaced pages, at most, and should additionally include a 500-word summary of the approach or practice that will be demonstrated, and what participants will do in the session. Proposals without summaries will not be reviewed. This summary must be in camera-ready form for inclusion in Conference materials, using Times 14-point font. If artifacts are used, they must be made accessible in English, the official language of the Study. Proposals for demonstrations should make clear the theoretical foundations of the practices to be demonstrated.

Interactive work-sessions are sessions in which a common problem of mathematics teacher education will be worked on by a group of researchers and practitioners attending the Conference. Proposals for work-sessions should include a clear description of the topic to be worked on, a clear explanation of the theoretical or conceptual issues to be addressed, a detailed plan for the work-session, the artifacts or materials that will be used to provide a context for the collective work, and who will lead the session. For example, an interactive worksession might be designed to center on how to assess teachers' learning; another might be structured to engage participants in the development of tasks that involve the use of mathematics in the work of teaching. Proposals for work-sessions should be no longer than 1200 words and three single-spaced pages at most, and should additionally include a 500-word summary of the problem and how the session will engage participants in work on the session. This summary must be in camera-ready form, with Times 14-point font, for inclusion in the Conference materials. Proposals without summaries will not be reviewed.

Proposals will be read and evaluated on the basis of the following criteria: (a) clear links to the Study's goals; (b) explicit fit with Strand I or II; (c) clearly structured and written, with attention to writing for others who may not share the same assumptions,

experience, or knowledge; (d) attention in the design of the paper, demonstration, or interactive worksession to the cross-cultural nature of the Study and the Conference. Successful proposals will be developed to be sensitive to the cross-cultural differences while also designed to profit from those other differences; (e) potential to contribute to the quality of the Study overall. This implies that some very good proposals may not be accepted if they do not add in the same way as others do to the overall scope and diversity of the Study.

More details regarding formatting of proposals in all three categories will be available on the Study 15 website at <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~dball/icmistudy15.html>, which will be regularly updated with information about the Study and the Study Conference.

## **7. Study timeline**

- Proposals for participation in the Study should reach the program co-chairs (see below) by October 15, 2004.
- Proposals will be reviewed and decisions made about inclusion in the Conference Program by November 20, 2004. Notifications about these decisions will be sent by November 30, 2004 to all those who submitted proposals.
- The Study Conference will be held in Brazil, from 15-21 May 2005.
- The Study Volume will be published by 2007, and a report of the Study and its results will be made at ICME-11 in 2008.

## **8. International Programme Committee and Contacts**

The study is co-chaired by Deborah Loewenberg Ball and Ruhama Even. Their contact information is listed below. Please direct all inquiries concerning this Study to both co-chairs.

Deborah Loewenberg Ball (Co-Chair IPC)  
4119 School of Education  
610 E. University Ave.  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259  
USA  
Tel: +1 734 647 7449 or +1 734 647 3713  
Fax: +1 734 615 7441  
e-mail: [dball@umich.edu](mailto:dball@umich.edu)

Ruhama Even (Co-Chair IPC)  
Department of Science Teaching  
Weizmann Institute of Science  
Rehovot 76100  
ISRAEL  
Tel: +972 8 934 3157  
Fax: +972 8 934 4115  
e-mail: [ruhama.even@weizmann.ac.il](mailto:ruhama.even@weizmann.ac.il)

The members of the International Programme Committee (IPC) are:

Jo Boaler  
Stanford University  
USA

Chris Breen  
University of Cape Town  
SOUTH AFRICA

Frédéric Gourdeau  
Université Laval  
CANADA

Marja van den Heuvel-Panhuizen  
Utrecht University  
NETHERLANDS

Barbara Jaworski  
Høgskolen i Agder (Agder University College)  
NORWAY

Gilah Leder  
La Trobe University  
AUSTRALIA

Shiqi Li  
East China Normal University  
CHINA

Romulo Lins (Chair of the Local Organising Committee)  
State University of Sao Paulo at Rio Claro  
BRAZIL

João Filipe Matos  
Universidade Lisboa  
PORTUGAL

Hiroshi Murata  
Naruto University of Education  
JAPAN

Jarmila Novotna  
Charles University  
CZECH REPUBLIC

Aline Robert  
IUFM de Versailles  
FRANCE

Ex-officio members:

Bernard R. Hodgson  
Secretary-General of ICMI  
Université Laval  
CANADA

Hyman Bass  
President of ICMI  
University of Michigan  
USA