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Preface

The Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 1990, held in Kyoto from August 21 through 29, 1990, are printed in two volumes.

Volume I contains the official record of the Congress, the list of ordinary members, the reports on the work of the Fields Medalists and the Nevanlinna Prize Winner, the plenary addresses, as well as the invited addresses in Sections 1–6. Volume II contains the invited addresses in Sections 7–18. A complete author index is included in both volumes.

The short communications made by members at the Congress are not included in the Proceedings, but the names of the communicators are marked in the list of ordinary members. Summaries of these contributions, if received in time, were printed in the Abstracts, issued to members during the Congress.

Sendai, April, 1991

The editor
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Audience in the Main Hall of the Kyoto International Conference Hall
Organization of the Congress

The International Congress of Mathematicians 1990 was held in Kyoto at the invitation of the Science Council of Japan (JSC) and the Mathematical Society of Japan (MSJ) under the cosponsorship of the Japan Society of Mathematical Education, the History of Science Society of Japan, the Institute of Actuaries of Japan, the Japan Society for Software Science and Technology, the Japan Statistical Society, and the Operations Research Society of Japan. The Congress was also supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, by Kyoto Prefecture, Kyoto City, Kyoto University, and the Information Processing Society of Japan.

The members of the Organizing Committee and its subcommittees are listed on the following pages. However, until the Organizing Committee was established on August 14, 1989, all preparations were carried out by the Committee of ICM-90, which was set up in the MSJ in December, 1986.

The scientific program of the Congress was in the hands of the Program Committee, which was appointed by the International Mathematical Union (IMU) in May, 1987. Its members were Nicolaas H. Kuiper (Chairman), Vladimir I. Arnold, Alain Connes, Ronald L. Graham, Heisuke Hironaka, Masaki Kashiwara, Robert P. Langlands, Sigeru Mizohata, and Daniel G. Quillen.

Recipients of the Fields Medals and the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize were selected by the respective committees appointed by the IMU in April, 1988. The Fields Medal Committee consisted of Ludwig D. Faddeev (Chairman ex officio), Michael F. Atiyah, Jean-Michel Bismut, Enrico Bombieri, Charles L. Fefferman, Kenkichi Iwasawa, Peter D. Lax, and Igor Shafarevich. The Rolf Nevanlinna Prize Committee consisted of László Lovász (Chairman), Alexandre J. Chorin, Michael Rabin and Volker Strassen.

The Organizing Committee was responsible for the other activities of the Congress. The Japan Travel Bureau (JTB) handled accommodations for the participants as the official travel agent.

All sessions of the Congress took place inside the Kyoto International Conference Hall. There were 15 one-hour Plenary Addresses and 138 forty-five-minute Section Lectures in 18 Sections. In addition to these invited lectures, 5 forty-five-minute lectures were invited by the International Commission on Mathematical
Instruction. Participants gave 620 Short Communications in total and conducted more than 40 informal seminars and other meetings.

Various social events were arranged for all participants. Ancient court music and dance accompanied the Opening Ceremony. A reception followed the Presentation of the Works of the Fields Medalists and the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize Winner. A cello recital was held in the evening of August 23, and traditional music performances in the afternoon of August 25. Sunday, August 26 was a holiday, on which several guided tours were arranged by JTB. The Closing Ceremony was followed by a banquet with an entertainment of folk music and dance.

In the evening of August 22, Kyoto Prefecture, Kyoto City, and Kyoto University were hosts to a reception for the Fields Medalists, the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize Winner, invited speakers, and officials of the IMU.
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Opening Ceremonies

The opening ceremonies of the Congress were held in the Event Hall of the Kyoto International Conference Hall, starting at 9:30 a.m. on August 21, 1990. The Gagaku Club of Tenri University entertained the participants with a Gagaku (court music) recital entitled Etenraku. Academician Ludwig D. Faddeev, President of the International Mathematical Union (IMU), opened the Congress with the following speech:

After this symbolic opening let me formally declare the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM-90) in Kyoto open.

This is the first Congress in the history of the International Mathematical Union to take place outside of Europe and North America. This is consonant with the main goal of the Union – the promotion of mathematical research throughout the world. For this reason, the proposal of the Japanese Committee of Mathematicians to hold the Congress in Kyoto was enthusiastically accepted by the General Assembly of IMU four years ago. I believe that I can already express admiration for the efforts being made by the Organizing Committee to make the Congress work effectively. It appears that the attendance is the highest in the history of ICM.

The scientific program of ICM is traditionally in the hands of the Program Committee appointed by the Executive Committee of IMU. Let me disclose to you the list of its members. Professor Nicolaas H. Kuiper was appointed as chairman. Professors Vladimir I. Arnold, Alain Connes, Ronald L. Graham, Heisuke Hironaka, Masaki Kashiwara, Robert P. Langlands, Sigeru Mizohata, and Daniel G. Quillen served as members. We shall witness the effectiveness of their choice of speakers in the coming days.

Now I come to the important duty of designating the President of the Congress. Let me nominate Professor Hikosaburo Komatsu for this post.

Acclamation.

I take this as confirmation of the proposal. Thank you.
Professor Komatsu, as President of the Congress, made the following address:

Thank you Mr. President. I am honored to serve as President of the Congress.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

On behalf of the Organizing Committee I would like to welcome you to the International Congress of Mathematicians 1990 (ICM-90). I very much hope that your stay in the historical city of Kyoto will be a pleasant one.

First of all, let me explain how the Congress has been prepared. It was more than seven years ago that the Liaison Committee for Mathematics in the Science Council of Japan (JSC), which is the Committee for Mathematics in Japan, and the Mathematical Society of Japan (MSJ) started planning to host ICM-90. After the Feasibility Committee chaired by Professor Kiyosi Itô made a careful initial investigation of this issue, MSJ decided in 1985 to invite ICM-90 to Kyoto. Fortunately this invitation was accepted by the Site Committee of IMU and was approved by the General Assembly of IMU at Oakland in 1986.

The actual preparations started when MSJ formed the Committee of ICM-90 in December, 1986. Its Executive Committee consists of the following:
President     Kunihiko Kodaira
Vice Presidents Kiyosi Ito
              Heisuke Hironaka
Chairman       Hikosaburo Komatsu
Secretary      Huzihiro Araki
Treasurer      Hiroshi Toda
Members        Shigeru Iitaka
              Sigeru Mizohata
              Masayoshi Nagata

The full Committee of ICM-90 had an additional 29 members.

By the end of 1987, the Japan Society of Mathematical Education, the History of Science Society of Japan, the Institute of Actuaries of Japan, the Japan Society for Software Science and Technology, the Japan Statistical Society, and the Operations Research Society of Japan decided to sponsor the Congress and elected their representatives to the Committee of ICM-90 and its Science Committee.

In June, 1989, JSC made the final decision of sponsoring ICM-90 with the consent of the Government. Then, according to the general rules of JSC, the Committee of ICM-90 was reorganized into the Organizing Committee in August, 1989.

Second, as the President of IMU reported, the scientific program of the Congress and, in particular, the list of invited speakers were prepared by the Program Committee, appointed by the Executive Committee of IMU. However, a few additional speakers working in Japan, and also substitutes for speakers who declined were invited by the Organizing Committee on its own initiative, in which the latter were selected from the list of alternatives made by the Program Committee.

Finally 15 speakers were invited to give one-hour Plenary Addresses. Among them two declined and were replaced by other choices. Also 144 speakers were invited to give 45-minute Section Lectures, 19 were substituted, and 6 lectures were cancelled. In addition, the International Commission on Mathematical Instruction invited five 45-minute speakers.

We have benefited very much by the recent reconciliation in the world politics and the prosperity of the Japanese economy. As far as I know, no invited speakers declined for political or economic reasons.

Adopting Professor Mary Rudin’s proposal, the last General Assembly of IMU in 1986 recommended that Subfields of Mathematics, Women, and Mathematicians in Small Countries should not be overlooked when speakers to the ICM are selected. I believe both the Program Committee and the Organizing Committee have respected this recommendation.

The selection of additional speakers and the scheduling of scientific pro­gram were carried out by the Science Committee in the Organizing Committee, chaired by Sigeru Mizohata.

Thirdly, the budget of this Congress amounted to approximately 300,000,000 Yen (approximately US$2,000,000). One third of the revenue
is the registration fees, one third the donations from private corporations, and the rest consists of subventions from IMU, JSC and MSJ, the donations by individual members of MSJ, and the miscellaneous income (here the donations by 1138 members of MSJ are actually more than the total of all the other subventions). We are very sorry that we had to set a high registration fee of 30,000 Yen but we were forced to do so because the Japanese tax regulations do not allow us to receive tax-exempted donations exceeding the total amount of registration fees.

In addition to the budget of the Organizing Committee, the Japan Association for Mathematical Sciences, MSJ, the Oriental Life Insurance Cultural Development Center, the Commemorative Association for the Japan World Exposition (1970), and The Kajima Foundation allocated a total of 60,000,000 Yen to support 269 foreign participants mostly from developing countries or countries with foreign currency restrictions. This number includes 47 IMU Scholars whose travel expenses are supported by the Special Development Fund of IMU.

Most funds from these foundations also originated from donations solicited from enterprises by the Organizing Committee.

The biggest contributors are insurance and electronic companies. On behalf of all the participants, I would like to thank all these bodies for their generous donations. A list of donors is in the Program and will be published in the Proceedings.

It is not an easy task to raise so much money. But I must confess that it was a pleasant one, too, because every executive I met for this purpose showed a liking for mathematics and appreciated that mathematics had played an important role in the development of the Japanese economy.

The Fund Raising Committee is chaired by Kiyosi Itô and its office was set up in Gakushuin University with Shigeru Iitaka in charge.

Assistance with visa applications was carried out by Shinichi Kotani at the office located in the University of Tokyo.

The other preparations have been planned and implemented by General Secretary Huzihiro Araki, Treasurer Hirosi Toda and the Local Committee chaired by Masayoshi Nagata. The Secretariat was set up in the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University.

The Proceedings of the Congress will be edited by the Publications Committee with Ichiro Satake as the chairman. Its office is in Tohoku University.

I would like to thank these institutions and, in particular, Kyoto University for their kind cooperation.

Today, 4,000 mathematicians from 83 countries have assembled here to review our scientific achievements over the last few years and to set goals for the future in all fields of mathematics ranging from pure mathematics through applied mathematics to mathematical education. This seems to be an almost megalomaniac dream at this time of specialization. I do not know any other discipline which attempts to hold this kind of congresses regularly.

I have often wondered why mathematicians do have Congresses and what Congresses mean to them. My answer is that Congresses are to mathematicians
what Bon and New Year Festivities are to Japanese, in which they abandon their daily life completely.

Japanese are believed to work continuously without vacations, but that is not true. Even in the Edo Period there were two one-week long holidays. One is the New Year Festivities and the other is the Bon Festivities which take place a week earlier than this time of the year.

On these holidays people are relieved from labor and go back to their native home. People are not allowed to cook on the first days of the Festivities, so that they have a busy time preparing all meals before the holidays start.

New Year Festivities are associated with the future. We renew everything we can and start again. Bon Festivities are for the past. We receive ancestors' ghosts, make conversations with them, and then send them back. In cities like Kyoto people decorate their entrance halls with their treasures and keep the doors open. The whole city becomes a big museum. In the countryside people gather in the village square and dance. There is no distinction between performers and spectators; all dance. That is the way Japanese refresh themselves, inherit their traditions and unite. Bon and New Year Festivities also give young people the opportunity to meet together to make a new family.

I would like to ask all speakers at the Congress to make their lectures accessible to a wide audience, and not just to the specialists, at least in the first part. This is certainly not the time to work in a daily manner.

I hope you all enjoy this big event.

Thank you.

Professor Akio Hattori, President of the Mathematical Society of Japan, spoke as follows:

Mr. Chairman, Distinguished Guests, Ladies and Gentlemen,

I should like to extend a warm welcome to you all for having gathered from all parts of the world to attend this Congress. The Japanese mathematical community is proud of a pioneer; I am referring to Professor Kiyosi Itô, a renowned probablist. He has been instrumental in bringing this Congress to Japan and in its organization. I propose that Professor Itô be elected Honorary President of this Congress.

Professor Itô was elected by acclamation and spoke as follows:

Mr. Chairman, Distinguished Guests, Ladies and Gentlemen,

It is my great honor to speak to you as Honorary President of the Congress.

Before now, some Japanese mathematicians have tried to bring the Congress to Japan. As early as the 1960s, Professors S. Iyanaga and Y. Kawada began campaigning for the Congress to be held in Japan. Now that this Congress is starting, I feel a certain nostalgia for their pioneering efforts.
When we formed the Organizing Committee chaired by Professor Kunihiko Kodaira, the first Japanese Fields medalist, our big problem was how to raise funds. In this respect, I would like to give credit to Professor Kodaira. Impressed by his fame and his fine personality Mr. H. Tanimura, Professor Kodaira’s friend, together with Messrs. R. Ishikawa and M. Kitoku set up a fund-raising program for us and appealed to leading companies. We thank them for their tremendous efforts, which enabled us to make concrete plans for the Congress. To our great regret, Professor Kodaira is unable to come here today. I would like to express our sincere gratitude to him on this occasion.

The next problem was that we anticipated there would be less participants than usual because of Japan’s geographic location and the worldwide economic upheaval. Professor H. Komatsu proposed to make a grant available to help participants from abroad. This idea was welcomed by several foundations whose support contributed to the increase in the number of participants.

In Japan, people have been more concerned with science and technology than with mathematics. Despite this, we are proud of two exceptional projects which have helped mathematicians: the International Symposia supported by Mr. Toyosaburo Taniguchi and secondly, the Exchange Program of the Japan Association for Mathematical Sciences established by Professor H. Hironaka, the second Japanese Fields medalist. Through these projects many Japanese mathematicians have acquired a sense of international cultural exchange, which is perhaps one of the main aims of the Congress.

A large number of members of the Mathematical Society of Japan helped the Organizing Committee in many respects, academically or nonacademically. At the final stage of preparation a tremendous amount of business had to be carried out within a limited time. We are very grateful to Professors H. Komatsu, H. Araki, H. Toda, S. Iitaka, S. Kotani, and their secretaries for all their hard work.

We are very happy to welcome you here in Kyoto. Please enjoy the lectures and participate as much as possible in the informal discussions.

Thank you.

Professor Jiro Kondo, President of the Science Council of Japan, gave the following welcome address:

Mr. Chairman, Distinguished Guests, Ladies and Gentlemen,

It is a great pleasure to have this opportunity, on behalf of the Science Council of Japan, to speak to all of you who have gathered here from as many as 80 countries, at the opening of the International Congress of Mathematicians 1990.

The Science Council of Japan was established in 1949 as government organization representing qualified Japanese scientists both internally and internationally, covering all scientific fields consisting of Cultural, Social and Natural Sciences. The aim of the Council is to promote scientific development and to improve administration, industry and living standards through science.
Since that time, we have been working to contribute to the progress of science in cooperation with the academic organizations of the world by sponsoring many international congresses here in Japan, and by sending Japanese delegations to international congresses held overseas. We do this because we believe that the promotion of international scientific exchanges is one of our most important duties.

We have opened today the International Congress of Mathematicians in cooperation with the Mathematical Society of Japan, the Japan Society of Mathematical Education, the History of Science Society of Japan, the Institute of Actuaries of Japan, the Japan Society of Software Science and Technology, the Japan Statistical Society, and the Operations Research Society of Japan. It is an extraordinary pleasure for me to have this opportunity to be with so many distinguished scientists from around the world, and to listen to your lectures and presentations on the recent achievement.

The first Meeting of the International Congress of Mathematicians was held in Zürich in 1897. Since then, the Congress has been held nearly every four years somewhere in the world, and this is the first Congress to be held outside Europe and North America. I offer my heartfelt congratulations on the remarkable growth of the Congress in terms of both quality and number of participants and at the same time I express my great pleasure in being able to welcome the Congress to Japan for the first time. Since I graduated from the Department of Mathematics, Imperial University of Kyoto in 1940, I am very happy to hold this Congress here in Kyoto. After my graduation I worked mostly in the field of mathematical applications, such as theoretical aerodynamics, operations research, systems engineering and environmental sciences.

Recent international trends clearly indicate the importance of basic research in science and technology. In particular, mathematical sciences are entrusted with the crucial mission of providing the backbone for all sciences and the theoretical basis for the new era of information science in the twenty-first century, and they must also live up to people's high expectations. Moreover, because of the rapid progress in computer technology, many scientists in other fields, as well as engineers and businessmen, are coming to expect a greater contribution from mathematicians.

As researchers of all branches of mathematics gather from all over the world at this International Congress, it is expected that much interaction among the different branches will be made and that this will result in a new development of mathematics as a unified discipline. While we see recently a strong tendency of specialization in all branches of science, I have learned that essential progress in mathematics has often arisen out of the unexpected connection of different areas. The interaction of researchers with different cultural backgrounds is also expected to produce such an effect. I hear that this Congress is organized with an emphasis on interdisciplinary and international interactions and efforts have been made to provide various opportunities for contact among participants. I hope that through the interaction with neighbouring fields of science which will inject new blood into mathematics and
through friendship among participants crossing the boundaries of different branches of mathematics and different countries, this Congress serves as a stepping stone towards active worldwide research cooperation in the future.

I earnestly hope that everyone who participates in this Congress, will gain worthwhile experience in both fundamental and applied aspects of mathematics.

In closing, I sincerely hope for the great success of the Congress. I also hope that all of you from abroad will enjoy their stay in Japan. I believe that this Congress will become truly memorable for you through contact with fellow scientists, and I wish this to be a chance for you to learn more about Kyoto, the ancient capital of Japan, and about Japanese culture.

Thank you.

The following congratulatory telegram from Prime Minister Toshiki Kaifu was read both in Japanese and in English by Professor Huzihiro Araki:


I WISH A GREAT SUCCESS IN THIS INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS.

TOSHIKI KAIFU
PRIME MINISTER

Mr. Kosuke Hori, Minister of Education, Science and Culture gave a congratulatory address as follows:

Distinguished Delegates, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Audience,

It is a real pleasure and privilege for me to be afforded this opportunity to address congratulatory greetings to this distinguished audience at the opening of the Twenty-first International Congress of Mathematicians.

First of all, I would like to extend my sincerest welcome to you all, especially to those who have travelled far in order to come to Japan. At the same time, I am deeply grateful and wish to pay respect to all the people who have made great efforts in mathematical research.

Recently, pure mathematics, applied mathematics, mathematical education, and other fields of mathematics have achieved remarkable progress in research. This International Congress has been held every four years since
1897 for the purpose of bringing together mathematicians in all fields from all over the world in order to share the significant progress achieved in each area of mathematics and to help further new progress in mathematics. In these respects, the International Congress of Mathematicians has attained excellent achievements.

I am told that this International Congress is the first to be held in Asia, and distinguished mathematicians from Japan and abroad who participate in it will present many new discoveries in mathematics. I am confident that this Congress will further enrich the knowledge that is common property to all human beings.

I hope that those participants who have travelled from overseas will have the opportunity to acquaint themselves with some aspects of Japanese culture and society and that they will have a pleasant stay in Japan.

Finally, I would like to pay our deepest respects to those people who have already worked so hard in organizing this Congress and I anticipate that it will be highly successful.

Thank you very much for your attention.

Mr. Teiichi Aramaki, Governor of Kyoto Prefecture, gave a congratulatory address as follows:

Distinguished Delegates, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Audience,

As Governor of Kyoto Prefecture, I would like to extend my sincerest congratulations for the Twenty-first International Congress of Mathematicians to be held here in Kyoto from today, with distinguished mathematicians assembled from various countries of the world.

The First International Congress of Mathematicians was held in Zürich, Switzerland in 1897 and since then, the congress has produced excellent results, establishing a long history and tradition. The fact that the Fields Medal, which is said to be the Nobel Prize of mathematics, and the Nevanlinna Prize have been awarded to superb mathematicians has attracted the attention of not only the people concerned but also many others.

It is a great pleasure and privilege for us living in Kyoto Prefecture that this authoritative International Congress is being held in Kyoto which itself is recognized as an academic and scientific center. On behalf of the people of Kyoto Prefecture, I would like to extend a cordial welcome to all of you.

The International Congress of Mathematicians has been held only in western cities so far and so it is a great pleasure and honor that this is the first International Congress of Mathematicians to be held outside Europe and North America. I am sure that this fact will further encourage many Japanese mathematicians.

Finally, I would like to pay our deepest respects to the scientists of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University and to those who have gone to great pains in organizing this International Congress. I
anticipate that each of the participating mathematicians will take an active role in the discussions and that the Congress will be highly successful.

Thank you for your attention.

Dr. Tomoyuki Tanabe, Mayor of Kyoto City, gave the following congratulatory address:

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Firstly, please let me welcome you all to Kyoto.

I am delighted that the Twenty-first International Congress of Mathematicians is starting today and on behalf of the citizens of Kyoto, I would like to extend a cordial welcome to you all.

As you may know, Kyoto is an ancient city with a history that looks back on 1,200 years of culture and tradition. This is why Kyoto is said to be the spiritual root of Japan.

Kyoto is rich in cultural heritage and a variety of time-honored customs based on Japanese tradition still exist as part of our everyday way of life. Traditional industries which embody the quintessence of Japanese folk art and technology can also be found.

On the other hand, Kyoto is a scientific city which has produced several superb Nobel Prize winners and Fields Medalists. At the same time, Kyoto is an industrial city which has given birth to world leaders in high-tech industries.

About ten years ago, we declared Kyoto as a City Open to the Free Exchange of World Cultures. In this connection, it is a profound feeling of pleasure and privilege that the International Congress of Mathematicians is being held here in Kyoto.

I am confident that everyone who has travelled from afar to participate in this International Congress, will renew old friendships as well as begin new acquaintances. I also hope that you will enjoy the mixture of traditional Japanese culture and the modern atmosphere of our city.

Let me close my greetings by wishing the International Congress of Mathematicians success and combine this with a wish for your good health and happiness.

Thank you for your attention.

Dr. Yasunori Nishijima, President of Kyoto University, delivered this congratulatory address:

Ladies and Gentlemen,

It is my honor and great pleasure to greet this distinguished gathering at the opening of the International Congress of Mathematicians.

I have learned that this Congress had its first meeting in 1897. That is also the year that Kyoto University was founded as the Kyoto Imperial University
and this marked the establishment of the modern academe in the old capital. Ninety-four years have passed since then and today Kyoto welcomes this gathering of the world’s notable mathematicians to Japan for the first time. Kyoto is most honored to be the chosen site.

Last week, the General Conference of the International Association of Universities took place in Helsinki, Finland. It was very cool and dry, unlike the hot and wet weather here. The Chancellor, Professor Olli Lehto, and all the members of the University of Helsinki, including the most delightful of students, made the meeting a great success.

The theme of the Conference was “The Mission of the University: Universality, Diversity, and Interdependence,” and I had the opportunity to chair the whole-day commission on “Diversity within Universality.” In this rapidly and dramatically changing world, Diversity tends to be emphasized. However, at the same time, Universality is the human aspiration to create a higher degree of wisdom for the future and this is the mission of the world’s academic community.

After the exciting and fruitful meeting in Helsinki, I returned to Japan a few days ago. In the airport transit lounge, I met Chancellor Lehto, who was on his way to this Congress. He was holding a small bag on his lap with great care. I thought it was the medal for the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize which will be presented at today’s Ceremony. I said, “Is that top secret?” Chancellor Lehto simply smiled and nodded. We boarded the same airplane for the last stretch of our long journey from Helsinki to Kyoto. This morning, following the performance of a classic Japanese court dance, Bugaku, the presentation of the Fields Medals and the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize will reveal all the secrets.

I really think mathematics is the core of the universality of human wisdom. Mathematics has since ancient times conveyed wisdom throughout the history of human endeavor. At the same time, mathematics covers all branches of knowledge and leads us along the road to Truth and Reason.

David Hilbert said, “Mathematics is an organism for whose vital strength the indissoluble union of the parts is a necessary condition.”

I would like to congratulate all those who have worked so hard to prepare and organize this most important meeting. I anticipate it will be a great success.

I wish all participants a most fruitful and pleasant meeting in Kyoto.

On behalf of Takashi Fukaya, Minister of Posts and Telecommunications, Mr. Tomoyuki Onozawa, Director General of Posts, presented a sheet of commemorative stamps with the following letter:

To Professor Hikosaburo Komatsu, President of the International Congress of Mathematicians.

It is of high significance and a matter for congratulations that the Twenty-first International Congress of Mathematicians is being held here in Kyoto, for the first time in Asia.
The Ministry of Posts and Telecommunications has issued a specially designed postage stamp to commemorate this event, and the first sheet of stamps is now presented to you.

From Takashi Fukaya, Minister of Posts and Telecommunications

Kyoto Gagaku-Kai then performed a Bugaku (court dance) entitled Gosechi no Mai accompanied by the Gagaku Club of Tenri University which played a Gagaku (court music).

Academician Ludwig D. Faddeev, Chairman of the Fields Medal Committee, announced the recipients of the Fields Medals as follows:

The Fields Medal and Prize Committee is appointed by the Executive Committee of the IMU. For this term the following people were appointed and worked for the Committee: Professors M. Atiyah, J. M. Bismut, E. Bombieri, C. Fefferman, K. Iwásawa, P. D. Lax and I. Shafarevich. Since it is the duty of the President of the IMU to chair the work of this Committee, I served as chairman.

After thorough consideration of the material at our disposal, we decided to award four Medals. The recipients are

Vladimir G. Drinfeld
Vaughan F. R. Jones
Shigefumi Mori
Edward Witten

I believe that these names are well known to the mathematical community throughout the world. Their scientific contributions will be described during the afternoon session.

Let me proceed to the pleasant task of presenting the awards. I ask the Honorable Minister Hori to do this.

The winners came forward and received their medals and prize checks from Mr. Kosuke Hori, Minister of Education, Science and Culture.

Professor László Lovász announced the recipient of the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize as follows:

The Nevanlinna Prize Committee consisted of Alexandre Chorin from Berkeley, Michael Rabin from Jerusalem, Volker Strassen from Konstanz, and László Lovász from Budapest as chairman. After considering a number of outstanding candidates, the Committee decided to award the Rolf Nevanlinna
Prize to Alexander A. Razborov from the Steklov Institute in Moscow, for his groundbreaking work on lower bounds for circuit complexity.

The winner came forward and received his medal and prize check from Mr. Kosuke Hori, Minister of Education, Science and Culture.

The opening ceremonies adjourned at 11:00 a.m.
Closing Ceremonies

The closing ceremonies were held in the Main Hall of the Kyoto International Conference Hall, starting at 1:15 p.m. on August 29, 1990.

Academician Ludwig D. Faddeev, President of the International Mathematical Union began the closing ceremonies with the following words:

Time runs very fast and we have come to the end of our Congress. I believe that we can now judge very highly the results of the scientific program and congratulate the Program Committee on their success. Personally, I was glad to observe how prominently Mathematical Physics was represented in its connections with other domains of Mathematics.

The work of the Congress has been so smooth that some of you did not notice the efforts of the Organizing Committee to achieve this. We are all highly indebted to our Japanese colleagues for their excellent job.

Let me also add that the general atmosphere of the Congress has been very friendly and this has allowed us to concentrate on purely mathematical problems.

It is my pleasure to inform you that the Emperor and Empress of Japan invited the winners of the Fields Medals and the Nevanlinna Prize to visit them in Tokyo. The Honorary President of the Congress, Professor Itô, as well as Professor Hironaka and I were also present. The interest expressed by the Imperial Family in the work of our Congress is a great honor to us all.

Let me now inform you of the results of the General Assembly which took place in Kobe just before the Congress. It represented 52 members of the IMU most of whom attended the meeting.

During the last few years Saudi Arabia has been accepted as a new member. Spain and Israel have upgraded their membership to Group III.

The General Assembly elected new Committees and Commissions.

The new Executive Committee is composed as follows:

President J. L. Lions
Vice-Presidents J. Coates
D. Mumford
I shall remain on the Executive Committee as the past President.

Half of the Executive Committee has changed. So it is appropriate to cite one of the resolutions adopted at the General Assembly: “The General Assembly gives special thanks to Professor Olli Lehto for his excellent work as Secretary to the IMU during the last eight years, ably assisted by Mrs. Mäkeläinen. It also thanks the University of Helsinki and the Finnish Ministry of Education for their generous support of the IMU secretariat over this period.”

The General Assembly also appointed the Commissions.

The ICMI Executive Committee:

President
M. de Guzmán
Vice-Presidents
J. Kilpatrick
Lee Peng-Yee
Secretary
M. Niss
Members
Yu. L. Ershov
E. Luna
A. Sierpinski

The ex-officio members are: the past President of ICMI, the President of IMU, the Secretary of IMU and the IMU representative at CTS (ICSU). The next ICME will take place in 1992 in Quebec.

Commission on Developments and Exchange:

Chairman
M. S. Narasimhan
Members
P. Bérard
C. Camacho
A. Grunbaum
A. O. Kuku
J. Mawhin
T. Ochiai
P. L. Papini
Wu Wen Tsun

The ex-officio members are: the past Chairman of CDE, the President of IMU, the Secretary of IMU and the IMU representative at COSTED (ICSU).

Besides the administrative business, there was lively and important discussion at the General Assembly on the role of Applied Mathematics and its balance in the program of the ICM, the increasing relevance of Mathematics in Industry, and related problems of mathematical education.

Finally the Site Committee made its proposal to the General Assembly on the time and location of the next Congress, which was adopted after some
discussion. The next Congress, ICM-94 will be held in Zürich, Switzerland. I shall now step down and give the floor to Professor Chatterji.

Professor S. D. Chatterji of the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne invited the audience to the next International Congress of Mathematicians with these words:

Ladies and Gentlemen,

On behalf of the Swiss Mathematical Society and the entire Swiss mathematical community, it is my great privilege to invite you all to the 1994 International Congress of Mathematicians in Zürich. As you know, the honor and responsibility of organizing the Congress have fallen on Zürich twice before in the past: in 1897 and 1932. Zürich is an important financial, commercial and cultural centre of Switzerland; it is also the seat of two of our important institutions of higher education—the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) and the University of Zürich. Situated in beautiful natural surroundings in the heart of Europe, Zürich is easily accessible by rail, road and air.

Our Japanese hosts have set such high levels of hospitality and efficiency at this Congress that it would be difficult to match them. However, we shall do our best to make your participation at the 1994 Congress agreeable and fruitful.

Rendezvous then for August 1994 in Zürich.

Dr. Jose Felipe Voloch of the Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Brazil, and Professor Carol Wood of Wesleyan University, USA, President-Elect
of the Association of Women in Mathematics, were invited to give comments on ICM-90.

Professor Hikosaburo Komatsu, President of the Congress, closed the meeting and the Congress with these words:

Thank you for your kind remarks. The organizers of the Congress are really rewarded to hear them. However, all praise should go to Professors Kenji Ueno, Masaki Maruyama, and Chiaki Tsukamoto, as well as to Miss Tanaka, Mrs. Ichiki, Miss Ishii to name just a few of the people who have carried out all the plans of the Congress so perfectly.

Our thanks are also due to Professors Gleason and Mesirov for sending us the material they prepared for the last Congress in Berkeley. This was of great help to us.

This Congress has been admired for its smooth organization but this was true only in appearance. In spite of all the devoted works, I often had to remain in the Secretariat to solve many minor problems. Even when I was attending a lecture, the buzzer, you might have heard, called me back there. Therefore, I must admit that my impression of the Congress is very partial. Nevertheless, I felt that we are at another turning point in the history of mathematics. The previous one was marked at the Second Congress in 1900 when Hilbert gave his famous lecture. Since then we have obtained an enormous number of general results by axiomatization and abstract formulation, often at the hands of mathematical giants. This time it is a transition from abstract simplification to more concrete synthesis. We are now in a fortunate time when we can solve many problems which remained open for many years in spite of all the efforts of past generations of mathematicians. We no longer have a single genius but many people work together developing new strong streams. It was only many brooks last time at the Congress in Berkeley. They meet together and now we see a big river or a sea or even an ocean.

On behalf of all participants, I would like to praise Professor Kuiper and the other members of the Program Committee for their outstanding work in selecting the invited speakers. I would also like to thank the speakers for their admirable efforts.

At our time of democracy, international cooperation is indispensable and so is the unity of mathematics. We are proud of the fact that this Congress has helped these goals.

As reported in the Daily Bulletin, the Congress has been attended by 3,954 ordinary members, 452 accompanying members, and 92 child members from 76 countries.

Unfortunately, all the preregistered members from 7 countries were unable to attend because of the crisis in the Persian Gulf. World politics has once again cast its shadow on the Congress. I do hope that the next Congress in 1994 will be a truly universal one.

I declare the Congress closed.

The closing ceremonies adjourned at 1:45 p.m.
Scientific Program

Invited One-Hour Addresses at the Plenary Sessions
Spencer Bloch: Algebraic üC-Theory, Motives, and Algebraic Cycles
Stephen A. Cook: Computational Complexity of Higher Type Functions ,
Boris L. Feigin: Conformai Field Theory and Cohomologies of the
Lie Algebra of Holomorphic Vector Fields on a Complex Curve
Andreas Floer: Elliptic Methods in Variational Problems
Yasutaka Ihara: Braids, Galois Groups, and Some Arithmetic Functions .
Vaughan F. R. Jones: Von Neumann Algebras in Mathematics
and Physics
Lâszlo Lovâsz: Geometric Algorithms and Algorithmic Geometry . . . ,
George Lusztig: Intersection Cohomology Methods in
Representation Theory
Andrew J. Majda: The Interaction of Nonlinear Analysis and Modern
Applied Mathematics
Grigorii A, Margulis : Dynamical and Ergodic Properties of Subgroup
Actions on Homogeneous Spaces with Applications to Number Theory
Richard B, Melrose: Pseudodifferential Operators, Corners and
Singular Limits
Shigefumi Mori : Birational Classification of Algebraic Threefolds
Yakov G. Sinai: Hyperbolic Billiards
Karen Uhlenbeck: Applications of Non-Linear Analysis in Topology . . ,
Alexandre Varchenko : Multidimensional Hypergeometric Functions in
Conformai Field Theory, Algebraic K-Theory, Algebraic Geometry . .

43
, 55
71
87
. 99
121
139
155
175
193
217
235
249
261
281

Invited Forty-Five Minute Addresses at the Section Meetings
Section 1: Mathematical Logic and Foundations
Ehud Hrushovski: Categorical Structures (Manuscript not submitted)
Theodore A. Slaman: Degree Structures
303
John R. Steel: Iteration Trees (Manuscript not submitted)
Lou P. van den Dries; The Logic of Local Fields (Manuscript not submitted)
There were 15 Short Communications in this section.


Section 2: Algebra

Jon F. Carlson: Cohomology and Modules over Group Algebras ........... 317
Rostislav I. Grigorchuk: On Growth in Group Theory ................. 325
Craig Huneke: Absolute Integral Closure and Big Cohen-Macaulay Algebras .................................................. 339
Alexander R. Kemer: Identities of Associative Algebras ............... 351
Paul C. Roberts: Intersection Theory and the Homological Conjectures in Commutative Algebra ........................................... 361
Klaus W. Roggenkamp: The Isomorphism Problem for Integral Group Rings of Finite Groups .................................................... 369
Robert W. Thomason: The Local to Global Principle in Algebraic K-Theory ......................................................... 381
Efim I. Zelmanov: On the Restricted Burnside Problem ................. 395

There were 59 Short Communications in this section.

Section 3: Number Theory

Henri Gillet: A Riemann-Roch Theorem in Arithmetic Geometry ....... 403
Martin N. Huxley: Area, Lattice Points and Exponential Sums ........ 413
Kazuya Kato: Generalized Class Field Theory .......................... 419
Victor Aleksandrovich Kolyvagin: On the Mordell-Weil Group and the Shafarevich-Tate Group of Modular Elliptic Curves .......... 429
Gérard Laumon: La Transformation de Fourier Géométrique et ses Applications ......................................................... 437
Yuri Nesterenko: Algebraic Independence of Values of Analytic Functions ......................................................... 447
Peter C. Sarnak: Diophantine Problems and Linear Groups .......... 459
Tetsuji Shioda: Theory of Mordell-Weil Lattices ......................... 473

There were 29 Short Communications in this section.

Section 4: Geometry

Kenji Fukaya: Collapsing Riemannian Manifolds and Its Applications . 491
Etienne Ghys: Le Cercle à l'Infini des Surfaces à Courbure Négative .... 501
Karsten Grove: Metric and Topological Measurements of Manifolds .... 511
Helmut Hofer: Symplectic Invariants ....................................... 521
Peter B. Kronheimer: Embedded Surfaces in 4-Manifolds ............... 529
Dusa McDuff: Symplectic 4-Manifolds ...................................... 541
John J. Millson: Rational Homotopy Theory and Deformation Problems from Algebraic Geometry ........................................... 549
Eugenii I. Shustin: Geometry of Discriminant and Topology of Algebraic Curves ......................................................... 559
Joseph H. M. Steenbrink: Applications of Hodge Theory to Singularities ................................................................. 569
Toshikazu Sunada: (with M. Nishio) Trace Formulae in Spectral Geometry ................................................................. 577
Gang Tian: Kähler-Einstein Metrics on Algebraic Manifolds .......... 587

There were 38 Short Communications in this section.
Section 5: Topology

Marcel A. Bökstedt: Algebraic K-Theory of Spaces and the Novikov Conjecture (*Manuscript not submitted*)
Francis Bonahon: Ensembles Limites et Applications .......................... 599
David Gabai: Foliations and 3-Manifolds ........................................... 609
Thomas G. Goodwillie: The Differential Calculus of Homotopy Functors 621
Cameron McA. Gordon: Dehn Surgery on Knots ................................. 631
Kiyoshi Igusa: Parametrized Morse Theory and Its Applications ........... 643
Lowell E. Jones: (with F. T. Farrell) Rigidity in Geometry and Topology 653
Shigeyuki Morita: Mapping Class Groups of Surfaces and Three-Dimensional Manifolds .............................................................. 665
Henri Moscovici: Cyclic Cohomology and Invariants of Multiply Connected Manifolds ................................................................. 675
Vladimir G. Turaev: State Sum Models in Low-Dimensional Topology .... 689

There were 43 Short Communications in this section.

Section 6: Algebraic Geometry

Yujiro Kawamata: Canonical and Minimal Models of Algebraic Varieties .................. 699
János Kollár: Flip and Flop ............................................................... 709
Robert K. Lazarsfeld: Linear Series on Algebraic Varieties .................. 715
Morihiko Saito: Mixed Hodge Modules and Applications ........................ 725
Leslie Saper: $L_2$-Cohomology of Algebraic Varieties ........................... 735
Carlos T. Simpson: Nonabelian Hodge Theory ..................................... 747
Paul Vojta: Arithmetic and Hyperbolic Geometry .................................. 757

There were 25 Short Communications in this section.

Section 7: Lie Groups and Representations

Dan Barbasch: Unipotent Representations for Real Reductive Groups ...... 769
Günter Harder: Eisenstein Cohomology of Arithmetic Groups and Its Applications to Number Theory ............................ 779
Masaki Kashiwara: Crystallizing the $q$-Analogue of Universal Enveloping Algebras ................................................................. 791
Olivier Mathieu: Classification of Simple Graded Lie Algebras of Finite Growth .............................................................. 799
Toshihiko Matsuki: Orbits on Flag Manifolds ..................................... 807
Colette Mœglin: Sur les Formes Automorphes de Carré Intégrable .......... 815
Gopal Prasad: Semi-simple Groups and Arithmetic Subgroups .............. 821
Stephen Rallis: Poles of Standard $L$ Functions .................................. 833

There were 23 Short Communications in this section.
Section 8: Real and Complex Analysis

Eric Bedford: Iteration of Polynomial Automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ ........................................ 847
Michael Christ: Precise Analysis of $\overline{\partial} \phi$ and $\overline{\partial}$ on Domains of Finite Type in $\mathbb{C}^2$ .......................................................... 859
Ronald R. Coifman: Adapted Multiresolution Analysis, Computation, Signal Processing and Operator Theory .................................................. 879
Curt McMullen: Rational Maps and Kleinian Groups ................................................................. 889
Takafumi Murai: Analytic Capacity for Arcs .............................................................................. 901
Takeo Ohsawa: Recent Applications of $L^2$ Estimates for the Operator $\overline{\partial}$ .......... 913
David Preiss: Differentiability and Measures in Banach Spaces ........................................... 923
Kyoji Saito: The Limit Element in the Configuration Algebra for a Discrete Group: A précis .......................... 931
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<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, People's Republic of</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China-Taiwan</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Democratic Republic</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, Federal Republic of</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, Republic of</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stateless</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4102</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fields Medalists: Witten, Mori, Jones, Drinfeld

Razborov (left), the Rolf Nevanlinna Prize winner, Hori and Lovász
On the Mathematical Work of Vladimir Drinfeld

Yuri Ivanovich Manin*

Steklov Mathematical Institute, 42 Vavilova, 117966 GSP-1, Moscow, USSR

I

Drinfeld has written his first published paper when he was a schoolboy. He proved there a nice result in the style of Hardy's classic treatise "Inequalities" and solved a problem to which R. A. Rankin devoted two notes. This paper still makes an interesting reading. It starts a series of Drinfeld's works which can be considered somewhat isolated in the general context of his mathematical production but which contains such worthy results as:

- a proof that the cuspidal degree zero cycles on a modular curve generate a torsion subgroup of the Jacobian;
- classification of instantons (ADHM construction, jointly with Atiyah, Hitchin and Manin);
- reduction theory of completely integrable systems of KdV-type (jointly with Sokolov);
- a sharp asymptotic upper bound for the number of points of a curve defined over a finite field of order \( p^{2n} \) (jointly with Vladut);
- a proof that an \( SO(n+1) \)-invariant finitely additive measure on \( S^n \) is Lebesgue for \( n = 2 \) and \( 3 \) (the cases \( n = 1 \) and \( n \geq 4 \) were treated earlier by Banach, Margulis and Sullivan).

The limitations of space and time make it impossible for me to review Drinfeld's contributions referred to above, and I shall concentrate upon the two subjects that were Drinfeld's main preoccupation in the last decade. These are Langlands' program and quantum groups. In both domains, Drinfeld’s work constituted a decisive breakthrough and prompted a wealth of research.

II

Langlands' program is a series of conjectures, theorems and insights aimed to an understanding of the Galois groups of local and global fields of dimension one, that is \( \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F}_p(t) \), their finite extensions and completions.

* Delivered by Michio Jimbo.
One can convincingly argue that these Galois groups constitute a primary object of number theory, more fundamental than the integers themselves. Anyway, most of the classical themes of number theory, like prime numbers, L-functions, and modular forms reveal a lot of hidden structure when viewed from the Galois-theoretic angle.

The highest achievement of the classical period was the class-field theory which gave a description of $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{ab}}/k)$ in terms of the arithmetics of $k$. If $k$ is local, $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{ab}}/k)$ is (canonically isomorphic to) the profinite completion of $k^*$; if $k$ is global, $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{ab}}/k)$ is the profinite completion of the group $A_k^*/k^*$ where $A_k$ denotes the adèle ring of $k$. Of course, to describe $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{ab}}/k)$ is the same as to describe one-dimensional representations of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Langlands suggested that the next step should be a description of certain $n$-dimensional representations of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. The main content of his conjectures, in a very simplified and imprecise form, can be summarized in two statements. First, $n$-dimensional representations of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ are in a natural bijection with automorphic irreducible infinite-dimensional representations of $GL(n,k)$ (resp. $GL(n,A_k)$) in the local (resp. global) case. Second, this correspondence (the non-commutative reciprocity law) is described via the identification of the L-functions that can be constructed in a natural way for both Galois representations and the adèlic general linear group representations. In the classical (global) cases when the structure of these L-functions is known, they are Mellin's transforms of modular forms which represent, roughly speaking, the de Rham aspect of the cohomology of certain modular spaces, while Galois representations embody their étale cohomology. Thus, Langlands' program is related to Grothendieck's motives, a largely conjectural universal cohomology theory of algebraic varieties.

Drinfeld proved Langlands' conjectures for $GL(2)$ over global fields of finite characteristic. His decisive contribution was the discovery of a new class of modular spaces and their detailed investigation. While the classical modular spaces parametrize elliptic curves, abelian varieties or Hodge structures, Drinfeld had an astonishing idea that in order to treat the finite characteristic case one should parametrize objects of a new kind. The first approximation is now called Drinfeld modules (earlier examples of which were introduced by Carlitz). A more general notion indispensable for the complete theory is known under the equally uninspiring names “shtuka” (meaning approximately “a piece of something” in English) and “$F$-sheaf” (which Drinfeld uses for the lack of something better; as a mild reproach I must say that in the domain of terminology his imagination produces less brilliant solutions than in the theorem-proving).

For completeness, I shall give below a formal definition of an $F$-sheaf and the statement of the main theorem of Drinfeld's theory.

Let $X$ be a smooth complete model of the ground field $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. A (left) $F$-sheaf of rank $d$ over a scheme $S$ is a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{L} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_X \times \text{Fr}_S)^*} & \mathcal{L}
\end{array}
\]
in which \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) are locally free sheaves on \( X \times S \) of rank \( d \), \( i \) and \( j \) are injective, \( \text{Coker}(i) \) is an invertible sheaf on the graph \( \Gamma_\alpha \) of a certain \( S \)-point \( \alpha : S \to X \), \( \text{Coker}(j) \) is an invertible sheaf on a similar graph \( \Gamma_\beta \).

\( \mathcal{F} \)-sheaves can be rigidified by imposing a level structure. \( \mathcal{F} \)-sheaves with a non-trivial level structure admit coarse moduli schemes, which can be conveniently compactified. For \( d = 2 \), \( \text{GL}(2, A_k) \) acts upon compactified moduli spaces, much in the same way as \( \text{GL}(2, A_{Q,f}) \) acts upon moduli spaces of elliptic curves via Hecke operators. A Galois-theoretic analysis of this action upon the cohomology furnishes the following results.

Let \( W_k \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \) be the group of all automorphisms inducing upon \( \overline{F}_q \) an integer power of the Frobenius morphism. Fix a prime \( l \neq \text{char}(k) \). Denote by \( \Sigma_1 \) the set of continuous representations \( \rho : W_k \to \text{GL}(n, \overline{Q}_l) \) with the following properties: i) \( \text{Im}(\rho) \subset \text{GL}(n, F) \) for a finite extension \( F \) of \( Q_l \); ii) inertia subgroups of almost all points of \( k \) are contained in \( \text{Ker}(\rho) \).

Let now \( E \) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. A cuspidal representation of \( \text{GL}(2, A_k) \) is, by definition, an irreducible representation over \( E \) belonging to the space of \( E \)-valued cusp forms. Denote by \( \Sigma_2 \) the set of cuspidal representations over \( Q_l \).

The principal theorem of Drinfeld states that \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) are bijective with respect to a map conserving \( L \)-functions.

Drinfeld's proof is long and involved, both technically and logically. Although it is contained in a series of journal papers, the most comprehensive treatment of the whole theory is given in his two still unpublished manuscripts (totalling up to about 800 type-written pages). It is to be hoped that after a final brushing up they will be given to a book publisher. The reason it has not been done to this moment seems to be Drinfeld's preoccupation with another new and fascinating subject – quantum groups.

### III

Formally speaking, quantum groups constitute a vaguely defined subclass of Hopf algebras. Their first examples were discovered by the mathematical physicists of Leningrad school, students and collaborators of L.D. Faddeev. Drinfeld first summarized the basic definitions and results of this theory, largely conceived or systematized in his own work, in his talk at the Berkeley ICM four years ago. This report and several articles of M. Jimbo played a decisive role in the crystallization of this new domain and drew to it attention of many mathematicians.

Somewhat schematically, one can describe several principal themes of this theory in the following way:

a) For many decades, a common wisdom was that simple Lie groups and Lie algebras are rigid objects; they are classified by discrete data (Dynkin diagrams), they do not vary in a continuous family. A remarkable discovery, due in this generality to Drinfeld and Jimbo, is that this ceases to be true if one considers the deformations in the class of non-commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebras.
More precisely, for any simple Lie algebra $g$ (and more generally, for algebras defined by Cartan root data) there exists a one-parametric deformation $U_q(g)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(g)$, with comultiplication and antipode. More than that, the whole structure and representation theory deforms in this way, with many remarkable new twists.

b) The properties of quantum groups are closely related to certain remarkable non-linear algebraic equations defining the so-called Yang-Baxter operators. The simplest Yang-Baxter equation is a condition on a linear operator $R \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ where $V$ is a linear space. The condition is that $R$ satisfies the braid relation: $R_{12} R_{23} R_{12} = R_{23} R_{12} R_{23}$ as operators on $V^\otimes 3$. A more general Yang-Baxter equation concerns operators depending on spectral parameters. Many solutions of Yang-Baxter equations were discovered by the specialists working in the two-dimensional statistical physics because such solutions give rise to exactly solvable lattice models generalizing the famous Ising model.

Drinfeld introduced the notion of a universal Yang-Baxter operator which is an invertible element in the (completed) tensor square of a Hopf algebra. He has proved its existence in $U_q(g)^{\otimes 2}$ and has given a general "double" construction allowing one to generate Yang-Baxter operators in the representation categories of various Hopf algebras.

c) Until recently, the quantum group theory lacked a classification theorem describing precisely what class of objects we want to consider and what is the structure of this class. Such a theorem was recently formulated and proved in the two important papers by Drinfeld. It can be compared with the first theorems of Lie establishing the relations between Lie algebras and local Lie groups.

Indeed, this theorem is local, even formal, in nature, because Drinfeld considers formal deformations of formal groups (or, in the dual language, of their universal enveloping algebras). He also imposes from the very beginning a Yang-Baxter type condition ("quasi-triangularity"). He proves then that the whole deformation is defined by the lowest order non-trivial data.

In the course of proof, he introduces a new notion of quasi-Hopf algebra, weakening in an appropriate way the coassociativity condition on the comultiplication. He connects quasi-Hopf algebras with the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov differential equation whose monodromy is related to the Drinfeld-Jimbo $R$-operators as was shown by Kohno. Finally, he shows that in a formal situation the quasi-Hopf algebras can be reduced to the usual Hopf algebras by a kind of gauge transformation. The proof involves killing a lot of cohomological obstructions arising in the complex perturbative scheme.

d) One should mention also the notions of a Poisson-Lie group and a Poisson-Lie action introduced by Drinfeld at an earlier stage of his work on Yang-Baxter equations. They form one of the most basic differential geometric structures connected with Hamiltonian mechanics, and their role in future will certainly grow.
IV

I hope that I conveyed to you some sense of broadness, conceptual richness, technical strength and beauty of Drinfeld's work for which we are now honoring him with the Fields medal. For me, it was a pleasure and a privilege to observe at a close distance the rapid development of this brilliant mind which taught me so much.
The Work of Vaughan F. R. Jones

Joan S. Birman

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

It gives me great pleasure that I have been asked to describe to you some of the very beautiful mathematics which resulted in the awarding of the Fields Medal to Vaughan F. R. Jones at ICM '90.

In 1984 Jones discovered an astonishing relationship between von Neumann algebras and geometric topology. As a result, he found a new polynomial invariant for knots and links in 3-space. His invariant had been missed completely by topologists, in spite of intense activity in closely related areas during the preceding 60 years, and it was a complete surprise. As time went on, it became clear that his discovery had to do in a bewildering variety of ways with widely separated areas of mathematics and physics, some of which are indicated in Figure 1. These included (in addition to knots and links) that part of statistical mechanics having to do with exactly solvable models, the very new area of quantum groups, and also Dynkin diagrams and the representation theory of simple Lie algebras. The central connecting link in all this mathematics was a tower of nested algebras which Jones had discovered some years earlier in the course of proving a theorem which is known as the “Index Theorem”.

My plan is to begin by discussing the Index Theorem, and the tower of algebras which Jones constructed in the course of his proof. After that, I plan to return to the chart in Figure 1 in order to indicate how this tower of algebras served as a bridge between the diverse areas of mathematics which are shown on the chart. I will restrict my attention throughout to one very special example of the tower construction, and so also to one special example of the associated link invariants, in order to make it possible to survey a great deal of mathematics in a very short time. Even with the restriction to a single example, this is a very ambitious plan. On the other hand, it only begins to touch on Vaughan Jones’ scholarly contributions.

1. The Index Theorem

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote a von Neumann algebra. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebra of bounded operators acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is called a factor if its center consists only of scalar multiples of the identity. The factor is type $\text{II}_1$ if it admits a linear functional, called a trace, $\text{tr}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$, which satisfies the following three conditions:
\[ \text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{M} \]
\[ \text{tr}(1) = 1. \]
\[ \text{tr}(xx^*) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ where } x^* \text{ is the adjoint of } x. \]

In this situation it is known that the trace is unique, in the sense that it is the only linear form satisfying the first two conditions. An old discovery of Murray and von Neumann was that factors of type \( \text{II}_1 \) provide a type of “scale” by which one can measure the dimension \( \dim_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H}) \) of \( \mathcal{H} \). The notion of dimension which occurs here generalizes the familiar notion of integer-valued dimensions, because for appropriate \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \) it can be any non-negative real number or \( \infty \).

The starting point of Jones’ work was the following question: if \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) is a type \( \text{II}_1 \) factor and if \( \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \) is a subfactor, is there any restriction on the real numbers which occur as the ratio

\[ \lambda = \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{M}_0}(\mathcal{H})}{\dim_{\mathcal{M}_1}(\mathcal{H})} ? \]

The question has the flavor of questions one studies in Galois theory. On the face of it, there was no reason to think that \( \lambda \) could not take on any value in \([1, \infty]\), so Jones’ answer came as a complete surprise. He called \( \lambda \) the index \([\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{M}_0]\) of \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) in \( \mathcal{M}_1 \), and proved a type of rigidity theorem about type \( \text{II}_1 \) factors and their subfactors.
The Jones Index Theorem. If $M_1$ is a II$_1$ factor and $M_0$ a subfactor, then the possible values of the index $[M_1 : M_0]$ are restricted to:

$$[4, \infty] \cup \{4 \cos^2(\pi/p), \text{ where } p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 3\}.$$  

Moreover, each real number in the continuous part of the spectrum $[4, \infty]$ and also in the discrete part $\{4 \cos^2(\pi/p), p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 3\}$ is realized.

We now sketch the idea of the proof, which is to be found in [Jo1]. Jones begins with the type II$_1$ factor $M_1$ and the subfactor $M_0$. There is also a tiny bit of additional structure: In this setting there exists a map $e_1 : M_1 \to M_0$, known as the conditional expectation of $M_1$ on $M_0$. The map $e_1$ is a projection, i.e. $(e_1)^2 = e_1$.

His first step is to prove that the ratio $\lambda$ is independent of the choice of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. This allows him to choose an appropriate $\mathcal{H}$ so that the algebra $M_2 = \langle M_1, e_1 \rangle$ generated by $M_1$ and $e_1$ makes sense. He then investigates $M_2$ and proves that it is another type II$_1$ factor, which contains $M_1$ as a subfactor, moreover the index $[M_2 : M_1]$ is equal to the index $[M_1 : M_0]$, i.e. to $\lambda$. Having in hand another II$_1$ factor $M_2$ and its subfactor $M_1$, there is also a trace on $M_2$ which (by the uniqueness of the trace) coincides with the trace on $M_1$ when it is restricted to $M_1$, and another conditional expectation $e_2 : M_2 \to M_1$. This allows Jones to iterate the construction, to build algebras $M_1, M_2 \ldots$ and from them a family of algebras:

$$J_n = \{1, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\} \subset M_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$  

Rewriting history a little bit in order to make the subsequent connection with knots a little more transparent, we now replace the $e_i$'s by a new set of generators which are units, defining:

$$g_k = q e_k - (1 - e_k),$$  

where

$$(1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) = 1/\lambda.$$  

The $g_k$'s generate $J_n$, because the $e_i$'s do, and we can solve for the $e_i$'s in terms of the $g_k$'s. So

$$J_n = J_n(q) = \{1, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}\},$$  

and we have a tower of algebras, ordered by inclusion:

$$J_1(q) \subset J_2(q) \subset J_3(q) \subset \ldots.$$  

The parameter $q$, which replaces the index $\lambda$, is the quantity now under investigation.

The parameter $q$ is woven into the construction of the tower. First, defining relations in $J_n(q)$ depend upon $q$:

(1)  

$$g_i g_k = g_k g_i, \quad \text{if } |i - k| \geq 2,$$  

(2)  

$$g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1},$$  

(3)  

$$g_i^2 = (q - 1) g_i + q,$$  

(4)  

$$1 + g_i + g_{i+1} + g_i g_{i+1} + g_{i+1} g_i + \ldots g_i g_{i+1} g_i = 0.$$
A second way in which \( q \) enters into the structure involves the trace. Recall that since \( M_n \) is type \( \Pi_1 \) it supports a unique trace, and since \( J_n \) is a subalgebra it does too, by restriction. This trace is known as a \textit{Markov trace}, i.e. it satisfies the important property:

\[
(5_q) \quad \text{tr}(wg_n) = \tau(q) \text{tr}(w) \quad \text{if } w \in J_n,
\]

where \( \tau(q) \) is a fixed function of \( q \). Thus, for each fixed value of \( q \) the trace is multiplied by a fixed scalar when one passes from one stage of the tower to the next, by multiplying an arbitrary element of \( J_n \) by the new generator \( g_n \) of \( J_{n+1} \).

Relations (1) and (2) above have an interesting geometric meaning, familiar to topologists. They are defining relations for the \textit{n-string braid group}, \( B_n \), discovered by Emil Artin [Ar] in a foundational paper written in 1923. We pause to discuss braids.

An \( n \)-braid may be visualized by a weaving pattern of strings in 3-space which join \( n \) points on a horizontal plane to \( n \) corresponding points on a parallel plane, as illustrated in the example in Figure 2, where \( n = 4 \). In the case \( n = 3 \), the familiar braid in a person's hair gives another example. The strings are allowed to be stretched and deformed, the key features being that strings cannot pass through one-another and always proceed directly downward in their travels from the upper plane to the lower one. The equivalence class of weaving patterns under such deformations is an \textit{n-braid}. One multiplies braids by concatenation and erasure of the middle plane. This multiplication makes them into a group, the \textit{n-string braid group} \( B_n \). The identity is a braid which, when pulled taut, goes over to \( n \) straight lines. Generators are the \( n - 1 \) elementary braids which (by an abuse of notation) we continue to call \( g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1} \). The pictures in Figure 3 show that relations (1) and (2) hold between the generators of \( B_n \). In fact, Artin proved they are \textit{defining relations} for \( B_n \). Thus for each \( n \) there is a homomorphism from the \textit{n-string braid group} \( B_n \) into the Jones algebra \( J_n(q) \), and from the group algebra \( C\!B_n \) onto \( J_n(q) \).

Returning to the business at hand, i.e. the proof of the Index Theorem, Jones next shows that properties (1), (2), (3\(_q\)) and (5\(_q\)) suffice for the calculation of the
trace of an arbitrary element $x \in J_n(q)$. It turns out that $\text{trace}(x)$ is an integer polynomial in $(\sqrt{q})^{\pm 1}$. (We will meet it again in a few moments as the Jones polynomial associated to $x$.) Jones proof of the Index Theorem is concluded when he shows that the infinite sequence of algebras $J_n(q)$, with the given trace, could not exist if $q$ did not satisfy the restrictions of the Index Theorem.

2. Knots and Links

We have already seen hints of topological meaning in $J_n(q)$ via braids. There is more to come. Knots and links are obtained from braids by identifying the initial points and end points of a braid in a circle, as illustrated in Figure 4. It was proved by J. W. Alexander in 1928 that every knot or link arises in this way. Earlier we described an equivalence relation on weaving patterns which yields braids, and there is a similar (but less restrictive) equivalence relation on knots, i.e. a knot or link type is its equivalence class under isotopy in 3-space. Note that isotopy in 3-space which takes one closed braid representative of a link to another closed braid representative will pass through a sequence of representatives which are not closed braids in an obvious way. For example see the 2-component link which is illustrated in Figure 4. The left picture is an obvious closed braid representative, whereas the right is not.
Let $B_\infty$ denote the disjoint union of all of the braid groups $B_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. In 1935 the mathematician A.A. Markov proposed the equivalence relation on $B_\infty$ which corresponds to link equivalence [M]. Remarkably, the properties of the trace, or more particularly the facts that $\text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx)$ together with property $(5_0)$, were exactly what was needed to make the trace polynomial into an invariant on Markov’s equivalence classes! Using Markov’s proposed equivalence relation (which was proved to be the correct one in 1972 [Bi]), Jones proved, with almost no additional work beyond results already established in [Jo1], the following theorem:

**Theorem** [Jo3]. *If* $w \in B_\infty$, *then* (after multiplication by an appropriate scalar, which depends upon the braid index $n$) the trace of the image of $w$ in $J_n(q)$ is a polynomial in $(\sqrt{q})^{\pm 1}$ which is an invariant of the link type defined by the closed braid $L_w$.

The invariant of Jones’ theorem is the one-variable Jones polynomial $V_x(q)$. Notice that the independent “variable” in this polynomial is essentially the index of a type $\text{II}_1$ subfactor in a type $\text{II}_1$ factor! It’s discovery opened a new chapter in knot and link theory.

### 3. Statistical Mechanics

We promised to discuss other ways in which the work of Jones was related to yet other areas of mathematics and physics, and begin to do so now. As it turned out, when Jones did his work the family of algebras $J_n(q)$ were already known to physicists who were concerned with exactly solvable models in Statistical Mechanics. (For an excellent introduction to this topic, see R. Baxter’s article in these Proceedings.) One of the simplest examples in this area is known as the Potts model. In that model one considers an array of “atoms” arranged at the vertices of a planar lattice with $m$ rows and $n$ columns as in Figure 5. Each “atom” in the system has various possible spins associated to it, and in the simplest case, known as the Ising model, there are two choices, “+” for spin up and “−” for spin down. We have indicated one of the $2^{mn}$ choices in Figure 5, determining a
The goal is to compute the free energy of the system, averaged over all possible states.

Letting $\sigma_i$ denote the spin at site $i$, we note that an edge $e$ contributes an energy $E_e(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$, where $\sigma_i$ and $\sigma_j$ are the states of the endpoints of $e$. Let $E$ be the collection of lattice edges. Let $\beta$ be a parameter which depends upon the temperature. Then the Gibbs partition function $Z$ is given by the formula:

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m} \prod_{e \in E} \exp(-\beta E_e(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)).$$

All of this is microscopic, nevertheless the major macroscopic thermodynamic quantities are functions of the partition function. In particular, the free energy $F$, the object of interest to us at this time, is given by $Z = \exp(-\beta F)$.

To compute the manner in which the atoms in one row of the lattice interact with atoms in the next, physicists set up the transfer matrix $T$, which expresses the row-to-row interactions. It turned out that, in order to be able to calculate the free energy, the transfer matrices must satisfy conditions known as the Yang-Baxter equations and (to the great surprise of everyone) they turned out to be the braid relations (1) and (2) in disguise! (Remark: before Jones' work, to the best of our knowledge, it was not known that the Yang-Baxter equation was related to braids or knots.) Even more, the algebra which the transfer matrices generate in the Ising model, known to physicists as the Temperley-Lieb algebra, is our algebra $\mathcal{J}_n(q)$. The partition function $Z$ is related in a very simple way to the transfer matrix:

$$Z = \text{trace}(T)^m.$$ 

In fact, it is closely related to the Jones trace.

The initial discovery of a relationship between the Potts model and links was reported on in [Jo3]. It opened a new chapter in the flow of ideas between mathematics and physics. We give an explicit example of a way in which the relationship of Jones' work to physics led to new insight into mathematics. Learning that the partition function was a sum over states of the system, Louis Kauffman was led to seek a decomposition of the Jones polynomial into a related sum over "states" of knot diagram, and arrived in [K1] at an elegant "states model" for the Jones polynomial. The Jones polynomial, and Kauffman's
states model for it, were later seen to generalize to other polynomial invariants, with associated states models, for links in $S^3$ and eventually into invariants for 3-manifolds $M^3$ and links in 3-manifolds. The full story is not known at this writing, however we refer the reader to V. Turaev’s article in these *Proceedings* for an excellent account of it, as of August 1990.

4. Quantum Groups and Representations of Lie Algebras

We begin by explaining the structure of the algebra $J_n(q)$. It will be convenient to begin with another algebra $H_n(q)$, which is generated by symbols $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ (which now have a third meaning), with defining relations (1), (2) and (3\(_q\)). The algebra $H_n(q)$ is very well-known to mathematicians. It’s the Iwahori-Hecke algebra, also known as the Hecke algebra of the symmetric group [Bo]. Its relationship with the symmetric group is simple to describe and beautiful. Notice that when $q = 1$, relation (3\(_q\)) simplifies to $(g_k)^2 = 1$. One recognizes (1), (2) and (3\(_1\)) as defining relations for the group algebra $\mathbb{C}S_n$ of the symmetric group $S_n$. Here $g_k$ is to be re-interpreted as a transposition which exchanges the symbols $k$ and $k+1$. In this way we may view $H_n(q)$ as a “$q$-deformation” of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}S_n = H_n(1)$.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}S_n$ is rigid, that is if one deforms it in this way its irreducible summands continue to be irreducible summands of the same dimension, in fact $H_n(q)$ is actually algebra-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}S_n$ for generic $q$. Thus $H_n(q)$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional matrix algebras, its irreducible summands being in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_n$. In this setting, Jones showed in [Jo2] that for generic $q$ the algebra $J_n(q)$ may be interpreted as the algebra associated to the $q$-deformations of those irreducible representations of $S_n$ which have Young diagrams with at most two rows.

We now explain how $H_n(q)$ is related to quantum groups. It will be helpful to recall the classical picture. The fundamental representation of the Lie group $GL_n$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and so its $k$-fold tensor product acts naturally on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^\otimes k$. The symmetric group $S_k$ also acts naturally on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^\otimes k$, permuting factors. (Remark: In this latter action, the representations of $S_k$ which are relevant are those whose Young diagrams have $\leq n$ rows.) As is well known, the actions of $GL_n$ and $S_k$ are each other’s commutants in the full group of linear transformations of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^\otimes k$. If one now replaces $GL_n$ and $\mathbb{C}S_k$ by the quantum group $U_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ respectively, then the remarkable fact is that $U_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $H_k(q)$ are still each other’s commutants [Ji]. The corresponding picture for $J_n(q)$ is obtained by restricting to $GL_2$ and to representations of $S_k$ having Young diagrams with at most 2 rows.

We remark that these are not isolated instances of algebraic accidents, but rather special cases of a phenomenon which relates a large part of the mathematics of quantum groups to finite dimensional matrix representations of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}B_n$ which support a Markov trace (e.g. see [BW]).
5. Dynkin Diagrams

Dynkin diagrams arise in the tower construction which we described in § 1 via the inclusions of the algebras $J_n(q)$ in the Jones tower. The inclusions for the Jones tower are very simple, and correspond to the Dynkin diagram of type $A_n$. However, other, more complicated towers may be obtained by replacing the $H_1$ factor $M_1$ in the tower construction of § 1 above by $M_1 \cap (M_0)'$, where $(M_0)'$ is the commutant of $M_0$ in $M_1$. We refer the reader to [GHJ] for an introduction to this topic and a discussion of the “derived tower” and the Dynkin diagrams which occur. The connections with the representations of simple Lie algebras can be guessed at from our discussion in § 4 above.

6. Concluding Remarks

I hope I have succeeded in showing you some of the ways in which Jones' work created bridges between the areas of mathematics which were illustrated in Figure 1. To conclude, I want to indicate very briefly some of the ways in which those bridges have changed the mathematics which many of us are doing.

There is another link polynomial in the picture, the famous Alexander polynomial. It was discovered in 1928, and was of fundamental importance to knot theory, both in the classical case of knots in $S^3$ and in higher dimensional knotting. Shortly after Jones’ 1984 discovery, it was learned that in fact both the Alexander and Jones polynomial were specializations of the 2-variable Jones polynomial. That discovery was made simultaneously by five separate groups of authors: Freyd and Yetter, Hoste, Lickorish and Millett, Ocneanu, and Przytycki and Traczyk, a simple version of the proof of Ocneanu being given in [Jo3]. One of the techniques used in the proof was the combinatorics of link diagrams, and that technique led to the discovery of yet another polynomial, by Louis Kauffman [K2].

From the point of view of algebra, the Jones polynomial comes from a trace function on $J_n(q)$, and the 2-variable Jones polynomial from a similar trace on the full Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$. Beyond that, there is another algebra, the so-called Birman-Wenzl algebra [BW], and Kauffman's polynomial is a trace on it. Even more, physicists who had worked with solutions to the Yang Baxter equation, realized that they knew of still other Markov traces, so they began to grind out still other polynomials, in initially bewildering confusion. That picture is fairly well understood at this moment, however the work of Witten [W] indicates there are still other, related, link invariants. The generalizations are vast, with much work to be done.

There is also a different and very direct way in which Jones has had equal influence. His style of working is informal, and one which encourages the free and open interchange of ideas. During the past few years Jones wrote letters to various people which described his important new discoveries at an early stage, when he did not yet feel ready to submit them for journal publication because he had much more work to do. He nevertheless asked that his letters be shared, and so they were widely circulated. It was not surprising that they then served as a rich source of ideas for the work of others. As it has turned out, there has been more than enough credit to go around. His openness and generosity in this regard have been in the best tradition and spirit of mathematics.
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The most profound and exciting development in algebraic geometry during the last decade or so was the Minimal Model Program or Mori's Program in connection with the classification problems of algebraic varieties of dimension three. Shigefumi Mori initiated the program with a decisively new and powerful technique, guided the general research direction with some good collaborators along the way, and finally finished up the program by himself overcoming the last difficulty. The program was constructive and the end result was more than an existence theorem of minimal models. Even just the existence theorem by itself was the most fundamental result toward the classification of general algebraic varieties in dimension 3 up to birational transformations. The constructive nature of the program, moreover, provided a way of factoring a general birational transformation of threefolds into elementary transformations (divisorial contractions, flips and flops) that could be explicitly describable in principle. Mori's theorems on algebraic threefolds were stunning and beautiful by the totally new features unimaginable by those algebraic geometers who had been working, probably very hard too, only in the traditional world of algebraic or complex-analytic surfaces. Three in dimension was in fact a quantum jump from two in algebraic geometry.

Historically, to classify algebraic varieties has always been a fundamental problem of algebraic geometry and even an ultimate dream of algebraic geometers. During the early decades of this century, many new discoveries were made on the new features in classifying algebraic surfaces, unimaginable from the case of curves. They were mainly done by the so-called Italian school of algebraic geometers, such as Guido Castelnuovo (1865–1952), Federigo Enriques (1871–1946), Francesco Severi (1989–1961) and many others. Since then, there have been several important modernization, precision, reconstruction with rigor, extensions, in the theory of surfaces. The most notable among those were the works by Oscar Zariski during the late 1950s (especially, Castelnuovo's criterion for rational surfaces and minimal models of surfaces) and those by Kunihiko Kodaira during the early 1960s (especially, detailed study on elliptic surfaces and complex-analytic extensions, especially non-algebraic). In particular, Kodaira and his younger colleague S. Iitaka have produced many talented followers and collaborators. Up to and after the “Algebraic Surfaces” by I. R. Shafarevich, Yu. I. Manin, B. G. Moishezon, et al. (1965), the Russian school of algebraic geometers.
advanced the study of some important algebraic surfaces and their deformations, especially the study of Torelli problems. As for the extensions to positive characteristics, outstanding and remarkable were the works of D. Mumford and E. Bombieri, and their followers during the late 1960s and 1970s. There have been so many other important contributors to the theory of surfaces that I would not try here to list all the important names and works in the theory of surfaces. There were also several unique works on classification problems of non-complete surfaces or complete surfaces with specified divisors, whose studies were instigated as being “2.5 dimensional” by S. Iitaka around 1977.

As for the higher dimensional algebraic varieties, the decade of 1970’s saw three lines of new progress, just to name a few. One was the discovery, in the early 1970’s, of the gap between the rationality and unirationality. The second was an attempt to classify Fano 3-folds, of which the birational classification was begun by Yu. I. Manin (1971), V. A. Iskovskikh (1971, 1977–78), and followed by V. V. Shokurov (1979). However the biregular classification of Fano 3-folds in case $B_2 > 1$, with existence and number of moduli, had to wait a few more years to be achieved by Mori, jointly with S. Mukai, after a new powerful technique was invented by Mori in 1980. (The biregular classification in case $B_2 = 1$ was recently completed by S. Mukai using moduli of vector bundles on $K3$ surfaces.) The third line of progress was an early version of serious attempts toward higher-dimensional classification problems, largely inspired by S. Iitaka’s bold conjectures proposed around 1970, and especially pressed hard during the latter half of the 1970’s, by the Tokyo school of complex-algebraic geometers. The essence of their results was reported by H. Esnault in her Bourbaki talk, exp. 568 (1981).

Notably, K. Ueno produced some structure theorems in higher dimensions during 1977–79, which appeared at that time to boost the program of Iitaka et al. However, the scope of their achievements were limited toward the original goal of classifying general algebraic 3-folds in a style of extending the beautiful old theory of classifying surfaces. Their crucial limitation was the lack of a good higher-dimensional analog to Zariski’s theory of minimal models. Technically, as it later became clear, the drawback was the absence of Mori’s stunning success in analyzing birational transformations from the point of view of extremal contractions in dimensions higher than 2. In short, a new insight had to be injected into the classification program and it came from the technique of extremal rays inaugurated by Mori which inspired M. Reid, J. Kollár, Y. Kawamata et al. to begin working in earnest in search of minimal models around the turn of the decade.

Early in 1979, Mori brought to algebraic geometry a completely new excitement, that was his proof of Hartshorne’s conjecture, proposed in 1970, which said that the projective spaces are the only smooth complete algebraic varieties with ample tangent bundles. It was also exciting news to differential geometers, such as Y.-T. Siu and S.-T. Yau who subsequently found an independent proof for Frankel’s conjecture of 1961, which was implied by Hartshorne’s. It is not clear that Hartshorne’s conjecture can actually be proven by the differential-geometric method of Siu and Yau. In all approaches, the most important step was to show the existence of rational curves in the manifold in question. Mori’s idea was sim-
ple and natural as good ones were always so, while the proof was not. The idea was that, under some numerical conditions, rational curves should be obtained by deforming a given curve inside the manifold and degenerating it into a bunch of curves of lower genera. But the difficulty was proving such plentifulness of deformations. There, Mori's ingenuity was to overcome this difficulty first in the cases of positive characteristics, where the Frobenius maps did a miracle, and then deduce the complex case from them.

Mori extended and reformulated his new and powerful technique of finding rational curves, which was referred to as extremal rays in the cone of curves by himself in another monumental paper of his on “Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not nef” (nef = numerically effective). The cone of curves in a projective 3-fold was defined to be the closure of the real convex hull generated by the numerical equivalence classes (or classes in the second homology group over the real numbers) of irreducible algebraic curves, and an extremal ray was to be an edge of the cone within the region where the canonical divisor takes negative intersection number. Mori’s discovery was that the cone was locally finitely generated in the canonically negative region and that each extremal ray was generated by a rational curve. In the above “not nef” paper, moreover, Mori established an outstanding theorem which, in dimension three, completely classified the geometric structures of the family of rational curves corresponding to an extremal ray. The union of such curves was either an irreducible divisor (either a smooth projective line bundle, or a projective plane, or a quadric in a projective 3-space) or the entire 3-fold. The former led to a birational blowing down while the latter to a fibration map (either conic bundle, or Del Pezzo fiber space or Fano 3-fold). In each case, the description was precise and the target variety (blown-down birational model or the base of fibration) was again projective. This result was absolutely stunning to anybody who have had experiences with non-projective or even non-algebraic examples of birational transformations, and it was so beautiful as to encourage many algebraic geometers once again to look into the birational problems in dimension 3. Subsequently, Mori completed the classification of Fano 3-folds, jointly with S. Mukai in 1981, and he established a criterion for uniruledness, jointly with Y. Miyaoka in 1985. The Fano 3-folds and uniruled 3-folds were those to be investigated separately in all details and there are still left open some important problems about those special 3-folds. Excluding these, the most important general problem was to prove the existence of minimal models in which the canonical bundles were nef.

Mori’s “not nef” paper showed, for the first time in the history of algebraic geometry, that a general birational transformation between smooth algebraic 3-folds was not completely untouchable and in fact “finitely manageable” in some sense. Naturally the dimension 3 was far more complicated than the dimension 2, and moreover some sort of singularities (seemingly finitely classifiable after Mori) were created in the process of factoring it into elementary transformations. Excited with Mori’s discoveries, several algebraic geometers began clustering to him, this time with much clearer vision and better hope than ever, to work on factorization of birational transformations, and more importantly to work on defining what minimal models should be and how to obtain them, notably M.
Reid, V. V. Shokurov, Y. Kawamata, J. Kollár and of course S. Mori himself. *Mori's Program*, named by J. Kollár and meant to imply the process of obtaining minimal models, was as follows: Start from any projective smooth variety $X$, which is not birationally uniruled, and find a finite succession of “elementary” birational transformations by which $X$ is transformed to a “minimal model”. Of course, the central questions here were the meanings of “elementary transformation” and “minimal model”. Firstly, the inverse of a blowing-up with a smooth center in a smooth 3-fold (even in a 3-fold with a “mildly” singular point) was definitely elementary. It was called a *divisorial contraction*. This certainly decreased the Néron-Severi number and hence it should make the variety closer to its minimal model. Suppose we could not make a divisorial contraction any more and the Néron-Severi number reached its minimum. Is the variety then good enough to be called a minimal model? The answer was clearly *no*, although the singularities created by those divisorial contractions were quite acceptable. Unlike the 2-dimensional cases, the resulting 3-fold can still have *extremal rays* which cause unpleasant behaviors of the canonical and pluricanonical bundles. The importance of these bundles had been clearly recognized even from the time of Castelnuovo and Enriques in the theory of classification problems as well as in the theory of deformations. Thus, to *eliminate an extremal ray*, a completely new type of elementary transformation was needed. This was the one later called *flip*, which was, roughly speaking, to take out extremal (canonically negative, or with negative intersection number with the canonical divisor) rational curves and put some rational curves back in with a new imbedding type so that new curves are canonically positive. Such a surgery type operation is unique if it exists. The *existence*, however, turned out to be extremely delicate and hard to prove.

As early as in 1981, encouraged by Mori’s “not nef” paper, Miles Reid made fairly clear the idea of what minimal models should be and what elementary transformations would be, by publishing a paper on “Minimal models of canonical 3-folds”, which was an expansion of his lecture “Canonical 3-folds” in the *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers* 1980. It then became absolutely clear (mildly suggested by Mori’s work before) that some special kind of singularities must be permitted in the good notion of minimal models. M. Reid introduced the notions of *canonical singularity* and *terminal singularity*, which turned out to be very useful in the minimal model program of which he was the first to conjecture in literature. (See Miles Reid “Decomposition of Toric morphisms” 1983.) The former was, as the name suggests, the kind of singularity that appeared in the canonical models and could be quite horrible algebraically and geometrically. The latter was the kind that behaved much better than the former and which people hoped to be the only kind to appear in the minimal models. In any case, Reid’s definition was simple and clear and some basic theorems were proved by himself, in which he showed that terminal singularities were closely related to the deformations of the classic singularities of Du Val.

Historically, P. Du Val in 1934 systematically studied the singularities that did not affect the condition of adjunction, that is, in the language of Reid, the canonical singularities in dimension 2. In 1966, M. Artin extended and modernized the classification of what he called *rational singularities*. Du Val
singularities were exactly rational singularities of multiplicity 2, or rational double points. After M. Reid's introduction of canonical and terminal singularities, rational singularities and their deformations were studied once again. Extensive and direct studies on terminal singularities followed M. Reid's works and by 1987 a complete classification of terminal singularities in dimension 3 was achieved with technically useful lemmas by a combination of works by several mathematicians, V. I. Danilov, D. Morrison, G. Stevens, S. Mori, J. Kollár, N. Shepherd-Barron, and others. Some experimental works were done about higher dimensional terminal singularities, such as the one in dimension 4 by S. Mori, D. Morrison and I. Morrison which seemed to indicate far more complexity than the case of dimension 3.

Back to the minimal model problem, Mori's technique of extremal ray contraction had to be generalized to singular varieties, at least to the varieties with only terminal singularities, which were even "rationally factorial". It does not look completely hopeless that eventually Mori's all characteristics method can be modified and extended to such singular cases. (See J. Kollár's work that is to appear in the proceedings of the Algebraic Geometry Satellite Conference at Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1990.) However it then was not done in Mori's way. Instead, the generalization was obtained first by generalizing Kodaira's Vanishing Theorem and then by making an ingenious use of this generalization. Here, and subsequently, the contributions of Y. Kawamata were big to the minimal model program.

The celebrated Vanishing Theorem was proven by K. Kodaira in 1953. Numerous generalizations, in special cases or in general, were done especially during the 1970's and 80's mostly in an effort to investigate the nicety of the structure of the canonical ring of a projective variety. Here the canonical ring means the graded algebra generated by sections of pluricanonical bundles (say, on a desingularized model). As for the nicety, they looked for the property of being finitely generated of the canonical algebra or "rationality or better" properties of the singularities of the canonical model. Y. Kawamata had a very clear objective in generalizing and using the Vanishing Theorem, that was to generalize Mori's theory of extremal ray contractions and then verify the minimal model program.

The operation called flip is clearly directed, i.e., it changes "canonically negative" to "canonically positive". There is a similar operation for a rational curve with zero intersection number with the canonical divisor, which is called a flop. Unlike flips, the inverse of flops are again flops. A flop is symmetric in this sense. A flip changes a variety into another, birational to and better than the original, better in singularities, better in terms of pluricanonical bundles, and so on. Historically, there had been known many examples of flops. The simplest flop, between smooth 3-folds, was known and used even by earlier algebraic geometers. Some flops were shown to be useful in studying degeneration of K3 surfaces by V. Kulikov in 1977. In contrast, examples of flips are not so easy to find because 3-folds had to be singular in order to have singularities improved. P. Francia gave an explicit example in his paper published in 1980. Other examples were seen in a paper of M. Reid published in 1983, here with prototypes of minimal models. In 1983, V. V. Shokurov published the Non-vanishing Theorem,
which was proven by using the Vanishing Theorem. His theorem implied that flips cannot be done infinitely many times.

For Mori's Program, therefore, not only the divisorial contractions are finite but also the flips are finite in any sequence. The very final problem was hence to prove the existence of flips for given extremal rational curves, after all the previous works by S. Mori, M. Reid, J. Kollár, V. V. Shokurov and Y. Kawamata, just to name some of the most important contributors to the Program. At any rate, Y. Kawamata reduced the problem of the flip to the existence of a "nice" doubly anticanonical divisor globally in a neighborhood of a given extremal rational curve, while the existence had been only proven by M. Reid locally about each point of the curve. This seemingly small gap between global and local was actually enormous. Mori finally overcame this gap by checking cases after cases with very delicate and intricate investigations and established the final existence theorem of algebraic flips by reducing them to sequences of simpler analytic flips and smaller contractions. This monumental paper of Mori was published in the very first issue of the new Journal of the American Mathematical Society, establishing a constructive existence theorem of minimal models which had been shown to have many important consequences.

We need much more of Mori's originality to break the stubborn prejudice that it is a Herculean task to extend the classical classification theorems to all dimensions.
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It is a duty of the chairman of the Fields Medal Committee to appoint the speakers, who describe the work of the winners at this session. Professor M. Atiyah was asked by me to speak about Witten. He told me that he would not be able to come but was ready to prepare a written address. So it was decided that I shall make an exposition of his address adding my own comments. The full text of Atiyah's address is published separately.

Let me begin by the statement that Witten's award is in the field of Mathematical Physics.

Physics was always a source of stimulus and inspiration for Mathematics so that Mathematical Physics is a legitimate part of Mathematics. In classical time its connection with Pure Mathematics was mostly via Analysis, in particular through Partial Differential Equations. However quantum era gradually brought a new life. Now Algebra, Geometry and Topology, Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry enter naturally into Mathematical Physics and get new insights from it. And

In all this large and exciting field, which involves many of the leading physicists and mathematicians in the world, Edward Witten stands out clearly as the most influential and dominating figure. Although he is definitely a physicist (as his list of publications clearly shows) his command of mathematics is rivalled by few mathematicians, and his ability to interpret physical ideas in mathematical form is quite unique. Time and again he has surprised the mathematical community by a brilliant application of physical insight leading to new and deep mathematical theorems.

Now I come to description of the main achievements of Witten. In Atiyah's text many references are given to Feynman Integral, so that I begin with a short and rather schematic reminding of this object.

In quantum physics the exact answers for dynamical problems can be expressed in a formal way as follows:

\[ Z = \int e^{iA} \prod_x d\mu \]

where \( A \) is an action functional of local fields – functions of time and space variables \( x \), running through some manifold \( M \). The integration measure is a

\[ ^1 \text{Small print type here and after refers to Atiyah's text.} \]
product of local measures for values of fields in a point \( x \) over all \( M \). The result of integration \( Z \) could be a number or function of parameters defining the problem – coupling constants, boundary or asymptotical conditions, etc.

In spite of being an ill-defined object from the point of view of rigorous mathematics, Feynman functional integral proved to be a powerful tool in quantum physics. It was gradually realized that it is also a very convenient mathematical means. Indeed the geometrical objects such as loops, connections, metrics are natural candidates for local fields and geometry produces for them interesting action functionals. The Feynman integral then leads to important geometrical or topological invariants.

Although this point of view was expressed and exemplified by several people (e.g., A. Schvarz used 1-forms \( \omega \) on a three dimensional manifold with action \( A = \int \omega d\omega \) to describe the Ray-Singer torsion) it was Witten who elaborated this idea to a full extent and showed the flexibility and universality of Feynman integral.

Now let me follow Atiyah in description of the main achievements of Witten in this direction.

1. **Morse Theory**

His paper [2] on supersymmetry and Morse theory is obligatory reading for geometers interested in understanding modern quantum field theory. It also contains a brilliant proof of the classic Morse inequalities, relating critical points to homology. The main point is that homology is defined via Hodge's harmonic forms and critical points enter via stationary phase approximation to quantum mechanics. Witten explains that "supersymmetric quantum mechanics" is just Hodge-de Rham theory. The real aim of the paper is however to prepare the ground for supersymmetric quantum field theory as the Hodge-de Rham theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds. It is a measure of Witten's mastery of the field that he has been able to make intelligent and skilful use of this difficult point of view in much of his subsequent work.

Even the purely classical part of this paper has been very influential and has led to new results in parallel fields, such as complex analysis and number theory.

2. **Index Theorem**

One of Witten's best known ideas is that the index theorem for the Dirac operator on compact manifolds should emerge by a formally exact functional integral on the loop space. This idea (very much in the spirit of his Morse theory paper) stimulated an extensive development by Alvarez-Gaume, Getzler, Bismut and others which amply justified Witten's viewpoint.

3. **Rigidity Theorems**

Witten [7] produced an infinite sequence of such equations which arise naturally in the physics of string theories, for which the Feynman path integral provides a heuristic explanation of rigidity. As usual Witten's work, which was very precise and detailed in its formal aspects, stimulated great activity in this area, culminating in rigorous proofs of these new rigidity theorems by Bott and Taubes [1]. A noteworthy aspect of these proofs is that they involve elliptic function theory and deal with the infinite sequence of
operators simultaneously rather than term by term. This is entirely natural from Witten's view-point, based on the Feynman integral.

4. Knots

Witten has shown that the Jones invariants of knots can be interpreted as Feynman integrals for a 3-dimensional gauge theory [11]. As Lagrangian, Witten uses the Chern-Simons function, which is well-known in this subject but had previously been used as an addition to the standard Yang-Mills Lagrangian. Witten's theory is a major breakthrough, since it is the only intrinsically 3-dimensional interpretation of the Jones invariants: all previous definitions employ a presentation of a knot by a plane diagram or by a braid.

Although the Feynman integral is at present only a heuristic tool it does lead, in this case, to a rigorous development from the Hamiltonian point of view. Moreover, Witten's approach immediately shows how to extend the Jones theory from knots in the 3-sphere to knots in arbitrary 3-manifolds. This generalization (which includes as a specially interesting case the empty knot) had previously eluded all other efforts, and Witten's formulas have now been taken as a basis for a rigorous algorithmic definition, on general 3-manifolds, by Reshetikin and Turaev.

Now I turn to another beautiful result of Witten – proof of positivity of energy in Einstein's Theory of Gravitation.

Hamiltonian approach to this theory proposed by Dirac in the beginning of the fifties and developed further by many people has led to a natural definition of energy. In this approach a metric $\gamma$ and external curvature $h$ on a space-like initial surface $S^{(3)}$ embedded in space-time $M^{(4)}$ are used as parameters in the corresponding phase space. These data are not independent. They satisfy Gauss-Codazzi constraints – highly nonlinear PDE. The energy $H$ in the asymptotically flat case is given as an integral of indefinite quadratic form of $V\gamma$ and $h$. Thus it is not manifestly positive. The important statement that it is nevertheless positive may be proved only by taking into account the constraints – a formidable problem solved by Yau and Schoen in the late seventies and as Atiyah mentions, “leading in part to Yau's Fields Medal at the Warsaw Congress”.

Witten proposed an alternative expression for energy in terms of solution of a linear PDE with the coefficients expressed through $\gamma$ and $h$. This equation is

$$D^{(3)}\psi = 0$$

where $D^{(3)}$ is the Dirac operator induced on $S^{(3)}$ by the full Dirac operator on $M^{(4)}$. Witten's formula somewhat schematically can be written as follows:

$$H(\psi_0, \psi_0) = \int (|\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^* G \psi) dS$$

where $\psi_0$ is the asymptotic boundary value for $\psi$ and $G$ is proportional to the Einstein tensor $R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} R$. Due to the equation of motion $G = T$, where $T$ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter and thus manifestly positive. So the positivity of $H$ follows.

This unexpected and simple proof shows another ability of Witten – to solve a concrete difficult problem by specific elegant means.
On the Work of Edward Witten
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1. General

The past decade has seen a remarkable renaissance in the interaction between mathematics and physics. This has been mainly due to the increasingly sophisticated mathematical models employed by elementary particle physicists, and the consequent need to use the appropriate mathematical machinery. In particular, because of the strongly non-linear nature of the theories involved, topological ideas and methods have played a prominent part.

The mathematical community has benefited from this interaction in two ways. First, and more conventionally, mathematicians have been spurred into learning some of the relevant physics and collaborating with colleagues in theoretical physics. Second, and more surprisingly, many of the ideas emanating from physics have led to significant new insights in purely mathematical problems, and remarkable discoveries have been made in consequence. The main input from physics has come from quantum field theory. While the analytical foundations of quantum field theory have been intensively studied by mathematicians for many years the new stimulus has involved the more formal (algebraic, geometric, topological) aspects.

In all this large and exciting field, which involves many of the leading physicists and mathematicians in the world, Edward Witten stands out clearly as the most influential and dominating figure. Although he is definitely a physicist (as his list of publications clearly shows) his command of mathematics is rivalled by few mathematicians, and his ability to interpret physical ideas in mathematical form is quite unique. Time and again he has surprised the mathematical community by a brilliant application of physical insight leading to new and deep mathematical theorems.

Witten's output is remarkable both for its quantity and quality. His list of over 120 publications indicates the scope of his research and it should be noted that many of these papers are substantial works indeed.

In what follows I shall ignore the bulk of his publications, which deal with specifically physical topics. This will give a very one-sided view of his contribution, but it is the side which is relevant for the Fields Medal. Witten's standing as a physicist is for others to assess.
Let me begin by trying to describe some of Witten's more influential ideas and papers before moving on to describe three specific mathematical achievements.

2. Influential Papers

His paper [2] on supersymmetry and Morse theory is obligatory reading for geometers interested in understanding modern quantum field theory. It also contains a brilliant proof of the classic Morse inequalities, relating critical points to homology. The main point is that homology is defined via Hodge's harmonic forms and critical points enter via stationary phase approximation to quantum mechanics. Witten explains that "supersymmetric quantum mechanics" is just Hodge-de Rham theory. The real aim of the paper is however to prepare the ground for supersymmetric quantum field theory as the Hodge-de Rham theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds. It is a measure of Witten's mastery of the field that he has been able to make intelligent and skilful use of this difficult point of view in much of his subsequent work.

Even the purely classical part of this paper has been very influential and has led to new results in parallel fields, such as complex analysis and number theory. Many of Witten's papers deal with the topic of "Anomalies". This refers to classical symmetries or conservation laws which are violated at the quantum level. Their investigation is of fundamental importance for physical models and the mathematical aspects are also extremely interesting. The topic has been extensively written about (mainly by physicists) but Witten's contributions have been deep and incisive. For example, he pointed out and investigated "global" anomalies [3], which cannot be studied in the traditional perturbative manner. He also made the important observation that the $\eta$-invariant of Dirac operators (introduced by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer) is related to the adiabatic limit of a certain anomaly [4]. This was subsequently given a rigorous proof by Bismut and Freed.

One of Witten's best known ideas is that the index theorem for the Dirac operator on compact manifolds should emerge by a formally exact functional integral on the loop space. This idea (very much in the spirit of his Morse theory paper) stimulated an extensive development by Alvarez-Gaumé, Getzler, Bismut and others which amply justified Witten's viewpoint.

Also concerned with the Dirac operator is a beautiful joint paper with Vafa [5] which is remarkable for the fact that it produces sharp uniform bounds for eigenvalues by an essentially topological argument. For the Dirac operator on an odd-dimensional compact manifold, coupled to a background gauge potential, Witten and Vafa prove that there is a constant $C$ (depending on the metric, but independent of the potential) such that every interval of length $C$ contains an eigenvalue. This is not true for Laplace operators or in even dimensions, and is a very refined and unusual result.
3. The Positive Mass Conjecture

In General Relativity the positive mass conjecture asserts that (under appropriate hypotheses) the total energy of a gravitating system is positive and can only be zero for flat Minkowski space. It implies that Minkowski space is a stable ground state. The conjecture has attracted much attention over the years and was established in various special cases before being finally proved by Schoen and Yau in 1979. The proof involved non-linear P. D. E. through the use of minimal surfaces and was a major achievement (leading in part to Yau’s Fields Medal at the Warsaw Congress). It was therefore a considerable surprise when Witten outlined in [6] a much simpler proof of the positive mass conjecture based on linear P. D. E. Specifically Witten introduced spinors and studied the Dirac operator. His approach had its origin in some earlier ideas of supergravity and it is typical of Witten’s insight and technical skill that he eventually emerged with a simple and quite classical proof. Witten’s paper stimulated both mathematicians and physicists in various directions, demonstrating the fruitfulness of his ideas.

4. Rigidity Theorems

The space of solutions of an elliptic differential equation on a compact manifold is naturally acted on by any group of symmetries of the equation. All representations of compact connected Lie groups occur this way. However, for very special equations, these representations are trivial. Notably this happens for the spaces of harmonic forms, since these represent cohomology (which is homotopy invariant). A less obvious case arises from harmonic spinors (solutions of the Dirac equation), although the relevant space here is the “index” (virtual difference of solutions of $D$ and $D^*$). This was proved by Atiyah and Hirzebruch in 1970. Witten raised the question whether such “rigidity theorems” might be true for other equations of interest in mathematical physics, notably the Rarita-Schwinger equation. This stimulated Landweber and Stong to investigate the question topologically and eventually Witten [7] produced an infinite sequence of such equations which arise naturally in the physics of string theories, for which the Feynman path integral provides a heuristic explanation of rigidity. As usual Witten’s work, which was very precise and detailed in its formal aspects, stimulated great activity in this area, culminating in rigorous proofs of these new rigidity theorems by Bott and Taubes [1]. A noteworthy aspect of these proofs is that they involve elliptic function theory and deal with the infinite sequence of operators simultaneously rather than term by term. This is entirely natural from Witten’s view-point, based on the Feynman integral.

5. Topological Quantum Field Theories

One of the remarkable aspects of the Geometry/Physics interaction of recent years has been the impact of quantum field theory on low-dimensional geometry (of 2, 3 and 4 dimensions). Witten has systematized this whole area by showing
that there are, in these dimensions, interesting topological quantum field theories [8], [9], [10]. These theories have all the formal structure of quantum field theories but they are purely topological and have no dynamics (i.e. the Hamiltonian is zero). Typically the Hilbert spaces are finite-dimensional and various traces give well-defined invariants. For example, the Donaldson theory in 4 dimensions fits into this framework, showing how rich such structures can be.

A more recent example, and in some ways a more surprising one, is the theory of Vaughan Jones related to knot invariants, which has just been reported on by Joan Birman. Witten has shown that the Jones invariants of knots can be interpreted as Feynman integrals for a 3-dimensional gauge theory [11]. As Lagrangian, Witten uses the Chern-Simons function, which is well-known in this subject but had previously been used as an addition to the standard Yang-Mills Lagrangian. Witten’s theory is a major breakthrough, since it is the only intrinsically 3-dimensional interpretation of the Jones invariants: all previous definitions employ a presentation of a knot by a plane diagram or by a braid.

Although the Feynman integral is at present only a heuristic tool it does lead, in this case, to a rigorous development from the Hamiltonian point of view. Moreover, Witten’s approach immediately shows how to extend the Jones theory from knots in the 3-sphere to knots in arbitrary 3-manifolds. This generalization (which includes as a specially interesting case the empty knot) had previously eluded all other efforts, and Witten’s formulas have now been taken as a basis for a rigorous algorithmic definition, on general 3-manifolds, by Reshetikin and Turaev.

Moreover, Witten’s approach is extremely powerful and flexible, suggesting a number of important generalizations of the theory which are currently being studied and may prove to be important.

One of the most exciting recent developments in theoretical physics in the past year has been the theory of 2-dimensional quantum gravity. Remarkably this theory appears to have close relations with the topological quantum field theories that have been developed by Witten [12]. Detailed reports on these recent ideas will probably be presented by various speakers at this congress.

6. Conclusion

From this very brief summary of Witten’s achievements it should be clear that he has made a profound impact on contemporary mathematics. In his hands physics is once again providing a rich source of inspiration and insight in mathematics. Of course physical insight does not always lead to immediately rigorous mathematical proofs but it frequently leads one in the right direction, and technically correct proofs can then hopefully be found. This is the case with Witten’s work. So far his insight has never let him down and rigorous proofs, of the standard we mathematicians rightly expect, have always been forthcoming. There is therefore no doubt that contributions to mathematics of this order are fully worthy of a Fields Medal.
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Perhaps the most difficult and deepest field in computer science is the derivation of lower bounds for the computational complexity of various problems (i.e., proving that no algorithm whatsoever can solve the given problem within a certain time or space). While these questions are very natural extensions of classical problems on undecidability, they turn out much more difficult. Up to some years ago, very few results were known, and these gave very poor bounds and concerned very restricted models of computation. At the same time, some of the most exciting unsolved problems in theoretical computer science (like $P = \not= \mathcal{NP}$) are in this area; to prove those, one would need lower bounds on the running time which is exponential in the size of the input.

One conclusion drawn from the situation was that the methods of mathematical logic used in decidability theory are not powerful enough to yield negative results in complexity theory, and that combinatorial methods would be needed to obtain such results.

The idea of using combinatorial methods is suggested by the Boolean circuit model of computation. In this model, the computation is described by an acyclic directed graph, whose nodes (also called gates) correspond to elementary steps in the computation. Nodes with no edge entering them are called input gates, and the input of the computation is an assignment of a Boolean value (0 or 1) to each input gate. Every other gate computes some simple (Boolean) function of the values computed by the tails of edges entering it; this recursively defines a value of each gate. For simplicity, we shall assume that there is only one gate with no edge leaving; this serves as the output gate.

Every algorithm in other possible models (Turing machine, RAM machine etc.) can be transformed into a Boolean circuit (more exactly, into a series of Boolean circuits, one for each input size). The number of gates in the circuit corresponds to the running time of the algorithm. Another important parameter of the circuit is its depth, i.e., the maximum length of a path from an input node to an output node. This corresponds to the running time of the algorithm if parallel processing is allowed; in this case, the width (the maximum number of gates mutually inaccessible by paths) corresponds to the number of processors needed for this degree of parallelization.

The two simplest kinds of gates are AND gates and OR gates, computing the logical conjunction and disjunction of Boolean values, respectively. A Boolean circuit consisting of such gates can compute only monotone functions. If we
allow, however, that each input node receives either a variable or its negation, then every Boolean function can be computed using only AND and OR gates.

The famous $P \neq \mathcal{NP}$ problem would follow if one could show the following. For every $n \geq 2$, let $D_n$ be a Boolean circuit with AND and OR gates, whose input gates correspond to pairs $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Every input to such a circuit, i.e., every assignment of values 0 or 1 to the input gates, corresponds to a graph on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Assume that $D_n$ computes the value 1 if and only if this graph has a clique larger than $\sqrt{n}$ (or has a Hamiltonian circuit, or is 3-colorable; we could use here any $\mathcal{NP}$-complete property). Conjecture: the number of gates in $D_n$ is necessarily superpolynomial (perhaps exponential) in $n$.

While we are still far from being able to prove this, in the last few years combinatorial methods for proving good (exponential) lower bounds on the circuit complexity of various Boolean functions have been developed. These methods still impose restrictions on the computation model, but these restrictions are quite natural and much weaker than those considered previously. One class of results is for bounded-depth (or, more generally, small-depth) circuits. This development is the result of contributions from many authors (Furst–Saxe–Sipser 1981, Ajtai (1983), Yao (1985), Hastad (1986), Razborov (1987)). The other (mathematically perhaps even more difficult) class of results concerns monotone circuits; this restriction of the model was introduced by Valiant, and the breakthrough is due to Razborov (1985a, 1986). We start with describing this fundamental result.

While every monotone Boolean function can be computed by a monotone Boolean circuit, it can be expected that monotone circuits are less powerful than general circuits. So (as suggested by Valiant), exponential (or at least superpolynomial) lower bounds on the monotone circuit complexity of monotone problems in $\mathcal{NP}$ might be easier to prove, and at the same time they may eventually lead to exponential lower bounds for general circuits.

Razborov (1985a) justified part of this expectation by proving the following fundamental result.

**Theorem.** For deciding the existence of a clique with given size in a graph, no polynomial size monotone circuits exist.

This basic result and its powerful proof method have immediately inspired much further work. Andreev (1985) used similar techniques to obtain an exponential lower bound on a less natural $\mathcal{NP}$-complete problem. Alon and Boppana (1987), by strengthening the combinatorial arguments of Razborov, proved an exponential (not merely superpolynomial) lower bound on the monotone circuit complexity of the clique problem.

Razborov (1985b) proved that even the bipartite matching problem (decide whether a bipartite graph has a perfect matching, which is a special case of the clique problem) needs superpolynomial-size monotone circuits. This problem is solvable in polynomial time if we do not require monotonicity of the circuit; therefore this result separates monotone and non-monotone polynomial time. Such separation results are extremely rare. Tardos [T] used Razborov’s methods to prove the existence of a polynomial time computable monotone Boolean function which takes an exponential number of gates if we want to compute it by a monotone Boolean circuit.

The proof technique of Razborov is also very important: he introduces a very powerful new method, namely approximation. The method applies in many
situations, but to fill in the details, difficult special considerations are needed. We shall sketch the method below; it is, however, best described through another of its applications to the complexity of bounded-depth circuits.

Every Boolean function can be computed by a circuit with depth 2 (this follows from the existence of the “conjunctive normal form”), but circuits with small depth will typically have exponential size even for quite simple Boolean functions. The parity function (or XOR function) of \( n \) bits is defined as the sum of these bits modulo 2. It was proved by Hastad (1986) that a circuit with AND and OR gates, with \( n \) input bits and depth \( d \), computing the parity function of these bits, has at least \( \exp\left(0.1n^{1/(d-1)}\right) \) gates.

Razborov (1985b) showed that the approximation method can also be applied to prove lower bounds on the size of non-monotone but small-depth circuits. Among the really simple functions of \( n \) bits, besides AND, OR and XOR, the majority function comes to mind: the value of this function is 1 if at least half of the input bits are 1, and 0 otherwise. Razborov proved an exponential lower bound for the size of small-depth circuits computing the majority function. An interesting feature of the result is that he can even allow XOR gates, computing the mod 2 sum of arbitrarily many bits in a single step. (This shows the complementary nature of this result and Hastad’s.) To be exact, Razborov’s important result is the following:

**Theorem.** A circuit with AND, OR and XOR gates, with \( n \) input bits and depth \( d \), computing the majority function of these bits, has at least \( \exp\left(0.1n^{1/(d-1)}\right) \) gates.

This application of the approximation method is in fact much simpler, and it is a “textbook” example of a lower-bound proof combining algebraic, probabilistic, and combinatorial ideas.

To sketch the general idea, assume that we want to prove an exponential lower bound on the number of gates in a Boolean circuit computing some Boolean function \( f \). One introduces a notion of “distance” between Boolean functions and specifies a class of “simple” Boolean functions so that the distance of the function \( f \) from any “simple” function is exponentially large. Furthermore, one defines gates which “approximate” the original gates in the sense that if we replace an original gate by the approximating gate, the new circuit computes a Boolean function which is at a distance at most 1 from the original. These approximating gates must have the property that if all the original gates are replaced by their approximations, the new circuit will compute a “simple” function. So this new function is exponentially far from the original function \( f \), and hence, we must have changed exponentially many gates. In particular, the circuit must have exponentially many gates.

In the proof of the lower bound for small-depth circuits, “simple” functions will be those expressible as polynomials over GF(2) with small degree. We measure the distance of two functions in terms of the number of inputs on which they differ. An elegant argument shows that the majority function is “far” from every polynomial with low degree. Approximations are only needed for the AND gates; this is achieved by a nice randomized construction.

For proving his lower bound for the monotone complexity of the \( k \)-clique problem, Razborov has to use much more involved constructions, and the proofs are based on difficult combinatorial methods, in particular on the powerful method of “sunflowers” from hypergraph theory.
In a recent paper (1989), he analyses the approximation method and in a rather novel manner, is able to establish its boundaries. (This to me shows that this is indeed a "method" and not just a language to present various arguments.) He shows among others that for Boolean circuits without any restriction, this method can yield at most quadratic lower bounds (while, as we have seen, both for monotone and bounded-depth circuits it yields exponential lower bounds).

Razborov's work (of which here we discussed only one cluster of results) was received with great interest and discussed enthusiastically at seminars all around the world. In an area where any step forward seemed almost hopeless (but which was at the same time a central area of theoretical computer science) his results meant that deep methods could be developed and to obtain strong lower bounds for algorithms was not impossible.
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The concept of motive was introduced by A. Grothendieck. Roughly, one wants to think of smooth projective varieties over a field \( k \) as objects in an additive category \( \mathcal{V}(k) \) with morphisms \( X \to Y \) being correspondences (i.e., suitable equivalence classes of algebraic cycles on \( X \times Y \)). The category of motives, \( \mathcal{M}(k) \), is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear abelian (or weaker, Karoubian) category equipped with a tensor product, an invertible Tate twist functor \( \otimes \mathbb{Q}(1) : \mathcal{M}(k) \to \mathcal{M}(k) \), and a cohomology functor \( H^* : \mathcal{V}(k) \to \mathcal{M}(k) \) which is universal for cohomology functors like Betti, Hodge, or étale cohomology. Standard conjectures about algebraic cycles imply \( \mathcal{M}(k) \) is semi-simple, but these conjectures have proved quite intractable.

Inspired by work of Deligne on mixed Hodge structures, Beilinson started to study a more general abelian category of mixed motives \( \mathcal{MM}(k) \). The smallest full abelian subcategory of \( \mathcal{MM}(k) \) containing the Tate motives \( \mathbb{Q}(n) \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), and closed under extensions, would be the category \( \mathcal{MTM}(k) \) of mixed Tate motives. Objects in this category would have a canonical weight filtration with \( \mathbb{Q}(n) \) of weight \(-2n\), and the functor associating to an object \( M \) the corresponding \( \bigoplus (\text{gr}^{w}_{-2n} M)(-n) \) should be a fibre functor making \( \mathcal{MTM}(k) \) a neutral Tannakian category. In other words, automorphisms of the fibre functor compatible with tensor product form an algebraic group \( G_{(\mathcal{MTM}(k))} \) which, because it must preserve the weight filtration, is of the form \( \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{G}_m \) with \( \mathcal{N} \) nilpotent. The category \( \mathcal{MTM}(k) \) is equivalent to the category of representations over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of \( \mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{G}_m \). The graded Lie algebra

\[
\mathcal{L}(k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Lie}(\mathcal{N}), \quad \mathcal{L}(k) = \mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-2} \oplus \cdots
\]

is a fundamental invariant of \( k \). Beilinson further stipulated that \( \mathcal{MTM}(k) \) should be related to the Quillen K-theory of the field \( k \) via the basic formula

\[
\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{MTM}(k)}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \cong \text{gr}^w_{2n} K_{2n-i}(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
\]

where the grading is with respect to the \( w \)-filtration on \( K_*(k) \).

It seems likely this expected relation between motives and K-theory has a natural interpretation in terms of algebraic cycles. Although technical problems involving moving lemmas currently block any definitive results, I want to show that cycles can be used to define reasonable candidates for motivic cohomology and also for the Lie algebra associated to \( \mathcal{MTM}(k) \). The basic cycle-theoretic objects, the higher Chow groups \( \text{CH}^*(X, n) \) associated to a variety \( X \) and non-negative integers
and \( r \), are integrally defined (i.e. not \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector spaces). In §3 I exploit this to show how, in an arithmetic situation, these groups give natural generalizations of the global points of an abelian variety over a number field and of the corresponding group III. One is led to a general Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for motives. This conjecture has been proved by K. Kato [BK] for the case of the Riemann zeta function.

Everything I know about mixed motives I learned from Beilinson and Deligne. I am grateful to M. Hanamura for many helpful conversations about these ideas. A. Suslin pointed out an error in the proof of the moving lemma in [Bl1]. I have another proof, but it is too complicated to inspire confidence at this point, so I prefer to formulate the relation between \( K \)-theory and higher Chow groups conditionally. Results about a spectral sequence relating higher Chow groups and \( K \)-theory are joint work with S. Lichtenbaum. Finally, the arithmetic conjectures in the last section were inspired by joint work with K. Kato on Tamagawa numbers of motives [BK]. Over the years, he has graciously shared credit with me for any number of his ideas, including a number of those discussed in §3.

1. Higher Chow Groups

Let \( X \) be a variety over a field \( k \). A cosimplicial scheme is built from affine spaces \( A_n = \text{Spec}(k[t_0, \ldots, t_n]/(\sum t_i - 1)) \) with face maps \( A_{n-1} \hookrightarrow A_n \) defined by \( t_i = 0 \) and degeneracies \( t_i \mapsto t_i + t_{i+1} \). For any \( r \geq 0 \) and any algebraic \( k \)-scheme \( X \), a simplicial abelian group \( \mathcal{E}^r(X, \cdot) \) is defined by taking \( \mathcal{E}^r(X, n) \) to be the free abelian group generated by irreducible subvarieties \( \mathcal{F} \subset X \times A_n \) of codimension \( r \) meeting all faces of codimension > 1 properly. The boundary maps \( d_i : \mathcal{E}^r(X, n) \to \mathcal{E}^r(X, n-1) \) are given by restriction to the \( i \)-th face. One defines the higher Chow groups

\[
\text{CH}^r(X, n) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \pi_n(\mathcal{E}^r(X, \cdot)) \cong H_n(\mathcal{E}^r(X, \cdot)).
\]

In particular, one checks easily \( \text{CH}^r(X, 0) \) is the classical Chow group, and \( \text{CH}^1(X, 1) \cong I(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \) for \( X \) regular.

**Conjecture (1.2) (Moving Lemma for Cycles).** Let \( U \subset X \) be Zariski open. Write \( \mathcal{E}^r(U, \cdot)' = \text{Image}[\mathcal{E}^r(X, \cdot) \to \mathcal{E}^r(U, \cdot)] \). Then for all \( r, X, \) and \( U \), the inclusion \( \mathcal{E}^r(U, \cdot)' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^r(U, \cdot) \) is a quasi-isomorphism.

Conjecture (1.2) would immediately yield a long exact localization sequence for higher Chow groups. With more work, one gets:

**Theorem (1.3) [Bl1].** Assume the moving lemma for cycles holds. Then there are isomorphisms

\[
\text{CH}^r(X, n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{gr}_r K'_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},
\]

where \( K' \) is the \( K \)-theory of coherent sheaves, and \( \text{gr}_r \) is the \( \gamma \)-grading.

Let \( T \) be a smooth \( k \)-variety. Let \( D_1, \ldots, D_m \) be smooth divisors on \( T \), and assume all intersections of the \( D_i \) are transversal. The multi-relative \( K \)-theory \( K_*(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \) is defined via a homotopy fibre construction. One has long exact
sequences
\[ K_\ast(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \to K_\ast(T; D_1, \ldots, D_{m-1}) \to K_\ast(D_m; D_1 \cap D_m, \ldots, D_{m-1} \cap D_m) \]
\[ \to K_{\ast-1}(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \to \cdots \]
\[ \to K_0(D_m; D_1 \cap D_m, \ldots, D_{m-1} \cap D_m). \]

For \( W \subseteq T \) closed, one defines \( K_*^W(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \) again by a homotopy fibre so there are long exact sequences
\[ K_*^W(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \to K_*^W(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \to K_*^W(T - W; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \]
\[ \to K_{\ast-1}^W(T; D_1, \ldots, D_m) \to \cdots \to K_0(T - W; D_1, \ldots, D_m). \]

**Conjecture (1.4) (Moving Lemma for Relative \( K_0 \)).** Let \( X \) be a smooth \( k \)-variety. Write \( \delta_j = X \times A_{n-1} \subseteq X \times A_n \) for the codimension 1 faces. Let \( \mathcal{V}_j \subseteq X \times A_n \) be the union of all algebraic subsets of dimension \( i \) meeting all faces \( \bigcap \delta_j \) of codimension \( \geq 1 \) properly. Let \( W \subseteq \mathcal{V}_j \) be an algebraic set of dimension \( \leq i - 1 \). Then the map
\[ K_0, W - \mathcal{V}_{i-1} \cap W(X \times A_n - \mathcal{V}_{i-1}; \delta_0, \ldots, \delta_n) \to K_0, \mathcal{V}_i - \mathcal{V}_{i-1}(X \times A_n - \mathcal{V}_{i-1}; \delta_0, \ldots, \delta_n) \]
is zero.

Intuitively, this conjecture says a class in \( K_0 \) supported on \( W - W \cap \mathcal{V}_{i-1} \) can be moved on \( \mathcal{V}_i \) to a class supported on \( \mathcal{V}_{i-1} \).

**Theorem 1.5 (with S. Lichtenbaum).** Let \( X \) be a smooth \( k \)-variety, and assume the moving lemma for relative \( K_0 \) holds for \( X \) and all \( n, i > 0 \). Then there is a spectral sequence
\[ E_2^{p, q} = \text{CH}^{-q}(X, -p - q) \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}(X). \] (1.5.1)

This should be compared with the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence in topological K-theory. Unlike the localization spectral sequence in algebraic K-theory, (1.5.1) may be non-trivial for \( X = \text{Spec}(k) \). Viewing \( \mathcal{H}^r(X, \cdot) \) as a complex in negative degrees, one may conjecture the complex
\[ \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{H}^r(X, \cdot)[-2r] \] (1.6)
satisfies the axioms formulated by Beilinson and Lichtenbaum. The spectral sequence can be rewritten
\[ E_2^{p, -q} = H^{p+q}(\Gamma(q)) \Rightarrow K_q(X). \] (1.7)

### 2. Motives

The philosophy is something like this. Associated to a smooth variety \( X \) there should be a Tannakian category \( \mathcal{M} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M}(X) \) of mixed Tate motives, with a fibre functor \( M \mapsto H^0(\bigoplus W^m R_{\text{Betti}}(M)(n)), \) where \( R_{\text{Betti}}(M) \) is a Tate variation of Hodge structure on \( X \), the Betti realization of \( M \). There should be a space \( \text{Mot}(X) \) with \( \pi_1(\text{Mot}(X))^\wedge \cong \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M}(X)) \) (where "^\wedge" means nilpotent completion) so mixed
Tate motives (e.g. the $\mathbb{Q}(n)$) can be thought of as $\mathbb{Q}$-local systems on $\text{Mot}(X)$. Indeed, one might hope for natural $\mathbb{Z}$-local systems $\mathbb{Z}(n)$. Moreover one expects isomorphisms in the derived category

$$
\Gamma(r)^* \cong R\Gamma(\text{Mot}(X), \mathbb{Z}(r)),
$$

and hence

$$
\text{CH}^r(X, p) \cong H^{2r-p}(\text{Mot}(X), \mathbb{Z}(r)).
$$

Let $\text{Grass}_{X, n+p, n}$ denote the Grassmannian of rank $n$ quotients of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n+p}$. By analogy with the work of Dwyer and Friedlander [DF], one might hope to identify the $K$-theory $K^*_*(X)$ with the homotopy of the space of sections

$$
\pi_*\left[ \lim \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Hom}(\text{Mot}(X), \text{Mot}(\text{Grass}_{X, n+p, n})) \right].
$$

In [op. cit.] the $l$-adic étale homotopy groups of the fibre are calculated:

$$
\pi_i\left[ \lim \lim \text{fibre}(\text{Grass}_{X, n+p, n, \text{ét}} \to X_{\text{ét}}) \right] \cong \begin{cases} 
0 & i = 2j + 1 \\
\mathbb{Z}(j) & i = 2j.
\end{cases}
$$

The homotopy groups of the fibre $\lim \lim \text{Mot}(\text{Grass}_{X, n+p, n}) \to \text{Mot}(X)$, viewed as local systems on the base should, by the same token, be 0 in odd degrees and $\mathbb{Z}(j)$ in degree $2j$. The usual spectral sequence in topology converging to the homotopy groups of the space of sections of a fibration

$$
E_2^{p,-q} = H^p(\text{Base}, \pi_q(\text{Fibre})) \Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}(\text{Space of Sections})
$$

would give

$$
E_2^{p,-q} = H^p(\text{Mot}(X), \mathbb{Z}(q/2)) \Rightarrow K_{q-p}(X)
$$

where by convention $\mathbb{Z}(q/2) = (0)$ for $q$ odd. Note that all even differentials $d_{2n}$ are necessarily 0. Using this it is straightforward to check that the spectral sequences (1.7) and (2.5) are at least potentially in agreement up to renumbering (décalage).

Of course, all this is completely speculative. One can, however, make a start at understanding the space $\text{Mot}(X)$. For $X = \text{Spec}(F)$ a field, one expects $\text{Mot}(X) = \text{Mot}(F)$ to be a $K(\pi, 1)$ with $\pi^\wedge = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{MFM}(F))$. (Note this should not be the general case. Indeed, for $X$ a flag variety over $F$, $\mathcal{MFM}(X)$ should equal $\mathcal{MFM}(F)$, but the motivic cohomology groups

$$
gr^n_{2n-i}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq gr^n_{2n-i}(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Beilinson and Deligne have made progress in writing down what should be the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(F)$ (0.1). I want to propose a candidate $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}(F)$ for $\mathcal{L}(F)$ constructed using algebraic cycles.

Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field. Write $X = \mathbb{P}^1_F - \{1\}$, $X^s = X \times \cdots \times X$ ($s$ times). Faces on $X^s$ are obtained by setting various coordinate functions = 0 or $\infty$. The symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_s$ acts on $X^s$. Let $\mathcal{V}(r)$ denote the group of codimension $r$ algebraic cycles with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients on $X^s$ which meet all faces properly and which are alternating with respect to the action of $\mathcal{S}_s$. Define
\[ \partial = \sum (-1)^{i-1}(\partial_i^0 - \partial_i^n), \]  

(2.7)

where \( \partial_i \) is the restriction map on cycles obtained by setting the \( i \)-th coordinate function \( = j \). The map \( \partial \) preserves alternating representations so we have \( \partial : \mathcal{V}^r(s) \to \mathcal{V}^r(s - 1) \).

Define a graded group \( N^i = \mathbb{P}_r \mathcal{V}^r(2r - i) \) and a complex \( N^r \) which is \( N^i \) in degree \( i \) with boundary \( \partial : N^i \to N^{i+1} \) induced by \( \partial \) on \( \mathcal{V}^r(s) \). One has a product structure \( \mathcal{V}^r(s) \times \mathcal{V}^r(u) \to \mathcal{V}^{r+1}(s + u) \) given by obvious (external) product of cycles composed with projection on the alternating representation. This induces a graded product structure \( N^i \times N^j \to N^{i+j} \). With this product, \( N^* \) is a DGA (i.e. associative and graded commutative with the usual identities for \( \partial \)). Note \( N^i \neq (0) \) for \( i < 0 \).

Define \( N^{0+} = \text{Ker}(N^0 \to \mathcal{V}^0(0)) \). Let \( J = [\bigoplus_{i \leq -1} N^i \oplus N^{0+}]\cdot N^* \). The ideal \( J \) is graded but not stable under \( \partial \). Let \( J \) be the differential graded ideal generated by \( J \). It is generated as an ideal by \( \bigoplus_{i \leq -1} N^i \oplus N^{0+} \oplus \partial N^{0+} \) because \( \mathcal{V}^0(p) = (0) \) for \( p \neq 0 \) so \( \partial N^{-1} \subset N^{0+} \). One shows for \( F \) algebraically closed and \( i > 0 \),

\[ \bigwedge^i(N^*/J)^1 \subset (N^*/J)^1. \]  

Writing

\[ \mathcal{R} = \{ x \in (N^*/J)^1 | \partial x \in \bigwedge^2 (N^*/J)^1 \}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2 \oplus \cdots \]  

(2.9)

one proves

\[ \partial \mathcal{R} \subset \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{R} \subset \bigwedge^2 (N^*/J)^1. \]  

(2.10)

As a consequence, one has a complex

\[ \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{R} \to \cdots. \]  

(2.11)

Define \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}(F) \) to be the pro-vector space dual to \( \mathcal{R} \), i.e. write \( \mathcal{R} = \lim \mathcal{R}_a \) with \( \mathcal{R}_a \) finite dimensional and take \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle},a} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_{\text{cycle},a}, \mathbb{Q}) \), \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}(F) = \lim \mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle},a} \). The graded pro-Lie algebra \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}(F) \) is zero in non-negative degrees.

A (mixed Tate) motive on \( \text{Spec}(F) \) is a finite dimensional graded \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( V \) with a representation (compatible with gradings) \( \mathcal{L}(F) \to \text{End}(V) \). This leads to

**Conjecture (2.12).** A (mixed Tate) motive on Spec\((F)\) is an algebraic cycle with coefficients in \( \text{End}(V), Z \in \mathcal{R} \otimes \text{End}(V) \) which is of degree 0 for the tensor product grading, and which satisfies \( \partial Z = [Z, Z] \).

By way of example, I want to describe the polylogarithm motives as cycles. (This discussion is modeled on Deligne’s interpretation of a conjecture of Zagier on the higher \( K \)-theory of number fields [D1].) Write \( \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus \mathcal{R}_r \) with \( \mathcal{R}_r \subset \mathcal{V}^r(2r - 1)/ \) (relations). One checks that

\[ \mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{V}^{-1}(1)/(\text{relations}) \cong F^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \]  

(2.13)

Define a map

\[ \varrho_r : \mathbb{Z}[F - \{0, 1\}] \to \mathcal{R}_r \]  

(2.14)
as follows: take

\[ q_1(a) = (1 - a) \in B_1 \cong F^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

For \( r \geq 2 \), let \( T_0, \ldots, T_{r-1} \) be homogeneous coordinates on \( \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \). For \( a \in F - \{0, 1\} \), let \( V_a \subset X^{2r-1} \) be the subvariety described parametrically by

\[ V_a = \{ (aT_{r-2}/T_{r-1}, \ldots, aT_0/T_{r-1}, (T_0 - T_1)/T_0, (T_1 - T_2)/T_1, \ldots, (T_{r-2} - T_{r-1})/T_{r-2}, (T_{r-1} - aT_0)/T_{r-1}) \}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

One checks that \( V_a \) meets faces properly. Define

\[ q_r(a) = \text{alt}(V_a) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

where \( \text{alt} \) is the projection on the alternating representation of \( B_{2r-1} \). (The cycle \( q_2(a) \) was found by Totaro \([T]\).) One verifies for \( r \geq 2 \)

\[ \partial q_r(a) = -(a) \cdot q_{r-1}(a) = q_{r-1}(a) \cdot (a). \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

Define \( \mathcal{R}_{\log, r} = \text{Image}(q_r) \). It follows that \( \mathcal{R}_{\log} \subset \mathcal{R} \) is a graded sub-co-Lie algebra, with dual graded Lie algebra \( \mathcal{L}_{\log} \) a quotient of \( \mathcal{L}_\text{cycle} \).

Let \( N \geq 2 \) be an integer. The \( N \)-polylog motive, \( M_N \), should be viewed as an object in \( \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}) \). We consider its specialization \( M_{N, z} \) at some \( F \)-point \( z \in \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \). Define (following Deligne \([\text{op. cit.}]\)) \( (N + 1) \times (N + 1) \) matrices (viewed as acting on \( \mathbb{Q}^{[0,N]} \)):

\[ e_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

Then \( M_{N, z} \in \mathcal{R}_{\log} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{Q}^{[0,N]}) \) should be associated to an algebraic cycle \( Z_z \). Taking

\[ Z_z = -(z) \otimes e_0 - \sum_{r=1}^{N} q_r(z) \otimes \text{ad}(e_0)^{r-1}(e_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

yields

\[ \partial Z_z = [Z_z, Z_z] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

so the resulting map \( \mathcal{L}_\text{cycle} \to \mathcal{L}_{\log} \to \text{End}(\mathbb{Q}^{[0,N]}) \) is a representation.

Of course much work remains to be done, for example, to spread out the construction to define \( \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}(T) \) for a variety \( T \) over a field. It seems reasonable to expect a construction analogous to (2.12), applied to sums of cycles of codimension \( r_i \) on \( T \times X^{2r_i-1} \) which are equidimensional over \( T \), should yield objects in \( \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}(T) \).
3. Arithmetic

As suggested above, assuming the moving Conjecture (1.2), the higher Chow groups of a variety over a field can be thought of as giving an integral structure for motivic cohomology. In [BK], we define a Tamagawa number for motives, and we use this to give an integral version of the Beilinson conjectures relating values of a regulator map on rational motivic cohomology with values of $L$-functions. Our formulation only involved motivic cohomology $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$, but availability of integral motivic cohomology suggests a natural interpretation for the group $III$ appearing in the Tamagawa volume formula. I will outline these ideas briefly. In [op. cit.], the local constructions are completely proven and do not depend on any conjectures. This forces us to work with an integral model of our variety. In what follows I will indicate (following the brief discussion at the end of § 5 of op. cit.) what is needed to formulate a conjecture depending only on the variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a general variety $X$, this formulation involves a number of other “standard” conjectures about étale cohomology, $L$-functions, and $K$-theory. However, for varieties like curves and rational surfaces the necessary conditions can be verified directly.

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. We think of $\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n) \cong H^n(\text{Mot}(X), \mathbb{Z}(r))$. It is suggestive (although I do not know how precise) to think of the motive $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ as the “local system” on $\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}))$ given by $H^n(\text{Fibre}[\text{Mot}(X) \to \text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}))], \mathbb{Z})(r)$. Assuming $2r > n$, this local system would have “weights” $< 0$ and hence no non-zero sections, so one would get

$$\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}))}(\mathbb{Z}, H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))).$$

(3.1)

In the case $2r = n$,

$$\text{CH}^r(X)_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \ker[\text{CH}^r(X) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}))}(\mathbb{Z}, H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)))]$$

$$\to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}))}(\mathbb{Z}, H^{2r-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))).$$

(3.2)

One hopes that (3.1) and (3.2) are isomorphisms.

Next one wants to understand $\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)))$ for $p < \infty$. We assume henceforth that $n - 2r \leq -1$, so the motive

$$M \overset{\text{def}}{=} H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

has weight $n - 1 - 2r \leq -2$.

Let $\text{MHS}/\mathbb{R}$ denote the category of mixed Hodge structures defined over $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. endowed with an $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation $F$. Following [D3], one expects

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong \text{Ext}^1_{\text{MHS}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}, H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)))$$

$$\cong [H_{\mathbb{B}}^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C}), \{H_{\mathbb{B}}^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) + F^r H_{\mathbb{B}}^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})\}]^+.$$  

(3.3)

Note that this is a Lie group.

The situation at the non-archimedean primes is more delicate. One wants

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \subset H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p), M(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})).$$

(3.4)

where $M(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) = H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(r))$. There are defined [op. cit.] subgroups
If elements in \( H^1 \) are viewed as extensions, the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) part \((l \neq p)\) of \( H^1 \) (resp. \( H^1_\mathbb{Q} \)) coincides with extensions which split after tensoring with \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) and restricting to the maximal unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) (resp. all extensions). The \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) part of \( H^1_\mathbb{Q} \) (resp. \( H^1_\mathbb{Q} \)) are crystalline (resp. de Rham) extensions, i.e. those which split when tensored with the Fontaine rings \( B_{cris} \) (resp. \( B_{DR} \)). In [op. cit.] the main object of study is \( H^1_\mathbb{Q} \), which should be thought of as \( Ext^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p))} \). One knows the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) part of \( H^1_\mathbb{Q}/H^1_\mathbb{Q} \) is torsion free of rank equal to the rank of the \( \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \)-space of coinvariants for frobenius acting on \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}/l) \), where \( I_q = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}) \) is the inertia group (and there is a similar interpretation of the \( p \)-part in terms of \( Crys(M(\mathbb{Q}_p)/l) \), cf. op. cit.) Standard conjectures say that these ranks are all equal and coincide with the order of vanishing of the local factor of the dual \( L \)-function \( L_p(M, s) \) at \( s = 0 \), i.e. with the rank of \( H_{2d-n+1,et}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r-1-d)) \), where \( d = \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \). What one expects is that the cycle class map \( [B12] \)

\[
\text{CH}^i(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, 2r-n) \to H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p), M(\mathbb{Z}_p))
\]

has image \( H^1_\mathbb{Q} \) and maps \( \text{CH}^i(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, 2r-n) \to H^1_\mathbb{Q} \). Moreover, one has a chern class map \( \text{gr}^{r-1} K_{2r-n}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes \bar{Q}_l) \to H_{2d-n+1,et}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r-1-d)) \) which by Jannsen's generalization of Tate's conjecture \([J]\) should be an isomorphism. Putting these ideas together with localization yields (conjecturally)

\[
\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \to \mathbb{Q}_p^*.
\]

so \( \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \) can be thought of as a topological group containing \( \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) \) as an open compact subgroup. In the special case \( M = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \), \( n = r = 1 \) one sees from op. cit. Example 3.9 that \( H^1_g \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), \( H^1_f \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^* \otimes \bar{Q}_l \) and \( N \) is embedded in \( H^1_g/H^1_f \) in the natural way, so \( \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M(1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^* \).

It will be convenient to write

\[
B(\mathbb{Z}_p) = H^1_f = \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M) ; \ B(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\mathbb{Z}, M);
\]

\[
B(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Mot} (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}))}(\mathbb{Z}, M).
\]

One of the main results in op. cit. was to endow the groups (compact for \( p < \infty \)) \( B(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) and \( B(\mathbb{R}) \) with Haar measures \( \omega_p \) (depending on a choice of trivialization of \( \text{det}(H_{DR}(\mathbb{X}/\mathbb{Q})/F^*) \)). We extend the \( \omega_p \) to measures on the locally compact groups \( B(\mathbb{Q}_p) \), \( p \leq \infty \). Writing \( L(M, s) = \prod L_p(M, s) \) for the \( L \)-function associated to \( M \), one has for almost all \( p \),

\[
\omega_p(B(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = L_p(M, 0)^{-1}.
\]

The resulting measure \( \mu \) on

\[
B(A_q) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} B(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ (restricted direct product with respect to } B(\mathbb{Z}_p))
\]

\[\text{(3.11)}\]
is independent of the choice of trivialization. Note that this measure converges (i.e. gives finite non-zero measure to the compact set $\prod B(\mathbb{Z}_p)$) when $n - 2r \leq -2$. In general, it is necessary to assume meromorphic continuation of the $L$-function, define $\omega_p = \omega_p \cdot L_p(M, 0)$ for all $p$ outside a finite set $S$ of bad primes ($\omega_p = \omega_p$ for $p \in S$), and then define

$$\mu = \mu'/L_S(M, 0)$$

(3.12)

where $L_S$ is the partial $L$-function with $p \in S$ omitted.

Assume $n - 1 - 2r \leq -3$. The Tamagawa number conjecture, as formulated in op. cit., reads

$$\mu(B(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})/B(\mathbb{Q})) = \# H^0(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), M^*(1)(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))/\# \tilde{H}(M)$$

(3.13)

where

$$B(\mathbb{Q}) = \{x \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), M(\mathbb{Z}))|x \otimes \mathbb{Q} \in \text{image}[\text{gr}^r K_{2r-n}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\to H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), M(\mathbb{Q}))]; \tilde{H} = \text{Ker}\left[\frac{H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), M(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))}{B(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \to \bigoplus_{p \leq \infty} \frac{H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), M(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))}{B(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}\right].$$

(3.14)

Construction (3.15). Assume $n - 1 - 2r \leq -2$.

(i) There is a natural map

$$\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n) \to B(\mathbb{Q}).$$

(3.15.1)

(ii) Assume moreover $H^{n-1}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion. Then there is a natural map

$$\text{III}(\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)) \to \tilde{H}(M).$$

(3.15.2)

Here, of course,

$$\text{III}(\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}(\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)$$

$$\to \prod_{p \leq \infty} \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)).$$

(3.15.3)

The arrow in (3.15.1) is the cycle class $[B_12]$. The first step in constructing the map (3.15.2) is to consider the exact sequence (with $\mathcal{Z}^r(X, m)$ as in § 1)

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{Z}^r(X, 2r - n) \to \mathcal{Z}^r(X, 2r - n) \overset{\partial}{\to} B \mathcal{Z}^r(X, 2r - n - 1) \to 0.$$ 

(3.15.4)

Taking galois cohomology yields a map

$$\text{Ker}[\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1) \to \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)]$$

$$\to H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathcal{Z}^r(X, 2r - n))$$

$$\to H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n))$$

(3.15.5)

and hence a map

$$\text{III}(\text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n - 1)) \to \text{III}(H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - n))).$$

(3.15.6)
The rest of the argument is more complicated, and will not be given in detail. Note, however, that in many cases of interest (e.g. \( r = n = 2 \) and \( X \) a curve; or \( r = 2, n = 3 \), and \( X \) a surface over \( \mathbb{Q} \) which is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational; or \( r = 2, n = 1 \), and \( X = \text{Spec}(K) \) for \( K \) a number field) one has an exact sequence (3.15.7)

\[
0 \to H^{n-1}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(r)) \to \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n) \to \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to 0. \tag{3.15.7}
\]

In these cases, a straightforward diagram chase gives

\[
\text{III}(H^{1}(\text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q), \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n))) \to \text{III}(M). \tag{3.15.8}
\]

**Conjecture (3.16).** The map (3.15.1) is surjective, and identifies \( B(Q) \) with the image of \( \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n) \to \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n) \). The map (3.15.2) is an isomorphism \( \text{III}(\mathrm{CH}^{r}(X_{\overline{Q}}, 2r - n - 1)) \cong \text{III}(M) \).

The author attaches particular importance to the possibility of giving a geometric interpretation of \( \text{III}(M) \) via the higher Chow groups.

**Example (3.17).** Using an important result of Suslin [Su]

\[
\mathrm{CH}^{r}(K, r) \cong K^{\text{Milnor}}(K) \tag{3.17.1}
\]

for any field \( K \), and assuming the moving Conjecture (1.2), one gets a localization sequence for any curve

\[
K_{2}(k(C)) \to \prod_{p \in C} k(P)^{\ast} \to \mathrm{CH}^{2}(C, 1) \to 0, \tag{3.17.2}
\]

whence \( \mathrm{CH}^{2}(C, 1) \cong SK_{1}(C) \). Let \( X \) be a smooth surface, and let \( \mathcal{S} \subset X \) be the union of all curves in \( X \). Repeating the above localization argument yields

\[
K_{2}(k(X)) \to SK^{1}_{1}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{CH}^{2}(X, 1) \to 0, \tag{3.17.3}
\]

so \( \mathrm{CH}^{2}(X, 1) \cong H^{1}(X, K_{2}) \) (Zariski cohomology of the sheaf \( K_{2}[\mathcal{Q}] \)).

Assume now that \( X \) is a surface over \( \mathbb{Q} \) which is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational, it follows [CT] that for any number field \( K \),

\[
\mathrm{CH}^{2}(X_{K}, 1) \cong T(K), \tag{3.17.4}
\]

where \( T \) is the algebraic torus with character group the \( \text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q) \)-module \( \text{NS}(X_{\overline{Q}}) \)

\((-\text{Néron-Severi group of divisors on } X_{\overline{Q}}.) \) In this case

\[
M(\hat{Z}) = H^{2}_{et}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \hat{Z}(2)) \cong \text{NS}(X_{\overline{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1) \tag{3.17.4}
\]

and it is not hard to show

\[
\text{III}(M) \cong \text{III}(T) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \ker[H^{1}(\text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q), T(\bar{Q})) \to \prod_{p} H^{1}(\text{Gal}(\overline{Q}_{p}/Q_{p}), T(\overline{Q}_{p}))]. \tag{3.17.5}
\]

The Tamagawa number conjecture (3.13) (suitably modified because here \( n - 1 - 2r = -2 \)) follows in this case from work of Ono [O].
One knows in general for a rational surface that there is an inclusion
\[ \text{Ker}(\text{CH}^2(X_Q) \to \text{CH}^2(X_{\overline{Q}})) \subseteq H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q), T(\overline{Q})) \] [CT]. (3.17.6)

If we assume in addition that \( X \) admits a rational pencil of genus 0 curves, a beautiful theorem of Salberger [Sa] says that this inclusion induces an isomorphism
\[ \text{IIICH}^2(X_Q, 0) = \text{IIICH}^2(X_{\overline{Q}}) \cong \text{III}(T). \] (3.17.7)

**Exercise (3.18).** Show that for \( F \) a number field, \( \text{IIICH}^2(\text{Spec}(F), 2) \) coincides with the "wild kernel"
\[ \text{Ker}(K_2(F) \to \prod K_2(F_v)), \] (3.18.1)
where \( v \) runs through all places of \( F \), and \( F_v \) denotes the completion of \( F \) at \( v \). Show the Tamagawa number conjecture for \( \zeta_F(2) \) is compatible with Lichtenbaum’s conjecture.
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Abstract. The customary identification of feasible with polytime is discussed. Next, higher type functions are presented as a way of giving computational meaning to theorems. In particular, if a theorem has a “feasibly constructive” proof, the associated functions should be polytime. However examples are given to illustrate the difficulty of capturing the notion of polytime for higher type functions. Finally, König's Lemma is used to illustrate a theorem whose computational meaning is naturally expressed by functions of type level 3.

1. Traditional Complexity and Polytime

The title of Alan Cobham’s 1964 lecture [Cob] “The intrinsic computational difficulty of functions” expresses well the subject matter of complexity theory. A major subgoal of the theory is to identify and study the so-called feasible functions. It has become customary to identify the notion of feasible with that of polynomial time computable (polytime, for short). In this section we will examine this identification.

In defining polytime, it is important to emphasize that the parameter used is always the length \( |x| \) of the input number \( x \), where \( |x| = \left\lfloor \log_2(x + 1) \right\rfloor \) = the number of bits in the binary notation for \( x \).

**Definition 1.** \( f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \) is polytime iff there is a Turing machine \( M \) and a number \( k \) such that for all \( x \in \mathbb{N} \), \( M \) on input \( x \) computes \( f(x) \) within \( O(|x|^k) \) steps.

The class of polytime functions is very robust. Thus, for example, “Turing machine” in the above definition can be replaced by any reasonable abstraction of a computer, and the class remains unchanged.

The equation feasible = polytime needs interpretation before it can be justified. It is not literally true, since the function \( f(x) = 2^{|x|^{1000}} \) is polytime but is not computationally feasible in any sense. (We assume that radix notation is used for
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numbers.) Thus we must restrict attention to functions arising from "natural" computational problems.

**Convention 2.** NAT is the class of functions arising from natural computational problems.

A problem whose description requires mention of a large exponent such as 1000 is considered contrived, and not natural.

For the sake of argument here, we identify "feasible" with "practically solvable" in the following sense:

**Convention 3.** PracSolv is the class of functions $f$ which can be computed by some actual computer on all inputs of 10,000 bits or less.

Note that a practical program for computing $f$ need not be known. We only require that one exists. The Convention 3 is not as technology dependent as it may appear. There are physical limits on the power of any conceivable actual computer, as illustrated by the examples below.

The equation feasible = polytime can now be replaced by a somewhat more precise statement.

**Thesis 4.** PracSolv $\cap$ NAT = polytime $\cap$ NAT.

There are countless examples arising from computing theory and practice which tend to support this thesis. To give just a few, the functions $f(x) = x^2$, $f(x, y) = \gcd(x, y)$, and $f(x) = \lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil$ all lie clearly in both the left side and right side of 4. On the other hand, the function $f(x) = 2^x$ lies clearly outside both the left side and right side of 4. This function is not in PracSolv, because when $x$ has length 1000 bits, $f(x)$ has length about $2^{1000}$ bits, which cannot be physically written using all the atoms on Earth to express bits.

There are also 0-1 valued functions in NAT which are clearly outside both sides of Thesis 4. For instance, let $f$ represent truth in WSIS (the weak second order theory of one successor). That is, $f(x) = 1$ if $x$ codes (say using ASCII conventions) a true formula in WS1S, and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $f$ is computable, but provably not polytime [Mey]. Furthermore Stockmeyer [Sto] proved that any Boolean circuit that correctly decides the truth of an arbitrary WS1S formula of length 616 symbols must have more than $10^{123}$ gates. Thus any conceivable actual computer that is correctly programmed to solve an arbitrary such instance would take until the sun burns out to solve at least one of them.

There are many natural problems whose status with respect to both sides of Thesis 4 is questionable. Among these are the 300 or so "NP complete" problems listed in the book by Garey and Johnson [GJ]. None of these is known to be polytime and none is known to be in PracSolv. If any one of these problems is polytime, then provably they all are (i.e. $P = NP$). It is conceivable that one (and therefore all) are polytime, but nevertheless the satisfiability problem has no practical algorithm. This would refute Thesis 4. Unless and until this unlikely
situation is demonstrated, however, the assumption \( P \neq NP \) together with the thesis 4 provides a very useful orientation for programmers in the field. Anyone who is trying to write a program for a problem and discovers the problem is \( NP \) complete would be well advised to change goals and work on a more feasible version of the problem.

There are other potential candidates for refuting Thesis 4, and it is instructive to consider some of these.

**CANDIDATES FOR** \((\text{polytime } \cap \text{NAT}) - (\text{PracSolv } \cap \text{NAT})\)

**A) Factoring in \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \)**

Lenstra, Lenstra and Lovasz [LLL] were the first to prove that factoring univariate polynomials over the rationals can be performed in polytime. The original runtime proved for their algorithm was \( O(n^{12}) \), and it did not appear to be practical. However more recent versions [Scho] have smaller runtimes and do appear to work on large inputs in a reasonable amount of time. Before [LLL] there were programs which effectively solved the problem in most cases, although these programs were known to “blow up” on a small set of inputs. These “bad” inputs can arise in algebraic number field computations.

**B) Minor-Closed Graph Families**

Robertson and Seymour [RS] proved the remarkable result that every minor-closed family of finite graphs has a finite obstruction set. Here an undirected graph \( H \) is a minor of \( G \) iff \( H \) can be obtained (up to isomorphism) from \( G \) by contracting certain edges and deleting certain edges and vertices. A family \( \mathcal{F} \) of graphs is minor-closed iff whenever \( G \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( H \) is a minor of \( G \), then \( H \in \mathcal{F} \). A graph \( G_0 \) is an obstruction of \( \mathcal{F} \) iff \( G_0 \notin \mathcal{F} \), but if \( H \) is any proper minor of \( G_0 \), then \( H \in \mathcal{F} \). Thus to determine whether a given graph \( G \) is in \( \mathcal{F} \) it suffices to check, for each obstruction \( G_0 \) of \( \mathcal{F} \), whether \( G_0 \) is a minor of \( G \).

Robertson and Seymour also proved that for each fixed \( H \) there is a polytime algorithm which takes an arbitrary graph \( G \) as input and determines whether \( H \) is a minor of \( G \). It follows that every minor-closed graph family has a polytime recognition algorithm. Later the minor checking algorithm was improved to run in time \( O(n^3) \), but in either case the runtime increases by an extremely large constant factor with increasing \( H \). None of these algorithms is practical when \( H \) has four or more vertices.

The most famous minor-closed family is the class of planar graphs, which have the Kuratowski graphs \( K_5 \) and \( K_{3,3} \) as the obstruction set. More generally, for any fixed surface, the set of graphs embeddable on that surface forms a minor-closed family and hence is in polytime. When the surface is a torus, there are some 800 known obstructions, and there may be more. Another interesting minor-closed family consists of graphs embeddable in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) in such a way that no two cycles are linked. See [FL] for other examples.

Although the work of Robertson and Seymour does not yield practical algorithms for the recognition of any of these minor-closed families, such algorithms
are known in some cases (e.g. planar graphs). Also the knowledge springing from [RS] that these problems are polytime has spurred research to find practical algorithms, with success in some cases [FL]. Time will tell whether some natural minor-closed family resists long and concerted efforts to find a practical recognition algorithm.

**CANDIDATES FOR (PracSolv ∩ NAT) — (polytime ∩ NAT)**

**A) Linear Programming**

The simplex method has long been an extremely useful practical method for solving linear programming problems, even though the method, or at least some versions of it, are known to be exponential in the worst case. Thus linear programming used to be a candidate for the above difference set. However, in 1979 Khachian [Kha] proved that the problem is in polytime, using a very different algorithm.

**B) Probabilistic Polytime (Verified Answer)**

There are some natural problems not known to have (deterministic) polytime algorithms but which do have verified probabilistic polytime algorithms. These “Las Vegas” algorithms require a random source of input bits (e.g. coin tosses). For every problem instance the algorithms terminate in polytime with high probability, and when they do terminate the answer given is always correct. An early example of such a problem is factoring univariate polynomials over large finite fields [Ber]. A more recent example is the set of prime numbers. Probabilistic algorithms for compositeness have been known for some time [SS], [Rab], but these have one-sided error, as in C) below. Recently Goldwasser and Kilian [GK] found a probabilistic polytime algorithm for prime testing which is always correct when it gives an output, but it fails to give an output for certain prime inputs. This was improved [AH] to yield a provably correct but impractical Las Vegas algorithm for prime recognition. A practical version has also been developed [AM], but this algorithm lacks a proof that the runtime is polynomial.

It turns out that both the polynomial factoring algorithm [Ber] and the practical prime recognition algorithm [AM], although probabilistic in principle, work perfectly well in practice when the random source of bits is replaced by some simple deterministically generated sequence of bits. Thus there exist plausible deterministic polytime algorithms for these problems, and it may be only a question of time until someone proves them correct. In fact, Miller [Mil] proved that prime recognition is deterministic polytime, assuming the Extended Riemann Hypothesis.

**C) Probabilistic Polytime (One-Sided Error)**

Some probabilistic set recognition algorithms always terminate in polytime; if they accept the input then that answer is always correct, but if they reject the input then there is a small error probability. This error probability can be
made arbitrarily small simply by repeating the computation a number of times, assuming that the random input bits are truly random and independent.

One example [Schw] of a problem with this kind of probabilistic algorithm, but not known to be in (deterministic) polytime, is the set of nonsingular $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are linear polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ in $n$ variables. The probabilistic algorithm consists of choosing small random integer values for the variables and evaluating the resulting determinant. If the value is nonzero, then the original matrix is certainly nonsingular; if the value is 0, that is evidence that the original matrix was singular. The experiment can be repeated any number of times. Assuming we have access to a truly random source of bits, this is a practical algorithm. However in practice truly random sources are scarce or non-existent, so pseudo random number generators with weakly random seeds are used. These seem to work well, but if the algorithm repeatedly outputs “singular” for a given input matrix it is hard to justify having total confidence in the result. Hence on the one hand the problem may not really be in PracSolv, while on the other hand it may be deterministic polytime for the reasons outlined in B) above.

A second example in this category is testing irreducibility of polynomials in many variables over $\mathbb{Q}$, using “concise” representation [vzG]. Here a “yes” answer from the probabilistic algorithm means the input polynomial is certainly irreducible, while a “no” leaves some room for doubt. The algorithm has been extended [KalT] to find likely factorization in case of a “no” answer. For each input polynomial of size $n$ (in concise representation), the probability of the factorization algorithm producing a false factor can be made much less than $2^{-n}$. Nevertheless, the possibility of error persists, because there is no known deterministic polytime algorithm for testing divisibility of polynomials in many variables.

In sum, there are no very convincing candidate counterexamples to thesis 4. However some natural polytime problems, especially certain minor-closed graph families, certainly do not have known practical algorithms. But the tendency is for practical algorithms for a problem to be discovered, once it is known that the problem is polytime and a concerted effort is made.

2. Realizing Constructive Proofs

A constructive proof of a theorem provides computational information. For example, if the theorem has the form $\forall x \exists y A(x, y)$ the proof should (at least implicitly) provide an algorithm for computing a function $f$ such that $\forall x A(x, f(x))$ holds. We say that $f$ realizes the assertion $\forall x \exists y A(x, y)$. We are interested in studying the complexity of functions $f$ needed to realize such assertions; for example, determining whether there is a polytime such $f$. The notion of a feasibly constructive proof is discussed in [CU]. A necessary condition for a proof of a theorem to be feasibly constructive is that it provide polytime algorithms for any functions needed to realize the theorem.

Here are some theorems with feasibly constructive proofs:
Kuratowski's Theorem. Every finite graph $G$ can either be embedded into the plane, or one of the graphs $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$ can be homeomorphically embedded in $G$. If $f$ is a realizing function, then $f(G)$ is either a planar embedding of $G$ or identifies an embedding of either $K_5$ or $K_{3,3}$ in $G$. One can in fact infer a polytime such $f$ from the usual proof of Kuratowski's theorem.

Many theorems of graph theory and finite combinatorics can be feasibly realized in a similar manner, including Hall's theorem and Menger's theorem.

The Extended Euclidean Algorithm. For all integers $a$ and $b$ there exist integers $x$, $y$, and $d$ such that $d = ax + by$ and $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$. Again Euclid's proof shows how $x$, $y$, and $d$ can be computed from $a$ and $b$ in time at worst $O((\log a + \log b)^2)$.

An interesting example whose feasibility status is unknown is Fermat's "Little Theorem", which we state in contrapositive form: For all integers $n$ and $a$ there exists an integer $d$ such that if $0 < a < n$ and $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ then $1 < d < n$ and $d$ divides $n$. A feasibly constructive proof of this theorem would provide a polytime function which produces a divisor $d = f(a, n)$ for $n$ whenever $a$ and $n$ satisfy the hypotheses above. Such an $f$ would provide a major breakthrough in the quest for practical algorithms for large integer factorization. This is because if $n > 1$ is composite and not one of the rare "Carmichael numbers" [HW] then at least half of the integers $a$ such that $0 < a < n$ satisfy $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$. (The $a$'s in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ which satisfy $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ form a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$, assuming $n$ is not a Carmichael number.)

3. Type 2 Functions

Higher type functions take functions (as well as numbers) as arguments. We may as well suppose that such functions take numbers (as opposed to functions) as values, because of the following device. If $F : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$, then we define $F_1 : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$F_1(g, x) = F(g)(x).$$

Thus $F_1$ is for practical purposes the same as $F$.

Higher type functions may be needed to realize theorems for two reasons. First, the theorem may make an assertion about functions, as in $\forall g \forall x \exists y A(g, x, y)$. Second, even if the subject matter is numbers rather than functions, the usual way of realizing an implication $A \rightarrow B$ is by a function $F$ such that for all objects $g$ realizing $A$, $F(g)$ realizes $B$.

In order to discuss the complexity of such functions $F$ we must explain how to compute them. For now, we restrict attention to "type 2" functions $F(g, x)$ which take as arguments a numerical function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a number $x \in \mathbb{N}$. An algorithm for computing $F$ takes $x$ as input in the ordinary way, but it accesses the function $g$ via an "oracle". Thus a machine executing the algorithm has a special query register (or tape). When the machine assumes a query state with a number $y$ in this register, the "oracle" replaces $y$ by $g(y)$.
This is the only mechanism by which the machine can acquire knowledge of the input $g$. It follows that for fixed $g$ and $x$, the value $F(g, x)$ can only depend on $g(y)$ for finitely many values $y$.

In measuring the runtime of the machine which computes $F(g, x)$, we shall make the convention that each oracle call $g(y)$ takes just $|g(y)|$ steps. This pins down the definition of runtime, but does not solve the problem of defining a complexity class, such as polytime, for type 2 functions. For type 1 functions, polytime means time polynomial in the length of the input. To generalize this to type 2, we must decide what is the "length" of an input function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The definition below is a first step in that direction.

Definition 5. A type 2 function $F$ is in the class OPT (oracle polytime) iff there is an algorithm which computes $F$ whose runtime on input $(g, x)$ is bounded by a polynomial in $m$ and $|x|$, where $m$ is the length of the maximum query answer $g(y)$ returned during the computation.

If we restrict attention to inputs $g$ which are 0-1 valued, then OPT provides a perfectly reasonable way to formalize polytime for type 2 functions. This was the original method used to define a polytime version of Turing reducibility between sets [Coo1].

However, for arbitrary input functions $g$, OPT is too broad to be identified with polytime. For example, if

$$F(g, x) = g^{\lfloor x \rfloor}(x)$$

($g$ composed with itself $\lfloor x \rfloor$ times and evaluated at $x$) then $F$ is in OPT. But if we define $g_0$ by $g_0(y) = y^2$, and let $f(x) = F(g_0, x)$, then $f(x) = x^{2^{m}}$, which is not polytime. Thus there are type 2 functions in OPT which, when interpreted as operators on the set of type 1 functions, do not preserve the set of polytime functions.

Nevertheless, we will take $F \in$ OPT to be a necessary condition for $F$ to be intuitively polytime. This is enough to show that the pigeon hole principle below has no feasibly constructive proof.

Proposition 6 (Pigeon Hole Principle). $\forall g \forall x \exists a \exists b [a, b \leq x \text{ and } (g(a) \geq x \text{ or } (a \neq b \text{ and } g(a) = g(b)))$]

A functional $F$ realizing the above proposition would satisfy $F(g, x) = a, b$, where $< a, b >$ is a natural number coding the pair $(a, b)$ using a standard pairing function, and $a$ and $b$ satisfy the condition in Proposition 6.

Theorem 7. No function $F$ in OPT realizes the Pigeon Hole Principle.

The proof is an easy consequence of the definition of OPT. Consider an algorithm which computes an $F$ which realizes the Pigeon Hole Principle and fix some input $x > 0$. Each time the algorithm poses a distinct new query $y \leq x$ we choose the answer $g(y)$ to be the least natural number not yet given as a query answer for this computation. In this way we can force the algorithm to query $g(y)$ for each $y$ in $\{0, 1, \ldots, x\}$ before it finds $a$ and $b$ satisfying Proposition 6.
4. The Basic Polytime Functions

As mentioned above, it seems that some definition of length for functions must be given in order to give a proper generalization for polytime to type 2 functions. One possibility is to define the length $|g|$ of $g$ to be a function, as follows:

**Definition 8.** If $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, then the length $|g|$ of $g$ is the type one function defined by

$$|g|(n) = \max_{|y| \leq n} |g(y)|.$$

This definition and the development below appears in [Kap] and [KC].

We define a type 2 polynomial to be any type 2 function $P(L, n)$ represented by a “polynomial expression” in the type 1 variable $L$ and the numerical variable $n$. More formally, we give a recursive definition of polynomial expression as follows:

a) The variable $n$ and the constants 0 and 1 are each polynomial expressions.
b) If $P$ and $Q$ are polynomial expressions, so are $P + Q$, $P \cdot Q$, and $L(P)$.

each polynomial expression represents a type 2 function (which by definition is a type 2 polynomial) in the obvious way. An example of a type 2 polynomial is

$$P(L, n) = L(L(n + 3) - L(n)).$$

**Definition 9.** The type 2 functional $F(g, x)$ is basic polytime iff for some type 2 polynomial $P(L, n)$ some oracle algorithm computes $F(g, x)$ within $P(|g|, |x|)$ steps, for all $g$ and $x$.

It can be shown that every basic polytime function is in OPT. Thus, for example, the bounded maximum function

$$M(g, x) = |g|(|x|) = \max_{|y| \leq |x|} |g(y)|$$

is not in OPT and hence not basic polytime, since $2^{|x|}$ oracle calls are necessary to evaluate $M(g, x)$ in case $g$ is identically 0. This shows that the permissible runtime bounds for basic polytime functions are not necessarily themselves basic polytime, because $M(g, x) = P(L, n) = L(n)$ is a type 2 polynomial, where $L = |g|$ and $n = |x|$. On the other hand the length maximum function

$$LM(g, x) = \max_{y \leq |x|} |g(y)|$$

is basic polytime, with runtime bound

$$P(L, n) = O(n \cdot L(n)),$$

where $n = |x|$ and $L = |g|$. 
The basic polytime functions have nice closure properties, and (unlike OPT functions) they preserve the set of type 1 polytime functions. What is more remarkable, they turn out essentially to coincide with the polynomial operators defined by Mehlhorn [Meh] in 1976. The latter functions were defined using Cobham's [Cob] limited recursion on notation, and the definition does not mention machines or runtimes. Polynomial operators were introduced to generalize the notion of polytime reducibility from sets to that of functions.

**Theorem 10** [Kap, KC]. A type 2 function \( F(g,x) \) is basic polytime iff it is a polynomial operator.

It is straightforward to prove the "if" direction in the above result. The converse requires considerable effort, however, because of the necessity of pre-bounding the growth rate each time the operation of limited recursion on notation is applied to a function.

Theorem 10 reinforces the conclusion that the basic polytime functionals form a natural robust class. However, we will give an example in the next section of a type 2 function outside the class that still seems to merit the label "polytime".

### 5. Realizing Well-Quasi-Orders

**Definition 11.** Let \( Q \) be a set and \( \leq \) be a binary relation in \( Q \). Then \((Q, \leq)\) is a quasi-order iff \( \leq \) is reflexive and transitive. \((Q, \leq)\) is a well quasi-order (wqo) if in addition, for every infinite sequence \( q_0, q_1, \ldots \), from \( Q \), there exists indices \( i, j \) with \( i < j \) and \( q_i \leq q_j \).

Note that \((\mathbb{N}, \leq)\) is a wqo; and in fact every well-order is a wqo. A more interesting example is \((\mathcal{G}, \leq_m)\), where \( \mathcal{G} \) is the set of finite graphs and \( H \leq_m G \) iff \( H \) is a minor of \( G \). Robertson and Seymour's monumental work [RS], which will cover more than 1500 pages, shows that \((\mathcal{G}, \leq_m)\) is a wqo. An immediate corollary is the fact that each minor-closed graph family has a finite obstruction set, as mentioned in Sect. 1.

Robertson and Seymour's proof is not only long, it is nonconstructive. Yet the assertion that some pair \((Q, \leq)\) is a wqo should have computational significance, as suggested by the following definition.

**Definition 12.** A function \( F \) realizes a wqo \((Q, \leq)\) iff for all \( g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Q \), \( F(g) = (i, j) \), where \( i < j \) and \( g(i) \leq g(j) \).

We are interested in the complexity of the functions \( F \) required to realize a given wqo \((Q, \leq)\). This gives an indication of what techniques are required to prove that \((Q, \leq)\) is a wqo. In the case of \((\mathbb{N}, \leq)\), it turns out that there is a realizing basic feasible functional. We first note however that the "brute force" algorithm is not polytime. By brute force we mean: query \( g(0), g(1), g(2), \ldots g(j) \) until \( g(j-1) \leq g(j) \). This algorithm could require up to \( g(0) + 1 \) queries, and is not in OPT.
A basic polytime realizing function $F(g)$ for $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ can be computed using binary search. We initialize $a = 0$ and $b = g(0) + 1$. First compare $g(a)$ and $g(b)$; if $g(a) \leq g(b)$ then output $<a, b>$ and halt. Otherwise we note that

\[(*) \quad 0 < g(a) - g(b) < b - a.\]

Let $c = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(a + b) \rfloor$. Assign to the pair $(a, b)$ either $(a, c)$ or $(c, b)$ as follows. If after one of these assignments $a < b$ and $g(a) \leq g(b)$ then make that assignment, output $<a, b>$, and halt. Otherwise choose the assignment which maintains the invariant $(*)$ and repeat the above starting with the computation of $c$.

This algorithm terminates after at most $|g(0)|$ iterations. The largest query argument is $g(0) + 1$, so the time charged for each query is at most $|g|(g(0) + 1))$. Hence the runtime is bounded by $P(L) = O(L(0) \cdot (L(L(0) + 1) + L(0)))$, where $L = |g|$. Hence the functional it computes is basic polytime.

As a second example, consider the lexicographical order $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \leq)$, where $(a, b) \leq (a', b')$ iff either $a < a'$ or $(a = a'$ and $b < b')$. Then no basic polytime functional realizes this wqo. In fact no polytime function realizes this wqo under any reasonable definition of polytime. To see this, we define for each parameter $c \in \mathbb{N}$ a sequence $g_c(0), g_c(1), g_c(2), \ldots$ of pairs as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\langle c, c \rangle, \langle c, c - 1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle c, 0 \rangle \\
&\langle c - 1, c^{[c]} \rangle, \langle c - 1, c^{[c]} - 1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle c - 1, 0 \rangle \\
&\langle c - 2, c^{[c]^2} \rangle, \ldots, \langle c - 2, 0 \rangle \\
&\vdots \\
&\langle 0, c^{[c]^i} \rangle, \ldots, \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\
&\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

We argue below that the function $f$ defined by $f(c, i) = g_c(i)$ is polytime computable. Suppose however that we assume that $F$ realizes the wqo $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \leq)$ and define $h$ by

\[(** \quad h(c) = F(\lambda i f(c, i)).\]

Since the sequence $g_c(0), g_c(1), g_c(2), \ldots$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $\leq$ for at least the first $c^{[c]^c}$ values, it follows that $j > c^{[c]^c}$, where $h(c) = \langle i, j \rangle$. Hence $h$ is not polytime. Thus $(**)$ indicates a sense in which $F$ does not preserve polytime functions.

To see that $f$ is polytime computable, note that if $g_c(i) = \langle c - k, c^{[c]^{k-1}} \rangle$ for some $k$, $1 \leq k \leq c$, then $i > c^{[c]^{k-1}}$. Hence $|c|^k = O(|i|^2)$, so in general $|g_c(i)| = O(|i|^2 + |c|)$.

As a third example, consider the length lexicographical order $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \leq')$, defined by $(a, b) \leq' (a', b')$ iff either $|a| < |a'|$ or ($|a| = |a'|$ and $|b| \leq |b'|$). We then have

**Theorem 13 [Coo2].** *No basic polytime $F$ realizes $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \leq')$.*

Nevertheless, the brute force algorithm defines a realizing function $F$ which seems intuitively to be polytime. In particular, $F$ is in OPT, and $F$ preserves polytime functions in the sense of $(**)$. This suggests that the class of basic
polytime functions should be enlarged if it is to include all intuitively polytime type 2 functions (see [Coo2]).

As a fourth and final example we return to graph minors.

Theorem 14 [FRS]. Suppose $F$ realizes $(\mathcal{G}, \leq_m)$. Then there is $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $f(c, i)$ has at most $c + i$ nodes, and if

$$h(c) = F(c) \text{ if } c \text{ is even},$$

then $h$ grows faster than any function provably recursive in Peano Arithmetic.

Peano Arithmetic refers to the standard first order theory of $\mathbb{N}$ under $+$ and $\times$. Roughly speaking, it can formalize any argument not involving infinite sets. In particular, Ackermann's function is provably recursive in Peano Arithmetic. Thus any function realizing $(\mathcal{G}, \leq_m)$ is infeasible in a very strong sense.

6. Type 3 Functions

The most natural way to realize the Weak König's Lemma (König's Lemma for binary trees) is via a type 3 function. We state the lemma in contrapositive form, to give it computational content.

Proposition 15 (Weak König's Lemma (WKL)). If every path is finite in a binary tree, then there is a uniform bound on path length in the tree.

A realizing functional $B$ should take a function $K$, which bounds paths, to a uniform bound $B(K)$. To make sense of the path-bounding function $K$, its argument $g$ should code a potentially infinite branch. This branch can be specified by a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where the binary sequence $g(0), g(1), g(2), \ldots$ indicates successive choices (left or right) of children of nodes in the tree, starting with the root. Then $K(g)$ is an upper bound on the longest path in the tree which is specified by an initial segment of the sequence $g(0), g(1), \ldots$. Thus two different functions $g$ and $g'$ might both be extensions of the same finite maximal path in the tree, and we do not require that the two upper bounds $K(g)$ and $K(g')$ for this path be the same. Any total function $K \in \mathbb{N}^{0,1}$ provides enough information to specify a uniform bound $B(K)$ on path length, even though $K$ does not uniquely determine the tree.

Definition 16. The type 3 function $B$ realizes WKL iff for all $K \in \mathbb{N}^{0,1}$ and all $g \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ there exists $g' \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ such that $g(i) = g'(i)$ for all $i \leq K(g')$, and $K(g') \leq B(K)$.

Notice that we do not require $K(g) \leq B(K)$, but only $K(g') \leq B(K)$ for some $g'$ which extends the same finite maximal path as $g$. (For an alternative definition of realizing WKL see [Fef].)

It turns out that not just the complexity of $B$ is in question, but there is even doubt about whether a computable $B$ exists which realizes WKL in the above
sense. In fact computability theory for type 3 functions suffers because there are at least two different plausible but inconsistent definitions of computable function in the literature. Fortunately these definitions are essentially equivalent for type 2 (and type 1) functions; namely *computable* means computable by a Turing machine with oracles. The two definitions we have in mind are Kleene's general recursive functionals and the so-called recursively countable functionals (see [GH] for an excellent presentation of both).

It turns out that no Kleene general recursive functional $B$ realizes WKL. Roughly speaking, this is because an algorithm for computing $B$ can access its input function $K$ only by posing query arguments $g$ to an oracle, which returns $K(g)$. Further, the only possible arguments $g$ that can be posed are recursive. But it turns out (see [GH]) that there exists an infinite binary tree with no infinite recursive branch. Of course this tree has arbitrarily long finite branches. Thus one can define $K$ such that $K(g)$ is an upper bound for the longest path which $g$ extends, when $g$ is recursive, and $K(g)$ is arbitrary if $g$ is not recursive. When presented with such an input $K$, no Kleene algorithm can compute a sensible value for $B(K)$.

On the other hand, there is a recursively countable function $B$ which realizes WKL. This is partly because recursively countable type 3 functions have as their domain only the set of "continuous" type 2 functions $K$. Here $K$ is *continuous* iff for each $g \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K(g)$ is determined by the restriction of $g$ to $\{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}$. (In other words, $K(g') = K(g)$ for all $g'$ such that $g'(i) = g(i)$, $0 \leq i < m$.) A recursively countable oracle only queries its input at finite restrictions $g_0 = g | \{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}$ of a function $g$. The oracle may return $K(g)$, or the symbol $\perp$, denoting "unknown". But it can only return $\perp$ for a finite number of restriction sizes $m$. By systematically querying $K$ at all possible finite 0-1 sequences $g_0$ of length $m$, where $m = 1,2,\ldots$ the algorithm will (by König's Lemma) eventually reach a value of $m$ for which the oracle can give a value $K(g)$ for each $g_0$ of length $m$. The algorithm then outputs the maximum of all these values of $K(g)$ as the value of $B(K)$.

7. Conclusion

One general phenomenon we have seen is that the higher the type level, the harder it is to pin down suitable definitions for complexity classes. Thus for type 3 functions we are not sure what computable means. For type 2 functions we are not sure what polytime means. We might add that for type 1 functions we are not sure what linear time means.

We have talked about the notion of realizing theorems informally, but logicians have given various general formal definitions of realizability [Tro]. This development includes specification of a formal system whose theorems are to be realized. Buss's system $IS^1_1$ [Bus] is especially interesting here, since in some sense it captures first order polynomial time reasoning. In particular, the functions provably recursive in $IS^1_1$ are precisely the polytime functions. The underlying logic is the intuitionistic predicate calculus, and hence the proofs are "feasibly constructive" (see [CU]).
In [CU] a class of functionals of all finite types is introduced using the typed lambda calculus and a type 2 "recursor" for limited recursion on notation. This class, called the basic feasible functionals in [CK], turns out at type level 2 to coincide with the basic polytime functionals discussed in Sect. 4. In [CU] it is shown that the basic feasible functionals provide realizability interpretations for the system $IS^1_2$ in two different senses: Kreisel's "modified realizability" and Gödel's "Dialectica interpretation". These interpretations are used to study the proving power of $IS^1_2$ and related systems.

A major goal of studying formal systems such as $IS^1_2$ is proving independence results and conservative extension results. An example of the latter, proved in [Fer] and [Fef], is that when a version of Weak König's Lemma is added as an axiom to $IS^1_2$, there are no new resulting theorems of the form $\forall x\exists y A(x, y)$, where $A(x, y)$ is a polytime relation.

A nice (but so far elusive) result would be to show that a standard theorem, such as Fermat's Little Theorem, is independent of $IS^1_2$. This would not show that the factor finding function $f(a, n)$, discussed in Sect. 2, is not polytime computable. But it would show that no algorithm for such a function has a feasibly constructive correctness proof. The significance of this lies in the following general observation: Natural problems solvable by polytime functions can be solved by polytime functions with algorithms which have correctness proofs that involve only polytime notions.
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Introduction

In this text we shall deal with only one aspect of the conformal field theory — the concept of the modular functor. Recall the main structure of the conformal field theory. Let $M$ be a complex curve. First of all the theory associates to $M$ a finite dimensional vector space $H(M)$. The construction of $H(M)$ depends on the choice of a complex structure on $M$, but really the correspondence $M \rightarrow H(M)$ is topological by nature. Our approach to the definition of $H(M)$ is the following. Let $\text{Dif}(M)$ be the group of all $C^\infty$-diffeomorphisms of $M$. The group $\text{Dif}(M)$ acts on the space of all complex structures on $M$.

If a group $G$ acts on a manifold $S$ and $n$ is a point of $S$ we can define $G_n$ — the stability group of $n$. If $G$ acts transitively on $S$, then $S \cong G/G_n$. It is possible to define the object “$G_n$” for a non-transitive action so that we have always $S \cong G/G_n$. Assume that a group $H$ acts transitively on $S$ and $H_n$ — the stability group of $n$; define $G_n$ as the following diagram in the category of groups: $G \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_n$. In other words, $G_n$ is the “intersection” $G$ and $H_n$ in $H$.

So we can assign to a complex structure “com” on $M$ the “subgroup” $\text{Dif}_{\text{com}}(M) \subset \text{Dif}(M)$. It can be shown, that for different complex structures $\text{com}_1$ and $\text{com}_2$ the “subgroups” $\text{Dif}_{\text{com}_1}(M)$ and $\text{Dif}_{\text{com}_2}(M)$ are “conjugate” in some “derived” sense.

We want to think about the space $H(M)$ as the space of cohomologies of the group $\text{Dif}_{\text{com}}(M)$ with coefficients in some one-dimensional representation. This representation is related to the value of the central charge of the theory. In this text we shall give some arguments in favour of this fact. Really, we shall construct the Lie algebra of the group $\text{Dif}_{\text{com}}(M)$, and realize $H(M)$ as the space of “integrable” homologies of this Lie algebra with coefficients in some distinguished one-dimensional representation.

So, from this point of view, a minimal conformal field theory is the investigation of the group of symmetries of a complex structure on a surface. By the same method we can explore the symmetries of other geometrical structures. For example, the Wess-Zumino theory is attached to the group of holomorphic transformations of a vector bundle on $M$. 
In more general cases we have a sheaf of associative algebras on $M$ (e.g. conformal field theory associated with a $W$-algebra). The modular functor is the homologies of this object.

The contents of the text are clear from the titles of the sections. The main result is a theorem of the Section VI.


In the article: B.L. Feigin and B.L. Tsygan, *Riemann-Roch theorem and Lie algebra cohomology I*, Proceedings of the winter school on geometry and physics, Srni, 9–16 January, 1988, pp. 15–52, you can find the calculations that may be useful for generalization of the results of this text on the manifolds of dimension greater than one.

Ideas of deformation theory are in the works of Gerstenhaber, Quillen, Halperin, Stasheff, and others.


I. Definition of the Lie Algebra of Vector Fields on a Complex Manifold

Let $M$ be a complex manifold of dimension $n$. What is the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on $M$?

Let $T$ be the tangent bundle of $M$, $T = T^{0,-1} \oplus T^{-1,0}$ – the Hodge decomposition of the complexification of $T$. The space of $C^\infty$ sections $\Gamma(T^{-1,0})$ of the bundle $T^{-1,0}$ is closed with respect to the bracket. Locally, an element of $\Gamma(T^{-1,0})$ has the form

$$\sum_{i} f_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \ldots, \bar{z}_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}.$$
Denote by $T^i,j$ the bundle $(\bigwedge^{-i}T^{-1,0}) \otimes (\bigwedge^{-j}T^{0,-1})$, where $i,j$ are integers. In particular $T^{1,1}$ is the bundle of volume forms. Consider the Dolbeault resolution of the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on $M$:

$$0 \rightarrow T^{-1,0} \rightarrow T^{-1,1} \rightarrow T^{-1,2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T^{-1,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

The bracket on the space $\Gamma(T^{-1,0})$ is extended in a natural way on the space $\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \oplus \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma(T^{-1,n})$. (Since elements of $\Gamma(T^{-1,i})$ are $(0,1)$-forms with values in the bundle $T^{-1,0}$.) It is easy to see that this extended bracket defines a structure of differential Lie superalgebra on the following complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{-1,2}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{-1,n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall call this differential superalgebra the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on $M$ since it is the space of global sections of the resolution of the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on $M$. We shall denote this complex by $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$. It is clear that for affine $M$ the algebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ is equivalent to $\text{Lie}(M)$, where $\text{Lie}(M)$ is the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on $M$. Another approach to the definition of the Lie algebra of vector fields on a manifold is the following. Choose a covering $\{U_i, i \in I\}$ of $M$, where each $U_i$ is affine. Let us associate to any subset $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$ of $I$ the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_t})$. Using this set of data we can define by standard method a cosimplicial object in the category of Lie algebras. We omit details of the construction, but it is possible to show that such cosimplicial Lie algebras for different coverings are equivalent in some sense. In particular, we can use the covering consisting of all open sets. So, we shall call this cosimplicial object the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$ and denote it by $\text{Lie}^d(M)$. The differential Lie superalgebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ defines in the standard way a cosimplicial Lie algebra which is equivalent to $\text{Lie}^d(M)$.

**Remark.** Let $A$ be a group, $\xi$ an $A$-bundle on $M$, $\text{End}(\xi)$ the bundle of endomorphisms of $\xi$. Denote by $\text{End}(\xi) \otimes T^{0,i}$ the bundle of $(0,i)$-forms with values in $\text{End}(\xi)$. The Dolbeault complex of $\Gamma(\text{End}(\xi))$:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(\xi) \otimes T^{0,0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(\xi) \otimes T^{0,1}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(\xi) \otimes T^{0,n}) \rightarrow 0$$

has an obvious structure of differential Lie superalgebra. This object is the natural candidate for the Lie algebra of the endomorphisms of the bundle. We can also give the cosimplicial version of this definition, using coverings of $M$. Note that all statements in this text have their counterpart for the algebra $\Gamma(\text{End}(\xi))$, or for the cosimplicial version $\text{End}^d(\xi)$.

### II. Continuous Cohomologies of $\text{Lie}(M)$

Using the methods of the Gel'fand-Fuchs theory of the continuous cohomologies of the Lie algebra of vector fields on a smooth manifold it is possible to define and to calculate the continuous cohomologies of the differential Lie superalgebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$. First we recall main definitions and results from the Gel'fand-Fuchs theory.
Let $N$ be a $C^\infty$-manifold and $L(N)$ be the Lie algebra of $C^\infty$-vector fields on $N$; $L(N)$ is a topological Lie algebra with respect to the $C^\infty$-topology. Continuous cohomologies of $L(N)$ are defined as the cohomologies of the subcomplex of the standard cohomological complex of $L(N)$ which consists of continuous cochains. The procedure of calculation of the continuous cohomologies $H^*_c(L(N))$ is divided into two parts — "local" and "global". The aim of the "local" part is the calculation of the continuous cohomologies of the Lie algebra $W_n$ of formal vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n = \dim N$. An element of $W_n$ is a vector field on the formal neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Gel'fand and Fuchs proved, that $H^*_c(W_n)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of cohomologies of some topological space $X_n$. The space $X_n$ may be described as a preimage of $2n$-skeleton of the base space of the universal $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle in the total space of this bundle. It is important for us that $X_n$ is a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-space and therefore a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$-space, since $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The aim of the "global" part of the calculation is to "sew" the local calculations at all points of $N$. To do this let us associate with the tangent bundle of $N$ the bundle $\mathcal{Q}: U \to N$, of which each fiber is isomorphic to $X_n$. The main theorem on continuous cohomologies asserts that the algebra $H^*_c(L(N))$ is isomorphic to the cohomologies of the topological space of all sections of the bundle $\mathcal{Q}$. Note that in the most important case (for us) where $n = 1$ the space $X_n$ is homotopic to the three-dimensional sphere $S^3$.

The differential Lie superalgebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ is also equipped with $C^\infty$-topology. So we can define the continuous cohomologies and calculate them using the same technique. Let us formulate the result in the case $\dim M = 1$.

**Proposition.** In the case $\dim M = 1$, the algebra $H^*_c(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M)))$ is isomorphic to the algebra of cohomologies of the space of all continuous maps $\text{Hom}(M, S^3)$ from $M$ (as a topological space) into $S^3$.

It is not hard to find out the structure of the algebra $H^*(\text{Hom}(M, S^3), \mathbb{C})$. Consider the natural map $\theta: M \times \text{Hom}(M, S^3) \to S^3; \theta(m, f) = f(m)$, where $m \in M$, $f \in \text{Hom}(M, S^3)$. The corresponding map between cohomologies gives us a map $\mathbb{C} \cong H^3(S^3, \mathbb{C}) \to H^*(\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^*(\text{Hom}(M, S^3), \mathbb{C})$ which we can rewrite as a set of three maps $\theta_i: H_i(M, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{3-i}(\text{Hom}(M, S^3), \mathbb{C})$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. It can be proved that the algebra $H^*(\text{Hom}(M, S^3), \mathbb{C})$ is a free skew-commutative algebra and the images of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ are the space of generators. Now we shall write down the explicit formulas for the cochains in the standard complex of $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ which represent the classes corresponding to the images of $\{\theta_i\}$.

We need some preparations. First we recall the definition of holomorphic projective connections on a one-dimensional manifold. A projective connection defines the following holomorphic differential operator of order three:

$$D: \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \to \Gamma(T^{2,0})$$

such that in a neighbourhood of an arbitrary point three independent solutions of the equation $D(\phi) = 0$ constitute the Lie algebra which is isomorphic to $\text{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. This
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operator is defined by the projective connection up to a constant. We can fix this constant if we suppose that in the local coordinate \( Z \) the highest symbol of \( D \) is equal to \( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right)^3 \). An operator \( D \) with these properties defines a projective connection.

**Remark.** Fix a closed curve \( \gamma \in M \) without self-intersections. After restriction on \( \gamma \) the operator \( D \) defines the operator of the second hamiltonian structure in the KdV theory. Note that a projective connection provides the family of operators:

\[
D_i : \Gamma(T^{-1, i}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{2, i}).
\]

Locally, the kernel of the operator \( D_i \) consists of antiholomorphic tensors of type \((0, 1)\) with values in the kernel of \( D_0 = D \). We suppose that all operators \( D_i \) have the same symbol. It is easy to see that \( D_i \) is adjoint to \( D_{1-i} \). (Recall that for any differential operator \( U : E \rightarrow F \), where \( E, F \) are vector bundles on a manifold, the adjoint operator acts from the bundle \( F^* \otimes \text{Vol} \) into \( E^* \otimes \text{Vol} \), where \( \text{Vol} \) is the bundle of volume forms, and \( * \) is a sign for the dual bundle.)

Let us consider the following maps:

\[
\Psi_0 : A^3 \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{0, 0}),
\]

\[
\Psi_1 : A^2 \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{1, 0}).
\]

Here the operator \( \Psi_1 \) is given by the formula: \( \Psi_1(a, b) = (Da)b - a(Db), a, b \in \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \). The map \( \Psi_0 \) is characterized up to a constant by the property: \( \Psi_0 \) is a \( \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \)-homomorphism. (Note that the spaces \( \Gamma(T^{0, i}) \) are \( \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \)-modules.)

The explicit formula for \( \Psi_0 \):

\[
\Psi_0(U_1, U_2, U_3) = \det \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \\ f'_1 & f'_2 & f'_3 \\ f''_1 & f''_2 & f''_3 \end{vmatrix},
\]

\[
U_i \in \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}), \quad U_i = f_i(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
\]

where \( z \) is a local coordinate on \( M \), and "\( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \)" means the derivation \( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \). The value of the determinant does not depend on the choice of a coordinate. We need also the maps \( \Psi_2, \Psi_3 \).

\[
\Psi_2 : A^2 \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1, 1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{0, 1}),
\]

\[
\Psi_3 : \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1, 1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{1, 1}).
\]

The formula for \( \Psi_3 \) is similar to the formula for \( \Psi_1 \), namely \( \Psi_3(a, b) = D_0(a) \cdot b - a \cdot D_1(b), a \in \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}), b \in \Gamma(T^{-1, 1}) \). The map \( \Psi_2 \) is a \( \Gamma(T^{-1, 0}) \)-homomorphism and is given by the same determinant as \( \Psi_0 \), where \( U_1 = f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, U_2 = f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \) and \( U_3 = f_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} d\bar{z} \).
**Proposition.** There is a map $\varphi$ from the standard homological complex of the Lie algebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ into the de Rham complex of $M$. The construction of $\varphi$ is clear from the following diagram. In the top of the diagram is a fragment of the homological complex and the de Rham complex is on the bottom.

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
A^0\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & A^1\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & A^2\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & A^3\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \\
\varphi & \oplus & \oplus & \Psi_0 \\
\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \\
\Psi_3 & \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \Psi_2 & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \Gamma(T^0,0) \\
\end{array}
$$

Note that the de Rham complex here is reflected and shifted.

Now let $\beta$ be an element of $H_3(M)$. We can assign a continuous cochain of the Lie algebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ by the formula $u \mapsto \langle \beta, \varphi(u) \rangle$, where $u$ is a chain, and $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ is the integration of $\varphi(u)$ over the cycle $\beta$. It is easy to see that this construction gives us the desired map $H_i(M) \to H^3_i(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M)))$.

**Remark 1.** The following part of our diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\leftarrow A^2\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \leftarrow A^3\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \leftarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \Gamma(T^0,0) & \leftarrow 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

is a morphism from the standard complex of the Lie algebra $\Gamma(T^{-1,0})$ into the Dolbeault complex of $M$. For non-compact $M$ the continuous cohomologies of $\Gamma(T^{-1,0})$ is infinite-dimensional at least in dimension three. This map of complexes induces the map $V^* \to H^3_\mathbb{C}(\Gamma(T^{-1,0}))$ where $V$ is the space of holomorphic functions on $M$. But for compact $M$ we have $\dim H^3_\mathbb{C}(\Gamma(T^{-1,0})) < \infty$ for arbitrary $i$. Let us formulate the important corollary from the results of this section.

**Corollary.** The dimension of the space $H^3_\mathbb{C}(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M)))$ is equal to one if $M$ is compact. It means that the algebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ has a non-trivial one-dimensional representation.

**Remark 2.** If $U$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra and $\nu : U \to \text{End}(V)$ is a finite dimensional representation of $U$, then the map $a \mapsto \text{tr} \nu(a)$, $a \in U$ is a 1-cocycle of $U$. We can interpret this 1-cocycle as an element of the space $H^0(U, U^*)$. This construction can be easily generalized. The function $a^n \mapsto \text{tr}(\nu(a^n))/n!$ is an element $\text{tr}^n$ of the space $H^0(U, S^n(U^*))$. In other word, the representation $\nu$ defines a functional $\text{tr}_\nu \in H^0(U, S^*(U^*))$, $\text{tr}_\nu = \sum \text{tr}^n$. Let us consider the Lie superalgebra $U \oplus \xi U = U \otimes \mathbb{C}[-1]$, where $\mathbb{C}[\xi]$ is a Grassmann algebra in one variable; $U \oplus \xi U$ is a graded
Lie superalgebra with \( \deg(\mathcal{U}) = 0 \), \( \deg(\xi \mathcal{U}) = -1 \). It is evident that \( H^*(\mathcal{U} \oplus \xi \mathcal{U}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{U}, S^*(\mathcal{U}^*)) \). So we have \( \text{tr}_v \in H^0(\mathcal{U} \oplus \xi \mathcal{U}) \). This idea can be applied to the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \). Namely, using Gel'fand-Fuchs technique it is possible to find out the continuous cohomologies of the algebra \( \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \oplus \xi \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \). This problem is also divided into two parts: “local” and “global”. The local part consists of the calculation of the algebra \( H_c^*(W_1 \oplus \xi W_1) \) where \( W_1 \) is the algebra of formal vector fields on a line. The answer is the following:

\[
H_c^i(W_1 \oplus \xi W_1) \cong H_c^i(W_1) \oplus H_c^i(W_1, W_1^*) \oplus H_c^i(W_1, S^2 W_1^*) \oplus \cdots;
\]

\[
H_c^i(W_1) \cong \mathbb{C} \quad \text{if } i = 0, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad H_c^i(W_1) \cong 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq 0, 3;
\]

\[
H_c^2(W_1, S^2 W_1^*) \cong H_c^3(W_1, S^2 W_1^*) \cong \mathbb{C}
\]

and in other dimensions the cohomologies are equal to zero \((i \neq 0)\). The multiplication in the space \( H^*(W_1 \oplus \xi W_1) \) is trivial. The cohomologies of the algebra \( \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \oplus \xi \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \) are the same as those of the space of all continuous maps of \( M \) (as a topological space) into \( \mathcal{W} \), where \( \mathcal{W} \) is the space satisfying \( H^*(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_c^*(\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \xi \mathcal{W}_1) \). In particular, we can conclude that \( H_0^0(\mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \oplus \xi \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M)) \) is an algebra of polynomials in an infinite set of variables \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \in H_0^c(\mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \oplus \xi \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M)) \). Therefore we can associate with a representation \( \nu \) of \( \mathcal{F} \text{Lie}(M) \) an analog of trace – an element of the space \( \mathbb{C} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots] \).

### III. Description of a 1-Cocycle of the Cosimplicial Lie Algebra \( \text{Lie}_d(M) \)

In this section we shall suppose that \( M \) is compact and one-dimensional.

Choose the following simple Zarisky covering of \( M \). Let \( p \) be a point of \( M \), \( U_1 = M \{ p \} \), \( U_2 \) is a small neighborhood of \( p \); \( \{ U_1, U_2 \} \) is a covering and let \( \text{Lie}_0(M) \) be the following diagram of Lie algebras:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Lie}(U_1) & \to & \text{Lie}(U_2) \\
\pi_1 & & \pi_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2) & \downarrow & \text{(*)}
\end{array}
\]

We shall work with this diagram in the category of Lie algebras instead of the full object \( \text{Lie}_d(M) \). A 1-cocycle of \( \text{Lie}_0(M) \) is the following set of data: \( \{ \nu_1, \nu_2, \varrho \} \), here \( \varrho \) is a 2-cocycle of the Lie algebra \( \text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2) \), \( \nu_i \) is a 1-cochain of \( \text{Lie}(U_i) \) and \( \pi_i^* \varrho = d \nu_i \), where \( d \) is the differential in the standard cohomological complexe. Let \( z \) be a local coordinate at \( p \), and \( \varrho \) is a standard Virasoro-type cocycle:

\[
\varrho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) = \text{Res}_{z=0} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \nu_1}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial \nu_2}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 \nu_1}{\partial z^2} \right) dz.
\]

It can be proved that the restrictions of \( \varrho \) on \( \text{Lie}(U_1) \) and \( \text{Lie}(U_2) \) are homologous to zero. It means that we can find \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2 \) such that \( d \nu_i = \varrho \); these equations
(i = 1, 2) have only one solution since $H^1(\text{Lie}(U_i)) = 0$. Let us write down the formula for $\phi$ without using a local coordinate.

Let us fix a projective connection on $M$ and the corresponding operator $D$. The expression for the cochain: $\tilde{\phi}(u_1, u_2) = \text{Res}_p(Du_1 \cdot u_2 - u_1 \cdot Du_2)$, $u_i \in \text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2)$. It is evident that $\tilde{\phi}$ is homologous to $\phi$. The restriction of $\tilde{\phi}$ onto $\text{Lie}(U_i)$ is zero since in this case $(Du_1)u_2 - u_1(Du_2)$ is holomorphic on $U_i$, if $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Lie}(U_i)$.

So, we have constructed the class in the space $H^1(\text{Lie}_0(M))$. Really this is the same class as we constructed earlier for $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$. Note that our construction of the cochain of the algebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ depends on the choice of projective connections, but the corresponding cohomology classes are equal. The same is true for the algebras $\text{Lie}_0(M)$ and $\text{Lie}_d(M)$. In this section we have constructed a map $H^2(\text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2)) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Lie}_0(M)) \cong H^1(\text{Lie}_d(M))$. It enables us to associate a one-dimensional representation of the algebra $\text{Lie}_d(M)$ to any value of the central charge of the Virasoro algebra.

It is not hard to calculate the whole space of cohomologies of $\text{Lie}_d(M)$. The result is: $H^*/(\text{Lie}_0(M)) \cong H^*(\text{Lie}_d(M)) \cong H^*(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M)))$. This is a consequence of the following fact. Let $N$ be an affine one-dimensional manifold and $\text{Lie}(N)$ is a Lie algebra of algebraic vector fields on $N$.

**Proposition.** The natural pairing between the spaces $H_i(\text{Lie}(N))$ and $H^*_c(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(N)))$ is non-degenerate for arbitrary $i$. The algebra $H^*_c(\Gamma(\text{Lie}(N)))$ is free and skew-commutative with one generator in degree three and $r$ generators in degree 2, where $r = \dim H^1(N, \mathbb{C})$.

**IV. Homologies of the Lie Algebra of Holomorphic Vector Fields on a Compact One-dimensional Manifold $M$**

As in the previous section we choose the diagram (*) as a model for the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields $\text{Lie}_d(M)$. Let us fix a one-dimensional representation of the diagram $\text{Lie}_0(M)$ which we denote by $\square_c, c \in \mathbb{C}$. It means that the corresponding element $H^1(\text{Lie}_0(M))$ is the image of the class $a \in H^2(\text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2))$ which is represented by the cocycle:

$$
\left( f \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \mapsto c \frac{1}{24} \text{Res}_0(f'' \cdot g' - f' \cdot g'').
$$

We shall denote by $\text{Vir}(U_1 \cap U_2) = \text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2) \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ the central extension of $\text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2)$, where $C$ is the central element, and the cocycle corresponds to the value $c = 1$. The diagram (*) is the same as the set of mappings:
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Informally speaking, \( \text{Lie}_0(M) \) is an intersection of the subalgebras \( \text{Lie}(U_1) \) and \( \text{Lie}(U_2) \) in \( \text{Lie}(U_1 \cap U_2) \). By the standard arguments in the spirit of the Frobenius reciprocity law the homologies \( H_i(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i) \) are isomorphic to

\[
H_i(\text{Lie}(U_1), \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i; \text{Lie}(U_1))).
\]

Here we denote by the symbol \( \text{Ind}(A, M; B) \) the induced representation of the Lie algebra \( B \) from the representation \( M \) of subalgebra \( A \subset B \). It is evident from the diagram (**) that the representation \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i; \text{Lie}(U_1)) \) is isomorphic to the restriction onto \( \text{Lie}(U_1) \) of the \( \text{Vir}(U_1 \cap U_2) \)-representation \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_2) \oplus \mathbb{C} C, 1_c; \text{Vir}(U_1 \cap U_2)) \). Here \( 1_c \) is the one-dimensional module of the algebra \( \text{Lie}(U_2) \oplus \mathbb{C} C \), where \( \text{Lie}(U_2) \) acts in trivially on \( 1_c \) and \( C \) acts by multiplication with \( c \in \mathbb{C} \). It is easy to see that if the genus of \( M \) is greater than one, then the representation \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i; \text{Lie}(U_1)) \) is free over the universal enveloping algebra of \( \text{Lie}(U_1) \).

Now we are ready to formulate the result about the homologies but first we recall the standard notations about the representations of the Virasoro algebra. The algebra \( \text{Vir} \) is the central extension of the Lie algebra of vector fields on a punctured disk around the origin in \( \mathbb{C} \). Let \( C \) be the central element of \( \text{Vir} \). There are two important subalgebras \( \text{Vir}^+ \) and \( \overline{\text{Vir}}^+ \) in \( \text{Vir} \): \( \text{Vir}^+ = \left\{ f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \oplus \mathbb{C} C | f(0) = 0 \right\} \) and \( \overline{\text{Vir}}^+ = \left\{ f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \oplus \mathbb{C} C \right\} \), where \( f \) is regular at \( z = 0 \). Denote by \( 1_c \) the one-dimensional \( \text{Vir}^+ \)-module, where \( C \) acts by multiplication with \( c \in \mathbb{C} \); \( 1_{h,c} \) denotes the one-dimensional \( \text{Vir}^+ \)-module, whose corresponding character is \( f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mapsto hf'(0) \), \( C \mapsto c \), for \( h, c \in \mathbb{C} \). We also put \( M_c = \text{Ind}(\text{Vir}^+, 1_c; \text{Vir}) \) and \( M_{h,c} = \text{Ind}(\overline{\text{Vir}}^+, 1_{h,c}; \text{Vir}) \). Usually \( M_{h,c} \) and \( M_c \) are called a Verma module and a parabolic Verma module respectively.

We can attach to an arbitrary point \( p \in M \) the Virasoro algebra \( \text{Vir}(p) \) which is the central extension of the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields in a punctured neighborhood \( U(p) \) of \( p \). The algebras \( \overline{\text{Vir}}^+(p) \) and \( \text{Vir}^+(p) \) consist of regular vector fields and regular vector fields which are zero at \( p \) respectively. So, for any \( p \in M \) we have the \( \text{Vir}(p) \)-modules \( M_{h,c}(p) \) and \( M_c(p) \). It is easy to see that the representation \( M_c(p) \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_2) \oplus \mathbb{C} C, 1_c; \text{Vir}(U_1 \cap U_2)) \).

**Proposition.** Suppose that the genus of \( M > 1 \). Then for any \( i \neq 0 \) \( H_i(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i) \cong H_i(\text{Lie}_d(M), \square_i) = 0; \) \( H_0(\text{Lie}_0(M), \square_i) \cong H_0(\text{Lie}_d(M), \square_i) \cong M_c(p)/(\text{Lie}(U_1)M_c(p)) \); the last term is the space of \( \text{Lie}(U_1) \)-coinvariants in the module \( M_c(p) \).

Now we fix a set of distinct points \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \) of \( M \) and let \( \mathcal{W}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) = M \setminus \{ p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \} \). We want to describe the induced representation \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_d(M), \square_i; \text{Lie}(\mathcal{W}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n))) \). Let \( Z_n \) be the sum Lie \( U(p_1) \oplus \text{Lie}(U(p_2)) \oplus \cdots \text{Lie}(U(p_n)) \) and let \( \tilde{Z}_n \) be the central extension of the Lie algebra \( Z_n \) which corresponds to the cocycle:
\[
(f_1(z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f_2(z_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \cdots + f_n(z_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n},
\]
\[
g_1(z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + g_2(z_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \cdots + g_n(z_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}
\]
\[
\mapsto \frac{c}{24} \sum (f_i'' \cdot g_i' - f_i' \cdot g_i''), \quad c \in \mathbb{C},
\]

where \( z_i \) is a local coordinate at \( p_i \). It is clear that the algebra \( \hat{Z}_n \) acts on the space \( M_c(p_1) \otimes M_c(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_c(p_n) \). The Lie algebra \( \text{Lie } W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \) is a subalgebra of \( Z_n \), and the imbedding \( \theta : \text{Lie } W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \to Z_n \) sends a vector field \( a \in \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)) \) to the set of the Laurent expansions of \( a \) at the points \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \). It may be shown that the imbedding \( \theta \) has a lift to an imbedding \( \hat{\theta} : \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)) \to \hat{Z}_n \). Using the same arguments as in the beginning of this section we can see that the module \( \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_d(M), \square_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \mathbb{C}; \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n))) \) is isomorphic to the restriction of the \( \hat{Z}_n \)-module \( M_c(p_1) \otimes M_c(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_c(p_n) \) on \( \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)) \).

It is easy to define the (cosimplicial) Lie subalgebra \( \text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \subset \text{Lie}_d(M) \) which consists of holomorphic vector fields on \( M \) with zeros at the points \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \). Each point \( p_i \) defines the homomorphism \( \varphi(h_i) : \text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \to \mathbb{C} \), \( h_i \in \mathbb{C} \) which assigns to a vector field in a neighbourhood of \( p \) the number by the rule: \( \varphi(h_i) \left( f_i(z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right) = h_i f(0) \). We also have a map \( \mu : \text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \to \text{Lie}_d(M) \to \mathbb{C} \), where the last arrow corresponds to the representation \( \square_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \mathbb{C} \) of the algebra \( \text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \). Consider the imbedding

\[
\text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \to \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n))
\]

and the corresponding induced representation.

**Proposition.** 1) *The module Ind\((\text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n), \square_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \mathbb{C}; \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)))\) is isomorphic to the restriction of the representation of the Lie algebra \( \hat{Z}_n \) in the space \( M_{h_1,c}(p_1) \otimes M_{h_2,c}(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{h_n,c}(p_n) \) on \( \text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)) \).*

2) *For \( i \neq 0 \), \( H_i(\text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n), \square_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \mathbb{C}) \) is zero if the genus \( g(M) > 1 \) or \( g(M) = 1 \) and \( n \geq 1 \) or \( g(M) = 0 \) and \( n > 3 \).*

3) *\( H_0(\text{Lie}_d(M, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n), \square_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \mathbb{C}) \cong M_{h_1,c}(p_1) \otimes M_{h_2,c}(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{h_n,c}(p_n)/\text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n))(M_{h_1,c}(p_1) \otimes M_{h_2,c}(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{h_n,c}(p_n)) \).*

**Remark.** Let \( \gamma_i \) be a small circle around the point \( p_i \in M \) and \( D(\gamma_i) \) is the group of diffeomorphisms of \( \gamma_i \) with itself; \( D(\gamma_i) \) is a real infinite-dimensional Lie group, and let \( \text{Lie}^R(\gamma_i) \) be the Lie algebra of the group \( D(\gamma_i) \). Denote by \( \text{Lie}(\gamma_i) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Lie}^R(\gamma_i) \)
the complexification of Lie\(^m(\gamma_i)\). It is impossible to construct the complexification of the group \(D(\gamma_i)\), but it is possible to define a local complex group with the Lie algebra \(\text{Lie}(\gamma_i)\). Fix two subalgebras \(L^+\) and \(L^-\) in \(\text{Lie}(\gamma_1) \oplus \text{Lie}(\gamma_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Lie}(\gamma_n)\). The algebra \(\text{Lie}^+\) consists of vector fields which admit extensions on the small disks with the boundaries \(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\). The Lie algebra \(L^-\) is the image of \(\text{Lie}(W) \subset \oplus \text{Lie}(\gamma_i)\), where \(W\) is the manifold obtained by deleting the disks from \(M\). Let \(D, D^+, D^-\) be the local groups with the Lie algebras \(\oplus \text{Lie}(\gamma_i), L^+, L^-\) respectively. Define also the local group \(D_0^+ \subset D^+\), where an element of the Lie algebra of \(D_0^+\) is a set of vector fields on the disks \((f_1, \ldots, f_n)\) such that \(f_i(p_i) = 0\).

Denote by \(M(g, n)\) the moduli space of curves of genus \(g\) with \(n\) marked points. Our object \((M, p_1, \ldots, p_n)\) is a point of \(M(g, n)\). It is easy to see that the double coset space \(D_0^+ \backslash D / D^-\) is isomorphic to the formal neighbourhood of \((M, p_1, \ldots, p_n)\) in \(M(g, n)\). The double coset space \(D^+ \backslash D / D^-\) is the formal neighbourhood of \(M\) in the moduli space \(M(g) = M(g, 0)\). As in the Section III a value \(c \in \mathbb{C}\) of the central charge defines a central extension of the Lie algebra \(\oplus \text{Lie}(\gamma_i)\) and therefore a central extension of the local group \(D_0^+\). This extension is the map \(\hat{D} \rightarrow D\), whose the kernel is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{C}\). Let us fix liftings \(\mu_0 : D_0^+ \rightarrow \hat{D}, \mu_1 : D^- \rightarrow \hat{D}\). The local group \(D_0^+\) has the family of one-dimensional representations \(\theta(h_1, \ldots, h_n) : \exp(u_1), \ldots, \exp(u_n)) \mapsto \exp(\sum h_i u_i(P_i)),\) where \(h_i \in \mathbb{C}\), and \(u_i\) is a little vector field on the disk with boundary \(\gamma_i\).

Using these data we can construct a line bundle \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \in \hat{D}\) over the formal neighbourhood of \((M, p_1, \ldots, p_n)\) in \(M(g, n)\). First define a line bundle \(\xi_{\xi}\) by the map \(\xi_{\xi} : \mu_0(D_0^+) \backslash \hat{D} / \mu_1(D^-) \rightarrow D_0^+ \backslash D / D^-\). The representation \(\theta(h_1, \ldots, h_n)\) defines a homogeneous line bundle on \(D_0^+ \backslash D\), hence a line bundle \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n}\) over \(D_0^+ \backslash D / D^-\).

Now put \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} = \xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}\).

Denote by \(\xi_i\) the following line bundle on \(M(g, n)\); the fiber of \(\xi_i\) is the tangent vector space to \(M\) at \(p_i\). Let \(A\) be the pull-back on \(M(g, n)\) of the bundle of volume forms on \(M(g, 0)\) (it is given by the natural map from \(M(g, n)\) onto \(M(g, 0)\)). Then, the bundle \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}\) is isomorphic to the tensor product \(\xi_{h_1} \otimes \xi_{h_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{h_n} \otimes A^{c/26}\). (If the numbers \(h_1, \ldots, h_n\) and \(c/26\) are integers, then \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}\) is a bundle defined over the whole \(M(g, n)\), otherwise \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}\) exists only in a small neighbourhood.

The space of coinvariants \(M_{h_1, c}(p_1) \otimes M_{h_2, c}(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{h_n, c}(p_n)/\text{Lie}(W(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n))(M_{h_1, c}(p_1) \otimes M_{h_2, c}(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{h_n, c}(p_n))\) can be identified with the space of generalized sections of the bundle \(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}\) with support in the point \((M, p_1, \ldots, p_n)\). More precisely; the space of coinvariants is:

\[H^0_{M, p_1, \ldots, p_n}(\xi_{h_1, \ldots, h_n} \otimes \xi_{\xi}),(\]

where \(H^0(X, F)\) is the local cohomology of a sheaf \(F\) with support in a submanifold \(X\), in our case \(N = n + 3g - 3\).
V. An Analytic Version of the Homology of the Lie Algebra of Holomorphic Vector Fields on $M$ with Coefficients in the One-dimensional Representation $\Box_c$

In this section we shall calculate the homology of the differential Lie superalgebra $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ with coefficients in a one-dimensional representation. Let us write down the standard homological complex:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzcd}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
C & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) & \Lambda^2(\Gamma(T^{-1,0})) & \Lambda^3(\Gamma(T^{-1,0})) \\
0 & \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \Lambda^2 \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & S^2 \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes S^2(\Gamma(T^{-1,1})) & \vdots \\
0 & S^3 \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) & \vdots & \vdots
\end{tikzcd}
\end{center}

Here the horizontal and vertical arrows are derived from the bracket in $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$. They constitute the differential in the standard complex of $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ with trivial coefficients. The dashed arrows are the following maps:

$A \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes S^l \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) \to A^{l-1} \Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes S^{l-1} \Gamma(T^{-1,1})$.

They arise as a contraction with the multiple of the tensor corresponding to the map $\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \otimes \Gamma(T^{-1,1}) \xrightarrow{\Psi_3} \Gamma(T^{1,1}) \to \mathbb{C}$, where the last map is the integration of forms over the manifold. (Recall that the map $\Psi_3$ is related to the operator $D$ with the highest symbol $(\partial/\partial z)^3$. If we multiply $\Psi_3$ with $c/24$ we get the one-dimensional representation of $\Gamma(\text{Lie}(M))$ corresponding to the value $c$ of the central charge.)

Our complex has a natural filtration $\{K_n\}$, the subcomplex $K_n$ consisting of the first $n$ columns. First let us calculate the first term of the spectral sequence, i.e., the homologies of the columns. If the genus of $M$ is greater than one, then the first term is concentrated in degree zero and is equal to the sum $\bigoplus S^l V$, where $V$ is the space of homologies of the complex $\Gamma(T^{-1,0}) \to \Gamma(T^{-1,1})$, of dim $V = 3g - 3$. The first term of the spectral sequence coincides with the limit term.

**Proposition.** $H_\ast(\Gamma \text{Lie}(M), \Box_c) \cong H_\ast(\text{Lie}_d(M), \Box_c)$.

The previous calculation illustrates this fact. We saw in the Section III, that $H_i(\text{Lie}_d(M), \Box_c) = 0$, for $i \neq 0$; and $H_0(\text{Lie}_d(M), \Box_c)$ is the space of distributions on the space of complex structures on $M$.

**Remark 1.** Recall the idea of the deformation theory (in a very rough form). Let $A$ be an algebraic object (algebra, Lie algebra, algebraic manifold), $RA$ be a resolution
of $A$. For example, if $A$ is a ring, then $RA$ is a simplicial free ring; if $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $RA$ is the Tyurin resolution. Let $G$ be the group of transformations of $RA$ and $H^*(G)$ be the algebra of cohomologies of $G$. Then, the spectrum of the skew-commutative algebra $H^*(G)$ is the space of versal deformations of $A$. The model for deformation is the standard complex of $G$ with coefficients in $RA$. The results of the Sections V and IV are realizations of this idea in the case where $A$ is a one-dimensional manifold $M$. We associated to $M$ the cosimplicial Lie algebra of transformations of $M$ (or the differential Lie algebra $\Gamma(Lie(M))$). In terms of this cosimplicial Lie algebra we calculated the small neighbourhood of $M$ in the moduli space. A representation $\pi$ of the group (or Lie algebra) of transformations defines a sheaf over the moduli space (versal deformations), of which the space of sections is $H^*(G, \pi)$.

**Remark 2.** There is another (very informal) way of thinking about all these facts. Let $M$ be a compact complex manifold and $Diff(M)$ be a group of all $C^\infty$-diffeomorphisms of $M$ and $Diff_c(M) \subset Diff(M)$ be the subgroup of diffeomorphisms preserving the complex structure. If $\dim M = 1$ and the genus of $M$ is greater than one, then $Diff_c(M)$ is very little (finite). But we want to think about the group $Diff_c(M)$ in a "derived" sense. The Lie algebra of $Diff_c(M)$ is the differential Lie algebra $\Gamma(Lie(M))$ and the Euler characteristic of the complex $\Gamma(Lie(M))$ is the formal dimension of the group $Diff_c(M)$. So, if $M$ is a curve, then $\dim(Diff_c(M))$ is negative and equal to $3 - 3g$, where $g$ is the genus of $M$. The double coset space $Diff_c(M)/Diff(M)/Diff(M)$ is exactly the space of all complex structures on $M$. In this remark we suppose $\dim M = 1$. The group of $Diff_c(M)$ has a negative dimension, so the dimension of the double coset space is equal to $3g - 3 = \dim(M, g, 0)$. A one-dimensional representation of the group $Diff_c(M)$ defines a homogeneous line bundle over the factor space $Diff_c(M)/Diff(M)$ and, therefore, over the moduli space. By this construction we get powers of the determinant bundle. From this point of view it is very interesting to investigate all representations of the group $Diff_c(M)$.

**Example.** Consider the complex $L_n = \{ \Gamma(T^{n,0}) \to \Gamma(T^{n,1}) \}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $L_n$ is a $\Gamma(Lie(M))$-module. It means that we have a representation $\psi_n: \Gamma(Lie(M)) \to \text{End}(L_n)$. The trace of this representation is an element of $H^1(\Gamma(Lie(M)))$. The corresponding value of the central charge is equal to $-2(6n^2 - 6n + 1)$.

From the presentation of $M(g, 0)$ as a double coset space $Diff_c(M)/Diff(M)$ we see that there is a $Diff_c(M)$-bundle $\star = Diff(M)/Diff(M) \to M(g)$. This is a principle $Diff_c(M)$-bundle. We can apply the Weil construction of the characteristic classes to this bundle. Let $G$ be a Lie group and $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. The usual Weil homomorphism associates with a principal $G$-bundle $E \to S$ and an invariant polynomial $p$ on the space $\mathcal{G}$, $p \in S^n(\mathcal{G}^*)$ a cohomology class of the space $S$ of dimension $2n$. In our case we have also a map: $H^0_c(\Gamma(Lie(M)))$, $S^2(\Gamma(Lie(M)^*)) \to H^2(M(g, 0), \mathcal{C})$.

We saw that the algebra $H^0_c(\Gamma(Lie(M)))$, $S^2(\Gamma(Lie(M)^*))$ is an algebra of polynomials in an infinite number of variables $\mathcal{C}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots]$. So we get the (well-known)
map $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots] \to H^*(M(g, 0), \mathbb{C})$. The calculation of this map gives us the Riemann-Roch theorem for families of curves.

VI. Integrable Homologies of the Lie Algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$

For any open subset (in the Zarisky topology) $U \subset M$ we have an imbedding $\text{Lie}_A(M) \subset \text{Lie}(U)$. A representation $\nu$ of the algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$ is actually the set of $\text{Lie}(U)$-modules $H(U) \cong \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}_A(M), \nu; \text{Lie}(U))$ and isomorphisms $\varphi(U_1, U_2): \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_2), H(U_2); \text{Lie}(U_1)) \to H(U_1)$, where $U_i$ is a subset of $U_2$. Such morphisms $\varphi(U_1, U_2)$ should satisfy the evident compatibility condition: for a couple of imbeddings $U_3 \to U_2 \to U_1$ the composition of the maps

$$\text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_2), \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_1), H(U_1); \text{Lie}(U_2)); \text{Lie}(U_3)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind} \varphi(U_2, U_1)} \text{Ind}(\text{Lie}(U_2), H(U_2); \text{Lie}(U_3)) \xrightarrow{\varphi(U_3, U_2)} H(U_3)$$

is equal to $\varphi(U_3, U_1)$.

In a more general context it is reasonable to call such a set of data as a representation of the algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$ also in the case when the maps $\varphi(U_1, U_2)$ are not necessarily isomorphisms. It is easy to define the homologies of the algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$ with coefficients in such a representation.

Let $U$ be a Lie algebra and $\pi$ be a representation of $U$. Let us denote by $ss(\pi) < U^*$ the singular support of $\pi$. We shall call $\pi$ integrable (or lisse) if $ss(\pi) = 0$. Note that for finite dimensional $U$ the class of integrable representations coincides with the class of finite dimensional ones. We shall call a representation of the algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$ lisse if for any open set $U \subset M$ the singular support of the corresponding $\text{Lie}(U)$-module $H(U)$ is zero. (We also suppose that $H(U)$ has a finite set of generators.)

Proposition. Let $\pi$ be a representation of the Virasoro algebra from the category of representations with highest weight. We suppose that the central element $C \in \text{Vir}$ acts on $\pi$ by multiplication with a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\pi$ is a lisse representation of $\text{Vir}$.

2. $c = c_{p, q} = 1 - \frac{6 \cdot (p - q)^2}{p \cdot q}$, where $p, q$ are two natural relatively prime numbers. The representation $\pi$ decomposes as $\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_N$, where $\pi_i$ is an irreducible representation of the corresponding minimal conformal field theory.

Let us denote by $L_{h,c}$ the irreducible quotient of the Verma representation $M_{h,c}$. Fix two natural relatively prime numbers $(p, q)$. The irreducible representations of the minimal $(p, q)$-theory are $L_{h,c_{p,q}}$, where $h = h_{r,s}$ is equal to $\frac{(rp - sq)^2 - 1}{4pq}$, $1 \leq r \leq p - 1$, $1 \leq s \leq r$; $L_{h_{1,1}}$ is called the vacuum representation.

Now we attach to a minimal $(p, q)$-theory a lisse representation of the algebra $\text{Lie}_A(M)$. A $\text{Lie}_A(M)$-module $\square_{c_{p,q}}$ is defined in the following way. Consier the open set $W(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = M \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. We previously attached to each point $p_i$ a copy of the Virasoro algebra and let $L(p_i)$ be its vacuum representation. The
Lie algebra $\text{Lie } W(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ acts on the space $L(p_1) \otimes L(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(p_n) = \bigotimes_{c_{p,q}} (W(p_1, \ldots, p_n))$. The induced representation from the Lie $W(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$-module $\bigotimes_{c_{p,q}} (W(p_1, \ldots, p_n))$ to the algebra $\text{Lie } W(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1})$ is isomorphic to $L(p_1) \otimes L(p_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(p_n) \otimes M_{0,c_{p,q}}(p_{n+1})$. So it is clear that there is a natural map

$$\text{Ind}(\text{Lie } W(p_1, \ldots, p_n); \bigotimes_{c_{p,q}} \text{Lie } W(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1})) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{c_{p,q}} (W(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1})).$$

We see that $\bigotimes_{c_{p,q}}$ is the lisse quotient of the $\text{Lie}_d(M)$-representation $\bigotimes_{c_{p,q}}$. If $c \neq c_{p,q}$ for any $p, q$, then the module $\bigotimes_c$ has no lisse quotient.

Fix a set of distinct points $\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\}$ of $M$. We can define in a similar way the notion of lisse representations of $\text{Lie}_d(M, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$. An example of a lisse representation of $\text{Lie}_d(M, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$ is the following. Let us attach to each point $a_i$ the number $h(a_i) = h_{a_i}$. If a point $a$ is not in $\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\}$ put $h(a) = 0$. The representation $\bigotimes (h(a_1), \ldots, h(a_t); c_{p,q})$ associates to the open set $W(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ the space $L_{h(p_1);c_{p,q}} \otimes L_{h(p_2);c_{p,q}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{h(p_n);c_{p,q}}$.

The conformal field theory defines the so-called modular functor. This functor associates with a Riemann surface a finite dimensional vector space (another name of this space is the conformal block for the holomorphic statistical sum). The definition of the modular functor for the minimal conformal field theory is the following: $H(M, p, q) = L_{0,c_{p,q}} / U \cdot L_{0,c_{p,q}}$. Here $L_{0,c_{p,q}}$ is the vacuum representation of $\text{Vir}$ attached to an arbitrary point of $M$, and $U$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$-{point}.

**Theorem.** 1) The algebra $H^*(\Gamma \text{Lie}(M))$ acts on the space $H^*(\text{Lie}_d(M), \bigotimes_{c_{p,q}})$ and the dual space is a free $H^*(\Gamma \text{Lie}(M))$-module with generators in degree zero. 2) $H_0(\text{Lie}_d(M), \bigotimes_{c_{p,q}}) \cong H(M, p, q)$.

A similar statement is true for the algebra $\text{Lie}_d(M, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$. 
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1. Introduction

Morse theory, invented in the 1930s, has had remarkable applications both in Topology and Analysis. Applied to infinite dimensional manifolds, it leads to existence proofs for solutions of certain differential equations which entirely circumvent the problem of calculation. One of the earliest examples is Schnirelman’s existence proof for closed geodesics on spheres obtained by considering the energy function on loop space. Conversely, Morse theory on the same function led in 1956 to Bott’s periodicity theorem [5] for classical Lie groups.

In the 70ies the hypotheses for infinite dimensional Morse theory were formalized into a condition of “essential positivity” of the function 1, and an analytic compactness condition [23], the much discussed Palais–Smale condition. In the following decade, attention focussed on certain essentially positive functions which just fail to satisfy this second condition, called “borderline cases”. The most prominent examples are the minimal-surface problem [25] and Yang-Mills theory in dimension 4. These problems were not only these very “natural” problems from the geometric and physical point of view, but also revealed similar special properties.

In this contribution, we consider functions which are also of “Palais Smale borderline” type, but which are not “essentially positive”. The point is that while the usual approach to Morse theory does not apply to such cases, a different approach yields applications to existence of solutions to differential equations 2, as well as to topology. Instead of developing an abstract setting for this method, we present the two main applications. First, however, we discuss the two different approaches to Morse theory in the finite dimensional case.

2. Morse Theory in Finite Dimensions

Consider a smooth real valued function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ on a smooth (finite dimensional) manifold $M$. Morse theory is concerned with the relation between the

---


1 Meaning boundedness from below and finiteness of the Morse indices.

2 i.e. Hamilton’s equation.
critical set

\[ C(f) = \{ x \in M \mid df(x) = 0 \} \quad (1) \]

of \( f \) and the topology of \( M \). Here, we assume that \( C(f) \) is "nondegenerate", i.e. that for every \( x \in C(f) \), the second derivative of \( f \) (called the Hessian of \( f \) at \( x \)) is a nondegenerate quadratic form. That such relation should exist is seen most easily in the "waterlevel picture": Consider as a standard example of a manifold the two-torus \( T^2 \), embedded in 3-space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) in the usual way as the surface of a tire. Let \( f \) be given by the height \(^3\), such that \( C(f) \) consists of four points. Now, imagine \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) to be flooded, and consider the portion

\[ M_a = \{ x \in M \mid f(x) \leq a \} \quad (2) \]

of \( M \) below the "waterlevel" \( a \in \mathbb{R} \). The crucial observation is that the topology of \( M_a \) changes precisely at those levels which are the values of a critical point of \( f \). Moreover, up to homotopy, this change is described by gluing to \( M_{a-x} \) the "unstable manifold" of \( x \), which is a cell whose dimension is called the Morse index \( \mu(x) \), and equals the dimension of the negative "eigenspace" of the Hessian at \( x \). This successive attaching of cells implies the well-known "Morse inequalities" in homology, which can be stated as follows:

If \( M \) is closed, then \( H_*(M) \) is obtained from a chain complex, which is given by a boundary operator \( \partial \) on the free abelian group over the critical set.

We will denote this "chain complex" by

\[ C_*(f) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d \quad \text{with} \quad d = \lvert C(f) \rvert . \]

To make this procedure more precise, we have to introduce an auxiliary metric \( \gamma \) on \( M \), and consider the corresponding "gradient flow trajectories" of \( f \), i.e. smooth paths \( u : \mathbb{R} \to M \) satisfying the equation

\[ \frac{du(t)}{dt} + \nabla_\gamma f(u(t)) = 0 . \quad (3) \]

With the exception of the constant solutions \( u(t) \equiv x \) for \( x \in \mathcal{C}(f) \), the function decreases along gradient flow trajectories. The stable and unstable manifolds of \( x \in \mathcal{C}(f) \) are the sets \( W^\pm_\gamma(x) \) of all trajectories \( u \) with \( \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} = x \).\(^4\) The metric on \( TM(x) \) also converts the Hessian into a symmetric operator on \( TM(x) \) whose negative eigenspace \( E^-_\gamma(x) \) is the tangent space at \( x \) of \( W^-_\gamma(x) \).\(^5\)

There is a different, in some sense dual approach to Morse theory, which instead of the unstable manifolds considers only the spaces

\[ \mathcal{M}_\gamma(x, y) = \{ u : \mathbb{R} \to M \mid u \text{ satisfies (5)} \} = W^-_\gamma(x) \cap W^+_\gamma(y) . \quad (4) \]

\(^3\) The tire assumed to be in upright position.

\(^4\) We can always identify trajectories and points in \( M \) through the correspondence \( u \equiv u(0) \).

\(^5\) In fact, it is globally diffeomorphic to \( W^-_\gamma(x) \).
with
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{du}{dt} = -\nabla_{\gamma} f(u(t)), \\
l \lim_{t \to -\infty} u(t) = x, \\
l \lim_{t \to \infty} u(t) = y.
\end{cases}
\]

These are spaces of gradient flow lines connecting two given critical points \(x, y \in C(f)\).

For "generic" \(\gamma\), these intersections are transversal, resulting in smooth manifolds \(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)\) of dimension \(\mu(x) - \mu(y)\). Such gradient flows are called "Morse Smale flows". Note that if we assume an orientation on \(E^{-}_{\gamma}(x)\) at all critical points \(x \in C(f)\), then \(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)\) is naturally oriented as the intersections of the oriented \(W^{-}_{\gamma}(x)\) with the co-oriented \(W^{+}_{\gamma}(y)\). Finally the translational symmetry implies a splitting
\[
\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y) = \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y) \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{\text{constant trajectories}\}.
\]

where \(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)\) will be called the reduced trajectory space. To formulate the Morse inequalities, we consider for \(x, y \in C(f)\) the integer
\[
< \partial x \mid y > = \#\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)
\]
where \# applied to an oriented manifold denotes its algebraic number, counted with sign, if it is discrete, and is zero otherwise. In other words, \(< \partial x \mid y >\) is the number of "isolated trajectories" of the \(\nabla_{\gamma} f\)-flow, i.e. of components of \(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)\) of type \(\mathbb{R}\), between \(x\) and \(y\), counted positively, if its "intrinsic" orientation points in the flow direction and negatively otherwise.

We interpete (7) as the matrix elements of an endomorphism on \(C_{*}(f)\). That is, if \(x \in C(f)\), then \(\partial x\) is the formal sum over the endpoints of all isolated trajectories originating at \(x\), counted by sign. Then we have the following

**Theorem 1 (Morse Inequalities).** If \(M\) is closed and \((f, \gamma)\) defines a Morse Smale flow on \(M\), then
1. the discrete parts of the sets \(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(x, y)\) are finite, and \(\partial \partial = 0\)
2. \(\ker / \im \partial \cong H_{*}(M)\)

In the example of the torus, we may have to perturb the metric given by standard embedding slightly to obtain a Morse Smale flow. For example, there should be no trajectories connecting the two critical points of Morse index 1. For any Morse Smale flow, however, we will find that isolated trajectories come in pairs of opposite sign: the boundary operator is zero, since \(H_{*}(M) = C_{*}(f) = \mathbb{Z}^{4}\). (This is called a perfect Morse function.) An example with nontrivial \(\partial\) is easily constructed e.g. by creating an additional local maximum of \(f\).

Let us have just a glimpse of the proof of the boundary property of \(\partial\). The matrix element \(< \partial \partial x \mid y >\) of the homomorphism \(\partial \partial\) for \(x, y \in C(f)\) is given by the algebraic number of "double trajectories", i.e. pairs of isolated flow lines connecting \(x\) and \(z\) with a stopover at a third critical point \(y\). In this situation, it can be shown that if we change the outgoing direction at \(x\) slightly in a particular
way, we generate a 1-parameter family in $\mathcal{M}_y(x,z)$ for any Morse Smale flow. In fact, there exist local diffeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_y(x,y) \times (0,1] \times \mathcal{M}_y(y,z) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_y(x,z). \quad (8)$$

Now, every non-compact component of a 1-dimensional manifold must have two ends, and compactness of $M$ implies that such ends always correspond to “double trajectories”. Analysing the orientation involved, we find that each of these pairs of double trajectories cancel in $<\partial \partial x | y>$. We see that compactness properties of the spaces $\mathcal{M}_y(x,y)$ are crucial in the definition of $\partial$ as well as in the proof of its boundary property. These compactness properties can be derived from compactness of $M$, but they would follow in the same way from compactness of

$$S = \{ u(0) | u \in \mathcal{M}_y(x,y) \text{ for some } x,y \in \mathcal{C}(f) \} \quad (9)$$
as subset of $M$. Hence, if this set, the union of all bounded flow trajectories, is compact in $M$, then we can associate to it the homology group

$$I_*(S,f,y) = \ker \text{im } \partial. \quad (10)$$

If $M$ is noncompact, then this group will in general differ from $H_*(M)$; it is a new invariant of the flow and of its compact invariant set $S$. One can show that $I_*(S,f,y)$ is invariant under the deformation of $f$ and $\gamma$ (through non-Morse–Smale flows) as long as $S$ remains compact throughout the deformation. In fact, $I_*(S,f,g)$ can be shown to be the homology of the “Conley Index” of $S$; which is the homology of a neighbourhood $U$ of $S$ relative to a suitably defined “exit set” $A \subset U$. For details, see [10] and [17].

3. The Symplectic Action

We want to extend the idea of the Conley index to infinite dimensional variational problems. Consider the “phase space” $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, of positions and moments of a linear mechanical system, parameterized by $Z = \{p_i, q_i\}_{i=1}^n$. For every loop $z : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we define the “action function”

$$a_h(z) = \int p \dot{q} + \int h_s(z(s)) ds \quad (11)$$

where $h : S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, considered as a “time dependent Hamiltonian”. In the simplest case, it is the sum of the kinetic term $\frac{m}{2} \dot{p}^2$ and a potential term $V_s(q)$. Hamilton’s principle states that the critical points of $a_h$ in $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = C^0(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ are the solutions of Hamilton’s equation. If $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^n$ by means of a complex structure $J$ and a metric $g$, then these equations take the form

$$J \frac{dz}{ds} + \nabla_g h_s(z(s)) = 0. \quad (12)$$

\[ \text{Here, } \omega := g(J \cdot , \cdot ) \text{ is assumed to be skew-symmetric, and the equation (12) is essentially determined by this “symplectic form” alone.} \]
The left hand side of (12) can be considered as the gradient of $a_h$ on $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with respect to the "$L^2$-metric" on this infinite dimensional space defined by $g$.

To apply Morse theory to Hamilton's principle, one might extend $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to some Banach space $\tilde{\Omega}$, and modify the gradient to a smooth vector field on $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then the gradient flow would be available as a homotopy on $\tilde{\Omega}$ decreasing the action. Note, however, that the Hessian of $a_h$ at a critical point is a zero-order perturbation of the selfadjoint operator $J \frac{d}{ds} J$, which has infinite dimensional positive and negative eigenspaces. Hence, the unstable manifolds are infinite dimensional cells, the addition of which does not change the homotopy type. Therefore, one cannot relate critical points of $a_h$ to the topology of subsets of $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Nevertheless, the problem was attacked in 1978 by Rabinowitz [24]: He restricted the function to finite dimensional Fourier-subspaces of loops, and used a method of Morse theory which was "stabilized" with an increase of dimension. Thus the topological invariants used by Rabinowitz were trivial in the total loopspace, but existed nevertheless in some sort of a "stable limit". In the following decade, one came to understand these invariants in the framework of a special homology theory in $\Omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ which pays special respect to the decomposition into positive and negative Fourier components of loops, and in which the unstable and stable manifolds would define cycles and cocycles, respectively. Though this idea was never made precise, it inspired various existence proofs for closed solutions of Hamiltonian systems in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

The dual approach to Morse theory can be used to define such "half-infinite" dimensional homology groups without having to consider infinite dimensional subsets. Since we are interested in individual trajectories rather than in the entire gradient flow, we do not have to "regularize" the gradient, but can consider maps $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ satisfying the equation

$$\frac{\partial u(s,t)}{\partial t} + J \frac{\partial u(s,t)}{\partial s} + \left( V_g h_s \right)(u(s,t)) = \left( \partial_J u + D_g h(u) \right)(s,t) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Here, we have combined the derivative in the flow time and the differential part of the gradient of $a_h$ to the Cauchy Riemann operator, whose zero set is the set of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong \mathbb{C}/i\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Thus the "gradient flow equation" for $a_h$ is a zero order perturbation of the equation for "holomorphic curves" in $\mathbb{C}^n$, which Gromov [21] introduced to symplectic geometry in 1985. Ellipticity of $\partial_J$ and nondegeneracy of $\mathcal{G}(a_h)$ implies that the spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_h(x) = \{ u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid u \text{ satisfies } (15) \}$$

are, for generic $h$ and $J$, finite dimensional manifolds, with an orientation defined through a "determinant bundle". Moreover, Gromov's compactness theory of (pseudo)-holomorphic curves implies that the integers (7) are still defined for suitable $h$. 

Elliptic Methods in Variational Problems
The trajectory spaces (14) can be defined equally well if \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) is replaced by any symplectic manifold \((P, \omega)\) with symplectic (i.e. nondegenerate closed) form \( \omega \in \Omega^2(P) \). In fact, let us assume that \( P \) is compact. In this case, Gromov's compactness theory predicts that the spaces \( \mathcal{M}_\gamma(x, y) \) are compact (in the topology of local convergence) up to the phenomenon of "bubbles": A sequence \( u_k \) in \( \mathcal{M}_\gamma(x, y) \) may converge to \( u \) outside a point \((s, t) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R}\), but may "blow up" to form a holomorphic map \((S^2, \infty) \rightarrow (P, u(s, t))\) in arbitrarily small neighbourhoods of \((s, t)\). Such "holomorphic spheres" always define nontrivial elements in \( \pi_2(P) \). This leads to the following chain complex:

**Theorem 2.** Let \((P, \omega)\) be a compact symplectic manifold with \( \pi_2(P) = 0 \). Then for generic \( h \) and \( J \), the trajectory spaces (14) define a boundary operator \( \partial = \partial_{h, J} \) on \( C_*(a_h) \).

Its homology is independent of the choice of \( h \) and \( J \). For the proof of the boundary property \( \partial^2 = 0 \), the "gluing maps" (8) are now defined by a version of Taubes' grafting constructions, which was first defined for instantons, but applies to other elliptic equations as well. The crucial point is invariance property, which implies that the "new homology group" depends only on \( P \), and that it may be calculated e.g. for "small" Hamiltonians. Since \( \mathcal{C}(a_0) \) is the set of constant loops, it turns out that it coincides with \( H_*(P) \). The result [18] is a "Morse inequality" between the set of closed solutions of (12) and the homology of \( P \); in particular, the number of such solutions must be greater than or equal to the dimension of \( H_*(P) \). Recall that all solutions were assumed to be non-degenerated. In the general case, the method can be extended to yield "cuplength estimates". This fact, conjectured by Arnold in [3,2], had been established by Conley and Zehnder in [8] for the torus \( T^{2n} \), applying the Conley index to a "reduced" finite dimensional problem. The construction of the complex in Theorem 2 was in fact an attempt to define the Conley index directly in an infinite dimensional situation. It can be defined in various other situations involving the symplectic action, e.g. to Lagrangian intersections [15] and symplectic manifolds with contact boundaries. It is not always as readily calculable, in fact, it may yield new invariants in symplectic geometry which are yet to be explored.

4. Gauge Theory

The method described in the preceding section uses strongly the special geometric and analytic features of the symplectic action function. There exists, however, another natural variational problem with remarkably similar properties. To describe it, we have to replace the loop space \( \Omega(P) \) by the space of \( SU_2 \)-connections on a closed oriented three-manifold \( M \). Recall that all \( SU_2 \)-bundles of \( M \) are trivial, and that with respect to such a trivialization, the space of connections on \( M \times SU_2 \) can be identified with

\[
\mathcal{A}_M := \Omega^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{su}_2
\]  

\[\text{(16)}\]

\[\text{Work in progress.}\]
where $\mathfrak{su}_2$ is the Lie algebra of $\text{SU}_2$. A connection $a$ defines a covariant derivative $\nabla_a \xi = \nabla \xi + a \xi$ of "sections" $\xi : M \to \mathbb{C}^2$, where $\nabla$ is the usual derivative, and $\mathfrak{su}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the usual way. The gauge group

$$G_M = C^\infty(M, \text{SU}_2)$$

of bundle transformations of $M \times \mathbb{C}^2$ also acts on such sections, and hence on $\mathcal{A}_M$ through

$$g^{-1} \nabla_a g = \nabla_{g \cdot a} ; \ g \cdot a = g^{-1} \nabla g + g^{-1} a g.$$  (18)

The curvature-2-form $F_a$ of $a \in \mathcal{A}_M$ is $G_M$-equivariant, where $G_M$ acts linearly on $\Omega^2(M) \otimes \mathfrak{su}_2$, i.e. we have $F_{g \cdot a} = g^{-1} F_a g^{-1}$. Such a $G_M$-equivariant map can also be considered as a section of an infinite dimensional vector bundle$^8$ over the quotient space $\mathcal{B}_M = \mathcal{A}_M / G_M$. The latter is known to contain as an open subset the infinite dimensional manifold $\mathcal{B}_M$ of irreducible connection classes.

So far, we have not made use of the dimension of $M$. However, the particular position of $\Omega^2(M)$ in the De Rham complex of $M$ lends a special aspect to gauge theory in dimensions 2, 3 and 4. In the present case, note that curvature defines a 1-form on $\mathcal{A}_M$, which turns out to be exact. More precisely, it defines up to an additive constant a function $S : \mathcal{A}_M \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\int_M \text{tr}(F_a \wedge b) = dS(a)b.$$  (19)

This function is almost gauge invariant: It defines a function $S : \mathcal{B}_M \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ first considered by Chern and Simons. Thus the critical point set $\mathcal{C}(S)$ of this Chern Simons functions is the set of flat connections, which can be described algebraically through their holonomy:

$$\mathcal{C}(S) = \{ a \in \mathcal{A}_M \mid F_a = 0 \} / G_M$$

$$= \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), \text{SU}_2) / \text{ad}(\text{SU}_2).$$  (20)

Though the actual description of (17) may be a rather complicated problem, we cannot really expect infinite dimensional Morse theory to be of much help. In fact, the objective in this case is entirely reversed: If $R_M$ is related by such a Morse theory to some homology group, then this group could define a new invariant of $M$. Since the Hessian of $s$ at $a \in R_M$, which is given by the symmetric bilinear form

$$D^2 S(a)(\xi, \zeta) = \int_M \text{tr}(\xi \wedge d_a \zeta)$$  (21)

on $\Omega^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{su}_2$, has again an infinite dimensional negative and positive subspaces, we cannot expect the topology of $\mathcal{B}_M$ itself to come in. Just as solutions of the Cauchy-Riemann equation on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ are the gradient flow trajectories for the symplectic action, the gradient flow trajectories for $S$ are given by instantons on $\mathbb{R} \times M$. To see this, note that every connection on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ is gauge equivalent

$^8$ With fibre $\Omega^2(M) \otimes \mathfrak{su}_2$. 
to a connection $a$ with component in $\mathbb{R}$-direction\(^9\) vanishing. Such a connection describes a family in $\mathcal{B}_M$ by $a(s) = a(s_{|\mathbb{R} \times M})$. If $\gamma_M$ is a metric on $M$, and a metric on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ is defined by $(ds)^2 + \gamma_M$, then the antiself duality equation on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ takes on the form

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}a(s) + *_{\gamma_M}F_{a(s)}$$

(22)

where $*_{\gamma_M}$ denotes Hodge duality in $\Omega^*(M)$ with respect to $\gamma_M$. By (17), we can consider $*F_a$ as the gradient of the Chern-Simons function with respect to the $L^2$-metric on $\mathcal{A}_M$ defined by $\gamma_M$. We arrive at the conclusion that instantons on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ represent “trajectories of the $L^2$-gradient flow” of the Chern-Simons function $S$, even though such a flow is not defined everywhere as a homotopy on $\mathcal{B}_M$. The analogue of (4) and (14) is now the family of instanton spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha \mid \mathbb{R} \times M \mid \beta) = \{a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R} \times M} \mid A \text{ satisfies } (24) \} / \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R} \times M}$$

(23)

with

$$\begin{align*}
F_a^+ &= 0 , \\
\lim_{t \to -\infty} a(t) &= \alpha , \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) &= \beta .
\end{align*}$$

(24)

The connection $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ is nondegenerate as a critical point of $S$ in $\mathcal{B}_M$ iff the first cohomology group $H_1^*(M, su_2)$ with coefficients twisted by $\alpha$ vanishes. Under this condition, it follows from Taubes’ analysis that “generically” the spaces (18) are smooth finite dimensional manifolds. (Here, we may need perturbations not only of the metric but also of $S$ itself, and such perturbations may also be used to make $\mathcal{C}(S)$ nondegenerate whenever this is not a priori the case. However, for the sake of brevity we will have to ignore this point here.) Orientations can be derived from Donaldson’s work [11,12] and a “weak compactness theory” of instanton spaces was developed by Uhlenbeck [28,29]. With these three ingredients, we can again set up the dual “Morse inequalities”. Assume first that $H_1(M) = 0$, to avoid “abelian” elements of $C(S)$. Denote by $C_\ast(M)$ the free abelian group over $\mathcal{C}(S) \setminus \{\theta\}$ (which is finite when nondegenerate), and define

$$\partial : C_\ast(M) \to C_\ast(M) < \partial \alpha, \beta >= \# \hat{\mathcal{M}}(\alpha \mid \mathbb{R} \times M \mid \beta)$$

(25)

with $\#$ and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ defined as before. The proof of the boundary property $\partial \partial = 0$ now relies on an analogue of (8) which is almost the original Taubes grafting procedure. The result is the following new topological invariant:

**Theorem 3.** We have $\partial \partial = 0$, and the homology groups

$$F_\ast(M) = \ker \partial / \text{im} \partial$$

\(^9\) Which we will consider as the zero–component $a_0$.\n
do not depend on the choice of the metric $\gamma_M$. Moreover, for every oriented homology 3-sphere there are perturbations of $S$ whose critical set is nondegenerate, and which give rise to a chain complex $(C_*(M, h), \partial_\gamma h)$, whose homology depends only on $M$.

The groups $F_*(M)$ were calculated by Fintushel and Stern for Brieskorn spheres $M(p, q, r)$. Here $S$ turns out to be "perfect", and $F_*(M) = C_*(M)$. For more complex Brieskorn spheres $M(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$, $S$ is still perfect in the sense that although $C(S)\setminus\{\theta\}$ is not discrete, its homology coincides with $F_*(M)$, see [4,22]. There is, however, no reason to believe that $S$ will be perfect for any large class of 3-manifolds, and other methods of calculation are needed. These rely very much on the functorial property of $F_*$ with respect to (oriented) cobordism, and on certain exact sequences which define a system of "axioms" for the "homology theory" $F_*$. To explain functoriality, consider a smooth compact oriented cobordism $W$ between $M$ and $N$, which we denote as $W : M \to N$. Add half cylinders $\mathbb{R}_- \times M$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \times N$ to $W$ to obtain an open 4-manifold $W_\alpha$, and consider a metric on $W_\alpha$ which is cylindrical on the ends. Then we can define finite dimensional, oriented, and weakly compact manifolds $J_{\gamma}(a \cup W \cup \beta)$ for $a \in R_M$ and $\beta \in R_N$ in much the same way as in the case $W = \mathbb{R} \times M$. (Of course, the translational symmetry is not defined anymore.) It turns out that, counting the discrete part of the (unreduced) moduli spaces, we obtain a chain homomorphism

$$W_* : C_*(M) \to C_*(N) \quad <a | W_* | \beta> = \#M(a \cup W \cup \beta). \quad (26)$$

The proof of the chain property is similar to the proof of $\partial \partial = 0$. Hence, cobordisms $W : M \to N$ of homology 3-spheres induce homomorphisms $W_* : F_*(M) \to F_*(W)$. This construction turns out to be functorial with respect to the obvious composition law for cobordism.

In the category of 3-dimensional cobordisms between surfaces, such a functor (taking values in finite dimensional Hilbert spaces) has been recently discovered, the Witten–Jones "topological quantum field theory". Its initial definition uses the path integral of the Quantum–Field–theory on 3-dimensions, in which the Chern–Simons function $S$ plays the role of the Lagrangian. The path integral itself does not have an entirely rigorous mathematical meaning, but physical reasoning implies certain axiomatic properties the resulting functor which allow its construction by combinatorial techniques. To a certain degree the functor $F_*$ may also be considered as a topological quantum field theory, though its "axioms", besides functoriality itself, differ strongly from those of Witten–Jones.

---

10 This is similar to the determination of $I_*(P)$ in the symplectic case.

11 It is quite remarkable that this function thus leads to two apparently quite independent sets of topological invariants for three manifolds, but no direct relation can be derived from the definition.
theory: the central ingredient seems to be an exact sequence

\[ F_*(M) \to F_*(M_0) \to F_*(M_1) \quad (27) \]

where \( M_0 \) and \( M_1 \) are given by two different kinds of surgery on the same knot in \( M \), see [19]. Moreover, even though such exact sequences can be shown to define a “complete” axiomatic system, i.e. to “characterize” the functor \( F_* \) in the same way as the Eilenberg–Steenrod axioms characterize usual homology, they do not yield a straight forward calculation for the groups \( F_* \) due to the ambiguities inherent in exact sequences. Exact sequences are nevertheless the most useful tools for calculations in ordinary homology, and we expect the same to be true for \( F_* \). The functorial structure also suggests that \( F_* \) should find applications to special (e.g. integral) cobordism groups of 3-manifolds. For example, parts of the work of Fintushel and Stern on the integral cobordism classes of Brieskorn spheres can be put into a “homological” framework, and can thus be extended to more general classes of manifolds. Moreover, \( F_* \) comes up in connection with Donaldson’s applications of instantons on 4-manifolds. For a manifold \( W \) with boundary \( \partial W = M \), the Donaldson polynomials can be extended to \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear maps

\[ S_* H_2(W) \to F_*(M). \quad (28) \]

This allows us to formulate “obstructions” to closing a 4-manifold. (In particular, we have \( F_*(M) \neq 0 \) whenever a homology 3-sphere \( M \) bounds a 4-manifold whose intersection form is “forbidden” by one of Donaldson’s theorems.) Moreover, with luck, one may be able to analyse the change of the Donaldson polynomial (22) under handle addition. The exact triangle for surgery on \( M \) may be considered as one step in this direction.
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This lecture is about some new relations among the classical objects of the title. The study of such relations was started by [B₁, G, Dc, Ih₁] from independent motivations, and was developed in [A₃, C₃, A-I, IKY, Dr₂, O, N], etc. It is still a very young subject, and there are several different approaches, each partly blocked by its own fundamental conjectures! But it is already allowing one to glimpse some new features of the classical “monster” Gal(Q/Q), and providing a bridge connecting Gal(Q/Q) even with such “modern” objects as the quantum groups [Dr₂]. I will not try to “explain” any general philosophies that are still in the air, but to draw a few lines sketching the concretely visible features of the subject.

§1. Introduction

The absolute Galois group over the rational number field Q, denoted by \( G_Q = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \), is one of the classical mathematical objects which we really need to understand better. It is primarily a (huge) topological group; the automorphism group of the field \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) of all algebraic numbers in \( \mathbb{C} \), equipped with the Krull topology. It is moreover equipped with arithmetic structure, i.e., the system of conjugacy classes of embeddings \( G_{Q_p} \to G_Q \) of all local absolute Galois groups into \( G_Q \). Through the arithmetic structure of \( G_Q \), each “natural” representation of \( G_Q \) provides the set of all prime numbers with a “natural” additional structure. For example, the character \( \chi_N : G_Q \to (\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times \) defining the action of \( G_Q \) on the group of \( N \)-th roots of unity gives rise to the classification of prime numbers modulo \( N \). Study of the group \( G_Q \) and its natural representations has an ultimate goal to understand the “total structure” of the set of all prime numbers.

Now if \( X \) is an algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{Q} \), the fundamental group \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \), when suitably completed, is equipped with a natural action of \( G_Q \). Here, \( b \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational base point of \( X \). Already in some basic cases, this action gives rise to a very big and interesting representation of \( G_Q \). What this action amounts to is the following. (We may, and will, assume \( X \) to be geometrically connected.) As is well known, all finite (topological) coverings of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) and morphisms between two such coverings are algebraic and defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). The above action contains all information related to the “field of definition” and the \( G_Q \)-conjugations of...
these coverings, morphisms and the points above \( b \). In particular, if they are all defined over some Galois extension \( \Omega/\mathbb{Q} (\Omega \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \), then \( G_\Omega \) acts via its factor group \( \text{Gal}(\Omega/\mathbb{Q}) \). (The converse is also valid if the completion of \( \pi_1 \) has trivial center.) Choice of completions of \( \pi_1 \) depends on the family of finite coverings one wants to consider. If this is all the finite coverings, the choice should be the profinite completion \( \hat{\pi}_1 = \lim_{\leftarrow} (\pi_1/N) \), the projective limit of all finite factor groups of \( \pi_1 \). It is also important to consider the pronilpotent completion \( \pi_1^{\text{nil}} \), where one allows only those \( \pi_1/N \) \( (\) the covering groups) that are nilpotent.

If there is no family of finite coverings of \( X \) having a remarkable common field of definition, then the representation of \( G_\Omega \) on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \) would not be so interesting. But already when \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \), there are several natural families with various remarkable arithmetic features in their fields of definition (see (4) below). One of the reasons why this type of representations is interesting lies in that these different arithmetic features can be viewed simultaneously from a certain height, the representation of \( G_\Omega \) on \( \hat{\pi}_1 \). Other reasons and motivations will also be explained below, with names of the main contributors. Before this we shall give a sequence of basic examples of \( X \) starting with \( \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \).

For \( n \geq 4, X_n \) is the moduli space of ordered \( n \)-tuples \((x_1, \ldots, x_n)\) of distinct points on the projective line \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). The corresponding fundamental group \( P_n = \pi_1(X_n(\mathbb{C}), b) \) is the quotient modulo center \((\cong \mathbb{Z}/2)\) of the pure sphere braid group on \( n \) strings. Note that \( X_4 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, P_4 \cong F_2 \) (free, rank 2).

Recently, several substantial works have been done in connection with these “big Galois representations.” They include the following (1)~(6):

1. Already for more than a decade, this type of Galois representations has been used effectively to construct finite Galois extensions over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with given Galois groups, for various cases of finite simple groups (G. V. Belyi, M. Fried, B. Matzat, K. Y. Shih, J. G. Thompson, \ldots). By the Hilbert irreducibility theorem, it suffices to construct a Galois extension over some rational function field \( \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \ldots, t_m) (m \geq 1) \) having a given Galois group. Many such extensions have been detected among the (function fields of) coverings of \( X_{m+3} \) (etc.) by combining (i) only the basic knowledge on how \( G_\mathbb{Q} \) acts on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \), with (ii) a deep knowledge on specific finite groups and their characters. As there is a distinguished report on this topic in the last ICM [B2] (see also [Ma] for the later development), we shall only recall and stress the following:

   Belyi proved, among other things in [B1], that the canonical representation

   \[ \varphi_X : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{Out} \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \]

for \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \) is injective. This sets in evidence the importance of the problem to characterize the image. Here, \( \text{Out} \hat{\pi}_1 \) is the outer automorphism group, and \( \varphi_X \) is induced from the \( G_\mathbb{Q} \)-action on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \). \( \varphi_X \) is “independent” of \( b \) in the obvious sense.

2. A. Grothendieck made some basic proposals related to the study of the \( G_\mathbb{Q} \)-action on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \) [G]. One of them is as follows. Take \( X = X_4 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \). Then it has the obvious \( S_3 \)-symmetry but there is no \( S_3 \)-invariant choice of a
base point $b$. This already indicates that the use of the fundamental groupoid on a suitable $S_3$-stable set $B$ of base points would be better. (The natural action of $S_4$ on $X_4$ factors through its quotient $\simeq S_3$.) He also suggests using all possible combinatorial relations among the $X_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n = 4, 5, \ldots$), and that, in a certain sense, the two cases of $n$ with $\dim X_n = 1, 2$ (i.e., $n = 4, 5$) would be basic. In particular, understanding and using full relationship between $X_4(\mathbb{C})$ and $X_5(\mathbb{C})$ would give, presumably, all the crucial non-obvious information on the image of $\phi_X$ for $X = X_4$.

We shall describe more about these in the main text; the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the completed fundamental groupoids (§2), Deligne's tangential base points (which will serve as $B$) (§2.3), Drinfeld's new information on the image of $\phi_X$ (§3), and the Lie version of the study of the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action on $\{\pi_1^{\text{nil}}(X_n(\mathbb{C}))\}_n$ (§5).

(3) $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$ as a new test case for motivic philosophy.

In [De], P. Deligne develops a motivic theory of nilpotent quotients of fundamental groups in algebraic geometry. The first main point is that $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$ is not just a topological group with a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action but is a limit of objects with more structures $\ldots$ To each $\pi_1 = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b)$, one can associate, via Malcev, some projective system $\{U^m \pi_1\}_{m \geq 1}$ of linear unipotent algebraic groups $U^m \pi_1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. One may assume that the group of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued points $(U^m \pi_1)(\mathbb{Z})$ is the quotient modulo torsion of $\pi_1/\pi_1(m + 1)$, where $\pi_1(m + 1)$ is the $(m + 1)$-th member of the lower central series of $\pi_1$. The Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on the profinite groups $(U^m \pi_1)(\mathbb{Z}) (m \geq 1)$, from which the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action on $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$ can be almost recovered. Under some assumptions on $X$, the underlying vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(U^m \pi_1)$ has mixed Hodge structure (J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, K. T. Chen, R. Hain, ..). Deligne adds more structures to $\text{Lie}(U^m \pi_1)$ (and accordingly, on $U^m \pi_1$), the mixed motif structure, in terms of various realizations.

When $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the motivic $\text{Lie}(U^m \pi_1)$ is a successive extension of Tate's motives $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$). Knowledge on the motivic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ by $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ turns out to be crucial in order to understand a certain portion of the Galois action on $(U^m \pi_1)(\mathbb{Z})$. Deligne constructs a basic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ by $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ ($k \geq 3$, odd), describes a certain portion of the Galois action on the double commutator quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$, and under the hypothesis $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(k))$ *for such $k$ is one-dimensional*, derives further desirable consequences related to the size and the $\mathbb{Q}$-rationality of the Galois image. (See §5.4 below.)

(4) Some new objects in number theory, such as adelic analogues of beta and gamma functions, and higher circular $l$-units, have been constructed and used to give explicit comparisons of the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-actions, on $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, on torsion points of Fermat Jacobians, and on higher circular $l$-units (G. Anderson, R. Coleman, the author, ..). This series of work was started in [Ih1] and was developed in [A3, C3, IKY, Ih5, A-I1,2], etc., by combining with other ideas [A1, C1, C2], ..

The following special towers of coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ are relevant: (i) the meta-abelian tower, (ii) the nilpotent tower, and (iii) the genus 0 tower. Here, (i) corresponds to the double commutator quotient $\hat{\pi}_1/\hat{\pi}_1''$ of $\hat{\pi}_1$, (ii) to $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$, and (iii) is also quite big – what it generates is bigger than (ii). As for the common field
of definition, (i) is related to abelian extensions over the cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty}) \), (ii) to a very natural sequence of Galois extensions over \( \mathbb{Q} \) that are nilpotent over \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty}) \), and (iii) to (the field generated by) higher circular \( l \)-units.

As for (i), the conclusion is that the Galois actions on \( \hat{\pi}_1/\hat{\pi}_1'' \), on torsion points of Fermat Jacobians, and on roots of circular units, can be compared with each other in terms of *explicit universal formulas*. The size of the Galois image is also measured explicitly. The theory developed to that of Anderson's hyperadelic gamma function, which also plays the role of a bridge connecting Gauss sums with circular units.

As for (ii) and (iii), the main conclusion is that the action of \( \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \) on \( \pi_{1}^{\text{nil}} \) can be expressed explicitly \( \mod l^n \), for any \( n \geq 1 \), in terms of its action on the group of higher circular \( l \)-units.

For more of these, see §6.

(5) V. G. Drinfeld more recently discovered a striking connection between (what is expected to be) the automorphism group of the tower \( \{\pi_1(\mathbb{X}_n(\mathbb{C}))\}_{n \geq 4} \) and a "universal braid transformation group"

\[
(A, \Delta, e, \phi, R) \rightarrow (A, \Delta, e, \phi', R')
\]

acting on the structures of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras [Dr2]. These groups become non-trivial after suitable completions of \( \pi_1 \), etc. The Galois image in \( \text{Aut} \hat{\pi}_1(X_4(\mathbb{C})) \) is contained in these (essentially the same) groups. (See §4.)

(6) There are several other important works on this subject including the following.

(i) Grothendieck raises a question as to whether the intertwiners of two Galois representations in \( \hat{\pi}_1 \) arising from two algebraic varieties always correspond to algebraic morphisms in the "non-abelian" situation. In the special case of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) minus finitely many points, H. Nakamura [N] gives an affirmative answer.

(ii) T. Oda and Y. Matsumoto each gives, from different viewpoints, a non-abelian analogue of the Néron-Ogg-Šafarevič criterion for good reduction of curves, using \( \pi_1^{\text{nil}} \) instead of \( H^1_{\text{et}} \); cf. [O].

Due to the lack of time, these will not be included in this lecture.

We shall start by defining the Galois action on the completed fundamental groupoids.

§2. The Basic Definitions

2.1 Let \( X \) be an algebraic variety defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) be the set of \( \mathbb{C} \)-rational points of \( X \) equipped with the usual (complex analytic) topology. We assume \( X \) to be geometrically connected, which is equivalent with \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) being connected. For \( a, b \in X(\mathbb{C}) \), call \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) the set of homotopy classes (rel. to \( a, b \)) of paths from \( a \) to \( b \) on \( X(\mathbb{C}) \). When \( a = b \), it is denoted by \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \).

The composition rule

\[
\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); b, c) \times \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, c)
\]  

(2.1.1)
gives the system \( \{\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b)\}_{a,b \in B} \) structure of groupoid, the fundamental groupoid of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) with base point set \( B \subset X(\mathbb{C}) \). When \( B = \{b\} \), \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b) \) is the fundamental group.

We shall recall the definitions of the profinite completion \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) and the \( G_\mathbb{Q} \)-action on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) when \( a, b \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \) (the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational points of \( X \)).

First, \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) is the completion of \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) with respect to the following topology. Two elements \( p, p' \) of the latter set are sufficiently close to each other if the associated “round trip” \( p^{-1} \cdot p \) belongs to a sufficiently small subgroup of \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), a) \) with finite index. The completed set \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) is then profinite, i.e., compact and totally disconnected topological space. The composition (2.1.1) is continuous and carries over to the completion. Each path class \( p \in \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) gives rise to a “compatible” system of fiber bijections \( pf : f^{-1}(a) \sim f^{-1}(b) \), where \( f \) runs over all finite coverings of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \), and \( pf \) is induced by tracing above a path representing \( p \). Here, “compatible” means that for any \( f, f' \), \( pf \) and \( pf' \) are compatible with the fiber projections induced from each element of \( \text{Hom}(f, f') \). This procedure \( p \to \{pf\}_f \) induces the bijection

\[
\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \approx \left\{ \text{compatible systems of fiber bijections } p_f : f^{-1}(a) \sim f^{-1}(b) \right\}_f.
\]

This is because \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) is locally arcwise connected and locally simply connected, being an underlying space of an analytic space.

Since all finite coverings of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) are algebraic and defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) (the generalized Riemann existence theorem), we may assume that \( f \) runs over all finite etale coverings of \( X \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). If \( a, b \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \), each \( \sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q} \) induces the fiber bijections \( f^{-1}(a) \sim (\sigma f)^{-1}(a) \), \( f^{-1}(b) \sim (\sigma f)^{-1}(b) \); hence \( \sigma \) acts on \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b) \) by

\[
\{p_f\} \rightarrow \{\sigma \circ p_{\sigma^{-1}f} \circ \sigma^{-1}\}.
\]

It is easy to see that this action is compatible with the composition induced from (2.1.1) by completion. Therefore, \( G_\mathbb{Q} \) acts as an automorphism group of the completed fundamental groupoid of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) with base point set \( B \subset X(\mathbb{Q}) \); in particular, as that of the completed fundamental group with base point \( b \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \). These actions are compatible with the groupoid homomorphisms induced from any algebraic morphisms \( X' \to X \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Example. Take \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} \) and \( b = 1 \). Then \( \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), 1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \), being generated by the class \( p \) of the loop \( \tau \to \exp(2\pi i\tau) \) \((0 \leq \tau \leq 1)\), and \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), 1) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \lim_{\leftarrow}(\mathbb{Z}/N) \). If \( f_N \) is the cyclic covering \( t \to t^N \) of \( X \) \((N = 1, 2, \ldots)\), then

\[
f_N^{-1}(1) = \{1, \zeta_N, \ldots, \zeta_N^{N-1}\}, \quad \zeta_N = \exp(2\pi i/N).
\]

Write \( \sigma(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^{\chi(\sigma)N} \) \((\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}, \chi(\sigma)N \in \mathbb{Z}/N)\). Then \( p_{f_N} \) acts on \( f_N^{-1}(1) \) by \( \theta \mapsto \zeta_N \theta \), and \( \sigma \) acts on \( f_N^{-1}(1) \) by \( \theta \mapsto \theta^{\chi(\sigma)N} \) \((\theta \in f_N^{-1}(1))\). Therefore,

\[
\sigma \circ p_{f_N} \circ \sigma^{-1} = p_{f_N}^{\chi(\sigma)N}.
\]
Call $\chi(\sigma) = \lim \chi(\sigma)_N \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$. Then $\chi$ is a (continuous) homomorphism
\[
\chi : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times,
\] (2.1.3)
called the cyclotomic character. By (2.1.2), $\sigma$ acts on $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ via $\chi(\sigma)$-multiplication. (Here and in the following, for any associative ring $A$ with unit element, $A^\times$ denotes the group of invertible elements of $A$.)

2.2 We return to the general case. The Galois group $G_\mathbb{Q}$ thus acts on the completed fundamental groupoid $\{\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); a, b)\}_{a, b \in B}$ ($B \subset X(\mathbb{Q})$) and in particular on $\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b)$ ($b \in X(\mathbb{Q})$) as an automorphism group:
\[
\varphi_{X,b} : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), b)).
\]
The induced homomorphism obtained by forgetting the role of the base point is also of interest:
\[
\varphi_X : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Out}(\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C})))
\]
(Out($\hat{\pi}_1$) = Aut($\hat{\pi}_1$)/Int($\hat{\pi}_1$), where Int($\hat{\pi}_1$) is the inner automorphism group). Replacing $\hat{\pi}_1$ by the pronilpotent completion $\pi_1^{\text{nil}}$, we obtain $\varphi_{X,b}^{\text{nil}}$, etc.

As for $X$, we shall mainly consider the varieties
\[
X = X_n = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n ; \quad x_i \neq x_j \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j\}/PGL(2)
\]
($n \geq 4$), where $PGL(2) = \text{Aut}\mathbb{P}^1$ acts on $X$ diagonally. Note that
\[
X_4 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\},
\]
\[
X_5 \simeq (X_4)^2 - A \quad (A : \text{the diagonal}),
\]
$P_n = \pi_1(X_n(\mathbb{C}), *)$ is the quotient modulo center (= $\pi_1(PGL(2, \mathbb{C})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$) of the pure sphere braid group on $n$ strings, and that
\[
P_4 \simeq F_2 \quad \text{(free, rank 2)}
\]
\[
P_5 = F_2 \triangleleft F_3 \quad \text{(semi-direct, $F_2$ acts on $F_3$)}.
\]

Now let $X = X_4 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, so that $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \simeq F_2$. As reviewed in §1, Belyi proved that $\varphi_X$ is then injective. This focuses light on the following

Question 1. What can one say about the images of $\varphi_X, \varphi_X^{\text{nil}}$, etc.? If one can characterize the image of $\varphi_X$ explicitly, then one is led to a completely different description of $G_\mathbb{Q}$.

Question 2. What can one say about the “elementwise description” of $\varphi_X, \varphi_X^{\text{nil}}$, etc.? Actually, this Q2 is not well posed at this stage of the development of mathematics, as we know no good system of NAMES for elements of $G_\mathbb{Q}$. As far as the author knows, no element of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ other than the identity element and the complex conjugation (remember that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}$ in our formulation) has an explicit
name to identify itself. Nor is it so for \( F_r \). Before asking to give an explicit description of the homomorphism \( \varphi_X \), we must ask ourselves the possibility of giving good names to elements of \( G_{Q^r} \). If, however, we replace \( F_r \) by the nilpotent completion \( F_r^{nil} \), each element of \( F_r^{nil} \) then has a good name to identify itself which is obtained from the non-commutative Taylor expansion in \( r \) variables with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \). Thus, at present, the sense of \( Q^2 \) is to ask to give a new system of names for elements of \( G_{Q^r} \) using \( \varphi_X^{nil} \) and describe any interplay in terms of these names. (\( \varphi_X^{nil} \) is no longer injective; hence it concerns with a certain quotient of \( G_{Q^r} \) which is "big and small").

We shall mainly discuss:

1) Works of Deligne, Drinfeld, and the author on \( Q^I \) (§§3, 4, 5), and

2) Works of Anderson, Coleman, and the author on \( Q^2 \) (§6).

Among them, 1) is closely related to \( \varphi_{X_B} \) for \( X = X_5 \).

2.3 Let \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \), and \( F_2 \) be the free group of rank 2 on two letters \( x, y \). Our first goal is to show that for each \( \sigma \in G_{Q^r} \), \( \varphi_X(\sigma) \) is determined by two “coordinates”, \( \chi(\sigma) \) and \( f_\sigma \), where \( \chi : G_{Q^r} \to \mathbb{Z}^\times \) is the cyclotomic character and \( f_\sigma \) is an element of \( F_2' = (F_2, F_2) \), the commutator subgroup of \( F_2 \). This can also be done relying more on group-theoretic normalization as in [Bi], [Ihj], but we proceed more "conceptually" using Deligne's tangential base points [De].

Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be the set of "arrows" \( \overline{ij} \) with \( i, j \in \{0, 1, \infty\}, i \neq j \). Thus, \( \mathcal{B} \) has six elements and the symmetric group \( S_3 \) acts simply transitively on \( \mathcal{B} \). For \( a, b \in \mathcal{B} \), Deligne defines \( \pi_1 = \pi_1(X(Q); a, b) \) and the \( G_{Q^r} \)-action on its profinite completion. Topologically, it is clear what \( \pi_1 \) should mean when, in general, \( a, b \) are simply connected subspaces of \( X(Q) \). The base point \( \overline{ij} \) plays the same role as the open interval \( I_{ij} \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) bounded by \( i, j \) and not containing the third point \( k \) from \{0, 1, \infty\}. For a finite etale covering \( Y \to X \) over \( \overline{Q} \), the fiber above \( \overline{ij} \) consists of points \( P \in Y(\overline{Q}) \) above \( i \) given together with a "topological branch" (i.e., a lifting of \( I_{ij} \)) at each \( P \). Here \( f : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the compactification of \( f \). In order to define the \( G_{Q^r} \)-action on \( \pi_1(X(Q); a, b) \) for \( a, b \in \mathcal{B} \), it suffices to give an algebraic interpretation of the branches at \( P \). One way to put it is as follows. (This device proved to be useful [A–I1].) Let \( t_{ij} \) be the linear fractional function \( \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) which maps \( i, j, k \) to \( 0, 1, \infty \), respectively. Then a branch at \( P \) is a local embedding of the local ring of \( Y \) at \( P \) into the ring of Puiseux series in \( t_{ij} \) which extends: (i) the obvious embedding of the local ring of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) at \( i \) into the ring of power series in \( t_{ij} \), and (ii) the residue field embedding determined by the geometric point \( P \). The corresponding topological branch is obtained by the principle to choose "the positive real root for \( t_{ij}^{1/e} \);" on \( I_{ij} \). The group \( G_{Q^r} \) acts on the fibers above \( \overline{ij} \) via its action on the Puiseux coefficients in \( \overline{Q} \). One may prefer to reinterpret this in terms of the normalization of the fiber product of \( f \) with \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t_{ij}^{1/e}] \) (e: the ramification index).

Now we consider \( \pi_1(X(Q); \overline{0}, \overline{1}), \pi_1(X(Q), \overline{0}) \) and the Galois action on their completions. The first set contains an obvious element defined from the
interval (0,1). Call it \( p \). The second group contains a small positive loop around 0, called \( x \), and \( y = p^{-1} \circ x' \circ p \), where \( x' \) is the transform of \( x \) by \( t \rightarrow 1 - t \) \((t = t_{01})\). This group is free on \( x, y \).
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Now for each \( \sigma \in G_Q \), put

\[
f_\sigma = p^{-1} \circ \sigma(p) \in \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{O}_1) .
\]

Then \( \sigma \) acts on the generators of \( \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{O}_1) = \hat{F}_2 \) as

\[
x \mapsto x^{\chi(\sigma)}, \quad y \mapsto f_\sigma^{-1} \cdot y^{\chi(\sigma)} \cdot f_\sigma .
\]

It follows easily that \( f_\sigma \in \hat{F}_2' \), and that (2.3.2) with this requirement characterizes \( f_\sigma \). When \( \sigma \) is the complex conjugation, \( \chi(\sigma) = -1 \), \( f_\sigma = 1 \).

**Remark.** By (3.1.1)(I),(II) below, it follows also that \( z = (xy)^{-1} \) (a loop around \( \infty \)) is mapped to \( g_\sigma^{-1}z^{\chi(\sigma)}g_\sigma \), where \( g_\sigma = f_\sigma(x,z)x^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\chi(\sigma))} \).

Although \( \hat{F}_2 \) contains much more than the free words on \( x, y \), we shall express an element of this group conveniently as \( f(x,y) \), because it will then make sense to speak of \( f(\xi,\eta) \) for any elements \( \xi, \eta \in G \) of any profinite group \( G \); the image of \( f \) under the unique homomorphism \( \hat{F}_2 \rightarrow G \) mapping \( x,y \) to \( \xi, \eta \) respectively.

**§3. The Galois Action (Profinite)**

3.1 So what is the image of the mapping \( G_Q \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \times \hat{F}_2' \) defined by \( \sigma \mapsto (\chi(\sigma), f_\sigma) \)? The known equations satisfied by \( \lambda = \chi(\sigma), f = f_\sigma \) are as follows.

(I) \[ f(x,y)f(y,x) = 1; \]

(II) \[ f(z,x)z^mf(y,z)y^mf(x,y)x^m = 1, \]  
\[
\text{if } \ xyz = 1, \quad m = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1); \]

(III) (Drinfeld) Let \( P_5 = \pi_1(X_5(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_5) \) and \( x_{ij} \in P_5 \)

\((1 \leq i,j \leq 5)\) be as defined below. Then in \( \hat{P}_5 \),

\[
f(x_{12}, x_{23})f(x_{34}, x_{45})f(x_{51}, x_{12})f(x_{23}, x_{34})f(x_{45}, x_{51}) = 1 .
\]

**Remark.** Drinfeld's formula given in [Dr2] is in terms of plane braid group on 4 strings and is non-cyclic. The above formula is equivalent to his. The author previously wrote down more complicated formulas as 4 transposition relations
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(w.r.t. (1 2),(2 3),(3 4),(4 5) in $S_5$ instead of (12345)) in [Ih$_6$]. Drinfeld thinks this type of formula may very well be known to Grothendieck.

As for the more obvious question: “use of $X_n$ ($n \geq 6$) ...?”, see §3.3.

The definitions of $\mathfrak{B}_n$ and $x_{ij}$. Let $\mathfrak{B}_n$ be the space of all $n$-tuples $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ of distinct points of $\mathbb{R}^n(\infty)$ satisfying the condition: $b_{i+1}$ is next to $b_i$ in the positive direction for all $i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1$) including the case of passing through $\infty$. Then $PGL^+_2(\mathbb{R})$, the real projective linear group of degree two with positive determinant, acts on $\mathfrak{B}_n$ diagonally, and the quotient space $\mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{B}_n/PGL^+_2(\mathbb{R})$ is naturally embedded into $X_n(\mathbb{C})$. The space $\mathfrak{B}_n$ is simply connected and hence it makes sense to speak of the fundamental group $P_n = \pi_1(X_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{B}_n)$. It is generated by the elements $x_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) shown below:

![Diagram](image)

**3.2 Sketch of proof of (3.1.1).** (I) Apply the automorphism $\theta : t \to 1 - t$ to both sides of (2.3.1).

(II) Let $r$ be the element of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); \overline{0}, \overline{\infty})$ corresponding to the rotation of argument $\pi$ at the point 1:

![Diagram](image)

Then it is easy to see that

$$r^{-1} \cdot \sigma(r) = \theta(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x(e)^{-1}) \in \hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \overline{0}) \ .$$

Therefore, if $q = r \circ p$, we have $\sigma(q) = qy^{\frac{1}{2}}(x(e)^{-1})f_\sigma(x, y)$. Let $\omega$ be the automorphism $t \to (1 - t)^{-1}$ of $X$. Then $\omega^2(q)\omega(q)q = 1$. Apply $\sigma$ on this to obtain (II).

(III) The symmetric group $S_5$ acts on $X_5$ from the left by substitution of coordinates, as $(x_i) \to (x_{s^{-1}i})$ ($s \in S_5$). Let $s = (13524)$. Then for a certain “tangential
base point $\beta$ and a path class $q \in \pi_1(X_5(\mathbb{C}); \beta, s(\beta))$, one can naturally identify $\pi_1(X_5(\mathbb{C}), \beta) \cong \pi_1(X_5(\mathbb{C}), \emptyset) = P_5$ and show that

$$\sigma(q) = q \cdot f(x_{45}, x_{34}),$$

$$s^4(q) \cdot s^3(q) \cdot s^2(q) \cdot s(q) \cdot q = 1.$$ (3.2.1) (3.2.2)

The equation (III) follows directly from these. A topological illustration:

```
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (b4) at (0,3) {$b_4 = b_5$};
  \node (b1) at (4,3) {$b_1 = b_2$};
  \node (b2) at (4,-3) {$b_2 = b_3$};
  \node (b3) at (0,-3) {$b_3 = b_4$};
  \node (b5) at (2,0) {$b_5 = b_1$};
  \node (beta) at (2,2) {$\beta$};
  \node (q) at (2,-2) {$s(\beta)$};
  \draw[->] (b1) to (b2);
  \draw[->] (b2) to (b3);
  \draw[->] (b3) to (b4);
  \draw[->] (b4) to (b5);
  \draw[->] (b5) to (b1);
  \draw[->] (b1) to [out=90, in=180] (beta);
  \draw[->] (b2) to [out=90, in=0] (beta);
  \draw[->] (b3) to [out=90, in=180] (beta);
  \draw[->] (b4) to [out=90, in=0] (beta);
  \draw[->] (b5) to [out=90, in=180] (beta);
  \draw[->] (beta) to (q);
  \draw[->] (q) to [out=90, in=180] (beta);
\end{tikzpicture}
```

(3.2.3)

The equation (III) follows directly from these. A topological illustration:

The author used a double Puiseux series expansion to define the algebraic interpretation of $\beta$.

3.3 As in [Dr2], define $\hat{GT}$ (the “Grothendieck-Teichmüller group”) to be the subgroup of $\text{Aut} \hat{F}_2$ consisting of all automorphisms of the form

$$x \mapsto x^\lambda, \quad y \mapsto f^{-1} \cdot y^\lambda \cdot f \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^*, \ f \in \hat{F}_2),$$

where $\lambda$ and $f$ satisfy (3.1.1)(I),(II),(III). (It forms a subgroup!) Then by definition, the image of $\varphi_{x,b}$ ($b = \overline{01}$) is contained in $\hat{GT}$. As $\varphi_X$ is injective (Belyi), so is $\varphi_{x,b}$. Therefore, $\varphi_{x,b}$ induces an inclusion

$$\varphi_{x,b} : G_Q \hookrightarrow \hat{GT}. \quad (3.3.1)$$

Two questions arise:

Question 3.3.2 Can one still obtain new relations using $X_n$ for higher $n$'s ?

Question 3.3.3 Can one characterize the image of $G_Q$ using these types of relations (or to ask more strongly, is (3.3.1) already a bijection) ?

If one believes a strict analogy with conformal field theory, then no more essentially new relations would be obtained by using $X_n$ for higher $n$ ([M-S, G,
T-K, Dr₂]). Drinfeld's new quantum group theoretic interpretation of \( GT \) (see §4 below) gives a very impressive version of this philosophical reasoning. See §5.3 for the Lie version of these questions.


4.1 Drinfeld introduced the concept of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra (abbrev. \( qtqH \) algebra) [Dr₁, Dr₂]. It is more general than Hopf algebra in that the coassociativity and the cocommutativity assumptions are weakened (equalities replaced by conjugations). Thus, a \( qtqH \) algebra over a field \( k \) is a quintuple \((A_\cdot, e_\cdot, f_\cdot, R_\cdot)\), where (i) \( A \) is an associative \( k \)-algebra with unit element 1, (ii) \( A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A \) (comultiplication) and \( e : A \rightarrow k \) (counit) are \( k \)-algebra homomorphisms mapping 1 to 1 (\( \otimes \): the tensor product over \( k \)), such that

\[(e \otimes \text{id}) \circ A = (\text{id} \otimes e) \circ A = \text{id}, \quad (4.1.1)\]

\[(\phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ A = (\text{id} \otimes \phi) \circ A = \text{id}, \quad (4.1.2)\]

(A) The basic conjugacy relations:

\[\phi(a) = (\phi \otimes \text{id})(A(a))) \cdot (A \otimes \text{id})(A(a)) \cdot (A \otimes \text{id})(A(a))^{-1}, \quad (4.1.3)\]

for all \( a \in A \), where \( b \rightarrow 'b \) \((b \in A \otimes A)\) denotes the transposition of two factors. It is not assumed that \( 'R \cdot R = 1 \).

(B) The compatibility equalities:

\[(\text{id} \otimes e \otimes \text{id}) \phi = 1, \quad (4.1.4)\]

(the pentagon relation)

\[(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes A) \phi \cdot (A \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) \phi = (1 \otimes \phi) \cdot (\text{id} \otimes A \otimes \text{id}) \phi \cdot (\phi \otimes 1), \quad (4.1.5)\]

(two hexagon relations) omitted (cf. [Dr₂](1-6, ab)).

Finally, the existence of an antipode is assumed.

As is explained in [Dr₂] the assumptions in (iii) can be understood more conceptually in terms of isomorphisms between tensor products of \( A \)-modules. If \( V, W \) are (left) \( A \)-modules (w.r.t. the \( k \)-algebra structure of \( A \)), then their tensor product \( V \otimes W \) over \( k \) is naturally an \( (A \otimes A) \)-module, and via \( A \), again an \( A \)-module. If \( V₁, V₂, V₃ \) are three \( A \)-modules, then the \( (A \otimes A \otimes A) \)-module \( V₁ \otimes V₂ \otimes V₃ \) can be regarded as an \( A \)-module in two different ways, and (4.1.2) imposes that the \( \phi \)-multiplication induce an \( A \)-isomorphism

\[(V₁ \otimes V₂) \otimes V₃ \sim \rightarrow V₁ \otimes (V₂ \otimes V₃), \quad (4.1.6)\]
The pentagon relation requires the diagram

$$(((V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3) \otimes V_4) \otimes V_5 \xrightarrow{\text{Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations \([\text{Dr}_2]\S2\)}} (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_5)) \otimes V_4)$$

(4.1.7)

to be commutative. In the diagrams for hexagon relations, the choice of directions of arrows related to "R" is delicate. The two diagrams (3.2.3),(4.1.7) are related to each other via Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations ([Dr$_2$]§2)

4.2 Now take $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(x, y) \in F_2$. If we replace $\phi, R$ by

$$\begin{cases}
    \phi' = \phi \cdot f(R^{21}R^{12}, \phi^{-1}R^{32}R^{23}\phi), \\
    R' = R \cdot (^tRR)^m,
\end{cases}$$

(4.2.1)

respectively, then the 2 equalities in (A) are still satisfied. Here, if $R = \sum a_i \otimes b_i$, $R^{23} = \sum 1 \otimes a_i \otimes b_i$, $R^{32} = \sum 1 \otimes b_i \otimes a_i$, etc. Drinfeld shows that $(A, \lambda, f, \phi', R')$ satisfies (B) if and only if $(\lambda, f)$ satisfies the 3 equalities of (3.1.1), where $m = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)$. Actually, the only pairs of $\lambda$ and $f$ satisfying (3.1.1) are $(\lambda, f) = (\pm 1, 1)$. But if $\mathbb{Z}$ and $F_2$ are replaced by suitable completions, then there are many solutions. It suffices to recall that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $f \in \widehat{F}_2$, (3.1.1) was the system of defining equations for the group $\widehat{G_f}$, and that $\widehat{G_f}$ contains $G_Q(\phi)$. The above two explicit solutions correspond to the identity element and the complex conjugation of $G_Q$. Drinfeld considers some types of complete $qtqH$ algebras over the ring of formal power series $k[[h]]$ over a field $k$ of characteristic 0, called quantized universal enveloping algebras (abbrev. QUE-algebras). In this case, $\otimes$ is replaced by the completed tensor product $\widehat{\otimes}$. For such $qtqH$ algebras, the transformation (4.2.1) will make sense if $\lambda, f$ are elements of $k^\times, F_2^{\text{nil}}(k)$ (the "$k$-nilpotent completion" of $F_2$) respectively, and if $R$ is sufficiently close to 1. Thus, one may define the group $G_T(k)$ which is still "big" and has a meaning as the group of transformations of structures of QUE-algebras over $k[[h]]$. (The group law for $G_T(k)$ corresponds to the reverse of the composition of transformations (4.2.1).) Roughly speaking, one may consider $G_T(k)$ as the universal group of transformations of structures of $qtqH$ algebras.

Remark. There is another type of transformations of structures of $qtqH$ algebras called twists [Dr$_2$]. The two types of transformations commute with each other,
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and the twists do not change the structure (as quasi-tensor category) of the
category of $A$-modules.

**Question 4.2.2.** Is there any "profinite" $(A, A, \varepsilon, \phi, R)$ over some ring related to
$\mathbb{Q}$ on which $G_\mathbb{Q}$ acts "naturally" and for which the natural action of $\sigma^{-1}$ coincides
with the transformation (4.2.1) obtained by $(\chi(\sigma), f_\sigma)$?

§5. The Galois Action (Pronilpotent)

5.1 For $\pi_1 = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), *)$, instead of $\hat{\pi}_1$ we may also consider the pronilpotent
completion $\pi_1^{nil}$, the projective limit of all finite nilpotent factor groups of $\pi_1$. It
is the direct product of its $l$-Sylow subgroups $\pi_1^{(l)}$, the pro-$l$ completion of $\pi_1$,
where $l$ runs over all prime numbers. For a topological group $G$, we denote by

$$G = G(1) \supseteq G(2) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G(m+1) \supseteq \cdots$$

its lower central series defined by $G(m+1) = (G, G(m))$ ($m \geq 1$). Here, $(\ , \ )$ is the
closure of the algebraic commutator. Note that

$$\bigcap_m G(m) = \{1\}, \quad \text{for } G = \pi_1^{nil}, \pi_1^{(l)}.$$

The representations $\varphi_X, \varphi_{X,p}$ of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ induce the quotient representations $\varphi_X^{nil}, \varphi_X^{(l)}$, etc. There are various advantages of passage from these quotients: (i) For a "canonical" choice of $X$ such as $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, $\varphi_X^{nil}$ gives rise to a natural filtration of some quotient of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ which is of arithmetic interest. (ii) Each element of $\pi_1^{nil}$, for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ etc., allows an explicit presentation as formal non-commutative
power series over $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. So, we may ask more explicit questions about the projection
of $f_\sigma(x, y)$ on $\pi_1^{nil}$ (than about $f_\sigma(x, y)$ itself). (iii) For $\pi_1^{nil}$, we may use Lie algebra
techniques. (iv) The nilpotent quotients of the fundamental group $\pi_1$ are, in some
sense, close to cohomology groups and are known to have additional structures.
Under some assumptions on $X$, the Lie algebra of $\pi_1/\pi_1(m+1)$ has mixed Hodge
structure (J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, K. T. Chen, R. Hain), and further, mixed motif
structure (Deligne).

We shall start by explaining (i).

5.2 For each prime number $l$, there is a canonical sequence

$$Q \subseteq Q^{(l)}(1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q^{(l)}(m) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q^{(l)}(\infty) = \bigcup_m Q^{(l)}(m) \quad (5.2.1)$$

of (infinite) Galois extensions over $Q$, starting with $Q^{(l)}(1) = Q(\mu_{l\infty})$ ($\mu_{l\infty}$ : the
group of roots of unity of $l$-power order), and an associated graded Lie algebra
$\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}$, defined as follows. For each $m \geq 1$, $Q^{(l)}(m)$ is the field corresponding to the
kernel of the representation

$$G_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \text{Out}(F_2^{(l)}/F_2^{(l)}(m+1))$$
induced from $\varphi_X^{(0)}$ for $X = X_4 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. This kernel will not change if $X_4$ is replaced by $X_n$ ($n \geq 5$) [Ih3]. The union field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{2n})$ corresponds to the kernel of $\varphi_X^{(0)}$ for $X = X_4$, for any $n \geq 4$. It is a pro-$l$ (non-abelian) extension over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{2n})$ unramified outside $l$. For each $m \geq 1$, the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(l+1)/\mathbb{Q}(m))$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of finite rank (call it $r^{(0)}(m)$). It is centralized by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(l+1)/\mathbb{Q}(1))$ and, as $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(l+1)/\mathbb{Q})$-module, has the Tate twist $m$. The graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ is the direct sum of its $m$-th graded pieces

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(l+1)/\mathbb{Q}(m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots),$$

each of which is a $\mathbb{Q}_l$-module ($\mathbb{Q}_l$ : the $l$-adic number field); cf. [Ih4]. This graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ is a standard "approximation" of the filtered Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\infty)/\mathbb{Q})$.

Here is a set of very basic open questions.

**Question 5.2.2 (i)** What is the structure of $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$? **(ii)** Does there exist a natural graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ for all $l$? **(iii)** In particular, is the rank $r^{(0)}(m)$ for each $m$ independent of $l$?

We shall discuss two approaches to $Q$ 5.2.2 (ii).

### 5.3

We shall define a candidate for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in question (cf. [Ih3]). As before, let $P_n = \pi_1(X_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{g}_n) \quad (n \geq 4)$ (see §3.1), and let $\mathfrak{g}_n$ be the graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ associated with the lower central series of $P_n$. Thus, the $m$-th graded piece $\text{gr}^{m} \mathfrak{g}_n$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-module

$$(P_n(m)/P_n(m+1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad (m \geq 1).$$

If $X_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) denotes the element of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of degree 1 represented by $x_{ij}$ (§3.1), then $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is the graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the $X_{ij}$'s which satisfy the fundamental relations

$$X_{ii} = 0, \quad X_{ij} = X_{ji}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{ik} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$$

$$[X_{ij}, X_{kl}] = 0 \quad \text{if } \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$$

(§K, Ih3)). A *special derivation* of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of degree $m \geq 1$ is a derivation $D$ of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ into itself such that $D(X_{ij}) = [T_{ij}, X_{ij}]$ with some $T_{ij} \in \text{gr}^{m} \mathfrak{g}_n \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$. Let $\mathfrak{d}_n$ be the graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose $m$-th graded piece is the $\mathbb{Q}$-module of all *symmetric special outer* derivations of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of degree $m \geq 1$. Here, "symmetric" refers to the invariance with respect to the obvious $S_n$-action on $\mathfrak{g}_n$, and "outer" refers to considering modulo inner derivations.

The sense of considering such a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_n$ is that the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action on $P_n^{(l)}$ gives rise to a degree-preserving Lie algebra embedding

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(l)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{d}_n \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \quad (n \geq 4) \quad (5.3.1)$$
for each prime number \( l \). Now, when \( n \) increases, \( \mathbb{D}_n \) gets smaller. More precisely, the projection \( \mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{P}_{n-1} \), defined by letting \( X_i \to 0 \) for \( i \) or \( j = n \), induces a Lie homomorphism \( \mathbb{D}_n \to \mathbb{D}_{n-1} \). We know that this is injective for \( n \geq 5 \). Thus, these embeddings give rise to an infinite chain

\[
\mathbb{D}_\infty = \bigcap_{n \geq 4} \mathbb{D}_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbb{D}_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbb{D}_5 \subseteq \mathbb{D}_4 ,
\]

(5.3.2)
of graded Lie algebras over \( \mathbb{Q} \). By (5.3.1) and some compatibility,

\[
g^{(l)} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l .
\]

(5.3.3)

Now we ask the following Lie versions of Q 3.3.2, Q 3.3.3:

Question 5.3.4 (i) \( \mathbb{D}_\infty = \mathbb{D}_5 \)? (ii) \( g^{(l)} = \mathbb{D}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \) for all \( l \)?

Remark. From (5.3.2) (5.3.3), it follows that

\[
r^{(l)}(m) \leq \dim \text{gr}^m \mathbb{D}_\infty \leq \cdots \leq \dim \text{gr}^m \mathbb{D}_5 \leq \dim \text{gr}^m \mathbb{D}_4
\]

for each \( m \geq 1 \). It is interesting to see which of the \( \leq \) are equalities. For \( m < 7 \), \( r^{(l)}(m) = \dim \text{gr}^m \mathbb{D}_4 \). But \( r^{(l)}(7) = 1 \) and \( \dim \text{gr}^7 \mathbb{D}_4 = 2 \). Terada-Ihara and Drinfeld independently verified that \( \dim \text{gr}^7 \mathbb{D}_5 = 1 \) (\([\text{II17, Dr2}]\)), which is in favor of the affirmative aspect of Q 5.3.4. See [Ih3, Ih4] for more about these ranks.

Problem 5.3.5. Construct elements of \( \mathbb{D}_\infty \) by algebraic or topological means.

5.4 Deligne constructs a basic motivic extension of the Tate motives, \( \mathbb{Q} \) by \( \mathbb{Q}(m) \) (\( m \geq 3 \), odd) [De]. Moreover, assuming his conjecture (loc. cit. (8.1)) which asserts that such extensions form a 1-dimensional space generated by his extension, and using [So1,2], he proves the following.

The Galois representation in \( \pi_1^{(l)}/\pi_1^{(l)}(m + 1) \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational" in the following sense (for \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \pi_1 = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}, \overline{0,1})) \): There exists a linear algebraic group \( \text{Del}_m \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and a short exact sequence

\[
1 \to \text{UDel}_m \to \text{Del}_m \to GL(1) \to 1 ,
\]

with \( \text{UDel}_m \) unipotent, all independent of \( l \), such that for each \( l \) the Galois representation in \( \pi_1^{(l)}/\pi_1^{(l)}(m + 1) \) factors through a representation \( G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{Del}_m(\mathbb{Q}_l) \) which has an open image at least if \( l > 2 \). Moreover, the abelianization of \( \text{UDel}_m \), with the \( GL(1) \)-action, decomposes as

\[
(\text{UDel}_m)^{ab} \cong \bigoplus_{3 \leq k \leq m, \text{odd}} \mathbb{A}_1^1(k)
\]

(\( \mathbb{A}_1^1 \): the affine line, \( k \) : the Tate twist). Finally, \( \{\text{Del}_m\}_{m \geq 1} \) forms a \( G_\mathbb{Q} \)-compatible projective system.
In particular, if one assumes the above conjecture on the extension of "$\mathcal{Q}$" by "$\mathcal{Q}(m)$", then $Q(5.2.2)$ will have an affirmative answer with an additional information: the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by some subset of the form $\{s_m\}_m$, where $m$ runs over odd integers $\geq 3$ and $s_m$ is of degree $m$.

§6. Arithmetic Aspects

6.1 In §6, we shall review some works of Anderson, Coleman, the author, ..., on the "elementwise description" and the arithmetic study of the representation $\varphi_X$ for $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and, as in §2.3, identify the fundamental group $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \overline{0})$ with the free group $F_2$ on $x, y$. The Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \overline{0})$, and hence on $\hat{F}_2$ and $F_2^{\text{nil}} = \prod F_2^{(i)}$. Take any $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the action of $\sigma$ on $\hat{F}_2$ can be expressed by two coordinates $\chi(\sigma)$ and $f_\sigma (\chi(\sigma) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times, f_\sigma \in \hat{F}_2^{(i)}$ (see §2.3). Therefore, its action on $F_2^{\text{nil}}$ can be expressed by $\chi(\sigma)$ and the projection $f_\sigma^{\text{nil}}$ of $f_\sigma$ on $F_2^{\text{nil}}$. Call $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \ll \xi, \eta \gg$ the non-commutative power series algebra in two variables over $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $F_2^{\text{nil}}$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}^\times$ via $x \to 1 + \xi, y \to 1 + \eta$, and $f_\sigma^{\text{nil}}$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathcal{A}^\times$. Each coefficient of the power series $f_\sigma^{\text{nil}}$ may be regarded as a new invariant of $\sigma$. One is motivated to compare it with other invariants of $\sigma$, the old ones (§§6.2, 6.3) or the newly constructed ones (§6.5).

Now as a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module, $\mathcal{A}$ is the direct sum

$$\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot 1 \oplus \mathcal{A} \cdot \xi \oplus \mathcal{A} \cdot \eta ,$$

and $(f_\sigma^{\text{nil}})^{-1}$ decomposes as

$$(f_\sigma^{\text{nil}})^{-1} = 1 + A_1 \xi + A_2 \eta \quad (A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Put $\psi_\sigma(\xi, \eta) = 1 + A_1 \xi$. Then it follows easily from (3.1.1)(i) that

$$f_\sigma^{\text{nil}} = \psi_\sigma(\eta, \xi) \cdot \psi_\sigma(\xi, \eta)^{-1}.$$ 

Thus, knowing $\psi_\sigma$ is equivalent to knowing $f_\sigma^{\text{nil}}$. Moreover, $\psi_\sigma$ is an anti 1-cocycle

$$\psi_{\sigma \tau} = \psi(\psi_\tau) \cdot \psi_\sigma \quad (\sigma, \tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

with respect to the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ extending that on $F_2^{\text{nil}}$, and is more convenient for describing the $\sigma$-action on abelian subquotients of $\pi_1 \{[12] ;$ cf. also [A-I §2]).

Our first subject now is an explicit formula for the commutative power series $\psi_\sigma^{\text{ab}}$ obtained from $\psi_\sigma$ by letting $\xi$ and $\eta$ commute. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\text{ab}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\xi, \eta]]$ be the commutative formal power series algebra, with the induced $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action $1 + \xi \to (1 + \xi)\chi(\sigma), 1 + \eta \to (1 + \eta)\chi(\sigma) (\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\text{ab}} \times (\sigma \mapsto \psi_\sigma^{\text{ab}})$ is a

---

1 In these papers, the base point is $\infty$ and $x, y$ are loops around 0, 1, respectively. So, the definitions are slightly different. See Remark at the end of §6.5.
1-cocycle, and it turns out that each coefficient of $\psi_{\sigma}^{ab}$ can be expressed in terms of “old invariants” of $\sigma$ which we now recall.

6.2 The Old Invariants. Fix a prime number $l$.

(i) The $l$-adic cyclotomic character $\chi^{(l)}$ is the $l$-component of $\chi$, i.e., $\chi(\sigma) = (\chi^{(l)}(\sigma)), \chi^{(l)}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$.

(ii) The cyclotomic elements (Soulé, Deligne). These are certain continuous mappings $\kappa_m^{(l)} : \mathbb{G}_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l$ ($m \geq 1, odd$), satisfying the 1-cocycle relation

$$\kappa_m^{(l)}(\sigma \tau) = \kappa_m^{(l)}(\sigma) + \chi^{(l)}(\sigma)^m \kappa_m^{(l)}(\tau) \quad (\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{G}_Q). \quad (6.2.1)$$

[Construction] Let $n \geq 1$ (but $n \geq 2$ if $l = 2$). Put $\zeta_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and

$$e_{m,n} = \prod_a (\zeta_{n}^a - 1)^{<a_m^{-1}>},$$

where the product is over all integers $a$ such that $0 < a < l^n$ and $(a, l) = 1; \langle a_m^{-1} \rangle$ is the smallest positive integer congruent to $a_m^{-1}$ mod $l^n$. Note that $e_{m,n}$ is totally real and totally positive (because $m$ is odd). It is easy to see that each of $e_{m,n+1}/e_{m,n}$ and $\sigma(\epsilon_{m,n})/\epsilon_{m,n}$ is an $l^n$-th power of a totally positive element of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty})$, where $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_Q, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \equiv \chi^{(l)}(\sigma)1^{-m} (mod l^n)$. Hence there is a unique $\kappa_n^{(l)}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that

$$\sigma((\epsilon_{m,n})^{1/l^n}) = (\sigma(\epsilon_{m,n}))^{1/l^n} \cdot \zeta_n^{\chi^{(l)}(\sigma)1^{-m}\kappa_n^{(l)}(\sigma)}$$

holds for all $n \geq 2$. Moreover, $\kappa_n^{(l)}$ satisfies (6.2.1). Here, for any positive real number $c$, $c^{1/l^n}$ denotes its positive real root. By (6.2.1), $\kappa_n^{(l)}$ factors through $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty})^{ab}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty})^{ab}$ being the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty})$. Moreover, by Soulé [So1,2], these 1-cocycles $\kappa_n^{(l)}$ do not vanish at least if $l > 2$.

6.3 The following explicit formula for the coefficients of $\psi_{\sigma}^{ab}$ is due to the contributions of Anderson, Coleman, Deligne, the author, Kaneko and Yukinari; cf. [A3, C3, IKY]. (See also [Ich] for a simplification of [IKY].)

For each $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_Q$, define $\kappa_n^{*}(\sigma) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times = \prod_l \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$ by

$$\kappa_n^{*}(\sigma) = ((l^{m-1} - 1)^{-1} \kappa_m^{(l)}(\sigma))_l.$$

Theorem [A3, C3, IKY]. The commutative power series $\psi_{\sigma}^{ab}(\xi, \eta)$ can be expressed explicitly as follows.

$$\psi_{\sigma}^{ab}(\xi, \eta) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 2, odd} \frac{\kappa_n^{*}(\sigma)}{m!} ((X + Y)^m - X^m - Y^m) \right\}$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 2, even} \frac{b_m(1 - \chi(\sigma)^m)}{m!} ((X + Y)^m - X^m - Y^m) \right\},$$

where $b_m$ is the $m$-th Bernoulli number.
where $X = \log(1 + \xi)$, $Y = \log(1 + \eta)$, and the constants $b_m$ are defined by
\[
\log \left( \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \right) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{b_m}{m!} t^m.
\]

($mb_m$ is the $m$-th Bernoulli number.)

Note that $\psi^{ab}_\sigma(\xi, \eta)$ is of the form
\[
\gamma_\sigma(\xi) \gamma_\sigma(\eta) / ((1 + \xi)(1 + \eta) - 1)^{-1}.
\]

This power series $\gamma_\sigma$ is closely related to Anderson’s hyperadelic gamma function $\Gamma_\sigma$.

The image of $\sigma \to \psi^{ab}_\sigma(\xi, \eta)$ was studied closely by Coleman [C3], Ichimura and Kaneko [IK]. The expected image can be figured out via Coleman theory [C1, C2], and the difference from the expected image can be measured in terms of the “Vandiver gap”.

6.4 We now explain another aspect of $\psi^{ab}_\sigma$. It is a connection with the action of $\sigma$ on the double commutator quotient $\tilde{F}/\tilde{F}''$ of $\tilde{F}_2$, or equivalently, on torsion points of Fermat Jacobians. Put $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_2$, and consider the abelianizations $\mathcal{F}^{ab} = \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F}''$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ab}} = \mathcal{F}' / \mathcal{F}''$ of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ first as (additive) $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Then $\mathcal{F}^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_X \oplus \mathbb{Z}_Y$ on which $\sigma$ acts via $\chi(\sigma)$-multiplication (because of (2.3.2)). Here $x, y$ are the classes of $x, y$. Now $\mathcal{F}^{ab}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ab}}$ by conjugation. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ab}}$ may be regarded as a module over the completed group algebra $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\mathcal{F}^{ab}]$. But one can show that this module is free of rank 1 generated by the class $\theta'$ of $(x, y) = x^{}y^{}x^{-1}y^{-1}$. As $\sigma$ acts semi-linearly on $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ab}}$, this action is presented by the unique element $B'_\sigma$ of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\mathcal{F}^{ab}]$ such that
\[
\sigma(\theta') = B'_\sigma \cdot \theta'.
\]

Now define $B_\sigma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\mathcal{F}^{ab}]$ by the formula
\[
B'_\sigma = \left( \frac{x^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1}{x - 1}, \frac{y^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1}{y - 1} \right) B_\sigma.
\]

This $B_\sigma$ is connected with $\psi^{ab}_\sigma$ as follows. Consider the projection
\[
\text{pr} : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\mathcal{F}^{ab}] \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\xi, \eta] = \mathcal{A}^{ab}
\quad \text{by the formulas} \quad \begin{cases} x \mapsto 1 + \xi, \\ y \mapsto 1 + \eta. \end{cases}
\]

Then
\[
\text{pr}(B_\sigma) = \psi^{ab}_\sigma.
\]

The projection pr has a big kernel $\mathcal{K}$. In fact, $\mathcal{K} \cdot \theta' (\subset \mathcal{F}' / \mathcal{F}'')$ is the kernel of $\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F}'' \to F^{\text{nil}}_2 / (F^{\text{nil}}_2)'$. Thus, $\psi^{ab}_\sigma$ is the power series which describes the $\sigma$-action on $(F^{\text{nil}}_2)' / (F^{\text{nil}}_2)''$ universally.
This power series was first treated in [Ih₁], including its connections with the \(l\)-power torsion points of Fermat Jacobians of \(l\)-power degree and Jacobi sums. We shall explain this briefly keeping in sight its generalized and refined version due to Anderson [A₃]. First, we make the following identification

\[
\mathcal{F}^{ab} = \text{Hom}((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2, \mu_\infty)
\]

(\(\mu_\infty\) : the group of roots of unity in \(\mathbb{C}\), with \(x\) (resp. \(y\)) corresponding to \((s, t) \rightarrow \exp(2\pi is)\) (resp. \(\exp(2\pi it)\)); \(s, t \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\)). Note that the \(G_\mathbb{Q}\)-action on \(\mathcal{F}^{ab}\) is recovered from its action on \(\mu_\infty\) via (6.4.5). Through (6.4.5) we may regard each element of \(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\mathcal{F}^{ab}]]\) as a function

\[
\left(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\right)^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\infty).
\]

The above \(B_\sigma\), considered as a function (6.4.6), is the *adelic beta function* \(B_\sigma(s, t)\) \((s, t \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\). It is strikingly analogous to the classical beta function [A₃]. Very roughly speaking, \(B_\sigma\) plays the role of \((\text{the classical beta})^{-1}\).

Now, the abelian covering of \(X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}\) corresponding to \(\mathcal{F}^{ab}(N)\) \((N = 1, 2, \ldots)\) is the Fermat curve

\[
Y_N : \{u^N + v^N = 1; \ uv \neq 0\}.
\]

The covering map is given by \((u, v) \rightarrow u^N\). The abelian coverings over \(Y_N\) are controlled by the group of torsion points of the Jacobian of \(\overline{Y}_N\) (the compactification of \(Y_N\)). Thus the action of \(\sigma\) on \(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^n\) is directly tied to that on the group of these torsion points ([Ih₁, A₂₃]). As the Frobenius elements act on the latter group by multiplication of Jacobi sums (etc.), these collected together in terms of \(\psi_\sigma^{ab}\) (or \(B_\sigma\)) give a universal expression of Jacobi sums. For \(\psi_\sigma^{ab}\), it is:

**Theorem [Ih₁].** Let \(l\) be a prime number, \(n \geq 1\), \(\wp\) be a prime ideal of \(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)\) not lying above \(l\), and \(\sigma = \sigma_\wp\) be a Frobenius element of \(\wp\). Then for any \(l^n\)-torsion points \(s, t\) of \(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\) with \(s, t, s + t \neq 0\), the special value of the \(l\)-component of \(\psi_\sigma^{ab}\) \((\in \mathbb{Z}/[\xi, \eta])\) at \(\xi = \exp(2\pi is) - 1\), \(\eta = \exp(2\pi it) - 1\), is essentially the Jacobi sum (w.r.t. \(\wp, l^n, s, t\)).

More generally, the values of \(B_\sigma(s, t)\) \((s, t \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\) are related to Jacobi sums and also Gauss sums [A₃]. Note that the two theorems of §6.3, §6.4, combined, give a direct connection between the circular units and the Jacobi sums.

Anderson [A₃, A₄] defined the hyperadelic gamma function

\[
\Gamma_\sigma : \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{(some arithmetic ring)}
\]

which factors \(B_\sigma\) just as \(\gamma_\sigma\) factors \(\psi_\sigma^{ab}\). It interpolates Gauss sums, and its "logarithmic derivative" can be given explicitly in terms of circular units so that it forms a bridge connecting Gauss sums and circular units. The last connection was partly established independently by a different method by Miki [Mi]. See Coleman [C₃] for connections with and applications to other aspects of cyclotomy.
6.5 $\psi_\sigma(\xi, \eta)$ and Higher Circular $l$-Units (Anderson-Ihara [AI$_{1,2}$]). This connection arises from a comparison of the tower of nilpotent coverings and of genus 0 coverings.

Call a finite subset $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ $l$-elementary, if $S$ is obtained from $S_0 = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ by finite number of operations of the form $S \to S^{1/l}$ (all $l$-th roots), $S \to T_{a,b,c}(S)$. Here, $a, b, c \in S$ (distinct), and $T_{a,b,c}$ is the projective linear transformation of $\mathbb{P}^1$ that maps $a, b, c$ to $0, 1, \infty$ respectively.

**Definition 6.5.1.** $E^{(l)}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ generated by the constituents of $S - \{0, \infty\}$, where $S$ runs over all $l$-elementary subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

It is easy to see that elements of $E^{(l)}$ are $l$-units, i.e., element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ which, together with its reciprocal, is integral over $\mathbb{Z}[1/l]$. They are called higher circular $l$-units. The group $E^{(l)}$ contains such elements as

$$1 - \zeta_n, \quad (1 - (1 - \zeta_n)^{1/n})^{1/n}, \ldots,$$

where $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/l^n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$).

**Theorem [A-I$_2$].** Each coefficient of $\psi_\sigma(\xi, \eta)$ (mod $l^n$) can be expressed explicitly in terms of the $\sigma$-action on $E^{(l)}$.

A key dialogue: “How to distinguish different $l$-th roots in $E^{(l)}$ intelligibly?” : “In terms of a natural structure of “forest” with vertices in $E^{(l)}$.”

**Corollary [A-I$_1$]**

$$\mathbb{Q}^{(l)}(\infty) = \mathbb{Q}(E^{(l)}).$$

We shall conclude this lecture with two additional open questions.

**Question 6.5.2.** i) Is $\mathbb{Q}^{(l)}(\infty)$ the maximal pro-$l$ extension over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{l^\infty})$ unramified outside $l$?

ii) How big is $E^{(l)}$ and $E^{(l)} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{l^\infty})$?

**Remark.** The non-commutative (resp. commutative) $l$-adic power series $\psi(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_l \ll u, v \gg$ of [Ih$_2$] §1 (D) Ex. 1 (resp. $F_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_l[[u, v]]$ of [Ih$_1$] §2) are related to the above $\psi_\sigma$ (resp. $\psi^{ab}_\sigma$) as follows. Write $\xi, \eta$ instead of $u, v$ respectively. Then $\psi(\sigma)$ and $F_\sigma$ are the $l$-components of

$$f_\sigma(y, z)y^{1/(\chi(\sigma)-1)} \cdot \psi_\sigma(\eta, \xi)$$

and

$$\frac{x^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1}{x - 1} \cdot \frac{y^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1}{y - 1} \cdot y^{1/(\chi(\sigma)-1)} \cdot \psi^{ab}_\sigma(\xi, \eta),$$

respectively, where $z = (xy)^{-1}$. Note that $f^{ab}_\sigma = 1$ and that $\psi^{ab}_\sigma$ is symmetric in $\xi$ and $\eta$. 

Yasutaka Ihara
Added in proof. The author found later that Question 5.3.4 (i) has an affirmative answer. The proof is based on [Dr₂, Ih₃].
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Motivation

The last ten years or so have seen a considerable synthesis in mathematics and mathematical physics. In this talk I will be concerned only with those topics appearing in Fig. 1, all connected by having something to do with braids.

Many very different themes could be used for a talk such as this one, but I have chosen von Neumann algebras because they are what led me into this circle of ideas. Thus the presentation will be historical rather than logical.

A von Neumann algebra $M$ is a $\ast$-algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ which contains the identity and is closed in the weak operator topology, i.e. if $a_n$ is a net of operators in $M$ and $\langle a_n \xi, \eta \rangle \to \langle a \xi, \eta \rangle$ for some $a$ and all $\xi$ and $\eta$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $a$ is in $M$. Most of the interest is when $M$ is infinite dimensional so it should be pointed out at the outset that a finite dimensional von Neumann algebra is just a direct sum of matrix algebras, each acting with a certain multiplicity on $\mathcal{H}$.
These algebras were first introduced by Murray and von Neumann in [MvN1]. Their motivations were many, not the least being a beautiful result of von Neumann in [vN] which showed that von Neumann algebras could equally be defined as commutants of self-adjoint sets (notation: if $S$ is a set of bounded operators, $S'$, the commutant of $S$, is by definition the set of all bounded operators $x$ such that $xs = sx$ for all $s$ in $S$). This means that von Neumann algebras are the algebras of symmetries for any structure belonging to Hilbert space, such as geometric configurations of subspaces or unitary group representations. Let me discuss some other motivations for looking at von Neumann algebras.

a) Unitary Group Representations

The abstract theory of representations of a compact group is very complete but as soon as the group is not compact, many different phenomena occur. A unitary representation no longer decomposes simply as a direct sum of irreducibles, which is reflected in a possibly exotic structure of the commutant of the group. This already happens for, say, the regular representation of the free group on two generators. Of course a semisimple Lie group $G$ is an example of a “type I” group where there is a satisfactory reduction to irreducible representations, but even here the restriction of a discrete series representation of $G$ to a lattice $\Gamma$ in $G$ will resemble the regular representation of $\Gamma$. So even in arithmetic questions (say $G = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$), “exotic” von Neumann algebras occur quite naturally. For infinite dimensional groups such as loop groups the situation is even more interesting.

b) Abstract Algebra

The abstract theory of finite dimensional semisimple algebras over a field is also a complete theory. When the algebra becomes infinite dimensional, general theory must be replaced by a heterogeneous collection of examples unless some analysis is introduced. Over $\mathbb{C}$ semisimplicity is implied (in finite dimensions) by the existence of a $\ast$ operation and to say that an algebra is closed in the weak topology is the strongest reasonable condition on it. So von Neumann algebras should be the best behaved abstract family of infinite dimensional algebras. Although the theory is considerably richer than in finite dimensions there do exist non-trivial general results and there is a significant class of von Neumann algebras (those approximable by finite dimensional algebras) for which a complete classification exists.

c) Unbounded Operators

At first sight the important operator $d/dx$ appears unnatural on Hilbert space since it is not defined on all vectors (in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$). However the opposite is true. Provided $d/dx$ is given the right domain its graph is a closed subspace and hence natural to Hilbert space. In general an operator is called pre-closed if it is densely
defined and the closure of its graph is the graph of an operator. All interesting linear operators seem to be pre-closed.\(^1\)

The trouble with domains comes when one tries to add and multiply unbounded operators. For this reason one would hope to replace unbounded operators by bounded ones. Thus the relations \([P, Q] = \text{id}\) can be handled in the Weyl form with two unitary groups \(U(t)\) and \(V(t)\) with \(U(t)V(s) = e^{2\pi i t} V(s)U(t)\). In general one could use the von Neumann algebra of all bounded operators having the same symmetries as the unbounded ones.

d) Quantum Theory

The very language of quantum mechanics suggests von Neumann algebras. States are vectors in a Hilbert space. Observables are self-adjoint operators and numerical information about observables for systems in states is given by scalar products. Thus it is quite natural to consider a von Neumann algebra of observables associated with any subsystem of a quantum system. Certainly von Neumann was thinking along these lines. Such an approach was indeed adopted as an “algebraic” approach to quantum field theory by Haag and Kastler [HK] who postulated von Neumann algebras associated with regions of space time and satisfying certain causality, positivity and Lorentz covariance conditions. Although it is very abstract and difficult to produce mathematical examples, some things can be deduced from such a general theory and new results in von Neumann algebras have recently added to the possibilities.

1. Factors and Their Types

The spectral theorem shows that abelian von Neumann algebras are abstractly of the form \(L^\infty(X, \mu)\) acting with some multiplicity on a Hilbert space. Attention turns immediately to factors which are by definition von Neumann algebras with trivial centre. The simplest example of such a factor is \(B(\mathcal{H})\), the algebra of all bounded operators. One of the fundamental discoveries of Murray and von Neumann was that of factors not abstractly isomorphic to any \(B(\mathcal{H})\).

The first example was that of the commutant of the left regular representation of any discrete group \(\Gamma\) all of whose (non-identity) conjugacy classes are infinite (such as free groups \(F_n\) or \(\text{PSL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\) for \(n \geq 2\)). One can show that this von Neumann algebra is generated by the right regular representation and that it is a factor. Also if \(\xi \in l^2(\Gamma)\) is the characteristic function of the identity then the linear functional \(\text{tr}(x) = \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle\) defines a trace on \(M\) (i.e. \(\text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx)\)).

There is no such functional on \(B(\mathcal{H})\) if \(\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty\).

An infinite dimensional factor admitting such a trace is called a type \(\text{II}_1\) factor and a factor of the form \(B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes (\text{a type II}_1\text{ factor})\) is called a type \(\text{II}_\infty\) factor if \(\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty\). A factor which is neither of type I (\(\cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\)) nor of type II is called a type III factor.

\(^1\) I can only think of one exception – the “derivations” in Fox’s free differential calculus are not pre-closed on \(l^2\) of the free group.
A II\textsubscript{1} factor shares some of the nice features of a finite dimensional matrix algebra (e.g. it is simple). Its most seductive feature is continuous dimensionality. If one looks at the numbers trace\(p\) (trace normalized so that trace\(1\) = 1), where \(p \in M_n(\mathbb{C})\) are projections, one obtains the numbers \(m/n\) for \(m = 0, 1, \ldots, n\), where \(m\) is of course the rank of \(p\). In a II\textsubscript{1} factor one obtains the whole unit interval \([0, 1]\).

2. GNS Construction

An important construction is the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction. One begins with a *-algebra \(A\) and a linear functional (state) \(\varphi : A \to \mathbb{C}\) with \(\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0\). Define \(\langle , \rangle\) on \(A\) by \(\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)\). Quotienting if necessary \(A\) becomes a pre-Hilbert space and its completion is written \(\mathcal{H}_\varphi\). Under mild conditions \(A\) will act on \(\mathcal{H}_\varphi\) by left multiplication and the von Neumann algebra it generates is said to result from the GNS construction from \(\varphi\). It should be thought of as the completion of \(A\) with respect to \(\varphi\). Examples of all types of factors can now be obtained, using \(A = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} M_2(\mathbb{C})\). If \(h_i \in M_2(\mathbb{C})\) are positive matrices of trace 1 then the formula \(\varphi(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}(h_i x_i)\) defines a state, said to be a product state. The result of the GNS construction is then always a factor. It is of type I if \(h_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\), of type II\textsubscript{1} if \(h_i = (1/2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\) and of type III if \(h_i = (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\) for \(0 < \lambda < 1\). In the last case the state is known as the Powers state after R. Powers [Pow] who proved that the factors for different \(\lambda\) are mutually non-isomorphic.

3. Modular Theory

The Tomita-Takesaki theory shows that to every weakly continuous state \(\varphi\) on a von Neumann algebra \(M\) there is a one parameter group \(\sigma_t\) of automorphisms of \(M\) characterized by the KMS condition \(\varphi(xy) = \varphi(\sigma_t(y)x)\). It allows one to subdivide the type III factors into type III\textsubscript{4}, \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\) where the Powers factors are of type III\textsubscript{4}, \(\lambda \in (0, 1)\). Types III\textsubscript{0} and III\textsubscript{1} can be obtained from product states by suitable choices of the \(h_i\)'s. Generically a factor is of type III\textsubscript{1}. For details we refer to Connes' Helsinki congress talk [Co1], or [Ta].

4. Hyperfiniteness

A von Neumann algebra \(M\) is hyperfinite if there is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional *-subalgebras whose union is weakly dense in \(M\). Thus our infinite tensor product factors are hyperfinite, by construction, but Murray and von Neumann showed that the free group II\textsubscript{1} factor is not hyperfinite, nor are the examples coming from lattices in semisimple Lie groups.

All hyperfinite factors are known. Here is a table of them.
Table 1. Hyperfinite factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Type } I_n$</td>
<td>$n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$ One for each $n$ Proof: elementary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Type } \Pi_1$</td>
<td>A unique factor, denoted $R$. Uniqueness proved by Murray and von Neumann in [MvN2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Type } \Pi_\infty$</td>
<td>A unique factor. Uniqueness proved by Connes in [Co2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Type } \text{III}_0$</td>
<td>One for each ergodic non-transitive flow. Proved by Krieger [Kr] and Connes [Co2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Type } \text{III}_1$</td>
<td>Powers factors are the unique examples. Uniqueness proved by Connes [Co2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; \lambda &lt; 1$</td>
<td>A unique example, first analysed by Araki and Woods in [AW]. Uniqueness proved by Haagerup [Ha] and Connes [Co3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In quantum field theory it is expected that the von Neumann algebra of observables localized in a nice region is a hyperfinite type $\text{III}_1$ factor.

The classification of hyperfinite (also called “injective”) factors is a great achievement. Among other things it paves the way for the study of subfactors, to which I now turn.

5. Index for Subfactors

The representation theory of a $\Pi_1$ factor is very simple. There is a single parameter – a positive real number (or $\infty$), $\dim_M(\mathcal{H})$, which measures the size of $\mathcal{H}$ compared to the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$ obtained from $M$ by the GNS construction using the trace (for which $\dim_M(L^2(M)) = 1$). Thus if $N \subset M$ are $\Pi_1$ factors we define the index of $N$ in $M$ to be the real number ($\geq 1$):

$$[M : N] = \dim_N(L^2(M)).$$

Examples:

(i) $[N \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) : N] = n^2$

(ii) $[M : M^G] = |G|$ if $G$ is a group of outer automorphisms of $M$.

The next theorem shows that this is an interesting notion.

**Theorem** [Jo1]. a) If $[M : N] < 4$ then there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 3$ for which $[M : N] = 4\cos^2{\pi/n}$.

b) All values of the index of part a) are realized, as is any real number $\geq 4$, by subfactors of the hyperfinite $\Pi_1$ factor.

This theorem may be proved by iterating a certain basic construction which associates to $N \subset M$ an extension $(M_i, e_N)$ of $M$ where $e_N$ projects from $L^2(M)$ to $L^2(N)$. One obtains a tower $M_i$ with $N = M_0$, $M = M_1$ and $M_n = (M, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1})$, $e_j$ being orthogonal projection from $L^2(M_j)$ to $L^2(M_{j-1})$. 
The $e_i$'s satisfy the following properties

1) $e_i^2 = e_i = e_i^*$
2) $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$ if $|i - j| \geq 2$
3) $e_i e_{i+1} e_i = ve_i$ $(\tau = [M : N]^{-1})$
4) $\text{tr}(w e_{n+1}) = \tau \text{tr}(w)$ if $w$ is a word on $1, e_1, \ldots, e_n$.

The hermitian form $\text{tr}(x^* y)$ on the algebra generated by the $e_i$'s must be positive definite and this forces $[M : N]$ to be $4 \cos^2 \pi/n$. We will see later how the same form arises in connection with surgery on three-manifolds and this result about degeneracies of the form is precisely what allows a simple explicit formula for some new three-manifold invariants!

The construction of examples of subfactors proceeds by the explicit construction of a sequence of $e_i$'s and a trace satisfying 1)–4) above. The $\text{II}_1$ factor is then obtained by the GNS construction and the subfactor is that generated by $e_2, e_3, \ldots$. In the case of index $> 4$ these subfactors have non-trivial centralizer. For more details on what happens in index $> 4$ see Popa's talk in this volume.

6. Commuting Squares

The tower $M_i$ arising from $N \subset M$ defines two towers of finite dimensional algebras which we will call the centralizer towers. They are the commutants $A_i = M' \cap M_i$ and $B_i = N' \cap M_i$. Clearly $A_i \subset B_i$ and they satisfy the “commuting square” condition (first used by Popa in a different context) that the orthogonal projections from $B_{i+1}$ to $A_{i+1}$ and $B_i$ commute and $B_i \cap A_{i+1} = A_i$.

This condition allows one to control the von Neumann algebra inclusion of the GNS closures of $\cup A_i$ and $\cup B_i$, which would be impossible without the condition.

In fact commuting squares give subfactors automatically by iterating the basic construction and the study of commuting squares constitutes a new and intriguing problem in finite dimensional linear algebra. A machine for producing examples from quantum groups has been developed by Wenzl. Other examples abound – see [Su], [HJ] and [HS], but the general structure of commuting squares is quite unclear.

7. Finite Depth, Classification Results

Actions of finite groups are completely classified on the hyperfinite type $\text{II}_1$ factor ([Jo7]) and one might hope for an extension of these results to finite index subfactors. This is unlikely since one may take any finite set of automorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and form the subfactor

$$
\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
    x & O \\
    \alpha_1(x) & O \\
    O & \ddots \\
    O & & \alpha_n(x)
\end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$
of $R \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$. This subfactor remembers too much about the group generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and although if this group is amenable we are in a good situation ([O1]), if it is not there will be no nice classification.

On the other hand there is a class of subfactors, first stressed by Ocneanu, of $R$ for which classification is a well-posed problem. A subfactor $N \subset M$ is said to be of finite depth if the dimensions of the centres of the centralizer towers $A_i$ and $B_i$ are bounded. It is then easy to see that the centralizer towers exhibit periodicity beyond a certain point. The index of a finite depth subfactor is given by the square of the norm of the matrix, with integer entries, describing the stabilized inclusion for $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$. See [GHJ].

Popa has shown in [Po] that the stabilized commuting square of centralizer towers is a complete invariant for subfactors of $R$ of finite depth. Another version of the result is claimed by Ocneanu who has a more elaborate and computable version of the invariant.

If the index is less than 4 a complete classification is possible. Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams arise out of the combinatorics of the centralizer towers and according to Ocneanu subfactors of index < 4 are classified by Dynkin diagrams of types $A_n, D_{2n}, E_6$ and $E_8$, there being one for each $A_n$ and $D_{2n}$ and two for each of $E_6$ and $E_8$. The index of the subfactor is $4 \cos^2 \pi/n$, $n$ being the Coxeter number (see [O2, GHJ]). Popa has extended this to index 4, where infinite depth and extended Dynkin diagrams occur (see [GHJ] and Popa’s talk in these proceedings).

Popa has given a deep generalization of his result to cases of infinite depth provided certain asymptotic behavior of the combinatorics can be controlled. Combined with ideas of Wasserman in [Was] this gives new results about compact group actions. See Popa’s paper in these proceedings.

8. Statistical Mechanical Models

The abstract algebra presented by the $e_i$ relations 1), 2) and 3) was used by Temperley and Lieb [TL, Ba] to show the equivalence of the ice-type and self-dual Potts models of statistical mechanics. The relations are satisfied by certain matrices which combine to give the row-to-row transfer matrices of the models. The same abstract algebra occurs in the models of Andrews, Baxter and Forrester [ABF] but the parameter is now in the discrete series $4 \cos^2 \pi/n$. Pasquier used the ADE Coxeter graphs to get more models ([Pa]).

The ice-type model is a vertex model where the interactions between the elementary components of a system take place at the vertices of a graph. The Potts model is a spin model where interactions are on the edges and the ABF and Pasquier models are IRF models with many-spin interactions around faces of a planar graph.

Many more elaborate models can be obtained from quantum groups and there are corresponding algebraic relations generalizing the $e_i$ ones. One may use these models to construct subfactors including the Wenzl ones but apparently others as well ([Jo2, D+]).

The relation of subfactors to the solvability of the model, if any, remains unclear.
9. Bimodules, Hypergroups, Paragroups, Quantized Groups

The combinatorics of the centralizer towers are very rich and attempts are being made to extract the data in them. The most ambitious project is Ocneanu's. He uses bimodules and intertwiners and obtains a structure with many properties of an IRF model of statistical mechanics, where the "faces" become closed paths around induction-restriction diagrams. See his notes in these proceedings.

Sunder has used a less detailed structure called a hypergroup which is only supposed to contain the combinatorial structure of tensor products of bimodules ([Su]).

The idea of using bimodules was first stressed by Connes. See [Co4, Jo3].

10. Braid Groups

The braid group $B_n$ on $n$ strings may be presented on $n-1$ generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ with relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ and $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ if $|i-j| \geq 2$ (see [Bi]). These relations bear a resemblance to the $e_i$ ones and one may represent the braid group by sending $\sigma_i$ to $t e_i - (1 - e_i)$ if $2 + t + t^{-1} = t^{-1}$. The discrete series corresponds to $t = e^{2\pi i/n}$, $\sigma_i$ unitary, and the continuous part to $t > 0, \sigma_i$ self-adjoint.

The presence of such braid group representations is a pervasive feature of all the generalizations to do with quantum groups and solvable models. The $e_i$ representations correspond to the 2-dimensional representation of $U_q(sl_2)$. It is not known whether these representations, either collectively or individually, are faithful for $n > 3$. Lawrence has found these representations as natural actions of braid groups on homology groups – see [La]. See also Varchenko’s talk in these proceedings.

11. Hecke Algebras

If $H < G$ are groups, the Hecke algebra is the commutant of the representation of $G$ on the vector space of functions on $G/H$. In the case where $G$ is $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $H$ is the upper triangular matrices, this algebra admits a presentation on generators $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ with relations

$$g_i^2 = (q - 1)g_i + q, \quad g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1}, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \text{ if } |i-j| \geq 2.$$  

For $|q| \neq 1$ or 0 this algebra is isomorphic to the group algebra of the symmetric group $S_n$ (see [Bo]).

Clearly the braid group can be represented in the Hecke algebra in the obvious way. In fact these representations contain the $e_i$ ones (with $q = t$) as direct summands. Under the isomorphism with $\mathbb{C}S_n$, the $e_i$ representations correspond to Young diagrams with at most 2 rows. (See [Jo4].)
12. Knot Polynomials

Braids may be closed by tying the top to the bottom to form links (see below).

The braid $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}$:  

Its closure:

It is clear that the closure of a braid $\alpha \in B_n$ does not change if $\alpha$ is conjugated in $B_n$, nor if $\alpha$ is embedded in $B_{n+1}$ and multiplied by $\sigma_n^{\pm 1}$. These two "Markov moves" generate the equivalence relation of having the same closure. It follows from this and relation 4) in the $e_i$ algebra that a normalized version of the trace of the algebra element representing a braid will be an invariant of the closure of the braid. For a link $L$ this invariant turns out to be a polynomial $V_L(t)$ in the variable $t$ (or $\sqrt{t}$) and can be calculated, though not always rapidly, from the following "skein relation".

Skein Relation ([Cnw]). If $L_+$, $L_-$ and $L_0$ are links identical except near one crossing where they are as below

then

$$\frac{1}{t} V_{L_+} - t V_{L_-} = (\sqrt{t} - 1/\sqrt{t}) V_{L_0}.$$

The right-handed trefoil has $V(t) = t + t^3 - t^4$ and the left-handed one has $V(t) = 1/t + 1/t^3 - 1/t^4$. The Alexander polynomial has a similar relation and this prompted many people to develop a 2-variable polynomial called the HOMFLY polynomial $P_L(l,m)$ having arbitrary coefficients in its skein relation ([Jo5, F+]).

Kauffman found an explicit formula for $V_L(t)$ in [Ka1] from an arbitrary knot diagram which was used by him, Murasugi and Thistlethwaite to prove some old conjectures of Tait about alternating knots ([Ka1, Mu, Th]). He also found another two-variable polynomial generalization of $V(t)$, called the Kauffman polynomial $F(a,x)$. It does not contain the Alexander polynomial.

The polynomials are very useful in calculating the minimal number of crossings for a diagram of a knot and the minimal number of strings for a closed braid representation of a knot ([LT, Mo, FW]).
13. The $R$-Matrix, Powers State Picture

A special representation of the relations 1)–4) of §5 was discovered by Pimsner and Popa in [PP]. If $e \in \text{End}(C^2 \otimes C^2)$ is defined by $e = \tau e_{11} \otimes e_{22} + \sqrt{\tau} (1 - \tau)(e_{12} \otimes e_{21} + e_{21} \otimes e_{12}) + (1 - \tau)e_{22} \otimes e_{11}$, then if we let $e_i$ on $(C^2)^{\otimes n}$ be defined between the $i^{th}$ and $(i + 1)^{th}$ tensor components as $e$ and the identity on the others, one finds that 1), 2) and 3) are satisfied. Moreover if $\phi_\lambda$ is the Powers state (giving a type II$_1$ factor), then its restriction to the algebra generated by $1, e_1, \ldots, e_n$ defines a trace satisfying 4). This gives a useful way of calculating $V_L(t)$, with $t = \lambda$. This representation, without $\phi_\lambda$, was used also in [TL] where the $e_i$'s give the transfer matrix for an ice-type model.

At this point a remarkably rapid development in the understanding of the polynomials was made possible by the existence of the theory of quantum groups. Fadeev's Leningrad group, particularly Sklyanin [Sk] and Kulish and Reshetikhin [KR] had uncovered a new structure related to the ice-type model for which the relevant Lie algebra was $sl_2$. For background see [Fa]. This had been generalized by Jimbo [Ji1] and Drinfeld [Dr] to produce analogues of the braiding matrices obtained from the $e_i$ representation I have just described, one for each finite dimensional representation of every simple Lie algebra. The analogue of the Powers state was soon found and the following picture was established by Reshetikhin [Re] and Rosso [Ro]:

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a simple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the class of links with distinguished components $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$. Then to each way of assigning finite dimensional representations of $\mathcal{G}$ to $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ there is a polynomial invariant of isotopy for links in $\mathcal{L}$.

The polynomial $V_L(t)$ corresponds to $\mathcal{G} = sl_2$ and the assignment of its 2-dimensional representation to all components. The HOMFLY and Kauffman polynomials are obtained in a similar way from $sl_n$ and the symplectic (or orthogonal) algebras respectively.

In this picture the geometric operations of cabling (and satellites in general) can be understood in terms of tensor products of representations ([MSt]).

Explicit formulae on any (not necessarily braided) picture of a link may be given. This was first done for HOMFLY in [Jo6] and generalized to the Kauffman polynomial in [Tu1].

14. Positivity of the Markov Trace

Ocneanu's approach to the HOMFLY polynomial was a direct generalization of my construction of $V_L(t)$, by defining a trace on the Hecke algebra by the property $\text{tr}(w g_{n+1}) = z \text{tr}(w)$ if $w$ is a word on $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$, and where $z$ is a new variable. Subfactors occur for the values of $(q, z)$ allowing a *-algebra structure on the Hecke algebra for which the trace is positive. This set of values was determined by Ocneanu, and Wenzl constructed the subfactors and calculated their indices ([F+, We1]). It is convenient to use the variables $\tau = q/(1 + q)^2$ and $\eta = (1 + z)/(1 + q)$. Then the "positivity spectrum" (Fig. 2) is as follows:
The discrete points are the intersections in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ of the curves $\eta = P_k(\tau)/P_{k-1}(\tau)$ with the curves $\eta = \tau P_{k-2}(\tau)/P_{k-1}(\tau)$ as indicated, where $P_k(\eta)$ are the polynomials given by $P_{k+1} = P_k - \eta P_{k-1}$, $P_0 = 1$, $P_1 = 1$, and $k + k' = l + 3$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots, l + 1$, $l$ indicating the horizontal row on which the point is situated. The index of the subfactor at the point labelled $(l, k)$ is $\sin^2 k\pi/(l+3)/\sin^2 \pi/(l+3)$ ([We1]).

15. Brauer and BMW Algebras

A new algebra was developed in [BW] and [Muk] to play the role for the Kauffman polynomial that the Hecke algebra plays for the HOMFLY polynomial. The idea, inspired by Kauffman, is to add objects like $|\cdots| \times |\cdots|$ to the braid group generators. Calling these generators $E_i$ and the usual braid group generators $G_i$, the BMW algebra with parameters $a, x$, has presentation:

$$G_i G_{i+1} G_i = G_{i+1} G_i G_{i+1}, \quad G_i G_j = G_j G_i \text{ if } |i - j| \geq 2,$$

$$G_i + G_i^{-1} = x(1 + E_i), \quad E_i G_i = G_i E_i = a E_i, \quad E_i^2 = (a + a^{-1} - x)x^{-1}E_i,$$

$$E_i G_{i+1}^\ddagger E_i = a^{\ddagger} E_i, \quad E_i G_{i+1} G_i = E_i E_{i+1}.$$

These relations are best understood in terms of diagrams. The third is used because of the Kauffman polynomial. Using Markov traces and the basic construction of subfactor analysis Wenzl determined the structure of the BMW algebra for generic values of the parameters and a lot about it for special values. Its dimension is $1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n + 1)$, $n$ being the number of $G_i$'s as above. It has the Hecke algebra as a natural quotient and the $e_i$ algebra as a natural subalgebra.
Brauer in [Br] defined algebras of the same dimension as a model for the commutant of the symplectic and orthogonal groups in tensor powers of the vector representation. Wenzl in [Wez] solved the problem of the generic structure of the Brauer algebra essentially by viewing it as a specialization of the BMW algebra. Wenzl has also obtained subfactors from the BMW algebra using positivity considerations.

The commutant of the quantum group $U_q(sl_n)$ on the tensor powers of the $n$-dimensional representation is the Hecke algebra ([Ji2]). The BMW algebra does the same job for quantum groups of types $B$ and $C$ (see [We3]).

16. Conformal Field Theory

There are many similarities between subfactor theory and conformal-field theory. I cite the discrete and continuous values of the central charge $c$ ([FQS]), the ADE classification for $c < 1$ ([CIZ]), the "fusion rules" corresponding to the combinatorics of the centralizer towers ([GW]) and the existence of a tractable subclass called rational CFT's corresponding to finite depth subfactors. But the most compelling evidence for a connection is that the braid group representations which occur canonically in the subfactor picture for index $< 4$ occur also for the $SU(2)$ case of one of the main models of CFT – the Wess-Zumino-Witten model. Following [KZ], Tsuchiya and Kanie calculated the monodromy of the $n$-point functions in the holomorphic sector of this model and found the $e_\tau$-braid group representations (for spin $1/2$). Thus the subfactors themselves could be constructed out of the WZW theory but in a somewhat indirect way.

Moore and Seiberg showed in [MSe] that the braid group situation was just the genus zero case of a theory that works in arbitrary genus for any conformal field theory. To do this they checked that the defining relations ([Waj]) for the mapping class group do indeed follow from their axioms for CFT.

Conformal field theories are often obtained as continuum limits of critical 2-dimensional classical statistical mechanical systems. It is not clear that there is any deep relationship between the appearances of the braid group in solvable models and in the CFT of the continuum limit.

17. Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, Superselection Sectors

Several people ([Fr, FRS, Lo]) have noticed that the braiding and Markov trace structure is inherent in any (not necessarily conformal) low dimensional QFT. In the framework of algebraic QFT ([HK]), Doplicher, Haag and Roberts in [DHR] introduced superselection sectors as representations of the observable algebra which are equivalent to the vacuum representation when restricted to the algebra of the causal complement of some bounded region. Haag duality is the property that the algebras of such bounded regions and their causal complements are each other’s commutants. This duality is supposed to hold in the vacuum sector. A simple calculation shows that superselection sectors give rise to endomorphisms of the local observable algebra. Using geometric properties of
space-time, implementers of the endomorphism give rise to unitary braid group representations where the endomorphism takes $\sigma_i$ to $\sigma_{i+1}$. The Markov trace may be obtained as a simple weak limit.

The square root of the index of the subfactor which is the image of the endomorphism was called the “statistical dimension” of the sector in [DHR], but because they were considering 4 dimensions this dimension was necessarily an integer in their theory.

One of the problems with all this work is that there do not seem to be any concrete examples where the subfactors and braid group representations have been calculated to the satisfaction of an expert in von Neumann algebras.

18. Loop Groups and Subfactors

The loop group $LSU(2)$ has a discrete series of “positive energy” projective unitary representations labelled by a level $l$ and a spin $j$, $0 \leq 2j \leq l$. Inspired by [TK] and in an attempt to understand and implement the ideas of superselection sectors, and to provide naturally occurring examples of subfactors, A. Wassermann and I have been working on the best known example, WZW theory, especially for $LSU(2)$. We interpret the superselection sectors as being the discrete series of the loop group for a fixed level. Given an interval $I$ in the circle $S^1$ ($I^c$ will denote the complementary interval), let $L_I G$ be the group of loops supported in $I$. The von Neumann algebra $(L_I G)''$ corresponds to the local algebra. Haag duality (which we have proved) says that for the vacuum sector (spin = 0), $(L_I G)' = (L_I G)'$. These von Neumann algebras are type III_1 factors in any sector and we have shown that, if the level is fixed, the representations of $L_I G$ for any spin are unitarily equivalent.

We conjecture that $[(L_I G) : (L_I G)''] = \sin^2(\pi j + \pi)/(l + 2)) / \sin^2(\pi j + \pi)/2$ and in general that the subfactor is the tensor product of a Wenzl subfactor and the hyperfinite type III_1 factor. The vertex operators of Tsuchiya and Kanke, if made to become unbounded operator valued distributions on $S^1$, should provide explicit intertwiners between the representations of $L_I G$ for fixed level and different spin.

19. Witten’s Interpretation of $V_L(t)$ and Its Generalizations

Motivated by his own work, ideas of Atiyah and Segal and the relations between subfactors, knots and CFT, Witten proposed the following formula for a link $L$ with components $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ ([Wi1]).

$$V_L(e^{2\pi i/k+2}) = \int_A [D A] e^{i k \int_{S^3} tr(A^d A + \frac{3}{2} A A A A)} \prod_{i=1}^n W(L_i)$$

where $A$ runs over all $su(2)$-valued 1-forms on $S^3$ (modulo the gauge group) and $W(L_i)$ is the trace of the parallel transport using $A$ (in the 2-dimensional representation) along the closed curve $L_i$. A measure $[D A]$ with the appropriate
properties has not been shown to exist so this formula must be taken in the context
of Witten's topological QFT ([A]), which predicts enough formal properties of
such an integral for it to be calculated (i.e. identified with \( V_L(t) \)). This can be
thought of as the solvability of this particular topological QFT.

The generalizations are now apparent. \( SU(2) \) can be replaced by any compact
Lie group \( G \) and one may choose any finite dimensional representation of \( G \) per
component of \( L \). This reproduces the ingredients of the picture that emerged
from quantum groups in §13.

More significantly \( S^3 \) may be replaced by any closed 3-manifold so that
Witten's theory predicts the existence of invariants for links in 3-manifolds and
gives explicit formulae for calculating them from a surgery description of the link
in the 3-manifold. These formulae have been checked using the Kirby calculus
([FR]) at least for \( SU(2) \) in [RT] and [KM] using quantum groups. In [KM]
certain explicit evaluations are given in terms of classical invariants. In [Li2] an
elementary formula for these invariants occurs using no more than cabling and
\( V_L(t) \). The key ingredient in the proof is the degeneracy of the trace on the \( e_t \)
 algebra of §5. One wonders whether the 3-manifold invariants may be obtained
directly from subfactors.

20. Topological Quantum Field Theory

Witten has developed a formalism in which a quantum field theory in \( d + 1 \)
dimensions assigns a “Hilbert space” to any \( d \)-dimensional manifold \( \Sigma \) with extra
structure and every time this manifold is the boundary of a \( d + 1 \) dimensional
manifold \( M \) with compatible extra structure there is a vector in the Hilbert space
of the boundary. In the simplest case \( M = \Sigma \times [0, 1] \) the vector is supposed to
define an operator giving the time evolution of a system from time 0 to time
1. The Hilbert spaces and vectors are supposed to satisfy certain important and
powerful axioms. If the “extra structure” is little more or less than an orientation
one talks of topological quantum field theory. See [A] where examples are given
connected with Donaldson and Floer theory.

In the case of the theory given by the Chern-Simons action \( \text{tr}(\text{Ad} A + (2/3)A^3) \),
\( d = 2 \) and Witten identifies the Hilbert space corresponding to a surface \( \Sigma \) as
being the (finite dimensional) vector space of conformal blocks for the corre­
sponding Wess-Zumino-Witten theory with the same gauge group. This is crucial
for his calculations as it allows him to assert that, for the \( SU(n) \) theory the
vector space corresponding to the sphere with 4 marked points is 2-dimensional.
This, together with the “gluing” axioms of topological QFT allows the formal
calculation of the functional integral via skein theory.

The Hilbert space for a surface \( \Sigma \) is also deduced via a “geometric quantiza­
tion” approach using a complex structure on \( \Sigma \). That the Hilbert space should
be independent of the complex structure is interpreted as implying the existence
of a flat connection on certain natural bundles over Teichmüller space. See the
talk by Tsuchiya in these proceedings.

Witten's surgery formula comes from identifying a basis for the Hilbert space
of a torus with the vectors obtained by realizing the torus as the boundary of a
solid torus containing one simple homotopically non-trivial closed curve to which an irreducible representation of the compact group is assigned. The action of the diffeomorphisms in this basis (all that is required for surgery formulae given the gluing axioms) is precisely that of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the characters of the relevant affine Lie algebra at the given level.
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0. Introduction

In this paper I would like to illustrate two facts. First, that ideas borrowed from convex, projective, and other classical branches of geometry play an important role in the design of algorithms for problems that do not seem to have anything to do with geometry: for problems in optimization, combinatorics, algebra, and number theory. Second, that such applications of geometry suggest some very elementary algorithmic questions concerning geometric notions, whose solution is far from complete. How to compute the volume? How to decide whether or not a convex body contains the other? How to present a convex body as an input to an algorithm? Even partial answers to these problems require a wealth of mathematical ideas, often (again) quite unrelated to the original question. On the other hand, answers to these questions have a very wide range of applicability.

In the first chapter some typical constructions are surveyed which lead from non-geometric problems to geometric ones. Such is, of course, the classical field of the “Geometry of Numbers”. This is the application of lattice geometry and convexity to number theory, and was initiated by Minkowski around the turn of the century. We only touch upon this area to point out that the recent shift of interest from structural problems to algorithmic ones has induced a lot of activity, and this new approach has even fertilized classical types of investigations.

We also give a brief introduction to polyhedral combinatorics, developed in the 60s by Ford, Fulkerson, Hoffman, Edmonds, and others. Here polyhedral theory and linear programming are applied to combinatorial optimization problems. This approach yields surprisingly successful algorithms both from a theoretical and practical point of view. We also show how some enumeration problems are related to the computation of the volume of certain polytopes.

The second chapter treats various forms of presentation of a convex body to an algorithm. This seemingly technical issue leads to a powerful equivalence principle between different ways of presentation. A combination of this principle with polyhedral combinatorics provides (at least theoretically) efficient algorithms for most combinatorial optimization problems that can be solved efficiently by any other means.

Chapter 3 describes a basic construction in algorithmic geometry, namely the Löwner-John ellipsoids. These are used in the ellipsoid method of Shor, Yudin, Nemirovskii and Khachiyan (in particular in establishing the equivalence principle formulated in the previous chapter) and in many other geometric
algorithms. We also sketch the geometric background of Karmarkar's celebrated linear programming algorithm.

In the last chapter we discuss recent developments concerning the problem of computing the volume of a convex body. After some very discouraging negative results, Dyer, Frieze and Kannan designed a polynomial time randomized algorithm which approximates the volume with an arbitrarily small error. It turns out that the crucial issue is to generate a random point uniformly distributed over a general convex body. The solution of this problem leads to Markov chains, eigenvalues of matrices, differential geometry, and even to some algebraic topology.

There is an extremely important branch of algorithmic geometry which is not treated here; this is usually called computational geometry. Polyhedral combinatorics leads to high-dimensional problems; other – more immediate – applications in image processing and robotics lead to two- and three-dimensional questions. In such cases, a different notion of efficiency is the crucial one. Our concern will be polynomial time; in computational geometry, usually linear or almost-linear time is the target. The interested reader may consult the monographs by Preparata and Shamos (1985) and by Edelsbrunner (1987).

1. Number Theory, Combinatorics, and Convex Sets

In this chapter we illustrate some of the most important constructions which transform algorithmic problems in various branches of mathematics into geometric questions, and in fact into very simple ones. These examples will also serve to help us put the corresponding general algorithmic problems into the right framework.

1.1 Geometry of Numbers

This is the classical area where the application of geometric ideas to non-geometric problems has been very successful ever since Minkowski's work. In the last decade, algorithmic questions arising from numerical methods, primality testing, computational algebra, cryptography, and other areas have revigorated the field; it turns out that to solve these algorithmic problems, often new structural insight is needed.

The classical problem in number theory which lead Minkowski to the "Geometry of Numbers" is the problem of simultaneous diophantine approximation: given \( n \) real numbers \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \), and an "error bound" \( \varepsilon > 0 \), find integers \( p_1, \ldots, p_n \) and \( q \) such that \( q > 0 \) and

\[
|\alpha_i - \frac{p_i}{q}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).
\]

The answer is trivial for \( \varepsilon = 1/2 \) (we can choose \( q \) arbitrarily); it was proved by Dirichlet that such integers exist for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and in fact we can require that \( q \leq \varepsilon^{-n} \).

While the proof of Dirichlet's theorem is quite easy (using "Dirichlet's Principle"), no efficient algorithm is known to find such an approximation. A geometric
translation is useful, among others, in finding a solution with a worse bound on $q$.

Consider the following vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}: e_1, \ldots, e_n$ (the first $n$ basis vectors) and $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, 1)^T$. The linear combinations of these vectors with integer coefficients form a lattice $L$, whose typical point looks like $(q\alpha_1 - p_1, \ldots, q\alpha_n - p_n, q)^T$ with integral $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ and $q$. Dirichlet's Theorem is equivalent to asserting that the lattice $L$ has a non-zero lattice point in the brick

$$K = [-e, e] \times \ldots \times [-e, e] \times [-e^{-n}, e^{-n}].$$

Minkowski's famous "First Theorem" shows that the fact that $K$ is a brick is irrelevant: all that matters is that $K$ is a convex body centrally symmetric with respect to the origin with volume at least $2^{n+1}$ times the determinant of the lattice.

The convex body $K$ can be viewed as the unit ball of a norm, and so Minkowski's theorem guarantees the existence of a "short" non-zero lattice vector in every lattice, measured in an arbitrary norm.

Many other problems turn out equivalent to the existence of a short non-zero lattice vector in appropriately defined lattices. For example, let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients; we want to know whether $f$ is irreducible. Let $x$ be a root of $f$; for simplicity, assume that $x$ is real. Let $K$ be a sufficiently large real number (computable from $f$) and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the lattice generated by the vectors $e_i + Kx^{i-1}e_{n+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $f$ is reducible over the rational field, then $L$ contains a non-zero vector with (euclidean) length at most $2^n \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2}$. On the other hand, if $f$ is irreducible then every non-zero vector in the lattice is at least $2^n$ times this length. This fact is the basis of the efficient (polynomial time) algorithm for factoring polynomials (Lenstra, Lenstra and Lovász 1982).

We shall not go into geometric algorithms involving lattices in this survey; instead, we refer to (Lovász 1989) for a survey.

1.2 Polyhedral Combinatorics

Polyhedral combinatorics provides perhaps the most successful general approach to various combinatorial problems. To illustrate the idea, consider the following simple graph-theoretic problem. Let $G$ be a (finite) graph with node set $V$ and edge set $E$. A set of nodes of $G$ is called stable if no two elements of it are connected by an edge. Let $\alpha(G)$ denote the maximum cardinality of a stable set in $G$. To determine $\alpha(G)$ is difficult (NP-hard) in general; but the approach of polyhedral combinatorics suggests efficiently solvable special cases, as well as efficiently computable estimates of $\alpha(G)$.

Let us construct the following convex polytope: for every stable set $S$ of nodes, let $\chi^S$ denote the incidence vector of $S$ (in the space $\mathbb{R}^V$ of vectors indexed by the nodes of $G$), and let STAB($G$) be the convex hull of such incidence vectors. Then

$$\alpha(G) = \max\{|S| : S \text{ stable}\} = \max\{1 \cdot \chi^S : S \text{ stable}\}$$

$$= \max\{\sum_i x_i : x \in \text{STAB}(G)\}.$$

(since the maximum of a linear objective function over a polytope is automatically assumed at a vertex).

The hope is to apply the powerful methods of linear programming to find this maximum. To this end, however, we have to find a representation of STAB($G$) as
the solution set of a system of linear inequalities. Such a representation exists of course; but how to find it? Note that the arguments presented so far would work for the problem of finding a maximum cardinality member in any collection of subsets of a finite set $V$; where we have to be problem-specific is in finding the linear representation of the corresponding polytope.

There is a very large number of results presenting linear descriptions of various combinatorial polyhedra; we shall restrict ourselves to the stable set polytope and be content with giving a couple of illustrations. A natural starting point is the following set of linear inequalities:

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \quad (i \in V),$$

$$x_i + x_j \leq 1 \quad (ij \in E).$$

It is clear that the incidence vector of any stable set, and therefore every vector in $\text{STAB}(G)$, satisfies inequalities (1) and (2). The solution set of this system is, however, a larger polytope than $\text{STAB}(G)$ in general. In fact, inequalities (1) and (2) *suffice to describe $\text{STAB}(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a bipartite graph*. So at least for bipartite graphs, $\alpha(G)$ can be determined using linear programming algorithms. Or, applying the Duality Theorem of linear programming, one can obtain a min-max formula for $\alpha(G)$ (which, after some transformations, turns out to be equivalent to the König Theorem).

If the graph is non-bipartite then it contains a circuit of odd length and we can use such a circuit to add further constraints to (1) and (2): for every odd circuit $C$ we write up the inequality

$$\sum_{i \in V(C)} x_i \leq \frac{|C| - 1}{2}.$$

Graphs for which (1), (2) and (3) suffice to describe $\text{STAB}(G)$ are called $t$-perfect; these graphs are less well understood than bipartite graphs, but several important classes of them are known. We mention *series-parallel graphs*, i.e., graphs which can be obtained by the repeated application of series and parallel extensions (Chvátal 1975, Boulala and Uhry 1979).

Assume that $G$ is $t$-perfect; then $\alpha(G)$ can be expressed as the optimum value of a linear program with constraints (1)–(2)–(3). Note, however, that (3) includes possibly exponentially many constraints (in $n = |V|$), and so writing up this program and calling a linear program solver would be inefficient. We shall see that general geometric considerations provide efficient solution methods for such systems which do not need the whole system explicitly.

Further natural inequalities valid for $\text{STAB}(G)$, but not implied by the previous ones, can be written up. Let $B$ be a clique (a set of mutually adjacent nodes in $G$). Then every stable set meets $B$ in at most one node and hence the inequality

$$\sum_{i \in B} x_i \leq 1$$

is valid for $\text{STAB}(G)$. Those graphs for which (1) and (4) suffice to describe $\text{STAB}(G)$ are called *perfect*. This rich class has been defined by Berge (1961) before these polyhedral methods were introduced, motivated by many classes of examples. Let us mention one: Let $(V, \leq)$ be a (finite) partially ordered set.
Define a graph $G$ by connecting two elements of $V$ iff they are comparable. \textit{Comparability graphs} obtained this way are perfect.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to treat perfect graphs; we refer to Berge and Chvátal (1984) and Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver (1988). It should be mentioned, however, that polyhedral methods play a central role in their study, even in proving seemingly elementary properties.

Polyhedral combinatorics is closely related to \textit{integer linear programming}. The basic problem here is to solve a system of linear inequalities \textit{in integers}. For example, the integer solutions of the system (1)-(2) are exactly the incidence vectors of stable sets. The problem of simultaneous diophantine approximation can also be viewed as an integer programming problem: Given $\alpha_i, e$, and $Q$, find a solution of

\[-e \leq q\alpha_i - p_i \leq e \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),\]

\[1 \leq q \leq Q.\]

For a long while, integer programming and lattice geometry have developed independently, and used rather different methods. A first substantial connection was established by Lenstra (1983), who designed a polynomial time algorithm to solve integer linear programs with a bounded number of variables, using methods borrowed from the geometry of numbers. This approach to integer programming seems to gain further momentum in recent years.

### 1.3 Enumeration and Volume

Some enumeration problems also have useful translations into geometry. This connection is not so well understood and we only give one example. Let $P = (E, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set. A linear order of $E$ which is compatible with the given partial order is called a \textit{linear extension} of the partial order. The number of linear extensions is a measure of how incomplete the partial order is, and it plays an important role in several algorithmic and other questions. There is no reasonable formula or efficient algorithm known to find this number. In fact, no such algorithm can be expected by the recent important result of Brightwell and Winkler (1990), which asserts that to determine the number of linear extensions of a poset is \textnumero P-complete. But an efficient approximation algorithm can be obtained, which is based on the following construction (Stanley 1986).

Consider the linear space $\mathbb{R}^E$ and the incidence vectors of filters in $P$. The convex hull of these incidence vectors is a polytope $\text{FILT}(P)$. By the methods of polyhedral combinatorics mentioned in the previous section, it can be shown that $\text{FILT}(P)$ is defined by the inequalities

\[0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \quad (i \in E),\]

\[x_i \leq x_j \quad (i \leq j).\]

This fact can be used, as sketched above, to solve optimization problems involving filters. Right now, however, the following fact is important: \textit{the number of linear extensions of $P$ equals $n!$ times the volume of $\text{FILT}(P)$}. This observation reduces the problem of enumerating linear extensions to the problem of determining the volume of a polytope, which is described as the solution set of a small number of simple linear inequalities (in $n$-space we have $O(n^2)$ inequalities). We shall return to this general geometric problem in Chapter 4.
2. What is a Convex Body?

We have seen that various number theoretic, algebraic, and combinatorial questions can be reduced to quite fundamental problems in geometry, such as computing the volume or finding the maximum of a linear objective function over a convex body. Before treating geometric algorithms to solve such problems, we have to introduce the right framework.

A convex body is a closed, bounded, full-dimensional convex set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This simple definition becomes insufficient, however, if we are interested in algorithmic questions. In this chapter we discuss our aspects for algorithms and the algorithmic notion of a convex body.

2.1 Basic Algorithmic Problems for a Convex Body

Our condition for the "efficiency" of an algorithm is worst-case polynomial time: this means that there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that for every input of length \( n \), the algorithm makes \( O(n^c) \) bit-operations. Here the length of the input is the total number of bits needed to describe the input. We assume that input numbers are always rational, and their contribution to the input length is the total number of digits in the binary representation of the numerator and of the denominator.

For the theory of polynomial time algorithms, and for related notions in complexity theory like NP and NP-hard, see e.g. (Garey and Johnson 1979). To follow this paper, it should suffice to translate "NP-hard" or "\#P-complete" as "it is hopeless to find a polynomial-time algorithm for this problem".

We want to study algorithms whose input is a convex body, and with this we run into trouble. How to present a convex body? Various forms occur in various problems. In linear programming, one always considers convex polyhedra, presented as the solution set of a system of linear inequalities. An equally natural form in which convex bodies come up is a convex polytope, presented as the convex hull of an explicitly given set of vectors. In Banach space theory, convex bodies arise as unit balls of norms, where the norm may be given by some formula; e.g. \( \sum_i |x_i|^3 \leq 1 \) defines the unit ball of the \( \ell_3 \)-norm. In geometry, a convex body \( K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) is sometimes described by its support function: this is essentially the function \( \mu_K : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \), defined by \( \mu_K(x) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in K\} \).

The polytope \( \text{STAB}(G) \) is defined as the convex hull of a set of vectors (incidence vectors of stable sets), but to describe it, it would be very inefficient to list these vectors; it suffices to specify the graph \( G \). So \( \text{STAB}(G) \) is presented by implicitly specifying its vertices.

We are interested in algorithms that are as independent of the specifics of the presentation of the body as possible. It turns out that most geometric algorithms depend on the possibility to carry out one or more of the following tasks:

- **Membership Test**: Given a (rational) vector \( x \), decide whether or not \( x \in K \).
- **Separation**: Given a (rational) vector \( x \), decide whether or not \( x \in K \), and if not, find a hyperplane separating \( x \) from \( K \).
- **Validity Test**: Given a linear inequality \( a \cdot x \leq \alpha \) (with rational coefficients), decide whether or not the inequality is valid for all \( x \in K \).
- **Violation**: Given a linear inequality \( a \cdot x \leq \alpha \) (with rational coefficients), decide whether or not the inequality is valid for all \( x \in K \), and if not, find an \( x \in K \) violating it.
It is clear that finding a separating hyperplane is a more difficult task than testing for membership, and finding a violating point is more difficult than testing for validity. Scanning through the examples above, we see that depending on the presentation of \( K \), one or the other of these tasks can be carried out easily while others appear non-trivial. For example, if the body is given as the solution set of linear inequalities, then it is trivial to test membership by substituting \( x \) in each of the defining inequalities; and if it violates one, this also yields a separating hyperplane. On the other hand, there is no obvious way to test the validity of an inequality. Similarly, if the body is presented as the convex hull of vectors, then it is trivial to test validity of a linear inequality, but testing membership is non-trivial.

For STAB(\( G \)), neither one of the above tasks is easy, at least if we allow only polynomial time in \( n \) (note that the input size in \( O(n^2) \)). In fact, each of the above tasks is NP-hard.

To get presentation-independent results, we define a membership oracle as a black box which works as follows: if we plug in a vector \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), it returns "YES" or "NO". Its answers must be consistent with the interpretation that "YES" means \( x \in K \) for some convex body \( K \). We can call such an oracle in an algorithm; one call counts as a single step. Of course, if we have an algorithm to test membership in polynomial time, then we can "put this inside the black box", and this increases the running time by a polynomial factor only.

One can introduce separation, validity, and violation oracles in a similar way.

The following is a surprising and powerful principle (not a theorem!):

**Equivalence Principle.** The four oracles above are equivalent from the point of view of polynomial time algorithms.

In other words, if for a class of convex bodies we have a polynomial time algorithm to solve either one of them, then all the others can be solved in polynomial time. This principle is true only under some technical assumptions and restrictions which, however, seldom cause any problem in its applications. There are various ways to formulate technical conditions making the Equivalence Principle valid; we only sketch some, and refer for a complete discussion to the monograph (Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver 1988).

One set of these technicalities consists of making the "boundedness" and "full-dimensionality" properties of convex bodies effective: we need to know a number \( R > 0 \) such that \( K \) is contained in the ball with radius \( R \) about the origin, and another number \( r > 0 \) such that \( K \) contains a ball with radius \( r \). These numbers must be considered part of the input to any algorithm, so the number of bits needed to write them down must be included in the input size. If the body is given by a membership oracle, and we want to solve the other tasks, then in addition the center of an inscribed ball with radius \( r \) must be given in advance. It is easy to argue that without this kind of information, the Equivalence Principle would not be valid.

Another set of limitations comes from numerical errors. We have to re-define the oracles so that an "error bound" \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is also part of the input, and then allow a small error in the answer. For example, in a membership oracle we should allow either a "YES" or a "NO" answer if the distance of \( x \) from the boundary of \( K \) is less than \( \varepsilon \). One can formulate "weak" versions of all the above oracles in an analogous way. A precise form of the Equivalence Principle holds for these
weak versions. (If the convex body \( K \) is a polytope with (say) 0-1 vertices, then we do not have to restrict ourselves to weak versions.)

The main ingredient in the proof of the Equivalence Principle is the *Ellipsoid Method*, to be sketched in the next chapter.

### 2.2 Applications of the Equivalence Principle

Some consequences of the Equivalence Principle are immediate. Since testing membership in a polyhedron presented by an (explicit) system of linear inequalities is trivial, we can test validity of a linear inequality for such polyhedra in polynomial time. This result implies a polynomial time test for the solvability of a system of linear inequalities, which in turn implies a polynomial time algorithm to solve linear programs.

In a similar way it follows that quadratic programs with positive definite constraints can be solved in polynomial time.

Several basic algorithmic issues concerning convex bodies can be solved using just the Equivalence Principle; let us mention two of these. The issue of validity of a linear constraint can be viewed as a special case of either of the following questions:

- Given two convex bodies \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), are they disjoint?
- Given two convex bodies \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), is \( K_1 \subseteq K_2 \)?

Due to the necessary uncertainty around the boundary, in both cases we can only expect an approximate answer; we specify an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and if \( \text{vol}(K_1 \cap K_2) < \varepsilon \), or if \( \text{vol}(K_1 \setminus K_2) < \varepsilon \), then the "YES" answer is acceptable.

Having separation oracles for \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), we can design a separation algorithm for \( K_1 \cap K_2 \) trivially. Suppressing technical details (the number \( r \)), the Equivalence Principle yields a polynomial time solution for the violation problem for \( K_1 \cap K_2 \). Let \( c \cdot x \leq \gamma \) be any inequality which is invalid for all points in \( K_1 \), and solve the violation problem for \( K_1 \cap K_2 \). If the inequality is valid for \( K_1 \cap K_2 \) then this intersection must be empty; else, the violation algorithm returns a common point.

The second problem is more difficult; in fact, to solve it (even with the \( \varepsilon \)-tolerance) would yield polynomial-time solutions for NP-complete problems. But using the randomized methods discussed in Chapter 4 below, we can test \( K_1 \subseteq K_2 \) (with the \( \varepsilon \)-tolerance) in time polynomial in \( n \) and \( 1/\varepsilon \). (Note that a "truly" polynomial algorithm ought to be polynomial in \( \log(1/\varepsilon) \).)

The most interesting applications of the Equivalence Principle are in the field of combinatorial optimization. We illustrate this by two results due to Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver (see 1988). Consider the problem of determining \( \alpha(G) \) for a \( t \)-perfect graph \( G \). As discussed earlier, this is equivalent to maximizing the linear objective function \( \sum_i x_i \) over \( \text{STAB}(G) \), which could be easily solved by binary search if we could test validity for \( \text{STAB}(G) \). By the Equivalence Principle, it would suffice to find a polynomial time membership test for \( \text{STAB}(G) \). In other words, given a vector \( x \in \mathbb{R}^V \), is \( x \in \text{STAB}(G) \)?

At this point we want to use the linear description of \( \text{STAB}(G) \), which we have determined for \( t \)-perfect graphs: \( \text{STAB}(G) \) is the solution set of inequalities (1)–(2)–(3) above. So all we have to do is to test whether \( x \) satisfies these inequalities.
For (1) and (2), this is trivially checked by simply substituting $x$ in them. But for (3) this does not work: there are (typically) exponentially many inequalities in (3), and we do not want to generate them all.

However, there is a way to check in polynomial time whether or not $x$ satisfies all these inequalities. We may assume that we have checked (1) and (2) and that $x$ satisfies these. For every edge $ij \in E$, let $y_{ij} = 1 - x_i - x_j$. Since $x$ satisfies (2), these numbers are non-negative, and we may consider them as "lengths" of the edges. Let $C$ be an odd circuit in $G$, then

$$\sum_{i \in E(C)} y_{ij} = |C| - \sum_{i \in V(C)} x_i,$$

and hence $x$ satisfies the constraint of (3) belonging to this circuit $C$ if and only if the "length" of $C$ is at least 1. So $x$ satisfies (3) iff the length of every odd circuit is at least 1.

Now there is a rather simple version of breadth-first-search that finds the shortest odd circuit in any graph with non-negative edge-lengths (the details of this do not belong here). Using this, all we have to do is to check whether this minimum length is less than 1 or not.

The stability number of a perfect graph can also be determined in polynomial time. This algorithm is also based on the Equivalence Principle, but it is substantially more complicated. If we want to copy the above argument, we run into the following difficulty: the membership test for $STAB(G)$ is equivalent to the validity test for the stable set polytope of the complementary graph, and so the equivalence principle reduces the problem of determining a maximum stable set to (essentially) the same problem for the complementary graph. One has to go up to the $|V|^2$ dimensional space to apply the Equivalence Principle successfully.

This type of application of the Equivalence Principle is not rare; in fact, it is shown by Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver (see 1988) that most of those combinatorial optimization problems whose polynomial time solvability was known by (often quite involved) ad hoc algorithms, can be solved in polynomial time using a combination of the Equivalence Principle with very elementary algorithmic ideas. There are also several combinatorial optimization problems which can be solved in polynomial time, but for which no polynomial time algorithm avoiding the use of the Equivalence Principle is known (two very important such problems are finding the independence number of a perfect graph and minimizing a submodular setfunction).

Unfortunately, algorithms derived from the Equivalence Principle are polynomial, but very slow (their running time is a polynomial with a very high degree), and therefore practically useless. This is quite natural, considering how general this method is. These results should be interpreted as "existence proofs" for polynomial time solvability of the problem; having established this much, one can try to design problem-specific algorithms which are more efficient. The remarks above show that this is often very difficult.
3. Convex Bodies and Ellipsoids

3.1 The Löwner-John Ellipsoid

It was proved by Löwner that for each convex body $K$, there exists a unique ellipsoid $E$ with minimum volume containing it. John proved that if we shrink this ellipsoid of a convex body $K$ from its center by a factor of $n$, we obtain an ellipsoid that is contained in $K$. We call this ellipsoid the Löwner-John ellipsoid of the body (see (Grötschel, Lovász and Schrijver 1988) for details). Analogues of the theorems above hold if we consider an inscribed ellipsoid with largest volume.

If we restrict ourselves to centrally symmetric convex bodies, then of course the center will be the center of the Löwner-John ellipsoid as well, and in John's theorem it suffices to shrink by a factor of $\sqrt{n}$.

The Löwner-John ellipsoid itself may be difficult to compute. However, an ellipsoid $E$ with somewhat weaker properties can be computed in polynomial time, using a version of the shallow cut ellipsoid method due to Yudin and Nemirovskii (1976). We call an ellipsoid $E$ a weak Löwner-John ellipsoid for $K$, if $E$ contains $K$ and if we shrink $E$ from its center by a factor of $2n^{3/2}$, we obtain an ellipsoid that is contained in $K$.

**Theorem 3.1** Given a separation oracle for a convex body $K$, a weak Löwner-John ellipsoid for $K$ can be computed in polynomial time.

We remark that if $K$ is given in a more explicit manner (e.g., as the solution set of a system of linear inequalities or the convex hull of a set of vertices) then the factor $n^{3/2}$ can be improved to $2n$.

The algorithm proving Theorem 3.1 is based on a natural proof of John's theorem. Let $E$ be the ellipsoid with smallest volume containing $K$. Applying an affine transformation, we may assume that $E$ is the unit ball about the origin. Let $E'$ denote the ball with radius $1/n$ about the origin, and assume that $E'$ is not contained in $K$. Let $x \in E' \setminus K$, and let $H$ be a hyperplane separating $x$ from $K$. $H$ cuts $E$ into two parts, one of which, say $E_1$, includes $K$. Now $E_1$ is smaller or only slightly larger than a half-ball, since $x \notin E_1$. Therefore it is a routine computation in linear algebra to verify that $E_1$ can be included in an ellipsoid which has smaller volume than $E$.

To turn this proof into an algorithm, two ideas have to be added. We want to start out with some ellipsoid containing $K$ (say, with the ball with radius $R$ about the origin), and replace it as in the above proof with ellipsoids of smaller and smaller volume until a weak Löwner-John ellipsoid is obtained. In order to guarantee a good running time, we have to reduce the volume by a substantial factor like $1 - (1/n^2)$; this will be achieved if the radius of $E'$ is chosen, say, $1/2n$ instead of $1/n$. The other problem is to find the vector $x \in E' \setminus K$. This is easy if $K$ is the solution set of a system of linear inequalities, but hard in general. What we can do is to test the $2n$ intersection points of the axes with the surface of $E'$ for membership in $K$; if one of them is not in $K$, then the separation algorithm yields the hyperplane $H$. If each of them is in $K$ then $K$ contains the smaller ball obtained from $E'$ by shrinking by a factor of $\sqrt{n}$. Thus $E$ is a weak Löwner-John ellipsoid.

Recently some interesting new results concerning the computation of the "true" Löwner-John ellipsoid have been obtained. If $K$ is a convex polytope presented by an explicit list of its vertices, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ an approximation
of the Löwner-John ellipsoid with error at most $\varepsilon$ can be found in polynomial time. The "polar" problem of finding an inscribed ellipsoid with (approximately) maximum volume can also be solved in polynomial time, provided the polytope is presented as the solution set of an explicit system of linear inequalities. Such an algorithm was given by Nesterov and Nemirovskii (1989), and improved by Khachiyan and Todd (1990). These results are very presentation-dependent; Khachiyan and Todd conjecture that to compute an approximate Löwner-John ellipsoid for a polytope presented by linear inequalities is NP-hard.

The diameter and width of the weak Löwner-John ellipsoid can be used to estimate the diameter and width of $K$ with relative error at most $n^{3/2}$. This estimate is not as bad as it looks at a first glance; it can be shown (Bárány and Füredi 1986) that if $K$ is given by any of the oracles above, then any estimate on the width of a body $K$ computable in polynomial time is off by a factor which grows as a power of $n$.

3.2 The Ellipsoid Method

The algorithm sketched above is the heart of various versions of the algorithm called the Ellipsoid Method, developed by Shor (1970) and Yudin and Nemirovskii (1976). The method became widely known when Khachiyan (1979) applied it to obtain the first polynomial time linear programming algorithm. To show the idea, assume that we want to find the optimum of a linear objective function $c \cdot x$ over a convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ for which we have a separation oracle. Design a black box which does the following: we plug in a vector $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $x_0 \not\in K$, it returns a hyperplane separating $x$ from $K$. If $x_0 \in K$, it returns the hyperplane $c \cdot x = c \cdot x_0$. This is essentially a separation oracle for the set of vectors in $K$ optimizing the linear objective function $c \cdot x$. Even though this convex set is not full-dimensional, an appropriate modification of the argument above gives that we can use this black box as a separation oracle in the ellipsoid method and obtain an "approximately optimal" point in $K$.

Once we can solve the optimization problem over $K$, the validity and violation problems are easily settled.

It is more difficult to handle these problems when $K$ is given by a membership test rather than by a separation oracle. It takes a nice but difficult argument by Yudin and Nemirovskii (1976) to settle this case.

3.3 Karmarkar's Method

The ellipsoid method yields a polynomial time algorithm to solve linear programs, but it is too slow in practice to be useful in actual computations. The classical method to solve linear programs, namely Dantzig's simplex method, takes exponential time in the worst case but works very efficiently for the majority of real-life problems. A linear programming algorithm which is both theoretically efficient (polynomial-time) and competitive with the simplex method in practice was given by Karmarkar (1984). Since this method also has a very geometric background, it is worth sketching here.

Assume that we want to maximize a linear objective function $c \cdot x$ over a convex body $K$. Also assume that we have already found an interior point $x_0$ and we want to find a sequence of interior points $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ converging to the
optimum point. When stepping from \( x_i \) to \( x_{i+1} \), it would be natural to move in the direction of \( c \), or, in other words, orthogonal to the hyperplane \( c \cdot x = \text{const} \). This leads us, however, to points close to the boundary and (possibly) far from the optimum, and then we have to move in some other direction.

However, it is not really natural to move orthogonal to \( c \); this is not invariant under affine transformations while the hole task is. The idea of many optimization algorithms is to change the metric of the space so that the notion of “orthogonality” should be tailored to the particular body (such are the variable metric methods, but the ellipsoid method can also be viewed this way).

There is a very natural metric inside every convex body, introduced by Hilbert. The distance of any two points \( u, v \in \text{int}(K) \) is defined as the logarithm of the cross ratio of \( u, v, \) and the two points of intersection of the line through \( u \) and \( v \) with the boundary of \( K \). (This construction may also be familiar from the Cayley-Klein model of hyperbolic geometries.) It is not difficult to see that if we move in the direction of steepest descent with respect to this metric to, say, half way to the boundary, and then repeat this, then we get very close to the optimum very fast. For example, if \( K \) is centrally symmetric with respect to \( x_0 \) then we approach the optimum on a straight line and the distance from the optimum is halved at each step.

Unfortunately, there is no easy way to compute the Hilbert metric in a convex body, but we can approximate it in the neighborhood of an interior point \( v \) by finding a projective transformation which maps \( K \) onto a convex body \( K' \) so that the unit ball includes \( K \), \( K \) includes the ball with a reasonably large radius \( \rho \) about the origin, and \( v \) is mapped onto the origin. Then the euclidean metric will approximate the projective metric. Except for the condition on \( v \), this sounds like the ellipsoid method, but we have more freedom because we are allowed to use projective transformations. (The optimization problem itself is not projective invariant, but one can transform it into projective invariant problems easily; for example, the Violation Problem above is projective invariant.)

The crucial part of Karmarkar's method is to construct such a projective transformation very fast in the case of polytopes presented by an explicit system of \( m \) linear inequalities. The inner radius \( \rho \) he achieves is \( 1/m \); this shows that (unlike the ellipsoid method) this method is sensitive to the number of constraints. This is of course only a very rough sketch; many ingenious details must be added to make this procedure work for really large linear programs. For details, extensions, and related algorithms see the survey of Todd (1989).

4. The Volume of a Convex Body

Now we turn to the fundamental problem of determining, or at least estimating, the volume of a convex body. This question has recently brought exciting developments. For a while, a number of negative results were obtained (Section 4.1), which showed that even to compute an estimate in polynomial time with decent relative error is hopeless. But recently Dyer, Frieze and Kannan (1989) designed a randomized polynomial time algorithm (i.e., an algorithm making use of a random number generator) which computes an estimate of the volume such that the probability that the relative error is larger than a prescribed \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is arbitrarily small. This outstanding result uses a number of tools from probability and geometry, and we sketch it in Section 4.2.
4.1 The Difficulty of Computing the Volume

One thing we can do is to find a weak Löwner-John ellipsoid of the body. Since it is easy to follow how an affine transformation modifies the volume (it multiplies by the determinant of the corresponding matrix), we may assume that the body is contained in the unit ball and contains the ball with radius $1/2n^{3/2}$ about the origin. In this case, we have the trivial bounds on the volume:

$$\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{2^n n^{3n/2} \Gamma (1 + n/2)} \leq \text{vol}(K) \leq \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma (1 + n/2)}.$$ 

So for an arbitrary convex body (given by, say, a separation oracle), we can compute an upper bound on its volume with relative error at most $2^n n^{3n/2}$.

The following surprising result of Bárány and Füredi (1986), improving a somewhat weaker bound given by Elekes (1986), shows that no substantially better estimate can be given on the volume, at least if the convex body is given by one of the four equivalent oracles discussed in Chapter 2.

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider any polynomial time algorithm which assigns to every convex body $K$, given by (say) a membership oracle, an upper bound $w(K)$ on $\text{vol}(K)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that in every dimension $n$ there exists a body $K$ for which $w(K) > n^cn \cdot \text{vol}(K)$.

Let us sketch the proof of this result. It depends on the following geometric lemma:

**Lemma 4.2.** There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the volume of the convex hull of any $p > 0$ points in the unit ball is less than $p \cdot n^{-cn}$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, first apply the algorithm to the unit ball $B$. The algorithm runs and asks the membership of a polynomial number $p$ of points $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ from the oracle, and computes an upper bound $w(B)$ of the volume. Now apply the algorithm to the convex hull $K$ of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, v_1, \ldots, v_p\} \cap B$. It follows that the algorithm runs exactly as in the previous case: it asks the same questions from the oracle and therefore it gets the same answers. So the algorithm finds the same estimate $w(K) = w(B)$. But since the volume of $K$ is much smaller than the volume of $B$, this estimate must have a large relative error.

This result does not say anything about computing the volume of convex bodies given in any specific way, say as the solution set of a system of linear inequalities. However, in both cases the exact computation of the volume is NP-hard (Dyer and Frieze 1988, Khachiyan 1988) and even #P-hard (Khachiyan 1989). (This latter fact also follows from the result of Brightwell and Winkler (1990) mentioned in Section 1.3.)

The approximate computation of the volume of explicitly described polyhedra is an open problem.
4.2 Markov Chains, Isoperimetric Inequalities, and Approximating the Volume

In this section we sketch the randomized algorithm of Dyer, Frieze and Kannan (and variants) for estimating the volume of a convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. About this body, we only need to assume that it is given by a separation oracle.

At this point, many readers may ask: what’s wrong with the straightforward Monte-Carlo algorithm? We have already made the assumption that $K$ is included in the unit ball $B$. Let us generate many random points in $B$, and count how often we hit $K$. This gives us an estimate on the ratio of the volumes of $K$ and $B$.

The problem is that the volume of $K$ may be smaller than the volume of $B$ by an exponential factor (in $n$). Hence the first exponentially many random points will miss the body $K$. This method can be applied to estimate the ratio of the volumes of two convex bodies (one including the other) only if this ratio is not too small.

This suggests the first trick: “connect” $K$ and $B$ by a sequence of convex bodies $K = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq K_m = B$, so that $\text{vol}(K_i)/\text{vol}(K_{i+1}) \geq 1/2$ (and $m$ is polynomial in $n$). Then these ratios can be estimated by the Monte-Carlo method, and their product gives an estimate on the ratio $\text{vol}(K)/\text{vol}(B)$. Such a sequence is easily constructed: we can take e.g. $K_i = B \cap (1 + \frac{1}{2n})^i K$. Since $K$ contains the ball $(1/(2n^{3/2})) K$, it follows that $K_i = B$ for $i \geq 4n \log n$.

However, estimating $\text{vol}(K_i)/\text{vol}(K_{i+1})$ by the Monte-Carlo method is not so easy; the key question in this algorithm (and in all versions of it) is:

How to generate a random point (with uniform distribution) in a convex body?

The solution by Dyer, Frieze and Kannan is the following. Consider the lattice of vectors whose coordinates are integer multiples of a sufficiently small number $\delta$. Call two lattice points adjacent if their distance is exactly $\delta$. Starting from the origin, take a random walk on the lattice points in $K$. If we are at a lattice point $v$, select an adjacent lattice point $w$ at random. If $w \in K$, then move to $w$; else, stay at $v$. (For technical reasons, we flip a coin before the move and if it falls on head, we stay where we are anyway.) After an appropriate number of steps, we stop; our current position can be considered as a random point in $K$.

It is easy to see, using the theory of Markov chains, that if $w_t$ denotes the (random) lattice point obtained after $t$ steps, then the distribution of $w_t$ tends to the uniform distribution over the set $V$ of lattice points accessible from the origin along legal walks. (Note that $V$ is essentially $L \cap K$, except possibly for some lattice points near the boundary of $K$.)

The problem is to find a good bound on the rate of this convergence (on the mixing rate of the Markov chain $(w_0, w_1, \ldots)$). By general results on the mixing rate of Markov chains (Sinclair and Jerrum 1989), we know that this depends on the conductance of the Markov chain. This (in our case) can be defined as the largest $\Phi > 0$ such that for every $S \subseteq V$, the number of pairs $(u, v)$ of adjacent lattice points with $u \in S$ and $v \in V \setminus S$ is at least $\Phi \cdot (2n) \cdot \min\{|S|, |V \setminus S|\}$. The least $t$ for which the distribution $w_t$ is essentially uniformly distributed is about $1/\Phi^2$.

So the question is to find a lower bound on the conductance of this Markov chain. Let $S \subseteq V$ and let $K_1$ be the set of points in $K$ nearer to $S$ than to $V \setminus S$. Then we expect that
(a) the volume of $K_1$ is about $\delta^n|S|$,
(b) the volume of $K \setminus K_1$ is about $\delta^n|V \setminus S|$,
(c) the surface area of the $K_1$ inside $K$ is about $\delta^{n-1}$ times the number of adjacent pairs of lattice points $(u, v)$ with $u \in S$ and $v \in V \setminus S$.

If we accept these approximations (which is a technically quite difficult part of the argument), then the problem reduces to the following isoperimetric inequality:

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with diameter $d$. Let $F$ be a surface with $(n-1)$-dimensional measure $f$, cutting $K$ into two parts with volumes $v_1$ and $v_2$. Then

$$f \geq \frac{1}{d} \min\{v_1, v_2\}.$$

A weaker inequality (but still sufficient to prove the polynomiality of the volume algorithm) was proved by Dyer, Frieze and Kannan. This form is due to Karzanov and Khachiyan (1990) (who use methods from differential geometry) and to Lovász and Simonovits (1990) (who use the so-called Ham-Sandwich Theorem; to justify the remark that algebraic topology also plays some role, let us mention that the Ham-Sandwich Theorem is derived from the Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem).

Unfortunately, even with these improvements the algorithm is practically useless; its running time grows with the 16th power of $n$. The main problem is that random walks are slow in getting to the distant part of $K$. It is easy to come up with other Markov chains of points in $K$ which "jump around" faster (and also have uniform limit distribution). Unfortunately, to prove the corresponding analogues of the isoperimetric inequality in Theorem 4.3 seems to take new methods. It is clear the algorithm of Dyer, Frieze and Kannan, representing a theoretical breakthrough, is not the last word in the area of volume algorithms.

An algorithm to efficiently generate random points in a convex body has many further applications. Numerical integration by Monte-Carlo methods is an obvious one. We have also mentioned in Section 2.2 the problem to test whether a convex body $K_1$ is included in another convex body $K_2$. This can be done by generating many random points in $K_1$ and test whether these are contained in $K_2$. (To be convinced that at most a fraction of $\varepsilon$ of the volume of $K_1$ is not contained in $K_2$, we have to generate about $1/\varepsilon$ points. Thus this algorithm is not "truly" polynomial if $\varepsilon$ is part of the input.)
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the theory of group representations has greatly benefited from a new approach provided by the topology of singular spaces, namely intersection cohomology ($IC$) theory.

Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field (which will be assumed to be $\mathbb{C}$ unless otherwise specified); although $G$ itself is clearly a non-singular variety, the study of the representations of $G$ (or its Lie algebra, or its forms over various fields) leads to singular varieties connected with $G$.

The main theme of this article is that the invariants of $IC$ theory, applied to the singular varieties arising from $G$ appear again and again in a broad range of problems of representation theory: construction of representations, computation of their character, construction of nice bases for representations.

Chronologically, both the earliest [22, 23] and the most recent [45] such problem was that of constructing canonical bases in certain representations of Iwahori-Hecke algebras (resp. in irreducible, finite dimensional representations of $G$). The relevant singularities were Schubert singularities (resp. quiver singularities); their local $IC$ provided the necessary ingredients.

Certain mysterious and apparently intractable quantities in representation theory, like the multiplicities in the Jordan-Hölder series of Verma modules, were recognized to be expressible in terms of certain local $IC$ spaces and this has led to understanding and computing them.

$IC$ methods are needed for the classification of complex irreducible representations of a reductive group over a finite field; furthermore, the character values of such representations appear to be intimately related to certain $IC$ complexes, the character sheaves.

$IC$ methods have been used to give a new construction of the Springer representations of Weyl groups; an elaboration of this idea has been used to construct representations of affine Iwahori-Hecke algebras and hence of $p$-adic groups.
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Unfortunately, several important topics had to be omitted from this account. Among them are the use of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, the role of the Fourier-Deligne transform and the geometric theory of automorphic forms (see Laumon [26]).

2. IC Theory

This theory has been originally introduced by Goresky and MacPherson [15]. (See also MacPherson’s survey [48].) Subsequently, it has been developed into a very powerful tool by Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber [4], who blended IC theory into Deligne’s theory of weights.

Consider an irreducible complex algebraic variety $Y$. An IC datum for $Y$ consists of an open dense smooth subvariety $Y_0$ of $Y$ together with a local system $\mathcal{L}$ on $Y_0$. ($\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to a finite dimensional complex representation of the fundamental group of $Y_0$.)

In general, it is not possible to extend $\mathcal{L}$ to a local system on the whole of $Y$; even if such extension existed, local Poincaré duality with coefficients in it would not necessarily hold, when $Y$ has singularities. Now IC theory provides a canonical extension $\mathcal{L}^\#$ of $\mathcal{L}$ from $Y_0$ to $Y$, not necessarily as a local system, but as a complex of sheaves (well defined up to quasi-isomorphism) which has constructible cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i\mathcal{L}^\#$, does satisfy local Poincaré duality and is the most economical possible with these properties.

We say that $\mathcal{L}^\#$ is the IC extension of $\mathcal{L}$ to $Y$ or that $\mathcal{L}^\#$ is an IC complex with support $Y$. The stalks $\mathcal{H}^i_x \mathcal{L}^\#$ at points $x \in Y$ are the local IC spaces of $Y$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. The global IC of $Y$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ is by definition the cohomology of $Y$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^\#$.

These concepts are also well defined in the case of algebraic varieties over an algebraic closure $\overline{F}_q$ of a finite field $F_q$; one uses $l$-adic local systems and $l$-adic (étale) cohomology.

We shall give three examples, which will be amplified in the following sections.

In the first example (the prototype of a Schubert variety singularity, see §3), we take $Y$ to be the variety of all flags of subspaces $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$ (dim $V_i = i$) such that $V_2$ has non-zero intersection with a fixed two dimensional subspace $E_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$. We take $Y_0$ to be the open subset of $Y$ defined by the condition $V_2 \neq E_2$ and we take $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}$. The stalks $\mathcal{H}^i_x \mathcal{L}^\#$ of the IC extension of $\mathcal{L}$ to $Y$ can be described as follows. If $x \in Y_0$ we have $\dim \mathcal{H}^0_x \mathcal{L}^\# = 1$ and $\mathcal{H}^i_x \mathcal{L}^\# = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. If $x \in Y - Y_0$ we have $\dim \mathcal{H}^0_x \mathcal{L}^\# = 1$, $\dim \mathcal{H}^2_x \mathcal{L}^\# = 1$ and $\mathcal{H}^i_x \mathcal{L}^\# = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 2$.

In the second example (the prototype of a character sheaf, see §14) we take

$$Y = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, ad - bc = 1 \}$$

and we take $Y_0$ to be the open set of $Y$ defined by the condition $a + d \neq \pm 2$ (matrices with distinct eigenvalues). Let $\pi : \tilde{Y}_0 \to Y_0$ be the two-fold covering defined by the equation $z^2 = (a + d)^2 - 4$. We define $\mathcal{L}$ to be the local system on $Y_0$ whose stalk $\mathcal{L}_y$ at $y \in Y_0$ is the one dimensional vector space of functions
The stalks $H^i_x L^\#$ of the IC extension of $L$ to $Y$ can be described as follows. If $x \in Y_0$ we have $H^0_x L^\# = L_x$ and $H^i_x L^\# = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. If $x$ is $\pm$ the identity matrix, we have $\dim H^2_x L^\# = 1$ and $H^i_x L^\# = 0$ for $i \neq 2$. If $x$ has eigenvalues $\pm 1$ but it is not $\pm$ the identity matrix, then $H^i_x L^\# = 0$ for all $i$.

In the third example (the prototype of a cuspidal character sheaf, see §§13, 14) we take

$$Y = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, ad - bc = 1, a + d = 2 \right\}$$

and we take $Y_0$ to be the open set defined by the condition $(b, c) \neq (0, 0)$. We define a two-fold covering $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{Y}_0 \to Y_0$ by

$$\tilde{Y}_0 = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | (z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0) \right\}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(z_1, z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 z_2 + 1 & -z_1^2 \\ z_2^2 & -z_1 z_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we associate to it a local system $L$ on $Y_0$ as in the second example. The stalks $H^i_x L^\#$ of the IC extension of $L$ to $Y$ can be described as follows. If $x \in Y_0$ we have $H^0_x L^\# = L_x$ and $H^i_x L^\# = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. If $x$ is the identity matrix, we have $H^2_x L^\# = 0$ for all $i$.

3. Left Cells in Weyl Groups and Schubert Varieties

In this section we describe the first instance when IC methods made their appearance in representation theory.

The theory of primitive ideals in enveloping algebras leads naturally to a remarkable partition of the Weyl group $W$ of $G$ into subsets called left cells (see Joseph [18]); the left cells can be used to parametrize primitive ideals. (This generalizes a partition of the symmetric group $S_n$ which was found earlier by combinatorists.) It is known that each left cell carries a natural representation of $W$ with a canonical basis (in 1-1 correspondence with the elements in the left cell). These representations provide a decomposition of the regular representation of $W$ into representations which are close to being irreducible.

Let $H$ be the Iwahori-Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ corresponding to $W$; here, $v$ is an indeterminate. $H$ has a standard basis $\tilde{T}_w$ (as a $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$-module) indexed by elements $w \in W$. Its multiplication rule is a deformation of the multiplication rule in the group algebra of $W$:

$$\tilde{T}_w \tilde{T}_{w'} = \tilde{T}_{ww'} \quad \text{if} \ l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'),$$

$$(\tilde{T}_s + v^{-1})(\tilde{T}_s - v) = 0 \quad \text{if} \ l(s) = 1 ;$$

here, $l : W \to \mathbb{N}$ is the length function.

In [22], Kazhdan and the author came across a new basis $C_w$ ($w \in W$) of $H$ which had some very remarkable properties with respect to multiplication. It can be defined as follows.
Let $\tau : H \to H$ be the ring homomorphism which takes $T_w$ to $T_{w^{-1}}$ for all $w$ and $v$ to $v^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$-submodule of $H$ generated by the standard basis and let $\pi : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}/v^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ be the canonical projection. It turns out that for each $w \in W$, there is a unique element $C_w \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $C_w = \tau(C_w)$ and $\pi(C_w) = \pi(T_w)$.

This definition is equivalent to (but simpler than) the one given in [22]; unlike the definition in [22], it does not use the partial order on $W$.

It turns out that

$$C_w = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(w)-l(y)} v^{l(w)-l(y)} P_{y,w}(v^{-2}) T_y$$

where the $P_{y,w}$ are polynomials with integral coefficients. Moreover this basis is, in principle, computable.

In terms of this basis, we found a new definition of left cells (which was later shown to be the same as the one of Joseph). Our definition had the advantage of being elementary, of carrying representations of $H$ (not only of $W$) endowed with canonical bases, and of making sense for an arbitrary Coxeter group.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of all subsets $K \subseteq W$ with the following property: the submodule of $H$ spanned by the elements $C_w$ ($w \in K$) is a left ideal. The definition [22] of left cells can be given as follows. Two elements $w, w' \in W$ are in the same left cell if the following condition is satisfied: for any $K \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $w \in K$ if and only if $w' \in K$.

In [23], Kazhdan and the author found a geometric interpretation of the polynomials $P_{y,w}$ above. To explain the result, we need some notation.

Assume that we are given a Borel subgroup $B$ of $G$, with unipotent radical $U_B$ and a maximal torus $T$ of $B$. The orbits of $B$ acting by conjugation on the variety $X$ of all Borel subgroups (the flag manifold) of $G$ are naturally parametrized by the elements of $W$. Let $\mathcal{O}_w$ be the orbit corresponding to $w \in W$ and let $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_w$ be its closure in $X$ (a Schubert variety). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the local system $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{O}_w$ and let $\mathcal{L}^\#$ be its IC extension to $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_w$. If $y,w \in W$, we say that $y \leq w$ if $\mathcal{O}_y \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_w$. We then showed that, for such $y, w$, we have $P_{y,w}(v^2) = \sum_i n_{y,w,i} v^i$, where $n_{y,w,i} = \dim \mathcal{H}_x^{y,i} \mathcal{L}^\#$ (notation of §2), for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_y$. (If $y \not\leq w$, we have $P_{y,w} = 0$.) Thus, the polynomials $P_{y,w}$ contain encoded all information about the local IC of Schubert varieties.

Apart from their relevance to the theory of primitive ideals, the theory of left cells in $W$ has been crucial in the study [33] of representations of groups over $F_q$ (and also in [35]); in the opposite direction, the results of [33] gave new information on the structure of left cells, in particular they have made possible the explicit determination [37] of all representations of $W$ which are carried by left cells. (For example, if $G$ is a classical group, these representations of $W$ are multiplicity free, with a number of irreducible components equal to a power of 2.)

Another definition of left cells has been found in [36] in terms of leading coefficients of the structure constants $h_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$ ($x,y,z \in W$) of the algebra $H$ with respect to the basis $C_w$. Namely, for each $z \in W$, there is a well defined integer $a(z) \geq 0$ such that $v^{a(z)} h_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v]$ for all $x,y$ and $v^{a(z)-1} h_{x,y,z} \not\in \mathbb{Z}[v]$ for some $x,y$. Let $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ be the constant term of $(-v)^{a(z)} h_{x,y,z^{-1}}$. Quite remarkably,
it turns out that the rule $t_x t_y = \sum_{z \in W} \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} t_z$ defines a structure of associative ring with 1 on the free abelian group $J$ with basis $(t_x)_{x \in W}$. Then the left cells of $W$ can be characterized as follows: we have $t_x t_y \neq 0$ if and only if $x, y^{-1}$ are in the same left cell.

The ring $J$ has several interesting features. First, there is an explicit homomorphism of algebras $H \to J \otimes \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ (see [36]) which becomes an isomorphism whenever $v$ is specialized to any non-zero complex number which is either 1 or is not a root of 1. Second, the multiplication in $J$ can be (conjecturally, see [39]) described in very simple terms, in terms of convolution of equivariant vector bundles on some finite sets with some finite group action. (The conjecture of [39] gives a precise description of the apparently complicated pattern in which left cells meet right cells, i.e. images of left cells under $w \to w^{-1}$.)

4. Highest Weight Modules

Let $g, b, n, t$ be the Lie algebras of $G, B, U_B, T$. In [22], Kazhdan and the author formulated a conjecture relating the polynomials $P_{\lambda, w}$ (see §3) to multiplicities in the Verma modules of $g$. More precisely, assuming that $\lambda$ is a linear form on $t$, we denote by $M_{\lambda}$ the Verma module of $g$ with highest weight $\lambda$ and by $L_{\lambda}$ its unique irreducible quotient. Let $\varrho : t \to \mathbb{C}$ be half the sum of the positive roots. Our conjecture was that for all $w \in W$ we have

$$L_{-\varrho(\varrho) - q} = \sum_{y \leq w} (-1)^{(w) - (y)} P_{\lambda, y}(1) M_{-\varrho(y) - q}$$

in the appropriate Grothendieck group. By an inversion formula for the $P_{\lambda, w}$ proved in [22], one sees that (a) admits the following equivalent formulation

$$M_{\varrho(y) - q} = \sum_{w \geq y} P_{\lambda, w}(1) L_{\varrho(\varrho) - q}.$$ 

In view of [23], this relates multiplicities in Verma modules to local $IC$ of Schubert varieties. Our conjecture has been proved by Beilinson, Bernstein [2] and by Brylinski, Kashiwara [8] using the theory of $\mathcal{O}$-modules.

Not only the value of $P_{\lambda, w}$ at 1 but also the coefficients of the various powers of $v^2$ in it have representation theoretic meaning (actually two different ones): they can be interpreted as dimensions of higher Ext groups between a Verma module and a simple module (Vogan [59]) or as multiplicities of a simple module in the successive quotients of the Jantzen filtration of a Verma module (Beilinson, Bernstein [3]).

5. Symmetric Spaces

Let $\theta : G \to G$ be an involution and let $K$ be the identity component of the fixed point set $G^\theta$. Let $Y_0$ be a $K$-orbit on $X$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a one dimensional $K$-equivariant local system on $Y_0$. 
By [2, 59], the local $IC$ of the closure $Y_0$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ enters in an essential way in the representation theory of the real reductive group attached to $G, \theta$.

An algorithm for computing this local $IC$ (generalizing that in [22]) has been proposed by Vogan and has been established in [47].

6. Groups over $F_q$

In this section we take $G, X$ as before, but we assume that the ground field is $F_q$ rather than $C$ and that we are given an $F_q$-rational structure on $G$ with corresponding Frobenius map $F : G \to G$. This induces a Frobenius map $F : X \to X$. Following [12], we consider for any $w \in W$ the set $X_w$ of all Borel subgroups $B'$ of $G$ such that $B', F(B')$ are in relative position $w$. The finite group $G(F_q)$ acts on $X_w$ by conjugation; this induces an action on the $l$-adic cohomology groups of $X_w$ with compact support. Although one has from [12] good information about the alternating sum of these cohomology groups (as a virtual representation) one knows very little about the representations on the individual cohomology groups, due to the fact that $X_w$ is non-proper. The situation is much better if we replace $X_w$ by its closure $\bar{X}_w$ and consider the natural representations of $G(F_q)$ in the global $IC$ groups of $\bar{X}_w$ with constant coefficients. Although $\bar{X}_w$ is singular in general, it is locally isomorphic to a Schubert variety hence its local $IC$ is as in §3. Hence we still have good information on the alternating sum of these $IC$ spaces (as a virtual representation); but this time we can also get good information on the individual $IC$ spaces using especially the Weil conjectures in $IC$ (see [4]). We can in this way compute explicitly [33] the way in which individual $IC$ spaces decompose in irreducible representations and at the same time classify the irreducible representations occurring there (these are the unipotent representations of $G(F_q)$).

This method extends to non-unipotent representations (see [33]).

7. Affine Schubert Varieties

In this section we take $G$ to be simply connected, almost simple.

Let $\hat{Q}$ be the the lattice of coroots of $G$ and let $\hat{W}$ be the the semidirect product of $W$ with $\hat{Q}$; thus, $\hat{W}$ is the affine Weyl group.

Let $G((\varepsilon))$ (resp. $G[[\varepsilon]]$) be the group of points of $G$ over $C((\varepsilon))$ (resp. $C[[\varepsilon]]$) where $\varepsilon$ is an indeterminate. The inverse image of $B$ under the canonical homomorphism $G[[\varepsilon]] \to G$ induced by $\varepsilon \to 0$ is denoted by $I$. Let $\hat{X}$ be the set of all subgroups of $G((\varepsilon))$ which are conjugate to $I$. It is called the affine flag manifold; a closely related space has been first studied in Bott's work [7]. Now $G((\varepsilon))$ acts transitively on $\hat{X}$ and the stabilizer of $I$ is known to be $I$ itself. The restriction of this action to $I$ has countably many orbits on $\hat{X}$ which may be naturally put in 1-1 correspondence (as in Iwahori and Matsumoto [17]) with the elements of $\hat{W}$. Let $\varnothing_w$ be the $I$-orbit corresponding to $w \in \hat{W}$. Now $\varnothing_w$ may be naturally
regarded as an affine space over \( C \) of dimension \( l(w) \) where \( l : \tilde{W} \to \mathbb{N} \) is the length function (see [17]).

One can regard naturally \( \tilde{X} \) as an increasing union of projective algebraic varieties over \( C \), each of the varieties in this union being \( I \)-stable. (See [23, 31, 58].) Then the closure \( \overline{\mathcal{O}_y} \) of \( \mathcal{O}_y \) in \( \tilde{X} \) is a well defined projective variety over \( C \), called an affine Schubert variety. We can then define the relation \( y \leq w \) on \( \tilde{W} \), as in \( \S 3 \). Now the polynomials \( P_{y,w} \) in \( \S 3 \) are well defined for \( y, w \in \tilde{W} \) (see [22]). They again record the dimensions of the local IC of \( \mathcal{O}_y \) at a point in \( \mathcal{O}_y \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_y} \), for \( y \leq w \), as in \( \S 3 \) (see [23]).

(The elementary definition of the polynomials \( P_{y,w} \) given in [22] makes sense for arbitrary Coxeter groups; it is expected [23] that they always have \( \geq 0 \) coefficients, although it is not clear what the geometrical interpretation of these coefficients should be in the non-crystallographic case. This positivity property has been verified by Alvis, for the finite Coxeter group of type \( H_4 \), using a computer.)

8. Highest Weight Modules for Affine Lie Algebras

In this section we assume that \( G \) is almost simple, simply connected and of simply laced type. We shall recall some basic facts on affine Lie algebras. Consider the Lie algebras obtained by extending scalars: \( g[e, e^{-1}] = g \otimes C[e, e^{-1}] \), \( g[e] = g \otimes C[e] \). We regard \( g \) as a subalgebra of \( g[e] \) by \( x \to x \otimes 1 \). It is known that there is a non-trivial central extension \( \pi : \tilde{g} \to g[e, e^{-1}] \) of Lie algebras over \( C \) with one dimensional kernel \( D \); moreover, it is unique up to isomorphism. The Lie algebra \( \tilde{g} \) is said to be an affine Lie algebra. Let \( U \) be its enveloping algebra.

Let \( \tau \in \mathfrak{t} \) be a coroot and let \( \tau', \tau'' \in \tilde{g} \) be such that \( \pi(\tau') = e \tau, \pi(\tau'') = e^{-1} \tau \). Then \( [\tau', \tau''] \) is a non-zero element of \( D \), independent of the choice of \( \tau, \tau', \tau'' \); we denote it \( c \).

Let \( \kappa \in C \). A \( \tilde{U} \)-module is said to have central charge \( k \) if \( c \) acts on it as \( k \) times identity.

Let \( \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \) be the inverse image of \( g[e] \) under \( \pi \). It is known that \( \pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \to g[e] \) has a unique cross-section \( g[e] \to \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \) which is a homomorphism of Lie algebras (over \( C \)); using this we may identify \( \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = D \oplus g[e] \) as Lie algebras.

Let \( i \) (resp. \( i_0 \)) be the inverse image of \( \mathfrak{b} \) (resp. of \( \mathfrak{n} \)) under the canonical homomorphism \( g[e] \to g \) induced by \( e \to 0 \). We have a direct sum decomposition \( \tilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus i_0 \) as vector spaces. Let \( \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{d} \oplus \mathfrak{t}, \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{d} \oplus i = \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \oplus i_0 \) and let \( \tilde{\lambda} : \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \to C \) be a linear form. Consider the \( C \)-linear map \( \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \to C \) given by \( \tilde{\lambda} \) on \( \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \) and by \( 0 \) on \( i_0 \). This is a Lie algebra homomorphism hence it extends to a homomorphism of the enveloping algebra \( \tilde{U}_i \) of \( \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \) to \( C \). We induce this to a \( \tilde{U} \)-module; the resulting \( \tilde{U} \)-module \( M_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \tilde{U} \oplus \mathcal{O}_i \) \( C \) is called a Verma module; it has central charge \( \tilde{\lambda}(c) \).

Let \( h \) be the Coxeter number of \( G \). The existence of Segal-Sugawara operators implies that \( M_{\tilde{\lambda}} \) has a unique simple quotient module (denoted \( L_{\tilde{\lambda}} \)) provided that \( \tilde{\lambda}(c) \) is not equal to \( -h \).

Let \( \tilde{q} : \tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \to C \) be the linear form which is equal to \( q \) on \( \mathfrak{t} \) (see \( \S 4 \)) and takes the value \( h \) on \( c \). Let \( Q \) be the lattice of roots of \( g \) (regarded as a group of linear
forms $t \to C$). Let $\tilde{W}$ be the semidirect product of $W$ and $Q$ (the affine Weyl group); this may be identified with the affine Weyl group in §7 since we are in the simply laced case. We have an action of $\tilde{W}$ on the space of linear forms on $\tilde{t}$ as follows: an element $\xi \in Q$ acts by $\tilde{\lambda} \to \tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\lambda}(c)\xi$; the action of $W$ is the contragredient of the action on $\tilde{t}$ which is the usual one on $t$ and the identity on $D$.

The statements (a) and (b) below (which are entirely analogous to §4(a),(b)) have been recently proved by Casian [11] and by Casian [10], Kashiwara [19]:

(a) \[ L_{-w(\varpi) - \varpi} = \sum_{y \leq w} (-1)^{l(w) - l(y)} P_{y,w}(1) M_{-y(\varpi) - \varpi} \]

(b) \[ M_{y(\varpi) - \varpi} = \sum_{w \geq y} P_{y,w}(1) L_{w(\varpi) - \varpi} \]

Here $w, y \in \tilde{W}$; the sums are in the appropriate Grothendieck groups (but the sum in (b) is infinite) and $P_{y,w}$ are the polynomials in §7 describing the local IC of affine Schubert varieties. Note that $L_{-w(\varpi) - \varpi}$ and $L_{w(\varpi) - \varpi}$ are well defined since $-w(\varpi) - \varpi$ and $w(\varpi) - \varpi$ take at $c$ the values $-2h$ and 0, which are $\neq -h$. While the identities §4(a),(b) are equivalent to each other, the identities (a),(b) above are quite different: (a) is typical for integral highest weights with central charge $< -h$, while (b) is typical for integral highest weights with central charge $> -h$.

Note that in [19] the geometry used is not the one in §7, but that of “affine Schubert varieties of finite codimension” (see [23, 20]). Note also that, in [10, 19], a formula like (b) is proved for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

9. Modular Representations

Assume that $G$ is as in §8. Let $\tilde{W}^0$ be the set of all elements in the affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}$ which have maximal length in their left $W$-coset.

Let $p$ be a (not too small) prime number. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the category of finite dimensional rational representations (over $\bar{F}_p$) of the algebraic group over $\bar{F}_p$ of the same type as $G$.

The simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ are indexed by the set of dominant integral weights $t \to C$ but their structure is unknown. They appear as the unique simple quotients of certain “Weyl modules” whose weight structure is well understood (it is given by Weyl’s character formula). By Steinberg’s tensor product theorem, the structure of simple objects of $\mathscr{C}$ would be known in general if it were known for simple modules with restricted highest weight (i.e., with coordinates in $[0, p - 1]$). In [29] a conjecture was formulated expressing the character of certain simple modules in $\mathscr{C}$ (including those with restricted highest weight) as linear combinations of Weyl modules with explicit coefficients involving the values at 1 of the polynomials $P_{y,w}(y, w \in \tilde{W}^0)$ as in §7. This conjecture has been tested in a variety of ways and seems to be extremely plausible. However, the connection between local IC of affine Schubert varieties and modular representations is quite mysterious. It seems likely that to establish this connection one should use two intermediate steps, which we now explain.
Besides $\mathscr{C}$ we consider two other categories $\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}''$ of representations.

$\mathscr{C}'$ has as objects the finite dimensional representations of the quantized enveloping algebra corresponding to $G$ with parameter $\exp(2\pi i/p)$ (in the sense of [43]) in which the $p$-th powers of the canonical generators $K_i$ (see [43]) act as identity.

$\mathscr{C}''$ has as objects the $\hat{U}$-modules with central charge $-p - h$ (see §8), of finite length, with all composition factors of form $L_\lambda$ (as in §8) where the restriction of $\lambda$ to $t$ is a dominant integral weight.

In both these categories, the simple objects are naturally indexed by the same set: the set of dominant integral weights $t \to C$. The simple modules appear again as the unique simple quotients of certain “Weyl modules” (also contained in our category). For $\mathscr{C}'$, the Weyl modules have the same weight structure as the corresponding simple module of $G$ (over $C$) hence are described by Weyl's character formula; for $\mathscr{C}''$ a Weyl module is defined by inducing from the enveloping algebra of $\hat{p}$ (see §8) to $\hat{U}$ the representation of $\hat{p}$ on which $c$ acts as $-p - h$ times identity and $g[e]$ acts through a finite dimensional irreducible representation of its quotient $g$.

In [44] it is conjectured that

(a) the categories $\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}''$ are equivalent; the equivalence should preserve the indexing of simple modules and takes Weyl modules to Weyl modules.

(For this, $p$ need not be a prime.)

Although $\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}'$ are not equivalent, one can expect a very close connection between them; in particular, one can expect [43] that

(b) two simple modules in $\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}'$ which have the same restricted highest weight should have the same weight structure.

Now, in $\mathscr{C}''$, the simple modules can be expressed in terms of Weyl modules with coefficients involving the values at 1 of the polynomials $P_{j,w}(y,w \in \hat{W}^0)$ as in §7. (This can be deduced by a “translation principle” from §8(a), see [25].) In view of (a), (b), the same should hold for $\mathscr{C}'$ and, in the restricted case, for $\mathscr{C}$. In this way, our conjecture on modular representations would follow from (a) and (b).

In the non-simply laced case one should use twisted affine Lie algebras.

10. Affine Schubert Varieties and Weight Multiplicities

A number of features of the theory of finite dimensional representations of $G$ can be recovered in a purely geometric way from the $IC$ of affine Schubert varieties. We preserve the assumptions of §7, 8. If $\lambda : t \to C$ is a dominant weight contained in $Q$, we define $n_\lambda \in \hat{W}$ to be the unique element of maximal length in the $W - W$ double coset of $\hat{W}$ containing $\lambda$. Consider the finite dimensional representation $L_\lambda$ of $G$ with highest weight $\lambda$ and consider another dominant weight $\lambda' \in Q$. The following result is proved in [31].

In the weight decomposition of $L_\lambda$, the weight $\lambda'$ appears with multiplicity equal to $P_{n_{\lambda}, n_{\lambda'}}(1)$ if $n_{\lambda'} \leq n_\lambda$ and zero, otherwise.
Taking the polynomial itself instead of its value at 1 we get a $q$-analog of weight multiplicities. An interpretation of this in representation theoretic terms has been found by R. K. Brylinski [9] (modulo some restrictions which were removed by Ginsburg [16]).

11. Periodic Affine Schubert Varieties

We preserve the setup of §7. We want to discuss (in a somewhat non-rigorous way) some variants of affine Schubert varieties. We need some further notation.

Let $B((e))$ (resp. $U_B((e))$) be the group of $C((e))$-points of $B$ (resp. $U_B$). Let $T[[e]]$ be the group of $C[[e]]$-points of $T$. Let $R = T[[e]]U_B((e))$ be the “identity component” of $B((e))$, so that $B((e))/R \cong \hat{Q}$.

Now $I$ acts by left translation on $G((e))/B((e))$ with finitely many orbits, which may be put naturally in 1-1 correspondence with the elements of $W$.

We have a “principal fibration” $\pi : G((e))/R \to G((e))/B((e))$ with group $\hat{Q}$ and any $I$-orbit on $G((e))/R$ (for the left translation action) is mapped by $\pi$ bijectively onto an $I$-orbit on $G((e))/B((e))$. Thus, $\hat{Q}$ acts freely on the set of $I$-orbits on $G((e))/R$ with quotient space $W$. More precisely, we see that the set of $I$-orbits on $G((e))/R$ may be put in 1-1 correspondence with $\hat{W}$; however, this is canonical only up to composition with a translation in $\hat{Q}$.

Let $F$ be the fixed point set of $T$ acting on $G((e))/R$ by left translation. Each $I$-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ on $G((e))/R$ contains a unique point $x_{\mathcal{O}} \in F$.

The orbits of $I$ on $G((e))/R$ are seen to be both of infinite dimension and of infinite codimension. The “closure” $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ of such an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ can be defined as follows.

Let $G[\varepsilon^{-1}]$ be the group of points of $G$ over $C[\varepsilon^{-1}]$; let $B^-$ be the Borel subgroup of $G$ such that $B \cap B^- = T$ and let $I^-$ be the inverse image of $\varepsilon^{-1}$ under the canonical homomorphism $G[\varepsilon^{-1}] \to G$ induced by $\varepsilon^{-1} \to 0$. By definition, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is the union of all $I$-orbits $\mathcal{O}'$ such that $\mathcal{O}$ has non-empty intersection with the $I^-$-orbit $I^-x_{\mathcal{O}'}$ of $x_{\mathcal{O}}$. For such $\mathcal{O}'$, the intersection $I^-x_{\mathcal{O}'} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a (finite dimensional) irreducible variety and $I^-x_{\mathcal{O}'} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is an open dense smooth subvariety of it.

Then $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a union of countably many $I$-orbits of larger and larger (finite) codimension; the orbits and their closure relation form a periodic pattern, with $\hat{Q}$ as the group of periods. The orbit closures $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ may be called periodic affine Schubert varieties.

We can define the local $IC$ of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ of one $I$-orbit along another $I$-orbit $\mathcal{O}' \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to be the local $IC$ of $I^-x_{\mathcal{O}'} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ at $x_{\mathcal{O}'}$ with respect to the local system $\mathcal{C}$ on $I^-x_{\mathcal{O}'} \cap \mathcal{O}$.

I was led to consider these periodic affine Schubert varieties about ten years ago while trying to find support for the conjecture on modular representations mentioned in §9. This has led to a periodicity property of the polynomials $P_{y,w}$, for the affine Weyl group, with $y,w \in \hat{W}^0$ as in §9, which I could prove directly [28]. The periodicity property means that these polynomials (in the stable range) may be regarded as being indexed by a pair of $I$-orbits on $G((e))/R$; it turns out
that they can be interpreted geometrically as the polynomials which record the local IC spaces of the periodic affine Schubert varieties.

The previous discussion suggests that the singularities of periodic affine Schubert varieties might be isomorphic to singularities of (ordinary) affine Schubert varieties. This is false; there is equality only for local IC spaces, which are not sensitive to torsion phenomena. In fact, periodic affine Schubert varieties are less singular than the corresponding ordinary ones; for example, if $G = SL_2$, the periodic affine Schubert varieties are non-singular, while the ordinary ones are rational homology manifolds with singularities.

The periodic affine Schubert varieties have been considered independently by B. Feigin and E. Frenkel in their work [14] on Wakimoto modules. It would be very interesting to find a connection between their representation theoretic considerations and the local IC spaces considered above.

12. Local IC of Closures of Unipotent Classes

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the variety of unipotent elements of $G$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all pairs $(C, \mathcal{L})$ where $C$ is a unipotent class in $G$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is an irreducible $G$-equivariant local system on $C$ defined up to isomorphism. (Thus, $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to an irreducible representation of the group of components of the centralizer of an element of $C$; this group is naturally a quotient of the fundamental group of $C$.) Let $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^p \mathcal{L}^\mathcal{I}$ of the IC complex on the closure $\bar{C}$ of $C$ can be restricted to a unipotent class $\bar{C}$ and is a $G$-equivariant local system there; a given irreducible $G$-equivariant local system $\mathcal{L}'$ on $\bar{C}$ appears with a certain multiplicity, say $m_{C', \mathcal{L}'; C, \mathcal{L}}$, in this restriction. The polynomials

$$\Pi_{C', \mathcal{L}'; C, \mathcal{L}} = \sum_i m_{C', \mathcal{L}'; C, \mathcal{L}} \gamma^i$$

describe the local IC of $\bar{C}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. We want to describe a natural partition of $\mathcal{I}$ into blocks. We define a relation $\leq$ on $\mathcal{I}$ as follows: $(C', \mathcal{L}') \leq (C, \mathcal{L})$ means that $C' \subset \bar{C}$ and $\Pi_{C', \mathcal{L}'; C, \mathcal{L}} \neq 0$. A pair $(C, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{I}$ is said to be minimal if $(C', \mathcal{L}') \leq (C, \mathcal{L})$ implies $(C', \mathcal{L}') = (C, \mathcal{L})$. For a minimal pair $(C, \mathcal{L})$, we define the block of $(C, \mathcal{L})$ to be the set of all $(C'', \mathcal{L}'') \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $(C, \mathcal{L}) \leq (C'', \mathcal{L}'')$. This block contains a unique minimal pair: $(C, \mathcal{L})$. Any element of $\mathcal{I}$ is contained in a unique block, i.e. the blocks form a partition of $\mathcal{I}$.

Let us fix a minimal pair $(C, \mathcal{L})$ and choose $u \in C$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal torus of the centralizer of $u$ in $G$, let $L$ be the centralizer of $\mathcal{T}$ in $G$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be the normalizer of $\mathcal{T}$ modulo $L$; one can identify naturally $\mathcal{W}$ with the Weyl group of a maximal reductive connected subgroup of the centralizer of $u$.

(b) The pairs $(C', \mathcal{L}')$ in the block of $(C, \mathcal{L})$ are in natural 1-1 correspondence with the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{W}$.

Originally, a statement of this kind has been established by Springer [57] in the case where $C = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}$; in that case, we have $\mathcal{W} = W$. Springer's
construction has been reformulated in \( IC \) terms in [30] (see also [6]) and, in [34], the “generalized Springer correspondence” (b) has been established.

The construction of [30, 34] is based on the following idea. We can start with a very simple minded action of \( \mathcal{W} \) on a local system on an open dense smooth subset of some irreducible variety (the action being the identity on the base). By functoriality of the \( IC \) complex, this extends automatically to an action of \( \mathcal{W} \) on the corresponding local \( IC \) at any point of our variety and this provides many interesting representations of \( \mathcal{W} \).

The Springer correspondence (resp. the generalized one) has been explicitly described in each case, see [1, 51, 52, 55] (resp. [34, 46, 56]).

The polynomials (a) have been described in all cases in the form of tables or algorithms. In the first case which has been considered, type A (see [30]), the computation of these polynomials was based on a connection between unipotent classes and affine Schubert varieties. The case of the block containing \( (\{1\}, \mathbb{C}) \) for types \( \neq A \), was done in [5, 53, 54], using results of [6, 21]. The general case is considered in [35] in the framework of the theory of character sheaves.

These results (in \( l \)-adic version) remain valid in characteristic \( p \), at least if \( p \) is a good prime for \( G \). In this form they are of direct significance for the representation theory of reductive groups over \( F_q \); indeed, the polynomials (a) for the block of \( (\{1\}, \mathbb{Q} / \) are very closely related with Green functions (character values of generic representations at unipotent elements), while in the case of the other blocks, they are closely related with character values of more degenerate representations at unipotent elements. (See [42].)

13. Cuspidal Pairs

A pair \((C, S_E)\) is said to be cuspidal (cf. [34, 35]) if for any proper parabolic subgroup \( P \) of \( G \) with unipotent radical \( U \) and any unipotent element \( g \in P \), the cohomology with compact support of \( gU \cap C \) with coefficients in the restriction of \( S_E \) is zero. This implies that \((C, S_E)\) forms a block by itself; conversely, if a block of \( \mathcal{I} \) consists of a single element, then that element is cuspidal. The cuspidal pairs are quite rare. For example, if \( G \) is of type \( E_8 \), there is a single cuspidal pair; its \( C \) is the unique unipotent class whose fundamental group is the symmetric group \( S_3 \) and \( S_E \) corresponds to the sign representations of \( S_3 \). If \( G = Sp_{2n} \), then \( G \) has exactly one cuspidal pair if \( n \) is a triangular number and none, otherwise.

14. Character Sheaves

It is possible to imitate very closely the representation theory of a reductive group over \( F_q \), using certain \( IC \) complexes (called character sheaves) on \( G \) or subvarieties of \( G \) as substitutes for the irreducible representations. One obtains in this way a purely geometric character theory which makes sense over any algebraically closed field (in particular, over \( \mathbb{C} \)).

We refer to [34, 35] for the definition of character sheaves. Here we give two (extreme) examples. Let \( Y_0 \) be the set of regular semisimple elements in \( G \). The
fundamental group of $Y_0$ has as a canonical quotient the semidirect product of $W$ with the lattice of coweights of $G$; consider an irreducible representation of the fundamental group which factors through a finite quotient of this semidirect product and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the corresponding local system on $Y_0$. The $IC$ complex on $G$ corresponding to $(Y_0, \mathcal{L})$ is an example of a character sheaf. If $G = GL_n$ this gives all character sheaves; for other types, there may be in addition character sheaves supported by a proper closed subset of $G$; in particular, if $G$ is semisimple, the $IC$ complex on $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to a cuspidal pair $(C, \mathcal{L})$ (see §13) is a character sheaf (said to be a cuspidal character sheaf). The existence of these character sheaves with thin support is the main reason why representation theory for groups other than $GL_n$ is so much more complicated than for $GL_n$ itself.

The character sheaves have been classified in [34, 35] in two different ways: in terms of their support and also in terms of objects connected with the dual group. This last classification is extremely similar to that of the irreducible representations of a reductive group over a finite field [33]. In both cases the essential ingredients are: a semisimple element in the dual group, a "special" unipotent element in its centralizer, and a certain (small) finite group.

There are at least two, quite different, applications of character sheaves to representation theory. The first one is to characters of groups over a finite field (see §15). The second one is to the construction of representations of $p$-adic groups (see §§16, 17).

15. Character Sheaves and Frobenius

In this section we assume that $G$ is as in §6.

The theory of character sheaves remains valid (in the $l$-adic version) for $G$, at least in good characteristic (and probably in bad characteristic as well). Consider a character sheaf of $A$ of $G$ such that $A$ and its inverse image $F^*A$ under the Frobenius map $F : G \to G$ have the same support and are isomorphic (as objects in the derived category of that support). Choose a specific isomorphism and, for $x$ a fixed point of $F$ in the support, take the alternating sum of traces of that isomorphism on the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of $A$ at $x$. We obtain a class function $\chi_A : G^F \to \mathbb{Q}_l$ on the finite group $G^F = G(F_q)$ (for $x \in G^F$, not in the support of $A$, we set $\chi_A(x) = 0$). It is independent, up to a non-zero factor, of the choice of isomorphism. One of the main results of [35] is that, when $A$ runs over the character sheaves as above, the functions $\chi_A$ (suitably normalized) have values in the cyclotomic integers and they form an orthonormal basis of the space of all class functions on $G^F$. Thus, this space has two natural bases; one, of geometric origin, is provided by the functions $\chi_A$; the other one is formed by the characters of the irreducible representations of $G^F$. The elements in the first basis are essentially computable, hence the character table of $G^F$ would be known if the transition matrix between these two bases would be determined. For $GL_n$, this transition matrix is the identity, but in general it is not so. However, there is considerable evidence that this transition matrix is quite simple, almost diagonal; more precisely this matrix should consist of small diagonal blocks, and each such
diagonal block should be the matrix of a non-abelian Fourier transform (as in [33, 39]) over a small finite group. (This has been verified in a number of cases [38].)

16. Some Algebras Attached to Blocks

We fix \( r_0 \in \mathbb{C}^* \); let

\[ V = \{(N, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}|N \text{ nilpotent, } \sigma \text{ semisimple}, [\sigma, N] = 2r_0N\} . \]

We have a natural action of \( G \) on \( V \) by conjugation on both factors. Let \( \mathcal{J} \) be the set of pairs \((\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F})\) where \( \mathcal{O} \) is a \( G \)-orbit on \( V \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) is an irreducible \( G \)-equivariant local system on the variety \( \mathcal{O} \). We consider some \((\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{J}\). The first projection defines a map \( \mathcal{O} \to c \) for a well defined nilpotent \( G \)-orbit \( c \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \).

We identify \( c \) with a unipotent class \( C \) in \( G \) using the exponential map; we obtain a map \( \mathcal{O} \to C \). We can find a \( G \)-equivariant local system \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( C \) whose inverse image under the last map contains \( \mathcal{F} \) as a direct summand. Let \( B \) be the block of \( \mathcal{I} \) containing \((C, \mathcal{L})\). One can show that \( B \) is independent of the choice of \( \mathcal{L} \); it depends only on \((\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F})\). We thus have a map \((\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}) \to B \) from \( \mathcal{J} \) to the set of blocks of \( \mathcal{I} \); its fibres define a partition of \( \mathcal{J} \) into subsets in 1-1 correspondence with the blocks of \( \mathcal{I} \). These subsets are called the blocks of \( \mathcal{I} \).

It turns out that the elements of \( \mathcal{I} \) in a fixed block (corresponding, say, to \( B \)) index in a natural way the isomorphism classes of simple modules over a certain algebra \( H \).

To define this algebra, we need some notation. Let \((C, \mathcal{L})\) be the unique minimal pair in \( \mathcal{B} \); choose \( u \in C \) and associate to it \( \mathcal{I}, L, \mathcal{W} \) as in §11. We choose a parabolic subgroup \( P \) of \( G \) having \( L \) as Levi subgroup; let \( U_P \) be its unipotent radical. Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \) be the parabolic subgroups which contain strictly \( P \) and are minimal with this property. Let \( L_i \) be the Levi subgroup of \( P_i \) which contains \( L \). Let \( \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{l}_i, \mathfrak{n}_i \) be the Lie algebras of \( L, L_i, U_P \). Let \( \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_i \) be the centres of \( \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{l}_i \). For any linear form \( \alpha : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C} \) we set \( g_\alpha = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g}|[y, x] = \alpha(y)x, \forall y \in \mathfrak{h} \} \). For each \( i \), there is a unique non-zero linear form \( \alpha_i : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( 0 \neq g_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}_i \cap \mathfrak{n}_i \) and \( g_{\alpha_i/2} = 0 \). Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the symmetric algebra of \( \mathfrak{h}^* \).

Let \( s_i \) be the unique non-trivial element of \( \mathcal{W} \) which can be represented by an element of \( L_i \). Then \( s_1, \ldots, s_m \) are Coxeter generators of \( \mathcal{W} \). We have a natural action \( \xi \mapsto \xi \) of \( \mathcal{W} \) on \( \mathfrak{h} \) hence on \( \mathcal{S} \). Let \( x_0 \in \mathfrak{l} \) be the nilpotent element such that \( \exp(x_0) = u \). For each \( i \), let \( c_i \) be the largest integer \( \geq 2 \) such that \( \text{ad}(x_0)^{c_i-2} : \mathfrak{l}_i \cap \mathfrak{n}_i \to \mathfrak{l}_i \cap \mathfrak{n}_i \) is \( \neq 0 \). We define a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space \( H = \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{W}] \).

There is a unique structure of associative \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra with unit \( 1 \otimes e \) on \( H \) such that the following properties hold. First, the maps \( \mathcal{S} \to H (\xi \mapsto \xi \otimes e) \) and \( \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{W}] \to H \) \((w \mapsto 1 \otimes w)\) are algebra homomorphisms. Second, we have \((\xi \otimes e)(1 \otimes w) = \xi \otimes w\) for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{S}, w \in \mathcal{W} \). Finally, we have

(a) \((1 \otimes s_i)(\xi \otimes e) - (s_i \xi \otimes e)(1 \otimes s_i) = c_i r_0(\xi - s_i \xi)\alpha_i^{-1} \otimes e, \ \ (\xi \in \mathcal{S}, 1 \leq i \leq m) . \)

One can show that

(b) the isomorphism classes of simple \( H \)-modules are in natural 1-1 correspondence with the elements in the given block of \( \mathcal{I} \).
The connection between these kind of objects is given in [40]. In that paper an H-module is constructed for any element of \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \). The idea is to apply the \( IC \) construction [34] of generalized Springer representations using fully its symmetry group (i.e. in equivariant homology); thus we do that construction with parameters in the classifying space of that symmetry group, or a smooth, finite dimensional approximation of it.

In the case where \( \mathcal{B} \) is the block of \( \{\{1\},\mathbb{C}\} \), the algebra \( H \) is closely related to an affine Iwahori-Hecke algebra whose simple modules were classified in [24]. In fact, the proof of (b) shares a number of features with the proof of the main result in [24]; one important difference is that in [24] the main technique is equivariant K-theory where \( IC \) methods are not available.

In the case where \( G = SL_m \mathcal{B} \), the algebra \( H \) appears also in Drinfeld's work [13] on Yangians of type \( A \). Can one construct finite dimensional representations of Yangians of general type using \( IC \) methods parallel to those just described?

17. Unramified Representations of Simple \( p \)-Adic Groups

In this section we take \( G \) as in §7. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be the group of rational points of a simple split adjoint algebraic group of type dual to \( G \) over a nonarchimedean local field with residue field \( F_q \). An irreducible admissible representation \( V \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) is said to be unramified if there exists a parahoric subgroup \( \mathcal{P} \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) with "unipotent radical" \( U_{\mathcal{G}} \) and "reductive part" \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}/U_{\mathcal{G}} \) (a reductive group over \( F_q \)) such that the \( U_{\mathcal{G}} \)-invariant part of \( V \) contains some unipotent cuspidal representation \( \mathcal{V} \) of the finite group \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \). (We get the same concept if we omit the word "cuspidal".) The following refinement of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture has been formulated in [32].

There should be a natural 1-1 correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of unramified representations of \( \mathcal{G} \) and the set of triples \( (N, s, (j)) \) up to \( G \)-conjugacy, where \( N \) is a nilpotent element of \( g \), \( s \) is a semisimple element of \( G \) such that \( Ad(s)N = qN \) and \( \phi \) is an irreducible representation of the (finite) group of components of the simultaneous centralizer \( Z(N, s) \) of \( N \) and \( s \) on which the centre of \( G \) acts trivially.

This is rather similar to the classification of unipotent representations for a reductive group over \( F_q \) given in [33].

(One can allow \( \mathcal{G} \) to be not necessarily split, but an inner form of a split group; this gives rise to a character \( \chi \) of the centre of \( G \) and one should take the same set of parameters except that the centre should be required to act on \( \phi \) according to \( \chi \).)

We would like to suggest a way prove this. Let us fix \( \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V} \) as above. To these one can associate an Iwahori-Hecke algebra \( H^\mathcal{P} \) corresponding to a certain (extended) Dynkin diagram \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \) and some parameters \( q^m, (m_i \geq 1) \), one for each vertex \( t_i \) of \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \). Let \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \) be the (extended) Dynkin diagram corresponding to \( \mathcal{P} \) and let \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) be the its subdiagram (of finite type) corresponding to \( \mathcal{P} \). By definition, the vertices of \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \) are the vertices of \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) which are not in \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), assuming that there
are at least two such vertices; otherwise, $\Delta$ is defined to be empty. Assume that $\Delta$ is non-empty and let $t_i$ be one of its vertices. Let $\mathcal{P}_i \supset \mathcal{P}$ be the parahoric subgroup corresponding to the subgraph whose vertices are those of $\Gamma$ together with $t_i$. The representation of $\mathcal{P}_i$ induced from $\mathcal{P}$ by $\Psi$ decomposes into a sum of two irreducible representations; the quotient of their dimension is known to be of form $q^m$ for some integer $m_i \geq 1$. (This integer is explicitly known by [27, p.35].) Now let $t_i, t_j$ be two different vertices of $\Delta$; we want to define the multiplicity of the bond joining them in $\Delta$. If $\Delta$ has exactly two vertices, this multiplicity is defined to be infinite. If $\Delta$ has at least three vertices, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{t_i t_j} \supset \mathcal{P}$ the parahoric subgroup corresponding to the subgraph whose vertices are those of $\Gamma$ together with $t_i, t_j$. The representation of $\mathcal{P}_{t_i t_j}$ induced from $\mathcal{P}$ by $\Psi$ decomposes according to the representations of an Iwahori-Hecke algebra (with possibly unequal parameters) of type $A_1 \times A_1, A_2, B_2$ or $G_2$; accordingly, the sought for multiplicity is by definition $0, 1, 2$ or $3$. (See again [27, p.35].) One can check that the $\Delta$ is an (extended) Dynkin diagram. This completes the definition of $\mathcal{H}$ (except that there is an indeterminacy due to isogeny, but we will skip over this difficulty).

It seems likely (and probably not difficult to prove) that the unramified representations of $\mathcal{H}$ which correspond to our $\mathcal{P}, \Psi$ are in natural 1-1 correspondence with the simple $\mathcal{H}$-modules.

This is well known in the case where $\mathcal{P}$ is an Iwahori subgroup. In that case, $\mathcal{H}$ is an Iwahori-Hecke algebra with equal parameters and one can use the classification [24] of its simple modules.

But it seems to be difficult to extend the $K$-theoretic method of [24] to the general case. Instead, in the general case we can argue as follows. We can replace $\mathcal{H}$ by its generic version (over $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$) and take (as in [41]) certain associated graded algebras (over $\mathbb{C}[r]$) of it and then specialize $r$ to $r_0 = \log(q)/2$. The resulting algebras turn out to be of the type considered in §16 (hence their simple modules can be classified as in §16(b)); on the other hand, from the representation theory of these algebras one can completely recover the representation theory of $\mathcal{H}$, by the results of [41]. This should give the desired result.

18. Cells in Affine Weyl Groups

We preserve the assumptions of §7. The definition of left cells and right cells of $W$ given in [22] (see also §3) extends without change to the case of $\tilde{W}$. (But in this case, this is the only known definition; it is not known how to extend the definition from the theory of primitive ideals).

One can also define a partition of $\tilde{W}$ into two-sided cells: the two-sided cells are the smallest subsets of $\tilde{W}$ which are unions of left cells and also unions of right cells.

It is known (see [36]) that there are only finitely many left cells in $\tilde{W}$ but their structure is not well understood except in type $A$ (see Shi [50]); however, the two-sided cells of $\tilde{W}$ have been shown in [36] to be in natural 1-1 correspondence with the unipotent classes in the corresponding group over $\mathbb{C}$. The proof in [36]
is quite complicated. One ingredient is the use of the function \( a : \hat{W} \to \mathbb{N} \) defined as in §3; another one is the use of the results of [24] on representations of affine Iwahori-Hecke algebras; in addition, the theory of character sheaves also plays a role in the proof.

It is interesting that many invariants of a unipotent class can be reconstructed from the corresponding two-sided cell, in purely combinatorial terms. For example, if a two-sided cell corresponds to the class of the unipotent element \( u \), then the variety of Borel subgroups containing \( u \) has dimension \( a(z) \) where \( z \) is any element in the two-sided cell.

19. Quiver Singularities and Canonical Bases

In this section we assume that \( G \) is as in §8. In [45], methods of \( IC \) have been used to construct some particularly nice bases of the finite dimensional irreducible representations of \( g \). We will explain how this is done. (Another approach to these questions has been recently found by Kashiwara; see his lecture at this Congress.)

We assume that we are given a basis element in each root space in \( \mathfrak{n} \) corresponding to a simple root; we denote these elements by \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \). (They are in 1-1 correspondence with the vertices of the Dynkin graph, denoted \( 1, \ldots, n \).)

Let \( U \) (resp. \( U^+ \)) be the enveloping algebra of \( g \) (resp. of \( \mathfrak{n} \)). Recently, Ringel [49] gave a very elegant description of \( U^+ \) in terms of representations of a quiver (the Dynkin graph of \( G \) with a fixed orientation). All representations of the quiver are assumed to be finite dimensional over \( \mathbb{C} \). The dimension of a representation is naturally a vector \( d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \).

Given three representations \( V, V', V'' \) of the quiver, we can consider the set of all subrepresentations of \( V'' \) which are isomorphic to \( V \) and such that the corresponding quotient is isomorphic to \( V' \). This set is naturally an algebraic variety and we denote by \( c_{V,V',V''} \) its Euler characteristic in cohomology with compact support. Let \( \mathcal{R} \) be the \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space with basis elements \( V \) indexed by the isomorphism classes of representations of the quiver. Then the \( c_{V,V',V''} \) can be regarded as the structure constants of an algebra structure on \( \mathcal{R} \) and Ringel shows that there is a unique algebra isomorphism \( U^+ \cong \mathcal{R} \) which takes each \( e_i \in U^+ \) to the irreducible representation of our quiver corresponding to the vertex \( i \) of the Dynkin graph. Via this isomorphism, \( U^+ \) aquires from \( \mathcal{R} \) a \( \mathbb{C} \)-basis. Note that this basis depends on the chosen orientation of the Dynkin graph.

We want to look at ways in which a representation of the quiver can be deformed to a more degenerate one. It is known that the isomorphism classes of representations of our quiver correspond to certain orbits of algebraic group actions and we are lead to consider closures of such orbits. One of the ideas of [45] was to exploit the fact that these closures of orbits may be singular hence have invariants coming from \( IC \) theory. (These singularities may be called quiver singularities.)

We shall define such invariants \( j_{V,V'} \in \mathbb{N} \) for any two representations \( V, V' \) of the quiver. In the case where \( V, V' \) have different dimensions we set \( j_{V,V'} = 0 \). Assume now that they have the same dimension \( d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \). Let
\[ \mathbf{E} = \sum_{i \to j} \text{Hom}(C^d, C^d) \]

sum over all arrows \( i \to j \) in the given orientation, and let \( G_d = \prod_i \text{GL}_{d_i}(C) \). The group \( G_d \) acts naturally on the \( C \)-vector space \( \mathbf{E} \) by \( (g_i) : (f_{ij}) \mapsto (g_j f_{ij} g_i^{-1}) \). Any point in \( \mathbf{E}_d \) may be regarded as a representation of dimension \( d \) of our quiver and all representations of dimension \( d \) arise in this way. Moreover two points in \( \mathbf{E} \) define isomorphic representations if and only if they are in the same \( G_d \)-orbit. Thus, \( \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}' \) correspond to two \( G_d \)-orbits \( \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \) on \( \mathbf{E} \). If \( \mathcal{O} \) is contained in the closure of \( \mathcal{O}' \), we define \( j_{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}'} \) to be the Euler characteristic of the local IC of that closure (with coefficients \( C \)) at a point in \( \mathcal{O} \). Otherwise, we set \( j_{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}'} = 0 \).

The elements \( \sum_{\mathbf{V}} j_{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}'} \mathbf{V} \) (for various representations \( \mathbf{V}' \) of the quiver) form a new basis of \( \mathcal{R} \); upon transferring it to \( U^+ \), we obtain a basis of \( U^+ \) which, by one of the main results of [45], is independent of the chosen orientation. For this reason, we call it the canonical basis.

Assume that we are given an irreducible, finite dimensional representation of \( U \) with a specified lowest weight vector. If we apply the elements of the canonical basis to this lowest weight vector, the resulting elements less \( 0 \) will miraculously form a basis for the representation space. This is a canonical basis of the given representation of \( U \). It is a basis with extremely favorable properties (see [45]).

All these bases have natural \( q \)-analogs in the framework of quantized enveloping algebras.
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Introduction

Many of the physical phenomena that are currently important research topics in applied mathematics involve strong, irregular, and typically unstable fluctuations with intense activity occurring on extremely small length scales. Examples include fully developed turbulence and the instability of thin layers in fluid flows, phase transitions and homogenization in materials, dendrite formation in crystal growth, the focusing of laser beams, and various problems in classical statistical physics such as the kinetic theory of gases. For a discussion of the mathematical progress in this last topic, see the report of P.L. Lions at this Congress. The quantitative physical phenomena are usually described by solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations in various singular limits involving either small spatial/temporal scales or coefficients of the equation. A prototypical example of such a process is the high Reynolds number limit of the Navier-Stokes equations,

\[ \frac{\partial v^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \text{div} (v^\varepsilon \otimes v^\varepsilon) = -\nabla p^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Delta v^\varepsilon , \]

\[ \text{div} v^\varepsilon = 0 . \]

Here \( v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \) is the fluid velocity, \( p \) is the scalar pressure, \( v \otimes v = (v_iv_j) \), and \( \varepsilon \) is the reciprocal of the Reynolds number. Fully developed turbulence is characterized by the fact that the parameter \( \varepsilon \) in (0.1) is extremely small, i.e. \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \); the formal limiting equations with \( \varepsilon = 0 \) in (0.1) are called the Euler equations.

The recent development of powerful, user friendly, supercomputers and workstations combined with high resolution graphics and sophisticated numerical algorithms has enabled researchers to discover interesting and unexpected new phenomena in the solutions of nonlinear P.D.E.'s with a resolution often exceeding the capabilities of contemporary experiments. Understanding these phenomena has created the need for a highly interdisciplinary interaction involving ideas from nonlinear analysis, numerical computation, and sophisticated asymptotic methods. The reports by Mimura [51] and Krasny [33] at this Congress illustrate aspects of this type of interaction in modern applied mathematics; some recent
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papers of the author [46, 36, 37] describe this modern research mode in the context of various problems in fluid dynamics. Except for brief remarks and some references, it will not be possible due to limitations on length to describe examples of such exciting interdisciplinary developments in any detail in this article and the main emphasis will involve the nonlinear analysis of the new phenomena.

The problems which I discuss below have a common theme. There is a sequence of solutions of a nonlinear P.D.E. which satisfies the bound,

$$\int_{\Omega} |v^\varepsilon|^2 dy \leq C \quad (0.2)$$

as $$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$$ so that there is a function $$v$$ and a subsequence with

$$v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v \text{ i.e. weakly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (0.3)$$

In applications, the bound in (0.2) is usually guaranteed by a physical principle such as an energy estimate and the small parameter $$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$$ represents the limit of increasingly smaller scale fluctuations. The use of the $$L^2$$-norm in (0.2) is for simplicity in exposition. Various kinds of defects can happen in such a limiting process. These are illustrated by the heuristic decomposition

$$v^\varepsilon = v^\varepsilon_{osc} + v^\varepsilon_{conc} + \bar{v}^\varepsilon \quad (0.4)$$

of $$v^\varepsilon$$. Here $$\bar{v}^\varepsilon$$ converges strongly in $$L^2$$ so that there is a $$\bar{v}$$ with

$$\int_{\Omega} |\bar{v}^\varepsilon - \bar{v}|^2 dy \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \quad (0.5)$$

The functions $$v^\varepsilon_{osc}$$ measure the small scale oscillations in the limit in the sense that

$$|v^\varepsilon_{osc}(y)|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \quad (0.6A)$$

and there is a $$v_{osc} \in L^\infty$$ so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi v^\varepsilon_{osc} dy \to \int_{\Omega} \phi v_{osc} dy \quad \text{for all functions } \phi \in C_0(\Omega), \quad (0.6B)$$

but $$v^\varepsilon_{osc}$$ does not converge strongly in $$L^2$$ to $$v_{osc}$$. A prototypical example of a sequence with small scale oscillations in $$R^1$$ is given by $$v^\varepsilon_{osc} = v(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon})$$ where $$v(y)$$ is a fixed smooth periodic function. The functions $$v^\varepsilon_{conc}$$ in the heuristic decomposition in (0.4) measure the development of intense small scale concentrations in the limit in the sense that $$v^\varepsilon_{conc}$$ satisfies the uniform $$L^2$$-bound in (0.2) and there is a function $$v_{conc}$$ so that

$$v^\varepsilon_{conc} \to v_{conc} \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (0.7)$$

but nevertheless, $$v^\varepsilon_{conc}$$ does not converge strongly to $$v_{conc}$$ in $$L^2$$. A prototypical example illustrating concentration in $$L^2(R^N)$$ is given by the sequence $$v^\varepsilon_{conc} = \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \varrho \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)$$ with $$\varrho \in C_0^\infty$$, $$\varrho \geq 0$$, $$\int \varrho = 1$$.

Most of the research in nonlinear P.D.E. prior to the late 1970’s involved problems where suitable apriori estimates automatically guaranteed strong convergence so that $$v^\varepsilon_{osc}$$ and $$v^\varepsilon_{conc}$$ in (0.4) were zero and the relevant nonlinear maps $$g(v^\varepsilon)$$ are continuous in the limit. With the strong motivation from understanding
many of the new phenomena described earlier, an important current research direction in nonlinear P.D.E.'s involves situations where both fine scale oscillations and/or concentrations occur in various physical problems so that \( u_{\text{osc}}^{e} \) and/or \( u_{\text{conc}}^{e} \) are non-zero in the heuristic decomposition in (0.4). In the next section some of the mathematical tools and physical problems that have been studied recently with oscillations and/or concentrations are described briefly. Of course, this article is not intended to be a detailed survey and the discussion is limited by both the taste and expertise of the author. The final sections of the article contain a more detailed report on problems with oscillations and concentrations in incompressible fluid flow – this is a rich and important class of problems where both effects occur simultaneously and many interesting problems remain unsolved.

1. The Development of Oscillations and Concentrations in Problems from Applied Mathematics

1A. Some Mathematical Tools

An effective tool for nonlinear analysis associated with a sequence \( u_{\text{osc}}^{e} \) satisfying the \( L^{\infty} \)-bound in (0.6A) is the Young measure introduced by Tartar in this context. If the vector field \( v \) is a mapping from \( \Omega \subseteq R^{N} \) to \( R^{M} \), the Young measure theorem asserts that there is a family of probability measures on \( R^{M} \), \( \{v_{y}^{e}\} \) indexed by \( y \in \Omega \) so that after passing to subsequence, weak limits of composite non-linear maps \( g(v^{e}) \) are given by

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) g(u_{\text{osc}}^{e}) dy = \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) \int_{R^{M}} g(\lambda) d v(y)(\lambda) dy. \tag{1.1}
\]

There is strong convergence of \( u_{\text{osc}}^{e} \) to \( u_{\text{osc}} \) on \( \Omega \) if and only if the probability measure \( v_{y}^{e} \) is given by the Dirac mass, \( \delta_{\text{osc}(y)} \), for each \( y \in \Omega \). An elementary probabilistic interpretation of the Young measure utilizing the Lebesque differentiation theorem has been developed recently by Ball [6]. Diperna [18, 19] introduced the concept of measure-valued solution of a system of conservation laws associated with an \( L^{\infty} \) bounded sequence so that only oscillations occur in the limit. The effectiveness of this tool for interpreting the limit of fine scale oscillations in model problems such as the zero dispersion limit of solutions of the KdV equation has been surveyed earlier by Diperna (see [18, 19]). A very general extension of the Young measure theorem for general sequences with only the uniform \( L^{2}(L^{p}) \) bound in (0.2) has been developed by Diperna and the author [20] for the specific applications of constructing suitable measure-valued solutions of problems from mathematical physics where both fine scale oscillations and concentrations develop in the limit. Strong motivation for this work was provided by the problems involving the high Reynolds number limit of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with finite kinetic energy described in (0.1); the limiting solution is a suitable measure-valued solution of the Euler equations with both oscillations and concentrations (see [20]). Unlike (1.1), the generalized Young measures in this context are often singular continuous with respect to Lebesque measure, reflecting the development of concentrations – more will be said about this topic later in this paper.
In physical problems where the phenomena of concentration from (0.7) occurs without the development of oscillations (so that $v^\text{osc} = 0$ in (0.4)) it is very natural to measure the size of the set where concentration occurs; two types of defect measures have been introduced recently for this purpose, the weak* defect measure [35] and the reduced defect measure [22]. With the uniform $L^2$-bound in (0.2) and $v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v$, there is a non-negative Radon measure $\sigma$ so that

$$\int \varphi d\sigma = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi |v^\varepsilon - v|^2 dy$$

(1.2)

for all $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$. The measure $\sigma$ is the weak* defect measure; if $\sigma$ is zero on an open set, there is strong convergence on that open set. Motivated by problems in the calculus of variations with critical Sobolev exponents, P.L. Lions [35] has proved a beautiful simple theorem guaranteeing that the weak* defect measure is always concentrated on a rather small set in this context. An illustration of this theorem is provided by the following example: if $v^\varepsilon$ is a sequence of functions in $R^2$ satisfying the bound

$$\int_{R^2} |v^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{R^2} |\nabla v^\varepsilon| \leq C, \quad (1.3A)$$

then there is a countable number of points \(\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\) and weights $\alpha_j \geq 0$ with $\sum \alpha_j^{1/2} < \infty$, so that necessarily

$$\sigma = \sum_j \alpha_j \delta_{y_j}, \quad (1.3B)$$

with $\delta_{y_j}$ the Dirac mass at $y_j$.

The reduced defect measure [22] is the finitely sub-additive outer measure $\theta$ defined by

$$\theta(E) = \lim \sup \int_E |v^\varepsilon - v|^2 dx. \quad (1.4)$$

Obviously, the reduced defect measure has the property that $\theta(E) = 0$ for any set $E$ if and only if there is strong convergence on $E$. Furthermore, for any closed set $F$, it is easy to see that

$$\theta(F) \leq \sigma(F), \quad (1.5)$$

so that $\theta(F)$ can be very small even though $\sigma(F)$ is large. Examples of the use of estimates for the size of the reduced defect measure occur in the important physical problem involving vortex sheets for incompressible fluid flow and will be discussed in the later sections of this paper [22]. In these examples the support of $\sigma$ can include all of $R^3$ while $\theta$ concentrates on a set of small measure with Hausdorff dimension one and this is crucial for the applications.

The discussion just presented of some tools for measuring phenomena in weak convergence is necessarily sketchy and incomplete. The interested reader should consult the recent lecture notes of L.C. Evans [25] for a more complete discussion of these matters. There is a need to develop other theoretical tools to measure additional structure in weak convergence with defects; one interesting new concept with potential applications is the $H$-measure introduced independently by Tartar [57] and Gerard [26]. Tartar's lecture at this Congress [58] provides an introduction to these topics.
1B. The Development of Fine Scale “Twinning” and Defects in Materials

Very recently an elegant mathematical theory has been developed to explain at the macroscopic level certain phase transitions in solids as the temperature, \( \theta \), varies \([7, 8, 13, 16, 32]\). In such austenitic-martensitic phase transitions there is a decrease in crystal symmetry at a transition temperature; for example, from a high temperature solid phase with cubic symmetry (austenite) to a low temperature solid phase with tetragonal symmetry (martensite). At the transition temperature, it is observed in experiments that the martensitic phase develops small scale regular fluctuations and is often “finely twinned” along planes related to the crystal lattice and also there is an austenite/finely twinned martensite interface.

The key point in the mathematical analysis is the fact that the symmetries in a macroscopic continuum theory of crystals for both the austenite and martensite phases at the critical temperature \( \theta_0 \) leads to a variational problem to minimize the free energy over deformations \( y(x) : \Omega \supseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) with a structure so that the finite infimum is not achieved.

In other words, for the appropriate free energy density, \( W(A, \theta) \) with \( A \in M_{+}^{3 \times 3} \), the set of \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices with positive determinant, \( I \) is finite with

\[
I = \min_{y} \int_{\Omega} W \left( \frac{dy}{dx}, \theta_0 \right) dx, \tag{1.6}
\]

but there is no weak deformation \( y \) so that the minimum, \( I \), is achieved. In particular, the appropriate free energy functionals \( W(A, \theta) \) at the critical temperature, \( \theta_0 \), are necessarily not rank-one convex and thus are not quasi-convex \([5]\). The rigorous theory predicts that in the process of attempting to achieve the minimum, the sequence of deformation gradients, \( \frac{dy}{dx} \), necessarily develops a priori fine scale but regular oscillations in the martensitic phase which admit an elegant characterization via classical Young measures (see (1.1)) as combinations of weighted Dirac masses located on an appropriate set of matrices. Numerical computations \([16]\) confirm the continuum theory and specific tests for detailed experiments based on the mathematical theory have recently been proposed \([8]\).

An extremely readable mathematical account of this interdisciplinary research is presented in the paper by James and Kinderlehrer \([32]\).

In the previous paragraph, an application in materials science with fine scale oscillations was described. Next the solution of a model problem motivated by the issue of prescribing strengths and locations of defects in the theory of liquid crystals \([24]\) will be described where concentrations develop in a certain fashion so that the weak defect measure from (1.2) is nontrivial. The model problem analyzed by Brezis, Coron, and Lieb \([10]\) concerns harmonic maps with values in \( S^2 \) and defects at prescribed locations \( a_i \) with specified (Brouwer) degree, deg \( (\phi, a_i) \), at the location \( a_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq N \). Thus, the admissible maps are given by

\[
\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \{a_i\} ; S^2) \big| \deg (\phi, a_i) = d_i \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi|^2 < \infty \right\}
\]

The model problem consists of finding

\[
E = \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}} \int |\nabla \phi|^2. \tag{1.7}
\]
The number $E$ is finite and there is a beautiful explicit formula for this value [10]; as in (1.6), the infimum in (1.7) is not achieved but concentrations develop in the minimizing deformation gradients rather than the fine scale oscillations from (1.6). Specifically, in attempting to achieve the minimum, $v^e = V\phi^e$ concentrates so that $v^e \to 0$ but $|v^e|^2 \to \sigma$ in the sense of Radon measures where the weak* defect measure is given by $\sigma = 8\pi \delta_C$; the set $C$ consists of a finite union of straight line segments in $\mathbb{R}^3$ defined by some minimal connection, where $\delta_C$ is the uniform one-dimensional Lebesque measure on these lines (see [10]).

1C. The Development of Concentrations in Focusing Solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations

This is a very rich class of problems providing simplified models for focusing laser beams where concentrations develop spontaneously in time in a problem with critical Sobolev exponents. These equations have the form

$$iv_t = -\Delta v - |v|^{4/N}v, \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x), \quad (1.8)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The equations in (1.8) have a Hamiltonian structure and a special conformal invariance as a consequence of the special exponent in (1.8); furthermore, the $L^2$-norm is conserved in time on intervals of existence. Weinstein [59] has proved that solutions of (1.8) exist for all time provided that $\|v_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$ where $Q(x)$ is a suitable ground state. For suitable initial data with critical mass so that $\|v_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$, he exploited the conformal invariance to construct explicit focusing solutions which develop dynamic concentrations as $t \to T_* < \infty$ and studied the stability of this process through methods of concentration compactness [60]. In very recent work, Merle [50] has attacked the intriguing question of continuation of these special solutions past the blow up time. He considers sequences of smooth initial data $v_0^\varepsilon$ regularizing the data $v_0(x)$ which develops singularities so that the regularized data $v_0^\varepsilon$ satisfy $\|v_0^\varepsilon\| \leq \|Q\|$ and $\|v_0^\varepsilon - v_0\| \to 0$.

As mentioned earlier, the corresponding solutions $v^\varepsilon(x,t)$ are defined globally in time and the limiting behavior as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ is analyzed in [50] for $t > T_*$; the singular solution is unstable in time in the sense that the singularity disappears in this limit for $t > T_*$ and suitable special subsequences of $v^\varepsilon$ converge to explicit defocusing solutions of (1.8) characterized by arbitrary phase shift parameters. There is "loss of information" in time once the dynamic concentration develops because these phase shifts are not uniquely determined and depend on the special subsequence. This author conjectures that appropriate measure-valued solutions [20] of (1.8) arise for $t > T_*$ in the general case in order to reflect the loss of information. The nature of singularity formation for solutions of (1.8) with $\|v_0\|_{L^2} > \|Q\|_{L^2}$ is an active research topic in applied mathematics [34] with rich additional phenomena in the structure of singular solutions beyond those discussed briefly here.
1D. Nonlinear Geometric Optics and Oscillations for Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws

Recently, there has been a great deal of activity in developing the theory of nonlinear geometric optics for general hyperbolic systems of conservation laws in multi-D [29, 42, 30, 28, 49]. As in linear geometric optics, these formal asymptotic theories utilize short wave length approximations so they involve the propagation of oscillations in hyperbolic systems. In nonlinear geometric optics, approximate solutions of general quasi-linear hyperbolic systems are constructed with the general form,

\[ v^e = \bar{v}(x, t) + \varepsilon v_1 \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}, x, t \right) + o(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \ll 1 \tag{1.9} \]

where \( \phi = (\phi_1(x, t), ..., \phi_d(x, t)) \) is a vector of phase functions. Through self-consistent asymptotic approximations, simplified equations for the phase functions and amplitudes in \( v_1 \) are developed and in many instances these approximations can be solved exactly; thus, a great deal of genuine insight can be gained into complex physical problems. One of the theoretical achievements is a general theory for resonantly interacting small amplitude periodic wave trains with many new phenomena that are completely absent in geometric optics for linear problems [42, 30, 49, 12, 43]. In applications, the general theory of nonlinear geometric optics has been used recently to explain a variety of complex phenomena in physical problems involving compressible fluid flow [43, 3, 4], wave propagation in reacting materials [41, 2, 45] and dynamic nonlinear elasticity [49]. For example, a completely new theory of nonlinear instability for supersonic vortex sheets has been developed recently by the author and his recent Ph.D. student, Artola which combines the theory of hyperbolic mixed problems with nonlinear geometric optics [3, 4, 48] in a sophisticated fashion; this theory has been motivated and confirmed by large scale computations of Woodward (see the discussion in [36]). Another important use of nonlinear geometric optics is to extract mathematically tractable model equations which retain some of the essential features of much more complex physical phenomena [52, 44, 45].

This general topic has been mentioned briefly here because it involves interdisciplinary interactions in applied mathematics with the propagation of oscillations, and there is a definite need for more work on the rigorous theory of nonlinear geometric optics beyond the contribution of Diperna and the author [23]. Some important and accessible open theoretical problems are discussed in two review articles [37, 40]. Unlike the linear case, the effects of diffraction are not understood even at the formal level for small amplitude discontinuities. Current surveys of the formal aspects of nonlinear geometric optics including a discussion of problems with diffraction have been given by Hunter [31] and Rosales [53]. In interesting recent work, D. Serre [55, 54] has used the classical Young measure to describe the propagation of large amplitude oscillations in special quasi-linear hyperbolic systems with linearly degenerate wave fields.
1E. Oscillations and Concentrations for Incompressible Fluid Flow

Ingenious numerical computations [15, 14] and physical experiments reveal bewildering complexity at finite times in solutions of the incompressible fluid equations from (0.1) both at high Reynold's number for the Navier-Stokes equations with \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \) in (0.1) and as various numerical regularization parameters tend to zero for the incompressible Euler equations (the equations in (0.1) with \( \varepsilon = 0 \)). The work of Diperna and the author [20, 22, 21] involving measure-valued solutions of the three-dimensional Euler equations is a first attempt to quantify such observed catastrophic instabilities in a mathematical fashion. Due to the rapid amplification of vorticity in three-dimensional fluid flows [36], very little a priori information is available and the only generally bounded positive physical quantity of an approximating sequence, \( \psi^\epsilon \), is the local kinetic energy. Thus, it is reasonable to assume the uniform bounds

\[
\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\psi^\epsilon|^2(x, t) \, dx \leq C_{R,T}
\]

(1.10)

for any \( R > 0, T > 0 \). In [20], measure-valued solutions of the three-dimensional Euler equations are constructed which arise from the weak limit of suitable approximate solution sequences satisfying the uniform bound in (1.10); a prototypical example from [20] is the fact that in the limit as \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \), a family of Leray-Hopf weak solutions of (0.1) converges to a measure-valued solution of the 3-D Euler equations. In general, these measure-valued solutions allow for both oscillations and concentrations and several examples of both of these phenomena are discussed in [20, 21].

The simplest way to generate examples of approximate solution sequences and measure-valued solutions for 3-D Euler is to take a sequence of smooth exact solutions and examine the generalized Young measure in the limit. It is an easy exercise for the reader to check that any sequence of smooth velocity fields

\[
\psi^\epsilon = (0, 0, \psi_3^\epsilon(x_1, x_2))
\]

(1.11A)

with the kinetic energy bound in (1.10), defines a sequence of exact smooth solutions of the 3-D Euler equations converging to a measure-valued solution of 3-D Euler; clearly all of the phenomena of development of simultaneous oscillations and concentrations in solutions of the fluid equations can be displayed in such solution sequences by mimicking the constructions below (0.6) and (0.7). If \( \psi^\epsilon \to \psi_3(x_1, x_2) \) in \( L^2 \), then

\[
\psi = (0, 0, \psi_3(x_1, x_2))
\]

(1.11B)

defines a weak solution of the 3-D Euler equations despite the fact that typically \( (\psi^\epsilon)_3^2 \) does not converge weakly to \( (\psi)_3^2 \). A more interesting example of an exact solution sequence for the 3-D Euler equations displaying the development of fine scale oscillations [20] is given by

\[
\psi^\epsilon = \left( v \left( \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_2 \right), 0, w \left( x_1 - v \left( \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_2 \right) t, x_2, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right)
\]

(1.12)

where \( v \) and \( w \) are fixed smooth bounded functions with \( v(y, x_2) \) periodic in \( y \); in particular, this sequence satisfies the uniform \( L^{\infty} \)-bound, \( |\psi^\epsilon|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \). Unlike the example in (1.11), the weak limit of (1.12) is an explicit smooth function but this smooth function is no longer an exact solution of the 3-D Euler equations –
new phenomena occur through the persistence of oscillations and the limiting process is an explicit example of a nontrivial measure-valued solution. It is worth remarking that both of the exact solution sequences for the Euler equations in (1.11) and (1.12) inherently involve three space dimensions; furthermore, these examples are constructed in a systematic fashion beginning with suitable exact two-dimensional solutions, \((0,0)\) and \((v\left(\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_2\right), 0)\) respectively (see p. 445 of [17] for a description of the general recipe). Steady exact solutions of the 2-D Euler equations (see [47]) are given by the radial eddies,

\[
v = \left(\frac{-x_2}{r^2}, \frac{x_1}{r^2}\right) \int_0^r s\omega(s)ds,
\]

with \(r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2}\). Elementary examples of exact solution sequences for the 2-D Euler equations exhibiting concentrations can be generated in two different ways:

A) Pick a positive function \(\omega(r) \geq 0\) with bounded support and define the \(v^\varepsilon\) by

\[
v^\varepsilon = \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1/2} \varepsilon^{-1} v\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)
\]

with \(v\) given from \(\omega\) by (1.13).

B) Pick a function \(\omega(r)\) with compact support but \(\int_0^\infty s\omega(s)ds = 0\) so that there is zero circulation and define \(v^\varepsilon\) by

\[
v^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1} v\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)
\]

with \(v\) given from \(\omega\) by (1.13).

The scalings in both examples have been chosen to guarantee the uniform local kinetic energy bounds in (1.10). In both examples, \(v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0\) but the nonlinear terms in the Euler equations, \(v \otimes v\) from (0.1), satisfy

\[
v^\varepsilon \otimes v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x)
\]

with weak convergence in the sense of measures. Here \(\delta(x)\) is the Dirac mass at the origin and the constant \(C\) varies in the two examples and depends on the core (see [20] and [21] for the details). Such examples illustrate the phenomena of concentration in solutions of the 2-D Euler equations; these two exact solution sequences generate measure-valued solutions of the 2-D Euler equations where the associated Young measure is singular continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure due to the appearance of concentrations. It is useful to note here that in example (1.14A)

\[
\int_{R^2} |\text{curl} \ v^\varepsilon| \leq C, \quad \text{but} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{R^2} |\nabla v^\varepsilon| = +\infty
\]
while $||\nabla \psi||_{L^1} \leq C$ in example (1.14B). Other amusing examples of measure-valued solutions for the 3-D Euler equations with fine scale oscillations have been developed in interesting recent work by Brenier [9].

The following general problem is of interest in the present context:

**Problem.** Characterize the closure in the $L^2$-weak topology of smooth exact solutions of the 3-D Euler equations.

The above explicit examples all provide insight into this question. There is a need to find other interesting examples like the one in (1.12) which illustrate that the weak closure is larger than merely the weak solutions of 3-D Euler; such solutions involving interacting oscillations and concentrations would be particularly useful.

### 2. Vortex Sheets, Potential Theory, and Concentration-Cancellation for 2-D Incompressible Flow

Incompressible fluid flow in two-space dimensions is generally much simpler than that in three space dimensions because given the velocity $v = (v_1, v_2)$, the scalar vorticity, $\omega = (v_2)_{x_1} - (v_1)_{x_2}$, is conserved along fluid particle paths for the 2-D Euler equations. Thus, it is a classical fact that smooth solutions of the 2-D Euler equations exist for all time while the analogous question for 3-D Euler is an outstanding and very important open problem due to vorticity amplification [36, 47]. Nevertheless, there are important practical problems involving weak solutions of the 2-D Euler equations, and here recent progress [22, 21, 27, 1, 61] is described in understanding the new mathematical phenomena and structure that occurs for vortex sheet initial data.

A two dimensional incompressible velocity field, $v_0$, defines vortex sheet initial data provided that $\text{curl } v_0 = \omega_0$ is a finite Radon measure and $v_0$ has locally finite kinetic energy. In applications, $\omega_0$ is typically a surface Dirac measure supported on a smooth curve. Recent ingenious large scale numerical computations reveal tremendous complexity in the solutions of the 2-D fluid equations with vortex sheet initial data as various regularization parameters converge to zero; there is also much more complexity in the computed solutions when $\omega_0$ changes sign than if $\omega_0$ has a distinguished sign i.e. $\omega_0 \geq 0$ (see Krasny’s report at this Congress [33] and also [46] for a detailed description and references for the numerical results). Furthermore, classical linearized stability analysis of the simplest vortex sheets reveals that they define a classical Hadamard ill-posed initial value problem like that for the Laplace equation, so both the computational and theoretical issues are extremely subtle. All of this complexity combines with the fact that vortex sheets are ubiquitous as approximations to velocity fields in engineering applications [11] to make the study of conceivable weak solutions for the 2-D Euler equations a fascinating contemporary research topic. One large research effort (see [11] for references) restricts the study of vortex sheets to analytic initial curves and attempts to use complex analysis to study the first relatively faint singularity formation in such vortex sheets. In typical computational and practical applications, vortex sheet initial data are usually not defined by real analytic curves [46, 38]. The methods and results described here [22, 21, 27, 1, 61] are completely different and address the global complexity of approximations.
for vortex sheets for all times with general (non-analytic) vortex sheet initial data through ideas naturally involving the weak topology.

Vortex sheets are regularized [21] by a sequence of globally smooth solutions of the 2-D Euler equations, $v^\varepsilon(x,t)$, satisfying the bounds,

$$
\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left( \int_{|x| \leq R} |v^\varepsilon(x,t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega^\varepsilon(x,t)| dx \right) \leq C_{R,T},
$$

(2.1)

for any $R, T > 0$ with $\omega^\varepsilon = \text{curl } v^\varepsilon$; a very general mathematical framework for these and other important regularizations satisfying (2.1) such as the high Reynolds number limit of 2-D Navier-Stokes and computational vortex methods is developed in [21]. If $v^\varepsilon(x,0) \to v_0$ where $v_0$ defines vortex sheet initial data, does $v^\varepsilon(x,t)$ converge to a weak solution of the 2-D Euler equations with this vortex sheet initial data? Are there new phenomena in the limiting process? There has been partial progress on the first question which is described here along with the fact that striking new mathematical phenomena do occur. In particular, one result in [21] is the existence of a measure-valued solution of 2-D Euler defined for all time with a special structure so that there are only concentrations and not oscillations (such as in (1.12)) in the limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ with the bounds in (2.1). The key fact proved in [21] is that with (2.1) there is a subsequence $v^\varepsilon$ so that $v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}$ and

$$
\int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |v^\varepsilon - v| dx \, dt \to 0
$$

(2.2)

for any $T > 0, R$ so that in particular $v^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v$ a.e. and only concentrations can occur (see (0.7)). The elementary examples for 2-D Euler described in (1.14)–(1.16) above satisfy the bounds in (2.1) and illustrate that the phenomena of concentration can occur with the natural bounds in (2.1) for vortex sheets. An important issue in deciding whether the function $v$ defined in (2.2) is a suitable weak solution of 2-D Euler involves various estimates on the size of the concentration set [22, 21, 27, 1, 61]. These topics are discussed next.

2A. Size of the Weak Star and Reduced Defect Measures for the Problem of Vortex Sheets

The problem of existence for vortex sheet initial data with the bounds in (2.1) has some of the flavor of a problem involving critical Sobolev exponents. This fact is supported by the result proved in [21] that if the approximating sequence $v^\varepsilon$ satisfies the $L^p$-bound on the initial vorticity, $||\omega_0^\varepsilon||_{L^p} \leq C$ for some $p > 1$, in addition to (2.1), then $v^\varepsilon$ converges strongly to $v$ in $L^2$ and $v$ defines a classical weak solution for 2-D Euler. Also recall that the bounds already presented in (1.3) in discussing concentration compactness resemble those in (2.1) except that in (2.1) only $\text{div } v^\varepsilon = 0$ and $\text{curl } v^\varepsilon$ are bounded in $L^1$ rather than the complete gradient. The elementary example of concentration with positive vorticity in (1.14A) and (1.16) directly illustrates that the bounds in (2.1) for exact solutions of 2-D Euler are not sufficient to guarantee the stronger bound in (1.3A). Thus, one suspects that sequences of smooth solutions of 2-D Euler satisfying (2.1) will not have a weak * defect measure with the simple structure from (1.3B) involving a countable number of Dirac masses. C. Greengard and Thomann [27] have constructed a beautiful family of explicit examples which illustrate this point in dramatic fashion.
Theorem [27]. Consider an arbitrary positive finite Radon measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then there is a sequence of exact steady solutions of the 2-D Euler equations, $v^\delta$ satisfying the bounds in (2.1) with $v^\delta \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^2$ so that the weak* defect measure associated with this sequence is $\sigma$.

Actually, the example in [27] produces uniform Lebesque measure for the unit square as weak* defect but it is an elementary exercise for the reader involving simple functions to deduce the more general result in the theorem from the construction in [27]; the construction superimposes "clouds of vortices" like the ones in (1.14B) but utilizes two scales in such elementary solutions in a clever fashion. In agreement with some of the trends of numerical solutions when the vorticity changes sign [46], the theorem indicates that almost arbitrary complexity can occur in the weak topology in concentrations when the bound in (2.1) is satisfied.

One of the main results in [22] is that despite this almost arbitrary complexity as regards the weak* defect measure for sequences $v^\delta$ with the bounds in (2.1), the reduced defect measure, $\theta$, defined in (1.4) nevertheless concentrates on small sets. The following operational definition is useful and implicit in the constructions in [22].

**Definition.** The reduced defect measure, $\theta$, concentrates on a set with Hausdorff dimension $p$ provided that there is a constant $C$ depending only on $\delta$ and closed sets $F_r$ so that $\theta(F_r) = 0$ with the complement of $F_r$, $F_r^c$, satisfying $H^p_{\delta} (F_r^c) \leq C$ for any $\delta > 0$ and $r \leq r_0$. Here $H^p_{\delta}$ denotes Hausdorff premeasure of dimensional $d$ and level $r$.

In particular, $H^{p+\delta}_{\delta} (F_r^c)$ vanishes as $r \downarrow 0$ for any $\delta > 0$. Recall from (1.5) that $\theta$ satisfies $\theta(F) \leq \sigma(F)$ for closed sets; thus, it is possible that even though $\sigma$ has very large support and charges a closed set $F_r$, $\theta$ satisfies $\theta(F_r) = 0$. In fact, the following is true:

**Theorem** [22]. A) Assume that $v^\delta$ is a sequence of steady time-independent velocity fields with $\text{div } v^\delta = 0$ and satisfying the bounds in (2.1), then the reduced defect measure associated with a subsequence concentrates on a set with Hausdorff dimension zero.

B) Assume that $v^\delta$ is a time-dependent approximate solution sequence for 2-D Euler (see [21, 22]) satisfying the bounds in (2.1), then the reduced defect measure concentrates on a set with space-time cylindrical Hausdorff dimension one.

The examples in (1.14) show that the theorem is sharp in general. Here are some brief comments on the proof of the theorem. There is a stream function $\psi^\delta$ so that $\Delta \psi^\delta = \omega^\delta$ and $v^\delta = \nabla^\perp \psi^\delta = (-\psi_{x_2}^\delta, \psi_{x_1}^\delta)$ and thus

$$v^\delta(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x-y)\omega^\delta(y,t)dy,$$

with kernel $K(x) = (2\pi|x|)^{-2}(-x_2, x_1)$. There are two main steps in the proof from [22] for time independent velocity fields. In the first step, (see Theorem 4.1 in [22], the radial distribution function of the vorticity at an arbitrary point is introduced,
\[ \omega^c(B(s,x)) = \int_{B(s,x)} |\omega^c(y)|\,dy, \quad (2.4) \]

where \( B(s,x) \) denotes the open ball of radius \( s \) centered at \( x \). The key point is to prove a uniform decay estimate for the vorticity distribution function for an appropriate subsequence, i.e. for any \( \delta > 0 \) there exists a closed set \( F_R \) so that

\[ F_R \subset \{ x | \omega^c(B(s,x)) \leq Ks^\delta, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1 \}, \quad (2.5) \]

and \( H^{3\delta}_R(F^c_R) \leq C \). Here the constants \( K \) and \( C \) depend on \( \delta \). For a fixed measure with finite total mass, the conclusion in (2.5) follows from standard covering arguments (see Stein [56]); however, it is important here that the set \( F_R \) in (2.5) is uniform for the entire sequence so a different proof is needed – Riesz potentials and a refined Chebyshev inequality for Hausforff premeasure are utilized to achieve this. In the second step (see thm 6.1 in [22]), the uniform decay estimate in (2.5) is used with the convolution representation in (2.3) yielding the improved velocity estimate

\[ \int_{F_R} |v^c|^p \leq C(R) \quad \text{for some } p' > 2, \quad (2.6) \]

The facts in (2.6) and (2.2) are then combined with simple interpolation to establish that \( \theta(F_R) = 0 \) and this completes the proof of the theorem for steady velocity fields. For the time-dependent case of the theorem, these estimates are combined with uniform Hölder estimates in time

\[ \left( \int_{B(R,0)} |v^c(x,t_1) - v^c(x,t_2)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{q,\alpha}|t_1 - t_2|^{\alpha} \quad (2.7) \]

for \( 1 < q < 2, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \) which are valid for approximate solution sequences for 2-D Euler. One subtlety is that the estimates in (2.7) are also critical in the sense that \( C_{q,\alpha} \to \infty \) as \( q \to 2 \) or \( \alpha \to 1 \). Recently, L.C. Evans [25] has found another proof of part A) of the theorem for steady velocity fields by utilizing capacity theory.

2B. Concentration-Cancellation

The terminology “concentration-cancellation” was introduced in [21, 22] and describes the phenomena that even though \( v^c \otimes v^c \) does not converge weakly to \( v \otimes v \) due to the development of concentrations while \( ||v^c - v||_{L^1} \to 0 \), the velocity field \( v \) nevertheless defines a weak solution for 2-D Euler – in simple exact solutions such as those in (1.14), the concentrations in (1.15) actually cancel against test functions in the weak form in the limit (see [21]). At the present time, it is an important open problem to determine whether concentration-cancellation always occurs in the limit for solution sequences satisfying the bounds in (2.1) which are appropriate for vortex sheet initial data. Nevertheless, there are some interesting partial results [22, 27, 1, 61]. The first results are given in the following

**Theorem** [22]. A) For steady solution sequences for 2-D Euler satisfying the bounds in (2.1), concentration-cancellation always occurs.

B) For time-dependent solution sequences for 2-D Euler satisfying the bounds in (2.1) assume additionally that the reduced defect measure, \( \theta \), concentrates on a
set with Hausdorff dimension strictly less than one, then concentration-cancellation occurs.

Lack of space prevents a detailed discussion of the proof of the theorem but a few comments are appropriate. The proofs crucially exploit the special nonlinear covariant structure in the weak form of the Euler equations which is a direct consequence of the rotational invariance of solutions of (0.1), i.e. if \( \psi(x,t) \) satisfies 2-D Euler, so does \( t^\sigma \psi(\sigma x, t) \) for any rotation matrix \( \sigma \). The proofs also crucially use the fact that the reduced defect measure, \( \theta \), concentrates on a small set (see the theorem in the previous subsection) to build a "shielding sequence" of test functions which shields the limit process from the concentration defects (see Section 3 of [22]). A simpler proof of part B) of the theorem was presented in [27]. Recently, in interesting work, Alinhac [1] and Zheng [61] have proved that concentration-cancellation occurs provided it is assumed that the weak star defect measure (not the reduced defect measure!) has support which is a set of roughly one-dimensional Hausdorff measure. These proofs use the "shielding sequence" strategy from [22] in an essential fashion. All of these partial results still leave unanswered the following basic issue:

**Problem.** Does concentration-cancellation always occur for time-dependent solution sequences with the bound in (2.1) when \( \theta \) concentrates on a set with space-time cylindrical Hausdorff measure exactly one?

There is probably an affirmative answer to this question when the vorticity has a distinguished sign, i.e. \( \omega^\varepsilon(x,t) \geq 0 \). It should be mentioned here that for smooth solutions of 2-D Euler a non-negative vorticity at later times is automatically guaranteed by a non-negative initial vorticity, \( \omega_0^\varepsilon(x) \) because the vorticity is transported along fluid particle trajectories. In particular, Theorem 3.1 from [21] guarantees that for \( \omega^\varepsilon(x,t) \geq 0 \) the solution sequence \( \psi^\varepsilon \) converges strongly to \( \psi \) in \( L^2 \) and \( \psi \) defines a weak solution of 2-D Euler provided that the vorticity maximal function using (2.4) decays at the extremely slow rate,

\[
\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \omega^\varepsilon(B(r,x),t) \leq C \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)^{-1+\beta}, \quad r \leq 1 \tag{2.8}
\]

for some \( \beta > 0 \) and any \( T > 0 \). On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove [38] the following apriori decay estimate for this maximal function assuming positive vorticity distributions, \( \omega^\varepsilon(x,t) \geq 0 \) with bounded support:

\[
\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \omega^\varepsilon(B(r,x),t) \leq C \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)^{-1/2}, \quad r \leq 1. \tag{2.9}
\]

Thus, for positive signed vorticity, (2.8) and (2.9) indicate that there is an extremely small discrepancy between conditions guaranteeing strong convergence to a weak solution and the general case. Of course, the elementary examples in (1.14A) can be used to show that concentration does occur with positive vorticity distributions and also that the estimate in (2.9) is sharp so that some assessment of concentration-cancellation is still needed. On the other hand, the elementary examples in (1.14B) illustrate that there is no apriori decay rate for the vorticity maximal function when the vorticity
changes sign. The interested reader can consult [46] for a report on the striking computational evidence indicating vastly increased complexity in numerical solutions when the vorticity changes sign.
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A subgroup action on a homogeneous space is a classical object of study in ergodic theory. Some old and new results about ergodic properties of this section will be stated. We shall also formulate results on orbit closures and give applications of these results to number theory and, in particular, to the study of sets of values of indefinite quadratic forms at integral points. In the last section, formulations of some new results about actions on general manifolds and measure spaces will be given.

1. Ergodicity Theorems

Let $G$ be a Lie group, $F$ a subgroup of $G$ and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$. Let us consider the natural action of $F$ by left translations on the homogeneous space $G/D$. This action is called *ergodic* if, for every $F$-invariant Borel subset $A$ of $G/D$, either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu((G/D) - A) = 0$ where $\mu$ is a $G$-quasiinvariant measure on $G/D$. One can easily prove the following two assertions.

**Proposition 1.** The action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if the closure of $F$ acts ergodically on $G/D$.

**Proposition 2** (Duality Principle). Let us assume that $F$ is closed. Then the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if the action of $D$ on $G/F$ is ergodic.

We say that the homogeneous space $G/D$ has finite volume if there exists a finite $G$-invariant measure on $G/D$. If $G/D$ has finite volume and $D$ is discrete then $D$ is called a *lattice*.

1.1 Mautner Property

If $G/D$ carries a $G$-invariant measure $\mu$ then one can define a continuous unitary representation $\pi$ of the group $G$ on the Hilbert space $L_2(G/D, \mu)$ of square $\mu$-integrable functions on $G/D$ by the formula $(\pi(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ where $f \in L_2(G/D, \mu), g \in G$ and $x \in G/D$. It turns out that many ergodic properties of the action of $F$ on $G/D$
can be reformulated in terms of the representation $\varphi$. This observation gives an approach to the study of ergodic properties based on representation theory; this approach was first used by I.M. Gelfand and S.V. Fomin (see [28]).

One can easily see that, in the case where $G/D$ has finite volume, the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if each $\varphi(F)$-invariant function $f \in L_2(G/D, \mu)$ is constant or, in other words, if $f$ is $\varphi(G)$-invariant. Therefore Lemma 1 stated below is true. Before the formulation of this lemma we give

**Definition 1.** We say that a subgroup $H$ of $G$ has Mautner property with respect to $F$ if, for any continuous unitary representation $\varphi$ of $G$ on a Hilbert space $W$ and any $w \in W$ such that $\varphi(F)w = w$, we have that $\varphi(H)w = w$.

**Lemma 1.** Let the homogeneous space $G/D$ have finite volume, and let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let us assume that $H$ has Mautner property with respect to $F$ and that the closure of the subgroup generated by $F$ and $H$ acts ergodically on $G/D$. Then the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic.

Mautner property was first used by F.J. Mautner to prove the ergodicity of geodesic flows on symmetric Riemannian spaces (see [43]). C.C. Moore proved Theorem 1 formulated below about Mautner property of Ad-compact normal subgroups. Let us give at first the definition of these subgroups.

**Definition 2.** The Ad-compact normal subgroup for $F$ is the smallest subgroup in the class of all connected normal subgroups $H$ of $G$ satisfying the following condition

(A) for each $g$ from the closure of $F$, the linear transformation $\text{Ad}(\pi(g))$ is diagonalizable and its eigenvalues have absolute value 1 where $\text{Ad}$ is the adjoint representation of $G/H$ and $\pi : G \to G/H$ is the canonical epimorphism.

**Remark.** It is not difficult to prove that the condition (A) is equivalent to the condition

(A') the subgroup $\text{Ad}(\pi(F))$ is relatively compact in the group $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g})$ of linear transformations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}$ of the group $G/H$.

Let us denote the Ad-compact normal subgroup for $F$ by $H_F$. If $G$ is connected and semisimple then $H_F$ is the product of all almost simple factors $G_i$ of $G$ such that the restriction of $\text{Ad}(F)$ to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of $G_i$ is not relatively compact in $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$.

**Theorem 1** (see [45]). The subgroup $H_F$ has Mautner property with respect to $F$.

**Remark 1.** It is not difficult to show that the closure of the subgroup generated by $F$ and $H_F$ is the maximal subgroup of $G$ having Mautner property with respect to $F$. 
Remark 2. An analogue of Theorem 1 for semisimple groups over locally compact fields of characteristic zero was proved by S.G. Dani in [10].

Roughly speaking, the proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following simple

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a topological group, $F$ a subgroup of $G$, $\varphi$ a continuous unitary representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $W$, and let $g_0 \in G$ and $w \in W$. Assume that, for any neighbourhood $V \subset G$ of the identity $e \in G$, $g_0$ is contained in the closure of the subset $FVF$. Then $\varphi(g_0)w = w$ whenever $\varphi(F)w = w$.

To prove Lemma 2, it is enough to consider the function $f(g) = \langle \varphi(g)w, w \rangle$, $g \in G$, and remark that (a) since $\varphi$ is unitary and $\varphi(F)w = w$, the function $f$ is constant on a double coset modulo $F$; (b) the function $f$ is continuous; (c) $f(e) = \|w\|^2$ and $f(g) = \|w\|^2$ if and only if $\varphi(g)w = w$. A special case of Lemma 2 is the following

Lemma 3 (Generalized Mautner Lemma). Let $G$ be a topological group and let $x$, $y \in G$ be the elements such that the sequence $\{x^n y x^{-n}\}$ converges to $e$ as $n \to +\infty$. If $\varphi$ is a continuous unitary representation of the group $G$ on a Hilbert space $W$, $w \in W$ and $\varphi(x)w = w$ then $\varphi(y)w = w$.

Example 1. Let $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the group of real unimodular $2 \times 2$ matrices and let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ where $|a| \neq 1$. Let $F$ denote the cyclic subgroup generated by $g$, and let $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and $U^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. One can easily check that if $y \in U \cup U^-$ then one of the sequences $\{g^n y g^{-n}\}$ and $\{g^{-n} y g^n\}$ converges to $e$ as $n \to +\infty$. In view of Lemma 3, it implies that both $U$ and $U^-$ have Mautner property with respect to $F$. But these subgroups generate $G$. Hence $G$ has Mautner property with respect to $F$.

Example 2. Let $G$, $g$, $a$, $F$ and $U$ denote the same as in Example 1. If $c \neq 0$ we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1} (1 - a) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1} (1 - a^{-1}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} U$. It implies that, for any neighbourhood $V \subset G$ of $e$, $g$ is contained in the closure of the subset $UVU$. Now applying Lemma 2, we get that $F$ has Mautner property with respect to $U$. On the other hand according to Example 1, $G$ has Mautner property with respect to $F$. Hence $G$ has Mautner property with respect to $U$. 
1.2 Criteria of Ergodicity for Semisimple $G$

Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 imply

Theorem 2 (see [45]). Let $G$ be a Lie group, $F$ a subgroup of $G$, and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$ such that $G/D$ has finite volume. Let $H_F$ denote the Ad-compact normal subgroup for $F$. Then the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if the closure of the subgroup $FH_F$ acts ergodically on $G/D$.

Using Theorem 2 and Propositions 1 and 2, it is not difficult to prove

Theorem 3 (see [44], [45] and [46]). Let $G, F, D$ and $H_F$ be the same as in Theorem 2.

(a) If the subgroup $DH_F$ is dense in $G$ then the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic.
(b) Let us assume that $G$ is semisimple and that the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic. Then $DH_F$ is dense in $G$.

Definition 2. A lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ in a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors is reducible if $G$ admits connected normal subgroups $H, H'$ such that $HH' = G, H \cap H'$ is discrete and $\Gamma/(\Gamma \cap H)(\Gamma \cap H')$ is finite. A lattice is irreducible if it is not reducible.

It is known (see [54, Corollary 5.21]) that if a lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ in a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors is irreducible then, for any nontrivial connected normal subgroup $H$ of $G$, the subgroup $\Gamma H$ is dense in $G$. Therefore Theorem 3 implies

Theorem 4 (see [44] or [80, Theorem 2.2.6]). Let $G$ be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors, $\Gamma$ an irreducible lattice in $G$, and $F \subset G$ a subgroup which is not relatively compact in $G$. Then the action of $F$ on $G/\Gamma$ is ergodic.

Let us note that Theorem 4 is used in an essential way in the proofs of the strong rigidity theorem and the superrigidity theorem (see [40] and [47]).

Example 3. Let $G, g, F$ and $U$ denote the same as in Example 1 and let $\Gamma$ be a lattice in $G$. Then in view of Theorem 4, both $F$ and $U$ act ergodically on $G/\Gamma$. This assertion follows also from Lemma 1 and Examples 1 and 2. Let us note that the ergodicity of actions of $F$ and $U$ on $G/\Gamma$ implies (in fact, is equivalent to) the classical results of E. Hopf and G.A. Hedlund on ergodicity of geodesic and horocycle flows on a surface of constant negative curvature and finite area (see [29]).

1.3 Criteria of Ergodicity for Nilpotent and Solvable $G$

For the case of nilpotent $G$, there is a good ergodicity criterion due to L. Green. Before we formulate this criterion, let us recall that (a) $G/D$ is compact if and only if $G/D$ has finite volume; (b) whenever $G/D$ is compact, the subgroup $D \cdot [G, G]$ is closed and, consequently, $G/D \cdot [G, G]$ is a torus where $[G, G]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $G$ (see [54, Chap. II]).
Theorem 5 (see [1, Chap. V]). Let $G$ be a connected nilpotent Lie group, $F$ a subgroup of $G$, and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$ such that $G/D$ is compact. The action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if $\pi(F)$ is dense in the torus $G/D \cdot [G, G]$ where $\pi : G \to G/D \cdot [G, G]$ denotes the canonical epimorphism.

Remark 1. In [1], Theorem 5 is formulated only in the case of one-parameter $F$. But the proof given there is applicable in general case.

Remark 2. It is possible to deduce Theorem 5 from Theorem 3(a).

If $G$ is solvable but not nilpotent then the ergodicity criterion is rather complicated (see Appendix 1 to the Russian translation of [1], [5] or [67]). This criterion is based on the reduction to the case of nilpotent $G$. The reduction consists of two steps: (1) the reduction to the case of solvable groups of type $(R)$ (by definition, a group $G$ is of type $(R)$ if, for each $g \in G$, all eigenvalues of $\text{Ad } g$ have absolute value 1); (2) the reduction from the case of solvable groups of type $(R)$ to the case of nilpotent groups. The first reduction is based on Mautner lemma (Lemma 3), and the second one is based on Malcev's construction of semisimple splitting.

1.4 Ergodicity Criterion for Arbitrary $G$

Let $G$ be a connected Lie group and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$. By a quotient of $G/D$ we mean a homogeneous space $G/B$ where $B \subseteq G$ is a closed subgroup which contains $D$. If $B$ contains a closed normal subgroup $L$ of $G$ such that the factor group $G/L$ is Euclidean (resp. semisimple) then the quotient $G/B$ is called Euclidean (resp. semisimple); a connected solvable Lie group is called Euclidean if it is locally isomorphic to an extension of a vector group by a compact commutative Lie group. It is clear that there exists the maximal Euclidean (resp. semisimple) quotient $G/B$ of $G/D$, i.e. if $G/B'$ is another Euclidean (resp. semisimple) quotient of $G/D$ then $B' \supseteq B$ or, in other words, $G/B'$ is a quotient of $G/B$. Let us note that if $G/D$ has finite volume then arbitrary (resp. arbitrary Euclidean) quotient of $G/D$ has finite volume (resp. is compact).

Theorem 6 (see [5] and [12]). Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $F \subseteq G$ a subgroup which is either one-parameter or cyclic, and $D \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup such that $G/D$ has finite volume. The action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic if and only if the actions of $F$ on the maximal Euclidean and semisimple quotients of $G/D$ are both ergodic.

Remark. It is assumed in [5] and [12] that $G/D$ is so called admissible homogeneous space. But according to [66], this condition is satisfied whenever $G/D$ has finite volume.

1.5 Ergodic Decomposition of Nonergodic Flows

A.N. Starkov obtained a number of results on the ergodic decomposition of non-ergodic flows (i.e. nonergodic actions of one-parameter subgroups). Let us formulate some of these results in the form of the following
Theorem 7 (see [65], [67] and [69]). Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $F = \{f_t\}$ a one-parameter subgroup of $G$, and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$ such that $G/D$ has finite volume. Then there exists two partitions $E$ and $\bar{E}$ of $G$ into closed $F$-invariant submanifolds $E(x)$ and $\bar{E}(x)$ with the following properties:

(i) $x \in E(x) \subseteq \bar{E}(x)$ for each $x \in G/D$;
(ii) $E(x) = \bar{E}(x)$ for almost all $x \in G/D$ (i.e. for all $x \in (G/D) - A$ where $\mu(A) = 0$ and $\mu$ is a $G$-invariant measure on $G/D$);
(iii) for each $x \in G/D$, there exist smooth finite $F$-invariant measures $\mu_x$ on $E(x)$ and $\bar{\mu}_x$ on $\bar{E}(x)$;
(iv) for each $x \in G/D$, the action of $F$ on $E(x)$ is ergodic (relative to the measure $\mu_x$);
(v) $\bar{E}(x) = Fh_p x$ and $E(x) = Fr_p x$ for each $x \in G/D$ where $A$ denotes the closure of a subset $A$, $H_F$ denotes the Ad-compact normal subgroup for $F$, and $I_F \subset H_F$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. (The subgroup $I_F$ is called the Dani subgroup and is characterized as the smallest connected normal subgroup of $G$ such that, for each $g \in F$, the operator $Ad g$ have on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ only eigenvalues with absolute value 1 and this operator is diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{z}$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{z}$) is the Lie algebra of $G$ (resp. $I_F$) and $\mathfrak{r}$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$).
(vi) for each $x \in G/D$, there exist a connected Lie group $G_x$, a one-parameter subgroup $F_x = \{f_{xt}\} \subset G_x$, a closed subgroup $D_x \subset G_x$, and a finite covering $\pi: G_x/D_x \to E(x)$ such that $G_x/D_x$ has finite volume, the action of $F_x$ on $G_x/D_x$ is ergodic, and $\pi(f_{xt}y) = f_t\pi(y)$ for each $y \in G_x/D_x$.

2. Spectrum and Mixing

We refer to [9] and [63] about the notions of pure point spectrum, Lebesgue spectrum, $K$-flow, Bernoulli shift etc. and about results from ergodic theory.

2.1 Spectrum of Flows on Homogeneous Spaces

Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $F = \{f_t\}$ a one-parameter subgroup of $G$, and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$ such that $G/D$ has finite volume. Let $\mu$ denote the normalized ($\mu(G/D) = 1$) Borel $G$-invariant measure on $G/D$. As in Sect. 1, let us define the unitary representation $\varphi$ on $L_2(G/D, \mu)$. For any quotient $E = G/B$ of $G/D$, let

$$L_2(E) = \{f \in L_2(G/D, \mu) | f(g_1D) = f(g_2D) \text{ if } g_1 \in g_2B\}.$$ 

It is clear that $L_2(E)$ is $\varphi(F)$-invariant.

Theorem 8 (see [5]). Let $E$ denote the maximal Euclidean quotient of $M = G/D$. Suppose that the action of $F$ on $M$ is ergodic. Then the restriction of $\varphi(F)$ to $L_2(E)$ has pure point spectrum (the set of eigenvalues being a finitely generated subgroup of the real line of rank equal to the dimension of $E$). If $L_2(E) \neq L_2(M)$ i.e. if $M$ is not Euclidean, the restriction of $\varphi(F)$ to the orthogonal complement $L_2(M) \ominus L_2(E)$ has Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity.

Remark 1. It follows from Theorems 7 and 8 that, also in the case where the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is not ergodic, the space $L_2(G/D, \mu)$ can be decomposed into the direct
sum of two \( g(F) \)-invariant subspaces \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) such that the restriction of \( g(F) \) to \( H_1 \) has pure point spectrum and the restriction of \( g(F) \) to \( H_2 \) has Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity.

**Remark 2.** The proof of Theorem 8 is based on some results about unitary representations of semi-direct products and about the spectrum of \( K \)-flows.

**Remark 3.** Theorem 8 generalizes some earlier results about the spectrum of flows on homogeneous spaces (see [1, 10, 12, 28, 43, 44, 45, 53, 61, 70, 71]).

### 2.2 Mixing Properties

Theorem 8 implies the following

**Theorem 9** (see [5]). Suppose that the action of \( F \) on \( G/D \) is ergodic and that there are no nontrivial Euclidean quotients of \( G/D \). Then the section of \( F = \{ f_t \} \) on \( G/D \) is mixing, i.e.

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \mu(f_t A \cap B) = \mu(A) \mu(B)
\]

for any measurable subsets \( A, B \subset G/D \).

Analogues of Theorems 8 and 9 for cyclic \( F \) are proved in [12]. In [10, 11] and [12], S.G. Dani obtained criteria when the translation \( T_g : G/D \to G/D, \ T_g(x) = gx, \ x \in G/D, \) is a \( K \)-automorphism, when \( T_g \) is a Bernoulli shift, and when \( T_g \) has positive entropy. In particular, he proved that \( T_g \) has positive entropy if and only if \( |\lambda| \neq 1 \) for at least one eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of \( \text{Ad} \ g \) (the same is true for algebraic groups over nonarchimedean locally compact fields).

B. Marcus proved that, in many cases, the action of \( F \) on \( G/D \) is mixing of all degrees. In particular, he proved

**Theorem 10** (see [34]). Suppose that the group \( G \) is semisimple and has no compact factors and that the action of \( F = \{ f_t \} \) on \( G/D \) is ergodic. Then this action is mixing of all degrees, i.e.

\[
\lim_{t_1, \ldots, t_r \to +\infty} \mu(A_0 \cap f_{t_1} A_1 \cap f_{t_1+t_2} A_2 \cap \cdots \cap f_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_r} A_r) = \mu(A_0) \cdots \mu(A_r)
\]

for any integer \( r \geq 1 \) and any measurable subsets \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_r \subset G/D \).

Combining Theorem 4 and Theorem 10, we get

**Theorem 11.** Let \( G \) be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors, \( \Gamma \) an irreducible lattice in \( G \), and \( F \subset G \) a one-parameter subgroup which is not relatively compact in \( G \). Then the action of \( F \) on \( G/\Gamma \) is mixing of all degrees.

A special case of Theorem 11 is
Corollary (see [34]). The horocycle flow on a surface of constant negative curvature and finite area is mixing of all degrees.

This corollary proves the conjecture which was posed by Ya.G. Sinai on the International congress of mathematicians in Stockholm (1962). In [34], B. Marcus stated the conjecture that “mixing $\Rightarrow$ mixing of all degrees” for the action of $F$ on $G/D$.

3. Invariant Measures for Actions of Unipotent Subgroups

Let $G$ be a Lie group. An element $u$ of $G$ will be called unipotent if the transformation $\text{Ad} u$ of the Lie algebra of $G$ is unipotent, i.e. if all eigenvalues of $\text{Ad} u$ are equal to 1. A subgroup $U$ of $G$ will be called unipotent if it consists of unipotent elements.

3.1 Description of Finite Ergodic Measures

Let $F$ be a subgroup of $G$ and $D$ a closed subgroup of $G$. A $F$-invariant Borel measure $\mu$ on $G/D$ is called $F$-ergodic if the action of $F$ on $G/D$ is ergodic relative to $\mu$, i.e., if for every $F$-invariant Borel subset $A$ of $G/D$, either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu((G/D) - A) = 0$. Let us note that each $F$-invariant Borel measure on $G/D$ can be decomposed into a (continuous) sum of $F$-ergodic measures. It seems impossible to describe in the general case all $F$-invariant Borel probability measures on $G/D$. But in view of the following recent fundamental theorem of M. Ratner, this is possible in the case where $F$ is unipotent and $D$ is discrete.

Theorem 12 (see [58, 59] and [60]). Let $G$ be a Lie group, $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup of $G$ (not necessarily a lattice) and $U$ a unipotent subgroup of $G$. Then for any $U$-invariant $U$-ergodic Borel probability measure $\sigma$ on $G/\Gamma$, there exist $x \in G/\Gamma$ and a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ containing $U$ such that the set $Hx$ is closed in $G/\Gamma$ and $\sigma$ is a finite $H$-invariant measure the support of which is $Hx$. (In other words, $H_x$ is a lattice in $H$ and the measure $\sigma$ is the image of a $H$-invariant measure on $H/H_x$ under the canonical map $H/H_x \rightarrow Hx$ where $H_x = \{h \in H | hx = x\}$ is the stabilizer of $x$ in $H$.)

Roughly speaking, the difference between the case of unipotent subgroups and the general case is explained by the fact that, for an action of unipotent subgroups, the divergence of trajectories is “polynomial” in contrast to an action of general $F$ where the divergence of trajectories is usually exponential.

Theorem 12 proves a conjecture which M. Ratner suggests to call Raghunathan’s measure conjecture. It was first posed in [13]. The conjecture was suggested by the results of H. Furstenberg and W. Parry for nilpotent $G$ and of S.G. Dani for the case where $G$ is reductive and $U$ is horospherical (see [13], if $G/\Gamma$ is compact see also [72]; a subgroup $U \rightarrow G$ is called horospherical if there exists $g \in G$ such that $U = \{u \in G | g^tug^{-t} \rightarrow e \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$.

Generalizing a result of H. Furstenberg from [27], S.G. Dani proved the conjecture for the case where $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [14]).
Theorem 12 provides many important ergodic theoretic consequences. In particular, it implies the joinings theorem and the factor theorem (see [59]). The joinings theorem describes invariant ergodic measures for a product of two actions of cyclic unipotent groups, and the factor theorem describes $U$-invariant measurable partitions of $G/\Gamma$ where $U$ is a cyclic unipotent subgroup which acts ergodically on $G/\Gamma$. These theorems generalize analogous results for $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ obtained by M. Ratner (see [56] and [57]). Let us formulate a corollary of the joinings theorem.

**Theorem 13 (The Rigidity Theorem; see [59]).** Let $G_i$ be a connected semisimple Lie group, $\Gamma_i$ a lattice in $G_i$ containing no non-trivial normal subgroups of $G_i$ and $u_i$ a unipotent element of $G_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $U_i$ denote the cyclic subgroup generated by $u_i$. Suppose that the action of $U_1$ on $G_1/\Gamma_1$ is ergodic and there is a measure preserving map $\psi : G_1/\Gamma_1 \to G_2/\Gamma_2$ such that $\psi(xu_1) = \psi(x)u_2$ for $\mu_1$-almost every $x \in G_1/\Gamma_1$, where $\mu_1$ denotes a $G_1$-invariant measure on $G_1/\Gamma_1$. Then one can find $h \in G_1$ and a surjective homomorphism $\alpha : G_1 \to G_2$ such that $\alpha(G_1) \subset h\Gamma_2 h^{-1}$ and $\psi(g\Gamma_1) = \alpha(g)h\Gamma_2$ for $\mu_1$-almost every $g\Gamma_1 \in G_1/\Gamma_1$. Also $\alpha$ is a local isomorphism whenever $\psi$ is finite to one or $G_i$ is simple and it is an isomorphism whenever $\psi$ is one-to-one or $G_i$ is simple with trivial center.

Theorem 13 generalizes Ratner's rigidity theorem for $G_i = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [55]). This theorem had been previously obtained by D. Witte (see [78] and [79]) with the help of methods from [55] and [57].

### 3.2 Finiteness of Ergodic Measures

Theorem 12 gives the description of finite ergodic measures for actions of unipotent groups. Now let us formulate a theorem about the finiteness of ergodic measures for these actions.

**Theorem 14 (see [15]).** Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $\Gamma$ a lattice in $G$, and $U$ a unipotent subgroup of $G$. Let $\nu$ be a locally finite $U$-invariant measure on $G/\Gamma$. Then there exist Borel $U$-invariant subsets $X_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\nu(X_i) < \infty$ for all $i$ and $G/\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$. In particular every locally finite $U$-ergodic $U$-invariant measure is finite.

For the case where $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, Theorem 14 is essentially equivalent to the following assertion: for any lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and any unipotent one-parameter subgroup $\{u_t\}$ of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, one can find $\delta > 0$ such that the set

$$\Omega(\Lambda, \delta) = \{ t \geq 0 | \|u_tz\| > \delta \text{ for all } z \in \Lambda - \{0\} \}$$

has positive density. A strengthened version of this assertion is proved in [17] and, in a weak form, it is proved in [35] (namely it is proved in [35] that $\Omega(\Lambda, \delta)$ is unbounded for some $\delta = \delta(\Lambda) > 0$).

Using Theorem 1, one can deduce from Theorem 14
Theorem 15. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $\Gamma$ a lattice in $G$, and $H$ a connected subgroup of $G$ such that the quotient of $H$ by its unipotent radical is semisimple (by the unipotent radical of $H$ we mean the maximal connected normal unipotent subgroup of $H$). Let $\nu$ be a locally finite $H$-invariant measure on $G/\Gamma$. Then there exist Borel $H$-invariant subsets $X_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\nu(X_i) < \infty$ for all $i$ and $G/\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$. In particular every locally finite $H$-ergodic $H$-invariant measure is finite.

One can see that Theorem 15 differs from Theorem 14 only in the replacement of a unipotent subgroup $U$ by a connected subgroup $H$ such that the quotient of $H$ by its unipotent radical is semisimple.

The following is a special case of Theorem 15.

Theorem 16. Let $G$, $\Gamma$ and $H$ be the same as in Theorem 15, and let $x \in G/\Gamma$. Suppose that the orbit $Hx$ is closed in $G/\Gamma$. Then $H \cap G_x$ is a lattice in $G$ where $G_x = \{g \in G | gx = x\}$ is the stabilizer of $x$.

Applying Theorem 16 in the case where $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $x = e\Gamma$, and $H \subset G$ is the set of $\mathbb{R}$-rational points in a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $G$, we obtain the following theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra.

Theorem 17. Let $H$ be a connected $\mathbb{Q}$-group. Suppose that the quotient of $H$ by its unipotent radical is semisimple. Then $H(\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in $H(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark. In the most general form of Borel-Harish-Chandra theorem, the condition “the quotient of $H$ by its unipotent radical is semisimple” is replaced by a weaker condition “$H$ has no non-trivial $\mathbb{Q}$-rational characters”.

4. Orbit Closures

4.1 Raghunathan’s Conjecture

Roughly speaking, ergodicity theorems of Sect. 1 claim that “almost all” orbits of subgroup actions on a homogeneous space are dense. But these theorems say nothing about the behaviour of “individual” orbits. M.S. Raghunathan stated a conjecture about closures of orbits of unipotent groups and also noted the connection of his conjecture with Oppenheim-Davenport conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Raghunathan’s Conjecture). Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $\Gamma$ a lattice in $G$ and $U$ a unipotent subgroup of $G$. Then for any $x \in G/\Gamma$, there exists a closed subgroup $L = L(x) \subset G$ containing $U$ such that the closure of the orbit $Ux$ coincides with $Lx$.

Conjecture 1 can be generalized in the following way:

Conjecture 2 (Generalized Raghunathan’s Conjecture). Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $\Gamma$ a lattice in $G$ and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. Suppose that $H$ is generated by unipotent
elements. Then for any \( x \in G/\Gamma \) there exists a closed subgroup \( L = L(x) \subset G \) containing \( H \) such that the closure of the orbit \( Hx \) coincides with \( Lx \).

Raghunathan's conjecture has been proved in the following cases: (a) \( G \) is reductive and \( U \) is horospherical (see [18]); (b) \( G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( U = \{u(t)\} \) is a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of \( G \) such that \( u(t) - I \) has rank 2 for all \( t \neq 0 \) where \( I \) is the identity matrix (see [21]); (c) \( G \) is solvable (see [68] and [69]).

Let \( G, \Gamma \) and \( U \) be the same as in Conjecture 1. A closed \( \Gamma \)-invariant subset \( Y \subset G/\Gamma \) is called \( U \)-minimal if it does not contain any proper closed \( U \)-invariant subset or, equivalently, if \( Uy \) is dense in \( Y \) for any \( y \in Y \). It is proved in [38] that any closed \( U \)-invariant \( U \)-minimal subset of \( G/\Gamma \) is compact. On the other hand since the unipotent subgroup \( U \) is nilpotent and, consequently, amenable, we have that, for any compact \( U \)-invariant subset \( Y \subset G/\Gamma \), there exists a \( U \)-invariant Borel probability measure on \( Y \). In view of these observations, Theorem 12 implies that any closed \( U \)-invariant \( U \)-minimal subset of \( G/\Gamma \) is an orbit of a subgroup \( L \subset G \). This proves Conjecture 1 in the case where the closure of \( Ux \) is \( U \)-minimal.

Remark 1. It is not possible to assume in Conjecture 2 that \( H \) is an arbitrary subgroup of \( G \). For example, if
\[
G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0 \right\},
\]
then there exists \( x \in G/\Gamma \) such that the closure of \( Dx \) is not a manifold. On the other hand in Conjecture 2, the condition "\( H \) is generated by unipotent elements" is not the most general of all possible conditions. Let \( G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), let \( \Gamma \) be a lattice in \( G \), and let \( P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0 \right\} \). Then for any point \( x \in G/\Gamma \), the orbit \( Px \) is dense in \( G/\Gamma \). Also it seems plausible that if \( G \) is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors, \( D \subset G \) is a maximal \( \mathbb{R} \)-split torus in \( G \), \( \Gamma \subset G \) is an irreducible lattice, \( x \in G/\Gamma \), \( Dx \) is relatively compact in \( G/\Gamma \) and \( \mathbb{R} \)-rank of \( G \) is greater than 1, then the closure of \( Dx \) is an orbit of a subgroup \( L \subset G \).

Remark 2. Let \( L \subset G \) be a closed subgroup, \( x \in G/\Gamma \) and \( G_x = \{g \in G|gx = x\} \). Then the orbit \( Lx \) is closed in \( G/\Gamma \) if and only if the canonical map \( L/L \cap G_x \to G/\Gamma \) is proper. Using this observation and Theorem 15, it is not difficult to prove that, in Conjectures 1 and 2, \( Lx \) admits a finite \( L \)-invariant measure or, equivalently, \( L \cap G_x \) is a lattice in \( L \).

Remark 3. Using Remark 2 and Borel's density theorem (see [3]), it is not difficult to prove that if \( G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( x = g \Gamma \in G/\Gamma \) then, in Conjectures 1 and 2, the subgroup \( g^{-1}L(x)g \) is algebraic and defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (more precisely, \( g^{-1}L(x)g \) is commensurable with the set of \( \mathbb{R} \)-rational points of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-subgroup of \( \text{SL}_n \)).

Remark 4. It seems that Conjecture 2 should follows from Conjecture 1. This is so if \( \Gamma \) is an arithmetic subgroup of \( G \). In that case as it was noted by M.S. Raghunathan,
the mentioned reduction follows from Remark 3 and the countability of the set of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-subgroups.

Let us say that an element \( g \) of a Lie group is quasunipotent if all eigenvalues of the transformation \( \text{Ad} \, g \) have absolute value 1. If Conjecture 2 is proved then it will be possible to prove the following conjecture; for one-parameter \( F \), this conjecture was stated by A.N. Starkov in [69].

(\*) Let \( G \) be a connected Lie group, \( F \) a subgroup of \( G \), and \( D \) a closed subgroup of \( G \) such that \( G/D \) has finite volume. Suppose that \( F \) is generated by quasunipotent elements. Then for any \( x \in G/D \), the closure of the orbit \( Fx \) is a manifold.

4.2 Closures of Orbits of Non-Quasunipotent One-Parameter Subgroups

It is not difficult to prove (see for example [68]), that whenever \( D \) is a lattice in \( G \), \( F \) is one-parameter and \( F \) does not consist of quasunipotent elements, there exists \( x \in G/D \) such the closure of \( Fx \) is not a manifold.

Conjecture (A) stated below has been proved by S.G. Dani in the following cases:

(i) \( G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( g_t = \text{diag}(e^{-t}, \ldots, e^{-t}, e^{kt}, \ldots, e^{kt}) \) where \( k \) is such that the determinant is 1 (see [16] where some results of W.M. Schmidt on badly approximable systems of linear forms are used); (ii) \( G \) is a connected semisimple Lie group of \( \mathbb{R} \)-rank 1 (see [19]).

**Conjecture (A).** Let \( G \) be a Lie group and \( \Gamma \) a lattice in \( G \). Let \( \{g_t\} \) be a one-parameter subgroup of \( G \) such that \( g_1 \) is not quasunipotent. Then for any nonempty open subset \( \Omega \) of \( G/\Gamma \)

\[
\{ x \in \Omega | \text{the } \{g_t\}-\text{orbit of } x \text{ is bounded (relatively compact)} \}
\]

is of Hausdorff dimension equal to the dimension of \( G \).

It is plausible that the following strengthening of Conjecture (A) is true.

**Conjecture (B).** Let \( G, \Gamma \) and \( \{g_t\} \) be the same as in Conjecture (A), and let \( Y \) be a finite subset of \( G/\Gamma \). Then for any nonempty open subset \( \Omega \) of \( G/\Gamma \)

\[
\{ x \in \Omega | \text{the } \{g_t\}-\text{orbit of } x \text{ is bounded, the closure of this orbit is of Hausdorff dimension less than the dimension of } G, \text{ and the intersection of this closure with } Y \text{ is empty} \}
\]

is of Hausdorff dimension equal to the dimension of \( G \).

5. Values of Indefinite Quadratic Forms at Integral Points

In this section, we give some applications of results on orbit closures to the study of sets of values of indefinite quadratic forms at integral points.
5.1 Proof of Oppenheim-Davenport Conjecture

According to Meyer's theorem (see [6] or [62]), if $B$ is a rational nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form in $n$ variables and $n \geq 5$ then $B$ represents zero over $\mathbb{Z}$ non-trivially i.e. there exist integers $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ not all equal to 0 such that $B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$. Theorem 18 stated below can be considered as an analogue of this assertion in the case where $B$ is not a multiple of a rational form. Note that in Theorem 18, the condition "$n \geq 5$" is replaced by a weaker condition "$n \geq 3$".

**Theorem 18** (see [36] and [37]; a simplified proof is given in [22] and [39]). Let $B$ be a real nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form in $n$ variables. Suppose that $n \geq 3$ and that $B$ is not a multiple of a rational form. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n - \{0\}$ such that $|B(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Let us formulate a stronger version of Theorem 18.

**Theorem 18'** (see [37]). Let $B$ and $n$ be the same as in Theorem 18. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n - \{0\}$ such that $0 < |B(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Theorems 18 and 18' are of course equivalent for forms that do not represent zero over $\mathbb{Z}$ nontrivially. One can easily see that if Theorems 18 and 18' are proved for some $n_0$ then they are proved for all $n \geq n_0$. So it is enough to prove these theorems for $n = 3$. We note that, in Theorems 18 and 18', the condition "$n = 3$" can not be replaced by the condition "$n = 2$"; to see this, consider the form $x_1^2 - \lambda x_2^2$ where $\lambda$ is an irrational positive number such that $\sqrt{\lambda}$ has a continued fraction development with bounded partial quotients.

Theorem 18 was conjectured by A. Oppenheim in 1929 for $n \geq 5$ (see [48] and [49]) and by H. Davenport in 1946 for all $n \geq 3$ (see [25]). Theorem 18' was conjectured in 1953 by A. Oppenheim (see [51]).

Theorem 18' had been proved earlier by B.J. Birch, H. Davenport and H. Ridout for $n \geq 21$ (see [26] or [31, Sect. 42.4]) and by H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn for diagonal forms in 5 variables i.e. for forms $B$ of the type $B(x_1, \ldots, x_5) = \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_5 x_5^2$ (see [25]). G.L. Watson [77] extended the result of Davenport and Heilbronn to forms which include a single cross-product term (say $\lambda_6 x_4 x_5$). R.C. Baker and H.P. Schlickewey [2] proved Theorem 18' for $n$ equal 20, 19 or 18 but under some restrictions on the signature of $B$. Theorem 18' was proved by A. Oppenheim for forms in $n \geq 4$ variables representing zero over $\mathbb{Z}$ nontrivially (see [51] and [52]) and by H. Iwaniec [30] and G.L. Watson [76] for some types of forms in 3 and 4 variables. The proofs mentioned above are in the context of analytic number theory and reduction theory.

Theorems 18 and 18' are deduced from the following

**Theorem 19.** Let $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $B$ be a real nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form in 3 variables. Let us denoted by $H_B$ the group of elements of $G$ preserving the form $B$ and by $\Omega$ the space of lattices in $\mathbb{R}^3$ having determinant 1. (The quotient space $G/\Gamma$ can be canonically identified with $\Omega$. Under this identification
\(gI\) goes to \(g\mathbb{Z}^3\). Let \(G_z\) denote the stabilizer \(\{g \in \{gy = y\} \mid y \in \Omega\}\) of \(y \in \Omega\). If \(z \in \Omega = G/I\) and the orbit \(H_Bz\) is relatively compact in \(\Omega\) then the quotient space \(H_B/H_B \cap G_z\) is compact.

Theorem 19 proves generalized Raghunathan's conjecture in a very special case. Now we explain how Theorem 18 is deduced from Theorem 19. As mentioned above, it is enough to prove Theorem 18 for \(n = 3\). Suppose that the assertion of Theorem 18 is not true. Then one can easily show using Mahler's compactness criterion that the set \(H_B\mathbb{Z}^3\) is relatively compact in \(\Omega\). Now we apply Theorem 19 for \(z = \mathbb{Z}^3\) and get that the quotient space \(H_B/H_B \cap I\) is compact. Then in view of Borel's density theorem, \(H_B \cap I\) is Zariski dense in \(H_B\). But \(I = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\). Therefore the algebraic subgroup \(H_B \subset G\) is defined over \(\mathbb{Q}\), and hence \(B\) is a multiple of a rational form. Contradiction.

5.2 Values of Quadratic Forms at Primitive Integral Points

Let \(B\) be a real nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form in \(n\) variables. In [51], it is shown for \(n \geq 3\) that if the set \((0, \varepsilon) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) is not empty for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), then the same is true for the form \(-B\). On the other hand, it is clear that \(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) is invariant under multiplication by square of integers. Thus Theorem 18' implies that if \(n \geq 3\) and \(B\) is not a multiple of a rational form then \(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) is dense in \(\mathbb{R}\). Let us consider now the set of values of \(B\) at primitive integral points, namely on \(P(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid x \neq ky\} \) for any \(y \in \mathbb{Z}^n\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) with \(|k| \geq 2\). Then Theorem 18' doesn't imply, under the condition of that theorem, that \(\mathbb{B}(\Psi(\mathbb{Z}^n))\) is dense in \(\mathbb{R}\). Nevertheless it is possible to prove the following

**Theorem 20** (see [20]). Let \(B\) be a real nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form on \(\mathbb{R}^n\), where \(n \geq 3\), which is not a multiple of a rational form. Let \(B_2\) be the corresponding bilinear form defined by \(4B_2(v, w) = B(v + w) - B(v - w)\) for all \(v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n\). Let \(a, b, c\) be such that there exist \(v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n\) for which \(B(v) = a, B(w) = b, B_2(v, w) = c\). Then for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exist \(x, y \in \Psi(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) such that \(|B(x) - a| < \varepsilon, |B(y) - b| < \varepsilon\) and \(|B_2(x, y) - c| < \varepsilon\). In particular \(B(\Psi(\mathbb{Z}^n))\) is dense in \(\mathbb{R}\).

Theorem 20 is easily deduced from Theorem 16 and the following strengthening of Theorem 19.

**Theorem 21** (see [20]). Let \(G, I, \Omega\) and \(H_B\) be the same as in Theorem 19. Let \(x \in \Omega = G/I\). Then the orbit \(H_Bx\) is either closed or dense in \(G/I\).

**Remark.** Generalized Raghunathan's conjecture entails that if \(n \geq 3\), \(B\) is a nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) and \(H_B = \{g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid gB = B\}\) then, for any \(x \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\), the orbit \(H_Bx\) is either closed or dense in \(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\). This and Theorem 16 easily imply that if \(B\) as above is not a multiple of a rational form and \(B_2\) is the corresponding bilinear form then for any \(\{a_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}\) for which there exist \(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n\) such that \(B_2(v_i, v_j) = a_{ij}\) and for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) there also exist \(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \Psi(\mathbb{Z}^n)\) such that \(|B_2(x_i, x_j) - a_{ij}| < \varepsilon\) for all \(i, j = 1, \ldots, n - 1\).
As mentioned in Sect. 4, Raghunathan's conjecture is proved in [21] in the case where \( G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( U = \{u(t)\} \) is a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of \( G \) such that \( u(t) - I \) has rank 2 for all \( t \neq 0 \). This and Theorem 16 easily imply the following

**Theorem 22** (see [21]). Let \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) be two real quadratic forms on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) for which the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists a basis of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that

\[
B_1(x) = 2x_1 x_3 - x_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_2(x) = x_3^2
\]

where \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) are coordinates of \( x \) with respect to the basis;

(ii) no nonzero linear combination of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) is a multiple of a rational form.

Then for any \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a primitive integral vector \( p \) such that

\[
|B_1(p) - a| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |B_2(p) - b| < \varepsilon.
\]

Digressing from quadratic forms, let us note at the end of the section that there is a connection between results on closures of orbits of horospherical subgroups and some results on orbits of frames under discrete linear groups (see [13], [17] and [23]). These results on orbits of frames have the flavour of results on Diophantine approximation with matrix argument.

### 6. Markov Spectrum

Let \( B(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} x_i x_j, \ b_{ij} = b_{ji}, \) be a real nondegenerate indefinite quadratic form in \( n \) variables and let us denote by \( \Phi_n \) the set of all such forms. Let \( d(B) \) denote the determinant of the matrix \( (b_{ij}) \). Let us set

\[
m(B) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |B(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(B) = \frac{m(B)^n}{d(B)}.
\]

It is clear that \( \mu(\lambda B) = \mu(B) \) for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0 \). Let \( M_n \) denote \( \mu(\Phi_n) \). The set \( M_n \) is called Markov spectrum. It easily follows from Mahler's compactness criterion that \( M_n \) is bounded and closed.

Two forms \( B, B' \in \Phi_n \) will be called equivalent if there exist \( g \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( gB = \lambda B' \). One can easily see that \( \mu(B) = \mu(B') \) if \( B \) and \( B' \) are equivalent.

### 6.1 Discreteness of Markov Spectrum for \( n \geq 3 \)

In 1880, A.A. Markov described the intersection of \( M_2 \) with the segment \((4/9, \infty)\) and described corresponding quadratic forms (see [7] and [41]). It follows from this description that \( M_2 \cap (4/9, \infty) \) is a discrete countable subset of \((4/9, 4/5]\) and that, for any \( a \gg 4/9 \), there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms \( B \in \Phi_2 \) with \( \mu(B) > a \). On the other hand, the intersection \( M_2 \cap [0, 4/9] \) is not countable and moreover has quite a complicated topological structure (see [7]).
It follows from Meyer's theorem and Theorem 18 that $M_n = \{0\}$ whenever $n \geq 5$. In 1955 (see [8]), J.W.S. Cassels and H.F.P. Swinnerton-Dyer proved the conditional result that Theorem 18 implies the following

**Theorem 23.** Let $n \geq 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the set $M_n \cap (\varepsilon, \infty)$ is finite and moreover there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms $B \in \Phi_n$ with $\mu(B) > \varepsilon$.

For rational forms $B$, Theorem 23 had been proved earlier by L.Ya. Vulakh (see [75]). Really he proved a stronger assertion that there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms $B$ with $\mu_0(B) > \varepsilon$ where

$$\mu_0(B) = \frac{m_0(B)^n}{|d(B)|} \quad \text{and} \quad m_0(B) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, B(x) \neq 0} |B(x)|.$$

As noted in [74], Vulakh has obtained the complete description of spectra of nonzero minima of rational Hermitian forms.

Both the proof of Theorem 23 based on Theorem 18 and the proof given in [75] are non-effective. In particular these proofs do not give an explicit upper bound for the cardinality of $M_n \cap (\varepsilon, \infty)$ for $n = 3, 4$. It would be very interesting to get such a bound.

For $n = 3$, A.A. Markov determined first 4 values of $\mu(B)^{-1}$ and B.A. Venkov determined next 7 values (see [42] and [73]). These values are

$$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{25}{8}, 1200/7^3 = 3.498\ldots, \frac{15}{4}, 3 \cdot 13^2/5^3 = 4.056,$$

$$112/3^3 = 4.148\ldots, \frac{135}{2^5} = 4.218\ldots, \frac{9}{2}.$$

For $n = 4$ and forms of signature 0, A. Oppenheim determined first 7 values of $\mu(B)^{-1}$ (see [50]). These values are

$$\frac{9}{4}, \frac{17}{4}, \frac{25}{4}, \frac{117}{16}, \frac{33}{4}, 9, \frac{625}{64}.$$

It should be noted that there are two inequivalent forms which correspond to the value 9. For $n = 4$ and forms of signature $-2$ or 2, A. Oppenheim determined also the first value of $\mu(B)^{-1}$ which is $7/4$.

### 6.2 A Strengthened Version of the Discreteness Theorem

Let us formulate now a strengthened version of Theorem 23.

**Theorem 24** (see [39]). Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$\Phi_n(a, b, c) = \left\{ B \in \Phi_n \left| \begin{array}{l}
\text{there exist } v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that}
\end{array}
\right. \right\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{B(v)^n}{d(B)} = a, \quad \frac{B(w)^n}{d(B)} = b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_2(v, w)^n}{d(B)} = c \quad \text{where } B_2 \text{ is the bilinear form corresponding to } B.$$
Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms $B \in \mathcal{P}_n(a, b, c)$ for which it is not possible to find primitive integral vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that

$$\frac{|B(x)^n|}{d(B)} - a < \varepsilon, \quad \frac{|B(y)^n|}{d(B)} - b < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|B_2(x, y)^n|}{d(B)} - c < \varepsilon.$$ 

The proof of Theorem 24 is based on the following slight generalization of Theorem 21.

**Theorem 21'** (see [39]). Let $G, \Gamma, \Omega$ and $H_B$ be the same as in Theorem 21. Let $A$ be a closed $H_B$-invariant subset of $\Omega = G/\Gamma$. Suppose that $A \neq \Omega$. Then $A$ is the union of finite number of closed $H_B$-orbits.

A. Borel and G. Prasad proved in [4] a generalization of Theorem 18' for a family $\{B_s\}$ where $s \in S$, $S$ is a finite set of places of a number field $k$ containing the set $S_{\infty}$ of archimedean places, $B_s$ is a quadratic form on $k^n_s$, and $k_s$ is the completion of $k$ at $s$. It would be interesting to prove analogues of Theorems 20, 22, 23 and 24 for families $\{B_s\}$.

7. Generic Points

Let $X$ be a locally compact $\sigma$-compact topological space and $\varphi$ a homeomorphism of $X$. We say that a point $x \in X$ is **generic** (with respect to $\varphi$) if there exists a Borel probability measure $\mu_x$ on $X$ such that for any bounded continuous function $f$ on $X$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\varphi^i x) = \int_X f \, d\mu_x.$$

According to Birkhoff individual ergodic theorem for any $\varphi$-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $X$, one can find a subset $A \subset X$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and each point $x \in X - A$ is generic.

The following conjecture was stated in [14] (for the case where $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$).

**Conjecture 3.** Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $\Gamma$ a lattice in $G$ and $u$ a unipotent element of $G$. Then every point $x \in G/\Gamma$ is generic with respect to the homeomorphism $y \mapsto uy$, $y \in G/\Gamma$.

Conjecture 3 can be strengthened in the following way:

**Conjecture 4.** Let $G, \Gamma$ and $u$ be the same as in Conjecture 3. Then for any $x \in G/\Gamma$, there exist a closed subgroup $L \subset G$ containing $u$ and a $L$-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $G/\Gamma$ such that the orbit $Lx$ is closed, the support of the measure $\mu$ is $Lx$, and for any bounded continuous function $f$ on $G/\Gamma$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(u^i x) = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu.$$
Remark. Considering appropriate increasing sequences $\{S_n\} \subset U$ and replacing
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(u^i x) \text{ by } \frac{1}{\sigma(S_n)} \int_{S_n} f(u x) \, d\sigma(u)$$
where $\sigma$ is Haar measure on $U$, one can formulate an analogue of Conjecture 4 for an arbitrary closed unipotent subgroup $U \subset G$. Let us also note the connection of Conjecture 4 with Theorems 12 and 14.

It is clear that for a cyclic $U$, Raghunathan's conjecture follows from Conjecture 4. Conjecture 4 was proved for nilpotent $G$ by E. Lesigne (see [32] and [33]) and for $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by S.G. Dani and J. Smillie (see [24]; for $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ see also [14]). For $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we have the following formulation

**Theorem 25** (see [24]). Let $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, let $\Gamma$ be a lattice in $G$, let $x \in G/\Gamma$, let $\{u_t\}$ be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of $G$, and let $u$ denote $u_1$. Suppose that the orbit $\{u_t x | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not periodic i.e. that $u_t x \neq x$ for every $t \neq 0$. Then the orbit $\{u_t x | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and the sequence $\{u^n x | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are uniformly distributed with respect to the $G$-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $G/\Gamma$; i.e. for any bounded continuous function $f$ on $G/\Gamma$

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(u_t x) \, dt = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(u^n x) = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu.$$

The following number theoretic assertion is deduced from Theorem 25.

**Theorem 26** (see [14]). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[t]$ denote the largest integer $x$ with $x \leq t$ and let $\{t\} = t - [t]$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $(m, n)$ denote the g.c.d. of $m$ and $n$. Then for any irrational $\theta$

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq T([m\theta])} \{m\theta\}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\xi(2)} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

where $\xi$ denotes Riemann zeta-function.

Conjecture 4 entails quantitative versions of results from Sect. 5. In particular, if this conjecture is proved it will be possible to prove the following assertions.

(i) Let $n, B, B_1, a, b, c$ be the same as in Theorem 20. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $d = d(\varepsilon, a, b, c, B) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large $S$ there exist at least $dS$ pairs of primitive integral vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which

$$\|x\| < S, \quad \|y\| < S, \quad |B(x) - a| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |B(y) - b| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |B_2(x, y) - c| \leq \varepsilon$$

where $\| \|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover we can choose $d(\varepsilon, a, b, c, B)$, so that it is a rational function of $\varepsilon, a, b, c$ and coefficients of $B$.

(ii) Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be the same as in Theorem 22. Then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $c = c(\varepsilon, a, b, B_1, B_2)$ such that for all sufficiently large $S$ there exist at least $cS^{1/2}$ primitive integral vectors $p$ for which

$$\|p\| < S, \quad |B_1(p) - a| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |B_2(p) - b| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover of $c(\varepsilon, a, b, B_1, B_2)$, can be chosen to be a rational function of $\varepsilon, a, b$ and coefficients of $B_1$ and $B_2$. 
8. Actions of Semisimple Groups and Discrete Subgroups on Manifolds and Measure Spaces

Let us give formulations of some recent results about actions on general manifolds and measure spaces. We refer to [80] and [81] for the definition of notions which are used in these formulations.

**Theorem 27** (see [64]). Let $G$ be a connected simple Lie group with finite center, finite fundamental group, and $\mathbb{R}$-rank($G$) $\geq 2$. Let $M$ be a compact manifold and suppose there is a nontrivial real analytic action of $G$ on $M$ preserving a (real analytic) connection and a finite measure. Then $\pi_1(M)$ is not isomorphic to the fundamental group of any complete Riemannian manifold $N$ with negative curvature bounded away from $0$ and $-\infty$.

**Theorem 28** (see [82]). Let a locally compact group $G$ act continuously on a compact metrizable space $M$. Suppose $G$ preserves a finite measure on $M$ with respect to which the $G$-action is ergodic. Suppose further that $G$ is a semisimple group of higher rank (i.e. $G$ is a finite product $\prod G_i$ where each $G_i$ is the set of $k_i$-points of a $k_i$-simple connected $k_i$-group of $k_i$-rank at least 2). If $P \to M$ is a principal $H$-bundle on which $G$ acts by principle bundle automorphisms, where $H$ is a real algebraic group, then the algebraic hull of the action is a reductive group with finite center.

**Theorem 29** (see [83]). Let $G$ be a semisimple group of higher rank and $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice. Suppose $\Gamma$ acts smoothly on a compact manifold $M$ preserving a volume density. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) The $\Gamma$-action has zero entropy, i.e. the entropy $h(\gamma)$ equals $0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(b) The $\Gamma$-action is measurably isometric, i.e. it is measurably conjugate to an action which obtained by a homomorphism of $\Gamma$ into a compact group $K$ which acts continuously on $M$.

(c) There is a $\Gamma$-invariant measurable Riemannian metric on $M$.

**Concluding Remarks Made During the Congress**

(i) I learnt at the beginning of the Congress that very recently (about two weeks before the Congress) M. Ratner proved Conjectures 1, 2, 3 and 4. Also recently, N.A. Shah proved some partial results in the direction of the proof of Conjecture 4 (even these results are enough to prove assertions (i) and (ii) from Sect. 7 and the assertion mentioned in the remark after Theorem 21). Proofs of M. Ratner and N.A. Shah are based on the reduction to Theorems 12 and 14.

(ii) Let $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, let $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $U = \{u_t\}$ be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of $G$. For each $t > 0$ there is a unique closed orbit of $U$ on $G/\Gamma$ of period $t$, call it $C_t(u_t \cdot x = x$ and $u_{t'} \cdot x \neq x$ for $x \in C_t$ and $0 < t' < t$). P. Sarnak informed me about the following observation which was essentially due to D. Zagier. The famous Riemann hypothesis about zeroes of Riemann zeta-function is equivalent to the following statement. For any function $f \in C_0^\infty(G/\Gamma)$ (i.e. $f$ is smooth and has a compact support) and any $\varepsilon > 0$
\[
\frac{1}{t} \int_{C_t} f \, dl = \int_{G/I} f \, d\mu + O_{\epsilon, f}(t^{-(3/4)+\epsilon})
\]
as \(t \to \infty\) where \(l\) is the Lebesgue measure on \(C_t\) and \(\mu\) is the \(G\)-invariant probability Borel measure on \(G/I\). It should be noted that (a) the power \(-\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon\) is different from the usual power \(-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\) which appears in ergodic theory; (b) the equivalence remains true if one considers only \(K\)-invariant functions \(f\) where \(K\) is a maximal compact subgroup of \(G\).
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In the first part of my talk I shall describe some of the properties one should expect of a calculus of pseudodifferential operators which corresponds to the microlocalization of a Lie algebra of vector fields. This is not intended to be a formal axiomatic program but it leads one to consider conditions on the Lie algebra for such microlocalization to be possible. The symbolic structure of the calculus also shows how it can be applied in the solution of analytic questions related to the Lie algebra, especially to the inversion of elliptic elements of the enveloping algebra.

In the second part I shall consider several such analytic question which arise in various differential-geometric settings and are, or appear to be, amenable to the application of these pseudodifferential techniques. For those examples which have already been analyzed the Lie algebra is identified and then a specific question is discussed using the calculus of pseudodifferential operators which arises from it.

Finally in the third part of the talk I shall briefly outline a general strategy for the construction of 'the' calculus of pseudodifferential operators which microlocalizes a given Lie algebra satisfying conditions which make it a boundary-fibration structure. This construction applies to most of the situations described in the second part of the talk and, I conjecture, can be extended to apply to the others.

I. Pseudodifferential Operators

Even though many of the examples of interest here arise on singular or non-compact spaces it is very convenient from an analytic point of view to work always in a fixed category of spaces. The simplest class of spaces which seems to be sufficiently wide to allow many different types of problems to be attacked is that of compact manifolds with corners; the morphisms are the $b$-maps, discussed below. Such a space is a compact topological manifold with boundary, $X$, with a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ structure locally modeled on the spaces

$$\mathbb{R}^n_k = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}.$$ (1)

This fixes the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions on $X$; locally these are just the functions on $\mathbb{R}^n_k$ which are the restrictions of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For
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simplicity we also insist that all the boundary hypersurfaces of $X$ are embedded. If we let $M_1(X)$ be the set of boundary hypersurfaces then this means that each $H \in M_1(X)$ has a defining function $\varphi_H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ s.t. $H = \{\varphi_H = 0\}$, $\varphi_H \geq 0$, $d\varphi_H \neq 0$ at $H$.

We denote by $M_{(1)}(X)$ the set of true boundary faces, the connected closed submanifolds locally given by intersection of the boundary hypersurfaces and set $M(X) = M_{(1)}(X) \cup \{X\}$; all these submanifolds are embedded. Limiting the analysis to compact manifolds with corners implies that in many cases a preliminary geometric step involving a compactification or blow-up procedure is required.

It is always possible to embed a compact manifold with corners as a subset of a compact manifold, $\tilde{X}$, without boundary:

$$\iota_X : X \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}, \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) = \iota_X^* \left( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{X}) \right).$$

This allows one to readily discuss any of the usual structures on a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ manifold, by restriction from $\tilde{X}$, provided only that such structures are invariant under diffeomorphisms of $\tilde{X}$ which fix each point of $\partial X$. In particular $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vector fields, the tangent bundle, cotangent bundle, form bundles etc. can all be defined in this way; of course they also have more intrinsic definitions.

In most cases the structure we are seeking is most readily expressed in terms of a space of real $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vector fields:

$$\mathcal{V}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; TX).$$

The two most basic properties we insist upon are:

$$\mathcal{V}(X)$$ is a Lie algebra

under the commutation bracket and

$$\mathcal{V}(X)$$ is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$-module.

Of course the space of all smooth vector fields, $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X; TX)$, has these two properties. However, in order that the vector fields in $\mathcal{V}(X)$ correspond to infinitesimal diffeomorphisms of $X$ we also require that

$$\text{each } V \in \mathcal{V}(X) \text{ is tangent to each } H \in M_1(X).$$

The simplest example is just the space $\mathcal{V}_b(X)$ of all $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vector fields on $X$ satisfying (7). Thus we can summarize these properties as requiring

$$\mathcal{V}(X) \subset \mathcal{V}_b(X)$$ is a Lie subalgebra and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$-submodule.

Near any point $\bar{x} \in X$ there are local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in which $\bar{x}$ is mapped to the origin of $\mathbb{R}_k^n$, for some $k$. Then $\mathcal{V}_b(X)$ is locally the span, as a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-module, of the vector fields
There are no relations between these vector fields so there is a natural vector bundle, which we denote \( bTX \), of which \( \mathcal{V}_b(X) \) forms the space of all sections. Since these sections are vector fields there is a natural vector bundle map
\[
bTX \rightarrow TX
\]
which is an isomorphism over the interior, but has corank \( k \) at a boundary face of codimension \( k \), since the first \( k \) elements in (9) are mapped to zero. In general we insist that the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{V}(X) \) have a similar structure. Thus we require that there be a vector bundle \( \mathcal{V}TX \) and vector bundle maps
\[
\mathcal{V}TX \rightarrow bTX \rightarrow TX
\]
where the overall map is \( 1_{\mathcal{V}} \) and
\[
\mathcal{V}(X) = 1_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X; \mathcal{V}TX).
\]
We denote by \( \mathcal{V}^*TX \) the dual bundle to \( \mathcal{V}TX \), and by \( \mathcal{V}A^kX \) the associated form bundles.

Since \( \mathcal{V} \) is a Lie algebra of operators acting on \( \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X) \) the enveloping algebra is naturally a filtered ring of operators, denoted \( \text{Diff}^{\infty}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \), acting on \( \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X) \). In fact, since the elements of \( \mathcal{V} \) are local diffeomorphisms, twisted versions, \( \text{Diff}^{\infty}_{\mathcal{V}}(X; E, F) \), of these spaces of operators are defined between sections of any two vector bundles, \( E \) and \( F \), over \( X \).

One easy way to see why we might be interested in these structures is the following elementary result.

**Proposition (13).** Exterior differentiation defines a complex
\[
\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X; \mathcal{V}A^1) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X; \mathcal{V}A^q)
\]
where \( q \) is the fibre dimension of \( \mathcal{V}TX \). If \( g \) is a fibre metric on \( \mathcal{V}TX \) then the associated Laplacian is an element of \( \text{Diff}^{2q}_{\mathcal{V}}(X; \mathcal{V}A^k) \) for each \( k, 0 \leq k \leq q \).

The assumption (12) means that the filtration of the ring \( \text{Diff}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \) is given by symbol maps, \( \sigma_{k,\mathcal{V}} \), giving a short exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^{k-1}_{\mathcal{V}}(X; E, F) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^{k}_{\mathcal{V}}(X; E, F) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k,\mathcal{V}}} P^k(\mathcal{V}^*TX; E, F) \rightarrow 0,
\]
where \( P^k(\mathcal{V}^*TX; E, F) \) is the space of \( \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \) homomorphisms of the lifts to \( \mathcal{V}^*TX \) of the vector bundles \( E, F \) which are homogeneous polynomials of degree \( k \) on the fibres. An operator is \( (\mathcal{V}^-) \) elliptic if its symbol in this sense is invertible off the zero section of \( \mathcal{V}^*TX \). The symbol of the Laplacian is always given by multiplication by the square of the length, so it is always elliptic as an element of \( \text{Diff}^{k}_{\mathcal{V}}(X; \mathcal{V}A^k) \).

Now by a small calculus of \( \mathcal{V}^- \)-pseudodifferential operators we mean linear spaces of operators
\[ \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F) \ni A : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X;E) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(X;F), \quad m \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (16) \]

with (at least) the following additional properties. First these spaces should extend the ring of \( \varphi \)-differential operators:

\[
\text{Diff}^k \varphi(X;E,E') \subset \Psi^k_\varphi(X;E,E')
\]

\[
\Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,E') \circ \Psi^{m'}_\varphi(X;E',E'') \subset \Psi^{m+m'}_\varphi(X;E,E'').
\]

Moreover we require that the filtration be fixed by symbol maps giving short exact sequences analogous to (15):

\[
0 \to \Psi^{m-1}_\varphi(X;E,F) \to \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F) \xrightarrow{\sigma_m \varphi} S^{[m]}(\varphi^* T^* X; E,F) \to 0,
\]

where now \( S^{[m]}(\varphi^* T^* X; E,F) \) is the quotient of the space of homomorphisms, as before, but now only required to be symbols of order \( m \), (see (79)) by the subspace of symbols of order \( m - 1 \). In fact we require that (19) should split (continuously), i.e. there should be a \( \varphi \)-quantization map:

\[
q_\varphi : S^m(\varphi^* T^* X; E,F) \to \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F)
\]

which splits (19) and which is surjective modulo the residual algebra

\[
\Psi^\infty_\varphi(X;E,F) = \bigcap_m \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F).
\]

Naturally the map (19) is to be consistent with (15) as is the product formula:

\[
\sigma_{m+m'} \varphi(A \circ B) = \sigma_m \varphi(A) \circ \sigma_{m'} \varphi(B).
\]

Finally we require the algebra to be asymptotically complete with respect to the filtration. Thus if \( A_j \in \Psi^{m-1}_\varphi(X;E,F) \) then there must exist \( A \in \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F) \) such that

\[
A \sim \sum_j A_j, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad A - \sum_{j < N} A_j \in \Psi^{m-N}_\varphi(X;E,F) \quad \forall \ N \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Then \( A \) is determined up to the addition of an element of the residual algebra.

These conditions hold for the calculus of pseudodifferential operators on a compact manifold without boundary. Various of the standard theorems in that case can be extended to any algebra with these properties. For example

**Proposition (24).** If \( A \in \Psi^m_\varphi(X;E,F) \) is elliptic, in the sense that its symbol has a representative which is invertible outside a compact set, and the conditions (16)-(21) hold then there exists \( B \in \Psi^m_\varphi(X;F,F) \) such that

\[
A \circ B - \text{Id} \in \Psi^\infty_\varphi(X;F,F), \quad B \circ A - \text{Id} \in \Psi^\infty_\varphi(X;E,E).
\]

In the familiar case, that is \( \partial X = \emptyset \) and \( \varphi = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X;T X) \), the residual calculus consists of smoothing operators, which are compact operators on any Sobolev
space. Then (25) is a rather strong conclusion. In other cases, such as those discussed below, the residual calculus does not consist of compact operators. Then for most applications (25) must be improved. The proof of (25) itself is straightforward. Using the surjectivity of the symbol map in (19), the composition formula (22) and the exactness in (19) one can make an initial choice $B_1$ for $B$ which satisfies the weaker form of (25):

$$A \circ B_1 - \text{Id} = R_1 \in \Psi^{-1}_{\omega}(X; F).$$

The space $\Psi^{-1}_{\omega}(X; E)$ is an ideal in $\Psi^0_{\omega}(X; E)$ so, again using (18) the Neumann series for $(\text{Id} + R_1)^{-1}$ converges asymptotically in the sense of (23), allowing $B \sim B_1(\text{Id} + R_1)^{-1}$ to be constructed. To improve (25) we should therefore look for other ideals which allow finer approximations to an inverse to be constructed.

To find such ideals in the calculus we need to assume more about the algebra $\omega$. Through any point $x \in X$ let $Q = Q(x)$ be the closure of the set of endpoints of integral curves of $\omega$ starting at $x$. We shall demand that this be always a $p$-submanifold. This is the strongest condition on a submanifold in the category of manifolds with corners, it just means that near each point of $Q$ there is a coordinate system reducing the point to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^k$, and such that $Q$ is locally given by the intersection of coordinate planes; the first $k$ of these being the local boundary hypersurfaces. Restriction to $Q$ of the elements of $\omega$ defines a Lie algebra of vector fields on $Q$:

$$\mathcal{W}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{W}(Q) \subset \mathcal{W}_0(Q).$$

We demand that

$$\mathcal{W}(Q) = \mathcal{W}(Q) \text{ span } \mathcal{W}_0(Q) \text{ over the interior of } Q.$$  

It follows that the null space of the restriction (27), $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{W}; Q)$, defines a subbundle

$$\mathcal{W}(Q) \subset T_QX.$$

The fibres of this bundle are finite dimensional Lie algebras and

$$\mathcal{W}(Q) = \mathbb{C}^\infty(Q; T_QX/\mathcal{N}Q)$$

i.e. the structure bundle, $\mathcal{W}T_Q$, of $\mathcal{W}$ is just the quotient bundle $T_QX/\mathcal{N}Q$.

We actually require that these conditions on the integral leaves of $\omega$ hold locally uniformly in an appropriate sense. To explain this we first consider the notion of a $b$-map between compact $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ manifolds with corners. A $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ map

$$f : X \rightarrow X'$$

is a $b$-map if, for each boundary hypersurface $H' \in M_1(X')$ of $X'$ the lift of the ideal of functions, $\mathcal{I}(H') \subset \mathbb{C}^\infty(X')$, vanishing at $H'$ is the product of such ideals in $X$:

$$f^* \mathcal{I}(H') = \prod_{H \in M_1(X)} \mathcal{I}(H)^{e(H, H')}.$$  

In terms of defining functions of the boundary hypersurfaces this just means
The powers $e(H, H')$ are non-negative integers. One important consequence of (32) is that the differential of $f$ extends to the $b$-tangent space $bT X$, introduced above:

$$ f^* q_{H'} = a_{H'} \prod_{H \in M_1(X)} q^{e(H, H')}_H, \quad 0 < a_{H'} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X). $$

(32)

We introduce three refinements of the notion of a $b$-map related to the properties if this $b$-differential. Namely a $b$-map is said to be a $b$-submersion if the $b$-differential, (33), is everywhere surjective. It is said to be a $b$-normal map if

$$ \forall H \in M_1(X) \ e(H, H') \neq 0 \text{ for at most one } H' \in M_1(X'). $$

(34)

Finally a $b$-map is said to be a $b$-fibration if it is both $b$-normal and a $b$-submersion. A fibration of compact manifolds with corners is easily seen to be a $b$-fibration. The converse statement is not true. For any $b$-fibration $\phi : X \longrightarrow X'$ the subspace $\mathcal{V}_\phi(X) \subset \mathcal{V}_b(X)$, just the sections of the null space of $b\phi_*$, is a Lie algebra of vector fields satisfying all the conditions imposed so far, as is the weighted version

$$ q^k \mathcal{V}_\phi(X) = \{ V \in \mathcal{V}_b(X); V = q^kW, \ W \in \mathcal{V}_\phi(X) \} $$

$$ q^k = \prod_{H \in M_1(X)} q^{k(h)}_H $$

(35)

for any map $k : M_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \ldots\}$.

The final condition we wish to impose on $\mathcal{V}$ is that each boundary face $F \in M(X)$ (including $X$ itself) should have a $b$-fibration

$$ \phi_F : F \longrightarrow L(F) $$

(36)

such that

$$ Q(x) = \phi_F^{-1}(\phi_F(x)) \ \forall \ x \ \text{in the interior of } F. $$

(37)

Moreover we require that the Lie algebra is not too far from being that of the $b$-fibration corresponding to the interior:

$$ \mathcal{V}(X) \supset q^k \mathcal{V}_\phi(X) \text{ for some } k : M_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 $$

(38)

where $\phi = \phi_X$. Although the integral leaves through the interior points of $F$ are just the leaves of $\phi_F$ through those points this still allows considerable freedom for the $Q$ to change, in dimension, from face to face.

**Definition** (39). A boundary-fibration structure on a compact manifold with corners is a subspace $\mathcal{V}(X) \subset \mathcal{V}_b(X)$ satisfying (8), (11), (12), (28), (37) and (38).

With these additional conditions it follows that for each integral submanifold $Q = Q(x)$ each fibre $\mathcal{V}N_pQ, \ p \in Q$, is a solvable Lie algebra.
Notice that we are immediately forced to consider a more general notion than that of a boundary-fibration structure, namely the structure induced by a boundary-fibration structure on each of the leaves. In this extended type of structure there are hidden variables, namely those in the bundle, $\mathcal{T}NQ$ of solvable Lie algebras. A small calculus of pseudodifferential operators, still denoted $\Psi_f^m(X)$, corresponding to such a structure should behave as convolution operators on the fibres of the associated bundle of Lie groups.

The ideals needed to obtain finer approximate inverses of elliptic operators are associated with the quotients

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_Q(X) \cdot \mathcal{V}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(Q) = \mathcal{C}_\infty^r(X; \mathcal{T}T_Q X) \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Since $\mathcal{J}(Q) \cdot \mathcal{V}$ is an ideal this gives rise to a homomorphism of the enveloping algebras, which we call the normal operator at $Q$:

$$N_Q : \text{Diff}^k_\mathcal{V}(X; E, F) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\mathcal{V}(Q; E, F).$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

We require (as a condition on the $\mathcal{V}$-pseudodifferential operators) that there be an extension of this map

$$N_Q : \Psi_f^m(X; E, F) \rightarrow \Psi_f^m(Q; E, F).$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

The ideal

$$\Psi_f^{m,1}(X; E, F) = \{ A \in \Psi_f^m(X; E, F); N_Q(A) = 0 \ \forall \ Q \subset \partial X \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

and its powers

$$\Psi_f^{m,k}(X; E, F) = \Psi_f^{m,1}(X; E, F) \cdot \Psi_f^{0,k-1}(X; E)$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

are then of considerable interest and in particular we would expect that

$$\Psi_f^{r-\infty,\infty}(X; E, F) \ni A : \mathcal{C}_\infty^r(X; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty^r(X; F),$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

the latter space being the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_\infty^r(X; F)$ consisting of the sections vanishing to all order at $\partial X$.

Error terms of the type in (46) would be extremely satisfactory, but in most practical cases it is not possible to obtain them directly. This can be understood from the discussion of (26). In order to get the error in $\Psi_f^{r-1,1}(X; F)$, which could be improved by iteration, as in the proof of (25), we need to be able to solve the model problem

$$N_Q(A) \cdot N_Q(B) = \text{Id}$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

exactly, for all integral submanifolds $Q \subset \partial X$. This can often be done, and this is precisely the method used in the problems discussed below. However the inverse, $N_Q(B)$, is seldom in the small calculus associated to $\mathcal{V}$. In practice it is therefore necessary to consider full calculi which have more off-diagonal singularities. This is discussed in III below.
II. Applications and Examples

Next I shall consider various examples of Lie algebras of vector fields, \( \mathcal{V} \), and give some representative results concerning \( \mathcal{V} \)-differential operators which can be obtained by use of the calculus of \( \mathcal{V} \)-pseudodifferential operators.

(i) \( b \)-Structure

The first example is the one already mentioned, namely \( \mathcal{V}_b(X) \). This is naturally defined on any compact manifold with corners. For a compact manifold with boundary the associated \( b \)-calculus was described in [22], see also [15; Chapter 18], and called the totally characteristic calculus. For the general case of a compact manifold with corners the small calculus is described in [25] and the full calculus in [23]. Certainly \( \mathcal{V}_b(X) \) is a boundary-fibration structure and the calculus has all the properties described above. In the case of a compact manifold with boundary the only integral submanifolds are \( X \) and the components of the boundary \( H \in M_1(X) \). The \( b \)-fibrations required in (37) are all the single-leaf fibrations. Consider the normal operator for the calculus, as indicated in (43).

Since this is such a natural calculus the normal operator can be realized as an operator on an associated geometric space. Namely the normal bundle to a component of the boundary, \( N\{X; H\} \), \( H \in M_1(X) \), can be compactified to a bundle of intervals, denoted \( X_H \) over \( H \). This has a natural action of \([0, \infty)\). The normal operator takes the form

\[
N_H : \Psi^m_b(X) \rightarrow \Psi^m_{b,I}(X_H)
\]

where the suffix \( I \) denotes the \([0, \infty)\)-invariant elements. The invertibility properties of these operators can be discussed using the Mellin transform. In fact the Mellin transform of the normal operator gives an entire family of pseudodifferential operators on \( H \), called the indicial family:

\[
\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \mapsto I(A, \lambda) \in \Psi^m(H).
\]

If \( A \) is elliptic as a \( b \)-pseudodifferential operators this family is elliptic for each \( \lambda \) (in fact the principal symbol is independent of \( \lambda \)) and \( I(A, \lambda) \) is invertible except for a discrete set of points:

\[
\text{spec}_b(A) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : I(A, \lambda) \text{ is not invertible} \}.
\]

Theorem (51) (Melrose and Mendoza [24]). An elliptic element of \( \Psi^m_b(X) \) is Fredholm as a map on the space \( \mathcal{Q}^r_{b, \infty}(X) \) if and only if

\[
\text{Im} \lambda \neq -r \quad \forall \lambda \in \text{spec}_b(A).
\]

The formula for the index of such an elliptic \( b \)-pseudodifferential operators involves extensions of the work of Atiyah, Patodi and Singer [1] on index theory and the \( \eta \)-invariant.
Metrics on the bundle $^bT^*X$ are related to conic geometry. If $X$ is a compact manifold with boundary then near $\partial X$ it decomposes as a product, since $\partial X$ has a (global) defining function $x \in \Theta^{\infty}(X)$. By a conic metric (of weight $s$) on $X$ we mean a Riemann metric on the interior which, near $\partial X$, takes the form

$$g_b \sim x^{2s} \left[ \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right)^2 + h(x, y, dx, dy) \right]$$

for some defining function $x$, and with $h(0, y, 0, dy)$ a metric on $\partial X$. This is slightly more special than simply a fibre metric on $^bT^*X$. Cheeger considered such questions as the identity of the $L^2$ cohomology groups of $X$ with respect to $g_b$ (see [6, 7, 8]). These questions can be treated rather directly by constructing a good parametrix for the Laplacian using the $b$-calculus, see [23].

Another differential-geometric question involving the $b$-calculus is suggested by the work of Baum, Douglas and Taylor [3]). Namely to find an analytic construction of Poincaré duality for $K$-theory (Kasparov [16, 17]) for compact manifolds with boundary, extending the original motivation for $K$-homology of Atiyah.

**Theorem** (54) (Melrose and Piazza [25]). A $b$-quantization map induces isomorphisms realizing Poincaré duality:

$$K^i(T^*X) \hookrightarrow K_i(X, \partial X), \ K^i(T^*X, \partial T^*X) \hookrightarrow K_i(X), \ i = 0, 1.$$  

This means that the $K_0$-homology groups can be identified with equivalence classes of elliptic $b$-pseudodifferential operators on $X$. The $K_1$ groups can be identified with such classes on $X \times [0, 1]$, so the calculus on manifolds with corners is useful even if $X$ is a manifold with boundary.

The $b$-calculus also has other more analytic applications, particularly as related to spaces of conormal distributions.

(ii) 0-Structure

A second natural boundary-fibration structure on any compact manifold with boundary is the 0-structure. The Lie algebra of vector fields is

$$\mathcal{V}_0(X) = \{ V \in \mathcal{V}_b(X); V = 0 \text{ at } \partial X \}.$$  

The associated calculus described in [19] has all the properties introduced above.

The integral submanifolds are simply $X$ and the individual points of the boundary. The $b$-fibrations are therefore again trivial, the single-fibre fibration of $X$ and the point-fibre fibration of the boundary. Since each boundary point is a leaf the fibre of the structure bundle $^bT_pX$ is a solvable Lie algebra for each $p \in \partial X$; it is just the homogeneous extension of an abelian algebra. The normal operators at each boundary point are convolution operators on the associated solvable Lie group. Again the naturality of the 0-structure means that the normal operators
actually act on a geometric space, in this case on the inward-pointing half of the tangent space to $X$ at $p$.

Fibre metrics on the structure bundle $\mathcal{T}X$ are always of the form

$$g_0 = \frac{h}{x^2} \quad (56)$$

where $h$ is a metric in the ordinary sense on $X$ and $x$ is a defining function for the boundary. The invariant metric on hyperbolic $n$-space, represented as the ball $\{ |x| < 1 \}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$g_H = \frac{|dx|^2}{1 - |x|^2}$$

is clearly an example of such a metric. In fact the normal operator of the Laplacian of a metric (56) is always reducible to the hyperbolic Laplacian. This can be used to show that the spectral theory (indeed the scattering theory) of a 0-metric is similar to that of the hyperbolic Laplacian. For example

**Theorem** (57) (Mazzeo and Melrose [19]). If $0 < \kappa \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ and $\kappa |dx|^2_h = 1$ at $\partial X$ then the kernel of the normalized resolvent of the metric (56),

$$[\Lambda - \kappa s(n - s)]^{-1}, \quad (58)$$

extends to a meromorphic family of 0-pseudodifferential operators, $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Mazzeo in [18] used the construction of a parametrix for the Laplacian to find the Hodge cohomology of a 0-metric as in (56). Metrics of this form arise on cuspless, infinite volume, quotients of hyperbolic space by a geometrically finite discrete group (e.g. Schottky groups).

Other problems can be tackled using these operators, in particular the solution operators to elliptic boundary problems lie in this calculus.

**(iii) Bergman Geometry**

Any $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ carries Kähler metrics with Kähler form

$$\omega_\Omega = -i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \varrho \quad (59)$$

where $-\varrho$ is a plurisubharmonic defining function for $\partial \Omega$. The Laplacian of this metric corresponds to a boundary-fibration structure on $X = \Omega_{1/1}$, the manifold obtained from (and diffeomorphic to) $\Omega$ by adjoining $\sqrt{\varrho}$ to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$, to give $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega_{1/1})$. Thus there is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ map (with fold singularity at the boundary) $i_{1/1} : X \to \Omega$. On $X$ the boundary-fibration structure is determined by the contact form $\theta$ on $\partial \Omega$. This form fixes a class of 1-forms on $X$ such that for any representative, $\Theta$,

$$\mathcal{V}_\Theta(X) = \{ V \in \mathcal{V}_0(X); \Theta(V) = O(r^2) \} \quad (60)$$

where $r = (i_{1/1})^* \sqrt{\varrho} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. 
Theorem (61) (Epstein, Melrose and Mendoza [11]). The resolvent of the Bergman-type Laplacian, of the metric corresponding to (59), has an analytic extension similar to that of the Laplacian on the ball:

\[ (\mathcal{A} - s(1 - s))^{-1} \]

extends from \( \text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2} \) to be a meromorphic family of \( \Theta \)-pseudodifferential operators, \( s \in \mathbb{C} \).

Using this same approach, i.e. by studying the Bergman-type Laplacian, but now on \((n,0)\) and \((n,1)\)-forms one can provide an alternate solution of Kohn's \( \bar{\partial} \)-Neumann problem:

\[ \bar{\partial}u = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}^\infty(\Omega; A^{0,1}), \quad \bar{\partial}f = 0 \]
\[ u \perp H(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega), \; \bar{\partial}u = 0 \} . \]

(62)

Corollary (63). On any \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \) strictly pseudoconvex domain Kohn's \( \bar{\partial} \)-Neumann problem (62) is solved, \( u = Nf \), by a \( \Theta \)-pseudodifferential operator of order \(-1\).

The standard regularity properties, see [13], then follow readily.

(iv) Adiabatic Limit of a Fibration

Consider a fibration of compact manifolds

\[ F \longrightarrow M \]
\[ \downarrow \phi \]
\[ Y . \]

On the total space, \( M \), consider a 1-parameter family of metrics which becomes singular as the parameter, \( x \downarrow 0 \):

\[ g_x = g_\infty + \frac{\phi^*h}{x^2} . \]

(64)

Here \( g_\infty \) is a metric on \( M \) (or just a symmetric cotensor which is non-degenerate on the fibres) and \( h \) is a metric on \( Y \).

This is an example of a singular limit. It was considered by Witten ([28]) in a special case to study the \( \eta \)-invariant. In general the behaviour of the adiabatic limit of the \( \eta \)-invariant was discussed by Bismut and Cheeger [2] and by Dai [9]. The analytic torsion or Ray and Singer can be examined by similar methods (see Dai, Epstein and Melrose [10]).

The associated boundary-fibration structure provides a simple way to describe the adiabatic limit of the Hodge cohomology. The manifold with corners on which we work is \( X = M \times [0,1] \) where the extra factor is the parameter \( x \). Then set

\[ \mathcal{V}_0(X) = \{ V \in \mathcal{V}_0(X) ; Vx \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \}
\[ V \text{ is tangent to the fibres of } \phi \text{ at } x = 0 \} . \]

(65)
If local coordinates are taken for the fibration, \( y \) in the base and \( z \) in the fibres then locally

\[
\mathcal{N}_a(X) = \text{sp} \{ x \partial y, \partial z \} = \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X; aTX). \tag{66}
\]

The \( b \)-fibrations required, in (37), to show that \( \mathcal{N}_a(X) \) is a boundary-fibration structure are given by the projection \( X \rightarrow M \) over the interior and \( \{ x = 1 \} \) and by the fibration \( \phi \) over \( \{ x = 0 \} \). The metrics (64) fix a fibre metric on \( TX \) and so, from (13) the Laplacian \( \Delta_a \in \text{Diff}_a^2(X; aA^k) \). Then

\[
\mathcal{H}^k = \{ u \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X; aA^k); A_a u = 0 \}
\]

is the space of all \( \mathcal{E}^{\infty} \) sections of a vector bundle over \([0,1]\) with fibre \( H^k_x \subset \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X_x; aA^k) \). The behaviour of these fibres at 0 brings out the Leray spectral sequence for the fibration. Namely

**Proposition** (67) (Mazzeo and Melrose [20]). For each \( k \) the spaces, defined for each \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \),

\[
E^{k,\ell} = \begin{cases} u_0 \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X_0; aA^k); & \exists \, \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X; aA^k) \\
with \, \tilde{u}|_{x=0} = u_0, \, A_a \tilde{u} = 0(x^{2\ell}) \end{cases} \tag{68}
\]

form a decreasing sequence of vector spaces stabilizing to \( H^k_0 \), which has the dimension of the cohomology of \( M \) :

\[
E^{k,0} \supset E^{k,1} \supset E^{k,2} \supset \cdots \supset E^{k,N} = \cdots = E^{k,\infty} = H^k_0. \tag{69}
\]

Here \( E^{k,0} = \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(X_0; aA^k) \), \( E^{1,k} \) is the space of sections of a vector bundle over \( Y \) and \( E^{k,2} \) is finite dimensional.

**(v) Töplitz Correspondence**

Any compact \( \mathcal{E}^{\infty} \) manifold, \( Y \), can be embedded as a totally real submanifold of a complex manifold, \( \Omega \), with \( \dim \mathbb{C} \Omega = \dim \mathbb{R} Y \), (Bruhat and Whitney [5]). There exists \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(\Omega) \) with

\[
\varphi \geq 0, \, Y = \{ \varphi = 0 \}
\]

and a non-degenerate minimum at, i.e. non-degenerate Hessian normal to, \( Y \). Then the tubes around \( Y \)

\[
\Omega_\varepsilon = \{ z \in \Omega; \varphi(z) \leq \varepsilon^2 \}
\]

are, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough, strictly pseudoconvex neighbourhoods of \( Y \). A fibration of \( \Omega \) near \( Y \) and transversal to it can be chosen so that Im \( \partial \varphi \) vanishes on the fibres. The Töplitz correspondence is the map obtained by fibre-integration of holomorphic \((n,0)\) forms:

\[
T_\varepsilon : \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(\Omega_\varepsilon; A_k^0); \, \bar{\partial} u = 0 \right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\infty}(Y). \tag{70}
\]
Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin [4] showed that this map is Fredholm and conjectured the following

**Theorem (71) (Epstein and Melrose [12]).** There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ the Töplitz correspondence (70) is an isomorphism.

The main step in the proof is the uniform solution of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem in $\Omega_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. For this we start with the manifold $M \simeq \Omega_{\varepsilon_0} \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$, obtained by blowing up the singular set in the cone formed by the shrinking tubes,

$$Y \times \{0\} \subset \{(z, \varepsilon); \varrho(z) \leq \varepsilon^2, \ 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0\}.$$

Thus $M$ is a manifold with corners, it has three boundary hypersurfaces. The blow-up procedure introduces an ‘adiabatic’ boundary $H_\alpha$, and this has a fibration, $\phi_\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow Y$. There is a trivial boundary at $\{\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0\}$ and the $\theta$-boundary, $H_\theta$. The $\theta$-boundary corresponds to the boundary of $\Omega$ and has a contact bundle defined on it. Let $X$ be the manifold with the square-root $C^\infty$ structure introduced at $H_\theta$, there is a conformal class of 1-forms, with representative $\Theta$, defined at the new $H_\Theta$. On $X$ the $\alpha$-structure is obtained by combining the $\Theta$-structure of (iii) with the adiabatic structure of (iv):

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha(X) = \left\{ V \in \mathcal{V}_\theta(X); Vx \equiv 0, \right.\left. V \text{ is tangent to the fibres of } \phi_\alpha \text{ and } V \text{ vanishes at } H_\theta \text{ with } \Theta(V) = O(\varrho_\Theta^2) \right\}.$$

Here $\varrho_\Theta$ is a defining function for $H_\Theta$; $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(X)$ is a boundary-fibration structure. The adiabatic Bergman-type metric with Kähler form

$$-i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \left( \frac{\varrho}{\varrho_\Theta^2} - 1 \right)$$

is a fibre metric on the structure bundle $^{\alpha}TX$ of $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$. Thus, by Proposition (13) the Laplacian is an elliptic element of $\text{Diff}_2^\alpha(X; \mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta})$. One of the crucial steps in proving Theorem (71) is to show that the Bergman projection, onto holomorphic functions on the tube of radius $\varepsilon$, is an $\alpha$-pseudodifferential operator.

**(vi) Analytic Surgery**

Suppose $M$ is a compact manifold without boundary and $H \subset M$ is an embedded, oriented closed hypersurface. If $\varrho$ is a defining function for $H$ and $x$ is a parameter consider the 1-parameter family of metrics

$$g_x = a \, d\varrho^2 + (\varrho^2 + x^2)h.$$

Here $a > 0$ is a $C^\infty$ function in $(\varrho, x)$-polar coordinates, i.e. on the manifold, $X = [M \times [0, 1]; H \times \{0\}]$, obtained by blowing up $H \times \{0\}$ in $M \times [0, 1]$ and $h$ is a metric on $M$. As $x \downarrow 0$ the metric $g_x$ degenerates to a conic metric (incomplete) on the manifold with boundary obtained by closing $M \setminus H$ with two copies of $H$. The boundary-fibration structure on $X$ is
McDonald in his PHD thesis [21] has constructed the $v$-calculus which contains the inverse of the Laplacian of $g_x$. This allows one to describe, somewhat as in (iv), the behaviour of the Hodge cohomology as $x \downarrow 0$. Partial results of this type have been obtained by Seeley and Singer [27] and more recently extended by Seeley [26].

(vii) Projective Algebraic Varieties

One rather intriguing question is whether the notion of boundary-fibration in Definition (39) applies to a singular projective algebraic variety $M$. More precisely let $X$ be a compact manifold with corners obtained from $M$ in two steps. First let $F : \tilde{M} \to M$ be a resolution of $M$, so the preimage of the singular locus of $M$ is a finite union of hypersurfaces in $\tilde{M}$ with only normal intersections. From the real point of view these are embedded submanifolds of codimension two, let $X$ be obtained by real blow-up of these submanifolds. Now we ask:

**Question (74).** Is there a boundary-fibration structure on $X$ (for an appropriate choice of $\tilde{M}$) such that the induced (Fubini-Study) metric on the regular part of $M$ is a weighted fibre metric on the structure bundle?

A weighted metric is as in (53), i.e. a fibre metric with a conformal factor which is a product of powers of defining functions for boundary hypersurfaces. If such a boundary-fibration structure exists then the construction of the corresponding $v$-pseudodifferential calculus, as outlined next, should allow a rather direct treatment of the Hodge cohomology of the Laplacian.

III. Microlocalization

In the final part of my talk I wish to give a brief description of a general procedure to construct a calculus of $v$-pseudodifferential operators. For simplicity I shall only consider the cases involving no parameters, i.e. when $v$ spans the tangent space over the interior of $X$. This description therefore only applies to cases (i)—(iii) although the general case is quite similar, simply more complicated. Also for simplicity of presentation I shall suppress various line bundles, typically weighted density bundles, which occur during the construction by describing their sections as 'distributional densities'.

Schwartz' kernel theorem asserts that the space of continuous linear maps

$$A : \mathcal{S}^\omega(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{-\omega}(X),$$

where the range space is the space of extendible distributions on a compact manifold with corners, can be identified with a space distributional densities over the product $X^2 = X \times X$; namely the sections of the 'kernel density bundle' $\text{KD}$. Thus $k_A$ is the kernel if $A$ if
If \( \partial X = \emptyset \) then under this identification

\[
\Psi^m(X) \leftrightarrow I^m(X^2, A; \mathcal{K}D).
\]

The notation for the space of conormal distributions on the right, on \( X^2 \) associated to the diagonal, \( A = \{(x, x') \in X^2; x = x'\} \), is that of Hörmander ([14]). The differential operators on \( X \) are precisely the local pseudodifferential operators so:

\[
\text{Diff}^k(X) \leftrightarrow \left\{ k \in I^k(X^2, A; \mathcal{K}D); \text{supp}(k) \subset A \right\}.
\]

This means that the kernels of differential operators are Dirac sections of \( \mathcal{K}D \).

The conormal distributions in (77) are those distributions which are singular only at \( A \) and in any local coordinates have Fourier transform in directions transversal to \( A \) symbol:

\[
a(x, \xi) = \int k(x, x') e^{i(x-x') \cdot \xi} d x' \in S^m \text{ i.e. satisfies (79)}
\]

\[
|\partial_{\xi}^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta|} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta.
\]

A function which is smooth in \( x \) and a polynomial in \( \xi \) certainly satisfies these estimates. The differential operators are pseudodifferential operators for which \( a \) is a polynomial. Thus if we think of the algebra extension

\[
\text{Diff}^*(X) \leftrightarrow \Psi^*(X)
\]

as microlocalization of \( \mathcal{E}^\omega(X; TX) \) it consists in the replacement of all polynomials by all symbols in (79), or all Dirac sections smooth along \( A \) in (76) by all conormal sections. Then one can think of pseudodifferential operators as non-commutative symbolic functions of vector fields, just as differential operators are non-commutative polynomials in vector fields.

Algebraically it is clear that this construction should be extendible to boundary-fibration structures. Indeed the assumption (12) means that the elements of \( \mathcal{V} \) are just linear functions on the fibres of the bundle \( \mathcal{V}T^*X \). Thus one can think of the elements of \( \text{Diff}^*_\mathcal{V}(X) \) as corresponding to all polynomials on \( \mathcal{V}T^*X \). However we need to realize this in a more geometric fashion to generalize (77). Note that \( \text{Diff}^*_\mathcal{V}(X) \) cannot correspond to all the Dirac sections at the diagonal, since the full algebra of differential operators does!

So we look for a new manifold with corners, the \( \mathcal{V} \)-stretched product \( X^2_\mathcal{V} \), which resolves the diagonal from this point of view. More precisely there should be a \( b \)-map

\[
\beta_\mathcal{V} : X^2_\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow X^2
\]

which is a diffeomorphism of the interior of \( X^2_\mathcal{V} \) to the interior of \( X^2 \) and a \( p \)-submanifold \( A_\mathcal{V} \subset X^2_\mathcal{V} \) such that

\[
\beta_\mathcal{V} : A_\mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow A.
\]
Moreover $X^2_\mathcal{V}$ should be constructed in such a way that each element of $\mathcal{V}$, lifted to $X^2$ acting on the left factor of $X$, is $\beta_\mathcal{V}$-related to a vector field on $X^2_\mathcal{V}$, i.e. the Lie algebra lifts from the left factor, and so that the lifted algebra is transversal to $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$, meaning it spans the normal bundle. It is also natural to demand symmetry of the construction, so that the reflection giving interchange of the factors, $$\tau : X^2 \ni (x,x') \mapsto (x',x) \in X^2$$ should lift to diffeomorphism $\tau_\mathcal{V}$ of $X^2_\mathcal{V}$.

Once we find such a resolution we can define a corresponding class of operators. The fact the $\beta_\mathcal{V}$ is a $b$-map which is an isomorphism over the interior means that $$\beta^*_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{E}^{-\infty}(X^2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-\infty}(X^2_\mathcal{V}). \quad (83)$$

Thus the kernel of an operator can just as well be considered as a distributional density on $X^2_\mathcal{V}$ as on $X^2$. Next we need to find a replacement for the space on the right in (77). This is also easy since the assumption that $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$, the lifted diagonal, is a $p$-submanifold means that it is natural just to take $I^m(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V})$ to be the set of restrictions to $X^2_\mathcal{V}$ of the conormal distributions with respect to an extension of $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$ in an extension of $X^2$ as in (3). Away from $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$ these distributions are $\mathcal{E}^{\infty}$ so we can impose additional conditions to get

$$I^m_{\text{sm}}(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V}) = \left\{ \kappa \in I^m(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V}); \kappa \equiv 0 \text{ at all } H \in M_1(X^2) \text{ s.t. } H \cap \Lambda_\mathcal{V} = \emptyset \right\}. \quad (84)$$

This allows us to define the small calculus by analogy with (77):

$$\Psi^m_\mathcal{V}(X) \hookrightarrow I^m_{\text{sm}}(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V}; \text{KD}). \quad (85)$$

In all the cases (i)–(vi) (and various others) which have been analyzed the stretched product can be defined by a process of blowing up the integral submanifolds $Q$ of $\mathcal{V}$ in $\partial X$ as submanifolds of the boundary of the diagonal in $X^2$.

This definition automatically gives the inclusion (17) and a symbol map as in (19). The product formula (18) is not so immediate. Before discussing this briefly let me note where the ‘full’ calculus, alluded to above, comes from. The kernels in (85) are singular just at the diagonal. However it is rather natural to expect similar conormal singularities to arise at the other naturally defined submanifolds of $X^2_\mathcal{V}$, namely the boundary hypersurfaces. Thus we can consider more general spaces of conormal distributions, denoted $I^{m,m}(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda)$, where $m : M_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associates an order to each boundary face. Then

$$\Psi^{m,m}_\mathcal{V}(X) \hookrightarrow I^{m,m}(X^2_\mathcal{V}, \Lambda_\mathcal{V}; \text{KD}). \quad (86)$$

In practice one should consider polyhomogeneous spaces, with elements having expansions in terms of powers of the defining functions at the boundary hypersurfaces and the diagonal. The small calculus then just corresponds to kernels of order $+\infty$ (meaning rapidly vanishing) at hypersurfaces not meeting $\Lambda_\mathcal{V}$ and smooth up to the other hypersurfaces (having expansions with non-negative integral powers only).
The most important outstanding point is the proof of a product formula, (18), or more generally for the full calculus (although this is not usually an algebra so the orders must satisfy appropriate bounds for operators to compose). This proof is also carried out geometrically in these cases. The crucial construction is that of the $\mathcal{V}$-triple product, $X^3_{\mathcal{V}}$. This is related to $X^2_{\mathcal{V}}$ in essentially the same way that $X^3$ is related to $X^2$. Namely there are three maps

$$\pi^3_{0,\mathcal{V}} : X^3_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow X^2_{\mathcal{V}}$$

(87)

for $\sigma = f, c, s$ corresponding to projection off the left, the central and the right factor of $X$. The notation corresponds to the fact that in the product formula

$$C = A \cdot B$$

(88)

the kernel of $C$, the composite operator, can be computed from those of $A$, the second operator, and $B$, the first operator, by pull-back, product and push-forward operations:

$$\kappa_C = (\pi^3_{c,\mathcal{V}})^* \left[ (\pi^3_{s,\mathcal{V}})^* \kappa_A \cdot (\pi^3_{f,\mathcal{V}})^* \kappa_B \right].$$

(89)

Here of course one needs to interpret the operations appropriately in terms of distributional densities. Thus the functorial properties of conormal distributions are of primary importance. In particular it is crucial that the stretched projections (87) be $b$-fibrations since the push-forward and pull-back of polyhomogeneous conormal distributions under $b$-fibrations are polyhomogeneous conormal, see [23].
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§1. Introduction

Let us begin by explaining the background of the birational classification. We will work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers unless otherwise mentioned.

Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety of dimension $r$. The canonical divisor class $K_X$ is the only divisor class (up to multiples) naturally defined on an arbitrary $X$. Its sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the sheaf of holomorphic $r$-forms. An alternative description is $K_X = -c_1(X)$, where $c_1(X)$ is the first Chern class of $X$. Therefore it is natural to expect some role of $K_X$ in the classification of algebraic varieties.

The classification of non-singular projective curves $C$ is classical, and summarized in the following table, where $g(C)$ is the genus (the number of holes) of $C$, $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im} z > 0\}$ and $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{g}(C) & 0 & 1 & \geq 2 \\
\text{deg}K_C & -2 & 0 & 2g(C) - 2 \\
C & \mathbb{P}^1 & \mathbb{C}/(\text{lattice}) & H/\Gamma \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Here we see three different situations. For instance, everything is explicit if $g(C) = 0$; the moduli (to parametrize curves) is the main interest if $g(C) \geq 2$.

Our interest is in generalizing this to higher dimensions. The first difficulty which arises in the surface case is that there are too many varieties for genuine classification (biregular classification).

(1.2) For a non-singular projective surface $X$ and an arbitrary point $x \in X$, there is a birational morphism $\pi : B_xX \to X$ from a non-singular projective surface $B_xX$ such that $E = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ ($E$ is called a $(-1)$-curve) and $\pi$ induces an isomorphism $B_xX - E \simeq X - x$.

In view of (1.2), it is impractical to distinguish $X$ from $B_xX, B_yB_xX, \ldots$ if we want a reasonable classification list. More generally, we say that two algebraic varieties $X$ and $Y$ are birationally equivalent and we write $X \sim Y$ if there is a birational mapping $X \cdots \to Y$ or equivalently if their rational function fields $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ are isomorphic function fields over $\mathbb{C}$. We did not face this phenomenon in the curve case, since $X \sim Y$ iff $X \sim Y$ for curves $X$ and $Y$. 
In view of the list (1.1) for curves, we need to divide the varieties into several classes to formulate more precise problems. This is why the Kodaira dimension \( \kappa(X) \) of a non-singular projective variety \( X \) was introduced by [Iitaka1] and [Moishezon].

(1.3) Let \( H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(vK_X)) \) be the space of global \( v \)-ple holomorphic \( r \)-forms \( (v \geq 0, r = \dim X) \), and \( \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N \) be its basis. If \( N \geq 0 \), then

\[
\Phi_{vK_X} : X \to \mathbb{P}^N \text{ given by } \Phi_{vK}(x) = (\phi_0(x) : \cdots : \phi_N(x))
\]

is a rational map. We set \( P_v(X) = N + 1 \). It is important that \( H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(vK_X)) \) and \( \Phi_{vK} \) are birational invariants, that is \( X \sim Y \) induces \( H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(vK_Y)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(vK_Y)) \) for \( v > 0 \). We set \( \kappa(X) = -\infty \) if \( P_v(X) = 0 \) for all \( v > 0 \). If \( P_e(X) > 0 \) for some \( e > 0 \), then

\[
\kappa(X) := \max\{\dim \Phi_{vK_X}(X) \mid v > 0\}.
\]

In particular, \( P_v(X) \) and \( \kappa(X) \) are birational invariants of \( X \).

We remark that \( \kappa(X) \in \{-\infty, 0, 1, \ldots, \dim X\} \), and that \( X \) with \( \kappa(X) = \dim X \) is said to be of general type. We have the following table for curves.

(1.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( g(C) )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>( \geq 2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa(C) )</td>
<td>-\infty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To have some idea on higher dimensions, we can use the easy result \( \kappa(X \times Y) = \kappa(X) + \kappa(Y) \). In particular,

(1.5) case \( (\kappa(X) = -\infty) \quad \kappa(\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y) = -\infty \),

(1.6) case \( (0 < \kappa(X) < \dim X) \)

\[
\kappa(E \times \cdots \times E \times C \times \cdots \times C) = b \text{ if } g(E) = 1 \text{ and } g(C) \geq 2.
\]

The case \( 0 < \kappa(X) < \dim X \) is studied by the Iitaka fibration.

(1.7) Iitaka Fibering Theorem [Iitaka2]. Let \( X \) be a non-singular projective variety with \( 0 < \kappa(X) < \dim X \). Then there is a morphism \( f : X' \to Y' \) of non-singular projective varieties with connected fibers such that \( X' \sim X \), \( \dim Y' = \kappa(X) \) and \( \kappa(f^{-1}(y)) = 0 \) for a sufficiently general point \( y \in Y' \).

In (1.7), we cannot expect \( \kappa(Y') = \dim Y' \) or even \( \kappa(Y') \geq 0 \). Therefore \( X' \) is not so simple as (1.6). Nevertheless (1.7) reduces the case \( 0 < \kappa(X) < \dim X \) to the cases \( \kappa(X) = -\infty, 0, \dim X \). Thus we can explain the birational classification as in (1.1) for higher dimensions.
§2. Birational Classification

For a non-singular projective variety $X$, we define a graded ring (called the canonical ring)

$$R(X) = \bigoplus_{v \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(vK_X)).$$

If $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, the $v$-canonical image $\Phi_{vK}(X)$ is a birational invariant of $X$. The existence of stable canonical image is interpreted in terms of $R(X)$ by the following easy proposition.

(2.1) Proposition. Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety of $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Then $\Phi_{vK}(X)$ for sufficiently divisible $v > 0$ are all naturally isomorphic iff $R(X)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.

(2.2) For $X$ of general type, constructing moduli spaces is one of our main interests. One standard way is to try to find a uniform $v$ such that $\Phi_{vK}(X)$ is birational for all $X$ and classify the image. One can expect nice properties of the image (canonical model to be explained later) if there is a stable canonical image. Therefore we would like to ask whether the canonical ring is finitely generated for $X$ of general type (2.1).

(2.3) The case $\kappa(X) = \dim X$ suggests to reduce the birational classification of all varieties to the biregular classification of standard models (like $\Phi_{vK}(X)$ for sufficiently divisible $v$). However, when $\kappa(X) < \dim X$, there are no obvious candidates for the standard models. For $0 \leq \kappa(X)$, we can ask to find some “standard” models.

We only say the following for $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ at this point.

(2.4) For $X$ with $\kappa(X) = 0$, we would like to find some “standard” model $Y \sim X$ and to classify all such $Y$.

(2.5) For many $X$ with $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, there exist infinitely many “standard” models $\sim X$. To study the relation among these models is a role of birational geometry. We would like to have a structure theorem of such models. One general problem is to see if all such $X$ are uniruled, i.e. there exists a rational curve through an arbitrary point of $X$, or equivalently there is a dominating rational map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y \to X$ for some $Y$ of dimension $n - 1$. (It is easy to see that uniruled varieties have $\kappa = -\infty$ as in (1.5).)

Since we use the formulation by Iitaka and Moishezon, one basic problem will be the deformation invariance of $\kappa$.

(2.6) Conjecture [Iitaka1, Moishezon]. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth projective morphism with connected fibers and connected $Y$. Then $\kappa(f^{-1}(y))$ and $P_v(f^{-1}(y))$ $(v \geq 1)$ are independent of $y \in Y$. 
§3. Surface Case

We review a few classical results on surfaces which may help the reader to understand the results for 3-folds.

The basic result is the inverse process of (1.2).

(3.1) \textbf{Castelnuovo-Enriques.} Let \( E \) be a curve on a non-singular projective surface \( X \). Then \( E \) is a \((-1)\)-curve (i.e. \( X' = B_xX \) and \( E \) is the inverse image of \( x \) for some non-singular projective surface \( X \) and \( x \in X \)) iff \( E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( (E \cdot K_X) = -1 \). We write \( \text{cont}_E : X' \to X \) and call it the contraction of the \((-1)\)-curve \( E \).

Finding a \((-1)\)-curve in every exceptional set, we have the following:

(3.2) \textbf{Factorization of Birational Morphisms.} Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a birational morphism of non-singular projective surfaces. Then \( f \) is a composition of a finite number of contractions of \((-1)\)-curves.

Starting with a non-singular projective surface \( X \), we can keep contracting \((-1)\)-curves if there are any. After a finite number of contractions, we get a non-singular projective surface \( Y(\sim X) \) with no \((-1)\)-curves. Depending on whether \( K_Y \) is nef (\( (K_Y \cdot C) \geq 0 \) for all curves \( C \)), \( \kappa(X) \) takes different values.

(3.3) Case where \( K_Y \) is nef. Then \( Y \) is the only non-singular projective surface \( \sim X \) with no \((-1)\)-curves. To be precise, if \( Y' \) is a such surface, then the composite \( Y \cdots \to X \cdots \to Y' \) is an isomorphism. This \( Y \) is called the \textit{minimal model} of \( X \) and denoted by \( X_{\text{min}} \). In this case, we have \( \kappa(X) \geq 0 \).

(3.4) Case where \( K_Y \) is not nef. Then an arbitrary \( Y' \) (including \( Y \)) which is birational to \( X \) and has no \((-1)\)-curves is isomorphic to either \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) or a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over some non-singular curve. In this case, \( X \) has no minimal models and we have \( \kappa(X) = -\infty \) by (1.5).

The above (3.3) together with (3.4) says that the birational classification of \( X \) with \( \kappa \geq 0 \) is equivalent to the biregular classification of minimal models.

Based on (3.3) and (3.4), the canonical model is defined.

(3.5) Let \( X \) be a non-singular projective surface of general type. Then there exists exactly one normal projective surface \( Z(\sim X) \) such that \( Z \) has only Du Val (rational double) points and \( K_Z \) is ample, where Du Val points are defined by one of the following list.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_n &: xy + z^{n+1} = 0 \ (n \geq 0), \\
D_n &: x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} = 0 \ (n \geq 4), \\
E_6 &: x^2 + y^3 + z^4 = 0, \\
E_7 &: x^2 + y^3 + yz^3 = 0, \\
E_8 &: x^2 + y^3 + z^5 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Such $Z$ is called the *canonical model* of $X$ and denoted by $X_{\text{can}}$. The natural map $X_{\text{min}} \cdots \to X_{\text{can}}$ is a morphism which contracts all the rational curves $C$ with $(C \cdot K_{X_{\text{min}}}) = 0$ into Du Val points and is isomorphic elsewhere.

(3.5.1) **Remark.** This $X_{\text{can}}$ can also be obtained as $\Phi_{rK}(X_{\text{min}}) = \Phi_{rK}(X)$ for an arbitrary $r \geq 5$ (Bombieri).

(3.6) Let $X$ be a non-singular projective minimal surface with $\kappa = 0$. Thus $X$ has torsion $K_X$, i.e. some non-zero multiple of it is trivial. There is a precise classification of all such $X$.

(3.7) The deformation invariance of $\kappa(x)$ and $P_r(X)$ was done by [Iitaka3] using the classification of surfaces. [Levine] gave a simple proof without using classification.

§4. The Extremal Ray Theory (The Minimal Model Theory)

The first problem in generalizing the results in §3 to higher dimensions is to find some class of varieties in which there is a reasonable contraction theorem because there is no immediate generalization of (3.1) to 3-folds, since the contraction process inevitably introduces singularities [Morii]. To define the necessary class of singularities, the first important step was taken by Reid [Reid1,3].

(4.1) **Definition** [Reid3]. Let $(X,P)$ be a normal germ of an algebraic variety (or an analytic space) which is normal. We say that $(X,P)$ has *terminal singularities* (resp. *canonical singularities*) iff

(i) $K_X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor, i.e. $rK_X$ is Cartier for some positive integer $r$ (minimal such $r$ is called the *index* of $(X,P)$), and

(ii) for some (or equivalently, every) resolution $\pi : Y \to (X,P)$, we have $a_i > 0$ (resp. $a_i \geq 0$) for all $i$ in the expression:

$$rK_Y = \pi^* (rK_Y) + \sum a_i E_i,$$

where $E_i$ are all the exceptional divisors and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For surfaces, a terminal (resp. canonical) singularity is smooth (resp. a Du Val point). We note that, for projective varieties $X$ with only canonical singularities, the same definitions of $P_r(X)$, $\Phi_{rK}$ and $\kappa(X)$ work and these are still birational invariants. We can also talk about the ampleness of $K_X$ and the intersection number $(K_X \cdot C) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for such $X$.

The idea of the cone of curves which is the core of the extremal ray theory was first introduced in Hironaka's thesis [Hironaka].

(4.2) **Definition.** Let $X$ be a projective $n$-fold. A 1-cycle $\sum a_C C$ is a formal finite sum of irreducible curves $C$ on $X$ with coefficients $a_C \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a 1-cycle $Z$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $D$, the intersection number $(Z \cdot D) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is defined. Then
\[ N_1(X)_\mathbb{Z} = \{1\text{-cycles}\}/\{1\text{-cycles } Z \mid (Z \cdot D) = 0 \text{ for all } D \} \]

is a free abelian group of finite rank \( q(X) < \infty \). Thus \( N_1(X) = N_1(X)_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{R} \) is a finite dimensional Euclidean space. The classes \([C]\) of all the irreducible curves \( C \) span a convex cone \( NE(X) \) in \( N_1(X) \). Taking the closure for the metric topology, we have a closed convex cone \( \overline{NE}(X) \). Then

(4.3) **Cone Theorem.** If \( X \) has only canonical singularities, then there exist countably many half lines \( R_i \subset NE(X) \) such that

(i) \( \overline{NE}(X) = \sum_i R_i + \{z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid (z \cdot K_X) \geq 0\} \),

(ii) for an arbitrary ample divisor \( H \) of \( X \) and arbitrary \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there are only finitely many \( R_i \)'s contained in

\[ \{z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid (z \cdot K_X) \leq -\varepsilon (z \cdot H)\} \).

Such an \( R_i \) is called an extremal ray of \( X \) if it cannot be omitted in (i) of (4.3). We note that an extremal ray exists on \( X \) iff \( K_X \) is not nef. Each extremal ray \( R_i \) defines a contraction of \( X \).

(4.4) **Contraction Theorem.** Let \( R \) be an extremal ray of a projective \( n \)-fold \( X \) with only canonical singularities. Then there exists a morphism \( f : X \to Y \) to a projective variety \( Y \) (unique up to isomorphism) such that \( f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \) and an irreducible curve \( C \subset X \) is sent to a point by \( f \) iff \([C] \in R \). Furthermore \( \text{Pic} Y = \text{Ker}[\cdot] : \text{Pic} X \to \mathbb{Z} \) for such a contracted curve \( C \). This \( f \) is called the contraction of \( R \) and denoted by \( \text{cont}_R \).

The contraction of an extremal ray is not always birational.

(4.5) **Let** \( X \) **be a smooth projective surface with an extremal ray** \( R \). **Then** \( \text{cont}_R \) **is one of the following.**

(i) **the contraction of a** \(-1\)-**curve,**

(ii) **a** \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-**bundle structure** \( X \to C \) **over a non-singular curve,**

(iii) **a morphism to one point, when** \( X \approx \mathbb{P}^2 \).

The description of all the possible contractions for a nonsingular projective 3-fold \( X \) is given in [Morii1]. Here we only remark that \( \text{cont}_R X \) can have a terminal singularity \( \mathbb{C}^3 / \langle \sigma \rangle \) of index 2, where \( \sigma \) is the involution \( \sigma(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -z) \).

(4.6) **The** category of varieties in which we play the game of the minimal model program is the category \( \mathcal{G} \) of projective varieties with only terminal singularities which are \( \mathbb{Q}\)-factorial (i.e. every Weil divisor is \( \mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier). The goal of the game is to get a minimal (resp. canonical) model, i.e. a projective \( n \)-fold \( X \) with only terminal (resp. canonical) singularities such that \( K_X \) is nef (resp. ample). Let us first state the minimal model program which involves two conjectures.

(4.7) **Let** \( X \) **be an** \( n \)-**fold** \( \in \mathcal{G} \). **If** \( K_X \) **is nef, then** \( X \) **is a minimal model and we are done. Otherwise,** \( X \) **has an extremal ray** \( R \). **Then** \( \text{cont}_R : X \to X' \) **satisfies one of the following.**
(4.7.1) Case where $\dim X' < \dim X$. Then $\text{cont}_R$ is a surjective morphism with connected fibers of dimension $> 0$ and relatively ample $-K_X$ (like $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle), and $X$ is uniruled ([Miyaoka-Mori]). This is the case where we can never get a minimal model, and we stop the game since we have the global structure of $X$, $\text{cont}_R : X \to X'$.

(4.7.2) Case where $\text{cont}_R : X \to X'$ is birational and contracts a divisor. This $\text{cont}_R$ is called a *divisorial contraction*. In this case $X' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varrho(X') < \varrho(X)$. Therefore we can work on $X'$ instead of $X$.

(4.7.3) Case where $\text{cont}_R : X \to X'$ is birational and contracts no divisors. In this case, $K_{X'}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $X' \notin \mathcal{C}$. So we cannot continue the game with $X'$. This is the new phenomenon in dimension $\geq 3$.

To get around the trouble in (4.7.3) and to continue the game, Reid proposed the following.

(4.8) **Conjecture (Existence of Flips).** *In the situation of (4.7.3), there is an $n$-fold $X^+ \in \mathcal{C}$ with a birational morphism $f^+ : X^+ \to X'$ which contracts no divisors and such that $K_{X^+}$ is $f^+$-ample. The map $X^+ \to X'$ is called a flip.*

Since $\varrho(X^+) = \varrho(X)$ in (4.8), the divisorial contraction will not occur for infinitely many times. Therefore the following will guarantee that the game will be over after finitely many steps.

(4.9) **Conjecture (Termination of Flips).** *There does not exist an infinite sequence of flips $X_1 \to X_2 \to \cdots$.*

Therefore the minimal model program is completed only when the conjectures (4.8) and (4.9) are settled affirmatively.

The conjecture (4.9) was settled affirmatively by [Shokurov1] for 3-folds and by Kawamata-Matsuda-Matsuki [KMM] for 4-folds. (4.8) was first done by [Tsunoda], [Shokurov2], [Mori3] and [Kawamata6] in a special but important case. Finally (4.8) was done for 3-folds by [Mori5] using the work of [Kawamata6] mentioned above.

(4.10) Thus for 3-folds, we can operate divisorial contractions and flips for a finite number of times and get either a minimal model $\in \mathcal{C}$ or an $X \in \mathcal{C}$ which has an extremal ray $R$ of type (4.7.1). Thus we can get 3-fold analogues of results in §3.

(4.11) For simplicity of the exposition, we did not state the results in the strongest form and we even omitted various results. Therefore we would like to mention names and give a quick review.

After the prototype of the extremal ray theory was given in [Mori1], the theory has been generalized to the relative setting with a larger class of singularities (toward the conjectures of Reid [Reid3,4]) by Kawamata, Benveniste, Reid, Shokurov and Kollár (in the historical order) and perhaps some others.
First through the works of [Benveniste] and [Kawamata2], Kawamata introduced a technique [Kawamata3] which was an ingenious application of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing ([Kawamata1] and [Viehweg2]). Based on the works by [Shokurov1] (Non-vanishing theorem) and [Reid2] (Rationality theorem), [Kawamata4] developed the technique to prove the Base point freeness theorem (and others) in arbitrary dimensions. The discreteness of the extremal rays was later done by [Kollár1]. As for this section, we refer the reader to the talk of Kawamata.

§5. Applications of the Minimal Model Program (MMP) to 3-Folds

Considering MMP in relative setting, one has the factorization generalizing (3.2):

(5.1) **Theorem.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a birational morphism of projective 3-folds with only \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial terminal singularities. Then \( f \) is a composition of divisorial contractions and flips.

Since minimal 3-folds have \( \kappa \geq 0 \) by the hard result of Miyaoka [Miyaoka1–3], one has the following (cf. (3.3) and (3.4)).

(5.2) **Theorem.** A 3-fold \( X \) has a minimal model iff \( \kappa(X) \geq 0 \).

Unlike the surface case, the minimal model of a 3-fold \( X \) is not unique; it is unique only in codimension 1. If we are given a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial minimal model \( X_{\text{min}} \), every other \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial minimal model of \( X \) is obtained from \( X_{\text{min}} \) by operating a simple operation called a *flop* for a finite number of times ([Kawamata6], [Kollár4]). Many important invariants computed by minimal models do not depend on the choice of the minimal model. We refer the reader to the talk of Kollár.

(5.3) **Theorem.** For a 3-fold \( X \), the following are equivalent.

(i) \( \kappa(X) = -\infty \),

(ii) \( X \) is uniruled,

(iii) \( X \) is birational to a projective 3-fold \( Y \) with only \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial terminal singularities which has an extremal ray of type (4.7.1).

It will be an important but difficult problem to classify all the possible \( Y \) in (iii) of (5.3). There are only finitely many families of such \( Y \) with \( q(Y) = 1 \) ([Kawamata7]).

Since a canonical model exists if a minimal model does ([Benveniste] and [Kawamata2]), one has the following (cf. (3.5)).

(5.4) **Theorem.** If \( X \) is a 3-fold of general type, then \( X \) has a canonical model and the canonical ring \( R(X) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra.
The argument for (5.4) can be considered as a generalization of the argument for (3.5.1). However the effective part "ν ≥ 5" of (3.5.1) has not yet been generalized to dimension ≥ 3.

To study varieties X with κ ≥ 0, [Kawamata4] posed the following.

(5.5) **Conjecture (Abundance Conjecture).** If X is a minimal variety, then rK_X is base point free for some r > 0.

For 3-folds, there are works by [Kawamata4] and [Miyaoka4] (cf. [KMM]). However the torsionness of K for minimal 3-folds with κ = 0 is unsolved, and it remains to prove:

(5.6) **Problem.** Let X be a minimal 3-fold with H an ample divisor such that (K_X^3) = 0 and (K_X^2 · H) > 0. Then prove that κ(X) = 2.

(5.7) **Remark (κ = 0).** The 3-folds X with κ(X) = 0 and H^1(X, O_X) ≠ 0 were classified by [Viehweg1] and (5.5) holds for these. This was based on Viehweg's solution of the addition conjecture for 3-folds, and we refer the reader to [Iitaka4]. However not much is known about the 3-folds X with κ(X) = 0 (or even K_X torsion) and H^1(X, O_X) = 0: so far many examples have been constructed and it is not known if there are only finitely many families. There is a conjecture of [Reid6] in this direction.

By studying the flips more closely, [Kollár-Mori] proved the deformation invariance of κ and P_v (cf. (3.7)):

(5.8) **Theorem.** Let f : X → Δ (unit disk) be a projective morphism whose fibers are connected 3-folds with only Q-factorial terminal singularities. Then

(i) κ(X_t) is independent of t ∈ Δ, where X_t = f^{-1}(t),

(ii) P_v(X_t) is independent of t ∈ Δ for all v ≥ 0 if κ(X_0) ≠ 0.

Indeed for such a family X/Δ, the simultaneous minimal model program is proved and the (modified) work of [Levine] is used to prove (5.8). We cannot drop the condition "κ(X_0) ≠ 0" at present since the abundance conjecture is not completely solved for 3-folds.

As for other applications (e.g. addition conjecture, deformation space of quotient surface singularities, birational moduli), we refer the reader to [KMM] and [Kollár6].

§6. **Comments on the Proofs for 3-Folds**

Many results on 3-folds are proved by using only the formal definitions of terminal singularities. However some results on 3-folds rely on the classification of 3-fold terminal singularities [Reid3], [Danilov], [Morrison-Stevens], [Mori2] and [KSB] (cf. Reid's survey [Reid5] and [Stevens]). The existence of flips and
flops heavily rely on it. Thus generalizing their proofs to higher dimension seems hopeless. At present, there is no evidence for the existence of flips in higher dimensions except that they fit in the MMP beautifully. I myself would accept them as working hypotheses. A more practical problem will be to complete the log-version of the minimal model program for 3-folds [KMM]. This is related to the birational classification of open 3-folds and n-folds with \( \kappa = 3 \). Since log-terminal singularities have no explicit classification, this might be a good place to get some idea on higher dimension. Shokurov made some progress in this direction [Shokurov3].

There are two other results relying on the classification.

(6.1) **Theorem** [Mori4]. Every 3-dimensional terminal singularity deforms to a finite sum of cyclic quotient terminal singularities (i.e. points of the form \( \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_r(1,-1,a) \) for some relatively prime positive integers \( a \) and \( r \)).

This was used in the Barlow-Fletcher-Reid plurigenus formula for 3-folds [Fletcher] and [Reid5] (cf. also [Kawamata5]). Given a 3-fold \( X \) with only terminal singularities, each singularity of \( X \) can be deformed to a sum of cyclic quotient singularities \( \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_r(1,-1,a) \). Let \( S(X) \) be the set of all such (counted with multiplicity). For each \( P = \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_r(1,-1,a) \in S(X) \), we let

\[
\phi_P(m) = (m - \{m\}_r) \frac{r^2 - 1}{12r} + \sum_{j=0}^{\{m\}_r-1} \frac{\{aj\}_r (r - \{aj\}_r)}{2r},
\]

where \( \{m\}_r \) is the integer \( s \in [0, r - 1] \) such that \( s \equiv m \) (mod \( r \)). For a line bundle \( L \) on \( X \), let \( \chi(L) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, L) \) and let \( c_2(X) \) be the second Chern class of \( X \), which is well-defined since \( X \) has only isolated singularities. Then the formula is stated as the following.

(6.2) **The Barlow-Fletcher-Reid Plurigenus Formula.**

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) = \frac{m(m-1)(2m-1)}{12} (K_X^3) + (1 - 2m) \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \sum_{P \in S(X)} \phi_P(m),
\]

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = -\frac{1}{24} (K_X \cdot c_2(X)) + \sum_{P \in S(X)} \frac{r^2 - 1}{24r}.
\]

This is important for effective results on 3-folds (cf. §7).

(6.3) **Theorem** ([KSB]). *A small deformation of a 3-dimensional terminal singularity is terminal.*

This is indispensable in the construction of birational moduli. An open problem in this direction is
(6.4) **Problem.** Is every small deformation of a 3-dimensional canonical singularity canonical?

Since this remains unsolved, we cannot put an algebraic structure on \(\{\text{canonical 3-folds}\}/\text{isomorphisms}\).

§7. Related Results

I would like to list some of the directions, which I could not mention in the previous sections. This is by no means exhaustive. For instance, I could not mention the birational automorphism groups (cf. [Iskovskih] for the works before 1983) due to the lack of my knowledge.

(7.1) **Effective Classification.** The Kodaira dimension \(\kappa\) is not a simple invariant. For instance, we know that \(\kappa(X) = -\infty\) iff \(P_v(X) = 0(\forall v > 0)\). Therefore \(P_{12}(X) = 0\) was an effective criterion for a surface \(X\) to be ruled, while \(\kappa(X) = -\infty\) was not. The 3-dimensional analogue is not known yet.

There are results by Kollár [Kollár2] in the case \(\dim H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 3\) (cf. [Mori4]). The Barlow-Fletcher-Reid plurigenus formula (6.2) is applied for instance to get \(aK_X \sim 0\) with some effectively given \(a > 0\) for 3-folds \(X\) with numerically trivial \(K_X\) by [Kawamata5] and [Morrison], and to get \(P_{12}(X) > 0\) for canonical 3-folds \(X\) with \(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq 1\) by [Fletcher].

(7.2) **Differential Geometry.** As shown by [Yau], there are differential geometric results (especially when \(K\) is positive) which seem out of reach of algebraic geometry. Therefore we welcome differential geometric approaches. In this direction is Tsuji's construction of Kähler-Einstein metrics on canonical 3-folds [Tsuji].

(7.3) **Characteristic p.** [Kollár5] generalized [Mori1] (extremal rays of smooth projective 3-folds over \(\mathbb{C}\)) to char \(p\). This suggests the possibility of little use of vanishing theorems in MMP for 3-folds. A goal will be the MMP for 3-folds in char \(p\). However even the classification of terminal singularities is open.

(7.4) **Mixed Characteristic Case.** One can ask about the extremal rays (and so on) for arithmetic 3-folds \(X/S\). The methods of [Shokurov2] and [Tsunoda] might work, if \(X/S\) is semistable. In the general case, I do not know any results in this direction.

(7.5) **Analytic or Non-projective 3-Folds.** Studying analytic or non-projective 3-folds will require a substitute for the cone of curves modulo numerical equivalence. However analytic or non-projective minimal 3-folds can be handled by the flop [Kollár4]. There is a work of [Kollár6].
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1. Definition of Billiards

Billiards are dynamical systems which correspond to the uniform motion of a material point inside a domain on a Riemannian manifold with elastic reflections off the boundary. Thus billiards are geodesic flows on manifolds with boundaries. Specific features of billiards arise when the role of the boundary is much more important than the role of the underlying manifold. Ergodic properties of billiards have been discussed already in the works of Hadamard and Birkhoff. An important contribution was made by the Soviet physicists N.S. Krylov [K1]. During the last two decades the theory of billiards has been developed enormously in various directions and some part of this development will be the main content of this lecture. Billiards constitute an important class of dynamical systems due to the following reasons:

1. some classes of billiards demonstrate a strong chaotic behavior and can be considered among the best examples of deterministic chaos; the theory of such billiards is discussed below in detail;
2. many interesting examples of dynamical systems of physical or mechanical origin can be reduced to billiards; especially it is true for systems where the interaction involves elastic collisions between the particles;
3. a deep analysis of properties of billiards is essential for some problems in the theory of quantum chaos and asymptotic problems in partial differential equations;
4. the theory of billiards suggests many beautiful geometrical problems.

A rich theory of billiards relates to cases where the table of the billiard is a closed compact subset of Euclidean space $R^d$ or $d$-dimensional flat torus $Tor^d$, $d$ is the dimension. The tables which we shall consider here can be described as follows. Let there be given $r$ $C^\infty$-functions $f_i(q), 1 \leq i \leq r$, defined on $R^d$ or $Tor^d$ and such that $f_i^{-1}(0)$ do not contain critical points of $f_i$. Then $Q = \{ q \in R^d | f_i(q) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r \}$ or $Q = \{ q \in Tor^d | f_i(q) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r \}$. In order to avoid some trivial complications it is natural to assume also that if $q \in Q$ and $f_{i_1}(q) = f_{i_2}(q) = 0$ then grad $f_{i_1}(q)$, grad $f_{i_2}(q)$ are non-collinear. The boundary $\partial Q$ is the union $\partial Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \partial Q_i$ where $\partial Q_i = \{ q \in \partial Q | f_i(q) = 0 \}$. The points of a
component $\partial Q_i$ which do not belong to other components $\partial Q_j$, $j \neq i$, are called regular points of the boundary. At regular points $q \in \partial Q$ the unit normal vector $n(q)$ directed inside $Q$ as well as the operator $K(q)$ of the second fundamental form of the boundary are defined. Recall that $n(q + dq) = n(q) + K(q) dq$ where $dq \in T_q(\partial Q)$ and $T_q(\partial Q)$ is the tangent space to $\partial Q$ at $q$. The geometrical properties of the boundary which we shall use below will be expressed in terms of $K(q)$.

As was already mentioned billiards correspond to the uniform motion of a point (billiard ball) inside $Q$ with elastic reflections off $\partial Q$. This means that at the reflection the normal component of the velocity changes its sign while the tangential component remains unaltered. The phase space $M$ of the billiard is the unit tangent bundle over $Q$. The points of $M$ are denoted as $x = (q, v)$ where $q \in Q$, $v \in T_q(Q)$, $\|v\| = 1$. The canonical projection $x \to q$ is denoted by $\pi$. The space $M$ is also a manifold with a piece-wise smooth boundary, the boundary $\partial M = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \partial M_i$, $\partial M_i = \pi^{-1}(\partial Q_i)$. Regular points of $\partial M$ are such points $x \in \partial M_i$ that $\pi(x)$ are regular points of $\partial Q$.

Introduce the set of incoming vectors

$$\partial M^- = \{x = (q, v) \in \partial M | q \in \partial Q \text{ is regular and } (v, n(q)) \leq 0\}$$

and the set of outgoing vectors

$$\partial M^+ = \{x = (q, v) \in \partial M | q \in \partial Q \text{ is regular and } (v, n(q)) \geq 0\}.$$  

The law of reflection corresponds to the transformation $R : \partial M^- \to \partial M^+$ where

$$Rx = R(q, v) = (q, v - 2(n(q), v)n(q)) \in \partial M^+ \quad \text{if} \quad (q, v) \in \partial M^-.$$  

A point moves inside $M$ and when it reaches the boundary $\partial M^-$ it jumps instantly to a new point of $\partial M^+$ under the action of $R$. In what follows we consider only a subset $M^1 \subset M$ consisting of such $x$ whose trajectories pass only through regular points of the boundary and have finitely many reflections during any finite interval of time. If $d\mu = \text{const} \, dq \omega(q)(v)$ where $d\omega(q)(v)$ is the Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere $S^{d-1}_q \subset T_q(Q)$ is the normed Liouville measure on $M$ then it is easy to show that $\mu(M^1) = 1$. Thus we may define the one-parameter group $\{S^t\}$ of transformations of $M^1$ onto itself which corresponds to the billiard motion and preserves $\mu$ (see [CFS1]). The flow $\{S^t\}$ is discontinuous because the dynamics is discontinuous. It is an often situation in ergodic theory that discontinuous flows are easier for an analysis than their smooth approximations.

Sometimes it is useful also to consider the induced map or the so-called billiard ball map $T : \partial M^+ \cap M^1 \to \partial M^+ \cap M^1$ which transforms a point $x \in \partial M^+ \cap M^1$ to the point $x^1 \in \partial M^+ \cap M^1$ corresponding to the next reflection. The map $T$ preserves the measure $d\mu_1 = \text{const} \, (n(q), v) \cdot d\sigma(q) \omega(q)(v)$ where $d\sigma(q)$ is the Riemannian volume on $\partial Q$.

The first examples of billiards are billiards inside polygons or polyhedra. Some of them appear in connection with the dynamics of one-dimensional particles on a segment interacting through elastic collisions. The billiards in triangles correspond to the dynamics of two such particles. It turns out that even this simple case
is very difficult for an analysis and still many problems remain open. Deep results here were obtained by Kerckhoff, Mazur and Smillie (see [KMS1]) using the theory of quadratic differentials and some methods of algebraic geometry. In particular they showed that for billiards inside polygons with commensurate angles the system has only obvious first integrals. The first applications of these methods appeared in the papers by Veech (see [V1]).

2. Hyperbolic Billiards

The main content of this lecture will concern the theory of hyperbolic billiards, i.e., billiards where the behavior of trajectories has many common features with the behavior of geodesics in manifolds of negative curvature. Take a point \( x = (q,v) \in M \) and a \((d - 1)\)-dimensional open \( C^\infty\)-submanifold \( \gamma \subset Q \) passing through \( q \), orthogonal to \( v \) at \( q \) and homeomorphic to the \((d - 1)\)-dimensional disk. Denote by \( \gamma \) its continuous framing by unit normal vectors such that \( x \in \gamma \).

**Definition 1.** A submanifold \( \gamma \) is called a *local stable manifold* \((lsm)\) of \( x \) if during the dynamics \( S^t x \) and \( S^t y \) for any \( y \in \gamma \) have reflections from the same components of the boundary \( \partial M \) for all \( t > 0 \) and one can find positive numbers \( C(x), \lambda(x) \) such that for all \( t > 0 \)

\[
\text{dist}(\pi(S^t x), \pi(S^t y)) \leq C(x) \exp\{-\lambda(x)|t|\}.
\]

If in this definition we take \( t < 0 \) we shall get the definition of a *local unstable manifold* \((lum)\) of \( x \). \( lsm \) and \( lum \) will be denoted by \( \gamma^{(l)}(x) \) and \( \gamma^{(u)}(x) \), respectively.

**Definition 2.** A global stable (unstable) manifold of \( x \in M \) consists of all \( y \) for which one can find \( \tau \geq 0 \) (\( \tau \leq 0 \)) such that \( S^{\tau} y \) belongs to \( \gamma^{(l)}(S^{\tau} x) \) (\( \gamma^{(u)}(S^{\tau} x) \)).

We shall denote them by \( \Gamma^{(l)}(x) \) and \( \Gamma^{(u)}(x) \) and use the abbreviations gsm and gum. Stable and unstable manifolds are the main objects in the theory of smooth hyperbolic dynamical systems like Axiom-A systems of Smale [Sm1] and Anosov systems [An1]. Contrary to the case of smooth hyperbolic systems gsm and gum in billiards have singularities (see examples below) which arise from trajectories tangent to the boundary. Definitions 1 and 2 can be introduced in the same way for the induced map \( T \).

We shall now introduce the main definition.

**Definition 3.** Billiard in a domain \( Q \) is called hyperbolic if \( \mu \)-almost every point has gsm and gum.

We shall discuss now various conditions under which billiard is hyperbolic. As it will be seen the corresponding problems are particular cases of a more general problem of finding sufficient conditions for a dynamical system to display some kind of a chaotic behavior.
Definition 4. Billiard is called dispersing if at all regular points of the boundary the operator $K(q) > 0$.

This definition implies that at any regular point the boundary is locally strictly concave.

Theorem 1. Every dispersing billiard is hyperbolic.

This theorem is in fact some version of the well-known Hadamard-Perron theorem (see [An1, G1, S2] and [KS1]), which gives sufficient conditions for the existence of $\text{Lsm}$ and $\text{Lum}$ in the case of smooth dynamical systems. Theorem 1 gives an easy way to construct examples of hyperbolic billiards.

Dispersing billiards are in many respects similar to geodesic flows on compact manifolds of negative curvature. For many applications it is important to consider billiards which correspond to geodesic flows on manifolds of non-positive curvature.

Definition 5. Billiard is called semi-dispersing if at all regular points of the boundary $K(q) \geq 0$.

Semi-dispersing billiards arise naturally when we study the dynamics of hard disks or spheres interacting via elastic collisions (see for example [CFS1]). In those cases the boundary is the union of subsets of cylinders having many flat directions. It is a more difficult problem to find conditions under which a semi-dispersing billiard is hyperbolic. A natural approach is the following (see [CS1]). Let us write down the sequence of positive times of reflections from the boundary, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n, \ldots$, and let $\tau_j = t_j - t_{j-1}, \tau_1 = t_1$. Introduce also the points of the boundary where these reflections take place: $q_1, q_2, \ldots$ and $K_j = K(q_j)$. We shall need also the operators $U_i$ mapping $\mathcal{F}_i^+$ onto $\mathcal{F}_i^-$ in parallel to $n(q_i)$ where the subspace $\mathcal{F}_i^+(\mathcal{F}_i^-)$ is orthogonal to $v_i^+(v_i^-), S_{t_i \pm 0}x = (q, v_i^\pm)$, and the operators $V_i$ mapping $\mathcal{F}_i^+$ onto $\mathcal{F}_q(\partial Q)$ in parallel to $v_i^+$. The adjoint operator $V_i^*$ maps $\mathcal{F}_q(\partial Q)$ onto $\mathcal{F}_i^+$ in parallel to $n(q_i)$. Now write down the following operator-valued continued fraction

$$B^+(x) = \frac{I}{\tau_1 I + U_1 \frac{I}{2 \cos \phi_1 V_1^* K_1 V_1 + U_2 \frac{I}{\tau_2 I + \cdots}} U_1^{-1}}.$$ (1)

Here $\cos \phi_j = (v_i^+, n(q_i)), \phi_j$ is the reflection angle. For semi-dispersing billiards all operators $V_j^* K_j V_j$ are non-negative. It is easy to show that this continued fraction is converging as soon as $t_n \to \infty$ and $B^+(x) \geq 0$. The following theorem is a particular case of Chernov's theorem (see [CI]).

Theorem 2. If $B^+(x) > 0 \text{ a.e.}$ then the semi-dispersing billiard is hyperbolic.
This theorem can be derived also from the results in the book by Katok and Strelcyn (see [KS1]).

The operator $B^+(x)$ for $x = (q, v)$ is a self-adjoint operator acting on the $(d-1)$-dimensional space passing through $q$ orthogonal to $v$. It has a simple geometrical meaning. Namely, if $y^{(0)}(x)$ is a lum of $x$, $\tilde{y}^{(0)}(x) = \pi(y^{(0)}(x))$ then $\tilde{y}^{(0)}(x)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional submanifold lying inside $Q$ and $B^+(x)$ is the operator of the second fundamental form of $\tilde{y}^{(0)}(x)$ at $q = \pi(x)$. The condition $B^+(x) > 0$ means that the submanifold $\tilde{y}^{(0)}(x)$ is strictly concave. The appearance of continued fractions in (1) is quite natural. If we write down the Jacobi equations corresponding to our flow then they will be second order linear differential equations with piecewise constant coefficients having discontinuities at the moments of reflections. It is well-known that the solutions to such equations can be written as continued fractions. The operators $B^+(x)$ are exactly these solutions.

An interesting non-trivial example of the semi-dispersing billiard where one can already see the main difficulties of the theory was proposed by Kramli, Simanyi and Szasz (see [KSS1]). The table consists of the three-dimensional torus from which two non-parallel cylinders are removed. As was already mentioned the system of $r$ hard disks or balls moving uniformly inside a cube and interacting via elastic collisions can be reduced to semi-dispersing billiards. Apparently these billiards are hyperbolic but now it is known only for small values of $r$.

It is very surprising that hyperbolic billiards are encountered also among two-dimensional convex domains. The first example was proposed in the famous paper by Bunimovich [B1]. The table is the Bunimovich's stadium where the boundary consists of two straight segments and two circular arcs. The boundary is a $C^1$-curve and it is essential that the curvature is a discontinuous function on the boundary. If it were sufficiently smooth then the corresponding billiard cannot be hyperbolic because a theorem of Lazutkin (see [L1]) says that in such cases there exist so many caustics that the set of their tangent vectors has positive measure. Recently the result by Bunimovich was extended by Bunimovich (see [B2]) and Donnay (see [D1]). Namely the form of the stadium can be perturbed in such a way that two segments remain but the circular arcs can be replaced by arbitrary $C^2$-arcs whose curvature is close to a constant.

In connection with [B1, B2, D1] a general problem emerges of describing two-dimensional tables for which the billiard is hyperbolic. Wojtkowski in [W1] proposed a general approach to this problem based on the existence of invariant families of cones (see also [S3]) and found several new examples of hyperbolic billiards. Some other examples were constructed in the paper by Markarian (see [M1]).

3. Fundamental Theorem in the Theory of Hyperbolic Billiards

In this section we discuss the problems related to ergodicity and mixing of hyperbolic billiards. We remark first that the existence of Ism and lum for the flow $\{S^t\}$ implies the existence of these manifolds for the induced map $T$. Their dimensions are equal to $d - 1$ while the dimension of $\partial M$ is $2(d - 1)$. It is an easy
part of the theory of piecewise smooth hyperbolic dynamical systems which says that ergodic components of $T$ and $\{S^i\}$ have positive measures (see, for example, [P1] and [KS1]). The proof is based upon an idea of Hopf [H1] according to which the ergodic component of a.e. $x$ contains also $\Gamma^{(0)}(x)$ and $\Gamma^{(s)}(x)$. Thus we can take a lum $\gamma^{(0)}(x)$ and construct Ism $\gamma^{(0)}(y)$ for every $y \in \gamma^{(0)}(x)$. It needs some efforts to show that starting with an a.e. $x$ we get a set of positive measure. The main ingredient of the proof is the so-called property of absolute continuity of foliations generated by $\Gamma^{(s)}$, $\Gamma^{(0)}$ (see details in [KS1]). However it is a much more difficult problem to prove that the ergodic component of $T$ or $\{S^i\}$ is unique. The difficulty is due to the fact that because of singularities the sizes of Ism or lum are everywhere discontinuous and can be arbitrarily small. Therefore a priori it is not clear whether one can connect two arbitrary points by a chain of sets of the described form.

In [S2] a theorem was proven which showed how to overcome the difficulty in the case of two-dimensional dispersing billiards. Later it was called the fundamental theorem in the theory of dispersing billiards. The idea was to show that in a neighborhood of a typical point for a given lum $\gamma^{(0)}$ the probability to find $\gamma^{(0)}(y)$, $y \in \gamma^{(0)}$, whose length is greater than $C l(\gamma^{(0)})$, $l$ is the length, $C$ is an arbitrary constant, tends to 1 as the radius of the neighborhood tends to zero. It follows from this statement that a small neighborhood of this point lies within one ergodic component. The ergodicity follows from an easy statement that the set of typical points is connected. There are two other publications [BS1] and [S4] which contain some modifications of the original proof and a proof of ergodicity based on a slightly different idea.

In our joint paper with Chernov [CS1] the ideas of the fundamental theorem were extended further. Let $R \subset \partial M$ be the union of singular points of $\partial M$, of tangent points of $\partial M^+ \cup \partial M^-$, i.e., points $x = (q,v)$ where $(n(q),v) = 0$ and the points of discontinuity of $T$. We imposed the following condition: for a.e. point of $R$ the image under dynamics of a plane framed by unit normals locally extends in all directions. Under this condition we proved that typical points have neighborhoods belonging to one ergodic component (local ergodicity). An immediate consequence of this theorem is

**Theorem 3.** Every dispersing billiard is ergodic.

Kramli, Simanyi and Szasz in [KSS2] made an important improvement in the conditions of the fundamental theorem which is essential for semi-dispersing billiards and gave a very detailed proof of their version of the fundamental theorem which they called “A transversal fundamental theorem”.

Using their improvement they proved the following remarkable result (see [KSS3]).

**Theorem 4.** Consider the system of three balls on Tor$^d$, $d \geq 2$. Then it is ergodic on the subspace of the phase space where the total momentum is zero and the centrum of mass is fixed.
In the paper by Bunimovich [B3] it was shown that the fundamental theorem is applied to the billiards in stadium and some other convex domains. Thus these billiards are also ergodic.

In systems with hyperbolic behavior ergodicity usually implies much stronger statistical properties. Namely it is easy to show under the conditions of Theorems 3 and 4 that the billiard is a K-flow. It means in particular that the adjoint group of unitary operators has countable Lebesgue spectrum and strong properties of mixing. It is a more difficult problem to prove the Bernoulli property which says that the induced map $T$ is isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift. This was done by Gallavotti and Ornstein in [GO1] for two-dimensional dispersing billiards.

4. Markov Partitions and Strong Statistical Properties of Two-Dimensional Hyperbolic Billiards

If we intend to study more deeply statistical properties of hyperbolic billiards like central limit theorem and decay of time-correlation functions we must use some special symbolic representations of these systems. The most convenient one is the representation which can be obtained with the help of a Markov partition. Let us recall the corresponding definitions (see [Bo1, BoR1, R1, R2, S5, S7]). We shall deal with the induced map $T$.

Take a point $x \in \partial M^+$ and Ism $\gamma^{(o)}(x)$, Ism $\gamma^{(s)}(x)$, choose subsets $\Pi^{(o)} \subset \gamma^{(o)}(x), \Pi^{(s)} \subset \gamma^{(s)}(x)$.

Definition 6. A subset $\Pi \subset \partial M^+$ is called a parallelogram with basic sets $\Pi^{(o)}, \Pi^{(s)}$ if for any $y_1 \in \Pi^{(o)}, y_2 \in \Pi^{(s)}$ the Ism $\gamma^{(o)}(y_1)$ and the lum $\gamma^{(o)}(y_2)$ intersect each other and the intersection $\gamma^{(o)}(y_1) \cap \gamma^{(o)}(y_2)$ consists of one point also belonging to $\Pi$.

If $\Pi$ is a parallelogram then for any $x' \in \Pi$ the sets $\gamma^{(o)}(x') \cap \Pi, \gamma^{(o)}(x') \cap \Pi$ can be taken as basic sets. If $\Pi', \Pi''$ are parallelograms then $\Pi' \cap \Pi''$ is also a parallelogram. Each parallelogram has two natural partitions $\xi^{(o)}(\Pi), \xi^{(s)}(\Pi)$. The elements of these partitions are $C_{\xi^{(o)}(\Pi)}(y) = \Pi \cap \gamma^{(o)}(y), C_{\xi^{(s)}(\Pi)}(y) = \Pi \cap \gamma^{(s)}(y)$ respectively. Assume that we are given a partition $\eta$ whose elements are parallelograms $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_r, \ldots$. This means that $\mu(\Pi_{i_1} \cap \Pi_{i_2}) = 0$ for $i_1 \neq i_2$ and $\mu(\cup \Pi_j) = 1$. We shall denote by $\xi^{(o)}, \xi^{(s)}$ the partitions of $\partial M^+$ where for a.e. $x$ we have $C_{\xi^{(o)}}(x) = C_{\xi^{(o)}(\Pi_j)}(x), C_{\xi^{(s)}}(x) = C_{\xi^{(s)}(\Pi_j)}(x)$ when $x \in \Pi_j$.

Definition 7 (see [Bo1, S5, S7]). The partition $\eta$ is called Markov partition if for a.e. $x$

$$T^{-1}C_{\xi^{(o)}}(x) \subseteq C_{\xi^{(o)}}(T^{-1}x), \quad TC_{\xi^{(o)}}(x) \subseteq C_{\xi^{(o)}}(Tx).$$

In the case of smooth hyperbolic systems natural Markov partitions are usually finite. For billiards and other hyperbolic discontinuous systems such partitions are by necessity countable. If $\mu(\Pi) > 0$ then the basic sets $\Pi^{(o)}, \Pi^{(s)}$
are subsets of $\gamma^{(b)}(x), \gamma^{(b)}(x)$ which do not contain open components and usually they are Cantor-like sets of positive measure. The reason is again due to the fact that in any neighborhood of a typical point $\mu$ and $\lambda$ can be arbitrarily small. Apparently similar Markov partitions can appear in other dynamical systems with a non-uniform hyperbolic behavior like some classes of one-dimensional maps, Henon maps etc.

Returning to billiards suppose that we have an arbitrary partition $\eta$ of $\partial M^+$ which is the phase space for $T$. Having a partition $\eta$ we construct the symbolic representation for $T$ as follows. Assume that all $\Pi_j$ are closed and write down the inclusions $T^n x \in \Pi_{j_n}, -\infty < n < \infty$.

**Definition 8.** The sequence $j = \{j_n\}$ is called the symbolic representation of $x$.

It is clear that if $j \leftrightarrow x$ then $T\Pi_{j_{n-1}} \cap \Pi_{j_n} \neq \emptyset$. The usefulness of Markov partitions is due to the fact that the inverse statement is in a sense also true: if $j$ is any sequence for which $\mu(T\Pi_{j_{n-1}} \cap \Pi_{j_n}) > 0$ for all $n, -\infty < n < \infty$, then there exists $x$ for which $j$ is a symbolic representation. In other words the phase space $\partial M^+$ of $T$ is coded by realizations of a topological Markov chain up to a subset of measure zero. In the paper [BS2] we constructed Markov partitions for two-dimensional dispersing billiards. In the recent paper [BCS1] using the same ideas we proved under some technical assumptions the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** For two-dimensional hyperbolic billiards there exist countable Markov partitions. Moreover, the diameters of parallelograms can be made less than any given $\epsilon > 0$.

In particular such Markov partitions exist for dispersing billiards, Bunimovich's stadium and its perturbations and some other cases.

Describe now some corollaries which follow directly from Theorem 5.

**Corollary 1.** The set of periodic orbits of a hyperbolic billiard is everywhere dense.

It was shown to us by Pesin how this statement can be proven by “standard arguments from hyperbolic theory”. L. Stojanov in [St1] proved that for any multi-dimensional semi-dispersing billiard in $R^d$ the number $N(R)$ of periodic orbits whose period is not more than $R$ is not bigger than $C_1 \exp\{C_1 R\}$ for some $C_1 > 0$. Theorem 5 gives a possibility to obtain an estimation of $N(R)$ from below.

**Corollary 2.** The number $N(R)$ is bigger than $\exp\{C_2 R\}$ for some $C_2 > 0$ and all sufficiently large $R$.

Apparently as in the case of smooth hyperbolic system there exists the asymptotics $\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\ln N(R)}{R}$ connected with the topological entropy of billiard but it still remains as an open problem.
In our paper [BS3] such statistical properties as the central limit theorem, time decay of correlation functions for two-dimensional dispersing billiards were studied. These results can be extended to a wider class of two-dimensional hyperbolic billiards (Bunimovich, Chernov, Sinai – in preparation). Using Markov partitions one can prove for these billiards some version of H-theorem in statistical mechanics.

5. Some Other Results and Concluding Remarks

As one can see from what was said above the theory of dispersing billiards is similar to some extent to the theory of hyperbolic dynamical systems. The theory of semi-dispersing billiards is in the same sense similar to the theory of partially hyperbolic dynamical system. Unfortunately it is not still developed in a sufficiently general manner. Only some particular results have been obtained. Chernov in [Ch1] constructed lsm and lum under rather general conditions. Their dimensions may be less than \( d - 1 \). He gave also (see [Ch2]) a detailed proof of the formula for the measure-theoretic entropy

\[
h(\{S_t\}) = \int \text{tr}(B^+(x)) d\mu(x)
\]

which is positive unless \( K(q) \equiv 0 \) like in the case of flat boundaries. Wojtkowski in [W2] studied the asymptotical behavior of entropy when the mean free path tends to zero.

Some examples of multi-dimensional hyperbolic billiards analogous in sense to billiard in stadium are described in [B3] (see, however, the remarks in [W3]).

Several Hamiltonian systems behave in many respects as hyperbolic billiards. In the papers by Kubo [Ku1] (see also [S6]) the motion of a particle on the two-dimensional torus under the action of some repelling forces was studied. It was shown that it is hyperbolic (in the same sense as in the definition 3). A surprising result was obtained in a recent paper by Donnay and Liverani [DL1]. The authors found examples of attractive potentials for which the dynamics is also hyperbolic. In the paper by Wojtkowski [W4] (see there some other references) a system of particles with different masses moving along a semi-line with a constant acceleration and undergoing elastic collisions was studied. It was shown that it has several positive Lyapunov exponents which implies through the Pesin's formula [PI] that this system has positive entropy and a partially hyperbolic behavior.

Arnold in [Ar1] gave an heuristic explanation why two-dimensional dispersing billiards should behave like geodesic flows on surfaces of negative curvature. He proposed to consider doubles of the corresponding tables and represent them as limits of smooth surfaces of negative (more precisely, non-positive) curvature. However, this idea being very beautiful has a defect. The flow which appears under this limiting transition contains "extra" trajectories which can have common parts with the boundary. In this connection some questions may be asked. For example, one can take the double of the billiard table, its universal covering and ask the following:
1. will the lift of a billiard trajectory to the universal covering go to infinity;
2. if so, what is the structure of points at infinity which are the end-points of
the lifted trajectories, going out of some points;
3. will a lifted trajectory of the billiard lie to a finite distance from some
Lobachevsky geodesics.

Some answers to these questions were obtained recently by Babenko [Ba1].

In conclusion I thank the Organizational Committee of ICM-90 for the
honorable invitation to present this talk. I thank V.I. Arnold and J. Lebowitz
who read the text and made many useful remarks. Also I am very glad to
express my sincere gratitude to Bunimovich, Chernov, Kramli, Szasz with whom
we worked together for many years on various problems concerning billiards.
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Applications of Non-Linear Analysis in Topology
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If there is any single characterizing feature of the mathematics of the last few years, it is the interactions among subdisciplines. This activity is well-documented by the talks at ICM90. This lecture contains a small part of the background for applications of non-linear analysis in the field of topology. My discussion covers roughly the last twelve years, with emphasis on the earlier period of this time. There are many other articles in this volume which describe in more detail current areas of research. We refer particularly to Floer's Plenary address, and section lectures by McDuff, Simpson, Tian, Kronheimer, and many talks related to topological quantum field theory.

The specific mathematical tools I am considering in this paper are those of "hard" analysis, by which we mean two things. Hard analysis refers in graduate student slang to the use of estimates. But here it refers as well to what Gromov [Gr] calls "hard" — the realization of "soft" or "flabby" topological concepts via the solution of specific rigid partial differential equations. These techniques are naturally not to every mathematician's taste. Topologists have spent a great deal of effort reproving theorems such as the Bott periodicity theorem in ways more related to the general constructive methods of algebraic topology. For mathematicians like myself these geometric methods provide a concrete geometric realization of what is otherwise very much algebraic abstraction.

1. Background Discussion

Our first step is to illustrate the content of this talk with an example which uses only advanced calculus. We define a real-valued function

\[ f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{R} \]

by the formula

\[ f(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{(A\mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}})}{(|\mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}|)} \]

for \( \mathbf{v} = (z^1, \ldots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \). Here \( A \) is any Hermitian complex \((n+1) \times (n+1)\) matrix. It is easy to see that if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, f(\alpha \mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{v}) \). So \( f \) induces a map

* Partially supported by grants from the American Mathematical Society and the National Science Foundation.
\[ [f] = (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\})/(\mathbb{C} - \{0\}) = \mathbb{C}P^n \to \mathbb{R} \]

by \([f](v) = f(v)\). The critical points of \(f\) (and \([f]\)) are points where the derivative vanishes. It is a standard exercise in advanced calculus to show that the equations for critical points of \([f]\) are the lines \([v]\) for

\[ Av - \lambda v = 0 \]

where \(\lambda = f(v)\).

Now the connection with topology comes from the relation between the critical points of \([f]\) and the topology of \(\mathbb{C}P^n\). If the eigenvalues of \(A\) are distinct, one can compute that the smallest is the minimum, the largest the maximum, and the \(n - 1\) other critical points occur with index (number of negative directions in the second derivative) \(2, 4, \ldots, 2(n - 1)\). Standard Morse theory tells us that \(\mathbb{C}P^n\) is built from one handle in each even dimension. The Betti numbers \(b_{2i} = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n\) and \(b_{2i+1} = 0\). Of course, this is not the usual way of computing the Betti numbers of \(\mathbb{C}P^n\).

However, much closer in spirit to many of our examples is the case when \(A\) is a Hermitian projection operator of rank \(n - k\). Then the minimum of \([f]\) on \(\mathbb{C}P^n\) is zero and it occurs on a \(\mathbb{C}P^k\) sitting in \(\mathbb{C}P^n\). The other critical points consist of a \(\mathbb{C}P^{n-k-1}\) on which the maximum occurs. We obtain a very simple topological result by using the gradient flow for \([f]\) to retract \(\mathbb{C}P^n - \mathbb{C}P^{n-k-1}\) into \(\mathbb{C}P^k\).

The result is that the embedding \(\mathbb{C}P^k \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n\) is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension \(k\).

The prototype theorem for this talk is the Bott Periodicity theorem for the unitary group, as originally proved by Bott in 1959 [B]. Here the theory of ordinary differential equations replaces the advanced calculus of our first example. The space which replaces \(\mathbb{C}P^n\) is \(C^\infty_{-I, I}(\mathbb{S}U(2m))\), or the parametrized smooth curves between \(-I\) and \(+I\) in the special unitary group \(SU(2m)\). The function \([f]\) is replaced by energy

\[ E(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{s}(t)|^2 dt. \]

The equation for critical curves is the equation for geodesics

\[ D_s \dot{s}(t) = 0. \]

The minimum for this functional occurs on the set of great circles between \((-I, +I)\). This can be checked to be the complex Grassmannian \(G(m, 2m)\) of \(m\) planes in \(2m\) space. All the other critical points have index at least \(2m + 2\). The gradient flow provides a homotopy equivalence between the loop space and the Grassmannian up to dimension \(2m\). Namely for \(0 \leq i \leq 2m\), we have the result that

\[ \pi_{i+1}SU(2m) = \pi_i(\Omega SU(2)) = \pi_iG(m, 2m). \]

There are methods from algebraic topology which show that

\[ \pi_{i-1}SU(m) = \pi_{i-1}SU(k) \]

for \(i \leq 2m \leq 2k\) and

\[ \pi_iG(m, 2m) = \pi_{i-1}SU(m) \quad \text{for} \quad i \leq 2m. \]
Bott Periodicity Theorem [B].

\[ \pi_{i-1}SU(k) = \pi_{i+1}SU(k), \quad i \leq k. \]

Other older results which use the theory of geodesics have more to do with differential geometry.

Theorem (Hadamard). Suppose \( M \) is a compact, connected manifold with negative sectional curvature, then \( \pi_{i+1}(M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

One version of this proof is obtained by showing that all geodesics are of shortest length. As a consequence, every connected component of the loop space is topologically trivial. Hence \( \pi_i(\Omega(M)) = \pi_{i+1}(M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

Theorem (Myers). If \( M \) is compact with positive Ricci curvature, then \( \pi_1(M) \) is finite.

This can be proved by showing all the minimizing geodesics are short. A general reference is Milnor's book on Morse theory [Mi].

The infinite dimensional loop spaces \( \Omega(M) \) were originally handled by retraction onto finite dimensional spaces using piecewise solutions as approximations. This does not work in more than one variable because of the difficulties involved in gluing small solution pieces together. It may have been that mathematicians hoped that the multivariable problems could be easily handled once the proper tools for treating global problems were developed. This turned out to be not quite true.

The modern developments do rest entirely on the foundations of functional analysis and elliptic operator theory. The analytic tools are Hölder spaces, Sobolev spaces, embedding theorems, interpolation theorems and the fundamental estimates for elliptic and parabolic systems.

In the 1960s, an ambitious subject called "global analysis" developed with the explicit goal of solving non-linear problems via methods from infinite dimensional differential topology. During this period, a different set of tools was developed. A short list of these tools includes: the notion of Fredholm operator and the the Atiyah-Singer index theorems (1963) [A-S]; the definition of infinite dimensional manifolds [L]; metric structures and refinements such as layer structures and Fredholm structures; the definition of a non-linear Fredholm operator and Smale's extension of the Sard theorem [Sm] (1965); the Palais-Smale conditions and applications in the calculus of variations (1964) [P-S]; in addition, several variants of infinite dimensional degree theorems, \( K \)-theory and transversality. A good sense of the spirit of this development can be obtained by browsing through the three volumes of the proceedings of the Berkeley 1968 AMS conference organized by S.S. Chern and S. Smale [C-S].

The optimism of the era of global analysis has ultimately been justified, but this did not happen immediately. The problem is essentially as follows: In order to discover properties of solutions of ordinary or partial differential equations which have global significance, it is essential to make estimates. Now, it usually happens that certain estimates are natural to the problem. Sometimes it may be an estimate on a maximum of a norm, or more usually an integral estimate.
on solutions is available. Typically the estimate is on the \( L^2 \) norm of the first derivative of a solution. In other words, an estimate in the Banach space \( L^2_1 \) is natural. However, in order to obtain the results which have topological meaning, the estimates have to imply something about the space of continuous solutions, or at the very least, some information about continuity. This occurs when the Banach space \( L^2_1 \) lies in \( C^0 \). However, \( L^2_1 \subset C^0 \) is a dimensionally dependent inclusion. It holds for \( n = 1 \), or for the case of ordinary differential equations, but not for \( n \geq 2 \), the dimensions of partial differential equations.

Hence the explanation for the success of the cited examples on loop space is not exactly what it was expected to be. The problems work at least partly because the Sobolev embedding theorem \( L^2_1 \subset C^0 \) is true in dimension 1, and naive attempts to apply the theories to partial differential equations do not work except in restrictive cases. Many of the applications which were ultimately found are extremely deep. Especially significant are the dimensional differences. In retrospect, one could not expect one single aspect of non-linear analysis to magically provide for a variety of deep applications.

2. Results

S.T. Yau’s proof of the Calabi conjecture, published in 1978, showed for the first time the effect which modern methods of solving partial differential equations could have on other fields. The analytic theorem Yau proved was for an arbitrary complex Kähler manifold with non-positive first Chern class. Yau proved that there is a Kähler metric which solves Einstein’s equation.

**Theorem [Y-1].** Let \( M \) be a complex Kähler manifold with \( c_1(M) \leq 0 \). Then there is a Kähler metric \( g \) in the same Kähler class as the given one with

\[
\text{Ricci}(g) - Rg = 0.
\]

The topological conditions imply that \( R \leq 0 \), where the constant \( R \) is a multiple the constant scalar curvature of the Einstein metric.

This result had been conjectured by Calabi and partially proved by Aubin. However, its importance in algebraic geometry lies in the following application.

**Corollary.** If \( M \) is a complex Kähler manifold with \( c_1(M) \leq 0 \), then

\[
(-1)^nc_2(M)c_1(M)^{n-2}[M] \geq \frac{(-1)^nn}{2(n+1)}c_1(M)^n[M].
\]

This theorem is cited in every theorem on the classification of higher dimensional algebraic varieties. It is essentially the only topological restriction known for algebraic manifolds. The theorem is not true for positive Chern class. One of the satisfying results of Yau’s proof is that the importance of the topological condition \( c_1(M) \leq 0 \) is apparent. Yau’s original theorem (in the case of complex 3-folds with \( c_1 = 0 \)) is fundamental in the current model for fundamental physics (string theory).
In the ensuing years, the applications of partial differential equations have been extensive, and we give a very brief survey of the initial results in each field. It would not be possible to list all the latest results and their fine points in a general survey article.

Minimal Surfaces

Yau and his coworkers obtained a number of interesting results. Many but not all of these results have alternate proofs. The first theorem is in the spirit of Myer's theorem, and was published in 1980 by Schoen and Yau. I call it the topological positive mass theorem, as it is the topological version of the well-known positive mass theorem of general relativity. (Schoen and Yau used the same techniques to prove the theorem in general relativity.) Scalar curvature is local mass. An alternate proof using linear analysis (Dirac operators) now exists. The analytical basis for the Schoen-Yau proof is a theorem on minimal surfaces.

**Theorem** [S-Y, S-U]. If $\Sigma_g$ is a surface of genus $g \geq 0$, and $M$ any compact manifold with $\pi_1(\Sigma) \subseteq \pi_1(M)$, then there exists an area minimizing branched immersion of $\Sigma$ in $M$.

**Application (Topological Positive-Mass)** [S-Y]. If $M = M^3$ has non-negative scalar curvature, then the group $\pi_1(M)$ does not contain $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ as a subgroup unless $\Sigma_g = S^1 \times S^1$ and $M^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1/\Gamma$ is a quotient of the flat torus.

To prove this, look at the second variation of the minimal surface. The positive scalar curvature forces it to have a negative direction.

Meeks and Yau proved a series of results which show that minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds are embedded. In many cases, this provides alternate more rigid proofs of basic theorems in 3-manifold topology, such as Dehn's lemma, the loop theorem, and the sphere theorem. As part of this program they gave the first proof of the equivariant loop theorem.

**Equivariant Loop Theorem (Meeks-Yau).** Let $M^3$ be a handle-body with boundary $\Sigma_g$, and $K \subseteq \text{Diff}(M^3, \Sigma_g)$ be a finite group. Assume $M^3$ is given a metric in which $K$ acts as isometries, and in which $\Sigma_g$ has positive scalar curvature (outward like $S^2 = \partial D^3$). Then there exists an embedded minimal disk $(D^2, S^1) \subseteq (M^3, \Sigma_g)$ such that the elements $k \in K$ either leave $D^2$ invariant, or map $D^2$ to a disk $k(D^2)$ with $k(D^2) \cap D^2 = \emptyset$.

The first step in the analysis consists in showing that there is indeed a smallest disc in $M^3$ with boundary on $\Sigma_g$ (this leads to a boundary value problem which is a combination of Dirichlet and Neumann conditions). If the solution is not embedded, or if it intersects an iterate, it turns out that it cannot really be of smallest area. Meeks and Yau pioneered the use of 3-manifold techniques to show that minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds are often embedded rather than immersed [M-Y].

The proof due to Siu and Yau of the Frankel conjecture dates from the same year as the proof due to Mori.
Frankel Conjecture. If $M^n$ is a complex Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature, then $M^n$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Siu and Yau use the minimal 2-spheres shown to exist by Sacks and Uhlenbeck [S-U]. Positive curvature tends to place restrictions on what can be minimal (as in Myer's theorem and in Schoen and Yau's proof of the positive mass conjecture). In this case, Siu and Yau show that the minimal sphere is actually a holomorphic curve [Si-Y].

Finally, we mention a much more recent result. The method due to Sacks and myself for finding minimal 2-spheres in manifolds had been used by Meeks and Yau to handle embedding problems for spheres in 3-manifolds, as well as by Siu and Yau in the Frankel conjecture. However, these proofs use the area minimizing spheres, whereas Micallef and Moore [M-M] later found a use for the non-minimizing critical points. Their isotropic curvature condition is satisfied if the Riemannian curvature is pinched between $K$ and $4K$.

**Theorem** [S-U]. If $M$ is a compact manifold and $\pi_i(M) \neq 0$ for some $i \neq 1$, then there is a 2-sphere which is a stationary point of the area functional.

**Sphere Theorem of Micallef and Moore.** If $M^n$ is simply connected and has positive curvature on isotropic 2-planes, then $M^n$ has the homotopy type of a n-sphere.

**Proof.** Show that $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. If this is true, the Hurewicz homomorphism and Poincaré duality complete the proof. If $\pi_i(M)$ is the first non-zero homotopy group, a difficult minimax argument leads to the construction of a minimal 2-sphere of index at most $(i - 2)$. However, the curvature condition forces the existence of at least $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ directions in which the second variation is negative. This leads to a contradiction.

**Gauge Theory and 4-Manifolds**

Donaldson's announcement of the restrictions on the topology of 4-manifolds with differentiable structures is a more recent mathematical event. Donaldson's startling use of gauge theory in four dimensions followed almost immediately the successful use of minimal surfaces, and development of these gauge theory techniques is still an active field.

The program instigated and to a great extent carried out by Donaldson consists of encoding the properties of differential structures on 4-manifolds by studying the self-dual Yang-Mills equations on the manifold. The difficulty is that the mathematician must introduce a metric onto the smooth manifold. The first step is to understand the analysis, then the dependence on the metric must still be analyzed.

The non-linear analysis part of Donaldson's theory consists in construction of the space of self-dual solutions of Yang-Mills equations over a conformal manifold $M$ in a bundle with structure group $SU(2)$ and second Chern class $-k$. (In the more recent literature, the orientation is reversed to study the anti-self-dual equations in a bundle of second Chern class $+k$. This fits in better with complex analysis). The basic ingredients is the list of theorems developed by global analysts. The Atiyah-Singer theorem determines the dimension of the moduli space and the Sard-Smale theorem can be used to show it is generically a
manifold. Taubes' implicit function theorem developed to construct solutions by gluing instantons on a manifold was later modified by Donaldson to include the construction of solutions on the connected sum $M_1 \# M_2$ from solutions on $M_1$ and $M_2$. The solution spaces are not compact. However, the boundary is well-understood via exactly the arguments developed to understand the convergence of minimal surfaces.

**Theorem (Donaldson)** [D-2]. If $M^4$ is a simply connected 4-manifold with positive definite self-intersection form, then the moduli space of solutions to the self-dual Yang-Mills equations with $k = -1$ and group $SU(2)$ is generically an oriented manifold with isolated singularities whose boundary can be identified with $M$.

The isolated singularities correspond to solutions where $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$ splits into line bundles. This is a theorem an analyst might well have proved, although it would certainly not be obvious to include the orientability. However, the topological use of this theorem appears a corollary.

**Corollary.** $M^4$ is topologically the connected sum of $\mathbb{CP}^2$'s.

Each singularity looks like a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with a positive orientation. There isn't anything else with definite form oriented cobordant to this sum of $\mathbb{CP}^2$'s.

The theory has been developed further, and more elaborate properties of the solution space of the Yang-Mills equation are used in later results. We refer the readers to a forthcoming survey by Freed [Fr] and the article by Donaldson [D-1].

Some of the properties of the moduli spaces in gauge theory are quite similar to properties of Gromov's pseudoholomorphic curves. McDuff has used these to distinguish different symplectic forms [Gr, McD].

**Complex Moduli Spaces**

The results about 4-manifolds obtained from the Yang-Mills equation are obtained by looking at the topology of the “moduli spaces of solutions.” Here by moduli space we refer to the actual solutions to Yang-Mills divided out by the natural geometric equivalence. This is in many ways similar to older examples of moduli spaces, such as the Riemann moduli space of conformal structures on surfaces. In a development which is related to the moduli space of self-dual Yang-Mills equations, a whole class of problems in algebraic geometry can be put in a general framework which we might call infinite dimensional geometric invariant theory.

The foundational paper is the paper by Atiyah and Bott on Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces [A-B]. An older result of Narasimhan and Sheshadri [N-S] proves that the moduli space of stable holomorphic bundles $M_g$ over a complex curve $\Sigma_g$ of genus $g \geq 2$ can be identified with the moduli space of projectively flat connections. These connections can be identified with the minima of the Yang-Mills functional on connections in $\Sigma_g$. Atiyah and Bott conjectured that there is a very beautiful analytic picture which fits this functional. The symmetry group of Yang-Mills is the real group of gauge transformations $G$. However, its complexification $\mathcal{G}_C$ acts and stratifies the sets of connections $\mathcal{A}$ into classes of holomorphic structures $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_C$ on the bundle. The Yang-Mills
functional is the $L^2$ norm of moment map for this action. Stable orbits are (essentially) the orbits on which the action is free and the quotient Hausdorff. By a very general principle, the equivariant topology of the stable moduli space can be computed from the topology of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{G}$ by examining the Morse theory.

Atiyah and Bott were not able to carry through the analysis, but obtained their results from algebraic geometry. Frances Kirwan [Ki] carried out this very general program in a finite dimensional setting. This pattern of development is strikingly similar to the original construction of the Morse theory of geodesics, which inspired the very useful finite dimensional development. Donaldson [Do-3,4], Hitchin [Hi], Daskalapoulos [Da], Bradlow [Br], Corlette [Co] and Simpson [Si] have carried out the analysis and extended the picture to cover coupled equations and complex manifolds of higher dimension. Naturally, the topological results are much better in complex dimension 1. This is because of the Sobolev inequalities. We discuss this in more detail in the next section.

We finish this section by stating one of the basic results of these computations. It corresponds to the bundle version of Yau's solution of the Calabi conjecture. The topological consequences are similar, although as with the Calabi and Frankel conjectures, there is also an algebraic proof.

**Theorem (Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau) [D-5, U-Y].** The moduli space of stable bundles on a complex Kähler manifold is isomorphic to the space of irreducible solutions to the holomorphic Yang-Mills equations (an extension of the anti-self dual equations to arbitrary dimension).

**Corollary.** If $E$ is a stable holomorphic bundle of rank $r$ on a complex Kähler manifold of dimension $n$, then

$$c_1(E)^2 \wedge \omega^{n-2}[M] \leq \frac{2r}{r+1} c_2(E) \wedge \omega^{n-2}[M].$$

This is a purely topological statement about the cohomology class of the Kähler form and the characteristic classes of the complex tangent bundle. This inequality is easily seen to be true by applying Chern-Weil theory to the holomorphic Yang-Mills connections.

**The Poincaré Conjecture**

The Poincaré conjecture refers to one of the best known problems in topology. If a manifold $M^n$ has the homotopy type of $S^n$, is it $S^n$ (differentially or continuously)? I don't think that analysts have gotten very close to proving or disproving the Poincaré conjecture. It is perhaps not so well-known that this conjecture has been the inspiration for a number of fundamental developments in analysis.

Most of the ideas have focussed on Einstein's equation. This equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation for a critical metric for the variational integral $\int_M K_g \, d\mu_g$. Here $\text{vol} \, M = \int_M d\mu_g$ is kept fixed. Here $K_g$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $g$. Einstein's equation reads

$$\text{Ricci}(g) - \lambda_n K_g = 0.$$
It goes without saying that we know more about a manifold if it has a solution of Einstein's equation on it. In particular, for a 3-manifold Einstein's equation is equivalent to the metric having constant Riemannian curvature. Clearly not every 3-manifold supports a solution to Einstein's equation because there is no metric of constant Riemannian curvature on most 3-manifolds. Nevertheless, this fact may not have been widely recognized by analysts in the past.

Solving Einstein's equation is very difficult. Yamabe [Yam] proposed as the first step to fix the conformal structure and vary only the function describing lengths. This leads to a much studied conformally invariant problem usually called the Yamabe Problem [L-P].

Palais was motivated to construct a very general theory of the calculus of variations [P]. He claims that he hoped to apply it to the Einstein functional. However, he very quickly realized that the critical points of the Einstein functional have infinite index and coindex. Hence they cannot be detected by the topological methods he developed. There is still some hope of using a minimax argument and the known solution to the Yamabe problem. I am not sure how much faith any of us have in this project, though.

Hamilton's results on the Einstein equation (1982) were very surprising and promising. He showed via a heat flow argument that a 3-manifold with positive Ricci curvature supports a solution to Einstein's equation. This makes it the quotient of $S^3$ by a finite group. This result has been very influential on analysis in general without providing a solution to the Poincaré conjecture.

Finally, I would like to comment that the inspiration of the Poincaré conjecture is still very much with analysts. Thurston's results on 3-manifolds go a long way towards describing the geometry of 3-manifolds. Present thinking is that it may be possible to use some other variant of a curvature integral. An example might be

$$
\int_M |\text{Riem}(g)|^p \, d\mu(g)
$$

for $p \geq 3/2$ in 3 dimensions. The hope is that, by transposing gauge theoretic techniques over to manifolds, the obstructions to minimizing such integrals can be better understood.

**Conjecture (Due to Deane Yang).** If $M^3$ is aspherical and atoroidal, then the minimum of the integral

$$
\int_M |\text{Riem}(g)|^{3/2} \, d\mu(g)
$$

under the constraint $\text{vol} \, M = 1$ is either zero, or is taken on by a metric of constant negative curvature.

This result is in fact nearly implied by Thurston's conjectures on 3-manifolds. Yang's conjecture should lead to interesting analysis, even if it doesn't touch the Poincaré conjecture.
3. Analytical Technique

The variety of topological results cited in the previous section is matched by the variety of different analytical methods which were used. There is no neat classification which matches a set of results with a set of techniques. I roughly classify the methods under the subheadings:

- Continuity method,
- Borderline dimension,
- Gauge theory,
- Heat equation methods.

This is not an exhaustive list of methods. A theory such as that constructed by Andreas Floer uses nearly all the ideas mentioned in this section and more. Many of the latest developments involve topological index theory constructions on the solution spaces as are needed for Donaldson's invariants of 4-manifolds and applications of Gromov's pseudo-holomorphic curves.

Continuity Method

The continuity method is on the surface naive. The goal is to solve an equation

\[ F(g) = 0 \]

where \( F \) is a non-linear elliptic system. To use the continuity method, start with a trial \( g_0 \), and compute \( F(g_0) = F_0 \). Put in a parameter \( \varepsilon \in [0,1] \) and solve

\[ F(g_\varepsilon) = (1 - \varepsilon)F_0. \]

This is done by showing that \( dF(g_\varepsilon) \) is invertible as a map between appropriately introduced Banach spaces, and that the solution \( g_\varepsilon \) stays bounded in the tangent norm. The invertibility of \( dF \) implies via the implicit function theorem that the set of \( \varepsilon \in [0,1] \) for which we have a solution to our equation is open. The estimates (and some weak convergence) show this set is closed. The sophistication comes from the usual necessity of dealing with a number of Banach spaces, and from the further necessity of estimating the inverse of \( dF \).

This is the method used by S.T. Yau to solve the Calabi conjecture. While the equation is Einstein's equation

\[ \text{Ricci}(g) - \lambda(g) = 0, \]

in the Kähler case an equation can be written for a Kähler potential \( \varphi(\varepsilon) \) where \( g(t) = g_0 + \partial \varphi(\varepsilon) \) is a new metric. The equation becomes one for the potential function \( \varphi \). The first stage of the estimates follow easily from the maximum principle. However, essentially all derivatives of \( \varphi \) have to be estimated, although the estimates become iterative after the third derivatives. No method of solution has been found which avoids estimates (nor would we expect this to happen). However the estimates themselves have been given a more geometric foundation and have found a wider application in the general study of Monge-Ampere equations.

Uhlenbeck and Yau used the same method to solve the holomorphic Yang-Mills equations on a Kähler manifold. Here, the metric is in a bundle, and there
is no potential, but there is a maximum principle. By adding an $\epsilon$, we obtain an invertible equation

$$F_g + \epsilon \ln g = 0.$$ 

For $\epsilon > 0$, it is easy to solve this equation. As $\epsilon \to 0$, the solution does blow up unless an extra geometric condition of stability is satisfied. We show that, if blow-up does occur, that the normalized solutions $\frac{g(\epsilon)}{\mu(\epsilon)}$ converge to a degenerate metric $\pi$ which violates the stability condition. The sophisticated analysis occurs in higher order estimates, not in the initial outline.

Finally, Taubes' construction of instantons on four manifolds is via an implicit function theorem proved in the same style [T-1]. His original iterative proof can be rewritten using the continuity method. He glues in the standard instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4$, localized to lie in a ball of radius $\lambda$ into a ball centered at $p$ on an arbitrary compact four manifold. The resulting approximate solution can be modified by a small amount to give a solution to the instanton equation. Donaldson further needs to obtain a moduli space with the parameters $(\lambda, p)$. Again, it is the estimates and the invertibility of an operator very close to the derivative operator which are essential [D-2].

The continuity method is best suited to cases where invertibility of the derivative is built into the situation. In all the cases just cited, there is a moduli space of solutions whose dimension and structure can be understood by using the appropriate choice of coordinates (or gauge) when looking for the solution. A maximum principle is available for the Kähler-Einstein and Holomorphic Yang-Mills examples. Invertibility in Taubes' construction comes from topological constraints and knowledge of $S^4$. It remains a question when Taubes' gluing of point localized solutions applies to other partial differential equations. Schoen has applied a somewhat similar idea in constructing solutions of the Yamabe problem with point singularities [S] and Kapouleas [Ka] has shown the existence of many complicated surfaces of constant curvature in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by a not unrelated technique. These problems all exhibit conformal invariance, which allows the scaling of solutions. The resulting approximate solutions have a number of parameters. In the simple cases there is a projection onto a moduli space; in complicated examples the parameters must be chosen carefully.

**Borderline Dimension**

The critical ingredient in geometric problems is the Sobolev embedding theorems. For the usual mapping examples, $L^2 \subset C^0$ is true in dimension 1, false in higher dimensions. Dimension 2 is the borderline or scale invariant dimension, because the integral $\int \| ds \|^2 (dx)^2$ scales the same way as $\max_{x \in M} |s(x)|$. Both are scale invariant. A similar phenomena is observed for the Yamabe problem. In dimension $n$, $L^2_1 \subset L^p$ for $p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$. The relevant power of $p$ in the Yamabe problem is $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ [L-P].

For borderline problems, solutions can easily be found using minimization and weak convergence methods. The difficulty is that the limiting functions may not satisfy the constraint satisfied by the approximating functions. A typical example would be to fix a domain bounded by a simple closed curve $\Gamma$ in the plane. Consider maps $s : D^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $s|S^1 : S^1 \to \Gamma$ has degree one. Now minimize.
The minimum occurs on a conformal map, and will provide a solution of the Riemann mapping problem. The difficulty is to keep the weak limit of a minimizing sequence from being trivial (i.e., to preserve the condition of $\gamma(S^1 : S^1 \to D$ is of degree one). One solution is to use the conformal invariance to fix the map on three points of $S^1$. C.B. Morrey [Mo] used these ideas (which originate with Douglas' solution of the Plateau problem) to find minimal surfaces in arbitrary Riemannian manifolds.

The fundamental observation is that in conformally invariant problems energy estimates plus scale invariance imply that the estimates and convergence are valid except possibly at an isolated set of points. At these points, small neighborhoods may conformally expand to cover large pieces of the geometric solution. The mathematician can recover the geometric solution by blow-up. For $\delta_i \to 0$, set

$$s_i \left( \frac{x - x_0}{\delta_i} \right) = \hat{s}_i(x).$$

Then $\hat{s}_i(x) \to s : R^\infty = S^n - \{p\} \to M$ will be a solution on $S^n$ [S-U1].

This principle allows the construction of a large number of two-dimensional minimal surfaces, and underlines our present understanding of the Yamabe problem. Later it came to be fundamental in the analysis of solutions of the self-dual Yang-Mills equation on 4-manifolds. The borderline dimension for Yang-Mills turns out to be 4 instead of 2, and many results for harmonic maps and minimal surfaces have counterparts in theorems on Yang-Mills in dimension four [Ma, Se]. However, the topological results have come from Donaldson's study of the solution spaces of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations. Here existence theorems are proved by the implicit function theorem mentioned in the previous section. Whatever compactness of the solution space has is shown by the same techniques used in the construction of minimal surfaces [Do-2, F-U]. Gromov later applied these same ideas back in two dimensions to study pseudo-holomorphic 2-spheres in symplectic manifolds [Gr, McD].

It is an interesting question whether mathematicians will discover new and useful scale-invariant geometric partial differential equations. These techniques are just waiting to be used again!

**Gauge Theory (New Sobolev-Inequalities)**

Until gauge field theory appeared in mathematics, well-posed, natural, topological calculus of variations problems seemed confined to one dimension. It is possible to artificially construct variational problems of all sorts. However, the geometrically natural variational problems seem to be the only ones which are useful in topology. These are (a) first order in derivatives and (b) quadratic. Conditions (a) and (b) imply that the natural estimates are $L^2$. Topological results come from $C^0$, so we are stuck in the classical case of dimension one with geodesics for good problems and in the case of dimension 2 with minimal surfaces for the borderline case.

However, in gauge field theory on a manifold $M$, the unknown is the connection $A$ which is a one-form. The natural function is the $L^2$ norm of curvature...
$F_A = dA + [A, A]$, which is the first derivative of $A$. However, topology comes from the overlap functions, which relate $A$'s via their derivatives. Intuitively speaking, curvature is the second derivative of the structure functions of a bundle. The relevant Sobolev embedding is $L^2_2 \subset C^0$. This embedding is true in dimensions 2 and 3, and borderline in dimension 4.

Some basic estimates need to be obtained before analysis can be done. Gauge theory problems have an infinite dimensional symmetry group, and the curvature $F_A = dA + [A, A]$ contains only part of the full derivative of $A$. These problems are related and are taken care of in the same analytical lemma. Because of the nonlinearity in the problem, the estimates are stated globally in terms of convergence.

**Theorem [U-1].** Let $D_i$ be a sequence of connections on a compact manifold $M$ of dimension $n$. If $F_i$ is the curvature of $D_i$, and $F_i \in L^p$ forms a bounded sequence for $p > n/2$, then there exists a subsequence $D_i^*$ and a sequence of gauge transformations $s_i$ such that $s_i^*D_i^* \to D$ in $L^p_1$.

A weaker version of this lemma applies in the borderline case $p = n/2$.

Yang-Mills in dimensions 2 and 3 gives us new examples of variational problems in which the topology and analysis match. The 2-dimensional example has been extensively studied [A-B] and gives us the topological results on the moduli space of stable bundles over Riemann surfaces. Recently the analytical details were completed by Daskalopoulos [Da]. The 3-dimensional problem is not very well understood geometrically. We do not as yet know how to use the many solutions to Yang-Mills which exist on a 3-manifold.

**Heat Equation Methods**

One of the earliest global non-linear results was that of Eells and Sampson [E-S]. Recall that the energy functional is defined on maps between two compact Riemannian manifolds $s : M \to N$

$$ E(s) = \int_M |ds|^2 d\mu. $$

Critical maps are called harmonic maps. Eells and Sampson constructed a harmonic map in every homotopy class of maps $s \in [M, N]$ when $N$ has non-positive sectional curvature. They did this by following $L^2$ gradient curves. Thus $L^2$ flow is a non-linear system of parabolic equations

$$ \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = \nabla_s^* ds. $$

In fact, early in his career S.T. Yau wrote a number of papers which apply this existence theory [Y-2].

A potential method of solving a non-linear elliptic equation is to solve the associated non-linear parabolic equations and follow the solutions as $t \to \infty$. This at first seems unduly cumbersome, and at least one mathematician expended some effort in finding "better" ways to find the Eells-Sampson harmonic maps [U-2]. In 1983 Richard Hamilton [H] was able to solve Einstein's equation on some 3-manifolds by solving the associated parabolic equation and following the time
dependent solution to a solution of the elliptic equation. This result has already
been noted in the section on the Poincaré conjecture in section 2. Hamilton’s
result has had a remarkable effect on geometric PDE, since this result surely
cannot be obtained by variational methods. In the wake of Hamilton’s work,
both the Einstein and the Kähler-Einstein equation have been reexamined and
solved in certain contexts using the heat equation method.

The non-linear heat flow was the geometric gradient used by Atiyah and
Bott to study the Yang-Mills equations. Their analysis was shown to be rigorous
by Donaldson, and was used by Donaldson to solve the holomorphic version of
Yang-Mills in arbitrary complex dimension [Do-3,Do-4]. Carlos Simpson [Si] has
shown that this approach fits in very nicely with geometric invariant theory. The
method can be used to obtain the same results as obtained by Yau and myself
[U-Y] and is more philosophically satisfying than the perturbation method.

Strüwe has gone a long way towards showing that many of the useful
properties of harmonic maps (or minimal surfaces) can be obtained via the
parabolic equation [Sl]. In many cases, the rigid method of looking for solutions
of a geometric elliptic equation via the parabolic equation will give the most
delicate results. This fits in best with the topology when there are moduli spaces
of solutions, the equation is geometrically natural, and fewer choices are involved
in following a parabolic equation compared to picking a minimizing sequence.

4. Failures

There are a number of theorems and conjectures which seem to be suitable for
attack by the methods discussed in the previous section. Some mathematicians
might regard these as “open problems.” This is a matter of perspective. Perhaps,
since I confess to having spent considerable time on them myself, the failure is
personal.

**Mostow-Rigidity Theorem.** If \( s : M^n \to N^n, n > 2, \) is a diffeomorphism between
hyperbolic manifolds, then \( s \) is homotopic to an isometry.

The proof of this theorem ought to go somewhat as follows. Let \( \hat{s} \) be the
harmonic map homotopic to \( s \). The existence of this map dates back to [E-S] in
1963. Because \( M^n \) and \( N^n \) have constant curvature \(-1\), the map \( \hat{s} \) is an isometry.

Unfortunately, the only way we know that \( \hat{s} \) is an isometry is by applying
Mostow rigidity in a circular argument. This is, of course, only the simplest version
of the Mostow rigidity theorem. There are some extensions due to Gromov which
ought to be accessible by these means. Ultimately we would generally like to know
better the relationship between curvature and volume. So far partial differential
equations have not been helpful.

A related theorem by Siu uses harmonic maps to establish rigidity of complex
manifolds with negative bisectional curvature [Si]. Other work has been done
by Corlette, Gromov and Schoen, but a partial differential equation’s proof of
Mostow’s theorem remains elusive.

**Theorem** [Se]. Let \( C_d^\infty(S^2,S^2) \) be the space of maps of degree \( d \) between \( S^2 \) and
\( S^2 \). Let \( M_d^\infty(S^2,S^2) \subset C_d^\infty(S^2,S^2) \) be the meromorphic maps of degree \( d \). Then the
inclusion of \( M_d \) in \( C_d^\infty \) is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension \( d \).
The proof ought to proceed as follows: Consider the energy integral

\[ E(s) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{S^2} |ds|^2 d\mu \]

on maps \( s : S^2 \to S^2 \). The meromorphic functions are the set on which \( E \) takes on its minimum. After removing a set of codimension \( d + 2 \), the gradient flow retracts the remainder of the space of \( C^\infty \) functions onto the minimum.

This is a conformally invariant problem and we have not learned to handle the finer points of the topology of the flow! Segal's theorem is actually very extensive, applying to surfaces of arbitrary genus as the domain and a whole class of (positively curved) complex manifolds as the image [Se]. It is related to the Atiyah-Jones conjecture on the topology of instantons embedded in the space of connections [A-J].

There is actually an analytic proof of Segal's theorem. It can pieced together by applying a theorem of Donaldson [Do-6] on monopoles and Taubes' proof of a Morse theory for the monopole equation [T-2]. This seems too unwieldy to be a model proof, however.

**Thurston's Techniques for 3-Manifolds.** As part of his theory of 3-manifold topology, Thurston develops techniques for analyzing hyperbolic 3-manifolds which depend heavily on rigidly embedded surfaces in the manifolds. These surfaces are geodesically embedded with constant Gaussian curvature, and are broken along geodesics. It is more natural from the point of view of analysis to examine the properties of constant mean curvature surfaces in 3-manifolds. (Zero mean curvature characterizes locally minimizing surfaces.) Up until this date, attempts to replace Thurston's broken surfaces with smooth surfaces have been strikingly unsuccessful. While some results in 3-manifolds are obtained by partial differential equations techniques, Thurston's program has been unaffected by these methods.

**Jones-Witten Invariants.** Two of the four fields medals at this congress were given out at least in part for a theory designed to produce 3-manifold invariants. There are many approaches to this theory, but the unifying approach of Witten is to start with a classical geometrical integral similar to those which have been used already in the applications of analysis to topology. Witten in fact takes the integral used by Floer. The classical Chern-Simons integral is defined for an \( su(N) \) valued one-form \( A \) on a 3-manifold.

\[ CS(A) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{M^3} \text{tr} \left( dA \wedge A + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right). \]

The Jones-Witten invariant for the manifold has the form

\[ W(k, N) = \int_{\text{all } A} e^{ikCS(A)} dA. \]

The symbol \( \int \) indicates the Feynman path integral used heavily in quantum field theory. Unfortunately, this is not a situation which has been made completely rigorous. However, many tools exist as input into calculations in quantum field theory. We list a few, in the hopes of giving some flavor of the subject.
Perturbation Theory. Calculations in quantum field theory which relate to physical experiment are meant to obtain asymptotic formulas as $1/k \to 0$. They are done via Feynman diagrams, which represent power series expansion around a vacuum (usually $A = 0$). In all physical cases I know of, the domain manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \leq 4$. These methods would fail here, due to the lack of a proper Green's function.

Stationary Phaze (and Ghosts). There are two separate problems which can be formally dealt with in more geometric problems. One is that of several important classical solutions and the other is the lack of ellipticity. Witten has carried out these asymptotic expansions and obtained the first order asymptotic in $k$ [W-2]. There are clearly severe problems in the theory since further calculations cannot even be guessed at. No one has yet obtained lower order terms for manifolds, although some calculations exist for knots [B-N].

Finite Approximation. The approximation of the infinite dimensional integral $\int f$ by finite integrals is known as lattice gauge theory. A large amount of supercomputer time is spent on more down-to-physics calculations than those of Chern-Simons theory. The value of these type of calculations is not clear. They certainly shed no light on arbitrary 3-manifolds.

Axiomatic Approach The quantum field theory involved in the Chern-Simons theory is particularly simple, and the formulation of the correct axioms seems to have been the greatest success of the theory so far. Atiyah has formulated the axioms for topological quantum field theory (TQFT) [A-1].

Geometric Quantization. This formulation of quantum theory is probably the best understood by mathematicians. Witten and his students have a successful formulation of the Chern-Simons theory in terms of calculations on the moduli space of flat bundles [A-PD-W].

Canonical Quantization. It is usually necessary to use both the path integral and the Hamiltonian approach in computing the ingredients of quantum field theories. In many contexts, the Hamiltonian approach makes contact with group representations. We know that the input from 2-d conformal field theory is very useful in setting up the building blocks for the 3-d Chern-Simons theory. We can hence include on our list of useful mathematics:

* Group representation theory,*
* Integrable lattice models of statistical mechanics,*
* Quantization of completely integrable systems.*

Quantum Groups. Finally, some topologists have found that the direct quantum group (Hopf algebra) approach leads to the most direct construction of the Jones-Witten invariants [Q,Wa].

In classical mechanics, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations are equivalent. In quantum field theory, both are necessary and complementary. What remains in question is the consistency (not equivalence) of the facts gained from the different approaches. To someone like myself, who has worked in topological applications of partial differential equations, the situation is analogous to the plurality of approaches which can be made to understanding the Laplace
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operator on a Lie group. It is possible to get input from a wide variety of mathematical directions: separation of variables, special functions, finite-element approximation schemes, group representations, asymptotic heat kernel methods and a variety of different types of geometric constructions. However, we know what the Laplace operator itself is. This holds the different ideas together. The study of Jones-Witten invariants is similar, but we are missing the central ingredient which corresponds to the Laplace operator — a proper definition of $f$.

Recent computer calculations of D. Freed and R. Gompf indicate that two approaches (both due to Witten), from conformal field theory and from stationary phase approximation actually agree for lens spaces and some homology spheres [F-G]. Any mathematical demonstrations of this agreement would of necessity contain the proof that analytic torsion agrees with combinatorial (Reidemeister) torsion. Perhaps we should look forward to a construction of $f$ which will pull this theory together.
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Multidimensional Hypergeometric Functions in Conformal Field Theory, Algebraic K-Theory, Algebraic Geometry
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Rudolf Arnheim in the book *Visual Thinking* (L.A. 1969) writes that usually concepts tend to crystallize into simple, well-shaped forms. They are tempted by Platonic rigidity. This creates troubles when the range they are intended to cover includes relevant qualitative differences. The variations can be so different from each other that to see them as belonging to one family of phenomena requires mature understanding. To the young mind, they look as different from each other as did the morning star from the evening star to the ancients.

The notion of a general hypergeometric function was introduced by I.M. Gelfand in the mid 80s. Now it is clear that general hypergeometric functions play a major role in interesting parts of mathematics such as Conformal Field Theory, Representation Theory, Algebraic K-Theory, Algebraic Geometry and provide new connections among them.

The general hypergeometric functions are generalizations of the Euler beta-function. The beta-function is the integral of a product of powers of linear functions over the segment. In the generalization the segment is replaced by a polytope and the integral

\[ I(\Delta, f, \alpha) = \int_\Delta f_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots f_n^{\alpha_n} \, dx_1 \ldots dx_n \]

is considered as a function of the polytope \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), the linear functions \( \{f_i\} \), and the exponents \( \{\alpha_j\} \in \mathbb{C} \). The simplest examples are the classical hypergeometric function, the Euler dilogarithm, the volume of a polytope. The systematic study of the general hypergeometric functions was begun only recently in works of I.M. Gelfand's school and K. Aomoto.

There are three basic reasons for the appearance of general hypergeometric functions: the general hypergeometric functions satisfy remarkable differential equations, the general hypergeometric functions satisfy remarkable functional equations, the general hypergeometric functions, as analytic functions of their arguments, have remarkable monodromy groups.
1. Functional Equations

1.1 Volume of Polytope

The volume of a convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has the following properties. The volume does not change under movements of the polytope. If a polytope is divided into two parts by a plane, then the volume of the polytope is equal to the sum of the volumes of the parts. These properties are functional equations of the volume considered as a function on the space of all convex polytopes. The properties of the volume suggest the following definition. The group of polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the abelian group generated by the symbols $(A)$, where $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is any convex polytope, subject to the relations

(a) $(A) = (gA)$ for any motion $g$.
(b) $(A) = (A_1) + (A_2)$, if $A$ is divided by a plane into parts $A_1, A_2$.

Is an element of this group uniquely determined by its volume? Is a regular tetrahedron equivalent to a cube of the same volume? These questions form the content of the third Hilbert problem. The third Hilbert problem was solved in Dehn's articles in 1900–1902, before it was published. It turned out that the regular tetrahedron cannot be composed from a cube because the two have different Dehn invariants.

Consider an edge of a polytope. An edge has two characteristics: the length $l$ and the angle $\theta$ at the edge. The angle is defined up to a multiple of $\pi$ and lies in $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The Dehn invariant of a polytope is the expression $D(A) = \sum l_i \otimes \theta_i$, where the sum is taken over all edges of the polytope. The Dehn invariant is an element of the group $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z} (\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z})$. It is obvious that the invariant does not change under movements of the polytope and is additive under cuttings. It is easy to see that the Dehn invariant of a cube is equal to zero (as is that of any prism) and that the Dehn invariant of a regular tetrahedron is not equal to zero. So a regular tetrahedron cannot be composed from a cube. According to Sydler, 1965, the equivalence class of a polytope is uniquely determined by its volume and Dehn invariant, see also [C1, Du, DuPS, DuS, S].

This example demonstrates the scheme leading from a hypergeometric function to interesting algebraic concepts. Given a function on some space satisfying some functional equations one considers the abelian group generated by the points of the space subject to relations given by the functional equations of the initial function. If the initial function satisfies some differential equations then the group has additional structure.

1.2 Example

The Euler dilogarithm is the function defined by the power series

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^2}$$

for $|z| < 1$.

The dilogarithm is a hypergeometric function. It has the integral representation

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y}$$
where the triangle $\Delta$ is shown in Fig. 1. The dilogarithm satisfies the differential equation
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Li}_2(t) = \ln(1-t) d\ln(t)
\]
and the functional equation
\[
\text{Li}_2(x) - \text{Li}_2(y) + \text{Li}_2(y/x) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{y(1-x)}{x(1-y)}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right) = n^2/6 - \ln(x) \ln\left(\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right).
\]
for $0 < y < x < 1$.

The algebraic construction. The Bloch group of a field $F$ is the abelian group $B_2$, generated by the symbols $(t)$, where $t \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$, subject to the relations
\[
(x) - (y) + (y/x) - \left(\frac{y(1-x)}{x(1-y)}\right) + \left(\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right) = 0 \tag{1}
\]
for any $x, y \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Consider the multiplicative group $F^*$ of a field $F$ and its exterior square $F^* \wedge_z F^*$. The map
\[
t \mapsto (t) \wedge (1-t),
\]
sending the symbol $(t), t \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$, to the element $(t) \wedge (1-t)$ of the group $F^* \wedge F^*$, has a remarkable property. It sends the alternating sum of the elements on the left-hand side of (1) to the zero element of the group $F^* \wedge F^*$. This gives a well-defined homomorphism
\[
S : B_2 \to F^* \wedge F^*,
\]
called the Bloch complex.

The homology groups of the Bloch complex are connected with the Quillen $K$-groups of the field $F$. In algebraic $K$-theory with any field $F$ there is associated the sequence of groups $K_n(F), n \geq 0$. For these groups a multiplication $K_p \otimes K_q \to K_{p+q}$ is defined.

**Theorem** (Matsumoto, Suslin).

1) $\text{Coker } S \cong K_2(F)$;
2) \((\text{Ker } S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_3^{\text{ind}}(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\),
where \(K_3^{\text{ind}} = K_3/K_1^3\) is the indecomposable part of \(K_3\).

This theorem gives an elementary definition of \(K_2\) and the indecomposable part of \(K_3\) (modulo torsion). An interesting problem is to find elementary definitions of all the groups \(K_n(F)\). Conjecturally such definitions arise from functional and differential equations of polylogarithmic functions.

There are several possible generalizations of the logarithm and the Euler dilogarithm \([A3, \text{Le}, \text{GM}, \text{HaM}]\). One of them is the Aomoto polylogarithms.

### 1.3 Aomoto Polylogarithms

A simplex in \(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})\) is an ordered set \(L = (L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_n)\) of hyperplanes. A simplex defines the differential \(n\)-form

\[
\omega_L = d \ln(z_1/z_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge d \ln(z_n/z_0),
\]

where \(z_i = 0\) is a homogeneous equation of \(L_i\). With a second simplex \(M = (M_0, \ldots, M_n)\) \(n\)-chain \(\Delta_M\) in \(\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \setminus L\) is connected. \(\Delta_M\) is a curved oriented \(n\)-simplex with boundary in \(M\), see Fig. 2. With a pair of simplices an integral

\[
a_n(L; M) = \int_{\Delta_M} \omega_L
\]

is associated called the Aomoto polylogarithm of order \(n\). The integral depends on the choice of the chain \(\Delta_M\), but does not change under its deformation. The Aomoto polylogarithm has the following properties.

1. **Antisymmetry.** The integral is antisymmetric with respect to renumbering of the hyperplanes of the first or the second simplices.
2. **Additivity with respect to a form.** If \(L_0, \ldots, L_{n+1}\) are \((n + 2)\) hyperplanes and \(L^i := (L_0, \ldots, \hat{L}_i, \ldots, L_{n+1})\), then

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^j a_n(L^j; M) = 0.
\]
3. **Additivity with respect to a chain.** If \(M_0, \ldots, M_{n+1}\) are \((n + 2)\) hyperplanes, then
for a suitable choice of $A_{M \xi}$.

(5) Projective invariance. For every $g \in PGL(n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ if $A_{gM} = gA_M$, then

$$a_n(gL; gM) = a_n(L; M).$$

For example, an Aomoto polylogarithm of order 1 is defined by two pairs of points $L = (L_0, L_1)$, $M = (M_0, M_1)$ on $P^1(\mathbb{C})$ and is equal to an integral of the form

$$\alpha_L = d \ln(z_1/z_0)$$

over a path going from $M_1$ to $M_0$. $a_1(L; M)$ is equal to the logarithm of the cross ratio of the four points $(L_0, L_1, M_0, M_1)$. The Euler dilogarithm is a special case of the Aomoto dilogarithm, see Fig. 1.

There are two connections of polylogarithms of different orders: the multiplication and the differential equation.

(6) The product of Aomoto polylogarithms of orders $p$, $q$ may be expressed as a sum of Aomoto polylogarithms of order $p + q$, see [BGSV].

(7) The differential of the polylogarithm of order $n$, considered as a function on the space of all configurations $(L, M)$ may be expressed through suitable polylogarithms of orders $n - 1$ and 1 [A3].

Aomoto polylogarithms describe parameters of the mixed Hodge structure of cohomology groups of a pair $P^n(\mathbb{C}) \setminus L, M \setminus M \cap L$, where $L$, $M$ are configurations of hyperplanes, see [BMS, BGSV]. For example if two points $L_0, L_1 \in P^1(\mathbb{C})$ are removed and two points $M_0, M_1 \in P^1(\mathbb{C})$ are identified then the mixed Hodge structure of the first cohomology group of this space is defined by the number

$$\exp(a_1(L_0, L_1; M_0, M_1)).$$

1.4 Hopf Algebra of Pairs of Simplices

Taking properties of Aomoto polylogarithms as a starting point, it is possible to suggest a definition of a graded Hopf algebra $A(F) = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots$ for any field $F$ [BMS, BGSV]. Here $A_0 := \mathbb{Z}$, $A_n$ is the abelian group, generated by the symbols $(L; M)$, where $L = (L_0, \ldots, L_n)$, $M = (M_0, \ldots, M_n)$ are ordered sets of hyperplanes in $P^n(F)$, subject to relations similar to (2)–(5). The multiplication

$$\mu_{p,q} : A_p \otimes A_q \to A_{p+q}$$

and the comultiplication $\nabla_n = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \nabla_{p,n-p} : A_n \to \bigoplus_{p=0}^n A_p \otimes A_{n-p}$ of the Hopf algebra are modeled by properties (6), (7).

There is the sequence of complexes $A[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$, associated with any graded Hopf algebra $A$. The simplest of these complexes are

$A[1] : 0 \to A_1 \to 0,$

$A[2] : 0 \to A_2 \xrightarrow{\nabla_{1,1}} A_1 \otimes A_1 \to 0,$

$A[3] : 0 \to A_3 \xrightarrow{\nabla_{2,1} \oplus \nabla_{1,2}} A_2 \otimes A_1 \oplus A_1 \otimes A_2 \xrightarrow{\nabla_{1,1} \otimes \nabla_{0,1} \oplus \nabla_{1,1} \otimes \nabla_{1,1}} A_1 \otimes A_1 \otimes A_1 \to 0.$

The complex $A[n]$ is concentrated in degrees from 1 to $n$. Conjecturally, the cohomology groups of these complexes give the K-groups of the field $F$ modulo torsion.
Conjecture (Beilinson) [BGSV].

\[ K_n(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} H^{n-2j}(A[n - j] \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \]

Theorem [BGSV]. The conjecture holds for \( n \leq 3 \):

\[ K_1 \simeq H^1(A[1]), \quad K_2 \simeq H^2(A[2]), \quad K_3, Q \simeq H^3(A[3]_Q) \oplus H^1(A[2]_Q). \]

In the last years efforts have been undertaken to construct a motivic cohomology theory of algebraic manifolds which would be an arithmetical variant of the singular cohomology theory. According to Beilinson a category of motivic sheaves over the spectrum of a field \( F \) is a category of graded modules over a suitable algebra and a possible candidate for it could be the algebra \( (A(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^* \) dual to the algebra \( A(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).

1.5 Bloch-Wigner Function

The Euler dilogarithm may be continued to a multivalued analytic function on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \). The Bloch-Wigner function is its imaginary part

\[ D(z) = \text{Im}(\text{Li}_2(z)) + \text{arg}(1 - z) \ln|z|, \]

see [B2, Z]. The Bloch-Wigner function has the following properties.

(8) \( D(z) \) is single-valued real analytic on \( \mathbb{C} \) except at the points 0 and 1, where it is only continuous.

(9) \[ D(x) - D(y) + D(y/x) - D\left(\frac{y(1-x)}{x(1-y)}\right) + D\left(\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right) = 0 \]

for any \( x, y \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \).

In particular, for any field \( F \subset \mathbb{C} \) the Bloch-Wigner function defines a homomorphism \( B_2(F) \to \mathbb{R} \) of the Bloch group to real numbers.

1.6 Polylogarithms and Zeta-Function

Let \( F \) be an algebraic number field of degree \( n \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with \( r_1 \) real and \( r_2 \) complex places, \( r_1 + 2r_2 = n \). Let \( \zeta_F(s) \) be the Dedekind zeta-function of \( F \). The value \( \zeta_F(2) \) is expressed in terms of the values of the Bloch-Wigner function at points of the field \( F \).

Theorem [Bo, B, Su, Z]. In the Bloch complex of \( F \) the group \( \text{Ker} \, S \) is isomorphic (modulo torsion) to \( \mathbb{Z}^{r_2} \). The co-volume of the image of the map \( \text{Ker} \, S \to \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \), defined by the composition of complex imbeddings and the Bloch-Wigner function, is a non-zero rational multiple of \( \pi^{-2(r_1 + r_2)} |d_F|^{1/2} \zeta_F(2) \), where \( d_F \) is the discriminant of \( F \).

D. Zagier conjectured that for any natural number \( m \) the value \( \zeta_F(m) \) has similar expression in terms of values of the classical polylogarithms of order at most \( m \) at points of \( F \), [Z]. Recently A. Goncharov proved this conjecture for \( m = 3 \).
Let \( Z[F\{0, 1\}] \) be the free abelian group generated by the symbols \((t), t \in F\{0, 1\}\). \( Q[F\{0, 1\}] := Z[F\{0, 1\}] \otimes Q \). For any function \( D : C\{0, 1\} \rightarrow R \) there is a homomorphism \( D : Q[C\{0, 1\}] \rightarrow R, D : \sum n_i(t_i) \rightarrow \sum n_iD(t_i) \).

Let \( \{a_j\}, j = 1, \ldots, r_1 + 2r_2 \), be all possible imbeddings \( F \rightarrow C, \overline{a_{r_1+k}} = a_{r_1+r_2+k} \).

**Theorem** [Go]. There exist \( z_1, \ldots, z_{r_1+r_2} \in Q[F\{0, 1\}] \), such that

\[
\zeta_F(3) = \pi^{3r_2}|d_F|^{-1/2} \det(D_3(\sigma_j(z_i))),
\]

where \( j = 1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2 \),

\[
D_3(z) = \text{Re}(\text{Li}_3(z) - \ln|z|\text{Li}_2(z) + \frac{1}{3} \ln^2|z|\text{Li}_1(z)),
\]

\( \text{Li}_n(z), n \geq 1, \) is the classical polylogarithm of order \( n \), defined by the power series

\[
\text{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^n} \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.
\]

## 2. Hypergeometric Integrals

### 2.1 Classical Hypergeometric Function

The hypergeometric series

\[
F(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{1c} z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{1 \cdot 2c(c+1)} z^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{a(a+1)(a+2)b(b+1)(b+2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3c(c+1)(c+2)} z^3 + \ldots
\]

satisfies the differential equation

\[
z(1-z)F'' + (c - (a + b + 1)z)F' - abF = 0
\]

and has the integral representation

\[
\frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - b)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a, b; c; z) = \int_1^\infty t^{a-c}(t-1)^{c-b-1}(t-z)^{-a} \, dt.
\]

Thus the classical hypergeometric function has three definitions: as a power series, as the solution of a differential equation, as an integral depending on a parameter.

These objects are associated with the family of the configurations of the triples of the points 0, 1, \( z \) of the complex line and are generalized naturally to the case of a family of configurations of hyperplanes in an affine space \([A, G, GGZ, GKZ]\).

### 2.2 Cohomology of Complement of Configuration of Hyperplanes

Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a finite set of hyperplanes in \( C^n \). Choose a linear equation \( f_H = 0 \) for any hyperplane. Define the closed differential form \( df_H/f_H \). The Orlik-Solomon algebra is the exterior algebra generated by 1 and the forms \( df_H/f_H, H \in \mathcal{C} \).
Theorem [Ar1, Bri]. The Orlik-Solomon algebra is naturally isomorphic to the complex cohomology ring of the complement of a configuration.

In other words every cohomology class can be represented as a polynomial in the forms $df_H/f_H$, such a polynomial defines the zero class only if it is equal to zero.

Example [Ar1]. The Orlik-Solomon algebra of the configuration of all diagonal hyperplanes $t_i - t_j = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is isomorphic to the exterior algebra generated by 1 and the symbols $w_{ij}$ subject to the relations $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$, $w_{ij} \wedge w_{jk} + w_{jk} \wedge w_{ki} + w_{ki} \wedge w_{ij} = 0$ for pairwise different $i$, $j$, $k$. $P(t) = (1 + t)(1 + 2t) \ldots (1 + (n - 1)t)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of the algebra, see also [Or, OS].

V.I. Arnold computed this example in connection with study of superpositions of algebraic functions and Hilbert’s 13th problem; see [Ar2].

A configuration is called weighted if a complex number $\alpha(H)$ is assigned to each hyperplane $H$. The weights define the function

$$l_\alpha = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{G}} f_{H(H)}^{\alpha(H)}.$$  

This function is a multivalued function on the complement of a configuration. The differential of this function has the form

$$dl_\alpha = l_\alpha \sum_{H \in \mathcal{G}} \alpha(H) df_H/f_H.$$  

A hypergeometric differential form of a weighted configuration is any form $l_\alpha \omega$, where $\omega$ is a differential form of the Orlik-Solomon algebra.

Hypergeometric forms form a finite-dimensional complex, as the differential of a hypergeometric form is a hypergeometric form:

$$d(l_\alpha \omega) = l_\alpha \sum_{H \in \mathcal{G}} \alpha(H) df_H/f_H \wedge \omega.$$  

The weight local system $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$ on the complement of a configuration is the complex one-dimensional local system of coefficients with the monodromy around a hyperplane $H$ equal to the multiplication by $\exp(-2\pi i \alpha(H))$.

The cohomology of the complement of a configuration with coefficients in the weight local system is computed by the complex of hypergeometric forms. More precisely for any number $t$ denote by $t\alpha$ the weights $H \mapsto t\alpha(H)$, $H \in \mathcal{G}$, homothetic to the initial ones.

Theorem [SV3]. For almost all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the cohomology of the finite dimensional complex of hypergeometric forms with weights $t\alpha$ is naturally isomorphic to the cohomology of the complement of a configuration with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}(t\alpha)$.

For example, this is true for $t = 0$ according to the Arnold-Brieskorn theorem. Exceptional values of $t$ form a discrete set. Conjecturally the exceptions form explicitly given arithmetical progressions [Ao1].
2.3 Determinant Formulas

The Euler beta-function is the alternating product of Euler gamma-functions:

\[ B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}. \]

There is a generalization of this formula to the case of a configuration of hyperplanes in an affine space \([V, S, A_6]\).

\[ \text{Fig. 3} \]

Example. Consider the configuration of three points \(z_1, z_2, z_3\) on a line, see Fig. 3. The point \(z_j\) is the zero of the function \(f_j = t - z_j\). Put

\[ l_\alpha = (t - z_1)^{\alpha_1}(t - z_2)^{\alpha_2}(t - z_3)^{\alpha_3}, \]

\[ \omega_1 = \alpha_1 l_\alpha d(t - z_1)/(t - z_1), \quad \omega_2 = \alpha_2 l_\alpha d(t - z_2)/(t - z_2), \]

then

\[ \det \left( \int_{\partial_i} \omega_j \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_3 + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1)} \prod_{i \neq j} f_i^{\alpha_i}(z_j). \]

Thus the determinant of integrals of basic hypergeometric forms of a configuration over all bounded components of the complement of the configuration is equal to the product of values of powers of linear functions at the vertices of the configuration up to a multiplicative constant equal to an alternating product of values of the gamma function \([V]\).

The formula has an arithmetical analog. F. Loeser extended it to the case of a configuration of hyperplanes in an affine space over a finite field \([\operatorname{Lo}]\). In this case the gamma-functions are replaced by Gauss sums, the determinant of the hypergeometric integrals is replaced by an alternating product of the determinants of the Frobenius operator in suitable cohomology groups.

3. Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras

The appearance of hypergeometric functions in the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras, their quantum deformations, and in the Conformal Field Theory (CFT) is connected with integrals of the form

\[ I(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \int \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (t_j - t_k)^{2\pi i} dt_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_N, \]

\[ N = m + n. \]
Such integrals correspond to special configurations. The characteristics of these configurations, in particular the homology groups with twisted coefficients of the complement, the complex of hypergeometric forms are interpreted as objects of the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras. Such integrals satisfy the differential equation which is described in terms of representation theory and is known in CFT as the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation. The branching of such integrals is described in terms of quantum groups corresponding to Kac-Moody algebras.

The appearance of these integrals in physical models isn’t surprising. Imagine a model in which points $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ of the line pairwise interact. The interaction is described by the function $(t_j - t_k)^{2\pi}$. In this case the average of the interaction over all positions of the last $m$ points is an integral characteristic of the first $n$ points, described by the hypergeometric integral.

In applications the constants of the interaction $\lambda_{jk}$ have the form

$$\lambda_{jk} = \frac{B(v_j, v_k)}{\kappa},$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ are vectors of some complex linear space $V$, $B$ is a symmetric bilinear form on $V$, and $\kappa$ is a complex parameter of the model.

In applications to the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras, $V$ is the dual space to the Cartan subalgebra of the Kac-Moody algebra, $B$ is the Killing form on it. The vectors $v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_N$ corresponding to the averaged points belong to the set of simple negative roots. The vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ corresponding to the parameters of the integral are the highest weights of the representations of the Kac-Moody algebra.

### 3.1 Hypergeometric Construction

Assume given

(a) natural numbers $n \leq N, N = m + n$;
(b) a complex linear space $V$, symmetric bilinear form $B$ on $V$ and an ordered set of (weight) vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in V$, not necessarily different.

From these data the construction builds a complex linear space $W$ and a differential equation on a $W$-valued function $\phi(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$:

$$d\phi = \kappa^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \Omega_{jk} \phi d(z_j - z_k)/(z_j - z_k),$$

where $\Omega_{jk} : W \to W$ are suitable linear operators, $\kappa$ is a complex parameter.

**The Construction.** Consider the configuration of all the diagonal hyperplanes $t_j = t_k$ in $\mathbb{C}^N$. Define the weights of the diagonals: $\lambda_{jk} = B(v_j, v_k)/\kappa$.

The weights define the complex of hypergeometric forms, the one-dimensional complex local system $\mathcal{S}$ on the complement of the diagonals with the monodromy around the diagonal $t_j = t_k$ equal to the multiplication by $\exp(2\pi i \lambda_{jk})$. Hypergeometric forms have well-defined integrals over chains with coefficients in $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be the projection on the first $n$ coordinates. A fiber over a point $z$ is the space $\mathbb{C}^m$ in which the diagonals cut a configuration depending on $z$. Denote it by $\mathcal{C}(z)$. 
A point of the base is called discriminantal if the configuration in the fiber over it is degenerate. The discriminantal points form the discriminant, the configuration of all diagonal hyperplanes. Over the complement of the discriminant, fibers with distinguished configurations form a locally trivial bundle. The fundamental group of the complement of the discriminant is the pure braid group on \( n \) strings.

Consider the restriction \( \mathcal{S}(z) \) to the fiber \( \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{S}(z) \) over a point \( z \) of the local system \( \mathcal{S} \), and the top homology group \( H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{S}(z), \mathcal{S}(z)) \) of the fiber with coefficients in this restriction. The homology bundle is the complex vector bundle over the complement of the discriminant with fiber \( H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{S}(z), \mathcal{S}(z)) \) over the point \( z \).

The homology group \( H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{S}(z), \mathcal{S}(z)) \) depends on \( z \) and is uniquely translated along paths in the base. The Gauss-Manin connection is this integrable connection on the homology bundle.

The monodromy representation of the Gauss-Manin connection is the representation of the pure braid group in the automorphism group of the homology group, induced by translations of the homology group along loops in the complement of the discriminant.

The monodromy representation gives the well-known Burau representation of the braid group in the special case of one-dimensional fiber and equal weights [K2, GiS, Gi]. As will be explained the representations of the braid groups appearing in the theory of quantum groups are closely connected with the monodromy representations of the constructed Gauss-Manin connections.

An integral of a hypergeometric form on \( \mathbb{C}^N \) over any cycle in a fiber is equal to zero certainly if this form is the differential of some form or the restriction of this form on any fiber equals zero.

Accordingly we define the hypergeometric cohomology group as

\[
\mathcal{H}^m = \Omega^m/(Z^m + d\Omega^{m-1}),
\]

where \( \Omega^m \) is the space of hypergeometric \( m \)-forms on \( \mathbb{C}^N \), \( Z^m \subset \Omega^m \) is the subspace of forms with zero restriction on any fiber, \( d\Omega^{m-1} \subset \Omega^m \) is the subspace of differentials of hypergeometric \( (m - 1) \)-forms.

The integration defines the homomorphism

\[
t : H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{S}(z), \mathcal{S}(z)) \to (\mathcal{H}^m)^*\]
of the homology group over a point \( z \) to the space independent of \( z \). The homomorphism depends on \( z \) and the parameter \( \kappa, \iota = \iota(z, \kappa) \).

**Theorem [SV].** For almost all \( \kappa^{-1} \) with exceptions in a suitable discrete subset of \( \mathbb{C} \) the homomorphism \( \iota(z, \kappa) \) is an isomorphism for all points \( z \) outside the discriminant.

**Theorem [Ao, SV].** There exist linear operators \( \Omega_{jk} : (\mathcal{H}^m)^* \to (\mathcal{H}^m)^*, 1 \leq j < k \leq n, \) with the following properties. For any locally constant homology class \( \Delta(z) \in H_n(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{C}(z), \mathcal{P}(z)) \) the \( (\mathcal{H}^m)^* \)-valued function \( \phi(z) := \iota(z, \kappa)[\Delta(z)] \) satisfies the differential equation

\[
d\phi = \kappa^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \Omega_{jk} \phi d(z_j - z_k)/(z_j - z_k).
\]

(10)

In other words hypergeometric integrals satisfy the differential equation (10) and for a general \( \kappa \) all solutions of the equation are given by the integrals.

This picture has a symmetry group. Any permutation of the coordinates in \( \mathbb{C}^N \) accordingly enumerates the weight vectors \( v_1, \ldots, v_N \in V \). Any permutation of the last \( m \) coordinates preserving the ordered set of the weight vectors preserves the fibers of the projection, the weights of the diagonals, acts on the complex of hypergeometric forms, on homology groups of fibers, on solutions of the differential equation (10).

The twisted homology group \( H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{C}(z), \mathcal{P}(z))_{\text{ant}} \) is the antisymmetric part of the group \( H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{C}(z), \mathcal{P}(z)) \) with respect to the action of the permutation group of the last \( m \) coordinates preserving the ordered set of weight vectors. The twisted hypergeometric cohomology group \( \mathcal{H}^m_{\text{ant}} \) is the antisymmetric part of the hypergeometric cohomology group with respect to the action of the same group.

The result of the construction is the complex linear space \((\mathcal{H}^m_{\text{ant}})^*\) and a \((\mathcal{H}^m_{\text{ant}})^*\)-valued differential equation (10) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \).

### 3.2 Representations of Lie Algebras and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equation

As an example consider the Lie algebra \( g = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) of complex \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrices with the zero trace. \( g \) is generated by the standard generators \( e, f, h \) subject to the relations 

\[
[e, f] = h, [h, f] = -2f, [h, e] = 2e.
\]

Fix an invariant scalar product on \( g \) (the Killing form). Let \( \Omega \in g \otimes g \) be the tensor corresponding to the invariant scalar product (the Casimir operator).

Let \( L_1, \ldots, L_n \) be representations of \( g, L = L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n \). Let \( \Omega_{jk} \) be the linear operator on \( L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n \), acting as the Casimir operator on \( L_j \otimes L_k \) and as the identity operator on the other factors. The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation on the \( L \)-valued function \( \phi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) is the system of the differential equations

\[
d\phi = \kappa^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \Omega_{jk} \phi d(z_j - z_k)/(z_j - z_k),
\]

where \( \kappa \) is a complex parameter.

The KZ equation defines the integrable connection on the trivial bundle \( L \times \mathbb{C}^n \) with singularities over the diagonals. This connection has a remarkable property:
parallel translations of this connection commute with the action of $g$ on fibers. Thus the eigenspaces of the operators $e, f, h$ are invariant under parallel translations.

It turns out that the KZ equation restricted on suitable invariant subspaces coincides with suitable hypergeometric equations constructed above.

More precisely, let $\mathfrak{h} \subset g$ be the Cartan subalgebra generated by $h$. The Verma module of $g$ with the highest weight $A \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the infinite dimensional representation of $g$ generated by one (vacuum) vector $v$ with the properties $ev = 0, hv = \langle h, A \rangle v$. Verma modules are the simplest representations from which all finite-dimensional representations may be constructed. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be Verma modules with the highest weights $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Put $M = M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n, A = A_1 + \cdots + A_n$. $M$ is the direct sum of the eigenspaces of the operator $h$, $M = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} M_{A - ma}$, where $M_{A - ma}$ is the eigenspace with the eigenvalue $\langle h, A - ma \rangle$, $a \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the single positive root of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. The vacuum subspace $\text{Vac}_{A - ma} \subset M_{A - ma}$ is the subspace of all vectors annihilated by the operator $e$.

**Theorem** [SV, DJMM]. The vacuum subspace $\text{Vac}_{A - ma}$ and the KZ equation restricted on it are canonically isomorphic, respectively, to the space $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{ant}}^m)^*$ dual to the twisted hypergeometric cohomology group, and to the differential equation with values in $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{ant}}^m)^*$ constructed by the hypergeometric construction from the projection $\mathbb{C}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, the linear space $\mathfrak{h}^*$, the Killing form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and the ordered set of the vectors $A_1, \ldots, A_n, -\alpha, \ldots, -\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

**Corollary.** For a general $\kappa$ all solutions of the KZ equation with values in a tensor product of Verma modules are given by the hypergeometric functions.

An analogous picture has a place if the algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ is replaced by any Kac-Moody algebra, see [SV, DJMM, Ma, Ch, CF, L].

This theorem shows that the KZ equation has topological nature, it is just the Gauss-Manin connection of the simple fiber bundle.

### 3.3 Hypergeometric Functions in the Conformal Field Theory

The KZ equation was invented in the CFT. Its solutions describe $(n + 1)$-point correlation functions on the Riemann sphere in the Wess-Zumino-Witten model [BPZ, KZ]. In the minimal models of the CFT correlation functions on the sphere have also integral representations in terms of the same configurations [DF]. For the first time integral representations of correlation functions in the CFT appeared in the works by Dotsenko and Fateev.

Any model of the CFT have a certain set of primary fields $\{\phi_n(z, \bar{z})\}$ and an operator algebra

$$\Phi_n(z, \bar{z})\Phi_m(0, 0) = \sum_p C_{nm}^{p}z^{-\Delta_n - \Delta_m}z^{-d_n\bar{z}}d_p^{-\Delta_m - \Delta_n}\Phi_p(0, 0) + \cdots,$$

where the numbers $\Delta_n, \bar{\Delta}_n$ are the conformal dimensions of the field $\phi_n$, the numbers $C_{nm}^{p}$ are the structure constants of the model, in this expression the terms containing non-primary fields are omitted, see [BPZ]. The integral representations of the
correlation functions allowed one to calculate the structure constants of the minimal models of the CFT and of the Wess-Zumino-Witten model corresponding to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ [DF, Do]. It turns out that in these cases the structure constants are equal to certain alternating products of values of the gamma-function similar to that appearing in the determinant formula of Sect. 2.3. In these cases any structure constant was represented by a certain hypergeometric integral. Probably in other models of the CFT the structure constants are connected with suitable determinants of hypergeometric integrals which in their turn may be expressed as alternating products of values of the gamma-function.

3.4 Hypergeometric Functions and Quantum Groups

The hypergeometric integrals as functions of the parameters satisfy the KZ equation. The monodromy of the KZ equation is described in terms of quantum groups.

The monodromy representation of the KZ equation is the representation of the pure braid group on $n$ strings in the group $\text{Aut}(L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n)$ generated by analytic continuation of solutions along loops in the base. Denote it by $\tau$. Algebraically we define another representation of the pure braid group.

According to Faddeev, Kulish, Reshetikhin, Sklyanin, Jimbo, Drinfeld [Dr1, J] the universal enveloping algebra $U_\mathfrak{g}$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is deformed to the quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ (the quantum group) depending on the complex parameter $q$.

For the quantum group a comultiplication $\Delta : U_q \mathfrak{g} \to U_q \mathfrak{g} \otimes U_q \mathfrak{g}$ is defined. If $V_1, V_2$ are representations of the quantum group then the comultiplication induces a representation structure on their tensor product. The representations $V_1 \otimes V_2$ and $V_2 \otimes V_1$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism is defined by the formula

$$V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_2 \otimes V_1,$$

where $P$ is the transposition of the factors and $R \in U_q \mathfrak{g} \otimes U_q \mathfrak{g}$ is the distinguished element called the universal $R$-matrix of the quantum group.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node at (0,0) {$\sigma_i$};
\node at (-1,2) {$\cdots$};
\node at (1,2) {$\cdots$};
\node at (0,1) {\vdots};
\node at (-1,1) {\vdots};
\node at (1,1) {\vdots};
\node at (-0.5,1) {$i$};
\node at (0.5,1) {$i+1$};
\draw[->] (-1,0) -- (1,0);
\draw[->] (0,0) .. controls (0,1) and (0,2) .. (0,0);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

Fig. 5

Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be representations of the quantum group. Then the pure braid group acts on their tensor product. Namely let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ be the elementary braids shown in Fig. 5. To any braid $\sigma_i$ assign the linear operator
acting as $PR$ on the $i$-th and $(i + 1)$-th factors and as the identity operator on the other factors. These operators define the representation $\rho_q$ of the pure braid group on $n$ strings in $\text{Aut}(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$.

For general values of $q$ the representation theory of the quantum group $U_q g$ is the same as the representation theory of the algebra $g$, any representation of the algebra $g$ is deformed canonically to the representation of the quantum group. Let $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ be representations of $g$ and $L_{1q}, \ldots, L_{nq}$ be their quantum deformation.

Theorem [K, Dr2]. The monodromy representation $\tau_\kappa$ of the KZ equation with values in $\text{Aut}(L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n)$ is equivalent to the $R$-matrix representation $\rho_q$, $q = \exp(2\pi i / \kappa)$, with values in $\text{Aut}(L_{1q} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{nq})$, if $\kappa$ is not a rational number.

An analogous statement holds if $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is replaced by any Kac-Moody algebra.

This theorem is a wonderful statement. Given the differential equation of the CFT described in terms of the representation theory of the Lie algebra one considers its global characteristic, the monodromy representation defined by analytic continuation of solutions and one gets the representation defined by $R$-matrix of the quantum group which in its turn is defined as the deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the initial Lie algebra.

It is interesting that new invariants of knots defined by Jones and others are constructed in terms of the same representations of the braid groups, see [C2, Jo, L, RT].

The realization of the KZ equation as the Gauss-Manin connection allows one to make explicit the equivalence of the representations $\tau_\kappa$ and $\rho_q$, $q = \exp(2\pi i / \kappa)$. It turns out that for real $z_1 < \cdots < z_n$ there is a canonical isomorphism of the quantum deformation of the vacuum subspace to the twisted homology group:

$$\text{Vac}_{A - n\alpha, q} \to H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{C}(z), \mathcal{P}(z))_{\text{ant}}^t,$$

which sends the $R$-matrix representation to the monodromy representation of the Gauss-Manin connection. The equivalence of the Kohno-Drinfeld theorem is the composition

$$\text{Vac}_{A - n\alpha, q} \to H_m(\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \mathcal{C}(z), \mathcal{P}(z))_{\text{ant}}^t \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^m_{\text{ant}})^* \to \text{Vac}_{A - n\alpha}.$$ 

Thus a correspondence between a representation of Lie algebra and its quantum deformation is the correspondence between the twisted homology group and the dual space to the twisted hypergeometric cohomology group given by the integration of the hypergeometric forms.

The details of the proof of this statement and the analogous statement for arbitrary Kac-Moody algebra are being checked in [SV4]. On this subject see also [L].
4. Concluding Remarks

Many interesting works on hypergeometric functions are not mentioned in this talk. Among them there are works by Deligne and Mostow, Beukers and Heckman on monodromy of hypergeometric functions, works by Gelfand, Kapranov, Zelevinsky on combinatorial description of differential equations on hypergeometric functions, works on $q$-analogs of hypergeometric functions, on difference equations on hypergeometric functions, works by Heckman and Opdam on hypergeometric functions and root systems.

The main problem is to unify numerous and remote investigations on hypergeometric functions into a united theory in which it might be possible to pass from Conformal Field Theory to Algebraic $K$-Theory by analytic continuation on parameters.
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Invited Forty-Five Minute Addresses at the Section Meetings
The Turing degrees $\mathcal{D}$ were introduced by Kleene and Post ([9], 1954) to isolate and study those properties of the subsets of the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ which are expressed purely in terms of relative computability. Intuitively, we form $\mathcal{D}$ by identifying any pair of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ which are mutually computable and ordering the resulting equivalence classes by relative computability. The natural hierarchies of definability within arithmetic, analysis and higher fragments of set theory all have sharply focused images in $\mathcal{D}$.

We will focus our attention on the second order properties of the Turing degrees. We will phrase our discussion of the known results and especially of the techniques of their proofs within as large a context as possible, so to apply to degree structures based on other forms of relative definability, as well as to $\mathcal{D}$.

Formally, suppose that $A$ and $B$ are subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, henceforth called reals. We say that $A$ is Turing reducible to $B$ ($A \leq_T B$) if there is a computational procedure which takes an input $n$ from $\mathbb{N}$; over the course of its execution on input $n$, asks whether various numbers are in $B$; and, if it receives the correct responses to those questions, after finitely many computational steps returns the answer as to whether $n$ is an element of $A$. In other words, if we were given $B$ then we would be able to compute $A$. We say that $A$ and $B$ are Turing equivalent ($A \equiv_T B$) if $A \leq_T B$ and $B \leq_T A$. The Turing degrees $D$ are the $\equiv_T$-equivalence classes. The degree structure $\mathcal{D}$ associated with Turing reducibility is the partial ordering $\langle D, \leq_T \rangle$, the Turing degrees with the ordering inherited from $\leq_T$.

$\mathcal{D}$ is the most intensively studied degree structure but not the only one. Although we cannot introduce them all in detail, we will point out a few other examples. Among the many degree structures on the real numbers obtained by varying the notion of relative definability, we might mention the arithmetic degrees, the hyperarithmetic degrees, the $\Sigma_k$-degrees ($A \leq_{\Sigma_k} B$ if $A$ is in the least set which is $\Sigma_k$-admissible relative to $B$), the many-one degrees, the enumeration degrees, the $\Delta^1_2$-degrees and the degrees of constructibility. Alternately, we can retain relative computability and vary the class of reals on which it acts. We can form the Turing degrees of the recursively enumerable sets, the Turing degrees
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of the \( \Delta^0_2 \)-sets (i.e. the structure \( \mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0') \) on the degrees below 0') or the Turing degrees of the arithmetically definable sets. We will refer to these examples as local substructures of \( \mathcal{D} \). For any degree \( x \), we can also look at \( \mathcal{D}(\geq_T x) \), the partial order of the degrees greater than or equal to \( x \).

Extending our scope to include degree notions on other than sets of integers, we can also mention the Kleene degrees of sets of reals, the degrees of sets of reals modulo \( 3^E \), and the \( \alpha \)-degrees of subsets of an admissible ordinal \( \alpha \). Similarly, we can form their local substructures such as \( \alpha \)-recursively enumerable \( \alpha \)-degrees or the Kleene degrees of the \( \Pi^1_1 \)-subsets of the continuum.

To some extent, in our discussion of these structures, we will follow the historical order in which their properties were discovered. We will focus our discussion on the Turing degrees since historically \( \mathcal{D} \) has been the proving ground for the analysis of degree structures. To begin with the obvious: there is a least degree consisting of the computable reals; since there are only countably many computational procedures, each Turing degree is countable and has only countably many predecessors, any two degrees \( x \) and \( y \) have a least upper bound \( x \lor y \) (so \( \mathcal{D} \) is an upper-semi-lattice with a natural operation of join) and the cardinality of the whole structure is the same as the continuum. To continue beyond these immediate observations we must directly analyze the notion of relative computability.

In the first section, we will discuss the early results about the Turing degrees. For the most part, these were to the effect that \( \mathcal{D} \) has a rich existential theory, showing that \( \mathcal{D} \) plays various roles as a universal object. In the second section, we will describe the subsequent results which simultaneously limit \( \mathcal{D} \)'s universal role and reveal its detailed structure. In the final sections, we will discuss some recent results and conjectures of Slaman and Woodin. If true, the conjectured structural theorem would provide a complete logical characterization of \( \mathcal{D} \). We prove the conjecture for the hyperarithmetic degrees and for \( \mathcal{D} \) with finitely many additional parameters.

§1. The Embedding Theorems of the Late 1950s, 1960s and Early 1970s

Partial Order Embeddings

In their 1954 paper [9], Kleene and Post used a Baire category argument, one involving a primitive form of Cohen forcing, to show that there are sets of incomparable Turing degree. In fact, Kleene and Post proved that every finite partial order can be embedded in \( \mathcal{D} \). Sacks ([18], 1963) extended the Kleene-Post embedding theorem to show that every countable partial ordering, and even every one of size \( \aleph_1 \) which is locally countable, could be embedded in \( \mathcal{D} \).

Sacks conjectured:

(1) (Sacks ibid., 1963) **Conjecture:** A partially ordered set \( P \) is imbeddable in the (Turing) degrees if and only if \( P \) has cardinality at most that of the continuum and each member of \( P \) has at most countably many predecessors.
The Kleene-Post and Sacks partial order embedding results indicated a universal quality of $\mathcal{D}$. Sacks expressed a belief in this quality by conjecturing that the strongest possible purely existential property would be satisfied by $\mathcal{D}$. (Surprisingly, this conjecture is still open.) Furthermore, the algebraic properties of $\mathcal{D}$ seemed to be based more in the nature of relative definability than in specific properties of computability. The proofs of the embedding theorems apply to any of the above mentioned degree notions on the reals which are countably based, i.e. excluding only the $\text{A}_2^1$-degrees and the degrees of constructibility.

**Initial Segments**

Say that a subset $I$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is an *ideal* if it is an initial segment and closed under join. $I$ is a *principal ideal* if in addition it has a greatest element. Spector ([23], 1956) answered a question of Kleene-Post [9] by constructing a two element ideal, i.e. a minimal nontrivial degree. Spector's theorem rules out the potential classification of the principal ideals in $\mathcal{D}$ (as the countable model of an $\aleph_0$-categorical theory). For example, there are two nontrivial ideals which are not isomorphic. Spector's method could be extended to build many examples of isomorphism types of initial segments in $\mathcal{D}$. Prompted by Spector's results, Sacks made the following conjecture.

(2) (Sacks [19], 1966) **Conjecture:** $S$ is a finite, initial segment of the degrees if and only if $S$ is order isomorphic to a finite, initial segment of some upper-semi-lattice with a least member.

Sacks's conjecture postulated that another universal property would hold of $\mathcal{D}$: the initial segments of $\mathcal{D}$ would realize all the finite possibilities. Extending work of Thomason and Lachlan, Lerman ([12], 1971) confirmed this conjecture. Lachlan and Lebeuf ([11], 1976) showed that every initial segment of a countable upper-semi-lattice with least element is isomorphic to an initial segment of $\mathcal{D}$. Ultimately, Abraham and Shore ([1], 1986) showed that every initial segment of an upper-semi-lattice which is locally countable and of cardinality $\aleph_1$ is isomorphic to an initial segment of $\mathcal{D}$. Groszek and Slaman ([4], 1983) showed that the Abraham-Shore theorem is best possible; it is independent of $\text{ZFC} + 2^\omega > \aleph_1$ whether every initial segment of an upper-semi-lattice which is locally countable and of cardinality $\aleph_2$ can be embedded in $\mathcal{D}$ as an upper-semi-lattice.

The proofs of these theorems, while more technically demanding than the proofs of the embedding theorems, could still be applied to a wide range of countably based degree structures. The enumeration degrees are the only notable exception.

From the progress on the Sacks conjectures, degree theorists speculated whether $\mathcal{D}$ might have an algebraic characterization, or at least occupy a distinguished position among the upper-semi-lattices.
Questions from the 1960s

Workers in the field faced several fundamental questions. We pose them here for $\mathcal{D}$, but they are completely general. Is there a global structure theory for $\mathcal{D}$. Is the theory of $\mathcal{D}$ specific to Turing reducibility or is it applicable to a general class of degree structures? Is the structure of $\mathcal{D}$ tied to the continuum or is it reflected in the local substructures of $\mathcal{D}$?

We recall some specific questions from that time.

1. (Sacks [19], 1966) Is the theory of $\mathcal{D}$ decidable?

2. (Sacks ibid., 1966) Are the Turing degrees and the Turing degrees of the arithmetic sets ($\mathcal{A}$) elementarily equivalent?

3. (Rogers [17], 1967) For a degree $a$, let $\mathcal{D}(\geq_T a)$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to those degrees above $a$. Is it the case that for all $a$ and $b$, $\mathcal{D}(\geq_T a) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\geq_T b)$?

4. (Rogers ibid., 1967) Is there a nontrivial automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$? (If not then we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is rigid.)

Rogers defined a relation $R$ on degrees to be absolutely definable in $\mathcal{D}$ if $R$ is invariant under all automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$.

5. (Rogers ibid., 1967) Are the Turing jump and the relation recursively enumerable in absolutely definable in $\mathcal{D}$? In general, which relations are absolutely definable in $\mathcal{D}$?

§2. Coding and Definability Theorems of the 1970s and Early 1980s

The results of the 1970s to the mid 1980s ruled out any reasonable understanding of the Turing degrees in algebraic terms. But then, the exact properties of $\mathcal{D}$ that make it algebraically intractable were used during this period to settle almost all of the Sacks and Rogers questions. Their solutions illustrate the complexity of $\mathcal{D}$: $\mathcal{D}$ is not decidable; the theory of $\mathcal{D}$ is not equal to the theory of the Turing degrees of the arithmetic sets; there are $a$ and $b$ such that $\mathcal{D}(\geq_T a)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\geq_T b)$ are not isomorphic. Rogers’s question whether the jump is definable was only recently settled (the jump is definable), but the techniques in its solution were steadily developed through this period. The only questions that remain open are whether $\mathcal{D}$ is rigid and to give a classification of which relations are definable in $\mathcal{D}$.

The trend is for the global properties of degree structures to follow those of the Turing degrees. The notable exception has been the many-one degrees. There, Ershov ([3], 1975) and Paliutin ([16], 1975) succeeded in obtaining an algebraic characterization for the partial ordering of the many-one degrees. The reader might also see Odifreddi ([15], 1989).
A Cone of Minimal Covers

One of the earlier questions to fall was whether $\mathcal{D}$ is elementarily equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$. Jockusch and Soare ([8], 1970) showed that there is no arithmetic degree $x$ such that every $y$ above $x$ is a minimal cover of some $z$ less than $y$. Jockusch ([5], 1973) showed that there is a nonarithmetic degree with the above property. Thus, the Turing degrees of the arithmetic sets are not elementarily equivalent to $\mathcal{D}$.

Coding in $\mathcal{D}$ and Undecidability

A primary ingredient in the work during this period was the proving and exploiting of coding theorems.

**Definition.** Suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ is a model of the finite language $\mathcal{L}$ and $\vec{p}$ is a finite sequence of parameters from $\mathcal{D}$. $\mathcal{U}$ is **coded by** $\vec{p}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ if there is an isomorphic image of $\mathcal{U}$ whose universe, relations, functions, constants and quantifiers are all first order definable in the language of $\mathcal{D}$ with additional symbols for the parameters $\vec{p}$.

A disparate sequence of coding schemes preceded the one which we have isolated below, see Simpson ([20], 1977) or Nerode-Shore ([13], 1979). Say that a relation $R$ is **countable** if there is a countable subset of the degrees such that all of the solutions to $R$ come from that set.

**Coding Lemma** (Slaman-Woodin [21], 1986). For any countable relation $R$ on degrees there are parameters $\vec{p}$ such that $R$ is definable in $\mathcal{D}$ from $\vec{p}$.

The coding lemma is uniform in the following sense. For each $n$, there is a fixed first order formula $\varphi$ such that for every countable $n$-ary relation $R$ on $\mathcal{D}$ there is a sequence $\vec{p}$ so that $R$ is defined from $\vec{p}$ using the formula $\varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}$. The proof of the coding lemma uses finite conditions to construct $\vec{p}$ from $R$; consequently, it does not use any machinery that is special to the Turing degrees. It applies or can be modified to apply to a very broad class of degree structures, even to some without minimal degrees such as the enumeration degrees, see Slaman-Woodin ([22], to appear).

We can use the coding lemma to present the solution to Sacks’s question whether $\mathcal{D}$ is decidable. By the coding lemma, we can both code the standard model of arithmetic and also define the collection of codes of standard models. In addition, we can interpret second order quantifiers over a coded countable model by quantifiers over sequences in $\mathcal{D}$ which define unary relations. Thus, (Simpson [20], 1977) there is an interpretation of second order arithmetic in the first order theory of $\mathcal{D}$. Lachlan ([10], 1968) gave the original solution to Sacks’s question but only showed that the theory of $\mathcal{D}$ is not recursive. Simpson calculated the degree of the first order theory of $\mathcal{D}$.)
Shore's Program

The next developments which we will discuss were initiated by Nerode and Shore ([14], 1980) and pursued extensively by Shore and his collaborators.

Suppose that $R$ is a relation on degrees. We note that the degrees which are produced by the coding lemma to define $R$ in $\mathcal{D}$ are recursion theoretically close to $R$. By this we mean the following. Let $X$ be a real of degree $x$. If $R$ is recursively presented relative to $X$ then there is a sequence of degrees $\hat{p}$ which codes $R$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and is arithmetic in $x$. As a special case, there is a sequence $\hat{p}_X$ of sets which is arithmetically definable from $X$ and whose degrees code (in $\mathcal{D}$) an isomorphic copy of the standard model of arithmetic with a unary predicate for $X$. Thus, the set $X$ is coded in $\mathcal{D}$ by parameters which are near its degree. Furthermore, if $X$ is sufficiently complicated, say above $0''$, then a sequence of parameters whose degrees code $X$ in $\mathcal{D}$ can be found recursively in $X$, that is below $x$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

The next step was to find a notion of neighborhood which would be first order definable in $\mathcal{D}$ and link an arbitrary degree $x$ to the reals coded in its neighborhood. The Jockusch-Soare theorems pointed in the correct direction. Jockusch and Soare defined a filter in $\mathcal{D}$ and proved that it is disjoint from the degrees of the arithmetic sets. Their theorem suggested that there might be a related definable filter whose complement was exactly the arithmetic degrees or even the degrees below $0''$.

The search for such a filter lead to the development of the theory of REA-operators, see Jockusch-Shore ([7], 1984). Recently, Cooper ([2], 1990) combined the analysis of REA-operators with his study of the degrees of differences of recursively enumerable sets to prove that the Turing jump is definable in the Turing degrees. In fact, Cooper proved the stronger theorem that the relation $x$ is recursively enumerable in and above $y$ is definable in $\mathcal{D}$ (solving Roger’s question). We remark that this approach is specific to the Turing degrees. The proofs directly exploit the way that Turing reducibility can be recursively approximated.

We list some applications, in the strongest form obtained by these techniques. (Unfortunately, we do not have the space to describe the historical development and give credit where it is due.)

**Theorem.**

- (Jockusch-Shore [7], 1984) For all $x$ and $y$, if $\mathcal{D}(\geq x) \sim \mathcal{D}(\geq y)$ then $x$ and $y$ have the same arithmetic degree.
- (Cooper [2], 1990) If $\pi$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ then $\pi$ is the identity on all degrees greater than or equal to $0''$.
- (Cooper ibid.) If $R$ is a relation on the reals which are Turing above $0''$, $R$ is invariant under Turing degree and $R$ is definable in second order arithmetic then the relation induced by $R$ is definable in $\mathcal{D}$.

The last two examples were known to follow from the definability of the jump, see Nerode-Shore ([14], 1980).
A Shortcoming of Method

The three structural properties of $\mathcal{D}$ we isolated above were proven above by following some practical advice: examine the neighborhood of $x$ and recover some information about which reals belong to $x$. In fact, we will exactly recover the set of representatives of $x$ provided that the set of representatives of $x$ is coded in the neighborhood of $x$ and that the neighborhood of $x$ and the method employed decode the set of its representatives are uniformly recursive in $x$. Since the statement of the Turing order between reals involves $\Sigma^0_3$ and $\Pi^0_3$ predicates, $x$ has to provide $0'''$, the information necessary to evaluate these predicates. Consequently, our conclusions for the Turing degrees have all been confined to the realms of sufficiently large degrees or arithmetic equivalence between Turing degrees. Even using Cooper's theorem to get the strongest possible bound on the orbit of $0'$, these methods have (so far) been confined by this three quantifier limit.

We should remark that this shortcoming does not apply to the degrees of constructibility. In that notion of degree, the partial ordering of the degrees of constructibility is below $x$ is just another set constructed from $x$. In fact, with reasonable set theoretic hypotheses the techniques we have touched upon are sufficient to give a complete analysis of the global theory of the constructibility degrees, in the sense of the next section.

§3. Recent Results

In this section, we will discuss some recent work of Slaman and Woodin ([22], to appear). Unless we specifically indicate otherwise, all of the following results, conjectures and even remarks are drawn from that source.

Assignment of Representatives

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a degree structure on a set of reals, possibly the set of all reals. Say that $\mathcal{E}$ is determined by the equivalence relation $=_E$ and the ordering $<_E$.

Definition. $\mathcal{E}$ has a first order assignment of representatives if

1. for every $\mathcal{E}$-degree $x$ there is a sequence $\hat{p}$ from $\mathcal{E}$ which uniformly codes a representative of $x$ (say using the formulas $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$);
2. the relation $\hat{p}$ codes a representative of $x$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-definable relation (say by the formula $\psi$).

We say that $\mathcal{E}$ has a first order assignment of representatives in parameters if the same conditions hold as above except that we allow $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$ and $\psi$ to mention parameters from $\mathcal{E}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ has a first order assignment of representatives. If $\mathcal{E}$ is definable in second order arithmetic and is a degree structure on all of the reals then we say that $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic. If $\mathcal{E}$ is definable in first order arithmetic and is a degree structure on a uniformly arithmetic set of reals
then we say that $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with first order arithmetic. Similarly, we can define biinterpretability with parameters.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with the standard model second order arithmetic then all of the logical properties of $\mathcal{E}$ can be reduced to the reals. We will list some examples. Others will undoubtedly occur to the reader.

Assuming $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic:

- A relation is definable in $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if it is induced by an $\equiv_E$-invariant relation that is definable in second order arithmetic.
- $\mathcal{E}$ has no nontrivial automorphism, i.e. $\mathcal{E}$ is rigid.

Assuming that $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic, we sketch an example proof. The standard model of first order arithmetic is rigid because each number is definable. Consequently, the standard model of second order arithmetic is also rigid since each subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is determined by its elements. The fact that no representative of a degree can be moved by an automorphism of the standard model of second order arithmetic implies that an automorphism of $\mathcal{E}$ can only move a degree to another one with the same set of representatives. Of course, this last condition is another way of saying that the only automorphism of $\mathcal{E}$ is the identity.

If we allow parameters we obtain the boldface versions of the above conclusions.

Assuming $\mathcal{E}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic using the parameters $\hat{e}$:

- A relation is definable from finitely many parameters in $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if it is induced by an $\equiv_E$-invariant relation on reals that definable from finitely many parameters in second order arithmetic, i.e. is induced by a projective relation.
- Any automorphism of $\mathcal{E}$ is determined by its action on $\hat{e}$. That is, $\hat{e}$ is an automorphism base.

Just knowing that $\mathcal{E}$ has a finite automorphism base gives us some information about its group of automorphisms. For example, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a degree structure on the reals then the cardinality of the orbit of any finite sequence from $\mathcal{E}$ is bounded by the cardinality of the continuum. Thus, if $\mathcal{E}$ has a finite automorphism base then it has at most continuum many automorphisms. Similarly, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a degree structure on a countable set of reals and has a finite automorphism base then the automorphism group for $\mathcal{E}$ is countable.

A Finite Automorphism Base

We will now sketch a heuristic approach to proving that a degree structure on the reals has a finite automorphism base. The method directly applies to the specific structures of the Turing degrees, the arithmetic degrees, the hyperarithmetic degrees, the $\Sigma_k$-admissible degrees, the PTIME Turing degrees and the enumeration degrees.
Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote any of the above degree structures on the reals. In the next few paragraphs we will be measuring definability in terms of a number of jumps. The interpretation of \textit{jump} depends on the interpretation of $\mathcal{D}$. By \textit{arithmetic in}, we mean below some finite number of jumps. The reader may comfortably imagine that we are discussing the Turing degrees with the Turing jump.

For the first step, we prove the coding lemma with its full uniformity. In particular, there is a degree $z_0$ such that if $x$ is above $z_0$ then all the representatives of $x$ are coded below $x$. Some examples for $z_0$ are $0''$ in the Turing degrees, $0^{\alpha}$ in the arithmetic degrees and the degree of Kleene's $\mathcal{O}$ in the hyperarithmetic degrees. Let $z_0$ be fixed.

We continue with some countable algebra for $\mathcal{D}$.

\textbf{Definition.} Let $I$ be a countable ideal in $\mathcal{D}$ and let $\varphi$ be an automorphism of $I$. We say that $\varphi$ is \textit{persistent} if for every $x$ in $\mathcal{D}$ there are an ideal $J$ and an automorphism $\varphi^*$ of $J$ such that $x \in J$, $I \subseteq J$ and $\varphi^*$ agrees with $\varphi$ on $I$.

Next we prove that every persistent countable automorphism extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$. The proof employs a generalization of an insight due to Odifreddi and Shore: the coding lemma can be used to show that the restriction of an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ to a countable ideal is recursion theoretically close to any uniform upper bound on that ideal. Specifically, we show that if $\varphi$ is a persistent automorphism of the ideal $I$ then $\varphi$ is arithmetic in any upper bound of $I$.

We now introduce some metamathematical methods. We know that there is a nontrivial automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if there is a nontrivial countable automorphism that is persistent. The latter condition is upwards absolute between well-founded models of ZFC.

Applying results of Slaman-Woodin ([21], 1986), we obtain the following theorem.

\textbf{Theorem.} $\mathcal{D}$ is rigid if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic.

Continuing the metamathematical discussion, let $V$ denote the universe of sets. Let $V[\mathcal{G}]$ be a generic extension of $V$. Using the absoluteness theorem, we show that if $\pi$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}^V$, the degrees in $V$, then $\pi$ lifts to an automorphism $\pi^*$ of $\mathcal{D}^V[\mathcal{G}]$, the degrees in the generic extension. By moving to a generic extension of $V$, we can use the definition of forcing to analyze $\pi^*$. In particular, if $\mathcal{G}$ is generic with respect to the partial order to add $\omega_1$ Cohen reals to $V$ then $\pi^*$ is represented as a continuous function on the set of generic reals. In fact, we can use our proof that $\pi(x)$ is close to $x$ to show the following. There is a recursive functional $\{e\}$ and an integer $n$ such that if $G$ is a Cohen generic real over $V$ then the degree of $\{e\}(G \oplus (\pi^{-1}(Z_0))^{(n)})$ is $\pi^*$ of the degree of $G$. Here we let $Z_0$ denote a representative of $z_0$, $\pi^{-1}(Z_0)$ denote a representative of $\pi^{-1}(z_0)$ and $(\pi^{-1}(Z_0))^{(n)}$ denote the $n$th jump of $\pi^{-1}(z_0)$.

Our next step is to extract a representation of $\pi$ on a comeager set of reals in $V$ from the representation of $\pi^*$ on the comeager set of generic reals in $V[\mathcal{G}]$. 
We prove that the same representation of \( \pi \) (using the functional \( \{ e \} \) relative to \( \pi^{-1}(Z_0) \)) holds on the set \( C \) of reals which are sufficiently generic relative to \( \pi^{-1}(Z_0) \). The level of genericity required is only finitely many jumps, in the sense of the reducibility determining \( \mathcal{D} \). Furthermore, if there is one \( G \) in \( C \) such that \( G \) and \( \{ e \} (G \Theta (\pi^{-1}(Z_0))) \) have the same degree then \( \pi \) is the identity on the degrees represented in \( C \).

In ([6], 1981), Jockusch and Posner show that the Turing degrees represented by any comeager set of reals generate the Turing degrees under the operations of meet and join. Their argument is completely general, so we may conclude that \( C \) is an automorphism base.

Now we can make two observations.

First, suppose that \( \pi(z_0) = z_0 \). Then the set \( C \) consists of those reals which are arithmetically generic relative to \( z_0 \). Let \( g_0 \) be any degree of an element of \( C \). If \( \pi \) also maps \( g_0 \) to \( g_0 \) then \( \pi \) must be the identity on \( C \) and therefore \( \pi \) must be the identity on all of \( \mathcal{D} \). Thus, \( \{ z_0, g_0 \} \) is a finite automorphism base for \( \mathcal{D} \).

Second, from the arithmetic representation of \( \pi \) on \( C \) relative to \( \pi^{-1}(Z_0) \) we can find a function arithmetic in \( \pi^{-1}(Z_0) \) which represents \( \pi \) on all the reals. Consequently, the fact that the rigidity of \( \mathcal{D} \) is absolute may be explained by the fact that every automorphism of \( \mathcal{D} \) is arithmetically definable in a real parameter.

**Biinterpretability with Parameters**

The fact that \( \mathcal{D} \) has a finite automorphism base can be combined with the analysis of persistent automorphisms to show that \( \mathcal{D} \) is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic in parameters.

Let \( Z_0 \) and \( G_0 \) denote representatives of the degrees \( z_0 \) and \( g_0 \) above. Suppose that \( \psi \) is a map from the reals onto \( \mathcal{D} \) which induces an automorphism on \( \mathcal{D} \), i.e. \( \psi \) is degree invariant, preserves order in the sense of \( \mathcal{D} \)-reducibility and has distinct values on reals of distinct degree. If \( \psi \) maps \( Z_0 \) to \( z_0 \) and \( G_0 \) to \( g_0 \) then \( \psi \) must induce the identity automorphism. In other words, \( \psi \) must be an assignment of representatives to \( \mathcal{D} \). Let the parameters \( \hat{p}_{Z_0} \) code \( Z_0 \) and \( \hat{p}_{G_0} \) code \( G_0 \). With some finesse, we can use the coding lemma to express the following condition in \( D \):

*There is a persistent countable assignment of representatives sending \( Z_0 \) to \( z_0 \), \( G_0 \) to \( g_0 \) and \( X \) to \( x \). By the characterization of persistent countable automorphisms as restrictions of global automorphisms, this statement is equivalent to one saying that there is a map from the reals to \( \mathcal{D} \) with the values as above that induces an automorphism. Of course, this automorphism is the identity. Thus, the statement above defines \( X \) is a representative of \( x \) as expressed in the codes for the real \( X \). Consequently, \( \mathcal{D} \) is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic using the parameters \( \hat{p}_{Z_0}, z_0, \hat{p}_{G_0} \) and \( g_0 \).*

**Theorem.** The structures of the Turing degrees, the arithmetic degrees, the hyperarithmetical degrees, the \( \Sigma_k \)-admissible degrees, the \( \text{PTIME} \) Turing degrees and the enumeration degrees are all biinterpretable with second order arithmetic in parameters.
Special Arguments for the Turing, Arithmetic, Hyperarithmetic and $\Sigma_k$-Admissible Degrees

In this section, we will discuss results obtained using special properties common to the Turing, Arithmetic, Hyperarithmetic and $\Sigma_k$-admissible reducibilities. We should note that these arguments do not intersect with those of Jockusch-Shore and Cooper and provide new proofs of the three structural properties of the Turing degrees mentioned above.

Since the proofs here are more technically involved than the ones that we have discussed so far, we have to resign ourselves to merely stating results. We can only say that the proofs of these results involve a direct analysis how a continuous function must behave to represent the restriction of a degree structure automorphism to a comeager set.

By the remark of the previous section, for all of our degree structures of interest, rigidity is equivalent to biinterpretability with second order arithmetic. Thus, the central problem for any of these structures is whether it has a nontrivial automorphism.

Theorem.

(1) The hyperdegrees are rigid (and thus are biinterpretable with second order arithmetic.) Similarly, all of the $\Sigma_k$-admissible degrees structures are biinterpretable with second order arithmetic.

(2) Any automorphism of the arithmetic degrees is the identity above $0^{\omega}$.

(3) Any automorphism of the Turing degrees is the identity above $0^{\prime}$.

Corollary. There are only countably many automorphisms of the Turing degrees. Any automorphism of the Turing degrees is represented by an arithmetically definable function on reals.

A similar corollary holds for the arithmetic degrees; any automorphism is hyperarithmetically representable.

Special Arguments for the Turing Degrees

We now restrict $\mathcal{D}$ to denote the Turing degrees. We also drop any restraint against using very special properties of $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, we will make full use of Cooper's theorem that the relation $x$ is recursively enumerable in and above $y$ is definable in $\mathcal{D}$.

Earlier, we sketched a metamathematical proof that any automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ is arithmetically definable. We can also give more traditional, purely recursion theoretic proof of this fact. Of course, this proof is a more difficult local version of its metamathematical progenitor. With the sharper argument, we can replace the full structure of $\mathcal{D}$ by any ideal in $\mathcal{D}$ which has $0^{(7)}$ as element.
Theorem. Suppose the $I$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{D}$ and $0^{(7)} \in I$.

1. If $\pi : I \rightarrow I$ then $\pi$ is represented by an arithmetically definable function on reals.

2. $I$ is biinterpretable in parameters with the fragment of second order arithmetic in which the second order quantifiers range over the reals whose degrees lie in $I$.

As a corollary to the theorem, we can demonstrate a connection between the existence of a local automorphism and a global one. By the Kleene basis theorem, if an arithmetic function does not represent an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ then there is a counter-example which is recursive in Kleene's $\emptyset$. Thus, we can conclude that any automorphism of $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T \emptyset)$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$.

A Concrete Automorphism Base for the Turing Degrees

We already know that there is a finite automorphism base for $\mathcal{D}$. The question arises as to how concrete can we make the base elements. Outside of proving that the Turing degrees are rigid, we can give the best possible result. We show that there is a finite set of recursively enumerable degrees which is an automorphism base for $\mathcal{D}$.

Our approach is to provide a generating family of first order formulas such that the smallest set including the recursively enumerable degrees and closed under definition by these formulas includes the degrees of $0''$ (i.e. $z_0$) and of a real $G$ which is sufficiently generic for the pair of degrees to form a base. We isolate an result which we prove along the way which is of independent interest.

Theorem.

1. $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0')$ is biinterpretable with first order arithmetic in parameters. In fact, we may take the parameters to be recursively enumerable degrees.

2. Any automorphism of $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0')$ is arithmetically definable.

Ultimately, we prove that there is a finite set $F$ of recursively enumerable degrees such that $\mathcal{D}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic in the parameters from $F$. In fact, the analogous theorem holds of any ideal $I$ such that $0^{(7)} \in I$. Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem.

1. The recursively enumerable degrees are an automorphism base for $\mathcal{D}$. Further, $\mathcal{D}$ is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic using recursively enumerable parameters.

2. If the recursively enumerable degrees are rigid then $\mathcal{D}$ is rigid.

3. If $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0')$ is rigid then $\mathcal{D}$ is rigid.

It is open whether every automorphism of the recursively enumerable degrees extends to one of $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0')$ or even to $\mathcal{D}$. Any result along this line would be valuable.
§4. Conjectures

We make the following conjectures jointly with W. H. Woodin.

We say that a real number $G$ is 1-generic if for every recursively enumerable set $S$ of finite Cohen conditions either there is an element of $S$ which is satisfied by $G$ or there is a neighborhood condition satisfied by $G$ which is incompatible with all the elements of $S$.

**Conjecture I.** If $I$ is an ideal in the Turing degrees such that there is the degree of a 1-generic real in $I$ then $I$ has a first order assignment of parameters.

In particular, we believe that the partial ordering of the Turing degrees is biinterpretable with second order arithmetic and that $\mathcal{D}(\leq_T 0')$ is biinterpretable with first order arithmetic.

**Conjecture II.** The partial ordering of recursively enumerable degrees is biinterpretable with the standard model of first order arithmetic.

We end with a question. Is there a general proof of rigidity and equivalently of biinterpretability with second order arithmetic that is based on simple properties of Turing reducibility and applies to a wide range of degree structures?
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Introduction

The last several years have witnessed an increasing interaction between homological algebra and the representation theory of finite groups. The aim of the effort has primarily been the development of a module theory for group rings and algebras. Of course, it is not surprising that homological methods would play a role in such an investigation. After all, cohomology theory really is a theory of extensions and, in small degrees, its relevance to the structure of modules is apparent. However the connection has proven to be deeper. The module theory is directly related to some of the larger features of the cohomology of groups. By this we mean such items as the ring theoretic properties of cohomology – the maximal ideals, Krull dimension, and modules for cohomology rings. In this lecture I will survey some of the developments in this area. For the most part, we will stick to the case in which the group $G$ is finite and the coefficient ring $K$ is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Nevertheless, many of the results hold true for integral coefficients and for more general groups.

The work which I wish to survey is based on several finiteness conditions. First, we consider only finitely generated modules. But more than that, the foundation rests on two fundamental results of group cohomology.

Theorem (Evens [14]). $H^*(G, K) = \text{Ext}^*_K(K, K)$ is a finitely generated $K$-algebra. If $M$ is a $KG$-module, then $H^*(G, M)$ is a finitely generated module over $H^*(G, K)$.

Even's Theorem permits us to consider the maximal ideal spectrum, $V_G(K)$, of $H^*(G, K)$. We need not be concerned here that $H^*(G, K)$ is not commutative. The only noncommutativity occurs among elements of odd degree. These, however, are all in the radical and hence are in every maximal ideal. Note that $V_G(K)$ is a homogeneous affine variety. For a $KG$-module $M$, we can define $V_G(M)$ to be the subvariety of $V_G(K)$ associated to the annihilator of $\text{Ext}^*_K(M, M) \cong H^*(G, \text{Hom}_K(M, M))$. It is the set of all maximal ideals containing the annihilator.

The other foundational result is the “Dimension Theorem” of Quillen.

The other foundational result is the “Dimension Theorem” of Quillen.
**Theorem** [19]. The components of \( V_G(K) \) are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of maximal elementary abelian \( p \)-subgroups \( E(\cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r \rightarrow G) \) via the restriction maps.

For algebraists, the best proof of the theorem is given in [21]. All proofs have required some variation on a theorem of Serre [22] which says that for \( p \)-groups which are not elementary abelian, the product of all Bocksteins of elements of degree 1 is nilpotent. One of the inspirations in the development of the subject was the extension of Quillen's theorem to the varieties of modules. The first attempt considered only the complexity or dimension of the varieties [3]. The full result was obtained independently by Alperin and Evens and by George Avrunin [4, 5]. The importance of this step is obvious. It says that in this context we can restrict our attention to the elementary abelian \( p \)-groups.

**Elementary Abelian Groups**

For this section assume that the field \( K \) is algebraically closed. Suppose that \( E = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group. It is well known that

\[
H^*(E, K)/\text{Rad} = K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]
\]

is a polynomial ring in \( n = \text{rank}(E) \) generators. Here \( \text{Rad} \) denotes the radical of \( H^*(G, K) \). If \( p = 2 \) then \( \text{Rad} = 0 \) and the generators \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \) have degree 1. If \( p > 2 \) then \( \text{Rad} \) is generated by the elements of degree 1 and each \( y_i \) has degree 2. In either case, the maximal ideal spectrum \( V_E(K) = K^n \), is affine \( n \)-space.

For \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in K^n \), let

\[
u_\alpha = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (x_i - 1) \in KE.
\]

It is easy to see that \( u_\alpha \) is a unit of order \( p \) in \( KE \) and that the inclusion map \( K\langle u_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow KE \) is an injection of group algebras. Moreover \( KE \) is a free \( K\langle u_\alpha \rangle \)-module. Hence, it makes sense to speak of a restriction map on cohomology from \( E \) to \( \langle u_\alpha \rangle \). Thus, for a \( KE \)-module \( M \), the set of all \( u_\alpha \) which will measure nonzero cohomology of \( M \) should be special. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition.** Let \( M \) be a \( KE \)-module with \( K, E \) as above. The set

\[
V_E^r(M) = \{ \alpha \in K^n | M \text{ is not free over } K\langle u_\alpha \rangle \} \cup \{0\}
\]

is a homogenous affine variety called the rank variety of \( M \).

The fact that it is a variety is fairly easy to show. The proof shows further that \( V_E^r(M) \) can be computed directly from elementary information such as the representation \( \varrho : E \rightarrow GL(m, K) \) which defines \( M \) as a module [9,10]. But more importantly we have
Theorem. \( V_E(M) \cong V_E^r(M) \).

This is only an isomorphism of algebraic sets, subsets of \( K^n \). There seem to be no natural, corresponding maps on the coordinate rings. For \( p = 2 \), it can be viewed as an equality. However for \( p > 2 \), the restriction map to the subgroups \( \langle u_a \rangle \) introduces a Frobenius twist on cohomology. The existence of a relationship between the varieties was clear from the beginning. Avrunin and Leonard Scott [6] proved the more difficult containment by altering the Hopf-algebra structure required for cup products.

For more general groups \( G \), the variety \( V_G(M) \) can be pieced together from the \( V_E(M) \)'s, \( E \leq G \). This is true in theory. In practice it may be very difficult. Avrunin and Scott also showed that \( V_G(M) \) is stratified as in [20].

The variety \( V_G(M) \) has several useful properties. Some can be derived easily by considering the rank variety.

**Theorem.**

(a) \( V_G(M) = \{0\} \) if and only if \( M \) is projective.

(b) \( V_G(M \otimes_K N) = V_G(M) \cap V_G(N) \).

(c) If \( 0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0 \) is exact then \( V_G(A_i) \subseteq V_G(A_j) \cup V_G(A_k) \) for \( \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \).

(d) If \( W \subseteq V_G(K) \) is a (Zariski) closed subvariety, then there exists a module \( M \) with \( V_G(M) = W \).

(e) If \( M \) is indecomposable then the corresponding projective variety \( \overline{V}_G(M) \) is connected [11].

Property (d) has been particulary useful. Its proof is completely constructive. Suppose that we are given \( \zeta \in H^m(G,K), m \geq 2 \). Let \( P_0, \cdots, P_{m-1} \) be minimal projective modules so that

\[
0 \rightarrow \Omega^m(K) \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0
\]

is exact and \( \Omega^m(K) \) has no projective submodules. Then \( \zeta \) is represented by a cocycle \( \hat{\zeta} : \Omega^m(K) \rightarrow K \). Assuming that \( \zeta \neq 0 \), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow L_\zeta \rightarrow \Omega^m(K) \xrightarrow{\hat{\zeta}} K \rightarrow 0.
\]

By using the rank variety we may show that \( V_G(L_\zeta) = V_G(\zeta) \), the subvariety corresponding to the ideal generated by \( \zeta \). Thus (d) can be proved by choosing a set of generators for the ideal of \( W \) and using (b).

**Two Asides**

I want to mention two related areas which have been the subject of some recent study. The first involves the structure of the cohomology rings \( E^*(M) = \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(M,M) = H^*(G,\text{Hom}_K(M,M)) \) for \( M \) a \( KG \)-module. The variety of \( M \) is certainly associated to this ring but not as its maximal ideal spectrum. The problem is that \( E^*(M) \) is not commutative. We do not simply mean that it
is graded commutative, but rather, it can be noncommutative in an essential way[12]. There exist examples, which are not exotic, where the ring $E^*(M)$ has simple modules of $K$-dimension greater than one. That is, there exists a surjective homomorphism of $E^*(M)$ onto the ring of $n \times n$ matrices for $n > 1$. However the news is not all bad. $E^*(M)$ is a PI-ring and hence all of its simple modules have finite dimension. Recently Niwasaki has settled a question concerning the nature of the simple modules.

**Theorem** [17]. If $U \subseteq \text{Ext}^*_K(M, M)$ is a maximal ideal then there exists an elementary abelian $p$-subgroup $E = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_n \rangle \subseteq G$ and a unit $u_x = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x_i - 1) \in KE$ such that $U$ contains the kernel of the composition of the restrictions of $\text{Ext}^*_K(M, M)$ to $E$ and to $\langle u_x \rangle$.

In another direction, a very similar sort of theory has been developed for the restricted $p$-Lie algebras associated to an algebraic group in characteristic $p$ (see [18] for a survey). Suppose that $G$ is a connected linear algebraic group defined over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $G_1$ denote the kernel of the Frobenius map on $G$. Here $G_1$ should be regarded as a group scheme or as a functor, since as a group it has only a single element. The Lie algebra $g$ of $G$ may be equipped with a $p$-power operation $x \rightarrow x^{[p]}$, thus making it a restricted $p$-Lie algebra. The representation theory of rational $G$-modules is related to that of rational $G_1$-modules via spectral sequences. Moreover the category of rational $G_1$-modules is equivalent to the category of restricted $g$-modules.

For restricted $g$-modules it is possible to define cohomological support varieties and rank varieties as in the finite group case. That is, $H^*(g, K)$ is a finitely generated ring and $H^*(g, M)$ is a finitely generated module over it, provided $M$ is finitely generated. The analogue of the rank variety is the null cone

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ x \in g | x^{[p]} = 0 \}$$

which is isomorphic to the maximal ideal spectrum of the cohomology ring [16]. For $M$, the variety is the set of points $x \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $M$ is not injective as a $Kx$-module. Many of the same properties for the variety have been shown to hold in this context. A major part of this work was done jointly by Friedlander and Parshall (e.g. see [15]).

It should be noted that our friends in the field of algebraic groups have left us with some sticky problems. What happens with $G_2$ or $G_r$ for $r \geq 2$? Is $H^*(G_r, K)$ finitely generated? The answers are not known except in some special cases.

**Projective Resolutions and Homogeneous Parameters**

In recent years, some interesting results have been obtained on systems of parameters for cohomology rings and for the cohomology of a module. Suppose that $\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n$ are homogeneous elements in $H^*(G, K)$ which have the property that $H^*(G, K)$ is a finitely generated module over $K[\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n]$, the polynomial
ring in the symbols $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n$. Another way of stating the condition is that $\cap V_G(\zeta_i) = \{0\}$. For each $i$, let $m_i = \deg \zeta_i$ and let $\hat{\zeta}_i$ be a cocycle representing $\zeta_i$. Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$
\cdots \to P_{m+1} \to P_m \to P_{m-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to K \to 0
$$

$E^{(i)}: \quad 0 \to K \xrightarrow{j} L_i \to P_{m_i-2} \to \cdots \to P_0 \xrightarrow{e} K \to 0$

$C^{(i)}: \quad 0 \to C^{(i)}_{m_i-1} \to C^{(i)}_{m_i-2} \to \cdots \to C^{(i)}_0 \to 0$

Here $(P, e)$ is a minimal projective resolution of $K$. The middle row $E^{(i)}$ is the pushout along $\hat{\zeta}_i$ of the upper row and is exact. The complex $C^{(i)}$ has homology $H_j(C^{(i)}) = K$ for $j = m_i - 1, 0$ and $H_j(C^{(i)}) = 0$ otherwise. Let $X^{(i)}$ be the complex which is obtained by splicing copies of $E^{(i)}$ as

$$(X^{(i)}) \quad \cdots \to P_0 \xrightarrow{j_e} L_i \to \cdots \to P_0 \xrightarrow{j_e} L_i \to \cdots \to P_0 \to 0.$$

The first result on systems of parameters for cohomology was the following theorem proven in joint work with Dave Benson some 5 years ago.

**Theorem [7].** $X = X^{(1)} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K X^{(n)}$ is a projective resolution of $K$.

The proof is very easy. The exactness except in degree zero follows from the Künneth formula, while the projectivity comes mostly from the properties of varieties. Actually the theorem can be stated more generally. If $\text{Ext}^{*}_{K[G]}(M, M)$ is finitely generated as a module over $K[\zeta_1, *, \cdots, \zeta_n]$, $\zeta_i \in H^*(G, K)$, then $X = X^{(1)} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K X^{(n)} \otimes_K M$ is a projective resolution of $M$. Hence every module has a projective resolution which is a tensor product of periodic complexes. Moreover the number of such complexes can be taken to be the complexity of $M$ or the dimension of $V_G(M)$.

With appropriate modifications a similar theorem can be proved for a $\mathbb{Z}G$-lattice. Adem [2] has adapted some of these ideas to get a similar result for groups with finite virtual cohomological dimension.

**Remark.** Similar techniques will also prove facts about the exponents of cohomology with integral coefficients. For example, if $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1, *, \cdots, \zeta_n]$ then the order of $G$ divides the product $\prod \exp(\zeta_i)$, where $\exp(\zeta_i)$ is the additive order of $\zeta_i \in H^*(G, \mathbb{Z})$ [13]. The method has also been used to deal with some questions concerning equivariant cohomology of topological spaces with an action of a finite group [1]. The theorem can be extended to exponents of $\mathbb{Z}G$-lattices and to a similar result on the Farrell cohomology of a group with finite virtual cohomological dimension.

As an example we wish to consider the case in which $n = 3$. That is, we assume that $H^*(G, M)$ is finitely generated over $K[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]$. Then we can form the Koszul complex:
where \( H^*(M) = H^*(G, M) \). The maps are cup products with the indicated elements. Let \( l, m, n \) be the degree of \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) respectively. We need to assume that the degrees are even if \( p \) is odd. The complex has a graded version

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H^{s+l} & & H^{s+l+m} & & & \\
\oplus & & \oplus & & & \\
(*_s) & 0 & \rightarrow & H^s & \rightarrow & H^{s+m} & \rightarrow & H^{s+l+n} & \rightarrow & H^{s+l+m+n} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& \oplus & & \oplus & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\
& H^{s+n} & & H^{s+m+n} & & & & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( H' = H'(G, M) \). Of course for any set of parameters \( \zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_t \) we get similar complexes \((*_s)\) which have length \( t \). In any case it is not difficult to prove the following.

**Proposition.** If \( s \) is sufficiently large then \((*_s)\) is an exact sequence.

The proof is based on the facts that polynomial rings have finite global dimension and that the Koszul complex with \( H^*(G, M) \) replaced by the polynomial ring is a projective resolution of \( K \). The proposition allows us to define a new invariant for modules. Notice first that if we use Tate cohomology then \( s \) can be negative. Moreover the sequence \((*_s)\) for \( M \) is the same as the complex \((*_s+1)\) for \( \Omega(M) \). Here \( \Omega(M) \) is the kernel of the epimorphism \( P \rightarrow M \) where \( P \) is a projective cover for \( M \).

**Definition.** The **index** of a KG-module \( M \) is the least integer \( r \) such that \((*_s)\) is exact for all \( s \geq r \).

The index of a module is a benchmark for the degree shifting process in cohomology. Clearly Index(\( \Omega(M) \)) = Index(\( M \)) + 1. Also we have the following.

**Proposition.** The index of \( M \) does not depend on the choice of parameters. That is, the index is the same for any set \( \zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_m \) such that \( H^*(G, M) \) is finitely generated over \( K[\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_m] \).

Some interesting questions are raised by the construction. For example, is the index of trivial module zero? The answer is yes when the \( p \)-rank of \( G \) is two and
in several other situations [8]. What is the index of an irreducible module? Is it zero?

One of the connections with the representation theory is contained in the following. We state this only in the context of the example \((n = 3, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\) as above). The proof follows from results in [8].

**Theorem.** If \(s \geq \text{Index}(U \otimes_K M^*)\) for all simple modules \(U\), then there is an exact sequence

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{s+l+m}(M) & \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^{s+l}(M) \\
0 & \longrightarrow \Omega^{s+l+m+n}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{s+l+n}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{s+m}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^{s+m+n}(m) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^{s+n}(M)
\end{align*}
\]

where the maps are induced by \((\pm 1)\) times \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) as appropriate.

There is another view which we may take of this theory. Consider the complex \(C^0(\zeta)\) associated to \(\zeta_i\). Recall that \(H_j(C^0(\zeta)) = K\) if \(j = 0, m_i - 1\) and zero otherwise. Of course, \(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\) is a homogeneous set of parameters for \(H^*(G, K)\). Let

\[C = C^1(1) \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K C^{(n)}\].

**Theorem** [8]. The complex \(C\) is a Poincaré duality complex of projective \(KG\)-modules. That is, there is a duality \(C^* \rightarrow C\) which is a homotopy equivalence.

Among other things the duality implies that when \(H^*(G, K)\) is a Cohen-Macaulay ring then its Poincaré series, \(f(t)\), satisfies the functional equation \(f(t^r) = (t^r)^r f(t)\), where \(r = p\text{-rank}(G)\). It is conjectured that the condition is equivalent to \(H^*(G, K)\) being Cohen-Macaulay.

It can be seen that \(H^*(C) = \Lambda(\hat{\zeta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\zeta}_n)\), an exterior algebra, where \(\hat{\zeta}_i\) is a cohomology element (in degree \(\deg(\zeta_i) - 1 = m_i - 1\)) coming from the cohomology of \(C^0\). In some cases the cup product here is \(G\)-equivariant. We can form the hypercohomology spectral sequence whose \(E_0\)-term is

\[E_0^{r,s} = \text{Hom}_{KG}(P_r \otimes C_s, K)\]

and whose \(E_2\)-term is

\[E_2^{r,s} = H^r(G, H^s(C)) = H^r(G, K) \otimes_K H^s(C),\]

and which converges to the hypercohomology

\[H_{G}^{r+s}(C, K) = H^{r+s}(\text{Hom}_{KG}(C, K)).\]

The early differentials in the spectral sequence are simply cup products with the corresponding group cohomology elements. That is,

\[d_{m_i}(\alpha \otimes \hat{\zeta}_i) = \alpha \zeta_i \otimes 1.\]
The higher differentials are a sort of twisted Massey product – a matric Massey product. The results seem to indicate that some of these Massey products are zero in high enough degrees. The questions about the index of the trivial module $K$ are closely related to the nature of the differentials in this spectral sequence. A similar construction can be made for the cohomology of modules.
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1. General

The concept of growth appeared in group theory in the mid-fifties and now it plays an increasing role in this theory. Among different notions concerning the idea of growth in group theory the most important and successful is the notion of growth of a finitely generated (f.g.) group.

We start with the main definition, then enumerate the results concerning this definition and at the end we shall touch some other aspects of growth in group theory.

1.1 The Main Definition

Let $G$ be a finitely generated (f.g.) group with generator system $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$, and let $d(g)$ be the length of the element $g \in G$ with respect to the system $A$, in other words the minimal number $k$ such that $g$ can be represented in the form

$$g = a_{i_1}^{e_1} \cdots a_{i_k}^{e_k},$$

$e_j = \pm 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, k.$

The growth function of the group $G$ with respect to the system $A$ is the function

$$\gamma(n) = \text{card}\{g \in G; d(g) \leq n\}.$$ 

As $\gamma(n)$ depends on the generator system it is convenient to introduce an equivalence relation on the set of growth functions:

$$\gamma_1(n) \sim \gamma_2(n) \iff \exists C(\gamma_1(n) \leq \gamma_2(Cn) \& \gamma_2(n) \leq \gamma_1(Cn))$$

and the preordering relation:

$$\gamma_1(n) \preceq \gamma_2(n) \iff \exists C(\gamma_1(n) \leq \gamma_2(Cn)).$$

The equivalence class $[\gamma_{A}(n)]$ is an invariant of the group $G$. We shall call it the growth degree of the group $G$.

Obviously the growth degrees of a group and of any of its subgroup of finite index coincide.

The partially ordered (with respect to $\preceq$) set $\mathbb{B}$ of the growth degrees of f.g. groups will be the main object of our consideration.
1.2 Examples

If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the free abelian group of rank $d$, then $\gamma(n) \sim n^d$. If $G = F_m$ is an (absolute) free group of rank $m \geq 2$ then $\gamma(n) \sim e^n$. Thus the growth of a group can be polynomial of any degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and can be exponential.

1.3 Connection with Geometrical Growth

The notion of the growth function was introduced by A.S. Schwarz [1] and independently by J. Milnor [2]. This notion is a combinatorial form of the geometrical growth notion explored by V.A. Efremovich [3].

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold. Its growth at infinity is characterized by the growth when $r \to \infty$ of the function

$$v(r) = \text{Vol}(B_x(r)),$$

expressing the volume of the geodesic ball of radius $r$ with center at the point $x \in M$. The examples of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^d$ show that this function in the first case grows as the polynomial $r^d$ and in the second case as the exponential function $e^r$.

On the other hand the growth function $\gamma_G(n)$ of the f.g. group $G$ expresses the volume of the ball $B_1(n)$ of radius $n$ with center at the unit element $1 \in G$ if the group $G$ is supplied with the metric $d(g, h) = \partial(g^{-1} h)$ and Haar measure.

The connection between geometric and algebraic growths can be also illustrated by A.S. Schwarz's theorem [1]: if the manifold $M$ is a universal covering manifold of the compact Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}$ then

$$v_{\tilde{M}}(r) \sim \gamma_{\pi_1(M)}(r).$$

In other words the growth of the covering manifold $\tilde{M}$ is the same as the growth of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of the manifold $M$.

2. Milnor's Problems

2.1 Some Results

Intensive investigation of the growth functions of f.g. groups began after J. Milnor's paper [2] was published. A series of important results was obtained within a short time interval. Here are some of them.

2.1.1 A f.g. nilpotent group $G$ has polynomial growth (Wolf [4]) like $n^d$, where

$$d = \sum_k k \text{ rank}_G(G_k/G_{k+1})$$

and $\{G_k\}$ is the lower central series of the group $G$ (Bass [5]).

2.1.2 A f.g. solvable group has exponential growth if it is not virtually nilpotent (Wolf [4], Milnor [6]). (Virtually nilpotent means: contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index).
2.1.3 A f.g. linear group has exponential growth if it is not virtually nilpotent (Tits [7]).

2.1.4 A free periodic Burnside group

\[ B(m, n) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m | a_m^n = 1, g \in G \rangle \]

has exponential growth when \( m \geq 2 \) and \( n \geq 665 \) is odd (Adyan [8]).

In 1968 J. Milnor [9] proposed a number of problems concerning growth of groups.

1) "Is the growth function \( \gamma(n) \) necessarily equivalent either to a power of \( n \) or to the exponential function \( 2^n \)?" 2) In particular, is the growth exponent

\[ d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \gamma(n)}{\log n} \]

always either a well-defined integer or infinitely? For which groups is \( d < \infty \)? (A possible conjecture would be that \( d < \infty \) if and only if \( G \) contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index)."

(Division of Milnor's problem into Parts 1) and 2) has been made by me).

As a conjecture Problem 1) was formulated by Wolf [4] and Bass [5].

Conjecture: "A f.g. group of non-exponential growth is virtually nilpotent".

2.2 Two Definitions

A group whose growth function is not majorized by any function \( n^d \) and is not equivalent to the function \( e^n \) is called an intermediate growth group. And a group \( G \) is called a group of subexponential growth if \( \gamma(n) < e^n \) (strong inequality), in other words, if

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\gamma(n)} = 1 \]

(the limit exists due to the semi-multiplicativity of the function \( \gamma(n) \)).

3. Answer to Milnor's Problem 1)

Milnor's problem 1) was solved negatively in [10, 11, 12].

3.1 On the Construction of Intermediate Growth Groups

The simplest example of such a group is the group \( \Gamma \) from the paper [13] which was constructed as a simple example of a f.g. infinite periodic group. \( \Gamma \) is defined as the group of transformations of the interval \([0, 1]\) from which rational points of the form \( k/2^n, n = 1, 2, \ldots, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n \) are removed. This group is generated by four transformations \( a, b, c, d \), where \( a \) is the permutation of the halves of the interval \([0, 1]\) and \( b, c, d \), are defined with the help of infinite periodic words
3.2 The Main Idea of the Growth Function $\gamma_r(n)$ Upper Estimation

The group $\Gamma$ contains a subgroup $H$ of finite index, which allows an embedding $\Psi$ in the direct product of eight copies of the group $\Gamma$:

$$H \cong \hat{H} \leq \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma,$$

and $\hat{H}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma^8$.

This embedding has the following property: if $g \in H$ and

$$\Psi(g) = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_8) \in \hat{H}$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} \partial(g_i) \leq k\partial(g),$$

where $k$ is some constant less than 1. Hence

$$\gamma(n) \leq C \sum \gamma(n_1) \ldots \gamma(n_8)$$

(C is some constant), where the summation in (2) is taken over those sets of non-negative integers $n_1, \ldots, n_8$ for which the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} n_i \leq kn$$

is valid.

If we assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\gamma(n)} = \lambda > 1$$
then we shall obtain a contradiction \( \lambda < \lambda^k \) from (2). So \( \Gamma \) has sub-exponential growth.

The fact that the function \( \gamma(n) \) grows faster than any polynomial results, for example, from the following consideration.

The group \( \Gamma \) is commensurable with its square, in other words the groups \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma \times \Gamma \) contain isomorphic subgroups of finite index. Hence \( \gamma(n) \) satisfies the so-called \( A^2 \)-condition \( \gamma(n) \sim \gamma^2(n) \), which results in the lower estimate by some function of the type \( e^{\beta n} \), where \( \beta > 0 \).

However, the lower estimate of the function \( \gamma_{\Gamma}(n) \) can be obtained directly. It is proved more precisely in [11] that

\[
e^{\sqrt{n}} \leq \gamma_{\Gamma}(n) \leq e^{\alpha n},
\]

where \( \alpha = \log_{32} 31 \). This obviously gives a negative answer to Milnor's problem 1).

On the basis of a construction which generalizes this example we proved the following theorem (see [11, 14]).

**Theorem 1.** The set of growth degrees of f.g. groups has the cardinality of the continuum. It contains a chain of the cardinality of the continuum, and an antichain of the cardinality of the continuum.

I would like to stress that we are speaking precisely about intermediate degrees of groups in this theorem.

Another example of a group of intermediate growth was constructed by J. Fabrykowski and N. Gupta [15].

### 3.3 Some Properties of Intermediate Growth Groups

Intermediate growth groups can be constructed both in the class of \( p \)-groups (\( p \) is any given prime) and in the class of torsion free groups [11, 12].

The intermediate growth groups known up to this moment belong to the class of residually finite groups. Moreover, the groups from the papers [10–12] are residually-\( p \)-finite.

The periodic groups constructed in [11, 12] have the property that all their proper factor groups are finite. At the same time in [16] for any prime \( p \), a f.g. \( p \)-group of intermediate growth having continuum nonisomorphic factor groups was constructed.

The torsion free group of intermediate growth constructed in [12] admits an invariant linear ordering.

The intermediate growth groups from [11, 12] are not finitely presentable.

There exist recursively presentable (by generating elements and defining relations) intermediate growth groups having solvable word problem. At the same time there are analogous groups with unsolvable word problem [11, 14].

### 4. On Groups with Polynomial Growth

An exhaustive answer to Part 2) of Milnor's problem was given in the papers [17, 18] and Milnor's conjecture suggested in this part was confirmed. Note that a
more general result was obtained in [18], although this paper is mainly devoted to proving Gromov's theorem by methods of nonstandard analysis.

### 4.1 On Gromov's Theorem

Gromov's theorem proved in [17] gives the description of f.g. groups whose growth functions admit polynomial estimate

\[ \gamma(n) \leq n^d, \quad (d \text{ is some constant}). \]

This theorem states that a group \( G \) is virtually nilpotent if the estimate (3) is valid for its growth function.

Hence the estimate (3) results in an equivalence \( \gamma(n) \sim n^d \) for a suitable \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

The proof of this theorem is based on geometric considerations. The left invariant metric \( d(g, h) = \delta(g^{-1}h), g, h \in G \) is built on the group \( G \) and the sequence

\[ X_n = (G, \frac{1}{n}d), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \]

of metric spaces is considered.

Gromov proved that from this sequence one can extract some subsequence which converges in an exactly defined way to some metric space \( X_\infty \) if the condition (3) is valid. A homomorphism \( G \to \text{Isom}(X_\infty) \) from the group \( G \) into the isometry group of \( X_\infty \) arises and \( \text{Isom}(X_\infty) \) is a Lie group with a finite number of connected components. Some simple algebraic considerations complete the proof of this theorem.

### 4.2 On L. van den Dries and A. Wilkie's Theorem

The main result of [18] is: if the inequality

\[ \gamma(n) \leq Cn^d \]

is valid on some infinite subset \( \mathbb{N}_0 \subset \mathbb{N} \) of the set of natural numbers then the group \( G \) is virtually nilpotent.

From this statement it follows that the limit (1) (finite or infinite) always exists, and also \( d < \infty \) if and only if the group \( G \) is virtually nilpotent.

### 5. On the Growth of Cancellation Semigroups

The notion of the growth function of a f.g. semigroup is defined as in the case of a group. The growth of a semigroup can be very strange even in the case when the condition (3) holds true. But if we consider the class of semigroups with left and right cancellation laws then in this case the statement similar to Gromov's theorem is valid.

#### 5.1 The Nilpotency of Semigroups Due to A.I. Malcev

Let \( x, y, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n, \ldots \) be symbols denoting variables running through a semigroup \( S \). Let us denote \( X_0 = x, Y_0 = y \) and after that by induction
The semigroup $S$ whose elements satisfy the identity $X_n = Y_n$ for some $n$ is called nilpotent.

A.I. Malcev proved [19] that the classical nilpotency identity for groups is equivalent to the semigroup identity $X_n = Y_n$ for corresponding $n$.

5.2 On Cancellation Semigroup of Polynomial Growth

Let $S_0 \subseteq S$ be a subsemigroup. We shall say that $S_0$ has finite index in $S$ if there exists a finite subset $K \subseteq S$ such that for any $s \in S$ there exists $k \in K$ for which $sk \in S_0$.

**Theorem 2.** A f.g. cancellation semigroup $S$ has polynomial growth (Condition (3)) if and only if $S$ contains a nilpotent subsemigroup of finite index.

The proof of this theorem is based on the remark that for a cancellation semigroup of subexponential growth the Ore condition is satisfied and this semigroup possesses a group $G_S = S^{-1}S$ of left quotients.

The estimate (3) on the growth function of the semigroup $S$ makes it possible to give a polynomial type estimate on the growth function of the group $G_S$ after detailed analysis. Then Gromov's theorem can be applied.

5.3 Some Remarks

In [20] it was proved that if a semigroup $S$ with cancellation has polynomial growth, then the group $G_S$ of left quotients also has polynomial growth of the same degree.

It would be interesting to construct examples of semigroups with cancellation of subexponential growth for which there is a jump of growth degree in the diagram $S \rightarrow G_S$.

If one could construct a semigroup $S$ with cancellations of subexponential growth such that $G_S$ has exponential growth, then Problem N12 from [21] will be positively solved.

6. On Lacunae in the Set of Growth Degrees of Residually Nilpotent Groups

Recall that a group $G$ is residually-$p$ if for any nonunit element $g \in G$ there exists a finite $p$-group $K$ and a homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(g) \neq 1$.

**Theorem 3** [22]. Let $p$ be any prime, let the f.g. group $G$ be residually-$p$ and its growth function satisfy the estimate (3). Then $G$ is virtually nilpotent and so has polynomial growth.

6.1 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $F_p$ be a finite prime field, $F_p[G]$ the group algebra, $\mathcal{A} \leq F_p[G]$ the augmentation ideal, in other words the ideal generated by elements of the form $g - 1$, $g \in G$, $\text{gr}(G)$
the associative graded algebra defined by means of the powers of the augmentation ideal

$$\text{gr}(G) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n/A^{n+1},$$

let $f_{G,p}(t)$ be the Hilbert-Poincaré series of the algebra $\text{gr}(G)$:

$$f_{G,p}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(G)t^n,$$

where $a_n(G) = \dim_{F_p} A_n$.

It follows from Lazard's Theorem 3.11 [23] that either the sequence $a_n(G)$ has polynomial growth and then the $p$-completion $\hat{G}$ is $p$-analytic or $a_n(G) \geq e^{n^2}$. Besides if $\gamma(n)$ is the growth function of the group $G$ with respect to any system of generators then the inequality $a_n(G) \leq \gamma(n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ is valid.

Using Lazard's result and Condition (3) we obtain that the growth of coefficients $a_n(G)$ when $n \to \infty$ is polynomial and so the $p$-completion $\hat{G}$ is analytic. It follows from Tit's theorem [7] that either $G$ contains a free subgroup with two generators or $G$ is a virtually solvable group. But the first case is impossible due to limitation on the growth, and in the second case, we can conclude that $G$ is virtually nilpotent due to results of [4, 6].

Recently A. Lubotzky and A. Mann [24] have pointed out that in Theorem 3 the assumption that $G$ is residually-$p$ can be changed by the assumption that $G$ is residually nilpotent.

6.2 On the Scale of Growth Degrees of Residually-$p$ groups

Let $p$ be any prime and denote by $\mathcal{W}_p$ the set of growth degrees of f.g. residually-$p$ groups. Theorem 1 formulated above for the whole class of groups is also true for every set $\mathcal{W}_p$. So, due to Theorem 3 the structure of the partially ordered set $\mathcal{W}_p$ can be presented in the form as in Figure 2.

7. On the Generating Series of Growth Function

Many questions of a theoretical and applied character require more detailed investigation of the asymptotic behavior of the growth function $\gamma(n)$ than up to the
equivalence \( \sim \), defined above. For this it is sometimes useful to connect the generating series

\[
\Gamma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(n)t^n
\]

to the function \( \gamma(n) \).

### 7.1 Some Cases When the Function \( \Gamma(t) \) is Rational for any System of Generators

i) The group is virtually abelian (Benson [25])

ii) The group is a cocompact group of isometries of the hyperbolic space \( \mathbb{H}^d \) (Cannon [26])

iii) The group is hyperbolic (Gromov [27]).

For many nilpotent groups the series the \( \gamma(t) \) also represents a rational function (see for example [28]). At the same time the conjecture that for any f.g. nilpotent group and any of its finite generating set the function \( \Gamma(t) \) is rational proved to be false. Namely F. Grunewald proved that for the nilpotent group

\[
G = \langle a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, z \rangle \mid [a_1, b_1] = [a_2, b_2] = z, z \in \mathbb{Z}(G), \ 
[a_1, a_2] = [b_1, b_2] = [a_1, b_2] = [a_2, b_1] = 1 \rangle
\]

(\( \mathbb{Z}(G) \) is the centre of the group \( G \) and the function \( \Gamma(t) \) defined using the system of generators \( \{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, z\} \)), the generating series \( \Gamma(t) \) is not a rational function.

### 7.2 Information on the Growth Functions of Nilpotent Groups

Let \( G \) be a nilpotent group, \( \gamma(n) \) the growth function of \( G \) with respect to any system of generators, \( d \) the power of polynomial growth of \( G \). Then

a) there exists the limit

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\gamma(n)}{n^d} = C
\]

(Pancu [29]),

b) the estimate

\[
\gamma(n) = Cn^d + O(n^{d-1/2})
\]

is valid (Grunewald, unpublished).

It is interesting to find new examples of rationality of the growth function \( \Gamma(t) \) and also to obtain another information about the generating series of growth functions.

### 8. Some Problems and Conjectures

The theory of growth degrees of groups has been developed for more than three decades and has already accumulated a lot of unsolved problems. Let me formulate some of them.
8.1 (A well known problem.) Is there a f.g. group of intermediate growth with a finite set of defining relations?

8.2 Is it true that if the growth function of a f.g. group $G$ grows slower than the function $e^{\sqrt{n}}$, then $G$ is virtually nilpotent?

8.3 Does there exist a f.g. group whose growth function is equivalent to the function $e^{\sqrt{n}}$?

8.4 Is it true that any group of subexponential growth is residually finite?

8.5 To find the asymptotic behaviour of the growth function of the group $\Gamma$ from [13] (conjecture is that $\gamma(n) \sim e^{\sqrt{n}}$ in this case).

8.6 Is it true that for any f.g. nilpotent group the function $\Gamma(t)$ is meromorphic or even algebraic? (F. Grunewald).

Let

$$G = \langle A | r = 1 (r \in R) \rangle$$

be f.g. and $\mathcal{Z}$ be the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to the system of generators $A$. A spanning tree $T \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ is regular if it is defined by a finite automaton. A spanning tree $T$ is minimal if every word in $T$ is the shortest.

8.7 Conjecture. $G$ has a rational growth function if and only if $\mathcal{Z}$ has a minimal regular spanning tree (Machi, Schupp).

9. Other Aspects of Growth in Groups

9.1 Cogrowth

Let a f.g. group $G$ be realized as a factor group $F_m/H$ of the free group $F_m$ of rank $m$, $H \leq F_m$ a normal subgroup, the elements of which will be further considered as reduced words over the basis of $F_m$. Denote by $h(n)$ the number of words of length $\leq n$ in $H$. Obviously $h(n) \leq 2m(2m - 1)^{n-1}$. Let

$$\alpha_H = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} h(n), \quad \mathcal{K}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(n) t^n.$$

The value $\alpha_H$ which appeared in [30] is called the growth exponent of the normal subgroup $H$ and belongs to the interval $(\sqrt{2m - 1}, 2m - 1]$. In [31] the following amenability criterion was proved.

Theorem 4. The group $G = F_m/H$ is amenable if and only if $\alpha_H = 2m - 1$.

The function $\mathcal{K}(t)$ and growth exponent $\alpha_H$ can be defined also for any subgroup $H \leq F_m$. In [31] it was proved that if $H$ is a f.g. group then $\mathcal{K}(t)$ is a rational function which can be effectively calculated if the system of generators of $H$ is known.
9.2 Subgroup Growth

Let $G$ be a f.g. group, and let $\varrho_n(G)$ be the (finite) number of its subgroups of index $n$. The problem of investigation of the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\varrho(n)$ when $n \to \infty$ has been drawing more and more interest. For example in [32] F. Grunewald, D. Segal and G. Smith investigated in detail the Dirichlet series

$$\xi_G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varrho_n(G)n^{-s}$$

of the sequence $\varrho_n(G)$ for the case when $G$ is nilpotent.

On the other hand A. Lubotzky and A. Mann [24] showed that if $G$ is a residually nilpotent f.g. group then $\varrho_n(G)$ has polynomial growth if and only if $G$ is solvable of finite rank.

The general case of a residually finite group is still open. See [24] for other references and comments.

9.3 On the Growth of Graded Algebras Associated to Groups

During investigations of groups different graded algebras associated to these groups arise. For example the associative graded algebra $\text{gr} G$ was defined above. Studying the growth of algebras and in particular graded algebras associated to groups is important from different points of view and is connected with different applied aspects of algebra [33–37].

9.4 On the Asymptotic Behavior of Random Walks on Groups

Investigation of random walks on groups was started by H. Kesten in [38]. It is interesting to investigate the asymptotic behavior when $n \to \infty$ of the probability $P_{1,1}^{(n)}$ of returning to the unit element after $n$ steps [38, 39], to calculate the entropy of random walks [40], to describe Martin’s and Poisson’s boundaries [41, 42] and so on.

10. Applications

10.1 To the Theory of Invariant Means

The definition of an invariant means and the corresponding notion of amenable groups belong to J. von Neumann [43].

Finite and abelian groups are amenable. The class of amenable groups is closed under operations of extension and inductive limit.

Let us denote the class of amenable groups by $\text{AG}$, the class of groups without free subgroups with two generators by $\text{NF}$, and the class of elementary amenable groups constructed by J. von Neumann by $\text{EG}$, i.e. the minimal class of groups containing finite groups, abelian groups and closed under the operations of extension and inductive limit. The following imbeddings $\text{EG} \subseteq \text{AG} \subseteq \text{NF}$ hold true.
In 1957 M. Day [44] proposed the following two problems:

(1) Is it true that $\text{AG} = \text{NF}$?
(2) Is it true that $\text{AG} = \text{EG}$?

Some mathematicians ascribe Problem (1) to J. von Neumann but there is no written confirmation of the fact that it was he who suggested this problem.

In 1979 to disprove the conjecture $\text{AG} = \text{NF}$ I suggested A.Yu. Olshanskii to apply the amenability criterion (Theorem 4) to the groups constructed by him at that moment for the solution of some famous problems of group theory. This suggestion was realized in the short paper [45].

A little later S.I. Adyan [46] also applied the amenability criterion to prove that free periodic Burnside groups $B(m, n)$ are nonamenable when $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 665$ is odd (the conjecture that these groups are nonamenable was expressed by S.I. Adyan in 1975).

The negative answer to the problem (2) was given in [11].

**Theorem 5.** There exist uncountably many amenable groups not belonging to the class EG.

Hence the class AG of amenable groups is much more than the class EG of elementary amenable groups.

Theorem 5 is an easy corollary to the existence of a continuum of nonisomorphic intermediate growth groups.

Using intermediate growth groups we managed [48] to disprove one of Rosenblatt's conjectures [47] (see also [21], Problem 11) about the so-called superamenable groups.

10.2 To Riemannian Geometry

The results concerning the growth functions of groups are widely used in the classification theory of Riemannian manifolds up to quasi-isometries, in the theory of foliations, in the investigation of Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds (see [49, 50]), . . . .

10.3 Other Applications

Information concerning the growth functions of groups is used in many, sometimes unexpected branches of mathematics: in the theory of random walks [38, 39], ergodic theory [50, 52], the theory of finite automata [20] and so on.

In my lecture I did not touch upon many other aspects of applications of the notion of growth in group theory, many of which at this moment are only in their initial stage of development.
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Introduction

Our purpose in this paper is to discuss a recent result by this author jointly with Melvin Hochster which gives the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay (C-M) algebras in equicharacteristic. In general proofs will be omitted, though sometimes sketchy arguments will be given. Before stating the main theorem, we give a review of the basic definitions and concepts used in this paper, particularly the property of a ring's being C-M. Throughout this paper, "ring" means commutative noetherian ring with identity, with one notable exception: the integral closure of a domain \( R \) in an algebraic closure of its quotient field will come into play. However, as these rings are never noetherian if \( \dim(R) > 0 \), no confusion will arise.

**Definition 1.1.** Let \( R \) be a ring, let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module, and let \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R \). The elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are said to be a regular sequence on \( M \) (or simply an \( M \)-sequence) if i) \( x_i \) is not a zerodivisor on the module \( M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M \) for each \( i \) between 1 and \( n \), and ii) \( M \not\cong (x_1, \ldots, x_n)M \).

Condition ii) is a nondegeneracy restriction, which is especially relevant when the module \( M \) is not finitely generated. For example if \( R \) is a domain and \( M \) is its quotient field, then i) is trivially satisfied, but ii) fails.

The first condition can be rephrased in several ways of which we list two:

i)' whenever \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i x_i = 0 \) with \( y_i \in M \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), then \( y_k \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})M \).

If \( M \) is finitely generated and the \( x_i \) are in the Jacobson radical of \( R \), then i) is equivalent to,

i") The first Koszul homology \( H_1(x, M) = 0 \), where here and below \( x \) stands for all the \( x_i \). (The Koszul complex \( K.(x, M) \) is the tensor product of the \( n \) complexes \( 0 \rightarrow R \overset{x_i}{\rightarrow} R \rightarrow 0 \) with \( M \).)

* Partially supported by the NSF.
In any case, if the $x$ form a regular sequence on $M$, then the first Koszul homology is 0; it is the converse that requires some restrictions.

The (Krull) dimension of a ring $R$, $\dim(R)$, is $\sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} | P_0 \supsetneq P_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq P_n : P_i$ are prime ideals of $R\}$

A ring $(R, m)$ is local if there is a unique maximal ideal $m$ of $R$. The dimension of a local ring $(R, m)$ can also be characterized as the least integer $n$ for which there exist $n$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with the property that the nilradical of the ideal they generate is exactly $m$. Such a system of elements is called a system of parameters (s.o.p.). A sequence of elements which can be extended to an s.o.p. will be called parameters in this paper.

**Definition 1.2.** A local ring $R$ (necessarily noetherian, recall) is Cohen-Macaulay, if there is a regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in $m$ whose length $n = \dim(R)$. A noetherian ring $R$ is C-M if $R_p$ is C-M for every prime ideal $p$ of $R$ (equivalently, every maximal ideal $p$ of $R$).

Any sequence as in (1.2) will have to be an s.o.p. Moreover if $R$ is C-M then any s.o.p. will form a regular sequence. In general the length of a maximal regular sequence of elements in the maximal ideal $m$ of a local ring $(R, m)$ is called the depth of $R$, denoted $\text{depth}(R)$. It always holds that $\text{depth}(R) \leq \dim(R)$; $R$ is C-M precisely when equality occurs. Some examples of C-M rings and how they occur are given below.

**Example 1.3.** Any 0-dimensional ring is C-M vacuously. Any 1-dimensional domain $R$ is C-M, since any non-zero element is a nonzerodivisor.

**Example 1.4.** Not all 2-dimensional rings, even domains, are C-M (cf. 1.9 below). However, integrally closed 2-dimensional domains are C-M. As integral closure and finite maps play an important role in this paper, we review these concepts here. If $R \subseteq S$, an element $s \in S$ is said to be integral over $R$ if $s$ satisfies a monic polynomial equation with coefficients in $R$. The set of all elements of $S$ integral over $R$ form a ring $T$ called the integral closure of $R$ in $S$. If $R$ is a domain and $S$ is its quotient field, then $T$ is called the integral closure of $R$. If further $R = T$, $R$ is said to be integrally closed. A homomorphism from $R$ into $S$ is said to be finite if $S$ is a finite $R$-module. This is equivalent to saying $S$ is a finitely generated $R$-algebra in which every element is integral over $R$.

**Example 1.5.** Any regular ring is C-M. A local ring $(R, m)$ is regular if the maximal ideal $m$ can be generated by an s.o.p. A noetherian ring is regular if all its local rings at prime (equivalently maximal) ideals are regular local rings. Regular local rings are exactly those local rings in which every finitely generated module has finite projective dimension. Examples of regular rings include polynomial rings and power series rings over fields. Regularity is the analogue of the geometric notion of being non-singular.

**Example 1.6.** If $(R, m)$ is C-M local and $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are parameters (hence also a regular sequence) then the quotient ring $R/(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ is also C-M. Combining this
example with (1.5) gives that the so-called complete intersections are C-M. A
complete intersection is by definition a ring which is a regular ring modulo an ideal
generated by a regular sequence. More generally a local Gorenstein ring is C-M.
Gorenstein local rings are characterized by the property that they have finite
injective dimension over themselves, or alternatively that every s.o.p. generates an
irreducible ideal (irreducible means not the intersection of two strictly larger ideals).

Example 1.7. If \( G \) is a linearly reductive algebraic group over \( k \) acting \( k \)-linearly on
a polynomial ring \( S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \), then the ring of invariants \( R = S^G \) is C-M.
This is due to Hochster and Roberts [H-R], and includes an enormous number of
examples. A more general result was recently obtained by myself and Hochster
[H-H1]: if \( S \) is any regular local ring containing a field, and \( R \) is a subring of \( S \) such
that the inclusion of \( R \) into \( S \) splits \( R \)-linearly, then \( R \) is C-M (in positive characteris-
tic this was known [H-R]; see also [Bo]). Our proof uses the theory of tight closure
which is discussed below, cf. (2.3).

Example 1.8. If \( X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \) is a projective variety of dimension \( d \) which isarithmetically
normal (i.e., its homogeneous coordinate ring \( R \) is integrally closed) then \( X \) is
arithmetically C-M (equivalently, \( R \) is C-M) iff for all integers \( t \) and all \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq d - 1 \),
\( H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(t)) = 0 \). \( X \) is said to be C-M itself if its local rings are C-M.
Geometrically C-M varieties are quite an important and good class; for instance
Serre duality holds if \( X \) is C-M. Furthermore the computation of the degree of \( X \)
equiventially the multiplicity of \( R \) is made easy if \( X \) is arithmetically C-M – one
only needs to take a general linear space \( L \) of complementary dimension such that
\( X \cap L \) is empty, and then \( \deg(X) = \dim_k(S/I(X) + I(L)) \), where \( I(Y) \) is the homo-
geneous ideal of functions vanishing on \( Y \) and \( S = k[X_0, \ldots, X_n] \). In fact, this property
characterizes when \( X \) is arithmetically C-M.

Example 1.9. To see an explicit ring which is not C-M take \( R \) to be the subring
\( k[t^4, t^3s, ts^3, s^4] \) of the polynomial ring \( k[t, s] \) in two variables over a field \( k \). It is
easy to see that \( t^4 \) and \( s^4 \) form a homogeneous s.o.p. for \( R \) but are not a regular
sequence, since \( t^4(ts^3)^2 = s^4(t^3s)^2 \), but \( t^4 \) does not divide \( ts^2 \) in \( R \), as it would have
to do if \( R \) is C-M. The element \( ts^2 \) is missing. If we adjoin this element to \( R \), we
actually arrive at the integral closure of \( R \), which is then C-M as it is a 2-dimensional
integrally closed domain (see (1.4)).

Example 1.10. While C-M rings can be highly singular, nonetheless they are in a
partial sense homologically trivial over regular rings – this is made precise below
for two important classes of rings, complete local rings and nonnegatively graded
rings over a field. To this end, let \((R, m, k)\) denote either a complete local ring with
maximal ideal \( m \) (complete means complete in the topology determined by the
powers of the maximal ideal) and coefficient field \( k \) (a coefficient field is a field \( k \)
contained in \( R \) such that the map from \( k \) to \( R/m \) is an isomorphism; if \( R \) contains
a field and is complete, then such fields always exist), or let \( R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i \) be a graded
ring with \( R_0 = k \), and \( m = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} R_i \). In this case \( R/m \simeq R_0 = k \). We will say we are
in case 1 if \((R, m, k)\) is complete local, and in case 2 if \((R, m, k)\) is graded. In both of
these fundamental cases, \( R \) can be represented as finite over a regular ring \( A \). In case 2, the Noether normalization theorem shows that if \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) is any homogeneous s.o.p. for \( R \), then the subring \( k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) of \( R \) has the properties that \( R \) is a finite \( A \)-module, and \( A \) is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in \( n \)-variables over \( k \), i.e., the elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are algebraically independent. In case 1, the Cohen structure theorem for complete local rings shows that if \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) is any s.o.p. for \( R \) and we take \( A \) to be the complete subring \( k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]] \) of \( R \) generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), then \( R \) is a finite \( A \)-module, and \( A \) is isomorphic to a power series ring in \( n \)-variables over \( k \), i.e., the elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are analytically independent. We may thus study the properties of \( R \) through thinking of it as an \( A \)-module in both cases. The homological properties of \( A \) are much nicer in general – regular rings are characterized by the fact that modules over them have finite free resolutions.

The property that \( R \) is C-M can now be easily expressed in terms of the \( A \)-module structure of \( R \). In both cases, \( R \) is C-M iff \( R \) is a free \( A \)-module, i.e., is "homologically trivial" over \( A \). This is a useful point of view.

2. Trivializing Relations on Parameters

Let me return for a moment to (1.9). There we had a 'bad' relation on the parameters \( t^4 \) and \( s^4 \), namely the relation \( t^4(t^3s)^2 = s^4(t^3s)^2 \). This relation is nontrivial in the sense that it does not come from the trivial relation on \( t^4 \) and \( s^4 \), i.e., the relation \( t^4(s^4) = s^4(t^4) \). To be precise, if \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are elements in \( R \), we say a relation \((r_1, \ldots, r_n) \) on these elements (i.e., \( \sum r_ix_i = 0 \)) is trivial if it is an \( R \)-linear combination of the Koszul relations \((0, \ldots, 0, x_j, 0, \ldots, 0, -x_i, 0, \ldots, 0) \) where the \( x_j \) is in the \( i \)th spot and the \(-x_i \) is in the \( j \)th spot. In a C-M local ring all relations on parameters are trivial; this is the fundamental property of C-M rings. However, even if \( R \) is not C-M we can ask if a given relation on parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) can be made trivial in some nice extension of \( R \). This phenomenon occurred in (1.9), where by passing to the integral closure of \( R \), we add the element \( t^2s^2 \), and then write our nontrivial relation (over \( R \)) \((t^2s^6, -t^6s^2) \) as \( t^2s^2(s^4, -t^4) \) (over \( S \)).

Unfortunately, or perhaps fortunately, else life would be too simple, it is not enough to pass to the integral closure to ensure relations on parameters become trivial, since not all integrally closed rings are C-M. The homogeneous coordinate ring of an elliptic curve cross \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a 3-dimensional integrally closed non C-M ring. Nonetheless one might naively hope that it is possible to trivialize relations on parameters of \( R \) in some finite extension \( S \) of \( R \). There has been no known natural way to increase depth past 2 (one can obtain depth 2 by taking integral closure). A similar situation prevails for modules over normal rings, where by taking double duals one can pass to modules having depth 2, but no construction is known to 'make' a module have depth bigger than 2 if it does not already possess this property.

In characteristic 0 it is not in general possible to trivialize relations in finite extensions. Indeed, if \( R \) is an integrally closed local domain containing the rationals, and \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_ix_i = 0 \) is a nontrivial relation on parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) of \( R \), then this relation can never be made trivial by passing to a finite extension \( S \) of \( R \): if such an \( S \) existed, then \( r_k \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})S \). Let \( \beta \) denote the map \((1/[L:K])\text{tr}_{L/K} \), where \( K \)
is the quotient field of $R$, $L$ the quotient field of $S$, and $\text{tr}(\ )$ is field trace. Then $\beta$ is an $R$-linear map from $S$ to $R$, splitting the inclusion of $R$ into $S$. In particular, $r_k = \beta(r_k) = (\beta(x_1), \ldots, \beta(x_{k-1}))(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})R$, a contradiction. This impossibility in characteristic 0 makes the following main theorem (in characteristic $p$) somewhat miraculous.

**Main Theorem 2.1** [H-H5]. Let $(R, m)$ be an excellent local domain of positive characteristic $p$, and let $R^+$ denote the integral closure of $R$ in an algebraic closure of the quotient field $K$ of $R$ (called an absolute integral closure in [Ar]). If $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is an s.o.p. of $R$, then $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is a regular sequence in $R^+$, i.e., $R^+$ is a big C-M algebra for $R$.

Here ‘big’ refers to the fact that $R^+$ is not a finitely generated $R$-module. In fact, since the quotient field of $R^+$ is algebraically closed, $R^+$ cannot even be noetherian (unless $R = K$ is a field). We will not give an explicit definition of “excellent” except to say all algebras essentially of finite type over a field and all complete local rings are excellent.

The meaning of (2.1) is that given any nontrivial relation on the parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of $R$, there will be a finite extension domain $S$ of $R$ in which the relation becomes trivial! Of course, passing to $S$ may reap a harvest of new bad relations, but each one can again be trivialized in a further finite extension. While no one finite extension $S$ may suffice to trivialize all relations (in such a case $S$ would be C-M), by passing to the union of all finite extensions, namely $R^+$, we do obtain such trivialization.

The Frobenius map $F : R \to R$ sending $r$ to $r^p$ plays an important role in the proof of (2.1), as one might expect. To explain how the Frobenius is used I need to digress to talk about the unmixed part of an ideal.

Every ideal in a noetherian ring $R$ has a primary decomposition. An ideal $J$ is unmixed if all the primes associated to primary components of $J$ are minimal over $J$, i.e., there are no embedded components. (One note for experts; one usually also demands that minimal components of $J$ have the same dimension to call $J$ unmixed, but in the context in which we will use this concept, this latter condition will be automatic.) C-M rings got their name from theorems of Macaulay (for polynomial rings) and Cohen (for general regular rings) which state that in a regular local ring $R$ ideals generated by parameters are unmixed. Nagata showed that this unmixedness property characterizes C-M rings.

If $J$ is an ideal of $R$, we let $J^u$ be the unmixed part of $J$, i.e., $J^u$ equals the intersection of the minimal primary components of $J$. If $J$ is generated by parameters in a local ring $R$, and $R$ is C-M, then $J = J^u$, so it is no surprise that (2.1) is equivalent to the following claim:

(2.2) If $R$, $R^+$, are as in (2.1) and $J$ is an ideal of $R$ generated by parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_k$, then $J^uR^+ = JR^+$. In fact there is a finite extension domain $S$ of $R$ such that $J^uS = JS$. Note however that $(JS)^u$ need not be equal to $JS$.

The last part of (2.2) follows at once from the first, since $J^u$ is finitely generated, and hence by collecting coefficients in $R^+$, one can get the extension $S$. 
The first general problem confronting one in attacking this claim, without which one cannot even leave the ground, is this: given two ideals \( I, J \) in a ring \( R \), how can one force \( J \) to be contained in \( I \) in some finite extension \( S \) of \( R \)? We want this only in the special case where \( I \) is generated by parameters and \( J = I^q \), but this case is no easier than the general problem. Of course, one cannot arbitrarily force an ideal \( J \) into \( I \) in some finite extension; there are obstructions. In fact in characteristic \( p \), if this occurs then \( J \) is in the tight closure of \( I \). The tight closure of an ideal was introduced in [H-H1], and the study of tight closure was a key in proving (2.1), although the proof is independent of the theory of tight closure. The definition of tight closure is given below.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( R \) be a ring of characteristic \( p > 0 \), let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \), and let \( x \in R \). We say that \( x \) is in the tight closure of \( I \), denoted by \( I^* \), if there exists an element \( c \) of \( R \), not in any minimal prime of \( R \), such that \( cx^q \in I^{[q]} = \langle \langle i^q : i \in R \rangle \rangle \) for all \( q = p^e \gg 0 \). Note that \( I^{[q]} \) is the image of \( I \) under the \( e \)-th iteration of the Frobenius. (We also note there is a similar definition for the tight closure of a submodule \( N \) of a module \( M \), though we do not give the definition here.)

While this definition is technical, it has proved to be a powerful tool, and has been used by Hochster and myself (cf. [H-H1-10]) to give strong improvements and new proofs in equicharacteristic (especially simple in characteristic \( p \)) of many theorems, which are not apparently related: the intersection conjecture [P-S, Hol, R1-2], the criterion for acyclicity of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [B-E], the syzygy theorem [E-G], the monomial conjecture [Ho1], the Briançon-Skoda theorem [B-S], [L-S], and the Hochster-Roberts theorem mentioned in (1.7) [H-R, K, Bo]. Many other results also ensue from the theory.

We return to the general problem of finite extensions. Although it is true that if \( J \) is forced into \( I \) in a finite extension then \( J \subseteq I^* \), the starting point for us was that we knew this to be true in the case where \( I \) is generated by parameters and \( J = I^q \) [H-H1, 4.7]. Being in the tight closure gives strong equational constraints upon the elements of \( J \) in terms of the elements of \( I \). However, as far as we know it is not necessarily true that if \( I \) is generated by parameters in a complete local domain \( R \), then \( I^*R^+ = IR^+ \), although this is true for parameters of length at most three and is probably true. A careful analysis of the equations for tight closure does lead, nonetheless, to the following lemma which gives a sufficient criterion for pushing \( J \) into the extension of \( I \) in a finite extension of \( R \):

**Equational Lemma 2.4.** Let \( R \) be a domain of char \( p > 0 \), and let \( I, J \) be ideals of \( R \). Write \( I = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \). If
\[
f^{[p]} \subseteq I^{[p]}R^+ + (x_1 \ldots x_k)^{p-1}JR^+, \tag{\#}
\]
then there is a finite extension domain \( S \) of \( R \) such that \( JS \subseteq IS \).

We would like to apply (2.4) in the case where \( I \) is generated by parameters and where \( J = I^q \). Unfortunately, the condition (\#) is quite a strong one and certainly will not be true for general parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \). The difficulty in proving (2.2) by
using (2.4) is finding appropriate parameters (which we call extraordinary, cf. (2.7) below) which are special enough to satisfy (#), with \( J = I^n \), but general enough so that they exist, and in enough profusion to allow us to pass from arbitrary s.o.p.'s to extraordinary ones in the proof of (2.2). One thing that makes this hard is that whatever the definition of extraordinary might be, it will surely be lost under finite extensions, so one cannot carry through the entire proof using only special types of parameters. There is a delicate balance between the two necessary properties needed by these special parameters. However, there is an appropriate definition: we want s.o.p.'s which kill as much cohomology as possible. To describe this precisely, we need a couple more definitions.

**Definition 2.5.** A parameter \( x \) of \( R \) is said to be a CM multiplier if for all parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}, x \) annihilates the quotient module \( N = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) : x_{k+1}/(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \), where, in general, \( I : J = \{ r \in R : rJ \subseteq I \} \).

The quotient module \( N \) of (2.5) can often be interpreted as some cohomology group of the ring. Notice that \( N = 0 \) if \( R \) is C-M. It is not clear that such \( x \) exist; the quantification over all parameters makes the existence of such \( x \) a problem. (For instance if we set \( x = x^n \) for large \( n \) then it is immediate that \( x^n \) annihilates \( N \), but not at all clear that \( x \) will. Unless \( x \) is chosen quite carefully, \( xN \neq 0 \).) The next lemma, found in [H-H1, 11.5] gives the existence of many such elements in excellent rings.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( x \in R \) be such that \( R_x \) is C-M. Then there is a fixed power of \( x \) which is a CM multiplier.

In an excellent domain \( R \), the locus of the non Cohen-Macaulay primes of \( R \) is closed, and the defining radical ideal has height at least 2, since 1-dimensional domains are C-M. (2.6) guarantees that we can always find two parameters \( x, y \) in such a ring, each of which is a CM multiplier. This allows a flexibility which is crucial to the proof.

**Definition 2.7.** Parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) in a local ring \( R \) are said to be extraordinary if \( x_k \) is a CM multiplier, and the image of \( x_i \) is a CM multiplier in the ring \( R_i = R/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})^w \).

One quick note – in the paper [H-H5], a slightly different requirement is given for \( x_k \) but our change here does not affect the proof in any essential way.

Sequences of extraordinary parameters do exist, in any length up to the dimension of the ring, in excellent local domains. Furthermore any s.o.p. in such a ring can be moved to an extraordinary s.o.p. by a sequence of the following three types of operations:

i) Switching two of the parameters.

ii) Replacing \( x_i \) by \( x_i + rx_j \) for any \( j \neq i \),

iii) Replacing parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) with \( yx_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \), where \( y, x_2, \ldots, x_k \) are also parameters.

The third condition allows enormous change.
Suppose that we know by induction on \(k\), in the context of (2.2), that any \(k - 1\) parameters form a regular sequence in \(R^+\). Then it turns out that any extraordinary parameters \(x_1, \ldots, x_k\) satisfy the equational lemma (2.4) with \(I = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)\) and \(J = I^v\). In particular we can trivialize relations on the parameters \(x_1, \ldots, x_k\), said otherwise, the map on Koszul homology, \(H_1(x; R) \to H_1(x; R^+)\) is zero. If this latter property holds for \(x\), which is derived from \(y\) by repeated applications of i)–iii) above, then it also holds for \(y\). This gives us that relations on parameters can be trivialized in \(R^+\), which finishes the sketch of the proof of (2.2) and thus (2.1).

3. Remarks

In this section we give a little background as well as some indications of how the main theorem can be applied. The existence of big C-M modules was shown by Hochster [Ho1] in equicharacteristic around 1975. He went on to show that the existence of such a module, even though not finitely generated, implied many of the so-called "local homological conjectures" in equicharacteristic. Most remain open in mixed characteristic, although the recent work of Paul Roberts [Rol-2], using the intersection theory of Fulton, MacPherson, and Baum, has resulted in the solution of many of the conjectures, even in mixed characteristic.

Hochster's construction of a big C-M module was universal in the sense that he showed if any Cohen-Macaulay module exists for a given local ring, then his construction must also necessarily give one. This very universality makes it difficult to understand the structure of the modules he constructs. Moreover although the module is canonically constructed from the ring the actual structure of the module is mysterious. It is difficult to interpret its structure in terms of the original ring. Hochster also gave a construction of a big C-M algebra, without identity, [Ho2]. Again it is somewhat universal in nature and hard to understand its structure. On the other hand the ring \(R^+\) of(2.1) has a great deal of structure and provides a wealth of insight into why such modules even exist. Moreover its structure is attached to that of \(R\): for instance the going up and going down theorems hold between \(R\) and \(R^+\) in the case where \(R\) is integrally closed. Another example is provided in the remarks below concerning the structure of \(R^+\): if \(R\), a char. \(p\) excellent local domain, maps to \(S\), also an excellent local domain of char. \(p\), then the map extends (not naturally, however) to a map from \(R^+\) to \(S^+\). If the original map is injective (surjective) then the map from \(R^+\) to \(S^+\) can be chosen to be injective (surjective). In other words, the + construction is enough like a functor to be helpful.

Moreover although (2.2) is false in characteristic 0 one can show, by standard methods of reduction to characteristic \(p\), there exist big Cohen-Macaulay algebras (with identity) in equicharacteristic 0. The existence of big C-M algebras (as opposed to big C-M modules) has recently been used by Ian Aberbach [Ab].

We next make some remarks, which we collect in a lemma, concerning some of what we know about the structure of \(R^+\).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(R\) be an excellent local domain, and let \(R^+\) be as in Section 2. Then,
i) the sum of any two prime ideals of $R^+$ is again a prime ideal, or is the whole ring (cf. [Ar]);

ii) if $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$, and $P \in \text{Spec}(R^+)$ lies over $p$, then $(R/p)^+ \cong R^+/P$;

iii) If $W$ is a multiplicative system in $R$, then $(W^{-1}R)^+ \cong W^{-1}(R^+)$. 

Thus $R^+$ is, both locally and globally, a C-M algebra, even modulo any prime ideal! Part ii) also explains the comments above concerning $R^+$ mapping onto $S^+$ when $S$ is a domain which is a homomorphic image of $R$; simply write $S = R/p$, and use ii).

It is interesting to see what (2.1) says when one restricts to case 1 of Example 1.10. In this case $R$ is a complete local domain, finite over a power series subring $A$ generated (as a complete subring of $R$) by any s.o.p. $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Since $R$ is finite over $A$, $R^+ = A^+$. Any given s.o.p. in $R$ can be used to define such an $A$, so (2.1) says simply that when $A$ is a power series ring over a field of characteristic $p$, $A^+$ is a C-M $A$-algebra. Then it is not difficult to see that Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $A$ be a power series ring over a field of characteristic $p$, and let $A^+$ be the integral closure of $A$ in an algebraic closure of its quotient field. Then $A^+$ is flat over $A$.

Lest this theorem sound too simple, recall that it is wildly false for dimension $\geq 3$ in characteristic 0.

The flatness of $A^+$ over $A$ has strong consequences concerning the syzygies of certain finitely generated modules $M$ over complete local non C-M domains $R$. Suppose that the $R$-module $M$ comes via base change from a module $N$ over a power series ring $A$ contained in $R$ over which $R$ is finite (i.e., $M \cong N \otimes_A R$). The module $N$ has a finite resolution of the form,

\[ \mathbf{F} : 0 \rightarrow F_d \rightarrow F_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0, \]

where $F_i$ is a finitely generated free $A$-module. When we tensor with $R$ to obtain $M$, the sequence is no longer exact; the homology comes exactly from the failure of $R$ to be C-M. (Recall from 1.10 that $R$ is C-M iff $R$ is free as an $A$-module.) However since $R^+ = A^+$ is flat over $A$, when we tensor $\mathbf{F} \otimes_A R$ with $R^+$ the sequence becomes exact. Thus, e.g., the relations on the generators of $M$ over $R$, while not coming from the original relations of $N$ over $A$, are linear combinations of the original relations over some finite extension of $R$. This has profound consequences, especially in the graded case, which we discuss below.

4. The Graded Case

We close this paper by making a few remarks on the graded case. Let $R$ be a domain of char. $p > 0$ and as in case 2 of 1.10. We are able to prove a graded version of
Theorem 2.1 by replacing $R^+$ by a subring $R^{+\text{gr}}$ of $R^+$ which contains $R$, and is $\mathbb{N}$-graded extending the grading on $R$. In this case (2.1) becomes the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Any s.o.p. in $R$ consisting of homogeneous elements is a regular sequence in $R^{+\text{gr}}$.

If $A$ is a graded polynomial subring of $R$ as in case 2 of 1.10 then $A^{+\text{gr}} = R^{+\text{gr}}$ is free over $A$, and the closing remarks of Section 3 apply in this case as well for graded modules and resolutions. Theorem 4.1 can be translated into a vanishing theorem on maps of cohomology of projective varieties as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $X$ be a closed, irreducible, reduced, $d$-dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^d_k$, where $k$ is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, and all integers $t$, there is a finite surjective morphism $f_{i,t} = f : Y \to X$ from a projective scheme $Y$, also reduced and irreducible, over a finite extension $k'$ of $k$ such that the induced map $H^i(X, O_X(t)) \to H^i(Y, f^*O_Y(t))$ is zero.

A similar result holds without assuming $X$ is irreducible and reduced; one only needs to assume that $X$ is unmixed, and in this case the corresponding projective scheme $Y$ can be chosen to be unmixed.

One very special case of this result, which already has many consequences is that in any reduced graded ring $R$, any s.o.p. $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ generated by one forms is "C-M" up to degree 2, i.e., $\sum r_i x_i = 0$, with $r_i$ homogeneous, then up to a trivial syzygy, $\deg(r_i) \geq 2$.

For many problems, where a ring $R$ is assumed to be C-M, what is really important is not that $R$ is C-M, but rather that a given finite set of relations can be trivialized in the ring. Moreover proof analysis often shows that it suffices that the relations be trivialized only is some finite extension of the ring; a good example of this is a connectedness theorem of Hartshorne which says that if $R$ is C-M (or even has Serre's property $S_2$) then $R$ is connected in codimension 1. An analysis of this proof, in light of (2.1), shows that in characteristic $p$ (and hence also equicharacteristic 0 by reduction to equicharacteristic $p$) that the assumptions on depth are not really needed, and allow one to prove the following generalization: if $R$ is complete local domain of dimension $d$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are elements of $R$ with $k \leq d - 2$, then the punctured spectrum of $R/(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ is connected. This was already known and is a result of Faltings. The point is that (2.1) provides considerable insight into what might be true and provides the ammunition to carry proofs through. It seems that significant insight can be gained if one follows the intuition that "all" domains in characteristic $p$ are C-M; while this is false, passing to $R^+$ will recapture the C-M property.
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Preliminaries

Let $F$ be field, $F\langle X \rangle$ the free associative algebra over $F$ generated by a countable set of variables $X$. One may consider an element from $F\langle X \rangle$ as a polynomial in non-commutative variables from $X$.

Definition 1. We shall say that an associative algebra $A$ over $F$ satisfies an identity $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, where $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F\langle X \rangle$, if for arbitrary elements $a_i \in A$ the equality $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ is always valid in $A$.

Definition 2. The set of all polynomials $f \in F\langle X \rangle$ such that $A$ satisfies the identity $f = 0$ is said to be the ideal of identities of the algebra $A$. We shall denote this ideal by $T[A]$. An ideal of $F\langle X \rangle$ which is an ideal of identities of some algebra is called a $T$-ideal.

Definition 3. An Algebra $A$ is said to be PI-algebra if it satisfies a non-trivial identity (i.e. $T[A] \neq 0$).

The structure theory for PI-algebras is well developed. Some results of this theory are classic now. One of them is Kaplansky's theorem which asserts that a primitive PI-algebra is finite dimensional over its centre. Another example is the theorem of Nagata-Higman which asserts that any algebra over a field of zero characteristic satisfying identity $x^n = 0$ is nilpotent.

In 1957 A.I. Shirshov proved his famous Height Theorem:

Theorem (A.I. Shirshov [1]). For any finitely generated PI-algebra $A$ there exist a number $h$ and elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ such that elements

$$a_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots a_{i_k}^{s_k}, \quad k < h$$

generate $A$ as a space.

The Height Theorem implies the positive solution of Kurosh's problem for PI-algebras: A finitely generated algebraic PI-algebra is finite dimensional.
Although Kurosh’s problem for PI-algebras was solved earlier in 1948 by I. Kaplansky, Shirshov’s approach shows clearly the contributions of both conditions (PI and algebraicity) in order that algebra be finite dimensional. The Height Theorem shows also that finitely generated PI-algebras are close to finite dimensional algebras.

Shirshov’s Height Theorem was the first result which gave some information about identities of PI-algebras.

This paper is also devoted to the study of the structure of identities of associative algebras.

1. Finitely Generated PI-Algebras

First of all we recall the famous result in PI-theory which was proved by A. Braun in 1982.

**Theorem (A. Braun [2]).** The radical of a finitely generated PI-algebra is nilpotent.

This theorem has the following important corollary:

**Corollary.** A finitely generated PI-algebra satisfies for some n the identity

\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} (-1)^{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)}y_{1}x_{\sigma(2)}y_{2} \cdots y_{n-1}x_{\sigma(n)} = 0 \]  

(1)

where S(n) is the symmetric group of degree n; \((-1)^{\sigma} = 1 \) if \(\sigma\) is an even permutation, \((-1)^{\sigma} = -1\) if \(\sigma\) is odd.

The identity (1) is called the Capelli identity of n-th order.

It is well known that if char \(F = 0\) then the Capelli identities “distinguish” finitely generated PI-algebras from infinitely generated algebras.

**Theorem.** Let an associative PI-algebra A over a field of zero characteristic satisfy the Capelli identity of some order. Then there exists a finitely generated PI-algebra B such that \(T[A] = T[B]\).

If char \(F \neq 0\) then the conclusion of this theorem is not true. We shall discuss this case later.

The main result about identities of finitely generated PI-algebras is the following theorem which was proved by the author in 1988.

**Theorem 1 ([3, 4]).** For any finitely generated PI-algebra A over an infinite field \(F\) there exists a finite dimensional \(F\)-algebra C such that \(T[A] = T[C]\).

In other words finitely generated PI-algebras cannot be distinguished from finite dimensional algebras in the language of identities.
If $F$ is a finite field then the conclusion of Theorem 1 is not valid, but Theorem 1 gives a full information about identities which are homogeneous with respect to every variables.

One may consider Theorem 1 as a theorem on classification of finitely generated PI-algebras in the language of identities.

**Definition 4.** Let $I$ be a $T$-ideal in the free algebra $F\langle X\rangle$. The factor algebra $F\langle X\rangle/I$ is said to be a relatively free algebra generated by the set of variables $X$.

**Definition 5.** An algebra over a field $F$ is called representable if it can be embedded into a matrix algebra of finite order over some extension of the basic field $F$.

Theorem 1 has the following important corollary:

**Corollary.** Any relatively free PI-algebra of finite rank is representable (the basic field is infinite).

Since the proof of Theorem 1 has been published we give the main ideas of this proof.

Let $A$ be a finitely generated PI-algebra. Using the theorem of Braun and Levin's theorem it is easy to prove that

$$T[A] \supseteq T[C]$$

for some finite dimensional algebra $C$. That is the initial situation. Then we want to find an intermediary finite dimensional algebra $C_1$ such that

$$T[A] \supseteq T[C_1] \not\supseteq T[C].$$

Then we want to find a finite dimensional algebra $C_2$ such that

$$T[A] \supseteq T[C_2] \not\supseteq T[C_1]$$

and so on.

If we shall follow this way then two problems arise.

1) How can one find those intermediary algebras?

2) Does every ascending chain of ideals of identities of finite dimensional algebras terminate?

We shall not discuss the first problem because it is the most technical part of the proof. We construct those algebras using the so-called trace identities.

The solution of the second problem is also technical. The main idea is to translate structure properties of a finite dimensional algebra into the language of identities.

We assume for simplicity that the basic field is algebraically closed. Consider a finite dimensional algebra $C$ with a unit. The algebra $C$ may be represented in the form

$$C = P + \text{Rad } C$$
where $P$ is the semisimple part of $C$. The algebra $P$ is a direct sum of full matrix algebras $M_{n_i}(F)$. We define the following parametres of the algebra $C$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(C) &= \sum n_i \\
\beta(C) &= \dim_F P \\
\gamma(C) &= \text{the index of nilpotency of } \text{Rad} \ C.
\end{align*}
\]

The triple

\[ t(C) = (\alpha(C), \beta(C), \gamma(C)) \]

is called the type of the algebra $C$. We order the types lexicographically.

How do we translate the properties of the algebra $C$ into the language of identities? For example, the property $\alpha(C) = n$ is translated into the following identity

\[
\sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k \in S(n+1)} (-1)^{\sigma_1} \cdots (-1)^{\sigma_k} x_1^{\sigma_1(1)} \cdots x_k^{\sigma_1(2)} + \cdots + x_1^{\sigma_k(1)} \cdots x_k^{\sigma_k(n+1)} = 0 \quad (2)
\]

where $k = \gamma(C)$.

If $T[C'] \supseteq T[C]$ for another finite dimensional algebra $C'$, then it is proved that there exist finite dimensional algebras $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ such that

\[
\alpha(C_i) \leq \alpha(C), \quad T[C'] = T\left[ \bigoplus_{i=1}^m C_i \right].
\]

Other identities translate some other properties. Finally we can prove the following:

**Proposition.** Let $C, C'$ be finite dimensional algebras over an infinite field, $T[C'] \supseteq T[C]$. Then there exist finite dimensional algebras $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ such that

\[
T\left[ \bigoplus_{i=1}^m C_i \right] = T[C']
\]

This proposition is principal for the solution of the second problem.

2. Infinitely Generated PI-Algebras

The methods of studying the identities of infinitely generated algebras highly depend on the characteristic of the basic field.

2.1 Algebras over Field of Zero Characteristic

**Definition 6.** Let $A$ be an associative algebra, $A_0, A_1$ subspaces of $A$ such that

\[
A = A_0 \oplus A_1
\]

$A_0A_0, A_1A_1 \subseteq A_0; \quad A_0A_1, A_1A_0 \subseteq A_1$. 
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Then the algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is said to be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra or superalgebra graded by $A_0, A_1$.

Consider the Grassmann algebra $G$ generated by elements $e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots$ satisfying the relations $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ for every $i, j$. Let $G_0$ be the subspace of $G$ generated by monomials of even degree, $G_1$ the subspace generated by monomials of odd degree. Then $G = G_0 \oplus G_1$ is the Grassmann superalgebra.

**Definition 7.** The subalgebra $G(A) = G_0 \otimes_F A_0 + G_1 \otimes A_1$ of the algebra $G \otimes_F A$ is called the Grassmann Hull of the superalgebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$.

In 1981 the author proved that any T-ideal equals the ideal of identities of the Grassmann Hull of some finitely generated PI-superalgebra. This theorem has reduced the studying of identities of infinitely generated PI-algebras to the studying of graded identities of finitely generated PI-superalgebras. We recall that the characteristic of the basic field equals zero. Theorem 1 formulated above is valid also in the graded case ([3]). Hence we obtain the following main result about the identities of PI-algebras over the field of zero characteristic:

**Theorem 2** [3]. For any PI-algebra $A$ there exists a finite dimensional superalgebra $C$ such that

$$T[A] = T[G(C)].$$

Theorem 2 has an interesting corollary:

**Corollary.** A relatively free PI-algebra can be embedded into the matrix algebra of finite order over an algebra satisfying the identity $[[x, y], z] = 0 ([x, y] = xy - yx)$.

### 2.2 Specht’s Problem

We want to classify associative PI-algebras in the language of identities. Theorem 2 is a satisfactory theorem of classification for algebras of zero characteristic. In the general case we do not have any hypothesis about the classification.

**Definition 8.** The minimal set of identities of an algebra $A$ which implies all the other identities of $A$ is said to be the base of identities of the algebra $A$.

In 1950 W. Specht formulated the following problem [5]: Has any associative algebra of zero characteristic a finite base of identities?

The problem of finite base may be formulated not only for algebras of zero characteristic but also for arbitrary algebras, rings, groups and so on. The problem of finite base may be considered as a strict formulation for problem of classification (if there is no more satisfactory hypothesis).

In 1986 the author [6] solved Specht’s Problem positively:

**Theorem 3.** Any associative algebra over a field of zero characteristic has a finite base of identities.
Theorem 3 has other formulations:
1) Any T-ideal is finitely generated as a T-ideal.
2) The set of T-ideals satisfies the ascending chain condition.

In the case of non-zero characteristic the problem of finite base is open, but
Theorem 1 yields the positive solution of local Specht's problem for algebras over
an infinite field.

**Theorem 4.** Any T-ideal of a finitely generated free algebra is generated by a finite
set of polynomials (as a T-ideal).

2.3 Identities of Algebras over a Field of Characteristic $p$

In the case of characteristic $p$ Theorem 2 is not true. Moreover the author has
no classification hypothesis. The structure of identities of algebras over fields of
characteristic $p$ is not clear and there are no strong results on this theme.

In 1981 I.B. Volichenko formulated the strange problem: Does any PI-algebra
over a field of non-zero characteristic satisfy the standard identity
\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} (-1)^{\varepsilon} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = 0 \]
for some $n$?

It is not difficult to prove that Volichenko's hypothesis is true if and only if any
PI-algebra of non-zero characteristic satisfies the symmetrized standard identity
\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = 0 \]
for some $n$.

Indeed, let $A$ be any PI-algebra over a field $F$ and $G$ a Grassmann algebra over
$F$. It is well-known that the algebra $A \otimes_F G$ is a PI-algebra. If $A \otimes_F G$ satisfies the
standard identity (symmetrized standard identity) of some degree then it is easy to
see that the algebra $A$ satisfies the symmetrized standard identity (standard identity)
of the same degree.

Note that the symmetrized standard identity of $n$-th degree is a full linearization
of the identity $x^n = 0$.

The author has solved these problems recently.

**Theorem 5.** Any PI-algebra over a field of non-zero characteristic satisfies the
standard identity and the symmetrized standard identity of some degree.

**Proof.** First of all we remark that it is enough to prove the theorem for algebras
with unit over algebraically closed field.

Let $I$ be the T-ideal of identities of the given algebra with unit $I \subseteq F\langle X \rangle,$
$X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$. Consider the finitely generated PI-algebras $F_k/I \cap F_k$, where
$F_k = F\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle$. By Theorem 1
\[ T[F_k/I \cap F_k] = T[A_k] \]
for some finite dimensional algebra $A_k$. 
The primitive images of the algebra $F\langle X\rangle/\mathcal{I}$ are full matrix algebras over the basic field $F$. The maximal order of these matrix algebras is called the complexity of the $T$-ideal $\mathcal{I}$.

We represent the algebra $A_k$ in the form

$$A_k = P_k + \text{Rad} A_k,$$

where $P_k$ is the semisimple part of $A_k$. The algebra $P_k$ may be represented in the form

$$P_k = e_0 P_k + e_1 P_k + \cdots + e_s P_k, \quad s = s(k),$$

where $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_s$ are orthogonal idempotents, $e_i P_k = M_q(F)$, $i > 0$ ($q$ the complexity of $\mathcal{I}$); $e_0 P_k$ a direct sum of other matrix algebras $M_{q'}(F)$, $n < q$.

We prove the theorem by induction. The base of induction ($q = 1$) and the inductive step will be proved simultaneously. Consider the ideal $I_k$ of the algebra $A_k$ generated by all mixed elements $e_i a e_j$, $i \neq j$, $a \in A_k$. Note that it is sufficient to prove that the algebras $I_k$ satisfy the symmetrized standard identity of some degree $n = n(\mathcal{I})$.

Indeed, if $q = 1$ we put $k = 4n$. The algebra $A_k/I_k$ satisfies the identity

$$\left[ x, y, \ldots, y \right] = 0$$

for some $m$. Therefore the algebra $A_k$ satisfies the identities

$$S_n^+(z_1 [x_1, y_1, \ldots, y_1] t_1, \ldots, z_n [x_n, y_n, \ldots, y_n] t_n) = 0$$

($S_n^+$ is the symmetrized standard polynomial), where $z_i, t_j \in X \cup \{1\}$. Since the identities (4) contain at most $k$ variables, these identities are valid modulo $\mathcal{I}$. Hence we obtain that the algebra $F\langle X\rangle/\mathcal{I}$ is an extension of some algebra satisfying (3) by an algebra satisfying the symmetrized standard identity of degree $n$. It is easy to verify that the full linearization of (3) is the symmetrized standard identity of degree $p^n$, hence the algebra $F\langle X\rangle/\mathcal{I}$ satisfies the symmetrized standard identity of degree $p^m \cdot n$.

If $q > 1$ then we may assume that the theorem is proved in the case when the complexity is less than $q$.

Let $B$ be an algebra such that $T[M_q(B)] \supseteq \mathcal{I}$. Since $q$ is the complexity of $\mathcal{I}$ the complexity of the $T$-ideal $T[B]$ is equal to 1. Hence by the inductive hypothesis the algebra $B$ must satisfy the symmetrized standard identity of some degree. It is easy to prove that then the algebra $M_q(B)$ must satisfy the symmetrized standard identity of some degree $m = m(\mathcal{I})$.

Let $h(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ be a polynomial such that for all $s$ the algebra $M_q(F)$ does not satisfy the identity $h^s = 0$, but the algebra $M_{q-1}(F)$ satisfies the identity $h^s = 0$ for some $s$.

We put $k = (m + r + 3)n$. Consider the algebra $A_k/I_k$. This algebra is a direct sum of algebras of the type $M_d(B)$, where $B$ is a local algebra, $d \leq q$. Therefore the algebra $A_k/I_k$ must satisfy the identities

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_r, u, v, w) = u S_m^+(x_1, \ldots, x_m) v (h(y_1, \ldots, y_r))^w w = 0$$
for some \( m, t \), where \( u, v, w \in X \cup \{1\} \). Hence we obtain that the algebra \( A_k \) satisfies the identities

\[
S^+_{n}(f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(m)}) = 0, \tag{6}
\]

where \( f^{(i)} = f(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_r, u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}, w^{(0)}) \), \( u^{(i)} \), \( v^{(i)} \), \( w^{(i)} \in X \cup \{1\} \). Since the identities (6) contain at most \( k \) variables these identities are valid modulo \( \Gamma \).

Therefore the algebra \( F \langle X \rangle / \Gamma \) is an extension of some algebra satisfying (5) by an algebra satisfying the symmetrized standard identity of degree \( n \). By the inductive hypothesis the identity \( h' = 0 \) implies the symmetrized standard identity of some degree \( n_0 \). Hence we obtain that the algebra \( F \langle X \rangle / \Gamma \) satisfies the symmetrized standard identity of degree \( n_0 + m \cdot n \).

Let us prove that the algebra \( I_k \) satisfies the symmetrized standard identity of some degree \( n = n(\Gamma) \).

Let \( h(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) be a central polynomial for the algebra \( M_q(F) \). Then the algebra \( F \langle X \rangle / \Gamma \) satisfies all identities of the type

\[
[h(u_1, \ldots, u_r), v]x^{i_1}[h(u_1, \ldots, u_r), v]x^{i_2} \cdots x^{i_{m-1}}[h(u_1, \ldots, u_r), v] = 0. \tag{7}
\]

Since \( T[A_k] \supseteq \Gamma \), the algebra \( A_k \) also satisfies the identities (7). If \( m > s = s(k) \) then we substitute into the identity (7) the following elements of \( A_k \): \( x = 1 \), \( v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i e_{i+1} \), \( u_i = b_i \), where \( b_i \) are such elements that \( h(b_1, \ldots, b_r) = \sum \alpha_i e_i \), \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \), \( \alpha_i \in F \) (these elements exist because \( h \) is a central polynomial for \( M_q(F) \)). As a result we get the equality \( v^m = 0 \). Hence we obtain

\[
0 = e_1 v^m = e_1 a_1 e_2 a_2 \cdots e_m a_m e_{m+1}.
\]

It means that we may assume that \( s \leq m \).

If we substitute into (7) \( x = b \in I_k \), \( v = e_i a_j e_i \), \( i \neq j \), \( u_i = b_i \), where \( b_i \) are such elements that \( h(b_1, \ldots, b_r) = e_j \), then we get

\[
va^i a e^{i_2} a^i_1 a^i m-1 = 0.
\]

Linearizing this equality we can see that if we substitute into the polynomial \( S^+(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) a lot of elements of mixed type \( e_i a_j e_i, i \neq j \), then we annihilate this polynomial. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that for all \( i, j, i \neq j \), the algebra \( B_k = e_i A_k e_j A_k e_i \) satisfies the symmetrized standard identity of some degree \( n = n(\Gamma) \).

Put \( i_1 = i_3 = i_5 = \cdots = 0 \) in (7). Substituting into (7) \( v = y + z, \ y \in e_i A_k e_j, \ z \in e_j A_k e_i; x \in e_i A_k e_i, h(v_1, \ldots, v_r) = e_i \), we get the equality

\[
(z - y)^2 x^{j_1}(z - y)^2 x^{j_2} \cdots x^{j_{m-1}}(z - y)^2 = 0
\]

where \( j_d = i_{2d}, t = [(m + 1)/2] \). Multiplying this equality by \( e_i \) from the left side we get the identity

\[
x^{i_0} y z x^{j_1} y z \cdots x^{j_{m-1}} y z x^{h} = 0 \tag{8}
\]

for all \( y \in e_i A_k e_j, z \in e_j A_k e_i; x \in e_i A_k e_i \).

Substituting \( y = y + y_1 \) and taking the component of degree 1 by \( y_1 \), we get the identity

\[
x^{i_0} y_1 z x^{j_1} y z \cdots + x^{i_0} y z x^{j_1} y_1 z \cdots + \cdots = 0. \tag{9}
\]
Substitute $y_1 = xy_1$ into (9) and subtract the result from (9) where $j_0$ is replaced by $j_0 + 1$. We get the identity of the type
\[
x^{j_0} y y x^{j_1} (\alpha_1 y_1 x z x^{j_2} y z \cdots + \alpha_2 y x z x^{j_2} y z \cdots + \cdots) = 0,
\] (10)
\[\alpha_i \in F. \text{ Then we again substitute } y_1 = xy_1 \text{ into (10) and subtract the result from (10) where } j_1 \text{ is replaced by } j_1 + 1. \text{ Continue the described process. Finally we get a non-trivial identity of the type}
\[
\sum_{(j) = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_t)} \alpha_{(j)} x^{j_0} y y x^{j_1} \cdots y z x^{j_t} y z x^{j_t} = 0.
\] (11)
We repeat this process again $t$ times and then repeat this process with respect to $z$. Finally we get the identity of the type
\[
\sum_{(j) = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_t)} \beta_{(j)} x^{j_0} y y x^{j_1} \cdots \cdot x^{j_t} y z x^{j_t} = 0
\]
for all $x \in e_i A_k e_i, y \in e_i A_k e_j, z \in e_j A_k e_i$. Hence we obtain that the algebra $B_k$ satisfies the non-trivial identity of the type
\[
\sum_{(j)} \beta_{(j)} x^{j_0} x x^{j_1} \cdots x_t x^{j_t} = 0
\] (12)
for all $x, x_i \in B_k$.

So we may assume that the algebra $F \langle X \rangle / \Gamma$ satisfies the identity (12). Therefore the algebra $A_k$ satisfies the identity (12). Substituting $x = a + e_i, a \in B_k, x_1 = e_i b_1 e_j, x_2 = e_j b_2 e_i, x_i \in B_k, i \geq 3$, we see that the algebra $B_k$ satisfies the identity of the type (12) where $t$ is replaced by $t - 1$.

\[\square\]
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The subject of this article is a set of conjectures which have been a central topic of investigation in Commutative Algebra for several years. Many of these conjectures are closely related to questions in Intersection Theory, and in some cases they arose directly from attempts to define intersection multiplicities in an algebraic setting. We begin with a discussion of some of these questions in Intersection Theory and their influence in Commutative Algebra. Next we discuss a few of the main conjectures related to this topic. Finally, we show how recent developments in Intersection Theory have made it possible to settle some of these problems.

1. Introduction: Serre's Definition of Intersection Multiplicities

We begin this section with some background on the problem of defining intersection multiplicities. The general question is as follows: given a variety $V$, a point $p$ of $V$, and subvarieties $X$ and $Y$ of $V$ such that $p$ is an isolated point of the intersection $X \cap Y$, we wish to define the multiplicity of the intersection of $X$ and $Y$ at the point $p$. We are interested here in an algebraic definition (which does not involve topology, for instance), and in one which is defined locally at the point.

As an illustration, we consider the case in which $X$ and $Y$ are curves in the plane. Let $A$ be the local ring at the point $p$; that is, the ring of rational functions defined in a neighborhood of $p$. Let the curves $X$ and $Y$ be defined locally near $p$ as the sets of zeros of polynomials $f(x,y)$ and $g(x,y)$. Then the correct definition for the multiplicity is the length of the quotient ring $(A/(f,g))$, where $(f,g)$ is the ideal generated by $f$ and $g$ (or, in the complex case, the dimension of $A/(f,g)$ as a vector space over $C$). This definition agrees with intuition; if the curves are smooth and not tangent at $p$ it gives multiplicity one, and if they are tangent it is greater than one. In addition, it satisfies Bézout's Theorem, which states that if $V$ is the projective plane, and if $X$ and $Y$ are subvarieties of $V$ of degrees $m$ and $n$ respectively, then the total number of intersections, counted with multiplicities, is $mn$. This theorem is very important in enumerative geometry.

Before proceeding, we recall some basic definitions and notation. Let $A$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $m$ and residue field $A/m = k$. Let $\dim(A) = d$ denote the Krull dimension of $A$; this can be defined...
either as the maximum length of a chain of prime ideals or as the minimum number \( d \) such that there are elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m} \) which generate an ideal primary to the maximal ideal. Such a sequence of elements is called a \textit{system of parameters}. The ring \( A \) is \textit{regular} if the maximal ideal can be generated by \( d \) elements; in the geometric situation, the local ring at a point is regular when the variety is smooth at the point. The ring is \textit{Cohen-Macaulay} when the system of parameters form a regular sequence; that is, when \( ax_i \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \) implies \( a \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \) for each \( i \). We remark that the above definition of multiplicities for curves works because \( A \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( (f, g) \) is a system of parameters in that case.

If \( A \) is an integral domain, we say that \( A \) is \textit{equicharacteristic} if the characteristic of \( A \) is the same as the characteristic of \( k \); otherwise \( A \) has \textit{mixed characteristic}.

We now return to the question of defining intersection multiplicities. Let \( A \) be a local ring, and let \( I \) and \( J \) be ideals of \( A \); in the geometric situation, \( I \) and \( J \) will be the ideals of functions vanishing on subvarieties \( X \) and \( Y \). The straightforward generalization of the definition for curves would be to define the multiplicity to be the length of the quotient \( A/(I + J) \). However, this will not satisfy Bézout's Theorem (among other things).

The idea of Serre was to add extra correction terms. In addition, it is more convenient to generalize from the quotients \( A/I \) and \( A/J \) of the ring \( A \) to more general finitely generated modules \( M \) and \( N \).

\textbf{Definition} (Serre [28]). Let \( A \) be a regular local ring and let \( M \) and \( N \) be finitely generated \( A \)-modules such that \( M \otimes_A N \) is a module of finite length. Then the intersection multiplicity of \( M \) and \( N \) is defined to be

\[ \chi(M, N) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \text{length}(\text{Tor}_i(M, N)). \]

This definition makes sense since all modules over regular local rings have finite projective dimension. Furthermore, it satisfies Bézout's Theorem, and it agrees with the definition given above in the case of curves. Serre stated three additional properties and proved them in the equicharacteristic case.

1. \( \dim(M) + \dim(N) \leq \dim(A) \).

2. (Vanishing) If \( \dim(M) + \dim(N) < \dim(A) \), then \( \chi(M, N) = 0 \).

3. (Positivity) If \( \dim(M) + \dim(N) = \dim(A) \), then \( \chi(M, N) > 0 \).

The first of these Serre proved in general; we note that it can be interpreted as a statement on the topology of intersections in smooth varieties. The second and third were proven in the equicharacteristic case, and were conjectured in the general regular case.
2. The Peskine-Szpiro Intersection Theorem

Attempts to prove Serre's conjectures in the ensuing years included various generalizations of them to non-regular rings. The three statements listed above make sense for any local ring as long as $M$ is assumed to have finite projective dimension (and, of course, $M \otimes_A N$ has finite length). In fact, the first statement makes sense even without the assumption of finite projective dimension, but it is false in this generality; whether it holds with this assumption is not known.

The next major advance was the proof of the "Intersection Theorem" in a quite general situation by Peskine and Szpiro [16, 17]. This theorem states:

**Theorem (Intersection Theorem).** Let $M$ be a module of finite projective dimension and let $N$ be a module such that $M \otimes_A N$ has finite length as a module. Then

$$\dim N \leq \text{proj dim } M.$$  

If one replaces projective dimension in this theorem by codimension (i.e. $\dim(A) - \dim(M)$), it becomes the first statement of Serre's conjectures, and, like that statement, it can be considered to describe the topology of the intersection of a variety which is the support of a module of finite projective dimension. The importance of the theorem was demonstrated by the fact that it implies several other conjectures (Peskine-Szpiro [17]):

**Corollary (Bass's Conjecture).** If $A$ has a non-zero finitely generated module of finite injective dimension, then $A$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary (Zero-Divisor Conjecture).** If $M$ is an $A$-module of finite projective dimension and $x$ in $A$ is a zero-divisor on $A$, then $x$ is a zero-divisor on $M$.

Shortly thereafter, a stronger version of this theorem was stated (Peskine-Szpiro [18], Roberts [20]):

**Theorem (New Intersection Theorem).** Let

$$0 \to F_k \to \ldots \to F_0 \to 0$$

be a complex of finitely generated free modules with homology of finite length. Then if $F_\ast$ is not exact, we have $\dim(A) \leq k$.

This theorem implies the original Intersection Theorem.

In the paper cited above, the Intersection Theorem was proven for rings of positive characteristic and for rings whose completion is the completion of a ring of finite type over a ring of characteristic zero. The method, which has since been applied successfully to a wide variety of questions, was to reduce (using the Artin Approximation Theorem) to the case of a ring of positive characteristic $p$, and then to use iterations of the Frobenius map (the map which sends $a$ to $a^p$) to prove the theorem in the positive characteristic case. The method of reducing using the Artin Approximation Theorem was extended by Hochster [11] to the general equicharacteristic case. These techniques did not extend to the case of mixed characteristic.
3. The Homological Conjectures

The conjectures of Serre and the Intersection Conjecture in mixed characteristic, together with a number of others, became known as the Homological Conjectures. These conjectures dealt with intersection multiplicities, modules of finite projective dimension, the existence of Cohen-Macaulay modules, and properties of systems of parameters, together with relations between these topics. A summary of these conjectures in 1975 can be found in Hochster [12]; in this monograph Hochster introduced big Cohen-Macaulay modules and proved their existence (thus implying several other conjectures, including the Intersection Conjecture) in the equicharacteristic case. The proof made use of reduction to positive characteristic.

In a somewhat different approach, in Roberts [21] the notion of Cohen-Macaulay complex is defined and proven to exist in the complex algebraic case via the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem. The connection between the technique of reduction to positive characteristic and vanishing theorems in cohomology coming from Hodge theory was further shown by a proof of the Kodaira vanishing theorem by reduction to positive characteristic, conjectured by Szpiro and proven recently by Deligne and Illusie [2].

Among these conjectures, as mentioned above, was the question of whether Serre's vanishing and positivity conjectures held for arbitrary rings, when $M$ was assumed to have finite projective dimension. This conjecture was proved for graded modules over a graded ring by Peskine and Szpiro [18] using computations with Hilbert polynomials in a free resolution, and they showed that several other conjectures followed from this one. These results led to speculation that an appropriate theory of Chern classes and Riemann-Roch theorem over general local rings could be used to prove the multiplicity conjectures, and hence many others, in general. The idea was that a bounded complex of free modules $F_\bullet$ would define a sequence of Chern characters $\chi_i(F_\bullet)$, and that these would vanish for $i$ less than the codimension of the support of $F_\bullet$. In codimension one this program was carried out by Foxby [7], using a construction of MacRae [15], leading to proofs of some of the conjectures in low dimension.

However, Dutta, Hochster and McLaughlin [4] constructed an example which showed that this generalization of Serre vanishing was false in dimension three. They construct a module $M$ of finite projective dimension and finite length over the ring $A = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]/(XY -ZW)$, which has dimension three, such that if $N = A/(X, Z)$, then $\chi(M, N) = -1$. Thus the theory of local Chern characters, even if it existed, could not have the hoped for vanishing properties. In the next section we describe such a theory and then show how in spite of these setbacks, it could solve some of the conjectures.

4. Localized Chern Characters

The Chern characters alluded to in the previous section were defined by Baum, Fulton, and MacPherson [1]. There were two major problems in defining such a theory for complexes over a local ring. The first was that there is no cohomology theory. This problem was answered by defining Chern characters as operators on the Chow group. The second problem was that there are no non-trivial vector bundles over a local ring, so that straightforward definitions of Chern characters
tend to give zero. The solution here was to give a "localized" definition, with values not in the Chow group of the entire ring, but in the Chow group of the support of the complex. We give a very brief summary of the part of the theory we need; we refer to Fulton [8] for a more complete description.

Let $V = \text{Spec}(A)$, and let $Y$ be a closed subset of $V$. For each integer $i$, let $Z_i(Y)$ denote the rational group of cycles of dimension $i$; that is, $Z_i(Y)$ consists of the free $\mathbb{Q}$-module with generators all reduced and irreducible subschemes of $Y$ of dimension $i$, or, equivalently, all prime ideals $P$ with $\dim(A/P) = i$. Then the $i$-th graded piece of the Chow group, denoted $A_i(Y)$, is $Z_i(Y)$ modulo rational equivalence, where rational equivalence is defined by setting the divisor of a rational function on a reduced and irreducible subscheme of dimension $i + 1$ to zero.

Let $F_\bullet$ be a bounded complex of free modules with support $Z$. Then there are Chern characters $\text{ch}_k(F_\bullet)$ for each $k \geq 0$, which map $A_i(X)$ to $A_{i-k}(X \cap Z)$.

The connection with Euler characteristics was given by the local Riemann-Roch theorem (Fulton [8], Example 18.3.12). We state a very special case of this theorem here. Let $F_\bullet$ be a complex of free modules with homology of finite length, or, equivalently, with support at the closed point of $\text{Spec}(A)$. Assume that $A$ is an integral domain, and let $[A]$ denote the class of $\text{Spec}(A)$ in the highest dimensional graded piece of the Chow group $A_d(\text{Spec}(A))$. Then there is a class $\tau(A)$, with $[A]$ as its highest component, which satisfies

$$\chi(F_\bullet) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{ch}_i(F_\bullet)(\tau_i(A)).$$

In this equation, the left hand side is an integer, and the right hand side is an element of the Chow group of the support of $F_\bullet$. In this case, the support of $F_\bullet$ is a point $p$, so its Chow group reduces to $A_0(p)$, which we identify with $\mathbb{Q}$.

Furthermore, if $A$ is regular (or, more generally, a complete intersection), then $\tau(A) = [A]$.

### 5. The Proofs of Serre's Vanishing Conjecture and the Intersection Conjecture

Using the theory described in the last section, it is possible to prove Serre's vanishing conjecture without regard to characteristic and to prove the Peskine-Szpiro Intersection Theorem in mixed characteristic. We briefly outline these proofs here and attempt to show how the theory of localized Chern characters is used in their solution.

Let $M$ and $N$ be modules over a regular local ring $A$ such that $M \otimes_A N$ has finite length and $\dim(M) + \dim(N) < \dim(A)$. Let $F_\bullet$ and $G_\bullet$ be free resolutions of $M$ and $N$ respectively. The problem is to show that $\chi(F_\bullet \otimes G_\bullet) = 0$, since the homology of $F_\bullet \otimes G_\bullet$ is precisely $\text{Tor}(M, N)$. By the local Riemann-Roch formula we have

$$\chi(F_\bullet \otimes G_\bullet) = \text{ch}(F_\bullet \otimes G_\bullet)([A]).$$

We must now use some properties of local Chern characters. First, they are multiplicative, which means that we can write
Let $X$ and $Y$ denote the supports of $M$ and $N$ respectively; these are also the supports of $F_\ast$ and $G_\ast$. Now suppose that $d-j > \dim(Y)$. Then the element $\text{ch}_j(G_\ast)([A])$, which is in the group $\mathbb{A}_{d-j}(Y)$, must be zero, since there can be no subvarieties of $Y$ of dimension larger than the dimension of $Y$. Thus all terms for which $d-j > \dim(Y)$ are zero. Using the commutativity of Chern characters (proven in Roberts [23]), one shows also that terms with $d-i > \dim(X)$ are zero. The hypothesis that $\dim(X) + \dim(Y) < d$ implies that all terms fall into one of these two sets, and therefore the entire sum is zero, as was to be shown.

This theorem also holds if $A$ is a complete intersection. It was proven independently using Adams operations in $K$-theory by Gillet and Soulé [9, 10]. It was proven when the singular locus has dimension at most one in Roberts [23]. We note that this argument gives a vanishing theorem for intersection multiplicities defined by local Chern characters for modules of finite projective dimension in general, and this approach appears to work better than the approach based on Euler characteristics for singular points.

We next describe how localized Chern characters are used in the proof of the New Intersection Theorem in mixed characteristic. Let $A$ be a domain of mixed characteristic of dimension $d$, and let

$$0 \rightarrow F_{d-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0$$

be a complex of free modules with homology of finite length which is not exact. We wish to prove that such a complex cannot exist. Let $\overline{F}_\ast$ denote $F_\ast \otimes A/pA$, where $p$ is the characteristic of the residue field of $A$. Then $\overline{F}_\ast$ is a complex of free modules over $A/pA$.

The main idea of the proof is to compute $\text{ch}_{d-1}([A/pA])$ in two different ways. First, using the properties of localized Chern characters and the fact that $\overline{F}_\ast$ comes from restriction to a divisor of a complex supported at one point, we deduce that $\text{ch}_{d-1}([A/pA]) = 0$. Second, we use that $\overline{F}_\ast$ is a non-trivial complex of length $d-1$ over a ring of positive characteristic of dimension $d-1$. By means of an asymptotic Euler characteristic introduced by Dutta [3] and put on a firm foundation by Seibert [27], together with a local cohomology argument, we can then show that it must be positive (details can be found in Roberts [24, 25]). This contradiction proves the theorem.

6. Open Questions

We conclude with some remarks on three questions which are still open.

1. Serre's Positivity Conjecture. The method of local Chern characters provides a geometric approach to the question of positivity, but as of yet it has not led to a solution. A result of Tennison [30] states that if one computes $\chi(A/P, A/Q)$, where $\dim(A/P) + \dim(A/Q) = \dim(A)$, and if the subschemes defined by the prime ideals $P$ and $Q$ are not tangent at the closed point of Spec($R$) (this condition can be stated precisely in terms of associated graded rings), then $\chi(R/P, R/Q)$ is the product of the multiplicities of the $A/P$ and $A/Q$ and is therefore positive. We remark that an example of Roberts [26] shows that positivity is unlikely for two
modules of finite projective dimension in the non-regular case, even using Chern characters rather than Euler characteristics, although this question is also open.

2. The Improved New Intersection Conjecture (Evans-Griffith [5, 6]). This conjecture states the following: Let $F_\bullet = 0 \to F_k \to \ldots \to F_0 \to 0$ be a complex of free modules with finite length homology except possibly for $H_0(F_\bullet)$. Assume that there is a minimal generator of $H_0(F_\bullet)$ which is annihilated by a power of the maximal ideal of $A$. Then $k \geq \dim(A)$.

It seems reasonable to try to prove this theorem using the methods which worked for the "unimproved" version, but this version appears to be more difficult. This conjecture is equivalent to several others, such as Hochster's Monomial Conjecture (see [13]), and is open in dimension three in mixed characteristic.

3. Another Conjecture on Bounded Complexes. The New Intersection Theorem states that the minimum length of a bounded complex of free modules is $d$. Suppose that $F_\bullet$ has length exactly $d$. In this case, the question is whether the cycles $Z_t$ are integral over the boundaries $B_t$ in degrees larger than zero. This result would imply the Monomial Conjecture, it can be proven in positive characteristic by the theory of tight closure of Hochster and Huneke [14], and it has been proven by Rees [19] for the Koszul complex in any characteristic.
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1. The History of the Isomorphism Problem

The modern theory of groups originated with the treatments of Galois (1811–1832), Cauchy (1789–1857) and Serret (1819–1885) on finite discontinuous substitution groups. That is permutation groups, or group actions on sets, to use modern language. At that time the theory of abstract groups was only little developed. So it was natural next to study abstract groups by letting them act on sets or linearly on vector spaces. Time has shown that studying linear actions of groups on vector spaces is a much richer theory than letting groups act on sets, since one can invoke the arithmetic of the general linear groups.

In this spirit W. Burnside, F. G. Frobenius and later I. Schur developed the ordinary (complex) representation theory of finite groups in the years 1896–1910.

In modern language, the idea of Frobenius, Burnside and Schur was to study homomorphisms

$$\varphi : G \to GL(n, R) ,$$

(1)
of $G$ into $(n \times n)$-matrices over the commutative ring $R$ and use the informations on the matrix groups $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ to obtain informations on the abstract finite group $G$; originally $R$ was the ring of complex numbers. (Strictly speaking Burnside and Frobenius, especially, only worked with traces of these matrices.)

An important demonstration of the power of complex representation theory, where one did use the arithmetical properties of $\text{Im}(\varphi) \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, was Burnside's Theorem (1911): A finite group, whose order involves at most two different primes is solvable.

In modern times a purely group theoretical proof was found, though a theorem of Frobenius of the same spirit has never been proved without representation theory.

Modular representation theory – i.e. representations in $GL(n, K)$, where $K$ is a field such that $\text{char}(K)$ divides the order of the group – lay dormant until R. Brauer in 1935 – at the suggestion of I. Schur – developed the theory further, using ring theory, which enters as follows: Given a representation

$$\varphi : G \to GL(n, R) \subseteq \text{Mat}(n, R) ,$$

$$g \mapsto \varphi(g) .$$
Since $\text{Mat}(n,R)$, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over $R$, is an $R$-algebra, we may associate with $\varphi$ the $R$-algebra

$$A_\varphi = \{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g \cdot \varphi(g) | r_g \in R \},$$

(2)
generated by $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ over $R$. Studying the representation $\varphi$ is tantamount to studying the $R$-algebra $A_\varphi$. The universal such $R$-algebra is the group ring, consisting of formal linear combinations,

$$RG = \{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g | r_g \in G \};$$

(3)
addition is componentwise, and the $R$-linear multiplication is induced from the multiplication in $G$. This group ring maps onto each of the various $A_\varphi$. The original motivation of Burnside, Frobenius, Schur and Brauer can thus be rephrased as the question:

Which properties of the finite group $G$ are reflected in $RG$?

Already in early time it was known, that $C_G$ does not reflect all properties of $G$, since finite abelian groups $G$ and $H$ have isomorphic complex group algebras if and only if $|G| = |H|$.

However, if one considers the group rings $KG$ for all fields $K$, then the various isomorphism types of abelian groups can be distinguished by their group algebras. That this is not so in general was shown only in 1971 by E. Dade:

**Theorem 1** (Dade [D]). There are two non-isomorphic finite metabelian groups $G$ and $H$ of order $p^6 \cdot q^6$, $p$ and $q$ different primes, such that $KG \simeq KH$ for every field $K$.

It is still an open

**Problem 2.** Let $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$, and let $G$ and $H$ be $p$-groups, such that $KG \simeq KH$. Is then $G \simeq H$?

Very little is known here, except, that – by computer-analysis – for 2-groups of order $\leq 2^6$, the problem has a positive answer [Pas] and [RS4].

Now the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is the universal commutative ring, and if for two finite groups the integral group rings $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $\mathbb{Z}H$ are isomorphic, then $RG \simeq RH$ for every commutative ring. Thus we come to

**Problem 3** (Isomorphism Problem). Assume that for two finite groups the integral group rings $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $\mathbb{Z}H$ are isomorphic. Is then $G \simeq H$?

A positive answer would imply that representation theory – especially, the integral representation theory of a finite group would determine the group up to isomorphism. This issue has a fundamental appeal in and of itself, aside from the original motivation by Burnside and Frobenius. There is a huge literature [Sa].

The rational group algebra, say for a $p$-group $G$ of odd order, has the form:

$$\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q}^+ \prod \left( \prod_{i=1}^\nu \text{Mat}(n_i, K_i) \right),$$
where $K_i$ are algebraic number fields, $1 \leq i \leq v$, and $Q^+$ comes from the trivial representation.

The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ is then in general a subring of finite index in

$$\mathbb{Z}G \subset \mathbb{Z}^+ \prod_{i=1}^v \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n_i} \text{Mat}(n_i, R_l) \right),$$

(4)

where $R_l$ are the algebraic integers in the number fields $K_i$, $1 \leq i \leq v$.

### 2. Major Results Until 1980

The isomorphism problem was first considered by Graham Higman, a student of G. Whitehead, in his thesis in 1939. One of his most spectacular results was:

**Theorem 4** (G. Higman [Hi]). Let $u$ be a unit of finite order in $\mathbb{Z}A$ for a finite abelian group $A$. Then $u = \pm a$ for some group element $a \in A$.

The proof uses the fact, that the group ring of a finite group $G$ over the commutative ring $R$ is not only an augmented $R$-algebra, with augmentation

$$\mathbb{Z}_G : \Sigma_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g \to \Sigma_{g \in G} r_g,$$

(5)

but also a Hopf-algebra with antipode

$$*_{G} : \Sigma_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g \to \Sigma_{g \in G} r_g \cdot g^{-1}.$$ 

(6)

Let now — more generally — $G$ be a finite group and $u \in \mathbb{Z}G$ a central unit of finite order $n$. (We shall here only deal with the case, where $n$ is odd.) Then $u^* = *_{G}(u)$ is also a central unit of order $n$, as well as $v = u \cdot u^*$ (note that $u$ is central), which is fixed under $*_{G}$, and hence must be equal to 1. Now the coefficient of 1 in $v$ is $1 = \Sigma z_g^2$. Since $z_g \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $u = \pm g_0$ for some $g_0 \in G$.

Though this argument has heavily used the fact that the ring of coefficients is $\mathbb{Z}$, the result is still valid for any integral domain $R$ of characteristic zero, in which no rational prime divisor of $|G|$ is invertible. We shall call such a ring $G$-adapted.

**Definition 5.** $U(RG)$ stands for the units in $RG$ and

$$V(RG) = \{ u \in U(RG) \mid \varepsilon_G(u) = 1 \}$$

are the units of augmentation 1.

Then $U(RG) = V(RG) \times U(R)$.

Let us turn to our aim, the isomorphism Problem 4:

If $RG = RH$, then $H \subset U(RG)$, in general however, it will not be contained in $V(RG)$. But this can easily be remedied: Replace $H$ by $H' = \{ h \cdot \varepsilon_G(h)^{-1} \mid h \in H \}$. Then $H \simeq H'$ and $RH = RH'$; moreover, $H' \subset V(RG)$.

Thus from now on we will always assume that an equality of group rings $RG = RH$ is always augmented; i.e. $H \subset V(RG)$.
Higman's result then says that the abelian group $A$ is unique in $\mathbb{Z}G$ as augmented group of units. This result is a very elegant positive answer to the isomorphism problem.

Actually, Higman, in his 1939 thesis “Units in group rings”, was the first to speculate about the isomorphism problem: “Whether it is possible for two non isomorphic groups to have isomorphic group rings I do not know; but the results ... (here) ... suggest that it is unlikely”. So, Higman was a bit in favor of a positive answer to the isomorphism problem.

In this connection I should also mention Richard Brauer, who in his “Harvard Lecture on Modern Mathematics, Representations of finite groups” in 1963 [Br] listed the isomorphism problem as one of the important open questions in representation theory; however, he did not commit himself in either direction. Contrary to Hans Zassenhaus, who firmly believes in a positive answer to the isomorphism problem and even made a much stronger conjecture in 1974 [Za] (cf. below).

The isomorphism problem, $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ as augmented algebras, appears in a new light, if we assume that $G = H$ (cf. (5)).

Proposition 6 (Banachevski [Ba]). Let $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ as augmented algebras and assume that both rings have the same antipode i.e. $*G = *H$. Then $G = H$ in $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$.

The proof is in the same spirit as the proof of Higman's result.

Given an equality of augmented algebras $RG = RH$. Then the elements $\{g \mid g \in G\}$ form a finite subgroup in $V(RH)$, consisting of $R$-linearly independent elements. S. D. Berman [Ber, Sak] has observed, that the linear independence is often automatic for $G$-adapted rings. This result allows to phrase the isomorphism problem differently:

Problem 7. Let $U$ be a finite subgroup in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, with $|G| = |U|$. Is then $U \simeq G$?

In order to gain more insight into the structure of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, one should even ask: How is $U$ embedded in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$? G. Higman gave the answer for abelian $G$. However, in general, one can not expect that $U = G$, since $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ need not be abelian and $G$ need not be normal in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Moreover, even for the dihedral group $D$ of order 8, there exists a unit $u \in \mathbb{Q}D \setminus V(\mathbb{Z}D)$, such that $D \neq u \cdot D \cdot u^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}D$, and this conjugation is not inner in $\mathbb{Z}D$.

In this connection, H. Zassenhaus [Za] made a far reaching conjecture:

Zassenhaus Conjecture. Let $U \leq V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a finite subgroup with $|V| = |G|$. Then there exists a unit $a \in \mathbb{Q}G$ with

$$a \cdot V \cdot a^{-1} = G. \quad (7)$$

A direct extension of Higman's result for $G$ a finite group and $R$ $G$-adapted is given by
Theorem 8 (Berman [Ber], Glauberman (Passman [Pa]), Saksonov [Sak]). Let $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ as augmented algebras and let

$$K_g = \sum_{x \in G/C_G(g)} x^g$$

be a class sum in $G$ — $C_G(g)$ denotes the centralizer of $g$ in $G$. Then $K_g = K_h$ is a class sum in $R^H$.

An immediate consequence is:

Corollary 9. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$, and let $RG = RH$ as augmented algebras. Then in $RG = RH$ we have $\sum_{n \in N} n = \sum_{m \in M} m$ for a normal subgroup $M$ in $H$.

Using the above result, we can reformulate the Zassenhaus conjecture:

**Isomorphism Problem over the Class Sums:** $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ implies that there exists an isomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow H$ inducing the class sum correspondence; i.e. for $g \in G$,

$$K_g = K_{\chi(g)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The next result pushes the isomorphism problem further to metabelian groups:

Theorem 10 (Whitcomb [Wh], Jackson [J] 1968). Assume that $G$ is metabelian with a normal abelian subgroup $A$ and $G/A$ abelian.

If $RG = RH$, then $G \cong H$ for $R$ a $G$-adapted ring.

This follows easily from Higman’s result and by considering the “small group ring” $RG/(I(A) \cdot I(G) \cdot RG)$. Here we have denoted for a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ by $I(N) \cdot RG$, the augmentation ideal of $N$, the kernel of the natural map $RG \rightarrow RG/N$. We note that the proof does not give any information of how $G$ is embedded into $V(RH)$.

The following result was in 1989 obtained by Kimmerle, Lyons and Sandling, using the classification of finite simple groups:

Theorem 11 [KLS]. Let $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ as augmented algebras, and let

$$1 = N_0 < \ldots < N_t = G$$

be a chief series of $G$. Then $H$ has a chief series of the same length, and both have isomorphic chief factors.

This shows in particular that finite simple groups are determined by their integral group rings – most of them though are already determined by their order.
3. Recent Progress

When, in 1980, we started to consider the isomorphism problem, the Zassenhaus conjecture was at first a tempting target for a counterexample. At that time we were not successful, and eventually started to believe, that the Zassenhaus conjecture might be true for certain classes of groups; in fact, it was a guide for our work on $p$-groups.

Roughly speaking, the Zassenhaus conjecture states, that there are only few automorphisms of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ of the finite group $G$. After having done plenty of calculations, we were looking for some heuristic evidence for the Zassenhaus conjecture. Borrowing ideas from Lie theory we found it in the fact (first noted by us, though the proof is easy), that every derivation $\delta : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G$ is inner.

One might even go one step further than Zassenhaus and ask – this problem was briefly touched upon by Berman and Rossa [BR] for complete rings, like the $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$:

**Problem 12** (Conjugacy Problem). If $V(\mathbb{Z}_p G) = V(\mathbb{Z}_p H)$, are $G$ and $H$ conjugate in $V(\mathbb{Z}_p G)$, provided $G$ is a $p$-group?

### 3.1 Progress on the Isomorphism Problem

The next results were obtained with Leonard Scott in 1985 [RS1] and 1987 [RS3]:

**Theorem 13.** Let $G$ be a finite group such that there exists an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1,$$

where $A$ is abelian and $N$ is nilpotent. If $\mathbb{Z}G \simeq \mathbb{Z}H$, then $G$ is isomorphic to $H$. (More generally, we can replace $N$ by a product of $p_i$-constrained groups $G_i$ with $O_{p_i}(G_i) = 1$ of relatively prime order, with slight restrictions on $A$.)

**Remark.** For a solvable group $G$ the above implies:

a) For every prime $p$, the group $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is determined by $\mathbb{Z}G$, where $O_{p'}(G)$ is the largest normal subgroup of $G$ of order prime to $p$.

b) The Sylow subgroups of $G$ are determined – up to isomorphism – by $\mathbb{Z}G$. It was shown in [KR], that for $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}H$ there exists for each prime $p$ and a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$ a unit $a(p) \in \mathbb{Q}G$ such that $a(p) \cdot P \cdot a(p)^{-1}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $H$.

### 3.2 Drawbacks and Progress on the Zassenhaus Conjecture

Let us recall, that the Zassenhaus conjecture (7) implies that the action of every automorphisms $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$ on the center of $\mathbb{Z}G$ can be compensated there by a group automorphism.
Theorem 14. The Zassenhaus conjecture is true for the following classes of groups:

(i) $G$ is nilpotent. (It has been reported to us, that in this case A. Weiss has shown that for every finite subgroup $U$ of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, there exists a unit $a \in \mathbb{Q}G$ such that $a \cdot U \cdot a^{-1} \subset G$ [We].)

(ii) There exists a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $G$ has a normal $p$-subgroup $P$ with $C_G(P)$ a $p$-group.

On the other hand, the Zassenhaus conjecture is false [RS2, Ro]:

Theorem 15. There exists a finite metabelian - even supersolvable - group $G$, and an automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$, commuting with the augmentation $\varepsilon_G$, such that $\alpha \cdot \varphi$ is not a central automorphism for any group automorphism $\varphi$ of $G$.

Note that the groups in Dade's example (Theorem 1) are metabelian!

3.3 Progress on the Conjugacy Problem

In connection with the possibility, that group bases may be conjugate (cf. conjugacy Problem 12), we have obtained (1985, 1986) the following result [RS3]:

Theorem 16. Let $G$ be a finite group with normal Sylow $p$-subgroup, such that $O_p(G) = 1$. Let $\alpha$ be an augmented automorphism of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G$. Then there exists a unit $u \in V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$, such that $u \cdot G \cdot u^{-1} = \alpha(G)$.

The theorem we have proved is actually much more general: Let $G$ be a finite $p$-constrained group with $O_p(G) = 1$ and let $N = O_p(G)$. If $\alpha$ is an augmented automorphism of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G$, stabilizing $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(N)G$, then $G$ and $\alpha(G)$ are conjugate in $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$. This stability always occurs for $N = O_p(G)$, if $\alpha$ arises from an augmented automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

The result applies in particular to $p$-groups. For the groups in Theorem 16, the Zassenhaus conjecture is true. Our result is so strong, that it allows to compute the Picard group of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}N$ of a nilpotent group $N$ semilocally [RS, R1]. However, the conjugacy problem has a negative answer both for $V(\mathbb{Z}N)$ and for $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p N)$. Our results, and the evidence we have gathered up to now, let it appear reasonable to ask whether $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$ has the

Sylow Property. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group and $U$ a finite subgroup of $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$.

Is $U$ conjugate in $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$ to a subgroup of $G$? (9)

Even more generally, one might formulate some version of this for the principal $p$-block, in the spirit of the defect group question of [S3].

Because of the results in [RS] we know, this is true in case $|U| = |G|$. Theorem 16 can be interpreted as a first step to prove Sylow's theorems for finite subgroups of $V(\mathbb{R}G)$. In an attempt to prove (9) we recalled that a fancy way of proving Sylow's theorems for the finite group $H$ is to show that the spectrum of the cohomology ring $H^*(H, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is connected; this is also true for the unit group.
More precisely, let $V$ be a profinite $p$-group, and denote by $H^*(V, \mathbb{F}_p)$ the continuous (even-dimensional for $p$ odd) cohomology ring of $V$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$, the field with $p$ elements. If the spectrum of $H^*(V, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is connected, we say that the variety of $V$ is connected. We shall write $VC(V, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for the variety of $H^*(V, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Though we could not reach our original goal (in the spirit of [Ca]), we were able to prove for $R$ a complete Dedekind domain of characteristic zero with residue field of characteristic $p$:

**Theorem 17.** The following conditions are equivalent for a $p$-group $G$:

(i) Every finite $p$-subgroup $U$ of $V(RG)$ is conjugate in $V(RG)$ to a subgroup of $G$.

(ii) For every $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$, the natural inclusion

$$N_G(P)/P \rightarrow N_{V(RG)}(P)/P$$

induces a continuous map

$$VC(N_G(P)/P, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow VC(N_{V(RG)}(P)/P, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

which is a bijection.

(iii) The variety of $N_{V(RG)}(P)/P$ is connected for every $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$.

(In the statement of the result we have used $N_A(B)$ to denote the normalizer of $B$ in $A$.)

We want to point out, that the statements (i) and (iii) are not true in general for profinite $p$-groups; in fact we have examples of unit groups of orders, where (i) is false. It would be interesting to have a group theoretical criterion for when (iii) is true for a profinite $p$-group $V$ and $P = 1$.

Let us return to a discussion of (9). Some years ago we found a proof of (9) for $G$ a 2-group [R1]. Later on we were able to handle groups of order $p^3$, and we developed a sketch of the proof in the general $p$-group case in Nov. 1985 [S1]. Since in this proof we had not worked out all the details, we only made at the Arcata-meeting in 1986 the conjecture, that (9) is true for $p$-groups. (In the meantime, Gary Thompson, a student of Leonard Scott, has worked out the details in [S1, Th].) In October 1986 we learnt that Al Weiss from the University of Alberta [W] had a different proof of:

**Theorem 18** (Subgroup Rigidity Theorem). Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group, and $U$ a finite subgroup of $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$. Then $U$ is conjugate in $V(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G)$ to a subgroup of $G$.

### 4. Some Remarks to the Proof of Theorem 16

Questions about isomorphisms of group rings reduce to questions of automorphisms by Kimmerle’s trick [Ki, RS]. The main result, Theorem 16, is a consequence of the following statement: Let $G$ be a finite group and $R$ an unramified extension of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. Put $N = O_p(G)$.
Theorem 19. Assume that $C_G(N) \subset N$ and let $\alpha$ be an augmented automorphism of $RG$ with $\alpha(N) \subset I_R(N) \cdot G$ – the induced augmentation ideal of $N$. Then $\alpha(G)$ is conjugate to $G$ in the units of $RG$.

Remark. 1. It turns out, that in the proof of Theorem 19 we do not really require that $\alpha$ stabilizes $I_R(N) \cdot G$, but only that it stabilizes $I_F(N) \cdot G$, where $F = R/\text{rad } R$.

2. We do not know, whether the stabilization of either ideal in 1.) is automatic for an augmented automorphism $\alpha$ of $RG$. This is indeed the case when $N$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup, since then $RG/N$ is the largest $R$-algebra homomorphic image of $RG$, which is torsionfree and whose reduction over the residue field $F$ of $R$ is semi-simple. The obstruction lies in the fact, that we do not know, whether a class sum correspondence holds for classes of $p$-power elements in $RG$.

3. The Theorem 19 is likely to be true for any complete discrete valuation domain of characteristic zero with residue field of characteristic $p$, assuming appropriate generalizations of the results of Weiss [W], which have been obtained by Gary Thompson [Th] and in [R].

Corollary 20. Let $G$ be a $p$-constrained group such that $O_p'(G) = 1$ for some prime $p$. Then the isomorphism problem and the Zassenhaus conjecture have a positive answer for $RG$. (Any two normalized group bases are even $p$-adically conjugate.)

Corollary 21. Let $G$ be a finite group with a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup for some prime $p$. Then the defect group of the principal $R$-block of $G$ — $R$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ — is uniquely determined, up to conjugacy in the block, by the principal block, (i.e., if $\alpha: RG \to RG$ is an augmented automorphism, then $\alpha(P)$ and $P$ are conjugate in the principal block).

This result was extended by L. L. Scott in [S3], who proved it for a finite group with a cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroup, which is a T.I. set.

We shall now state the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 19. There are three main ingredients in the proof. The first one, which we already proved in April 1986 is:

Theorem 22. $G$ is a $p$-constrained group with $P$ a Sylow $p$-subgroup. $R$ is a complete Dedekind domain of characteristic zero with $pR \neq R$. Let $\alpha$ be a normalized automorphism of the principal block $B_0 = R[G/O_p'(G)]$ of $RG$ – note that $B_0$ is an augmented algebra, the augmentation being induced from that of $RG$. Assume that $\alpha$ stabilizes the image of $P$ in $B_0$; i.e. $\alpha(P) = P$ in $B_0$. Then $\alpha|_P$ — the restriction of $\alpha$ to $P$ — is induced from an automorphism $\beta$ of $G/O_p'(G)$, such that the automorphism induced from $\beta$ on $B_0$ agrees with $\alpha$ up to inner automorphisms centralizing $P$.

The proof is based on the following two results:

Lemma 23 (Generalized Coleman-Ward Result [C,W]). Let $G$ be a finite group, $P$ a $p$-subgroup of $G$, and $S$ an integral domain, in which $p$ is not invertible. Let $V = V(SG)$. Then we have for the normalizers

$$N_V(P) = N_G(P) \cdot C_V(P).$$
We shall be using the following notation: Given an automorphism $\alpha$ of $RG$, and an $RG$-bimodule $M$, we denote by $\alpha M$ the bimodule, which has the original action on the right, but the left action is twisted by $\alpha$, i.e. $x \cdot m \cdot y = \alpha(x)my$, $x, y \in RG$, $m \in M$.

**Lemma 24.** $R$ is a complete Dedekind domain of characteristic zero with $pR \neq R$, $G$ is a finite group and $B$ a block of $RG$ with defect group $D$. Let $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $B$, which stabilizes the image of $D$ in $B$, $b$ is the Brauer correspondent in $N = N_G(D)$ to $B$. Then the Green correspondent to the twisted module $\alpha B_1$ on $G \times G$, is $\beta B_1$ on $N \times N$ for some automorphism $\beta$ of $RN$. Moreover, $\alpha|_D = \beta|_D$, where we regard $D \subset b \subset B$.

The second main ingredient is the following: Let $R$ be an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with residue field $F$. Let $N$ be a normal $p$-subgroup of a finite group $G$, and assume $C_G(N) \subset N$.

**Theorem 25.** Let $\alpha$ be an augmented automorphism of $RG$, with $N$ and $G$ as above, and assume that $\alpha$ stabilizes the ideal $I_F(N) \cdot G$ in $FG$. Then $\alpha(N)$ is conjugate to $N$ by a unit of $RG$.

The third main ingredient is an extension of results of Weiss [W] combined with a criterion on the indecomposability of permutation modules, which is the main tool to show that group bases are conjugate. We let $R$ be an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the $p$-adic integers. $F = R/pR$ is the residue field of $R$, and we denote by $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ the natural projection from $R$ to $F$, and also for any $R$-module $X$ we put $\bar{X} = X/pX$.

**Theorem 26.** Assume that $P$ and $Q$ are $p$-groups. Let $M$ be an $R(P \times Q)$-module (with the action $(x, y) \cdot m = x \cdot m \cdot y^{-1}$, $x \in P$, $y \in Q$, $m \in M$), which is free of finite rank as $RQ$-module. If $M/(M \cdot I_R(Q))$ is a permutation module for $FP$, then $\bar{M}$ is a permutation module for $F(P \oplus Q)$.

The next result is the place where we use that $C_G(N) \subset N$.

**Lemma 27.** Suppose $C_G(N) \subset N$. Then the $F(N \times G)$-module $FG$ — with the action $(n, g) \cdot x = n \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}$, $n \in N$, $g \in G$, $x \in FG$ — is absolutely indecomposable. Moreover, the same is true if the action of $N$ on the left is twisted by an augmented $F$-algebra automorphism $\alpha$ of $FG$, or by a group automorphism $\varphi$ of $N$ — i.e., $(n, g) \cdot x = \varphi(n) \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}$ for $n \in N$, $g \in G$ and $x \in FG$.

We shall next come to the proof of the “Subgroup Rigidity Theorem” 18 as it was generalized from [W] in [R]. $R$ will be a complete Dedekind domain of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the $p$-adic integers, $K$ is the field of fractions of $R$ and $\text{rad}(R) = \pi \cdot R$. $G$ is a finite $p$-group. Theorem 18 is an immediate consequence of the following result, which generalizes and was inspired by the work of Al Weiss [W]:

**Theorem 28.** Let $M$ be an $RG$-lattice, and let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Assume that
1. $M\downarrow_N$ is a free $RN$-module,
2. $M/(I(N) \cdot M)$ is a permutation module for $G/N$.

Then $M$ is an $RG$-permutation module.

The next result plays a major role in the proof of Theorem 19, though it is also of interest for its own sake. A generalized permutation module is a direct sum of modules induced from one dimensional representations of subgroups.

**Theorem 29** [R]. 1. Assume that $K$ is a splitting field for $G$ and all of its subgroups. If $\zeta$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity in $R$, then we require $\pi^i \cdot R \subseteq (1-\zeta) \cdot R$. An $RG$-lattice $M$ is a generalized permutation module if and only if $M/\pi^i$ is a generalized permutation module.

2. If $\pi^i \cdot R \not\subseteq (1-\zeta) \cdot R$, then there is an $RG$-lattice $M$, which is not a generalized permutation module, but $M/\pi^i$ is a generalized permutation module.

Let us point out, where the restrictive condition on $R/\pi^i$ becomes apparent:

**Lemma 30.** Let $R$ be a complete Dedekind domain of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The induced map

$$\text{Ext}^{1}_{RG}(M,N) \to \text{Ext}^{1}_{R/\pi^i G}(M/\pi^i, N/\pi^i)$$

is injective for all generalized permutation lattices $M$ and $N$ if and only if either $R$ does not contain a primitive $p$-th root of unity or $\pi^i \cdot R \subseteq (1-\zeta) \cdot R$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

**References**


[KLS] Kimmerle, W., R. Lyons, R. Sandling: Composition factors for group rings and Artin’s theorem on orders of simple groups. Preprint 1987


Roggenkamp, K.W., L.L. Scott: The isomorphism theorem for integral group rings of nilpotent by abelian groups. MS, May 86, pp. 1–14 (to be published)

Roggenkamp, K.W., L.L. Scott: On a conjecture on group rings by H. Zassenhaus. MS, March 87, pp. 1–39 (to be published)

Roggenkamp, K.W., L.L. Scott: A strong answer to the isomorphism problem for finite $p$-solvable groups with a normal $p$-subgroup containing its centralizer. MS, 87 (to be published)

Roggenkamp, K.W., L.L. Scott: Automorphisms and non abelian cohomology. MS, 89


Scott, L.L.: Defect groups and the Isomorphism Problem. Preprint 1988


Weiss, A.: Torsion units in integral group rings. MS, 89


The Local to Global Principle in Algebraic $K$-Theory

Robert W. Thomason*

CNRS, U.F.R. de Mathématiques, Université de Paris 7, F-75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

In the early 70s, Quillen [Q] succeeded in finding a good definition of the higher algebraic $K$-groups, generalizing the usual Grothendieck group $K_0$. To a noetherian ring or scheme $X$, his theory associates two distinct series of $K$-groups: $K_*(X)$, the theory associated to the exact category of finitely generated projective modules or algebraic vector bundles on $X$; and $G_*(X)$ or $K'_*(X)$, the theory associated to the abelian category of all finitely generated modules or coherent sheaves on $X$. Quillen's localization theorem for the $K$-theory of abelian categories applies to $G_*(X)$, and enables him to prove many fundamental results for this theory, including invariance of $G_*$ under replacing the ring $R$ by the ring of polynomials $R[T]$ or the scheme $X$ by $X[T]$, a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for a cover of $X$ by two open subschemes, and a Brown-Gersten local-to-global spectral sequence which reduces many $G$-theory problems to the case of local rings. For regular rings or schemes $X$, the existence of finite projective resolutions for all finitely generated modules shows that $K_*(X) = G_*(X)$, so all these good results apply to $K_*(X)$ in the regular case. However if $X$ has singularities, Quillen’s methods give many fewer results about $K_*(X)$. Unfortunately, this case arises often: for example the integral group ring of a non-zero finite group is always singular. The essential difficulty was the lack of the key tool: a good localization theorem for $K$-theory.

The author and Thomas F. Trobaugh have remedied this lack by finding the good localization result, using the techniques of Waldhausen’s “algebraic $K$-theory of spaces” [Wa2] and returning to some ideas of Grothendieck about defining $K$-theory using “perfect complexes” in place of single vector bundles [SGA6]. Using a characterization of perfect complexes, essentially defined as finite complexes of algebraic vector bundles, as being the finitely presented objects in the derived category of modules, we obtain the result that the $K_0$ class is the only obstruction to extension up to quasi-isomorphism of a perfect complex on an open subscheme of $X$ to a perfect complex on all of $X$. This extension result and Waldhausen’s theory give the localization theorem for $K$-theory, Theorem 2.1 below. This in turn unleashes a pack of new fundamental results for $K$-theory, including the Mayer-
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Vietoris exact sequence, the Brown-Gersten local-to-global spectral sequences for both the Zariski and Nisnevich topologies, the generalizations to schemes from rings of the results of Weibel, Ogle, and Goodwillie controlling the failure of $K_*$ to be invariant under polynomial or nilpotent extension or to satisfy Mayer-Vietoris for closed covers, and the isomorphism between mod $l$ algebraic $K$-theory localized by inverting the Bott element and etale topological $K$-theory. Recently Dongyuan Yao has obtained some similar localization results for the $K$-theory of non-commutative rings. Hiding in the background of all this is the fact that the Waldhausen $K$-theory of a category of complexes depends essentially only on the derived category; this idea has been much advanced by work in progress of Giffen and Neeman, which unfortunately I will not discuss below.

§ 1. Perfect Complexes and Waldhausen $K$-Theory

We consider schemes $X$ which are quasi-compact and quasi-separated. Quasi-compact means that $X$ is covered by a finite number of open subschemes which are affine, i.e. isomorphic to Spec($R$) for some commutative rings $R$. Quasi-separated means that the intersection of any two such affines is again quasi-compact. These conditions are indeed very mild, and are met by all algebraic varieties over a field, by all schemes of finite type over a noetherian ring, and by Spec($R$) for any commutative ring $R$.

Recall that a map between two chain complexes in an abelian category is a quasi-isomorphism if it induces an isomorphism on the homology of the complexes. Two complexes $E$ and $F$ are quasi-isomorphic if they are connected by a chain of quasi-isomorphisms

$$E \leadsto A \leadsto \cdots \leadsto F$$

**Definition** (Grothendieck [SGA6]). A perfect complex on a scheme $X$ is a complex $E$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, such that for every affine open $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ in $X$, the restriction $E|U$ is quasi-isomorphic to a finite complex of finitely generated projective $R$-modules.

For $E$ to be perfect, it suffices that $E|U$ be quasi-isomorphic on $U$ to a finite complex of such projective modules for all the $U$ in some affine open cover of $X$. In particular, for $X = \text{Spec}(R)$, the perfect complexes are those quasi-isomorphic to such complexes of projective $R$-modules.

The category of perfect complexes on $X$ is a "category with cofibrations and weak equivalences" in the sense of [Wa2] 1.2, i.e., it is a Waldhausen category. The cofibrations are the maps of complexes which are degree-wise split monomorphisms; the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms. For $Y$ a closed subspace of $X$, there is a full Waldhausen subcategory of those perfect complexes which are cohomologically supported on $Y$; i.e., those which are acyclic on $X - Y$.

The theory of Waldhausen [Wa2] associates higher algebraic $K$-groups to Waldhausen categories: these are the homotopy groups of a space, or even better,
of an infinite loop space or spectrum in the sense of algebraic topology. Let \( K_\bullet(X) \) denote the Waldhausen algebraic \( K \)-groups associated to the category of perfect complexes on \( X \), and \( K(X) \) denote the associated \( K \)-theory spectrum. Let \( K_\bullet(X \text{ on } Y) \) and \( K(X \text{ on } Y) \) denote the \( K \)-groups and spectrum associated to the Waldhausen subcategory of those perfect complexes on \( X \) which are acyclic on \( X - Y \).

\( K_0(X) \) is the Grothendieck group of \([\text{SGA}6]\), generated by quasi-isomorphism classes \([E]\) of perfect complexes on \( X \), modulo an Euler characteristic relation that \([E] = [E'] + [E'']\) whenever one can choose representatives of the quasi-isomorphism classes that fit in a short exact sequence of complexes \( 0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0 \), that is, whenever they are the vertices of an exact triangle in the derived category \( D(X) \) of \( \mathcal{O}_X \)-modules.

Suppose \( X \) satisfies the mild hypothesis of having an "ample family of line bundles" in the sense of \([\text{SGA}6]\) II.2.2.3. This condition is inherited by all subschemes of \( X \) and is satisfied when \( X \) is a commutative ring, or is quasi-projective over a commutative ring, or is a separated regular noetherian scheme. In particular, this condition holds for all classical algebraic varieties, since they are quasi-projective over a field. For a scheme \( X \) with an ample family of line bundles, a global resolution theorem of Illusie ([SGA6] II.2.2.8) says that every perfect complex on \( X \) is globally quasi-isomorphic to a finite complex of algebraic vector bundles defined on all of \( X \). Then the \( K_0(X) \) above is isomorphic to the "naive" Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles on \( X \), and the Waldhausen \( K_\bullet(X) \) are isomorphic to the Quillen \( K \)-groups of \( X \) ([TT2] 3.10, 3.9).

We have an easy to verify but very useful characterization of perfect complexes in terms of the derived category \( D(X) \). Recall that this category is obtained from the category of all chain complexes of \( \mathcal{O}_X \)-modules by inverting the quasi-isomorphisms (see Verdier [Ve]). \( D(X)_{\text{qc}} \) denotes the full subcategory of those complexes whose homology sheaves are quasi-coherent, i.e., which locally on affine subschemes \( \text{Spec}(R) \) are isomorphic to \( R \)-modules. \( D^+(D)_{\text{qc}} \) is the further subcategory of complexes \( E \) such that the homology sheaves \( H_k(E) \) are 0 for all \( k \) sufficiently large.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \( X \) be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Let \( E \) be an object of \( D^+(X)_{\text{qc}} \). Then the following are equivalent:

a) \( E \) is a perfect complex

b) For any direct system of complexes \( F_\alpha \) with quasi-coherent homologies, the canonical map is an isomorphism of morphism sets:

\[
\text{colim}_\alpha D(X)(E, F_\alpha) \cong D(X)(E, \text{colim}_\alpha F_\alpha)
\]

c) The functor \( D(X)(E, \text{ ) sends infinite direct sums in } D^+(X)_{\text{qc}} \) to direct sums in the category of abelian groups.

**Proof.** [TT2] 2.4.3 yields that a) iff b). That b) implies c) is clear, and that c) implies b) follows from a demonstration dual to that of [T3] 2.6. □

Comparing condition b) with Grothendieck's characterization of finitely presented modules or algebras \( A \) over a ring or scheme as those such that \( \text{Mor}(A, \)
preserves direct colimits ([EGA] IV 8.14), we see this proposition characterizes perfect complexes as finitely presented objects in the derived category. This suggests interesting generalizations. Thus, the “perfect complexes” in the stable homotopy category turn out to be the homotopy finite cell spectra: they have an associated $K$-theory, which turns out to be Waldhausen’s $A(pt)$ ([Wa1] § 1). The proposition also suggests that we could apply the yoga for extending finitely presented objects from an open subscheme to all of $X$ ([EGA] I.6.9). This suggestion leads to:

**Key Proposition 1.2.** Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, $j: U \to X$ the inclusion of a quasi-compact open subscheme, and $F$ a perfect complex on $U$. Then $F$ is quasi-isomorphic to the restriction $j^*E$ of a perfect complex on $X$ if and only if the class $[F]$ of $F$ in $K_0(U)$ is in the image of $j^* : K_0(X) \to K_0(U)$.

**Proof.** [TT2] 5.2.2 The basic idea is to write the complex with quasi-coherent homology $Rj_*(F)$ on $X$ as quasi-isomorphic to a direct colimit of perfect complexes, $\operatorname{colim} E_a \cong Rj_*(F)$. Then on $U$, $F$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\operatorname{colim} j^*E_a$. As $F$ is perfect, Proposition 1.1 shows that this quasi-isomorphism factors through some $j^*E_a$. Thus $F$ is quasi-isomorphic to a summand $o\operatorname{j}^*E_a$, the restriction of a perfect complex $E_a$ on $X$. This is the miracle for general $U$, although it would be trivial for affine $U$. The next step, more ordinary, is to show that given a morphism on $U$ between restrictions of perfect complexes, $j^*E_1 \to j^*E_2$, one can extend this to a morphism $E_1 \to E_2$ on $X$ after replacing the old $E_1$ by another perfect complex whose restriction to $U$ is quasi-isomorphic to that of the old one. An induction and excision reduces this to the case where $X$ has an ample family of line bundles. If $X - U$ is a divisor, one succeeds after replacing $E_1$ by its tensor product with a power of the line bundle of the divisor. For $X - U$ general, one succeeds after replacing $E_1$ by its tensor power with the positive degree part of a Koszul complex of line bundles for divisors whose intersection is $X - U$. From this extension result on morphisms, it follows that if 2 out of 3 terms in an exact triangle of perfect complexes in $D(U)$ extend, so does the third. We now adapt an idea of Grayson from cofinality theory: consider the abelian monoid of quasi-isomorphism classes of perfect complexes on $U$, and take the quotient monoid by setting equal to 0 the classes that are restrictions of perfect complexes on $X$; using the preceding sentence one sees that the class of a perfect complex on $U$ is zero in this quotient if and only if it extends to a perfect complex on $X$. The miracle above shows this monoid has inverses, and so is an abelian group. We see from the above that this obstruction group to extension satisfies the Euler characteristic relation for exact triangles, and thus in fact that it is the quotient of $K_0(U)$ by the image of $K_0(X)$.

In particular, this key Proposition gives a $K_0$-criterion for an extending up to quasi-isomorphism an algebraic vector bundle on $U$ to a perfect complex on $X$, that is, to a finite complex of algebraic vector bundles on $X$ in the usual case where $X$ has an ample family. No similar criterion is possible for the question of extension to a single vector bundle on $X$: it is for this reason that it is necessary to go to the framework of perfect complexes and leave the framework of algebraic vector
bundes to prove a good localization theorem for algebraic $K$-theory. The impossibility of such a criterion was noted by Serre ([Se] 5a), who observed that as the tangent vector bundle to the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ is not a sum of line bundles, its preimage on $\mathbb{A}^3 - 0$ is a vector bundle which is not free, and which does not extend to a vector bundle on $\mathbb{A}^3$, even though $K_0(\mathbb{A}^3) \to K_0(\mathbb{A}^3 - 0) = \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism, and though this vector bundle on $\mathbb{A}^3 - 0$ is the quotient of a monomorphism of free vector bundles on $\mathbb{A}^3 - 0$, which of course do extend. The vast literature on the Serre conjecture contains many negative and a few special positive results on the problem of extending to vector bundles on $X$ for $X$ regular: one may consult the articles of Horrocks, Swan, Murthy, Vasserstein, Bass, M. Kumar, Suslin, and Quillen on this conjecture. Very few have dared to say anything about the case where $X$ is singular.

§ 2. The Localization Theorem and Its Basic Consequences

**Theorem 2.1 (Localization Theorem)** Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, and let $Y$ be a closed subspace of $X$ such that $X - Y$ is quasi-compact. Then there is a natural homotopy fibre sequence, and an associated long exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$K(X \text{ on } Y) \to K(X) \to K(X - Y)$$

$$\cdots \to K_n(X \text{ on } Y) \to K_n(X) \to K_n(X - Y) \to K_{n-1}(X \text{ on } Y) \to$$

**Proof.** [TT2] 7.4. For $n \geq 0$, this results easily from the Key Proposition above and Waldhausen's fibration and approximation theorems [Wa2] 1.6.4 and 1.6.7. As the map $K_0(X) \to K_0(X - Y)$ is not always surjective in the singular case, it is necessary to construct new non-zero negative $K$-groups $K_n$ for $n < 0$ to continue the exact sequence to the right, and to replace the spectrum $K(X)$ by a new non-connective spectrum of which the Waldhausen $K$-theory spectrum is a covering space. This is done by following an inductive procedure due to Bass [B], which depends on the part of the localization theorem already proved for higher $n$, and which uses the exact sequence of 2.2 to inductively define $K_{n-1}$ for $n \leq 0$ given $K_n$. □

The special case of 2.1 where $X - Y$ is affine and $Y$ is a Cartier divisor is due to Quillen [Gr] (at least in light of [TT2] 5.7 which identifies $K(X \text{ on } Y)$ with Quillen's third term $K(HY, X)$ in this case). Painful efforts to squeeze a bit more out of Quillen's method have been made by Levine [Le3]. The counterexample of Deligne given in Gersten's paper ([Ge] §7) shows the limits of an exact category approach to localization.

**Corollary 2.2 (Bass Fundamental Theorem).** Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Denote by $X[T]$ the scheme $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 = X \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[T]$, and similarly by $X[T^{-1}]$ another polynomial extension of $X$, and by $X[T, T^{-1}]$ the Laurent polynomial extension. Then there is a natural exact sequence for all integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:
Similarly for $K_n(X \times Y)$.

Proof. [TT2] 7.5. This is proved by descending induction on $n$ using the formula of Quillen ([Q] § 8) that $K_n(\mathbb{P}^1_X) \cong K_n(X) \oplus K_n(X)$, and the Mayer-Vietoris Corollary 2.3 to the localization theorem applied to the cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_X$ by $X[T]$ and $X[T^{-1}]$ with intersection $X[T, T^{-1}]$. For $X$ affine and $n \leq 1$, the result is indeed due to Bass [B]; and for $X$ affine and $n \geq 2$, it is due to Quillen [Gr].

Corollary 2.3 (Mayer-Vietoris Theorem). Let $U, V$ be two quasi-compact open subschemes of a quasi-separated scheme $X$. Then there is a homotopy cartesian square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K(U \cup V) & \longrightarrow & K(U) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K(V) & \longrightarrow & K(U \cap V)
\end{array}
\]

and an associated long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

\[\cdots \to K_n(U \cup V) \to K_n(U) \oplus K_n(V) \to K_n(U \cap V) \to K_{n-1}(U \cup V) \to \cdots\]

Proof. [TT2] 8.1. The localization theorem calculates the homotopy fibres of the horizontal maps in the square, and an easy excision result shows they are equivalent, as $U$ is an open neighborhood of $Y = (U \cup V) - V = U - (U \cap V)$ in $U \cup V$.

Theorem 2.4 (Brown-Gersten or Local-to-Global Spectral Sequence, Spectral Sequence of Cohomological Descent). Let $X$ be a noetherian scheme of finite dimension. Then there is a strongly converging spectral sequence whose $E_2$ term is the cohomology of $X$ for the Zariski topology with coefficients in the sheaf associated to the presheaf of $K$-groups:

\[E_2^{p,-q} = H^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, K_q) \Rightarrow K_{q-p}(X)\]

In fact, the augmentation map to the Zariski hypercohomology spectrum ([T1] §1) is a homotopy equivalence identifying this spectral sequence to the canonical hypercohomology spectral sequence.

\[K(X) \simeq \mathbb{H}(X_{\text{Zar}}, K)\]

One has the same results after replacing the Zariski topology by the slightly finer Nisnevich topology ([N] § 1, [TT2] Appendix E).

Proof. [TT2] 10.3, 10.8. In the Zariski case, Brown and Gersten showed this would follow from Mayer-Vietoris [BG], and proved the case for regular $X$ or for $G$-theory. The argument for the Nisnevich topology is similar, but needs a slightly stronger excision result that $K(X \times Y)$ is unchanged by replacing $X$ by its henselization along $Y$. Nisnevich [N] proved the Nisnevich case for regular $X$ or for $G$-theory. Weibel [We4] proved the theorem in the case $X$ has isolated singular points, using
ideas of Collino and Pedrini. We note that the Nisnevich topology plays a big role in the work of Kato and Saito [KS], who call it the henselian topology.

2.5 This spectral sequence reduces many problems to the case of local rings for the appropriate topology, since the stalks of the coefficient sheaves are the values of $K_q$ at these local rings. For the Zariski topology, these are the local rings of $X$ in the usual sense. For the Nisnevich topology, the local rings are the hensel local rings of $X$, the henselizations of the usual local rings. It is a theorem of Gabber [Ga], completing ideas of Suslin, that for $R_m$ a hensel local ring and $n$ an integer such that $1/n \in R_m$, then the reduction map to the residue field, $R_m \rightarrow R/m$, induces an isomorphism on mod $n$ $K$-theory, at least in positive degrees, $K/n_*(R_m) \cong K/n_*(R/m)$. Thus many problems for mod $n$ $K$-theory reduce to the case of fields. For example, this reduction plays an essential role in the proof of the étale cohomological descent Theorem 4.1 below.

2.6 In the previously known case where $X$ is a regular scheme of finite type over a field $k$, this spectral sequence has interesting relations with classical intersection theory because of the formula for $A^p(X)$, the Chow group of codimension $p$ algebraic cycles on $X$, inspired by Gersten, proved by Quillen ([Q] §7.5), and ascribed to Bloch (who in fact did prove the case $p = 2$, the case $p = 1$ being due to Cartier): $A^p(X) = H^p(X; K_p)$. As Nisnevich observed, this works either for the Zariski or the Nisnevich topology. It would be interesting to have a geometric interpretation of $H^p(X; K_p)$ and a relation to some kind of intersection theory in the singular case. Some work on this has been done by Collino [Co], Gillet [Gi], Levine [Le1, Le2, LeW], Pedrini [PW1, PW2], Weibel, and Barbieri Viale [Vi]. In the singular case, the Zariski and Nisnevich cohomologies are different, and there is some evidence that the Nisnevich cohomology works better.

2.7 The hard-core algebraist may have been wondering if all this scheme business gives anything new for the $K$-theory of ordinary commutative rings. The answer is that it does. For the proof of spectral sequence 2.4 for the case of a noetherian ring $A$, $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, and thus the reduction of problems about $K(A)$ to the case of local rings, depends on an induction which requires considering arbitrary open subschemes $U$ of $\text{Spec}(A)$, not all of which will be affine when the dimension of $A$ is greater than one. This is one of the reasons why Quillen's localization result with its hypothesis that $X - Y$ be affine did not suffice to prove Theorem 2.4 for noetherian rings. I also offer the following two new results:

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $R$ be a commutative ring, and let $r, s \in R$ be two elements such that the ideal generated by $r$ and $s$ is all $R$. Then there is a long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[1/r]) \oplus K_n(R[1/s]) \rightarrow K_n(R[1/rs]) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(R) \rightarrow \cdots.
$$

**Proof.** This is a special case of 2.3 with $U = \text{Spec}(R[1/r])$ and $V = \text{Spec}(R[1/s])$. Since the ideal $(r, s)$ is all of $R$, $\text{Spec}(R) = U \cup V$. The result would follow from
Quillen's localization theorem [Gr] under the additional hypothesis that either $r$ or $s$ is not a zero-divisor in $R$, but this hypothesis is often embarrassing, e.g. in the case of group rings.

**Proposition 2.9** (Weibel). Let $R$ be a commutative ring, and $r \in R$. Denote by $R_r^\wedge$ the completion $\lim_k R/r^k R$. Then there is an exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\cdots \to K_n(R) \to K_n(R_r^\wedge) \oplus K_n(R[1/r]) \to K_n(R_r^\wedge[1/r]) \to K_{n-1}(R) \to \cdots$$

**Proof.** This follows from 2.1 and an excision result that $K(R$ on $R/r) \approx K(R_r^\wedge$ on $R_r^\wedge/r)$, which Weibel observed could be proved by the method of [TT2] 2.6.3 and 3.19. $\square$

§ 3. Generalizations to Schemes of Some Results Known in the Affine Case

The Mayer-Vietoris Theorem 2.3 often permits one to generalize results known for affine schemes to general quasi-compact separated schemes $X$, by inducting on the number of affines in an open cover of $X$. (A second induction on the number of quasi-affines needed to cover $X$ then relaxes the hypothesis of separation to that of quasi-separation). Work of Weibel [We1, We2, We3, We5], Ogle [Og, OW], Geller, Goodwillie [Go], Soulé [So], Staffeldt [Sta], van der Kallen [vdK], and Vorst [Vo] have produced many results controlling the failure of $K$-theory of rings to be invariant under polynomial or nilpotent extensions, and the failure of Mayer-Vietoris for closed covers of affine schemes. Their demonstrations depend on the use of projective objects and other ring-theoretic techniques, and do not readily generalize to schemes, where the locally projective algebraic vector bundles are not globally projective. However, our method generalizes their conclusions to the case of schemes. As a sample, I give the following results. The first two concern the mod $n$ $K$-theory defined by Karoubi and Browder; these groups fit in a short exact universal coefficient sequence:

$$0 \to K_q(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \to K/n_q(X) \to \text{Tor}_x(K_{q-1}(X), \mathbb{Z}/n) \to 0$$

**Theorem 3.1** (Weibel) Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, and let $W \to X$ be the total space of a vector bundle over $X$ (or even a torsor under a vector bundle). Let $n$ be an integer such that $1/n \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Then $W \to X$ induces an isomorphism on mod $n$ $K$-groups:

$$K/n_*(X) \approx K/n_*(W)$$

In particular, this is true when $W = X[T]$.

**Proof.** [TT2] 9.5. This is deduced by the method above, using the 5-lemma and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence 2.3, from the affine case due to Weibel [We1] 3.3, [We3]. The critical case is that of the polynomial extension $R[T]$ of a ring $R$. In general, the induced map $K_*(R) \to K_*(R[T])$ has a non-trivial cokernel, although it is
obviously injective, split by the map induced by the ring homomorphism sending $T$ to 0. Stienstra [Sti], following work of Almkvist, Grayson, and Bloch, showed that the cokernel was a module over the ring of Witt vectors of $R$. Weibel observed this shows the cokernel is uniquely $n$-divisible if $1/n \in R$, yielding the result in the critical case.

**Theorem 3.2** (Weibel). Let $X$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, with two closed subschemes $Y$, $Z$, such that $X = Y \cup Z$ as spaces. Give the intersection $Y \cap Z$ the scheme structure of the fibre product of $Y$ and $Z$ over $X$. Let $n$ be an integer such that $1/n \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Then there is a homotopy cartesian square:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K/n(X) & \longrightarrow & K/n(Y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K/n(Z) & \longrightarrow & K/n(Y \cap Z)
\end{array}
$$

and an associated long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow K/n_q(X) \rightarrow K/n_q(Y) \oplus K/n_q(Z) \rightarrow K/n_q(Y \cap Z) \rightarrow K/n_{q-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

**Proof.** [TT2] 9.8. Again one reduces to the affine case, which is due to Weibel, essentially [We2] 1.3. The corresponding statement for $K^*_X$ in place of $K/n_q^*$ would not be true, but its failure can be analyzed locally using cyclic homology [OW], which result can be globalized by the method of 3.3 below.

**3.3** Much recent work has focused on cyclic homology $HC^*$, which serves as a sort of “linear approximation” to algebraic $K$-theory, and is more readily calculable. Discovered for operator algebras by Connes, cyclic homology has been extended to algebras over a field $k$ by Loday-Quillen and Feigin-Tsygan, and has been extensively developed by J. Block, Brylinks, Burghel, Carlsson, Cathelineau, R. Cohen, Goodwillie, J. Jones, Karoubi, Kassel, Ogle, Staffeldt, Vigué-Poirier, Weibel, Wodzicki, and others in a torrent of articles [Lo].

Independently, several people, including J. Block, Loday, and Weibel have proved that $HC^*$ has a Čech-Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence for a cover of $\text{Spec}(R)$ by affine open subschemes. This allows one to extend the definition of $HC^*(X)$ to schemes $X$ over a field $k$, by taking homology of the total complex of the Čech complex of an affine cover of $X$, with coefficients in the cyclic homology complex $HC$. We deduce, as suggested by Weibel:

**Theorem 3.4** (Goodwillie). Let $X'$ be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $i : X \to X'$ be a closed immersion defined by a sheaf of nil ideals. Then there is a natural isomorphism between the relative cyclic homology over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the relative algebraic $K$-theory:

$$
K_n(X \to X') \cong HC_{n-1}(X \to X').
$$

**Proof.** Here $K(X \to X')$ is the homotopy fibre of the map $i^* : K(X') \to K(X)$, so that the relative $K$-groups $K_*(X \to X')$ fit in a long exact sequence with $K_*(X)$ and
$K_*(X')$; and similarly for the relative cyclic homology complex $HC(X \to X')$. For $X'$ affine, the main theorem of [Go] gives a natural homotopy equivalence between $K(X \to X')$ and the complex $HC(X \to X')$ shifted one degree and considered as a generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. The result for general $X$ then follows by Mayer-Vietoris, cf. [TT2] 9.10.

Levine has remarked that this result is interesting when $X$ is smooth over a field $k$ of characteristic 0, and $X'$ is the singular infinitesimal thickening of $X$ to $X[e]/e^2$. Then $K_*(X \to X')$ is by Grothendieck's definition the "tangent space" to $K_*$ at $X$, and the result shows that it indeed equals the tangent space to the "linear approximation" $HC_*$. One has the spectral sequence of tangent spaces given by applying 2.4 to the fibre of $K(X') \to K(X)$; using cyclic homology to calculate the $E^2$ term gives:

$$E^2_{p-q} = H^p(X; \Omega_X^{q-1} \oplus \Omega_X^{q-3} \oplus \Omega_X^{q-5} \oplus \cdots)$$

where $\Omega_X$ are the absolute Kähler differentials over $Q$, not the relative ones over $k$. This does suggest somewhat obscure relations between this tangent space, Hodge theory, and infinitesimal deformations of algebraic cycles.

§ 4. Comparison of Algebraic and Etale Topological $K$-Theory

4.0 Suppose now that $X$ is a scheme of finite type over a ring $k$, where $k$ is one of the following: an algebraically closed field, a separably closed field, a number field, a ring of integers in a number field, $Z_p$, $Q_p$, $F_p[[t]]$, or $F_p((t))$. Fix a prime power $l^v \geq 3$. Suppose that $1/l \in \mathcal{O}_X$, and that if $l = 2$ then $\mathcal{O}_X$ contains a square root of $-1$.

If $k$ contains all the $l^v$-th roots of unity, this gives a torsion subgroup $Z/l^v$ in the group of units $k^*$, and hence in $K_1(k)$. By the universal coefficient sequence, this corresponds to an element $\beta$ in $K/l^v_q(k)$. One may then localize the ring $K/l^v_q(X)$ by inverting the image of this Bott element $\beta$. If $k$ doesn't contain all the $l^v$-th roots of unity, one can still form this $K/l^v_q(X)$: as Dwyer showed, essentially a power of $\beta$ exists in $K/l^v_q(k)$, although $\beta$ in degree 2 does not ([T1], Appendix A).

**Theorem 4.1.** For $X$ as in 4.0, there is a strongly converging spectral sequence from etale cohomolgy:

$$E^2_{p-q} = \begin{cases} H^p(X_{et}; Z/l^v(i)) & q = 2i \\ 0 & q \text{ odd} \end{cases} \Rightarrow K/l^v_q-p(X)[\beta^{-1}]$$

The canonical map which forgets the algebraic structure on an algebraic vector bundle and remembers only the underlying topological vector bundle induces an equivalence with the Dwyer-Friedlander etale topological $K$-theory [DF]:

$$\phi: K/l^v(X)[\beta^{-1}] \cong K/l^v_{Top}(X)$$

**Proof.** [TT2] 11.5. The method of 2.5 reduces this to the case where $X$ is replaced by its various residue fields, which case was done in [T1], as was the case where $X$ is regular.
One notes that the results of Suslin-Gabber-Gillet-Thomason [Ga, Su1, Su2, GiT] on the $K$-theory of strict hensel local rings, the local rings for the étale topology, show that the values of the sheaves $K/l_q^r$ in the étale topology are the $i$-times Tate-twisted cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/l^r(i)$ for $q = 2i$ even and non-negative, and are 0 for $q$ odd and positive. Thus this spectral sequence is the spectral sequence of étale cohomological descent for $K/l_q^r$, at least in positive degrees. However, it converges to $K/l_q^r(X)[\beta^{-1}]$, and not to $K/l_q^r(X)$, which is in general different. I believe that $K/l_q^r(X)[\beta^{-1}]$ equals $K/l_q^r(X)$ for $q$ sufficiently large (cf. the results of [T2]), but this is definitely false for $q$ small, e.g. for $q = 0$ and $X$ a K3 surface over $\mathbb{C}$ or Spec$(R[1/l])$, where $R$ is a ring of integers in a number field which has more than one prime lying over $l$ ([T1] Example 4.5).

The relation between algebraic $K$-theory and étale cohomology remains a very active area. Leaving aside the infinity of baseless conjectures, there remain some other actual theorems in special cases: the surjectivity results of Soulé in the case of rings of integers, as perfected by Dwyer-Friedlander [DF]; complete results on $K_2$ of fields due to Merkurjev and Suslin [MS1]; less complete results about $K_3$ of fields due to Merkurjev-Suslin ([MS2], [MS3]), Levine [Le4], and Rost [R]; and the descent result of Carlsson for $\pi_1 BGL_r$ with respect to finite Galois extensions of certain localized rings of integers. The $K_2$ result of Merkurjev-Suslin has been extensively employed to study algebraic cycles in codimension 2, notably in the hands of Colliot-Thélène, Coombes, M. Gros, Raskind, Salberger, Sansuc, and Soulé. M. Harada has proved a Riemann-Roch theorem for singular varieties without a quasi-projectivity hypothesis by using the homology version of 4.1 for $G$-theory to reduce Riemann-Roch to the projection formula ([H], [T4] § 15).

§ 5. The Case of Non-commutative Rings

D. Yao has begun the study of the localization theorem for non-commutative rings [Y]. This involves many new technical problems, and the construction of a sort of algebraic geometry of Grothendieck abelian categories. As a sample of his results, one has:

**Theorem 5.1 (D. Yao)** Let $R$ be a ring, not necessarily commutative. Suppose that $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ are elements of $R$ such that the ideal they generate is all of $R$. Suppose there exist ring automorphisms $\phi_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ such that:

1) for all $r \in R$, $\phi_i(r)s_i = s_ir$
2) $\phi_i(s_j) = s_j$
3) $\phi_i\phi_j = \phi_j\phi_i$

(For example, the $s_i$ could be central, and the $\phi_i = \text{id}$.) Then there is a strongly converging Cech cohomology spectral sequence computing $K_\ast(R)$ from the $K$-groups of the localizations:

$$E_2^{p-q} = H^p \left( \cdots \to \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_n} K_q(R[1/s_{i_0}, 1/s_{i_1}, \ldots, 1/s_{i_n}], R) \to \cdots \right) \Rightarrow K_{q-p}(R).$$
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In 1902, W. Burnside formulated his famous problems for periodic groups [6]:

The Burnside Problem (also known as the Ordinary Burnside Problem): Is it true that every finitely generated group of bounded exponent is finite?
The General Burnside Problem: Is it true that every finitely generated periodic group is finite?

After many unsuccessful attempts to obtain a proof in the late 30s–early 40s the following weaker version of The Burnside Problem was studied: Is it true that there are only finitely many $m$-generated finite groups of exponent $n$? In other words the question is whether there exists a universal finite $m$-generated group of exponent $n$ having all other finite $m$-generated groups of exponent $n$ as homomorphic images. Later (thanks to W. Magnus [35]) this question became known as The Restricted Burnside Problem.

In 1964 E. S. Golod gave a negative answer to The General Burnside Problem (cf. [9]). Since then a considerable array of infinitely generated periodic groups was constructed by other authors (cf. Alyoshin [2], Suschansky [44], Grigorchuk [11], Gupta-Sidki [54]).

In 1968 P. S. Novikov and S. I. Adian [39] constructed counter-examples to The Burnside Problem for groups of odd exponents $n \geq 4381$ (now for odd exponents $n \geq 115$, cf. I. Lysenok [33]). Olshansky’s Monsters (cf. [40]) shows how wildly periodic groups may behave.

At the same time there were two major reasons to believe that The Restricted Burnside Problem would have a positive solution. One of these reasons was the reduction theorem obtained by Ph. Hall and G. Higman [14]. Let $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$, where $p_i$ are distinct prime numbers, $k_i \geq 1$, and assume that (a) The Restricted Burnside Problem for groups of exponents $p_i^{k_i}$ has a positive solution, (b) there are only a finite number of finite simple groups of exponent $n$, (c) the factor group $\text{Out}(G) = \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$ is solvable for any finite simple group of exponent $n$. Then The Restricted Burnside Problem for groups of exponent $n$ also has positive solution.

Another reason was the close relation of The Problem to Lie algebras. Suppose $n = p^k$, where $p$ is a prime number. Then the finite group $G$ of exponent $p^k$ is clearly nilpotent. It is easy to see that it is sufficient to find an upper bound
for the class of nilpotency of all \( m \)-generated groups \( G \) of exponent \( p^k \).

Consider the lower central series \( G = \gamma_1(G) > \gamma_2(G) > \cdots > \gamma_s(G) = (1), \gamma_{i+1}(G) = (\gamma_i(G), G), 1 \leq i < S \), and the direct sum of abelian groups

\[
L_{\gamma}(G) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s-1} \gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G).
\]

Brackets \([a_i \gamma_{i+1}(G), b_j \gamma_{j+1}(G)] = (a_i, b_j) \gamma_{i+j+1}(G)\), where \( a_i \in \gamma_i(G), b_j \in \gamma_j(G), (, ) \) is the group commutator, define the structure of a Lie ring on \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) (cf. [4], [12, 34, 48]). It is obvious that the Lie ring \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) has the same class of nilpotency as the group \( G \). If \( G \) is generated by elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) then \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) is generated by \( x_i \gamma_2(G), 1 \leq i \leq m \).

If \( n = p \) is a prime number then \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) is an algebra over the field \( \mathbb{Z}_p, |\mathbb{Z}_p| = p \), which satisfies Engel's identity

\[
\left\{ \ldots [y, x], x, \ldots, x \right\} = 0 \quad E_{p-1}
\]

(cf. [35]). Thus The Problem for groups of prime exponent has been reduced to the following problem in Lie algebras: is it true that a Lie algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), which satisfies Engel's identity \( E_{p-1} \), is locally nilpotent?

The last problem was successfully solved by A. P. Kostrikin [27, 28] who solved in this way The Restricted Burnside Problem for groups of prime exponent.

If \( G \) is a finite group of prime power exponent \( p^k \) then \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) is no longer an algebra over the field \( \mathbb{Z}_p \); it is an algebra over the ring \( \mathbb{Z}(p^k) \) of residues modulo \( p^k \). That's why along with the lower central series \( \gamma_i(G) \) we shall consider the lower central \( p \)-series of \( G \) (cf. [21], [31, 48, 46]):

\[
G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots,
\]

where \( G_i \) is the subgroup of \( G \) generated by commutators \((\ldots (x_1, x_2), x_3), \ldots, x_r\), \( r \geq i \), and powers \((\ldots (x_1, x_2), x_3), \ldots, x_r)^{p^r}, r \cdot p^r \geq i \). It is easy to see that \( G_i \supset \gamma_i(G) \supset G_i^{p^k}, \)

\[
L(G) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i/G_{i+1}
\]

is an algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Neither the Lie ring \( L_{\gamma}(G) \) nor \( L(G) \) need necessarily satisfy Engel's identity \( E_{p^k-1} \) (cf. [13, 16]) but

1. the Lie algebra \( L(G) \) satisfies the linearized Engel's identity \( E_{p^k-1} \), that is, for arbitrary elements \( a_1, \ldots, a_{p^k-1} \in L(G) \) we have

\[
\sum \text{ad} (a_{\sigma(i)}) \ldots \text{ad} (a_{\sigma(p^k-1)}) = 0, \quad \sigma \in S_{p^k-1},
\]

(G. Higman, [17]).

2. for an arbitrary commutator \( q \) on the generators \( x_i G_2, 1 \leq i \leq r \), we have

\[
\text{ad} (q)^{p^k} = 0
\]

(I. N. Sanov, [42]).
Now let us turn to what was happening in associative and Lie nil algebras. A. G. Kurosch [30]* and independently J. Levitzky (cf. [3]) formulated two problems for nil algebras which were similar to Burnside's problems.

The General Kurosh-Levitzky Problem: Is every finitely generated nil algebra nilpotent?

The (Ordinary) Kurosh-Levitzky Problem: Is every finitely generated nil algebra of bounded degree nilpotent?

Actually it was a counterexample to the General Kurosh-Levitzky Problem which was constructed in the paper, [9] of E. S. Golod, then this counterexample was used to construct the first counterexample to the General Burnside Problem. Remark that so far it remains the only counterexample to the General Kurosh-Levitzky Problem.

For the (Ordinary) Kurosh-Levitzky Problem we have a quite different situation. Unlike Group Theory it has only positive solutions in all important classes of algebras. To appreciate the impact this problem had on the Ring Theory let us mention that N. Jacobson's Structure Theory of Algebras was stimulated by the Kurosh Problem and I. Kaplansky introduced the concept of a PI-algebra in search of the most general conditions which ensure the positive solution of the Problem. The following result (in its final form) was due to I. Kaplansky [23].

**Theorem.** A finitely generated nil algebra which satisfies a polynomial identity is nilpotent.

In 1956 A. I. Shirshov suggested another purely combinatorial direct approach to the Kurosh-Levitzky Problem.

**Theorem** (A. I. Shirshov [43]). Suppose that an associative algebra $A$ is generated by elements $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ and assume that (1) $A$ satisfies a polynomial identity of degree $n$, (2) every monomial in $\{x_i\}$ of degree $\leq n$ is nilpotent. Then $A$ is nilpotent.

It is very important that the nilpotency assumption here is imposed not on every element of $A$, but only on the monomials in the generators (even on a finite collection of them).

Now let us turn to Lie algebras. It is natural to call an element $a \in L$ nilpotent if the operator $\text{ad}(a)$ is nilpotent. With this definition both Kurosh-Levitzky problems become meaningful for Lie algebras. Moreover, by the results of G. Higman and I. N. Sanov (cf. above) the Lie algebra $L(G)$ of a finite group $G$ of exponent $p^n$ satisfies the assumptions of the Kurosh-Levitzky Problem in the form of A. I. Shirshov (the role of monomials is played by commutators).

In [52, 43] we solved this problem for those Lie algebras which satisfy the linearized Engel's identity $E_n$.

* Actually Kurosh's Problem concerned algebraic algebras but we consider only the important case of nil algebras.
Theorem 1. Suppose that a Lie algebra $L$ is generated by elements $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ and assume that there exist integers $n \geq 1, m \geq 1$ such that (1) $L$ satisfies the linearized Engel's identity $E_n$, (2) for an arbitrary commutator $q$ on the generators $x_i$ we have $\text{ad}(q)^m = 0$. Then $L$ is nilpotent.

Corollary. A Lie ring which satisfies Engel's identity is locally nilpotent.

From Theorem 1 we derive

Theorem 2. The Restricted Burnside Problem has a positive solution for groups of exponent $p^k$.

By the Reduction Theorem of Ph. Hall and G. Higman, the Restricted Burnside Problem has a positive solution in the class of soluble groups, in particular it has a positive solution for groups of odd exponent (by the celebrated Theorem of W. Feit and J. Thompson [8]) and for groups of exponent $n = p^a q^b$, $p, q$ are prime numbers (cf. W. Burnside, [7]).

The announced classification of finite simple groups (cf. [10]) implies that the Restricted Burnside Problem has a positive solution for groups of arbitrary exponent. Now we shall try to explain briefly the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.

In [50] we proved that to prove Theorems 1, 2 it suffices to prove that a restricted (in the sense of N. Jacobson [18]) Lie algebra over an infinite field, which satisfies an Engel's identity, is locally nilpotent. An element $a$ of a Lie algebra $L$ is called sandwich if

$$[[L, a], a] = 0, \quad [[[L, a], L], a] = 0$$

(cf. A. I. Kostrikin, [27]). In case of odd characteristics the second equality easily follows from the first one, however if char $= 2$ then both conditions are necessary. We call a Lie algebra a sandwich algebra if it is generated by a finite collection of sandwiches. The following theorem is due to A. I. Kostrikin and the author.

Theorem About Sandwich Algebras [49]. A sandwich Lie algebra is nilpotent.

This theorem suggests the following plan of attack on Theorem 1 (which has been outlined in [50]). Assume that there exists a nonzero Lie algebra $L$ over an infinite field $K$ which satisfies an Engel's identity but isn't locally nilpotent. Then taking the factor-algebra of $L$ modulo its locally nilpotent radical (cf. [26, 41]) we may assume $L$ doesn't contain any nonzero locally nilpotent ideals. Suppose we manage to construct a Lie polynomial $f(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ such that $f$ is not identically zero on $L$ and for arbitrary elements $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in L$ the value $f(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ is a sandwich of $L$. The $K$-linear span of $f(L) = \{f(a_1, \ldots, a_r) | a_1, \ldots, a_r \in L\}$ is an ideal in $L$. By the theorem about sandwich algebras the ideal $Kf(L)$ is locally nilpotent which contradicts our assumption.

However one year of effort didn't bring us a desired sandwich-valued polynomial (its existence a posteriori follows from Theorem 1). Instead in November of 1988 we constructed an even sandwich-valued superpolynomial $f$, which means
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that for a Lie superalgebra $L = L_0 + L_1$ satisfying the superization of $E_n$ every value of $f$ is a sandwich of $L_0$. It turned out to be a good substitute of sandwich-valued polynomials. The sketch of this rather complicated construction appeared in [51]. Unfortunately it worked only for characteristics $\neq 2,3$.

In January of 1989 we constructed another “generalized” nonzero sandwich-valued polynomials (this time involving “divided powers” of ad-operators), their full linearizations being ordinary polynomials. Every value of such a full linearization is a linear combination of sandwiches. This approach worked for any $p$ (cf. [52, 53]).

Some lengthy computations from the proof (which are really hard to read) may be explained within the framework of Jordan Algebra Theory (cf. [19, 20]). We shall demonstrate the idea for the simpler case $p \neq 2,3$. The first (less computational) part of the construction of a sandwich-valued polynomial is a construction of a polynomial $f$ such that $f(L) \neq 0$ and for an arbitrary element $a \in f(L)$ we have $\text{ad}(a)^3 = 0$. Choose arbitrary elements $a, b \in f(L)$ and consider the subspaces $\mathcal{J}^+ = \text{Lad}(a)^2$, $\mathcal{J}^- = \text{Lad}(b)^2$. Then for an arbitrary element $c \in \mathcal{J}^-$ the operation $x \circ y = [x, c, y]; x, y \in \mathcal{J}^+$, defines the structure of a Jordan algebra on $\mathcal{J}^+$ (cf. [5, 19, 22, 25]). The pair of subspaces $(\mathcal{J}^-, \mathcal{J}^+)$ is a so-called Jordan pair (cf. [32, 38]).

For $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ we define $\mathcal{J}^-$ and $\mathcal{J}^+$ with the divided powers of adjoint-operators and apply Kevin McCrimmon’s Theory of Quadratic Jordan Algebras ([20, 36, 37]).

For odd $p$ we managed to translate Jordan arguments into the language of elementary computations in [52]. For $p = 2$ this substitute didn’t work so Jordan Pairs and Algebras played an important role in our paper [53]. However, recently M. Vaughan-Lee succeeded in getting rid of Jordan Algebra Theory even in the case $p = 2$.

Not much is known about the upper bound for classes of nilpotency of $r$-generated finite groups of exponent $p$ (let alone the exponent $p^k, k > 1$). S. I. Adian and N. N. Repin [1] proved that it grows at least exponentially with respect to $p$. For comparison let us mention the recent result of A. Belov which asserts that there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that an arbitrary $r$-generated associative ring which satisfies the identity $x^n = 0$ is nilpotent of degree $\leq r^a n$.

**Conjecture.** There exists a constant $\alpha$ such that an arbitrary $r$-generated finite group of exponent $p$ is nilpotent of class $\leq r \cdot \alpha^p$.

Residually Finite Groups and Compact Groups

The following generalization of Theorem 1 solves the Kurosh-Levitzky Problem (in Shirshov’s form) in the class of Lie PI-algebras.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that a Lie algebra $L$ is generated by a finite subset $X \subseteq L$, $|X| = m$ and assume that

1. $L$ satisfies a polynomial identity of degree $n$,
(2) for an arbitrary commutator \( q \) on \( X \) of weight \( \leq h(m, n) \) the operator \( \text{ad}(q) \) is nilpotent. 

Then \( L \) is nilpotent.

This theorem has some applications to the General Burnside Problem in group varieties and to compact groups. It is well known that there are counterexamples to the General Burnside Problem even among residually finite \( p \)-groups (such are the counterexamples of E. S. Golod and R. I. Grigorchuk). However Theorem 3 implies

**Theorem 4.** A residually finite \( p \)-group which satisfies a nontrivial group identity is locally finite.

Apparently this theorem can be generalized from \( p \)-groups to periodic groups in the spirit of the theorem of P. Hall and G. Higman [14].

The assumption of a nontrivial identity can be further weakened to the "infinitesimal" assumption that the adjoint Lie algebra \( L(G) \) is PI. The last assertion in its turn is related to the General Burnside Problem for compact groups. V. P. Platonov conjectured that periodic compact (Hausdorff) groups are locally finite. J. S. Wilson [47] proved that (under the assumption that there are finitely many simple sporadic groups) it suffices to prove the conjecture for pro-\( p \)-groups. That's what is done in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Every periodic pro-\( p \)-group is locally finite.

Indeed, let \( G \) be a periodic pro-\( p \)-group. Consider the closed subsets \( G_{(n)} = \{ g \in G | g^{p^n} = 1 \}, G = UG_{(n)}. \) By Baire's Category theorem one of the subsets \( G_{(n)} \) contains some neighborhood, that is \( G_{(n)} \supseteq gH, \) where \( H \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \) of finite index. Then we show that every finitely generated subgroup of \( H \) which is invariant under conjugation by \( g \), satisfies an "infinitesimal" identity.

From Theorem 5 combined with [47] and with what is known about locally finite groups [15, 24] there follows

**Theorem 6.** Every infinite compact group contains an infinite abelian subgroup.

Remark as far as Theorem 6 is concerned the reduction to pro-\( p \)-groups in [47] didn't use the classification of finite simple groups.
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1. Introduction

This talk describes joint work with Christophe Soulé; for full details the reader can consult the papers [22,24].

Given an arithmetic variety $X$, together with a Hermitian metric on its set of complex points, the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem computes, given a Hermitian vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $X$, the degree (in the sense of Arakelov [1,2]) of the determinant of its cohomology equipped with the Quillen metric. The Quillen metric is an invariant of the Laplace operator on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with coefficients in $E$. The computation is in terms of characteristic classes, which are invariants of the Hermitian bundle and the arithmetic variety itself, in the 'arithmetic Chow ring' of the variety. The arithmetic Chow ring is inspired by the ideas of Arakelov (ibid.) and Deligne [15] in the case of arithmetic surfaces, and the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem itself generalizes the work of Faltings [16] and Deligne (ibid.) in the relative dimension one case. One application of the theorem, to the existence of sections with small norm, will be described.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Basic Definitions

Definition 1. In this note by an arithmetic variety over $\mathbb{Z}$, we mean a regular scheme $X$, flat and quasi-projective over $\mathbb{Z}$. However in general we need only assume that the generic fibre $X_\mathbb{Q}$ is smooth, and for much of what follows we can also replace the integers $\mathbb{Z}$ by a more general 'arithmetic ring'; see [18]. We shall write $F_\infty$ for the antiholomorphic involution of the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$ induced by complex conjugation.

Definition 2. A Hermitian bundle $\mathcal{E} = (E, h)$ over $X$ consists of a vector bundle $E$ over $X$, and a choice of $C^\infty$ Hermitian metric (i.e. positive definite Hermitian inner product) $h$ on $E$ over $X(\mathbb{C})$, which is invariant under $F_\infty$.

* Supported by N.S.F. grant DMS-8901784.
Example 1. If $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, then a Hermitian bundle over $X$ is the same thing as a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n$.

Example 2. If $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers in a number field $K$, then a Hermitian bundle $\mathcal{E}$ consists of a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{O}_K$-module $E$ together with a Hermitian inner product on $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K_v$ for each archimedian completion $K_v$ of $K$. The set of isomorphism classes of Hermitian bundles over $X$ is classified by the double coset space

$$GL_n(K) \backslash GL_n(A_K)/U,$$

where $A_K$ is the ring of adeles of $K$, and $U$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $GL_n(A_K)$.

These examples show that if one views $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as being compactified by adding the archimedian places of $K$ as points at infinity, then Hermitian vector bundles over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ have a similar adelic description to vector bundles over a smooth projective curve. In this case a Hermitian bundle has a well-defined degree. Let $\det(\mathcal{E})$ be the top exterior power of $E$, with the induced Hermitian form, and let $a \in \det(E)$ be a non-zero element. Then set

$$\overline{\deg}(\mathcal{E}) = \log(\#(\det(E)/\mathcal{O}_K a)) - \sum_v \log ||a||_v$$

where the sum is over the complex embeddings $v : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $|| \cdot ||_v$ denotes the absolute value corresponding to the choice of metric on $E \otimes_v \mathbb{C}$. Equivalently the degree is equal to minus the logarithm of the covolume (i.e. the volume of a fundamental domain), with respect to the euclidean measure determined by the Hermitian inner product, of the lattice $E \subset E \otimes \mathbb{R}$. See [1,2].

2.2 Riemann-Roch for Curves, and Its Analog for Lattices

Let $X$ be a smooth projective curve over a field $k$, and let $E$ be a rank $n$ vector bundle over $X$. Recall that the degree of $E$ equals the degree of $\det E$, which is the degree of the divisor of a meromorphic section of $\det E$. We write $g_X$ for the genus of $X$. Then the classical Riemann-Roch theorem for curves is:

$$\chi(X, E) = n(1 - g_X) + \deg(E).$$

If $k$ is a finite field of order $q$, and $V$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, then

$$\dim_k V = \log_q \#(V).$$

This leads, given a Hermitian bundle over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. a lattice $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, to the following definition of a numerical analog $h^0(A)$ of the rank of $H^0(E)$ of a vector bundle $E$ over a curve.

Definition 3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice. We set

$$h^0(A) = \log \# \{ x \in A \mid ||x|| \leq 1 \}.$$ 

We also write $A^*$ for the dual or 'polar' lattice.
It is an immediate consequence of Minkowski's theorem that there exists a constant $a$ such that:

$$h^0(A) \geq \deg(A) + an.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This is analogous to Riemann's theorem:

$$h^0(E) \geq \deg(E) + (1 - g_E)n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

See [28] for a discussion of this analogy. The following result is more analogous to the Riemann-Roch theorem, in that it estimates the difference between the two sides of (1). See [23] for a proof.

**Theorem 4.** With the above notation,

$$|h^0(A) - h^0(A^*) - \deg(A)| \leq n \log(6/\sqrt{n}) + \log \left( 2^{-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \right).$$

### 2.3 Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch for Varieties over a Curve

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $k$, and let $E$ be a vector bundle over $X$. Suppose that $f : X \to C$ is a map of $X$ onto a smooth projective curve, and let us write $\text{Td}(X/C) = \text{Td}(X) f^*\text{Td}(C) \uparrow$ for the relative Todd class of $X$ over $C$ in any suitable cohomology theory, such as the Chow ring $CH^*(X)\mathbb{Q}$.

On $C$ there is a line bundle $\lambda(E) = \lambda(X/C, E) = \det(Rf^*E)$. The fiber of $\lambda(E)$ at a point $y \in C$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{i \geq 0} \det[H^i(f^{-1}(y), E)]^{(-1)^i}$. (Here, if $L$ is a line bundle, $L^{-1}$ denotes its dual). It follows from the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem that

$$\deg \lambda(E) = \deg(\text{ch}(E) \text{Td}(X/C)).$$

Here $\deg : CH^*(X)\mathbb{Q} \to CH^0(\text{Spec}(k))\mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ is the map which, if $X$ has dimension $n$, is zero on $CH^i(X)\mathbb{Q}$ for $i \neq n$, and on $CH^n(X)\mathbb{Q}$ takes a dimension zero cycle to its degree. The Riemann-Roch theorem for a curve tells us that:

$$\chi(E) = \chi(f^{-1}(y), E)(1 - g_C) + \deg(\lambda(E)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here $y \in C$ is arbitrary. Notice that this formula allows one to deduce the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for $E$ over $X$ from the corresponding formula for the generic fiber of $X$ over $C$, together with the computation of $\deg(\lambda(E))$.

### 3. The Arithmetic Riemann-Roch Theorem

#### 3.1 Motivation

Suppose now that $f : X \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a projective arithmetic variety, and we fix a Kähler metric $\omega$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ which is invariant under $F_\infty$. What might a Riemann-Roch theorem for a Hermitian bundle $\tilde{E}$ on $X$ look like? If we view $f$ as being analogous to a map to a curve, then (2) suggests that such a theorem combines three ingredient's: Theorem 4, the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem for $E$ on the generic fibre $X_\mathbb{Q}$, and the computation of the degree of a Hermitian line bundle $\lambda(X, \tilde{E})$. We need therefore to define a metric on $\lambda(E) = Rf_*(E)$. Note that such a metric on $\lambda(E) = Rf_*(E)$ can be interpreted as measuring the torsion in $Rf^*E$ supported on the 'closed points at infinity.'
3.2 The Quillen Metric

Procedures have been proposed for putting a metric on \( \lambda(E) = Rf_*(E) \) by Faltings [16] (for \( X \) an arithmetic surface) and Quillen [25]. We shall follow the method of Quillen.

Let \( f : M \rightarrow B \) be a proper smooth map of complex manifolds. (This of course includes the case of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) mapping to a point, for \( X \) an arithmetic variety). Suppose that \( \omega \) is a closed (1, 1)-form on \( M \) which restricts to a Kähler form on each fiber of \( f \). If \( \tilde{E} \) is a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on \( M \), i.e. a locally free coherent analytic sheaf \( E \) equipped with a \( C^\infty \) Hermitian metric, then \( \lambda(E) = \lambda(M/B, E) = \det(Rf_*, E) \) is a holomorphic line bundle on \( B \). Here \( Rf_* \) is the direct image in the derived category of perfect complexes of analytic sheaves. If \( b \in B \) is a point, the Hermitian metric on \( E \), together with the induced Kähler metric on \( M_b = f^{-1}(b) \) and the \( \bar{\partial} \) operator on \( M_b \) determine an inner product and a laplace operator \( \Delta^0 \) on \( A^0(M_b, E) \). By Hodge theory we may identify canonically the cohomology \( H^i(M_b, E) \) of \( E \) over \( M_b \), with the subspace \( \text{Ker}(\Delta^0) \subset A^0(M_b, E) \). The inner product on \( A^0(M_b, E) \) then induces an inner product, the \( L^2 \) inner product \( h_{L^2} \) on \( H^i(M_b, E) \) and hence on \( \lambda(M_b, E) = \bigotimes_{i=0}^\infty [H^i(M_b, E)]^{(-1)i} \). There is a canonical isomorphism \( \lambda(M_b, E) \simeq \lambda(M/B, E)_b \); however in general the \( L^2 \) inner product on this complex line will not vary in a \( C^\infty \) fashion with \( b \in B \).

Following Quillen, we modify the \( L^2 \) inner product as follows. For each \( i \geq 0 \) and \( b \in B \) we consider the zeta function \( \zeta^b_i(s) = \sum 1/\lambda^2 \), where the sum is over the non zero eigenvalues of \( \Delta^0_i \). The sum defining \( \zeta^b_i(s) \) converges absolutely for the real part of \( s \) large enough, and \( \zeta^b_i(s) \) has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, with a regular point at zero. The Ray-Singer analytic torsion, [26], is then defined as

\[
\tau(M_b, \tilde{E}) = \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^{q+1} q \zeta^b_q(0).
\]

Finally we define \( \lambda(\tilde{E}) = (\lambda(E), h_Q = h_{L^2} \exp(\tau(\tilde{E}))) \). The following theorem was joint work with J.-M. Bismut, and C. Soulé, see [8] for details.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( f : M \rightarrow B \), \( \omega \), and \( \tilde{E} \), be as above. Then:

1. The Quillen metric \( h_Q \) on the holomorphic line bundle \( \lambda(E) \) on \( B \) is \( C^\infty \).
2. There is an equality of (1, 1)-forms on \( B \):

\[
\text{ch}_1(\lambda(E), h_Q) = \int_f \text{ch}(\tilde{E}) \text{Td}(T_{M/B}) \quad .
\]

Here \( \text{ch}(\tilde{E}) \) is the representative of the Chern class in deRham cohomology obtained by applying the Chern-Weil homomorphism to the unique unitary connection of type (0, 1) determined by the metric and holomorphic structure on \( E \).

**Remark.** The Grothendieck Riemann-Roch theorem predicts the equality of cohomology classes which corresponds to the above equation. This result is built on some earlier work of Bismut and Freed on \( C^\infty \) determinant bundles for families of Dirac operators.
3.3 Arithmetic Chow Groups

If \( f : X \to Y \) is a proper morphism between arithmetic varieties which restricts to a (proper) smooth map \( X(\mathbb{C}) \to Y(\mathbb{C}) \), and \( E \) is a Hermitian bundle on \( X \), \( \lambda(X/Y, E) \) is a Hermitian line bundle on \( Y \). It is the isomorphism class, up to torsion, of this Hermitian line bundle which we would like to determine. Notice that the “classical” Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem computes the Chern character \( \text{ch}(\lambda(X/Y, E)) \in \text{CH}^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) while by Theorem 5 the Quillen metric determines a form representing the de Rham representative of this class.

If \( X \) is an arithmetic variety, and \( E = (E, h) \) is a Hermitian bundle on \( X \), then we have the Chern character \( \text{ch}(E) \in \text{CH}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) in the Chow group of cycles modulo rational equivalence, and forms \( \text{ch}(E) \in Z^*(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} Z^{i,i}(X(\mathbb{C})) \) determined by the Chern-Weil homomorphism. (Here \( Z^{i,i}(X(\mathbb{C})) \) denotes closed forms of type \((i, i)\).) We shall combine these together into a single theory carrying the characteristic classes we need to compute \( \lambda(X/Y, E) \).

**Definition 6.** Let \( X \) be an arithmetic variety as above. A codimension \( i \) arithmetic cycle on \( X \) is a pair \((Z, g_Z)\) consisting of a codimension \( i \) algebraic cycle \( Z \) on \( X \), and a current (i.e., a form with distribution coefficients) of type \((i - 1, i - 1)\), such that \( dd^c g_Z + \delta_Z \) is \( C^\infty \), where \( \delta_Z \) is the current of type \((i, i)\) given by integration over the complex points of \( Z \). We also require that \( F^i_{\text{ar}} g_Z = (-1)^{i-1} g_Z \). We call \( g_Z \) a **Green current** for \( Z \), and we view two Green currents \( g_Z \) and \( g'_Z \) as equivalent if their difference lies in the image of \( \partial + \bar{\partial} \).

If \( W \subset X \) is an integral subscheme of codimension \( i - 1 \), and \( f \in k(W) \) is a rational function on \( W \), we define \( \hat{\text{div}}(f) = (\text{div}(f), -\log |f|^2) \). Here \( \log |f|^2 \) is the current of type \((i - 1, i - 1)\) obtained by integration against the function \( \log |f|^2 \) on the complex points of \( W \): by the Poincaré-Lelong lemma \( \log |f|^2 \) is a Green current for \( \text{div}(f) \). We say that an arithmetic cycle is rationally equivalent to zero if it is the sum of cycles of the form \( \hat{\text{div}}(f) \), and we define the codimension \( i \) arithmetic Chow group \( \widetilde{CH}^i(X) \) of \( X \) to be the quotient of the group of codimension \( i \) arithmetic cycles by the subgroup of cycles rationally equivalent to zero.

An element of \( \widetilde{CH}^i(X) \) determines a rational equivalence class of algebraic cycles on \( X \), a \( C^\infty \) form representing their de Rham cohomology class on \( X(\mathbb{C}) \), together with secondary data. In the paper [18] we make this relationship precise and show how to lift the product and pull back structures from cycles and forms to the arithmetic Chow groups:

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that \( X \) is an arithmetic variety, with \( X_{\mathbb{Q}} \) projective. Then there is an exact sequence

\[
\text{CH}^{i-1,j}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^{i-1,j-1}(X) \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{CH}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\zeta \otimes \alpha} \text{CH}^i(X) \oplus Z^{i,j}(X) \xrightarrow{c-h} H^{i,j}(X). 
\]

Here \( \text{CH}^{i-1,j}(X) \) is a group which is up to torsion the weight \( i \) part of \( K_1(X) \), \( \varphi \) is the Beilinson regulator ([4]), \( H^{i-1,j-1}(X) \) is the subspace of \( H^{i-1,j-1}(X(\mathbb{C})) \) on which \( F_{\alpha} \) acts by \((-1)^{i-1} \), \( a \) is the map \( \alpha \mapsto (0, \alpha) \), \( \zeta \) is the map forgetting
the Green current, \( \omega(Z, g_Z) = dd^c g_Z + \delta_Z, \) maps a cycle to its cohomology class and \( h \) maps a closed form to its cohomology class. If we had not assumed that \( X_\mathbb{Q} \) was projective we would have to modify the definition of the Dolbeault cohomology groups in the sequence; cf. [18].

**Example 3.** Let \( K \) be a number field and \( X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \). Then

\[
\widehat{CH}^1(X) \simeq K^* \backslash \mathbf{I}_K / U,
\]

where \( \mathbf{I}_K \) is the idele group of \( K \), and \( U \subset \mathbf{I}_K \) is its maximal compact subgroup. If \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \) is the ideal class group of \( K \), the exact sequence of Theorem 7 becomes:

\[
\mathcal{O}_K^* \to \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \to \widehat{CH}^1(X) \to \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to 0.
\]

**Example 4.** For a general arithmetic variety \( X \), let \( \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \) be the group of isomorphism classes of Hermitian line bundles on \( X \), with the group operation given by tensor product. Then there is an isomorphism

\[
\widehat{C}_1 : \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \to \widehat{CH}^1(X),
\]

given by \( L \mapsto (\text{div}(s), -\log ||s||^2) \), for \( s \) an arbitrary rational section of \( L \).

**Example 5.** On projective space over the integers \( \mathbb{P}^n_\mathbb{Z} \), viewed as an arithmetic variety, we have a tautological Hermitian line bundle \( \mathcal{O}(1) \), with the metric induced by orthogonal projection from the trivial bundle. If \( x : \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{P}^n_\mathbb{Z} \) is an integral point, then \( \widehat{\deg} x^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) \) is the Arakelov height of \( x \); cf. [27].

**Theorem 8.** 1. If \( f : X \to Y \) is a map between (regular) arithmetic varieties, there is a pull back map \( f^* : \widehat{CH}^* (Y) \to \widehat{CH}^* (X) \). If \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \), then \( fg = (gf)^* \).

2. \( \widehat{CH}^* (X)_\mathbb{Q} \) is an associative ring with unit, and the product is compatible, via the maps \( \zeta \) and \( \omega \), with the intersection product on cycles and the wedge product on forms.

3. If \( f : X \to Y \) is a proper map of relative dimension \( d \), smooth over \( \mathbb{Q} \), there is a direct image map \( f_* : \widehat{CH}^* (X) \to \widehat{CH}^{*-d} (Y) \), with the obvious projection formula holding.

The proof of the theorem, and in particular the construction of the product, involves showing that if \( Y \) and \( Z \) are two cycles on a projective variety over \( \mathbb{C} \) which intersect properly, choices of Green currents \( g_Y \) and \( g_Z \) determine a Green current \( g_Y \ast g_Z \), with good properties, for the product cycle \( Y \cdot Z \). This product of Green currents must then be combined with the intersection product of cycles on the regular scheme underlying the arithmetic variety. However, because there is as yet no intersection theory with integral coefficients on a general regular scheme, we use our construction in [17], via Adams operations on \( K_0 \), of an intersection theory with rational coefficients. Observe that if \( f : X \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \) is projective, the pushforward \( f_* \) induces a map \( \widehat{\text{deg}} : \widehat{CH}^{d+1} (X) \to \mathbb{R} \), where
d is the relative dimension of X over Z; explicitly, \((Z, g_Z) \mapsto \log \# \Gamma (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{Q})} g_Z\). Composing the product with the degree map gives a pairing \(\hat{CH}^p(X) \otimes CH^{d+1-p}(X) \to \mathbb{R}\). If we restrict this pairing to the subgroup \(\hat{CH}^*(X) = \ker(\omega)\), it factors, at least if X has no bad fibers, through the subgroup of \(CH^*(X_\mathbb{Q})\) consisting of cycles which are homologically equivalent to zero, where it becomes the height pairing defined by Beilinson and Bloch [5], [13] generalizing the Neron height pairing.

### 3.4 Characteristic Classes for Hermitian Bundles

In [19] we show that any characteristic class defined by invariant polynomials has a common lifting from the Chow ring and the ring of differential forms to the arithmetic Chow ring. The following theorem summarizes this result in the case of the Chern character, which will be sufficient for our purposes, since the Chern character determines the Todd genus.

**Theorem 9.** There is one and only one way of assigning to each Hermitian bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) on an arithmetic variety \(X\) a class \(\widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E}) \in \hat{CH}^*(X_\mathbb{Q})\), the "arithmetic Chern character", with the following properties:

1. Under the maps \(\zeta\) and \(\omega\) it maps to the classes \(ch(E) \in CH^*(X_\mathbb{Q})\) and \(ch(\mathcal{E}) \in Z^*(X)\).
2. If \(L\) is a Hermitian line bundle, then \(\widehat{ch}(L) = \exp(C_1(L))\).
3. Given two Hermitian bundles \(\mathcal{E}\) and \(\mathcal{F}\) we have:
   \[
   \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{F}),
   \]
   and
   \[
   \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}) = \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E}) + \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{F}).
   \]

Here \(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}\) is the orthogonal direct sum.
4. \(\widehat{ch}\) commutes with pullbacks.

**Remark.** If \(\mathcal{E} : 0 \to E' \to E \to E'' \to 0\) is an exact sequence of vector bundles on an arithmetic variety \(X\), and we choose metrics \(h', h, h''\) on the three bundles, then
\[
\widehat{ch}(E', h') + \widehat{ch}(E'', h'') = \widehat{ch}(E, h) - \widehat{ch}(E, h) = (0, \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E}, h', h, h'')),
\]
which is the secondary chern character defined by Bott and Chern [14] satisfying
\[
dd^c \widehat{ch}(\mathcal{E}, h', h, h'') = ch(E', h') + ch(E'', h'') - ch(E, h),\]
i.e. it measures the failure of the Whitney sum formula to be true at the level of forms.

### 3.5 The Main Theorem

Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a proper map between nonsingular arithmetic varieties, which restricts to a smooth map \(X_\mathbb{Q} \to Y_\mathbb{Q}\); fix a Kähler metric on \(X(\mathbb{C})\) which is invariant under \(F_\infty\). Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be Hermitian bundle on X, and let \(\lambda(\mathcal{E})\) be the corresponding determinant line bundle on Y, equipped with the Quillen metric. Let \(\mathcal{T}_{X/Y}\) denote the relative tangent bundle with the metric induced by the
Kähler metric on $X(\mathbb{C})$; note that strictly speaking, unless $f$ is smooth, this relative tangent bundle is a virtual object. Consider the difference

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}_1(\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{E}})) - [f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})\widehat{\text{Td}}(\overline{T}_{X/Y}))]^1,$$

where $\lfloor 1 \rfloor^1$ denotes the component in $\widehat{CH}_Q$. By the ‘classical’ Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, together with Theorem 5 we know that this difference has image zero under both $\zeta$ and $\omega$, and so by Theorem 7 must lie in the image of the map $a : H^{0,0}(Y) \to \widehat{CH}_Q^1(Y)$. If one computes this difference for $X = \mathbb{P}_Z^n$ and $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, one does not get zero, showing that a naive translation of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem is false. By computing, with the help of D. Zagier, the difference for the trivial bundle on $X = \mathbb{P}_Z^n$ for all $n$, we were led in [20] to define an “arithmetic Todd genus”:

**Definition 10.** Consider the power series

$$R(x) = \sum_{m \text{ odd}} [2\zeta'(-m) + \zeta(-m)(1 + 1/2 + \ldots + 1/m)] \left(\frac{x^m}{m!}\right).$$

Using the splitting principle, we have an additive characteristic class $R(E) \in H^*(X)$ for complex vector bundles $E$ over topological spaces $X$, such that $R(L) = R(C_1(L))$ for a line bundle $L$. Now given $f : X \to Y$ as above, define the *arithmetic Todd genus*:

$$\text{Td}^A(X/Y) = \widehat{\text{Td}}(\overline{T}_{X/Y})(1 + a(R(T_X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})))).$$

**Remark.** Notice that power series $R(x)$ used to define the correction term in $\text{Td}^A$ has a mysterious relationship to the power series used to define the Todd genus itself:

$$\text{Td}(x) = 1 - x \sum_{m \geq 0} \zeta(-m) \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$ 

**Theorem 11.** Let $f : X \to Y$, $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, be as above. Then we have

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}_1(\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{E}})) = [f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})\text{Td}^A(\overline{T}_{X/Y}))]^1.$$

The strategy of the proof is based on the method used to prove the “classical” version of the theorem. That is, to factor the map $f$ as the composition of a closed immersion $i : X \to \mathbb{P}_Y^n$ followed by the projection $g : \mathbb{P}_Y^n \to Y$. The main ingredients are:

1. The computation, with Zagier, of the analytic torsion of the trivial bundle on $\mathbb{P}_Y^n$, [20].
2. Following the series of papers [6] (by J.-M. Bismut), and [9] and [10] (with J.-M. Bismut and C. Soulé), given a resolution $\eta : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \to i_*\mathcal{E}$, by a complex of Hermitian bundles, the ‘torsion’ of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined. This is a current on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and in [9] it is shown that it plays for closed immersions between complex manifolds a role similar to that played by the Ray-Singer torsion for submersions. In [10] we then prove a Riemann-Roch theorem for $i$. 

3. The papers [7], by J.-M. Bismut, and [11], by J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, compute the ratio of the Quillen metrics on $\lambda(E)$ and $\lambda(\xi)$ in terms of the the torsion of $\xi$. This can be viewed as showing that $f_1 = g_1$ in the K-theory of Hermitian bundles, up to a correction involving the exotic class $R(N_X/\mathbb{P})$.

**Remark.** 1. If $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, then the theorem gives:

$$\text{deg}\lambda(E) = \text{deg}[\text{ch}(E)T_d^A(X/\mathbb{Z})],$$

which is the analogue of the Riemann-Roch theorem for a map to curve that we were looking for.

2. We have proved a more general result, in the style of [3], in which $X$ is allowed to have singularities away from its generic fibre. This requires a careful analysis of the behaviour of complexes under the Grassmannian-graph construction of [3].

3. G. Faltings has recently given a more direct proof of the result, without appealing to the results of [6, 7, 9, 10, 11].

### 3.6 An Application

Let $X$ be an arithmetic variety of dimension $d + 1$, and fix a Kähler metric on $X(\mathbb{C})$. Let $E$ be a Hermitian vector bundle, and $L$ a Hermitian line bundle, on $X$. Suppose that $L$ is relatively ample over $X$ and that $C_1(L)$ is strictly positive. Write $h^0(X, E)$ for $\log \#\{ s \in H^0(X, E) | ||s||_{L^2} \leq 1 \}$. The proof of the following theorem uses an earlier, weaker, version of the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Minkowski's theorem, and a result of J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot, [12]. See [21] for further details.

**Theorem 12.** If $\text{deg}(\hat{C}_1(L)^{d+1}) > 0$, then:

$$h^0(E \otimes L^n) \geq n^{d+1} \frac{r}{(d + 1)!} \text{deg}(\hat{C}_1(L)^{d+1}) + O(n^d \log(n)).$$

In particular, if $n$ is sufficiently large, $E \otimes L^n$ has a non-zero section with $L^2$ norm less than one.

**References**
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Suppose you have a closed curve. How do you find the area inside? While I was writing my first paper on exponential sums and lattice points, my seven year old daughter came home from school and said. "I know how you find the area of a curve. You count the squares". In other words, copy the curve onto squared paper and count how many squares lie inside the curve. If you want the area to greater accuracy, use paper with smaller squares. If the side of the squares is 1/M, and you count \( N \) squares, then the area \( A \) is approximately \( N/M^2 \).

As a number theorist I prefer to take the squares as unit squares, the curve as enlarged by a factor \( M \), and the relation as being that \( N \) is approximately \( AM^2 \).

Some squares are inconveniently cut by the curve. When do you count them?

**Rule 1.** Count a square if its centre lies inside.
**Rule 2.** Count a square if its lower left corner lies inside.
**Rule 3.** Count all incomplete squares as half a square.

Rules 1 and 2 are really the same rule: if we shift the squared paper by half a unit in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, then the corners of the squares are now where the centres were before. Rule 3 is locally like Rule 2, but it counts extra squares where the curve has maxima and minima, and where \( x \) takes its extreme values. Take Rule 2 as the basic rule. The corners of squares are the points in two dimensional space with integer coordinates \( x, y \), the lattice points.

How accurate is the rule? If the curve fits into a rectangular box \( C \) high, \( B \) broad (in terms of unit squares), then the number of squares cut is at most \( 2B + 2C + 4 \).

As \( M \) tends to infinity, this discrepancy has order of magnitude \( M \), whilst the area has order \( M^2 \).

Lattice points on the curve limit the accuracy. Whether by convention one counts them in or out, changing \( M \) to \( M + \varepsilon \) makes little change to the area, and counts them all in, whilst changing \( M \) to \( M - \varepsilon \) counts them all out. If the curve is a polygon, especially a rectangle with sides parallel to the axes, there can be \( M \) lattice points on the sides of the rectangle, so that order of magnitude \( M \) is then best possible.

So take a smooth curve, convex for convenience. What is the mathematics available? The curve is described in a coordinate-free way by an equation connecting the arc length \( s \) with the tangent angle \( \psi \), the radius of curvature being \( ds/d\psi \). The
lattice of integers has an algebraic isomorphism group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the two by two integer matrices of determinant one. The lattice is also periodic in two dimensions, so that you can take Fourier transforms. These tell you that for a smooth curve the discrepancy $N - AM^2$ has root mean square lying between bounded multiples of $\sqrt{M}$ (bounded in terms of the shape of the curve). The mean is taken over translations of the curve. As a consequence, for some constant $c$,

$$|N - AM^2| < c\sqrt{M}$$

for at least half the positions of the curve on the squared paper. The two dimensional Fourier transform does not help much with pointwise upper bounds, even for a circle centred on a lattice point, the Gauss circle problem.

The upper bound so far corresponds to replacing the curve by a step function. Voronoi and Sierpinski used Archimedes' idea of replacing the curve by a polygon. The polygon is chosen so that the gradients of its sides are small rational numbers. Small is measured by the height norm: the height of $a/q$ in lowest terms is $\max(|a|, |q|)$. As far as I know, they did not treat the general curve, but only the hyperbola (for the Dirichlet divisor problem) and the circle. If you assume merely that the curve has a radius of curvature bounded away from zero and infinity, then you can show that the discrepancy $|N - AM^2|$ has order of magnitude at most $(M \log^2 M)^{2/3}$ by using the estimate for the discrepancy of a right-angled triangle in terms of the continued fraction expansion of the gradient $a/q$. Sierpinski had this result in the Gauss circle problem without the logarithmic factor, so being a perfect circle is a little help. The sides of the polygon have rational gradients, so that they may contain many lattice points.

The next step is obvious with hindsight: instead of approximating the curve by a piecewise constant or piecewise linear function, approximate by a piecewise quadratic function. Here the argument becomes highly analytic. Define $\theta(t)$ to be $\exp(2\pi it)$, and $g(t)$ to be the row-of-teeth function, with $g(t) = 1/2 - t$ for $0 < t < 1$, and with period one. Then $g(t)$ has the Fourier series

$$g(t) \approx \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e(ht)}{2\pi ih}.$$  

The number of integers $n$ in an interval $a < n \leq b$ is $b - a + \theta(b) - \theta(a)$. I can now explain Iwaniec and Mozzochi’s attack on the circle problem (1987). It is closely related to Bombieri and Iwaniec’s great paper (1986) on the size of the Riemann zeta function. Bombieri and Iwaniec’s paper turns out to be related to Jutila’s work on the Dirichlet series of modular forms. The connection is that modular forms are functions of two dimensional lattices.

The first step is to divide the curve into arcs corresponding to the sides of the Voronoi-Sierpinski polygon, and to take a new basis for the integer lattice so that $(q, a)$ is a basis vector. This brings in a $2 \times 2$ integer matrix. The curve is then approximated as a quadratic in a coordinate $n$ in the direction of the vector $(q, a)$ tangential to the curve.
The sum involving $g(t)$ gives an exponential sum in two summands $n$ and $h$ when the Fourier series for $g(t)$ is truncated. The next two steps are Poisson summation in each variable. There is a serious danger of error terms adding up. It is lucky that the integral to compute is a Bessel function of order one half which has an exact expression of the form

$$e(t)/\sqrt{t}.$$  

After approximating, the main terms involve an inner product:

$$e(x^{(k,l)}, y^{(j)})$$

where $x^{(k,l)}$ is a four dimensional vector

$$(kl, l, l\sqrt{k}, l/\sqrt{k})$$

indexed by two integers $k$ and $l$, and $y^{(j)}$ is a four dimensional vector constructed from the quadratic approximation. The index $j$ refers to the arc of the curve.

If $a/q$ has small height (a major arc), then $k$ and $l$ take a bounded number of values, and you estimate trivially (in fact one need not go so deep). If $a/q$ has large height (a minor arc), then $k$ and $l$ run through complicated ranges. When these have been simplified, the next step is a form of the large sieve.

The modern large sieve is best described as a sort of Sobolev inequality relating the discrete $L_2$ norm of a function to the ordinary $L_2$ norms of the function and its derivatives. The discrete $L_2$ norm in one dimension is

$$\sup_S \sum_S |f(z_i)|^2$$

taken over sets $S = \{z_1, \ldots, z_R\}$ with $|z_i - z_j| \geq \delta$ for $i \neq j$. In number-theoretic applications we have a fixed set $S$, usually corresponding to a subset of the rational numbers, and the function $f(z)$ is an exponential sum, which can be regarded as an integral with respect to a discrete measure supported on the integers. In this case there is a duality principle and an underlying bilinear form, in which the vectors $x$ are on the same footing as the vectors $y$. A further generalisation replaces the condition $|z_i - z_j| \geq \delta$ by a factor in the upper bound which counts the number of pairs of points differing by less than $\delta$ (the convolution of the discrete measure supported on the points of $S$ with itself, against a kernel function supported on a $\delta$-neighbourhood of the diagonal).

The next two steps are counting the number of coincidences among sums of pairs of $x$ vectors, which is number theory, and the number of coincidences among pairs of $y$ vectors, which is number theory mixed with analysis. A coincidence between two $y$ vectors means that on two different arcs, the curve weaves between lattice points in the same way. A large set of mutually coincident vectors will add up to a systematic error in the discrepancy $N - AM^2$.

Rather than write down the conditions for entries of the $y$ vectors to coincide, I try to describe them. A $y$ vector corresponds to a minor arc and a two by two integer matrix. Coincidence in the first entries means that the two integer matrices
are close in the sense that $PQ^{-1}$ is a matrix with small integer entries, and so $PQ^{-1}$ is a short word in the generators $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Coincidence in the second entry involves the matrix, the constant term and the gradient. Coincidence in the third entry is a relation between the denominators $q$ of the gradients $a/q$ and the coefficients of $n^2$ in the quadratic approximations. Coincidence in the fourth entry involves all the coefficients of the approximating polynomial, but if the other three entries coincide, then the condition simplifies to one involving the constant terms and the denominators $q$ of the gradients $a/q$.

What arguments can one use in counting the number of pairs of coincident vectors? The first is compactness, or in its discrete version, Dirichlet’s box principle. If there are many points in a bounded region, then there is a small set containing many of them. The second principle is approximation, or the mean value theorem. A smooth function on a small set can be approximated by a polynomial, or still better, a linear function. The third principle is the boon and bane of analytic number theory. The only arbitrarily small integer is zero. An inequality which is strengthened too much turns into an equation. When these three arguments are used in turn, we pass from discrete to continuous and back to discrete again.

At present we are overestimating the number of coincidences because we cannot handle the constant term in the quadratic polynomial. So the second and fourth entries of the $y$ vectors are not used. Iwaniec and Mozzochi (1987) could count coincidence only for the rectangular hyperbola. Huxley and Watt (1988) generalised the relevant lemma in Bombieri and Iwaniec (1986), getting bounds for one dimensional exponential sums, and Huxley (1990) adapted the Iwaniec-Mozzochi method to general smooth closed curves to get

$$N = AM^2 + O(M^{7/11} (\log M)^{47/22}).$$

Can this be improved? There is some hope of using the second and fourth entries of the $y$ vectors, which would give a small improvement on the exponent $7/11$. To reach one half one must avoid taking moduli. Bombieri and Iwaniec (1986) suggest using the Fourier theory of the modular group, which acts on the vectors $(q, a)$ corresponding to the sides of the Voronoi-Sierpinski polygon. This Fourier theory was suggested by Selberg (1956) and worked into a usable form by Kuznietsov (1980) and Deshouillers and Iwaniec (1982).

There are many-related questions. Bombieri and Pila (1989) have shown by algebraic geometry that if the curve is not algebraic, then the number of integer points on it is $O(M^\varepsilon)$ for any positive $\varepsilon$, improving the earlier result of Swinnerton-Dyer (1974). Huxley (1988, 1989) has considered the number of integer points within a small distance $\delta$ of the curve, using a mixture of elementary and exponential sum techniques. The lattice point problem is a special case of rounding error in numerical approximation, and one can give estimates there too (Huxley 1991).

This method seems to be purely two dimensional, because a quadratic approximation to a surface contains too many terms. Even the continued fraction rule does not generalise. But I hope that someone will take up the challenge of finding an arithmetic method for counting lattice points in three or more dimensions.
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This paper is a survey of the $K$-theoretic generalization of class field theory.

For a field $K$, let $K^{ab}$ be a maximal abelian extension of $K$, that is, the union of all finite abelian extensions of $K$ in a fixed algebraic closure of $K$. The classical local (resp. global) class field theory says that if $K$ is a finite extension of the $p$-adic (resp. rational) number field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}$), the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$ is approximated by the multiplicative group $K^\times$ (resp. the idele class group $C_K$), and via this approximation, we can obtain knowledge on abelian extensions of $K$.

In §1 (resp. §2), we give a $K$-theoretic generalization of the classical local (resp. global) class field theory. There finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}$) are replaced by “higher dimensional local fields” (resp. finitely generated fields over prime fields), and the group $K^\times$ (resp. $C_K$) is replaced by Milnor’s $K$-group $K_n^M(K)$ (resp. by the $K_n^M$-idele class group), where $n$ is the “dimension” of $K$.

In §3, we discuss some other aspects of generalizations of local class field theory.

In §4, we discuss generalizations of the classical ramification theory to higher dimensional schemes.

1. Local Class Field Theory

An $n$-dimensional local field is defined inductively as follows. A 0-dimensional local field is a finite field. For $n \geq 1$, an $n$-dimensional local field is a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field is an $(n-1)$-dimensional local field.

For example, a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a one dimensional local field.

For a field $k$, let $K^M_n(k)$ be Milnor’s $K$-group of $k$ defined by

$$K^M_n(k) = ((k^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Z})/J$$

where $J$ is the subgroup of the $q$-fold tensor product of $k^\times$ (as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module) generated by elements of the form $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_q$ such that $a_i + a_j = 1$ for some $i \neq j$. (Cf. Milnor [Mi].) The main result of the local class field theory of an $n$-dimensional local field is the following (Parsin [Pa2], Kato [Ka1] II).
Theorem 1. Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional local field. Then, there exists a canonical homomorphism

$$
\varrho : K_n^M(K) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)
$$

which induces an isomorphism $K_n^M(K)/N_{L/K}K_n^M(L) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K)$ for each finite abelian extension $L$ of $K$. Here $N_{L/K} : K_n^M(L) \to K_n^M(K)$ is the norm homomorphism ([Ka1] II 1.7). The correspondence $L \mapsto N_{L/K}K_n^M(L)$ is a bijection from the set of all finite abelian extensions of $K$ in a fixed algebraic closure of $K$ onto the set of all open subgroups of $K_n^M(K)$ of finite indices. (For the definition of the openness of a subgroup of $K_n^M(K)$, see [Ka2]).

2. Global Class Field Theory

Let $X$ be a proper integral scheme over the ring of rational integers $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $K$ be the function field of $X$. For simplicity we assume here $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and that $K$ has no ordered field structure.

For a non-zero coherent ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ and for $q \geq 1$, define the sheaf of abelian groups $K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X, I)$ on $X_{\text{zar}}$ by

$$
K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X, I) = \text{Ker}(K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X) \to K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X/I))
$$

where

$$
K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathcal{O}_X^\times)^{\otimes q})/J
$$

with $J$ the subgroup sheaf of the tensor product generated by local sections of the form $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_q$ such that $a_i + a_j = 1$ for some $i \neq j$, and $K^M_q(\mathcal{O}_X/I)$ is defined similarly. Define

$$
C_I(X) = H^n(X_{\text{zar}}, K^M_n(\mathcal{O}_X, I)), \quad \text{where } n = \dim(X).
$$

If $I$ and $I'$ are non-zero coherent ideals of $\mathcal{O}_X$, the inclusion $I \subset I'$ induces a surjection $C_I(X) \to C_{I'}(X)$. The main result of the class field theory of $K$ is the following.

Theorem 2.1. (1) $C_I(X)$ is a finite group for any $I$.

(2) We have a canonical isomorphism of profinite abelian groups

$$
\lim_{\leftarrow I} C_I(X) \cong \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K),
$$

where $I$ ranges over all non-zero coherent ideals of $\mathcal{O}_X$.

(3) For a non-empty regular open subscheme $U$ of $X$, there exists a canonical isomorphism of profinite abelian groups

$$
\lim_{\leftarrow I} C_I(X) \cong \pi^{\text{ab}}_I(U),
$$

.
where \( I \) ranges over all non-zero coherent ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_X \) such that \( U \cap \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X/I) = \phi \), and \( \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(U) \) is the quotient of the algebraic fundamental group \( \pi_1(U) \) of \( U \) modulo the closure of its commutator subgroup.

(4) If \( X \) is regular, then \( C_{\mathcal{O}_X}(X) \) is isomorphic to the group \( \text{CH}_0(X) \) of the classes of zero cycles on \( X \) modulo rational equivalence, and we have a canonical isomorphism of finite abelian groups

\[
\text{CH}_0(X) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X).
\]

An essential part of this theorem was proved by Bloch [Bli] in the case \( \dim(X) = 2 \). Cf. also [Pai] for the two dimensional case. The general case was proved in [KS2] (see also S. Saito [SS1]) by using the method in Bloch [Bli], except that we adopted in [KS2] another definition of \( C_1(X) \) which uses Nisnevich topology [Ni], a Grothendieck topology defined by Nisnevich [Ni] (called the henselian topology in [KS2]), instead of Zariski topology. \( C_1(X) \equiv H^n(\mathcal{X}_{\text{Nis}}, K^M_n(\mathcal{O}_X, I)) \) in [KS2]). It was found later that Nisnevich topology and Zariski topology give the same \( C_1(X) \) [KS3].

The relation of the above theorem with the classical global class field theory is that if \( X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \) for a finite extension \( K \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \), then \( C_1(X) \) coincides with the ideal class group of conductor \( I \) of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).

The positive characteristic version of (4) of the above theorem is that if \( X \) is a proper smooth variety over a finite field \( k \), \( \text{CH}_0(X)^0 = \text{Ker}(\deg : \text{CH}_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}) \) is finite and canonically isomorphic to \( \text{Ker}(\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \to \text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)) \). This fact was proved in [KS1], and another proof was given in Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc and Soulé [CSS] and Gros [Gr]. That the former group surjects onto the latter was proved long ago by Lang whose paper [Lan] is the first work on the higher dimensional class field theory.

As an application of the generalized global class field theory, we have

**Theorem 2.2.** For any scheme \( S \) of finite type over \( \mathbb{Z} \), the abelian group \( \text{CH}_0(S) \) is of finite type.

The case \( \dim(S) = 2 \) of this theorem was proved by Bloch [Bli], and the general case was proved in [KS2].

Some finitess theorems on \( \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(S) \) for arithmetic schemes \( S \) were proved in Katz-Lang [KL].

### 3. Some Aspects of the Generalized Local Class Field Theory

I give rough indications on some aspects of generalizations of local class field theory, which are not included in §1.
3.1 Explicit Reciprocity Law

The explicit reciprocity law for a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ has been generalized to higher dimensional local fields of mixed characteristic by Vostokov-Kirrilov [VK] and Vostokov [V]. A generalization to complete discrete valuation fields of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$ with residue field $F$ such that $[F : F^p] < \infty$ is given in [Ka4], as an application of the $p$-adic cohomology theory of Fontaine-Messing [FM].

Let $K$ be “the most important two dimensional local field”

$$\bigl( \lim_{\rightarrow n} (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[[q]][q^{-1}] \bigr) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

where $q$ is the $q$-invariant in the theory of moduli of elliptic curves. This field appears as a certain $p$-adic completion at infinity of a modular curve. As is shown in [Ka3], the explicit reciprocity laws of the two dimensional local fields $K(\zeta_{p^n})$, where $\zeta_{p^n}$ is a primitive $p^n$-th root of 1, are related to the special values of $L$-functions of elliptic modular forms and to an Iwasawa theory of elliptic modular forms, just as the explicit reciprocity laws of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})$ are related to the special values of Riemann zeta function and to the classical Iwasawa theory.

3.2 Semi-Global Theories

The class field theory of curves over (usual) local fields was studied by Coombes [Co] and S. Saito [SS2], and that of surfaces over local fields was studied recently by S. Saito and Salberger.

The class field theory of complete discrete valuation fields whose residue fields are (usual) global fields of positive characteristic was sought for first by Ihara [Ih], and studied by [Ka1] III.

The class field theory of two dimensional complete noetherian local rings with finite residue fields (for example $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$) was studied by S. Saito [SS3].

3.3 Serre's Local Class Field Theory

Serre’s local class field theory of a complete discrete valuation field with algebraically closed residue field [Se2], and its generalization by Hazewinkel to the perfect residue field case [Ha], are generalized to the imperfect residue field case as a duality theorem of the following form: If $K$ is a complete discrete valuation field with residue field $F$ such that $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ and $[F : F^p] = p^r < \infty$, then the Galois cohomologies

$$R\Gamma(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}(s)) \quad \text{and} \quad R\Gamma(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}(t)),$$

are in perfect duality via the dualizing functor $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}}(, W_n\Omega^r_{F,\text{log}})[r + 1]$. Here the objects (*) and the logarithmic part of the de Rham-Witt sheaf $W_n\Omega^r_{F,\text{log}}$ ([III]) are not regarded just as a complex of abelian groups or as objects on the small etale site on $\text{Spec}(F)_\text{et}$, but regarded as objects on a much bigger site. (Precisely speaking, they are regarded as objects on the site of schemes $S$ over
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which are locally isomorphic to "relative perfections" ([Ka₃] I, II) of smooth schemes over $F$, endowed with the etale topology.) The details of this duality theorem are given in [Ka₃] III.

For example, if $F$ is an algebraically closed field, this duality and the inclusion

$$U_K/(U_K)^p \subset K^\times/(K^\times)^p = H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}(1))$$

induces $H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}^1(U_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$, where $U_K$ is the unit group of $K$ which is not regarded just as a group, but regarded as a pro-algebraic group over $F$. This reproduces the $p$-primary part (the essential part) of Serre's local class field theory

$$\pi_1(U_K) \cong \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K).$$

4. Ramification Theory

In the classical ramification theory, we mainly consider a finite extension $B/A$ of discrete valuation rings with perfect residue fields. We have the following three kinds of important invariants of ramification: The different $\delta(B/A) \in \mathbb{Z}$; in the case of Galois extension with Galois group $G$, the Lefschetz numbers

$$i(\sigma) = \text{length}(B/I_\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma \in G - \{1\},$$

with $I_\sigma$ the ideal of $B$ generated by $\{\sigma(b) - b ; b \in B\}$; also in the Galois case, the Artin (or Swan) conductors of representations of $G$. Is it possible to generalize these invariants and relations between them such as

$$(*) \quad \delta(L/K) = \sum_{\sigma \in G - \{1\}} i(\sigma)$$

to higher dimensional schemes?

Concerning $\delta(B/A)$ and $i(\sigma)$, Bloch obtained in [Bl₂] a nice ramification theory in higher dimensions, generalizing $\delta(B/A)$ and $i(\sigma)$ to zero cycle classes on the ramification locus (i.e. to elements of $CH_0(E)$ where $E$ is the ramification locus). His projection formula [Bl₂] (7.1) is an extension of (*) to two dimensional schemes.

Concerning conductors, there are many different attempts of generalizations (Deligne [De], Laumon [La], S. Saito [SS₄], Berthelot [Be₁, Be₂]). In the following, I only introduce my method on the conductors of one dimensional Galois representations [Ka⁷] which is closely related to the generalized local class field theory. I generalize, under the influence of Bloch's theory [Bl₂], the Swan conductors to zero cycle classes on the wild ramification locus in the two dimensional case (the plan exists even for dimension $\geq 3$), and give applications Theorems (4.1)–(4.3).

Let $X$ be an excellent connected normal scheme, $U$ a regular dense open subscheme of $X$, and let $\chi : \pi_1^{ab}(U) \to \mathbb{A}^\times$ be a continuous homomorphism where $\mathbb{A}$ is a discrete (commutative) field. We are interested in the wild ramification of $\chi$. 

...
on $X$. We assume that the wild ramification locus $E$ of $x$ on $X$ (with the reduced scheme structure) is a disjoint union of schemes of finite type over perfect fields. This condition is satisfied for example if $X$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ or over a perfect field.

First, we have a divisor called "Swan conductor divisor" ([Kaô]) $sw(x) = \sum_p sw_p(x) \{p\}$ on $X$ ($\{p\}$ denotes the closure of $\{p\}$), where $p$ ranges over all points of codimension one. The integer $sw_p(x)$ has the following properties: (i) If $\dim(X) = 1$, it coincides with the classical Swan conductor of $x$ at $p$. (ii) $sw_p(x) > 0$ if and only if $x$ is wildly ramified at $p$. Furthermore, if the following condition

(C) $X$ is regular and $D = (X - U)_{\text{red}}$ is a divisor with normal crossings on $X$,

we have a canonical global section

$$rsw(\chi) \in \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(sw(x)))$$

called the refined Swan conductor ([Kaô] I). Here $\Omega_X^1(\log(D))$ is the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module on $X_{et}$ defined by generators $d\log(a)$ $(a \in j_*(\mathcal{O}_E^x))$ with $j$ the inclusion map $U \to X$ subject to natural relations such as "$d(\log(a)$ is additive in $a$", and $\mathcal{O}_X(sw(x))$ denotes the invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module corresponding to the divisor $sw(x)$. In this case, $E$ coincides with the support of the divisor $sw(x)$. It can be shown that the $\mathcal{O}_E$-module $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))$ is locally free of rank (locally) $\dim(E) + 1$. If $X$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$, these $sw(x)$ and $rsw(#)$ have explicit descriptions in terms of $K$-theoretic class field theory ([Kaô] I). We say $(X, U, x)$ is clean if at any point $x$ of $E$, the stalk of $rsw(\chi)$ at $x$ (which is always non-zero) is a part of a basis of $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(sw(x))$ at $x$. If $(X, U, x)$ is clean, we define a cycle $\text{Char}(X, U, x)$ on the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))$ over $E$ by

$$\text{Char}(X, U, x) = \sum_p sw_p(x) \text{Image}(\varphi_p),$$

where $\varphi_p$ is the map $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-sw(x)) \to \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))$ induced by $rsw(\chi)$, and $\text{Image}(\varphi_p)$ is regarded as a subbundle of $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))$. In the clean case, we define our generalization of the Swan conductor

$$c(X, U, x) \in CH_0(E)$$

to be $(-1)^{\dim(E)}$ times the intersection class of the two cycles $\text{Char}(X, U, x)$ and the zero section of the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))$. This $\text{Char}(X, U, x)$ is an analogue of the characteristic cycle in the theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Now without the condition (C), I conjecture that there exists a proper birational morphism $f : X' \to X$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \cong U$ and $(X', f^{-1}(U), x)$ is clean. It can be proved ([Kaô] I) that if $\dim(X) \leq 2$, this conjecture is true and

$$c(X, U, x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} f_* c(X', f^{-1}(U), x) \in CH_0(E)$$

is independent of the choice of such $X'$. 

Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a proper normal surface over an algebraically closed field $k$, $U$ a regular dense open subscheme of $X$, $\ell$ a prime number different from $\text{char}(k)$, $\chi : \pi_1^{ab}(U) \to \overline{F}_\ell^X$ a continuous homomorphism, and $\mathcal{F}$ the $\overline{F}_\ell$-sheaf on $U_{\text{et}}$ of rank one corresponding to $\chi$. Then,

$$
\chi(U, \mathcal{F}) = \chi(U, \overline{F}_\ell) - \deg(c(X, U, \chi)).
$$

Here $\chi(\ )$ denotes the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\overline{F}_\ell} H^i_{\text{et}}(\ )$.

This theorem was generalized by T. Saito [ST$_2$] to the case $\dim(X)$ is arbitrary under a certain assumption on $\chi$.

The Riemann-Roch formula for the Euler-Poincaré characteristics of $\ell$-adic sheaves ($\ell \neq \text{characteristic}$), which should generalize the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula on $\ell$-adic sheaves on curves to higher dimensional varieties, was sought for first by Grothendieck. A formula in the characteristic zero case was obtained by MacPherson [Ma]. In the positive characteristic case, results for surfaces, of types different from (4.1), have been obtained by Deligne [De], Laumon [Lau] (using ideas of Deligne), S. Saito [SS$_4$]. Deligne is the first person who had the idea of the characteristic cycle of an $\ell$-adic sheaf. Recently, Berthelot obtained a Riemann-Roch formula for $\mathcal{O}$-modules with Frobenius in characteristic $p$ by defining the characteristic cycle of a $\mathcal{O}$-module with Frobenius ([Be$_2$] basing on his theory [Be$_1$]). There should be close relations between his characteristic cycle, the characteristic cycle of Deligne, and the characteristic cycle $\text{Char}(X, U, \chi)$ discussed above.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions $k$ and with perfect residue field $F$. Let $X$ be a regular connected $A$-scheme which is proper flat of relative dimension one over $A$, $U$ a dense open subscheme of $X_k = X \otimes_A k$, let $\ell$ be a prime different from $\text{char}(F)$, let $\chi : \pi_1^{ab}(U) \to \overline{F}_\ell^X$ be a continuous homomorphism, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $\overline{F}_\ell$-sheaf of rank one on $U$ corresponding to $\chi$. Assume $\chi$ is at worst tamely ramified at points in $X_k - U$. (This last condition is satisfied automatically if $\text{char}(k) = 0$). Then

$$
\text{sw}(R\Gamma((U_k^\text{et}, \mathcal{F})) = \text{sw}(R\Gamma((U_k^\text{et}, \overline{F}_\ell)) + \deg(c(X, U, \chi)).
$$

Here $\text{sw}(R\Gamma(\ )) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{sw}(H^i(\ ))$, with $\text{sw}$ the Swan conductor of a representation of $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{sep}}/k)$.

In [Bl$_2$], Bloch obtained a formula which expresses $\text{sw}(R\Gamma((U_k^\text{et}, \overline{F}_\ell))$ in terms of the differential module $\Omega^1_{X/A}$.

Finally we discuss a conjecture of Serre. Let $B$ be a regular local ring and let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B)$. Assume that the following conditions (i)(ii) are satisfied.

(i) For any $\sigma \in G - \{1\}$, $B/I_\sigma$ is of finite length where $I_\sigma$ denotes the ideal of $B$ generated by $\{\sigma(b) - b ; b \in B\}$.

(ii) Let $A = \{b \in B ; \sigma(b) = b \text{ for any } \sigma \in G\}$. Then, $A$ is noetherian and $A/m_A \to B/m_B$ is an isomorphism ($m_*$ denote the maximal ideals).
Define the function $a_G : G \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$a_G(\sigma) = -\text{length}(B/I_\sigma) \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma \in G - \{1\},$$

$$a_G(1) = -\sum_{\sigma \in G - \{1\}} a_G(\sigma).$$

If $\dim(B) = 1$, $a_G$ is a character of a representation of $G$ called Artin representation. Serre conjectures that $a_G$ is a character of a representation of $G$ even when $\dim(B) > 1$ ([Sei]).

**Theorem 4.3.** The conjecture is true if $\dim(B) = 2$.

This theorem was proved in Kato, S. Saito, T. Saito [KSS] in the equal characteristic case, and in T. Saito [ST1] in the mixed characteristic case under a certain assumption.

The outline of the proof of (4.3) given in [Ka7] II is very similar to the proof for the one dimensional case. It is sufficient to prove that if $\chi$ is the character of a representation of $G$, then

$$\text{Card}(G)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_G(\sigma)\chi(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$  

By using the two dimensional version in Bloch [Bl2] of the formula (*) at the beginning of §4 and by the theory of Brauer, just as in the one dimensional case, we are reduced to the case $\chi$ is of degree one. We may assume that $A$ is complete, $A/mA$ is perfect, and $\chi$ is wildly ramified. Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, $E = \text{Spec}(A/mA) \subset X$ and $U = X - E$. Then, we can prove

$$\text{Card}(G)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_G(\sigma)\chi(\sigma) = 1 + \deg(c(X, U, \chi)) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\deg$ is the canonical isomorphism $CH_0(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}$.
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The main purpose of this paper is to describe some recent results pertaining to the
diophantine analysis of elliptic curves. A new element is an extension of the set of
explicit cohomology classes, see Section 2.

1. The Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and the
Hypothesis of Finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate Group

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, for example,
by its Weierstrass equation $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$. Let $R$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.
We are interested in the group $E(R)$ called the Mordell-Weil group of $E$ over $R$
and the Shafarevich-Tate group $\Sha(R, E)$. The group $\Sha(R, E)$ is, by definition,
$\ker(H^1(R, E) \to \prod_v H^1(R(v), E))$, where $v$ runs through the set of all places (equival-
ence classes of valuations) of $R$, $R(v)$ is the $v$-adic completion of $R$. For an arbitrary
extension $L$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we let $\bar{L}$ denote an algebraic closure of $L$. If $V/L$ is a Galois
extension, then $G(V/L)$ denotes its Galois group, and $H^1(L, E) = H^1(G(\bar{L}/L), E(\bar{L}))$.

Let $Y$ be some set of algebraic curves over $R$. By definition, the Hasse principle
holds for $Y$, if for all $X \in Y$ one has: $X(R)$ is nonempty $\iff X(R(v))$ is nonempty for
each $v$. The group $\Sha(R, E)$ is the obstacle to the Hasse principle for the set $Y(R, E)$
of main principal homogeneous spaces over $E$ defined over $R$. In particular, the
Hasse principle holds for $Y(R, E)$ if and only if the group $\Sha(R, E)$ is trivial.

According to the Mordell-Weil theorem, $E(R) \simeq F \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(R, E)}$, where $F \simeq E(R)_{tor}$
is a finite group, and $r(R, E)$ is a nonnegative integer called the rank of $E$ over $R$.
Concerning the group $\Sha(R, E)$, it is conjectured that it is finite. In general, it is
known that $\Sha(R, E)$ is a torsion group (being a subgroup of the torsion group
$H^1(R, E)$) and for a natural number $M$ its subgroup $\Sha(R, E)_M$ is finite. If $A$ is an
abelian group, we let $A_M$ denote its subgroup of all elements of exponents $M$. Only
recently in works of Rubin and the author, the finiteness of $\Sha(R, E)$ was proved for
some $E$ and $R$. We shall discuss these results later.

* This work was partly prepared during a visit of the author at the Max-Planck-Institute fü
Mathematik at Bonn. He wishes to express his gratitude for the support and hospitality
provided by this institute.
The elements of $E(R)_{\text{tor}}$ can be effectively calculated. For example, let $R$ be $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $E$ be defined by an equation $u^2 = w^3 + \alpha w + \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta = 4\alpha^3 + 27\beta^2 \neq 0$ (this is always possible). According to the Nagell-Lutz theorem, if $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ is nonzero, then $u(P) = 0$ or $u(P)^2 | \delta$. Mazur determined all possible types of $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$, in particular, $[E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}] \leq 16$.

We are interested here in the case $R = \mathbb{Q}$. No algorithm is known in general for calculating $r(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ and generators of $E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$. But recently here and in the study of $\III(R, E)$ essential progress was made.

More specifically, it is connected to advances towards proving the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (BSD) which predicts a connection between the arithmetic of $E$ and its $L$-function.

We let $L(E, s)$ denote the $L$-function of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, defined for $\Re(s) > 3/2$ as

$$
\prod_q L_q(E, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Here $q$ runs through the set of rational primes. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be the conductor of $E$. If $(q, N) = 1$, then $L_q(E, s) = (1 - a_q q^{-s} + q^{1-2s})^{-1}$, where $a_q = q + 1 - \frac{\#(\bar{E}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}))}{\#(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})}$, $\bar{E}$ being the reduction of $E$ modulo $q$ ($E$ has the good reduction at $q$). If $q | N$, then $L_q(E, s) = 1, (1 \pm q^{-s})^{-1}$ depending on the type of bad reduction of $E$ at $q$.

Assume that $E$ is modular, that is there exists a weak Weil parametrization $\gamma : X_0(N) \to E [12]$. Here $X_0(N)$ is the modular algebraic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ parametrizing classes of isogenies of elliptic curves with cyclic kernel of order $N$. According to the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture, every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is modular. Then $L(E, s)$ has an analytic continuation to an entire function on the complex plane which satisfies a functional equation

$$
Z(E, 2 - s) = \varepsilon Z(E, s)
$$

where $Z(E, s) = (2\pi)^{-s} N^{s/2} \Gamma(s) L(E, s)$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ depends on $E$.

An analogous $L$-function $L(R, E, s)$ of $E$ over $R$ can be defined (its definition is essential for us only up to a finite product of Euler factors), having analogous properties. We let $ar(R, E)$ denote the order of vanishing $L(R, E, s)$ at $s = 1$. According to BSD, one conjectures the identity:

$$
\text{r}(R, E) = \text{ar}(R, E).
$$

Moreover BSD connects the first nonzero coefficient of the expansion of $L(R, E, s)$ around $s = 1$ with the order of $\III(R, E)$ (using the hypothesis that $\III(R, E)$ is finite) and other parameters of $E$, but we do not go into this here.

In the sequel we will omit the letter $\mathbb{Q}$ in the notations $\III(\mathbb{Q}, E), r(\mathbb{Q}, E), ar(\mathbb{Q}, E)$. It follows from (1) that $\text{ar}(E)$ is even when $\varepsilon = 1$, $\text{ar}(E)$ is odd when $\varepsilon = -1$. $E$ is called even or odd, respectively.

For $R = \mathbb{Q}$ the current state of conjecture (2) and of the hypothesis of finiteness of $\III(E)$ is expressed by the result:

**Theorem 1.** The equality $r(E) = \text{ar}(E)$ holds and $\III(E)$ is finite if $\text{ar}(E) \leq 1$. 

We remark that empirical material shows that curves with $ar(E) > 1$ compose a relatively small part in the set of all curves. Apparently (taking into account the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture), Theorem 1 covers a substantial part of all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Further we discuss a scheme of the proof of Theorem 1, formulate earlier results and give some examples.

Let $D$ be a fundamental discriminant of the imaginary-quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ such that $D \equiv \square \pmod{4N}$, $D \neq -3, -4$. As $E$ is modular, there exists the Heegner point $P_D \in E(K)$ (which will be defined later), it satisfies the condition:

$$\sigma e P_D = -e P_D$$

(3)

where $e = \text{exponent of } E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$, $\sigma$ is the generator of $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$. The author proved [6]–[8]:

**Theorem 2.** The equality $r(E) = ar(E)$ holds and $\text{III}(E)$ is finite if 1) $ar(E) \leq 1$, 2) $\exists D | P_D$ has infinite order.

From the Gross and Zagier results [5] it follows

**Theorem 3.** If $(D, 2N) = 1$, then $ar(K, E) \geq 1$, $ar(K, E) = 1 \iff P_D$ has infinite order.

Waldspurger [21] for $ar(E) = 1$ and, independently, Bump, Friedberg, Hoffstein [2] and M. Murty, V.K. Murty [14] for $ar(E) = 0$ proved

**Theorem 4.** If $ar(E) \leq 1$, then $(D, 2N) = 1$ and $ar(K, E) = 1$ for an infinite set of values of $D$.

So from Theorems 3, 4 it then follows that condition 2) in Theorem 2 follows from condition 1), that is Theorem 2 is equivalent to Theorem 1.

From (1) we have that $ar(E) = 0 \Rightarrow e = 1$, $ar(E) = 1 \Rightarrow e = -1$. Using (3), we deduce from the conditions: $P_D$ has infinite order, $r(K, E) = 1$, and $ar(E) \leq 1$, that $r(E) = ar(E)$. The kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{III}(E) \to \text{III}(K, E)$ is $\text{III}(E) \cap H^1(G(K/\mathbb{Q}), E(K)) \subset \text{III}(E)_2$ which is a finite group.

Thus Theorem 2 is a consequence of the author’s result [8]:

**Theorem 5.** The equality $r(K, E) = 1$ holds, and $\text{III}(K, E)$ is finite, if $P_D$ has infinite order.

We note that Theorems 5, 3 give (1) for $R = K$ when $ar(K, E) = 1$. The inequality $r(E) \geq 1$ when $ar(E) = 1$ follows already from Theorem 3 and Waldspurger's result.

A subclass in the class of modular elliptic curves is formed by elliptic curves with complex multiplication: $\text{End}(E) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and then $\text{End}(E)$ is an order with class number one of an imaginary-quadratic extension $k$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. We let $W'$ denote this subclass. The modular invariant $j = g_2^3/(g_2^3 - 27g_3^2)$, which runs through all rational numbers on the set of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, takes on 13 values on the set $W'$. 
The specific property of a curve from \( W' \) is the possibility to use, in studying it, the theory of abelian extensions of \( k \) because \( E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \subset E(k_{ab}) \) for \( E \in W' \). In particular, by using so called elliptic units, Coates and Wiles [3] proved (2) for \( E \in W' \), \( ar(E) \neq 0 \). Recently Rubin [17], also using elliptic units (we will come back to this later), proved under the same condition that \( \text{III}(F) \) is finite. This gave the first examples of finite groups \( \text{III}(E) \). Moreover he proved that, for \( E \in W', \ ar(E) = 1 \Rightarrow r(E) \leq 1 \).

2. Explicit Cohomology Classes

Now we discuss briefly the method of proof of Theorem 5.

For an arbitrary extension \( L \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \) the exact sequence \( 0 \rightarrow E_M \rightarrow E(\bar{L}) \rightarrow E(\bar{L}) \rightarrow 0 \) \((E_M = E(\bar{Q})_M)\) induces the exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow E(L)/ME(L) \rightarrow H^1(L, E_M) \rightarrow H^1(L, E) \rightarrow 0. \tag{4}
\]

The Selmer group \( S_M(R, E) \), by definition, is the subgroup of \( H^1(R, E_M) \) consisting of elements whose image in \( H^1(R(v), E_M) \) lies in \( E(R(v))/ME(R(v)) \) for all places \( v \) of \( R \). In particular, (4) induces the exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow E(R)/ME(R) \rightarrow S_M(R, E) \rightarrow \text{III}(R, E) \rightarrow 0. \tag{5}
\]

It is known (the weak Mordell-Weil theorem) that \( S_M(R, E) \) is a finite \( M \)-torsion group. In particular, \( \text{III}(R, E)_M \) is a finite group as we remarked before.

Let \( R = K \). If \( P = P_D \) has infinite order, then we define \( C = C_D \) to be the maximal natural number dividing the image of \( P \) in \( E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}} \approx \mathbb{Z}^{r(K, E)} \). We let \( C = 0 \) if \( P \in E(K)_{\text{tor}} \). Thus \( P \) has infinite order \( \Leftrightarrow C \neq 0 \). We let \( S'_M \) denote the factor group of \( S_M(K, E) \) modulo the subgroup generated by \( P \). Taking into account (5) and the Mordell-Weil theorem: \( E(K) \approx F \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(K, E)} \), with \( F \) finite, Theorem 5 will follow from the existence of \( C' \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( C'S'_M = 0 \forall M \in \mathbb{N} \).

The non-degenerate alternating Weil pairing \( [\ , \ ]_M : E_M \times E_M \rightarrow \mu_M = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_M^* \) induces a pairing

\[
[\ , \ ]_{M, v} : H^1(K(v), E_M) \times H^1(K(v), E_M) \rightarrow H^2(K(v), \mu_M).
\]

For \( v = \infty \) the field \( K(\infty) \approx \mathbb{C} \) and the corresponding cohomology groups are trivial. For \( v \neq \infty \) the group \( H^2(K(v), \mu_M) \) is identified canonically with \( \mathbb{Z}/MZ \) by local class field theory. If \( a, b \in H^1(K, E_M) \), then \( \langle a, b \rangle_{M, v} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle a(v), b(v) \rangle_{M, v} \), where \( a(v), b(v) \) are the localizations of \( a, b \). According to global class field theory (the reciprocity law) \( \langle a, b \rangle_{M, v} \neq 0 \) only for a finite set of places \( v \) and the following relation holds:

\[
\sum_{v \neq 0} \langle a, b \rangle_{M, v} = 0. \tag{6}
\]

Relation (6) can be considered as a condition on \( a \) if an element \( b \) is fixed. To use (6) for the study of \( S_M(K, E) \) it is necessary to find explicit elements \( b \). This was my strategy. Thus I constructed a set \( T \) of explicit elements of \( H^1(K, E_M) \) by using Heegner points over ring class fields of \( K \). The special properties of these elements
allowed to deduce from (6) with \(a \in S_M(K, E)\) and \(b \in T\) the relation \(C' S'_M = 0\) for some \(C' \in \mathbb{N}\), the divisor and main component of which is \(C\).

Now we describe the construction of an element from \(T\). First we define the Heegner points. Fix an ideal \(i\) in the ring of integers \(O\) of \(K\) such that \(O/i \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\) (it exists in view of the assumptions on \(D\)). If \(\lambda \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(K_\lambda\) denotes the ring class field of \(K\) of conductor \(\lambda\). It is a finite abelian extension of \(K\). Let \(O_\lambda\) be \(\mathbb{Z} + \lambda O\), \(i_\lambda = i \cap O_\lambda\). If \((\lambda, N) = 1\), we define the point \(z_\lambda \in X_0(N)(K_\lambda)\) as corresponding to the class of the isogeny \(\mathbb{C}/O_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}/i_\lambda^{-1}\), where \(i_\lambda^{-1}\) is the inverse of \(i_\lambda\) in the group of proper \(O_\lambda\)-ideals. We let \(y_\lambda = \gamma(z_\lambda) \in E(K_\lambda), P = P_D = \text{the norm of } y_\lambda \text{ from } K_\lambda \text{ to } K\). The points \(y_\lambda, P\) are called Heegner points (corresponding to the parametrization \(\gamma : X_0(N) \to E, K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \text{ and } i\)).

We use the notation \(p\) or \(p\) with (a subscript) for rational primes which do not divide \(N\) and remain prime in \(K\). We let \(A'\) denote the set of all products \(p_1 \ldots p_r\) with distinct \(p_m, A' = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A'\).

Let \(\lambda \in A\), \(G_\lambda = G(K_\lambda/K_1)\). The group \(G_\lambda\) is the direct product of the subgroups \(G_{\lambda, p} = G(K_\lambda/K_1)\) for \(p|\lambda\). The natural homomorphism \(G_{\lambda, p} \to G_{p}\) is an isomorphism. The group \(G_p\) is isomorphic to the group \(\mathbb{Z}/(p + 1)\mathbb{Z}\). For each \(p\), we fix a generator \(t_p \in G_{p, p}, t_p \in G_{\lambda, p}\) denotes the corresponding generator of \(G_{\lambda, p}\). We let \(\text{Tr}_p = \sum_{j=0}^\infty t_p^j.\) Recall that \(\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-s} = L(E, s)\) for \(\Re(s) > 3/2\). For \(p|\lambda\) one finds the relation:

\[
\text{Tr}_p y_\lambda = a_p y_{\lambda/p}.
\] (7)

The relations (7) are the basis for the definition of explicit cohomology classes.

Let \(A_\lambda\) denote the ring \(\mathbb{Z}[G_{\lambda}]\). We define a \(A_\lambda\)-module \(B_\lambda\) in the following way. Let \(F_\lambda\) be the direct sum \(\sum_{\eta|\lambda} A_\eta\), where \(G_\lambda\) acts on \(A_\eta\) by the natural homomorphism \(\lambda \to \lambda\). Let \(1_\eta\) denote the unit of \(A_\eta, H_\lambda\) be the \(A_\lambda\)-submodule of \(F_\lambda\) generated by the elements \(\text{Tr}_p 1_\eta - a_p 1_\eta/p\) for all \(p|\eta|\lambda\). Then \(B_\lambda = F_\lambda/H_\lambda\).

It is not difficult to prove that \((B_\lambda)_{tor} = 0\). Let \(1_\eta'\) be the image of \(1_\eta\) in \(B_\lambda\), then \(\{1_\eta', \eta|\lambda\}\) is a system of generators of \(B_\lambda\) over \(A_\lambda\). By (7) \(1\) homomorphism \(\phi : B_\lambda \to E(K_\lambda)\) such that \(1_\eta' \to y_\eta\). We let \(I_p = -\sum_{j=1}^\infty j_\eta j_\eta' \in A_\lambda, I_\lambda = \prod_{p|\lambda} I_p\). Let \(Q_\lambda\) be the element \(I_\lambda 1_\lambda'\).

For \(M \in \mathbb{N}\) we define \(A(M)\) as the subset of \(A\) consisting of elements \(\lambda\) such that \(M|\lambda|, M|p\forall p|\lambda\). Further, \(A'(M) = A' \cap A(M)\). We claim that \((1 - g)Q_\lambda \in MB_\lambda\) for \(\lambda \in A(M)\) and \(g \in G_\lambda\). It is enough to verify this for \(g = t_p\), where \(p|\lambda\). It is clear that

\[
(1 - t_p) I_p = \text{Tr}_p - (p + 1).
\] (8)

Thus, we have \((1 - t_p)Q_\lambda = I_{\lambda/p}(1 - t_p)I_p 1_\lambda' = I_{\lambda/p}(\text{Tr}_p - (p + 1)) 1_\lambda' = I_{\lambda/p}(a_p 1_\lambda' - (p + 1) 1_\lambda') \in MB_\lambda\).

As \((B_\lambda)_{tor} = 0\), there exists a unique element \(((1 - g)Q_\lambda)/M \in B_\lambda\). We define the element \(\tau_\lambda(M) \in H^1(K_1, E_M)\) to be the class of the cocycle:

\[
\psi : g \mapsto (g - 1)(\phi(Q_\lambda/M) + \phi(((1 - g)Q_\lambda)/M),
\]

where \(g \in G(K_1/K_1)\). The element \(\tau_\lambda(M) \in H^1(K, E_M)\) we define as the corestriction of \(\tau_\lambda(M)\). We call \(T\) the set \(\{\tau_\lambda(M), M \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in A(M)\}\).
Let \( b \) denote the image of \( b \in H^1(K, E_M) \) in \( H^1(K_1, E) \), \( c(M) = (\tau(M)) \). That is, \( c(M) \) is the corestriction of the element of \( H^1(K_1, E) \) defined by the cocycle, \( g \mapsto \varphi((1 - g)Q_k)/M \). If \( \lambda \in A^r(M) \), then the automorphism \( \sigma \in G(K/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts on \( c(M) \) by multiplication by \((-1)^{r+1}e\). The symbol \( \langle a, b \rangle_M \) depends only on \( b \), if \( a \in S_M(K, E) \).

The elements \( c_p(M) \) were defined first, see [6]. This allowed to prove the relation \( C'(\sigma + \varepsilon)S_M(K, E) = 0 \), which is equivalent to the finiteness of \( \epsilon \) and \( \lambda \) when \( \varepsilon = 1 \), and to the finiteness of \( E_D(Q) \) and \( III(E_D) \) when \( \varepsilon = -1 \). Here \( E_D \) is the elliptic curve (the form of \( E \) over \( K \)) defined by the equation \( Dy^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 \).

In [8] there were defined elements \( T_A(M) \) for some subset of the set \( \{M \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in A(M)\} \) containing the set \( \{M|d = 1, \lambda \in A(M)\} \), where \( d = \) exponent of \( E(K)_{\text{tor}} \), \( K \) is the composite of the \( K_\lambda \), for \( \lambda \in A \). By using here the modules \( B_A \) and the property \( (B_A)_{\text{tor}} = 0 \) we shake off the additional restrictions on \( (M, \lambda) \) when \( (M, d) \) \( > \) 1. The relation (6) with \( (b) = c(M) \) when \( \lambda \in A^r(M) \), \( r \leq 2 \), allowed to prove the relation \( C'S'_M = 0 \).

We note that an application of the elements \( \tau(M) \) when \( \lambda \in A^r \) with arbitrary \( r \geq 0 \) allowed in [8] to pass from a relation of the type \( C'III(K, E) = 0 \) to a relation of the type \( [III(K, E)]C^2 \). Because of the existence on \( III(K, E) \) of a non-degenerate (as \( W(K, E) \) is finite) alternate Cassels pairing with values in \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \), it then follows that the second relation implies the first relation.

In [20] Thaine used the cyclotomic units for a new proof of annihilating relations in the ideal class groups of real abelian extensions of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Rubin [16] adapted Thaine's approach, using elliptic units instead of cyclotomic units, for proving annihilating relations in the ideal class groups of abelian extensions of the imaginary-quadratic field \( k = \text{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) when \( E \in W' \). By using the natural connection between ideal class groups and the Selmer group \( S_M(Q, E) \) Rubin proved an universal annihilating relation for \( S_M(Q, E) \) by the condition that \( ar(E) = 0 \).

A comparison of the approaches of Thaine [20] and of the author [6] for proving annihilating relations in the ideal class groups and in the Selmer groups, respectively, suggested the possibility in [7] of combining them into a single general framework. A further step was a construction and use in [8] of sets of cohomology classes of the type \( T \); both in the theory of modular elliptic curves and in the theory of ideal class groups of abelian extensions of \( \mathbb{Q} \) or an imaginary-quadratic extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). For information on this theory and some further applications we refer to the papers [8, 1, 4, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 18, 19].

3. Examples

Example 1 (Rubin [17]). For the curves with complex multiplication \((k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\)
\(y^2 = x^3 - x, y^2 = x^3 + 17x\) we have: \( r(E) = ar(E) = 0, III(E) = 0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\), respectively.

Example 2 (Kolyvagin [7]). Let \( E: y^2 = 4x^3 - 4x + 1 \). It is an odd modular curve without complex multiplication, of conductor \( N = 37 \). Let \((D, 2N) = 1 \). The curves \( E_{(D)} \)
are even and have no complex multiplication. For computation of \( L(E_{(D)}, 1) \) and \( C_D \) the following identity can be used:

\[
L(E_{(D)}, 1) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(D)}{n} \exp(-2\pi n/(|D|\sqrt{37})) = (2\Omega_\text{-}/\sqrt{D})C_D^2
\]

where \( \Omega_\text{-} \) the imaginary period of \( E \), \( \left( \frac{D}{n} \right) \) the Legendre symbol. See [22] for (10); the connection between \( L(E_{(D)}, 1) \) and \( C_D \) is a consequence of the results of Gross and Zagier [5].

Let \( L(E_{(D)}, 1) \neq 0 \) or, equivalently, \( C_D \neq 0 \). Then \( E_{(D)}(\mathbb{Q}) \) is finite and, moreover, is trivial because always \( E_{(D)}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} = 0 \). That is equation (9) has no solutions in rational numbers. Further, \( \text{III}(E_{(D)}) \) is finite and \( C_D\text{III}(E_{(D)}) = 0 \). For example, if \( D = -7, -11 \) then \( C_D = 1 \), so \( \text{III}(E_{(D)}) = 0 \). See [7] for further information on this example.

We recall that \( C_D \neq 0 \) for an infinite set of values of \( D \) according to a result of Waldspurger.

It is a classical fact that \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \) is generated by the point \( (y = 1, x = 0) \). Of course, \( ar(E) = 1 \), see [22], for example. The author proved [8] that \( \text{III}(E) = 0 \).
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1. La transformation de Fourier géométrique
[Br, De1, Il1, Ka1, Ka-La, La1]

Dans tout cet exposé, on fixe deux nombres premiers distincts \( p \) et \( \ell \), une clôture algébrique \( \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \) du corps \( \mathbf{Q}_\ell \) des nombres \( \ell \)-adiques et un caractère additif non trivial \( \psi : \mathbb{F}_p \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^* \). On fixe aussi un corps algébriquement clos \( k \) de caractéristique \( p \). On désignera par \( q \) une puissance de \( p \) (\( q = p^f, f \in \mathbb{N}^* \)), par \( \mathbb{F}_q \) l'unique sous-corps de \( k \) à \( q \) éléments et par \( \text{Frob}_q \in \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_q) \) l'inverse de l'élément de Frobenius (\( \text{Frob}_q(\alpha)^q = \alpha, \forall \alpha \in k \)). On utilisera librement le formalisme des 6 opérations de Grothendieck entre les catégories dérivées \( D^b_c(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \) (\( X \) un \( k \)-schéma de type fini) ainsi que le formalisme des \( t \)-structures (cf. [SGA4, SGA5, SGA7, B-B-D, De2 et Ek]).

Soient \( S \) un \( k \)-schéma de type fini, \( \pi : E \to S \) un fibré vectoriel de rang constant \( d \), \( \pi' : E' \to S \) le fibré dual, \( \text{pr} : E' \times_S E \to E \), \( \text{pr}' : E' \times_S E \to E' \) les projections canoniques et \( \langle , \rangle : E' \times_S E \to \mathbf{A}_S^1 \) l'accouplement de dualité. Sur \( \mathbf{A}_S^1 = S[u] \), on a le revêtement d'Artin-Schreier

\[ S[v] \to S[u], \quad v \mapsto u = v^p - v \]

qui est étale, galoisien, de groupe de Galois \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Si l'on pousse ce \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-torseur par le caractère \( \psi^{-1} \) on obtient un \( \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \)-faisceau lisse de rang 1 (un "\( \mathbf{Q}_\ell \)-torseur") sur \( \mathbf{A}_S^1 \), noté \( \mathcal{L}_\psi \). La transformation de Fourier géométrique, inventée par Deligne, est l'opération

\[ \mathcal{F}_\psi : D^b_c(E, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell) \to D^b_c(E', \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell), \]

\[ \mathcal{F}_\psi(-) = R\text{pr}'(\text{pr}^*(-) \otimes (\langle , \rangle)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)[d]. \]

Théorème 1.1 (Deligne). (i) \( \mathcal{F}_\psi \) est une équivalence de catégories triangulées, de quasi-inverse \( \mathcal{F}_\psi(-)(d) \), où \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^\vee \) est la transformation de Fourier géométrique pour \( \pi' : E' \to S \) (on a identifié \( E'' \) à \( E \) par \( e \mapsto (e' \mapsto -(e', e)) \)).

(ii) Si \( E = E_1 \times_S E_2 \) pour deux fibrés vectoriels \( E_1 \) et \( E_2 \) de rang constant sur \( S \), on a

\[ \mathcal{F}_\psi \left( \text{pr}_{1}^{*}(-) \otimes \text{pr}_{2}^{*}(-) \right) \simeq \text{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\psi,1}(-) \otimes \text{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\psi,2}(-), \]
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où $\mathcal{F}_{\psi,i}(-)$ est la transformation de Fourier géométrique pour $E_i$ et $\text{pr}_i : E_1 \times_S E_2 \rightarrow E_i$ (resp. $\text{pr}'_i : E'_1 \times_S E'_2 \rightarrow E'_i$) la projection canonique.

(iii) Si $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ est un morphisme de fibrés vectoriels de rang constant sur $S$, on a

$$f'^* \mathcal{F}_{\psi,i}(-)[d_2 - d_1] \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\psi,2}Rf_1(-),$$

où $f'$ est le transposé de $f$, où $d_i$ est le rang de $E_i$ et où $\mathcal{F}_{\psi,i}$ est la transformation de Fourier géométrique pour $E_i$.

**Théorème 1.2 (Verdier).** Pour tout $K \in \text{ob } D^b_c(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, la flèche d'oubli des supports

$$R\text{pr}'_1(\text{pr}^* K \otimes (\cdot)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \rightarrow R\text{pr}'_*(\text{pr}^* K \otimes (\cdot)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)$$

est un isomorphisme dans $D^b_c(E', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.

Compte-tenu de la dualité de Verdier, de la $t$-exactitude à droite de $f_*$ pour $f$ affine (prouvée par Artin) et de la forme forte des conjectures de Weil (prouvée par Deligne), le Théorème 1.2 admet les corollaires suivants:

**Corollaire 1.3.** On a un isomorphisme canonique

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_\psi(K), \pi^! L) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\psi,-1}(R\mathcal{H}om(K, \pi^1 L))(d)$$

bi-fonctoriel en $(K, L) \in \text{ob}(D^b_c(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\text{opp}} \times D^b_c(S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l))$.

**Corollaire 1.4.** $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ est $t$-exact et induit une équivalence de catégories abéliennes

$$\mathcal{F}_\psi : \text{Perv}(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(E', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

de quasi-inverse $\mathcal{F}_\psi(-)(d)$.

**Corollaire 1.5.** Si $S$ et $E \rightarrow S$ sont définis sur $\mathbb{F}_q$, pour tout $K \in \text{ob } D^b_c(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q$ et pur de poids $w$, $\mathcal{F}_\psi(K) \in \text{ob } D^b_c(E', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ est défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q$ et pur de poids $w + d$.

---

2. **Application aux sommes trigonométriques**

[Br, Ka2, Ka3, Ka-La]

Dans ce paragraphe $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ et $E = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \ldots, x_d])$ (avec sa $\mathbb{F}_q$-structure naturelle). On identifie alors $E'$ à $\text{Spec}(k[x'_1, \ldots, x'_d])$ de sorte que

$$\langle x', x \rangle = \sum_{\delta=1}^d x'_\delta x_\delta.$$

Pour tout schéma $X$ de type fini sur $k$, défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q$, pour tout $x \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{ x \in X(k) | \text{Frob}_q(x) = x \}$ et pour tout $M \in \text{ob } D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\text{Frob}_q$ agit sur la fibre de $M$ en $x$ et on note $t_M(x)$ la trace de cet endomorphisme de $M_x$. La formule des traces de Grothendieck pour $\text{Frob}_q$ entraîne immédiatement:
Proposition 2.1. Pour tout $K \in \operatorname{ob} D_c^b(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q$, et tout $x' \in E'(\mathbb{F}_q) = (\mathbb{F}_q)^d$, on a

$$t_{\mathscr{F}(K)}(x') = (-1)^d \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^d} t_K(x) \psi \circ \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\langle x', x \rangle)$$

(én d'autres termes, $t_{\mathscr{F}(K)}$ est, au signe près, la transformée de Fourier de la fonction $t_K$).

Soit $R$ une sous-$\mathbb{Z}$-algèbre de type fini de $\mathbb{C}$, soit $X$ un $R$-schéma affine, lisse, purement de dimension relative $m$, et soit $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_d) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d_R$ un $R$-morphisme fini. Pour tout homomorphisme d'anneaux $v : R \rightarrow k$ avec $v(1) = 1$, on note $(-)_v$ le changement de base par $v$. Alors, $K_v = (f_v)_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[m]$ est un $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-faisceau pervers sur $\mathbb{A}^d_k = E$, $K_v$ est défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q \subset k$ dès que $\mathbb{F}_q \supset R/\operatorname{Ker}(v)$ et $K_v$ est pur de poids $m$. Par suite, $\mathscr{F}_v(K_v)$ est aussi sur $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-faisceau pervers sur $\mathbb{A}^d_k = E'$, $\mathscr{F}_v(K_v)$ est aussi défini sur $\mathbb{F}_q \subset k$ dès que $\mathbb{F}_q \supset R/\operatorname{Ker}(v)$ et $\mathscr{F}_v(K_v)$ est aussi pur de poids $m + d$ (cf. (1.4), (1.5)). De plus, d'après (2.1), on a

$$t_{\mathscr{F}(K_v)}(x') = (-1)^{d+m} \sum_{x \in X_0(\mathbb{F}_q)} \psi \circ \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\langle x', f_v(x) \rangle)_v$$

pour tout $\mathbb{F}_q$ avec $k \supset \mathbb{F}_q \supset R/\operatorname{Ker}(v)$.

Comme l'a remarqué le premier Brylinski, il résulte du théorème de structure des $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-faisceaux pervers simples et purs qu'il existe un entier $X_v > 0$ et un polynôme non nul $\varphi_v(x')$ dans $(R/\operatorname{Ker}(v))[x'_1, \ldots, x'_d]$ tels que

$$\sum_{x \in X_v(\mathbb{F}_q)} e^{2\pi i \overline{p}^{-1} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\langle x', f_v(x) \rangle)_v} \leq \chi_v q^{m/2}$$

dès que $k \supset \mathbb{F}_q \supset R/\operatorname{Ker}(v)$ et que $x' \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^d$ satisfait $\varphi_v(x') \neq 0$.

Théorème 2.3 (Katz et Laumon). Il existe $\chi \in \mathbb{N}$ et $\varphi(x') \in R[x'_1, \ldots, x'_d]$ non nul tels que, pour tout $k$ et tout $v$, $\chi_v = \chi$ et $\varphi_v = v(\varphi)$ fassent marcher les estimations (2.2) ci-dessus.

Exemple 2.4 (Adolphson et Sperber [Ad-Sp], Denef et Lœser [De-Lo]). Soient $I \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$ avec $|I| = d$, $X = (\mathbb{G}_{m,R})^m$ et $f = (f_i)_{i \in I} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d_R = \mathbb{A}^d_R$ avec $f_i(x) = x'_i$. Alors $f$ est fini (et par suite (2.3) s'applique) si et seulement si l'enveloppe convexe de $I$ dans $\mathbb{R}^m$ est de dimension $m$ et contient 0 dans son intérieur. Dans ce cas, $\chi$ et $\varphi$ sont déterminés explicitement dans loc. cit.
3. Localisation de caractéristiques d’Euler-Poincaré et de déterminants [Ka1, Il1, La1]

Soient $X$ un $k$-schéma de type fini, $A = \text{Spec}(k[x])$, $D = A \cup \{\infty\}$ la droite projective correspondante, $f : X \to D$ un $k$-morphisme propre, $A' = \text{Spec}(k[x'])$ la droite affine duale de $A$ et $D' = A' \cup \{\infty\}$ la droite projective correspondante. On pose $Y = f^{-1}(\infty)$, $U = X - Y = f^{-1}(A)$. On note $j : A \hookrightarrow D$, $j' : A' \hookrightarrow D'$ les inclusions, $\text{pr}_X : D' \times_k X \to X$, $\text{pr}_{D'} : D' \times_k X \to D'$ les projections canoniques, $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x'x)$ le $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k$-faisceau lisse de rang 1 sur $A' \times_k A$ associé au revêtement d’Artin-Schreier $\psi - v = x'x$ et au caractère $\psi$ et prolongé par 0 à $D' \times_k D$ tout entier et $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x'f)$ le $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k$-faisceau sur $D' \times_k X$ image réciproque de $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x'x)$ par $D' \times_k f$.

Enfin, soit $K \in \text{ob } D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k)$.

Posons

$$K' = j'_* \mathcal{F}_\psi(j^* Rf_* K)[1] \in \text{ob } D^b_c(D', \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k).$$

On a encore

$$K' = R \text{pr}_{D'}(\text{pr}_X^* K \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi(x'f)).$$

Si l’on note $o'$ l’origine de $A'$, $\eta_{o'}$ (resp. $\eta_{\infty}$) le point générique de l’hensélisé de $D'$ en $o'$ (resp. $\infty$) et $\overline{\eta}_{o'}$ (resp. $\overline{\eta}_{\infty}$) un point géométrique localisé en $\eta_{o'}$ (resp. $\eta_{\infty}$), on a le triangle distingué

$$(3.1) \quad R\Gamma_c(U, K) \to K_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}} \to R\Gamma(X, R \Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}}) \to$$

$$(K' = R\Gamma_c(U, K))$$

et l’isomorphisme

$$(3.2) \quad K_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}} \sim R\Gamma(X, R \Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}})$$

$(K'_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}} = 0)$, où $\Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}} \in \text{ob } D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k[\text{Gal}(\overline{\eta}_{o'}/\eta_{o'})])$ (resp. $\Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}} \in \text{ob } D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k[\text{Gal}(\overline{\eta}_{\infty}/\eta_{\infty})])$) sont les cycles évanescents pour $\text{pr}_{D'}$ relativement à $\text{pr}_X^* K \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi(x'f)$ en $o'$ (resp. $\infty$).

Le support de $R \Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}}$ est clairement contenu dans $Y$. Soit $V \subset U$ l’ouvert formé des points $x \in U$ tels que $f$ et les $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_k$-faisceaux de cohomologie $\mathcal{H}^i(K)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) soient lisses en $x$ et soit $Z = U - V$ le fermé complémentaire.

**Théorème 3.3** (Laumon). Le support de $R \Phi_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}}$ est contenu dans $Y \cup Z$.

Ce théorème est l’analogue en cohomologie $\ell$-adique du principe de la phase stationnaire: si $U$ est une variété différentielle $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, si $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ est une fonction $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ et si $\omega$ est une densité $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ à support compact sur $U$ telle que

$$\text{Supp}(\omega) \cap \{x \in U | df(x) = 0\} = \emptyset,$$

on a

$$\int_U e^{ix'f} \omega = O(x'^{-n})$$

pour tout entier $n \geq 0$ quand $x' \in \mathbb{R}$ tend vers $\infty$.

On ne peut pas comparer directement $K_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}}$ et $K_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}}$. Par contre, $K_{\overline{\eta}_{o'}}$ et $K_{\overline{\eta}_{\infty}}$ ont même caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré. On déduit donc de (3.1), (3.2) et (3.3) que:
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\[ \chi(X, K) = \chi(Y, K) - \chi(Y, R\Phi_{\eta^{'}}) + \chi(Y \cup Z, R\Phi_{\eta^{'}}). \]

**Application 3.5.** Si \( X \) est une variété projective sur \( k \) et si \( K_1, K_2 \in \text{ob } D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \) sont localement isomorphes pour la topologie étale sur \( X \), Deligne a démontré que \( \chi(X, K_1) = \chi(X, K_2) \) (cf. [II2]). On peut en donner une autre démonstration par réécriture sur la dimension de \( X \) basée sur (3.4).

On peut aussi comparer les déterminants de \( K_{\eta^{'}} \) et \( K_{\eta^{'}} \). En particulier, on peut déduire de (3.1), (3.2) et (3.3) la conjecture de Deligne suivante :

**Théorème 3.6 (Laumon).** Soient \( X \) une courbe projective, lisse et connexe sur \( k \), \( \omega \) une 1-forme méromorphe non identiquement nulle sur \( X \) et \( K \in \text{ob } D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \). On suppose \( X, \omega \) et \( K \) définis sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Alors la "constante globale" de Grothendieck

\[ \varepsilon(X, K) = \det(- \text{Frob}_q, R\Gamma(X, K))^{-1} \]

admet la formule du produit

\[ \varepsilon(X, K) = q^{(1-g)r(K)} \prod_{x \in |X|} \varepsilon(X(x), K|X(x), \omega|X(x)) \]

où \( g \) est le genre de \( X \), \( r(K) \) est le rang générique de \( K \) et, pour tout point fermé \( x \) de \( X \), \( X(x) \) est l'hensélisé de \( X \) en \( x \) et \( \varepsilon(X(x), K|X(x), \omega|X(x)) \) est la "constante locale" de Deligne, Dwork et Langlands.

Récemment, Loeser a obtenu par la même méthode une formule du produit pour le déterminant de la cohomologie étale du complémentaire d’un arrangement d’hyperplans dans \( \mathbb{P}^n_k \) à valeurs dans un \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-faisceau lisse de rang 1 de type Kummer (cf. [Lo]).

4. Transformations de Fourier locales [La1]

Il y en a de trois types \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\omega, \sigma')} \), \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\omega, \sigma)} \) et \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\omega, \sigma, v)} \). On ne s’intéressera ici qu’au premier type. Soient \( T = \text{Spec}(k[[\sigma]]) \) (resp. \( T' = \text{Spec}(k[[\sigma']]) \)) avec sa \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-structure naturelle (\( \psi \) resp. \( \psi' \) est une indéterminée sur \( k \), \( \eta \) (resp. \( \eta' \)) le point générique et \( s \) (resp. \( s' \)) le point fermé de \( T \) (resp. \( T' \)), \( \overline{\eta} \) (resp. \( \overline{\eta}' \)) un point géométrique localisé en \( \eta \) (resp. \( \eta' \)) et \( \mathcal{G} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{G}' \)) la catégorie des Gal(\( \overline{\eta}/\eta \)) (resp. Gal(\( \overline{\eta}'/\eta' \))-modules sur \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). Si \( V \in \text{ob } \mathcal{G} \), on note encore \( V \) le \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-faisceau lisse sur \( \eta \) correspondant et \( V_1 \) sur prolongement par zéro à \( T \) tout entier. Alors par définition

\[ \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\omega, \sigma')} (V) = R^1 \Phi_{\overline{\eta}'} (\text{pr}^*(V_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi(\sigma/\sigma'))(s, s') \in \text{ob } \mathcal{G}' \]

où \( \text{pr} : T' \times_k T \longrightarrow T \) et \( \text{pr}' : T' \times_k T \longrightarrow T' \) sont les projections canoniques et où \( \mathcal{L}_\psi(\sigma/\sigma') \) est le \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)-faisceau lisse de rang 1 sur \( \eta' \times_k T \) associé au revêtement d’Artin-Schreier \( \psi' - \psi = \sigma/\sigma' \) et au caractère \( \psi \) et prolongé par zéro à \( T' \times_k T \) tout entier.
Théorème 4.1 (Laumon).

(i) \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)} \) est un foncteur exact de \( \mathcal{G} \) vers \( \mathcal{G}' \).

(ii) Pour tout \( V \in \text{ob} \, \mathcal{G} \), on a

\[
\begin{align*}
    r(\mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V)) &= r(V) + s(V) \\
    s(\mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V)) &= s(V)
\end{align*}
\]

où \( r(-) \) est le rang et \( s(-) \) le conducteur de Swan, de sorte que \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{G}_{[0,1]}' \), où \( \mathcal{G}_{[0,1]}' \) est la sous-catégorie pleine de \( \mathcal{G}' \) formée des objets \( V' \) dont tous les sous-quotients irréductibles \( W' \) vérifient \( s(W') < r(W') \).

(iii) Le foncteur \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{[0,1]}' \) est une équivalence de catégories abéliennes.

(iv) \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V)^\vee \simeq \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V') \)(1).

(v) Si \( V \) est défini sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \), il en est de même de \( \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V) \) et la “constante locale” \( \varepsilon_0(T, V, d\omega) \) de Deligne, Dwork et Langlands est égale à

\[
\varepsilon_0(T, V, d\omega) = (-1)^{r(V')} \det(V')(\omega')
\]

où \( V' = \mathcal{F}_\psi^{(\alpha, \infty)}(V) \) (on a identifié \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q(\omega)/\mathbb{F}_q(\omega')) \) à \( (\mathbb{F}_q(\omega'))^\text{ab} \) par la théorie du corps de classe abélien).

Cette transformation de Fourier locale donne une construction cohomologique locale de la représentation d’Artin et de la “constante locale”. Elle a aussi permis à Henniart de démontrer la conjecture de Langlands locale numérique, i.e. de dénombrer les objets simples de \( \mathcal{G} \) de conducteur borné (cf. [He]).

5. Une nouvelle preuve d’une conjecture de Weil [La1]

Si \( K \) est un \( \overline{Q}_r \)-faisceau pervers, irréductible, défini sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \) et pur de poids 0, sur une droite projective \( D \) définie sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \), Deligne a montré que \( R\Gamma(D, K) \) est aussi pur de poids 0. La transformation de Fourier géométrique permet d’en donner une nouvelle démonstration, inspirée par la preuve de Witten des inégalités de Morse. Supposons que \( D = A \cup \{\infty\} \), où \( \infty \in D(\mathbb{F}_q) \) et \( A = \text{Spec}(k[x]) \) est défini sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \), et que les \( \overline{Q}_r \)-faisceaux de cohomologie \( \mathcal{H}^i(K) (i \in \mathbb{Z}) \) sont lisses en \( \infty \). Alors la restriction de \( \mathcal{F}_\psi(K|A) \) à \( A' = \{o'\} \) \( (A' = \text{Spec}(k[x'])) \) est de la forme \( \mathcal{I}[1] \) pour un \( \overline{Q}_r \)-faisceau lisse irréductible \( \mathcal{I}' \). La remarque essentielle est que \( \mathcal{I}' \) est un constituant du \( \overline{Q}_r \)-faisceau lisse réel

\[
\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\psi((K \otimes K')|A) \oplus \mathcal{F}_\psi^{-1}((K \otimes K')|A'))|A' = \{o'\}
\]

où \( K' = R\mathcal{H}\text{om}(K, \overline{Q}_r[2](1)) \) est le dual de Verdier de \( K \). Par suite, d’après un théorème clé de Deligne, \( \mathcal{I}' \) est lui même pur d’un certain poids (on a fixé un isomorphisme \( i : \overline{Q}_r \sim \mathbb{C} \), de sorte que \( i \circ \psi^{-1} = i \circ \psi \)).
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6. La transformation de Radon géométrique [Br, II1]

Il s’agit d’une variante homogène de la transformation de Fourier. Soient \( \pi : P = \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow S \) et \( \pi' : P' = \mathbb{P}(E') \rightarrow S \) les fibrés projectifs associés aux fibrés vectoriels du paragraphe 1. On note \( Z \subset P \times_S P \) la variété d’incidence entre ces deux fibrés projectifs en dualité et \( \varrho : Z \rightarrow P \), \( \varrho' : Z \rightarrow P' \) les projections canoniques. La transformation de Radon géométrique, inventée par Brylinski, est l’opération

\[
\mathcal{R} : D^b_c(P, \overline{Q}_c) \rightarrow D^b_c(P', \overline{Q}_c)
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}(-) = R\varrho_\ast \varrho' \ast (-)[d - 2].
\]

On note \( \mathcal{S} \subset \text{Perv}(P, \overline{Q}_c) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{S}' \subset \text{Perv}(P', \overline{Q}_c) \)) la sous-catégorie strictement pleine formée des \( \overline{Q}_c \)-faisceaux pervers sur \( P \) (resp. \( P' \)) isomorphes à \( \pi^\ast L[d - 1] \) (resp. \( \pi'^\ast L'[d - 1] \)) pour un \( L \in \text{ob Perv}(S, \overline{Q}_c) \) (resp. \( L' \in \text{ob Perv}(S', \overline{Q}_c) \)) ; c’est une sous-catégorie de Serre.

Théorème 6.1 (Brylinski). (i) Pour tout \( K \in \text{ob Perv}(P, \overline{Q}_c) \) et tout entier \( i \neq 0 \), \( \mathcal{p} \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{R}(K)) \in \text{ob } \mathcal{S}' \).

(ii) Le foncteur \( K \mapsto \mathcal{p} \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}(K)) \) induit une équivalence de catégories abéliennes de la catégorie quotient \( \text{Perv}(P, \overline{Q}_c)/\mathcal{S} \) sur la catégorie quotient \( \text{Perv}(P', \overline{Q}_c)/\mathcal{S}' \) ; un quasi-inverse est induit par \( K \mapsto \mathcal{p} \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{R}'(K'))(d - 2) \), où \( \mathcal{R}' \) est la transformation de Radon géométrique pour \( P' \).

Brylinski applique ce théorème à l’étude, dans le cas singulier, de la monodromie des pinceaux de Lefschetz.

Dans [La2], nous utilisons cette transformation de Radon pour l’étude des faisceaux automorphes cuspidaux de Drinfeld.

7. Une application à la théorie des représentations des groupes réductifs sur les corps finis [Kaz-La]

Soient \( V \) un espace vectoriel de dimension 2 sur \( k \) et \( \omega \in A^2V - \{0\} \) une forme volume. On suppose que \( V \) et \( \omega \) sont définis sur \( \mathbb{F}_q \). On identifie le dual \( V' \) de \( V \) à \( V \) à l’aide de la forme symplectique \( \omega \). On a donc une involution

\[
\mathcal{F}_\psi(\cdot)(1) : \text{Perv}(V, \overline{Q}_c) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(V, \overline{Q}_c)
\]

si l’on considère \( V \) comme un fibré vectoriel sur \( \text{Spec}(k) \) de manière évidente. Soit \( \mathcal{A} \) la catégorie abélienne des paires \( (A, \varphi) \) où \( A \in \text{ob Perv}(V, \overline{Q}_c) \) et \( \varphi : \mathcal{F}_\psi(\text{Frob}_q \ast A)(1) \sim A \) est un isomorphisme dans \( \text{Perv}(V, \overline{Q}_c) \). Soit \( K(\mathcal{A}) \) le groupe de Grothendieck de \( \mathcal{A} \). On considère l’application

\[
\text{ob } \mathcal{A} \times \text{ob } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{Q}_c
\]

\[
((A_1, \varphi_1), (A_2, \varphi_2)) \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \text{tr}(\varphi^i, \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Perv}(V, \overline{Q}_c)}(A_1, DA_2))
\]
où $DA_2 = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(A_2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f[2](1))$, où les $\operatorname{Ext}^i (i \geq 0)$ sont les $\operatorname{Ext}^i$ de Yoneda dans la catégorie abélienne $\operatorname{Perv}(V, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f)$ (ce sont des $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f$-espaces vectoriels de dimension finie, nuls pour $i \gg 0$, d'après [Be]) et où $\varphi^i$ est induit par $\varphi_1$ et $\varphi_2$. Elle induit un accouplement

$$(,) : K(\mathcal{A}) \times K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f$$

dont on notera $N(\mathcal{A})$ le noyau.

Le groupe algébrique sur $k$, $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega) \times G_{m,k}$, agit sur $V$ par $(g, t) \cdot v = g(tv) = tg(v)$ d'une part et par $(g, t) \cdot v = g(t^{-1}v) = t^{-1}g(v)$ d'autre part, d'où deux actions de $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega) \times G_{m,k}$ sur $\operatorname{Perv}(V, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f)$ échangées par $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{O}(-)(1)$. On en déduit une action de $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega)(\mathcal{F}_q) \times \{ t \in k [t^q+1] = 1 \}$ sur $K(\mathcal{A})$ qui respecte l'accouplement (,) et donc son noyau.

**Théorème 7.1** (Kazhdan). (i) $K(\mathcal{A})/N(\mathcal{A})$ a une structure naturelle de $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f$-espace vectoriel de dimension finie sur lequel $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega)(\mathcal{F}_q) \times \{ t \in k [t^q+1] = 1 \}$ agit.

(ii) Si $\chi : \{ t \in k [t^q+1] = 1 \} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_f^\times$ est un caractère régulier ($\chi^2 \neq 1$) la composante isotypique $\sigma_\chi$ de $\chi$ dans $K(\mathcal{A})/N(\mathcal{A})$ est la représentation irréductible de la série discrète de $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega)(\mathcal{F}_q) \simeq SL_2(\mathcal{F}_q)$ associée à $\chi$ par la construction de Weil.

Dans [Kaz-La], nous construisons un analogue de $K(\mathcal{A})/N(\mathcal{A})$ pour un groupe semi-simple et simplement connexe arbitraire (à la place de $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \omega)$) et conjecturons une généralisation de (7.1).

**Remarque 7.2.** Pour d'autres applications à la théorie des représentations des groupes réductifs sur les corps finis, voir [Br, De3, Lu].

**Remerciement.** Je remercie Mme Le Bronnec pour la magnifique composition de ce manuscrit.
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The first result on the algebraic independence of values of analytic functions was obtained by F. Lindemann in 1882:

*If $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ are algebraic numbers linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then numbers $e^{a_1}, \ldots, e^{a_m}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$."

This means that for any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$, $P \neq 0$, the inequality $|P(e^{a_1}, \ldots, e^{a_m})| > 0$ holds. The algebraic independence of any numbers $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ implies, in particular, that all the numbers $\omega_i$ are transcendental. Side by side with problems of the algebraic independence of numbers, an object of study in the theory of transcendental numbers is to obtain quantitative characteristics of independence. By a measure of algebraic independence of complex numbers $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ we mean a function of two variables $f(d, H)$ such that for any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$, $P \neq 0$, whose degree does not exceed $d$ and whose coefficients have modulus not greater than $H$, the estimate

$$|P(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m)| > f(d, H)$$

holds.

It is easy to prove for all complex numbers $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ the inequality

$$\phi(\bar{\omega}; d, H) = \min |P(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m)| < H^{-c_1 d^m},$$

where $c_1 = 1/(2m!)$ and minimum is taken over all polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$, $P \neq 0$, $\deg P \leq d$, $H(P) \leq H$

($H(P)$ is the maximum of the moduli of the coefficients of $P$). But any lower estimate of this minimum $\phi(\bar{\omega}; d, H)$ depends on the individual properties of numbers $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ and estimates are obtained only for special kinds of numbers.

In 1932 K. Mahler [16] proved that, under the conditions of Lindemann’s theorem,

$$\phi(e^{a_1}, \ldots, e^{a_m}; d, H) > H^{-c_2 d^m},$$
where \( c_2 > 0 \) depends only on numbers \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \), and \( H \) exceeds some bound, which depends on \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \) and \( d \).

1. **E-Functions**

Function \( e^{ax} \) with algebraic number \( \alpha \), \( \alpha \neq 0 \), belong to a certain class of entire functions, introduced in 1929 by C. Siegel [39] and called by him E-functions. This class of functions contains hypergeometric functions

\[
\Hypergeometric{p}{q} \left( \frac{a_1, \ldots, a_p}{b_1, \ldots, b_q} \middle| z \right) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{p+1} \frac{a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_p}{b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_q} \cdot z^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{q} \frac{b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_q}{b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_q} \cdot n!},
\]

with rational parameters \( a_i, b_j \) and is a ring, closed with respect to differentiation, integration between 0 and \( z \), and replacing \( z \) by \( \lambda z \), where \( \lambda \) is algebraic. After the basic articles of Siegel the complete result was proved in 1955 by A.B. Shidlovskij [38].

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the E-functions \( f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z) \) form a solution of the system of linear differential equations

\[
y_k' = q_{k0}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{ki}(z)y_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\]

\( q_{ki}(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z) \); suppose that \( \alpha \) is an algebraic number not equal to zero and the singular points of the system (1). Then

\[
\text{tr} \deg_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(f_1(\alpha), \ldots, f_m(\alpha)) = \text{tr} \deg_{\mathbb{Q}(z)} \mathbb{C}(z)(f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)).
\]

Lindemann's theorem follows from the theorem of Shidlovskij, if we take \( f_1(z) = e^{\alpha z} \) and \( \alpha = 1 \).

Theorem 1 gave rise to a great number of results concerning specific E-functions (see [38]). For example, the function

\[
\psi_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{kn}}{(n!)^k},
\]

satisfies a linear differential equation of order \( k \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{C}(z) \); it can be proved that, for any algebraic number \( \alpha \neq 0 \), the \( r(r + 1)/2 \) numbers \( \psi_i(\alpha), 0 \leq i < k, 1 \leq k \leq r \), are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

There exists an important problem, connected with applications of Theorem 1: proving the algebraic independence of solutions of linear differential equations over \( \mathbb{C}(z) \). In different specific cases it was the subject of many papers. We mention here only recent papers by W. Salichov [34, 35] and F. Beukers, D. Brownawell and G. Heckmann [2]. This subject is related to the Picard-Vessiot theory of linear differential equations and the calculation of Galois groups of such equations.

In 1962, using the Shidlovskij results, S. Lang [13] obtained a quantitative variant of the above theorem in a form similar to the Mahler's theorem (see also the article by Galochkin [8]). In the next assertion, which was proved in 1977 [20], the dependence on \( d \) of the lower bound for \( H \) is effective.
Theorem 2. Suppose that the E-functions $f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)$ and the number $\alpha$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. There exists constant $\tau > 0$, which depends only on the system (1), the functions $f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)$ and the number $\alpha$, such that for

$$\ln \ln H > \tau d^{2m} \ln (d + 1)$$

the following inequality holds:

$$\phi(f_1(\alpha), \ldots, f_m(\alpha); d; H) > H^{-c_3 d^m},$$

where $c_3 = 4^m m^m (3m^2 + m + 1)$, and $m$ is the degree of the algebraic field, which contains $\alpha$ and the coefficients of Taylor expansions of $f_i(z)$.

In many cases the constant $\tau$ may be computed effectively, [4] and [25].

2. Mahler's Functions

Here we briefly discuss the values of power series (in general, in several variables) which satisfy certain functional equations. Mahler was the first to study such series in 1929 (see [17]). Besides the works of Mahler, we mention here the results of J. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten, K.K. Kubota, D.W. Masser. Recently interesting relations between Mahler's functions and automata theory were found [14].

For an integer $n \geq 1$ let $T = (t_{ij})$ be a nonsingular matrix of order $n$ with nonnegative integer entries such that none of the eigenvalues of $T$ is a root of unity. We define a transformation $T: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ by the rule

$$T(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i^{t_{1i}}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i^{t_{ni}} \right).$$

The next theorem was proved by Loxton and van der Poorten [15].

Theorem 3. Let $A(\bar{z})$ be an $m \times m$ matrix whose entries are rational functions of the variable $\bar{z}$ with algebraic coefficients such that $\det A(0) \neq 0$. Let $f(\bar{z}) = (f_1(\bar{z}), \ldots, f_m(\bar{z}))$ be a vector of power series in $\bar{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ with coefficients in an algebraic number field $K, [K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$, which converge in some neighbourhood $U$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and satisfy the equations

$$f(\bar{z}) = A(\bar{z}) * f(T\bar{z}).$$

If the matrix $A$ and vector $\bar{a}$ with algebraic components satisfy some technical conditions, then

$$\text{tr deg}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(f_1(\bar{z}), \ldots, f_m(\bar{z})) = \text{tr deg}_{\mathbb{Q}(\bar{z})} \mathbb{C}(\bar{z})(f_1(\bar{z}), \ldots, f_m(\bar{z})).$$

Recently K. Nishioka [27], using a method introduced in [23] and based on the general theory of elimination (see § 5), in the case $n = 1$ proved the same assertion under weaker conditions: $\alpha$ is an algebraic number such that $0 < |\alpha| < 1$, $A(\alpha^l)$ is nonsingular and $a(\alpha^d) \neq 0$ for all $l \geq 0$, where $a(\alpha)$ is a common denominator of entries of $A(z)$. 
The first estimate for the measure of algebraic independence of values of Mahler's functions were proved in 1985 in [23]. But this estimate was not effective in the degrees of polynomials. Using the zero estimate for Mahler functions, which was proved by Nishioka, Becker found effective algebraic independence measures of those numbers (see [1, 28]).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( A(z) \) be an \( m \times m \) matrix and \( B(z) \) be an \( m \)-dimensional vector whose entries are rational functions of one variable \( z \) with algebraic coefficients. Let \( \vec{f} = (f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)) \) be a vector of power series with algebraic coefficients which converge in some neighbourhood \( U \) of the point \( z = 0 \) and let \( \vec{f} \) satisfy

\[
\vec{f}(z^t) = A(z) \ast \vec{f}(z) + B(z),
\]

where \( t \geq 2 \) is an integer, and which are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{C}(z) \). Suppose that \( \alpha \) is an algebraic number, \( \alpha \in U \), \( 0 < |\alpha| < 1 \), and the numbers \( \alpha^l \), \( l \geq 0 \), are distinct from the poles of \( A(z) \) or \( B(z) \). Then

\[
\phi(f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z); d, H) > \exp(-c_4 d^m (\ln H + d^{m+2})).
\]

3. Exponential Functions

One of the problems suggested by D. Hilbert in 1900 was the conjectural transcendence of values of the function \( \alpha^z \) with algebraic base \( \alpha \neq 0, 1 \) at algebraic irrational points \( \beta \). This assertion was proved in 1934 by A.O. Gelfond and independently by Th. Schneider. The natural analog of the Lindemann’s theorem is the next

**Gelfond’s Conjecture.** If \( \alpha \neq 0, 1 \) is an algebraic number and algebraic numbers \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \) and \( 1 \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), then the numbers

\[
\alpha^{\beta_1}, \ldots, \alpha^{\beta_m}
\]

are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

This problem is equivalent to the algebraic independence over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of numbers \( \alpha^p \), \( \alpha^{\beta_2}, \ldots, \alpha^{\beta_{d-1}} \), where \( \beta \) is an algebraic number of degree \( d \geq 3 \). It was proved for \( d = 3 \) by Gelfond [9] in 1948. Now the best result in general case is the lower estimate \( \text{tr deg } K \geq \left\lceil \frac{d + 1}{2} \right\rceil \) for transcendence degree over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of the field \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^p, \alpha^{\beta_2}, \ldots, \alpha^{\beta_{d-1}}) \), where \( \lceil \cdot \rceil \) denotes the integer part.

Let us consider a more general situation. Suppose \( a_1, \ldots, a_p \) and \( b_1, \ldots, b_q \) be complex numbers which for any nonzero vectors \( \vec{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p \) and \( \vec{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_q) \in \mathbb{Z}^q \) satisfy the inequalities

\[
|k_1 a_1 + \cdots + k_p a_p| > \exp(-\gamma |\vec{k}| \ln |\vec{k}|), \quad |\vec{k}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} |k_i|,
\]

\[
|l_1 b_1 + \cdots + l_q b_q| > \exp(-\gamma |\vec{l}| \ln |\vec{l}|), \quad |\vec{l}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} |l_i|,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a positive constant. In particular, it is well known that this condition is
satisfied by algebraic numbers and by the logarithms of algebraic numbers which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Traditionally the three sets of numbers have been studied

1) $e^{a_{i}b_{j}}$, 2) $a_{i}, e^{a_{i}b_{j}}$, 3) $a_{i}, b_{j}, e^{a_{i}b_{j}}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Many estimates for transcendence degree of these sets of numbers were proved after appearance of Gelfond's articles [9, 10]. We mention here papers by R. Tijdeman, A. Shmelev, W.D. Brownawell, G. Chudnovsky, M. Waldschmidt, E. Reyssat. P. Philippon, and others (see [40]). The best result now is the next assertion.

**Theorem 5.** Among the numbers in the sets 1)–3) there are at least

$$\left\lceil \frac{pq}{p + q} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{pq + p}{p + q} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{pq}{p + q} \right\rceil,$$

respectively which are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The lower estimate for $\text{tr} \deg K$ follows immediately from this theorem if in the set 2) we take $p = q = d$, $a_{i} = \beta^{i-1}$, $b_{i} = \beta^{i-1} \ln \alpha$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

P. Philippon in 1984 [31] proved a little weaker estimate for the sets 1), 2) and the estimate for the set 3) in Theorem 5. The main tools for obtaining these results were introduced by the author [20–23] and P. Philippon [32], and are connected with application of some classical ideas of “constructive” commutative algebra, developed in the works of K. Hentzelt, E. Noether, B.L. van der Waerden, W.L. Chow (see Section 5) to the theory of transcendental numbers. The estimates for the sets 1) and 2) in the Theorem 5 were established in 1987 by G. Diaz [6] who used a new construction of auxiliary function in the analytical part of the proof (for another variant see [24]).

For $d = 3$ the estimate for integral polynomials at the point $(\alpha^{\beta^{1}}, \alpha^{\beta^{2}}, \ldots, \alpha^{\beta^{d-1}})$ was established by Gelfond and Feldman in 1950. It was later improved by W.D. Brownawell [3] and G. Diaz [7]. In the general case $d \geq 4$ such an estimate is not proved yet. But it is possible to prove a lower estimate for the maximum of absolute values at this point of any set of polynomials which generate an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}]$ with rank higher than $\frac{d-1}{2}$ (see [23]).

### 4. Elliptic Functions

Let $\wp(z)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function with algebraic invariants $g_{2}, g_{3}$. This function satisfies an algebraic differential equation with algebraic coefficients and an addition theorem. That is why its transcendence behaviour is similar to the behaviour of $e^{x}$. First results about the transcendence of values of this function were proved by Schneider in 1934 [36]. The next assertion, the elliptic analogue of the Lindemann's theorem, was proved in 1983 by G. Wustholz [42] and P. Philippon [30] after the previous paper of G. Chudnovsky [5].
Theorem 6. If $p(z)$ has the complex multiplication over the field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ are algebraic numbers, linearly independent over field $k$, then the numbers

$$p(\alpha_1), \ldots, p(\alpha_m)$$

are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now it is possible to estimate a measure of the algebraic independence of these numbers (see [26]).

Theorem 7. Let $p(z), \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ be the same as in Theorem 6, $H$ and $d$ be positive numbers such that

$$\ln \ln H > c_5 * d^m * \ln(d + 1), \quad d \geq 1.$$  

Then the following inequality holds

$$\phi(p(\alpha_1), \ldots, p(\alpha_m); d, H) > H^{-c_6 d^m}. \quad (2)$$

Here $c_5$ and $c_6$ are a positive constants, depending only on $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, g_2$ and $g_3$.

This inequality was established for $m = 1$ by G. Chudnovsky [5] in 1980. In the general form with exponent $m + \varepsilon$ instead of $m$ in the right hand side of the inequality (2) it was proved by E.M. Jabbouri [11] as a consequence of a result for algebraic groups.

Now let $p(z)$ have algebraic invariants $g_2$, $g_3$ and possibly be without complex multiplication. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ be algebraic numbers linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. One can prove (see [26]) that, among the numbers $p(\alpha_1), \ldots, p(\alpha_m)$ at least there are $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$ algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and there exists a quantitative result like in the exponential case.

In the general case ($g_2$ and $g_3$ may be transcendental numbers) if $a_1, \ldots, a_p$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_q$ are complex numbers with almost the same condition on linear forms in $a_i$ and $b_j$ there are results about the lower estimates for the transcendence degree of the fields $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(g_2, g_3, p(a_1 b_1), \ldots, p(a_p b_q)), L_2 = \mathbb{Q}(g_2, g_3, a_1, \ldots, a_p, p(a_1 b_1), \ldots, p(a_p b_q)), L_3 = \mathbb{Q}(g_2, g_3, a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_1, \ldots, b_q, p(a_1 b_1), \ldots, p(a_p b_q))$.

Small estimates were proved by W.D. Brownawell and K.K. Kubota, D.W. Masser and G. Wüstholz, R. Tubbs. In 1983 D.W. Masser and G. Wüstholz [19] under the assumption that $g_2$ and $g_3$ are algebraic, proved for $t = \text{tr deg} L_1$ the estimate

$$2^{t+2}(t+8) \geq pq/(p+2q).$$

Later in the papers of M. Waldschmidt [41] was published a sketch of the proof of the inequalities

$$\text{tr deg } L_1 \geq \frac{pq}{p+2q} - 1, \quad \text{tr deg } L_2 \geq \frac{pq + p}{p+2q} - 1, \quad \text{tr deg } L_3 \geq \frac{pq + 2q}{p+2q} - 1.$$  

The paper [37] of S. Shestakov contains the proof of the inequality $\text{tr deg } L_2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{pq + p}{p+2q} \right\rfloor$ from which follows "one half" of the elliptic variant of Gelfond's conjec-
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ture: if \( g_2, g_3 \) are algebraic, \( p(z) \) has complex multiplication over a quadratic field \( k \), \( \beta \) is algebraic of degree \( d \) over \( k \) and \( u \neq 0 \) is such that \( p(u) \) is algebraic, then among the numbers \( p(\beta u), p(\beta^2 u), \ldots, p(\beta^{d-1} u) \) at least \( \left\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \right\rfloor \) are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). In [29] a weaker estimate was proved.

The paper [41] contains estimates for fields, generated by the values of both exponential and elliptic function.

We should note here that recent articles of D.W. Masser and G. Wüstholz [18], P. Philippon [33] contain estimates for the number of zeros of polynomial defined on group varieties, which are very useful in the proofs of algebraic independence of numbers, especially of the values of elliptic and abelian functions.

5. Algebraic Base of Methods

Any homogeneous unmixed ideal \( I \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \) may be characterized by numbers \( \deg I, H(I) \), which are analogous to \( \deg P, H(P) \) for polynomials \( P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \). One may also define \( |I(\bar{\omega})| \) for the point \( \bar{\omega} = (\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \), analogous to \( |P(\bar{\omega})| \).

For exact definitions we need the notion of rank for ideals. The rank of the prime ideal \( p \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \) is the maximal length of any increasing chain of prime ideals terminating with \( p \). The rank of any ideal \( I \) is the minimal rank of prime ideals \( p \), containing \( I \). The rank of an ideal \( I \) will be denoted by \( h(I) \). Recall that an ideal \( I \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \) is called unmixed if all of the primary components of \( I \) have rank \( h(I) \).

Let \( I \) be a homogeneous unmixed ideal of the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \) and \( r = m + 1 - h(I) \geq 1 \). We introduce the linear forms

\[
L_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} u_{ij} x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r,
\]

where \( u_{ij} \) are variables, \( \bar{u}_i = (u_{i0}, \ldots, u_{im}) \), and denote \( \bar{I}(r) \) the ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}[\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_m] \), consisting of the polynomials \( G \) for each of which there exists a natural number \( M \) such that \( Gx_i^M \) for all \( i = 0, \ldots, m \) belong to the ideal generated in \( \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m, \bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_r] \) by the linear forms \( L_1, \ldots, L_m \) and the elements of \( I \). One can prove that \( \bar{I}(r) = (F) \) is a principal ideal. The polynomial \( F \) is a Chow form of \( I \). We define (see [21]) \( \deg I \) as the degree of \( F \) in the variables \( \bar{u}_i \) and \( H(I) = H(F) \).

Now consider \( r \) skew-symmetric matrices \( S^{(i)} = [s_{jk}^{(i)}], 0 \leq j, k \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq r \), where we suppose that, except for the skew-symmetry \( s_{jk}^{(i)} + s_{kj}^{(i)} = 0 \), the variables \( s_{jk}^{(i)} \) are not connected by any algebraic relation over the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m] \). If \( \omega(F) \) is the polynomial in the variables \( s_{jk}^{(i)}, j < k \), which is obtained from \( F \) by substituting the vectors \( S^{(i)} \bar{\omega}, i = 1, \ldots, r \), for the variables \( \bar{u}_i \), we define

\[
|I(\bar{\omega})| = |\bar{\omega}|^{-r\times \deg I} * H(\omega(F)).
\]

Now the problem may be put as follows. For a given point \( \bar{\omega} = (\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \) we are searching for a lower estimate for \( |I(\bar{\omega})| \) in terms of the \( H(I) \) and \( \deg I \). For the principal ideal \( I = (P) \), its rank equals 1, and the quantities \( |I(\bar{\omega})| \) and
$|P(\bar{\omega})|$, deg $I$ and deg $P$, $H(I)$ and $H(P)$ are closely related, which gives us possibility to obtain the lower estimate for $|P(\bar{\omega})|$ in terms of the properties of $P$.

For example, the proof of the Theorem 7 is based on the next assertion.

**Theorem 8.** Let $D$ and $H$ be positive numbers satisfying the inequalities

$$\ln H > c \gamma D^m \ln (D + 1), \quad D \geq 1.$$  

For every integer $r$, $1 \leq r \leq m$ there exist constants $\lambda_r > 0$ and $\mu_r \geq 0$ such that for all homogeneous unmixed ideals $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$ with conditions $h(I) = m + 1 - r$,

$$\deg I \leq \lambda_r D^{m+1-r}, \quad \ln H(I) \leq \lambda_r D^{m-r} \ln H$$

the inequality holds $(\bar{\omega} = (1, p(x_1), \ldots, p(x_m)))$

$$\ln |I(\bar{\omega})| \geq -\mu_r (D \ln H(I) + \deg I \ln H) D^{r-1}.$$  

The Theorem 8 may be proved by induction on $r$. The step of induction contains two stages.

i) **Reduction of the estimate of $|I(\bar{\omega})|$ to the analogous estimate for prime ideals.**

It is based upon the fact that numbers deg $I$, $H(I)$ and $|I(\bar{\omega})|$ have almost linear behaviour under decomposition of the ideal into primary ideals.

**Proposition 1** (see [22]). Suppose that $I$ is an unmixed homogeneous ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$, $h(I) \leq m$; there exist prime ideals $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ associated with $I$ and natural numbers $k_1, \ldots, k_s$ such that

1) $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \cdot \deg p_i \sim \deg I$,

2) $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \cdot \ln H(p_i) \leq \ln H(I) + m^2 \deg I$,

3) $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \cdot \ln |p_i(\bar{\omega})| \leq \ln |I(\bar{\omega})| + m^3 \deg I$.

ii) **Increasing of the rank of ideal.** The algebraic base of this stage is the next assertion.

**Proposition 2** (see [24]). Suppose that $p$ is a homogeneous prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$, $p \cap \mathbb{Z} = (0)$, $h(p) \leq m$ and $Q$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$, $Q \notin p$. If $r = m + 1 - h(I) \geq 2$, then there exists an unmixed homogeneous ideal $J \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$ whose zeros coincide with the zeros of the ideal $(p, Q)$, for which $h(J) = m - r + 2$, and such that

1) $\deg J \leq \deg p \cdot \deg Q$,

2) $\ln H(J) \leq \deg Q \cdot \ln H(p) + \deg p \cdot \ln H(Q) + m(r + 1) \cdot \deg p \cdot \deg Q$,

3) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, $|Q(\bar{\omega})| < |\bar{\omega}|^{\deg Q}$ and $Q$ is the distance between $\bar{\omega}$ and the set of zeros of the ideal $p$, then the following inequality holds

$$\ln |J(\bar{\omega})| \leq \ln A + \deg Q \cdot \ln H(p) + \deg p \cdot \ln H(Q) + 11m^2 \cdot \deg p \cdot \deg Q,$$
where $A = |Q(\omega)| \cdot |\omega|^{-\deg Q}$, if $q < |Q(\omega)| \cdot |\omega|^{-\deg Q}$, and $A = |p(\omega)|$ in opposite case.

If $r = 1$ the right side of the inequality in 3) is nonnegative.

If we can construct some polynomial $Q$, which is sufficiently small at the point $\omega$ and does not belong to the ideal $p$, then the inequality from 3) and the induction assumption about the $|J(\omega)|$ give us a lower estimate for $|p(\omega)|$. The inequalities from 1) and 2) enable us to recompute this estimate in terms of $\deg p$ and $H(p)$.

The constructions of the desired polynomials $Q$ are based on the analytic properties of the functions considered and of course are different in different problems (for example in Theorems 4, 5, 7).

It is possible to axiomatize the situation and to prove a general assertion in which from the existence of a set of polynomials, sufficiently small at $\omega$ and independent in some sense, follows a lower estimate for the number of algebraically independent coordinates of the vector $\omega$. The first assertion of this kind for polynomials in one variable was proved by Gelfond [10] (see [40] for history). Recently P. Philippon [32] by means of the above ideas established a general algebraic independence criterion. One of the forms of it is

Theorem 9. Suppose $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ are complex numbers algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m)$, $\gamma$ and $\eta$ are positive numbers. Suppose that for any $N \geq N_0$ there exist polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_M \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ with finite set of common zeros in the ball $B(\omega, \exp(-3\gamma N^\eta))$ and with conditions

$$\deg P_i + \ln H(P_i) \leq N, \quad i = 1, \ldots, M,$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \{|P_i(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m)|\} < \exp(-\gamma N^\eta).$$

If $\gamma$ is sufficiently large with respect of $m$, then the inequality $m > \eta - 1$ holds.

Jabbouri [12] obtained a quantitative form of this criterion which made it possible to estimate in this way the measure of algebraic independence of numbers.
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1. Group Varieties

In this lecture we describe and exploit the relation between analytic Diophantine problems on homogeneous varieties and harmonic analysis on the corresponding groups. For the case $G = SL(2)$ this relation has been well studied and striking applications to analytic number theory have been found, especially by Iwaniec and his collaborators [I1]. Our focus here will be on general $G$ where this aspect of the theory is still in a primitive state.

Let $V \subset A^n$ be a variety in affine $n$ space and we assume that $V$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (or more generally a number field $E/\mathbb{Q}$). Let $V(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the integral points in $V$ and define the counting functions $N$ by

$$N(T, V) = |\{m \in V(\mathbb{Z}) | \|m\| \leq T\}|$$

(1.1)

$$N(T, V, \xi, q) = |\{m \in V(\mathbb{Z}) | \|m\| \leq T, m \equiv \xi \pmod{q}\}|,$$

(1.2)

where $T \geq q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi \in V(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\| \cdot \|$ is some suitably chosen Euclidean norm on $A^n$.

The basic problem is to determine the asymptotic behavior of $N$ as $T \to \infty$. In certain special cases where there are sufficiently many additive terms in the equations defining $V$, the Hardy–Littlewood method may be applied, see Schmidt [Sc]. One obtains an asymptotic in the form

$$N(T, v) \sim c T^\beta, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

(1.3)

The constant $c$ is given by a product of local densities [Sc]. Another case, due to Moroz [M], that can be successfully handled by algebraic number theory is algebraic varieties of the form $f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2) = \ldots = f_r(x_r)$, where $f_j(x_j)$ is a norm form of an order in a number field. Besides these cases very little is known even in terms of upper bounds for $N$, see S.D. Cohen’s bound described in Serre [SER]. However, if we assume that $V$ is also a homogeneous variety for a linear algebraic group action, then much more can be said. In particular if $V \approx H \backslash G$ with $H(\mathbb{R}) \backslash G(\mathbb{R})$ an affine symmetric space then a reasonably complete

---

1 Also see [F-M-T] for rational points on homogeneous projective varieties.
theory can be developed, see [D-R-S]. We give some concrete examples of the latter which go beyond the well studied case of quadratic forms.

**Example 1.** (a) For $k \neq 0$ let

$$V_k = \{x_{ij}, i=1,2,3, j=1,2,3 \mid \det(x_{ij}) = k\} \subset \mathbb{A}^9. \quad (1.4)$$

$V_1$ is the group variety $G = SL(3)$, while for the general $k$, $G$ acts on $V_k$ by multiplication. In this way $V_k$ decomposes into finitely many homogeneous spaces for $G$. We have [D-R-S]

$$N(T, V_k) = c_k T^6 + O(T^{23/4}) \quad \text{as } T \to \infty.$$ 

Here and elsewhere the constant $c_k$ is explicitly computable and is given in terms of products of local densities.

(b) Let

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = x_1 x_4 x_6 + 2x_2 x_3 x_5 - x_1 x_5^2 - x_6 x_2^2 - x_4 x_3^2$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_5 \\ x_3 & x_5 & x_6 \end{pmatrix} = \det X. \quad (1.5)$$

Let

$$W_k = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^6 \mid \phi(x) = k\}, \quad k \neq 0.$$ 

$G = SL(3)$ acts on $W_k$ by

$$X \mapsto {}^t g X g.$$ 

Again $W_k$ decomposes into finitely many $G$ orbits. We have

$$N(T, W_k) \sim d_k T^3 \quad \text{as } T \to \infty.$$ 

(c) Let

$$D(a, b, c, d) = 18abcd + b^2c^2 - 4ac^3 - 4b^3d - 27a^2d^2$$

be the homogeneous form of degree four in four variables which is the discriminant of binary cubics. Let

$$U_k = \{(a, b, c, d) \mid D(a, b, c, d) = k\}.$$ 

$SL(2)$ acts on binary cubics and hence on $U$, which is again a homogeneous space. Relative to the norm

$$\|(a, b, c, d)\|^2 = a^2 + \frac{b^2}{3} + \frac{c^2}{3} + d^2$$

we have for $k \neq 0$

$$N(T, U_k) \sim C_k T^{2/3} \quad \text{as } T \to \infty.$$
For the purposes of this report we specialize now to the case that $V$ is a group variety $G$. We assume that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is semisimple and that we have a realization

$$G \subset GL(n) \subset A^m. \quad (1.6)$$

Without loss of generality we assume that

$$K = G(\mathbb{R}) \cap O(m, \mathbb{R}) \quad (1.7)$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. Using (1.6) we get from the Euclidean norm on $A^m$ a norm, $\| \cdot \|$, on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and hence on $V$. Let

$$\alpha(G) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log \int_{|g| \leq T} dg}{\log T}. \quad (1.8)$$

$\alpha(G)$ depends on the realization (1.6) but does not depend on the Haar measure used in definition (1.8). As usual let $\Gamma(q)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of $\Gamma(1) = G(\mathbb{Z}) = V(\mathbb{Z})$, that is

$$\Gamma(q) = \{ \gamma \in G(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv I \pmod{q} \}. \quad (1.9)$$

Denote by $W(q)$ the index $[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(q)]$.

**Theorem 1.1** [D-R-S]. For $\xi \in G(\mathbb{Z})$

$$N(T, V, \xi, q) \sim \frac{c_V}{W(q)} T^a \quad \text{as } T \to \infty.$$

**Remarks 1.1.** (a) A special case of this is well known. That is in hyperbolic spaces ($G(\mathbb{R}) = SO(n,1)$) where the problem reduces to counting lattice points in a non-Euclidean ball. This goes back to Delsarte [DE], Selberg [SEL2], Huber [HUB], Patterson [P], and Lax-Phillips [L-P]. Also in this case, Elstrodt-Mennicke-Grunewald [E-G-M] have investigated the arithmetic aspects of the asymptotics. Bartels [BA] obtains the asymptotics of lattice points in a non-Euclidean ball (which in general is quite different from the above counting problem)\(^2\) for general Riemannian symmetric spaces, when $\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

(b) The constant $c_V$ is essentially $\text{Vol} \left( G(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash G(\mathbb{R}) \right)^{-1}$ which is non-zero by the Borel-Harish Chandra theorem [B-HC]. Moreover, by the theory of Tamagawa numbers, see [KNE], $c_V$ is given by a product of local densities which at almost all places is the Hardy-Littlewood local density.

(c) If $G$ is not semisimple some care must be taken. For example, if $V = \{(x, y) \mid x^2 - y^2 = 1\}$ then $V(\mathbb{Z})$ is finite, while for norm forms of number fields the asymptotics is of the form $c (\log T)^r$.

Theorem 1.1 can be improved to give remainder terms as well but these depend on the spectrum of $L^2(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K)$. More precisely, let $G(\mathbb{R}) = NAK$ be an

\(^2\) See also Terras [TE], p. 248.
Iwasawa factorization of $G(\mathbb{R})$ and $W = \text{Weyl}(G(\mathbb{R}), A)$ the corresponding Weyl group. $S = G(\mathbb{R})/K$ is a globally Riemannian symmetric space. The algebra of invariant differential operators on $S$ is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials on $a_*^c$ (where $a = \text{Lie}(A)$) which are $W$ invariant $[V]$. Thus the spectrum of this ring of invariant operators acting on $L^2(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K)$ may be identified with a subset of $a_*^c/W$ $[V]$. In fact it is a subset of $\hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$, the set of $\lambda \in a_*^c/W$ which correspond to positive spherical functions. Note too that this spectral parameter space comes with a natural topology. We denote the above spectrum by $\sigma^1(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$, the super 1 denoting “class-1” representations $[KN]$. It consists of discrete eigenvalues as well as unitary Eisenstein series when $\Gamma(q) \backslash G$ is not compact $[LS]$. In our normalization of $a_*^c$, $\varrho = 1/2$ sum of positive roots, corresponds to the spherical function 1 and $i a_*^c$ to the tempered spectrum denoted $\hat{G}_{\text{temp}}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that the notion of spectrum $\sigma^1(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$ makes sense for any discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{R})$.

**Definition 1.2.** $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{R})$ ($\Gamma$ discrete and not necessarily of finite volume) is called tempered if $\sigma^1(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})) \subset \hat{G}_{\text{temp}}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

**Theorem 1.3** $[B-L-S]$. If $\Gamma \leq G(\mathbb{R})$ is tempered then

$$N(T, \Gamma) = \sum_{|\ell| \leq T} 1 = O_\varepsilon(T^{a/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

One might (optimistically) hope that the “Ramanujan conjectures” of $G = SL(2)$ (see Selberg [SEL], Satake [SA]) would be true in this general setting. That is for all $q \geq 1$

$$\sigma^1(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(\mathbb{R})) \subset \hat{G}_{\text{temp}}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{\varrho\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Such a bound would lead to optimally small remainder terms in Theorem 1.1, at least for smoothed versions thereof. Unfortunately (1.13) is not true in general. The first counterexamples to (1.13) (at least to its analogue at the finite places) were found in $SP(4)$, numerically by Kurokawa [KU] and using $\theta$-liftings by Howe and Piatetski-Shapiro [H-PS]. Actually, we can see that (1.13) is not true in general for certain structural reasons that come from an examination of $N(T, \Gamma)$. Indeed the truth of (1.13) would imply the same for any $\Gamma(q) \subset \Gamma \subset \Gamma(1)$, i.e., any congruence subgroup. Now suppose that $\Delta = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i$ is an intersection of congruence groups. $\Delta$ need no longer be of finite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$. It is easy to see $[B-L-S2]$ that

$$\sigma^1(\Delta \backslash G(\mathbb{R})) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \sigma^1(\Gamma_i \backslash G(\mathbb{R})). \quad (1.14)$$

In particular if $\sigma^1(\Delta \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$ is nontempered (and does not contain $\varrho$) then the same must be true of $\sigma^1(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$ for $\Gamma = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \Gamma_i$ where $\ell$ is sufficiently large. That is (1.13) fails for suitable large $q$. To find $\Delta$ as above with $\sigma^1(\Delta \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$ nontempered we note that if $H \leq G$ is a $Q$-subgroup then $\Delta = H(\mathbb{Z})$ is the intersection of congruence groups $[B-L-S2]$. Moreover we can compute $N(T, H(\mathbb{Z}))$ by virtue of
\( H(Z) \) being (essentially) a lattice in \( H(R) \). Hence using Theorem 1.3 we can show \( H(Z) = \Delta \) is nontempered by showing it has too many elements. We illustrate this with an example.

Let \( G = SO(n + 1, 1) \subset GL(n + 2, R) \) be the orthogonal group of the form

\[
F(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+2}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+2}^2.
\]

Then

\[
\alpha(G) = n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.
\]

If \( H < G \) corresponds to the subgroup fixing \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) then

\[ H \simeq SO(n + 1 - m, 1) \]

and

\[
\alpha(H(Z)) = n - m.
\]

Hence \( H \) is nontempered if

\[ 1 \leq m < \frac{n}{2}. \]

Thus for \( n \geq 3 \), \( G(Z) \) has congruence subgroups with exceptional spectrum, that is for which (1.13) fails. In fact, a more refined analysis shows that

\[
\left\{ q = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2} - 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}
\]

are limit points of exceptional eigenvalues of congruence subgroups of \( G(Z) \). An explicit lower bound for the automorphic spectrum \( \text{Vol} \sigma(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(R)) \) is developed in \([B-L-S2]\) along these and related lines. The results show the important role played by all \( Q \)-subgroups in the general Ramanujan conjectures. In fact one obtains a powerful and general method to construct automorphic forms and spectrum. This new method complements the only other general method for constructing automorphic forms viz. theta liftings \([Ho]\). In particular it leads to new results on nonvanishing of Betti numbers of arithmetic lattices \([B-L-S2]\).

To end this section a word about the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and its generalizations. The problem reduces to one of counting points of \( \Gamma = G(Z) \) (or subgroups thereof) lying in an expanding family of regions \( R_T \subset G(R) \). One forms the \( \Gamma \) invariant function

\[
F_T(g) = \sum_{y \in \Gamma} \chi_{R_T}(yg),
\]

where \( \chi_R \) is the characteristic function of \( R \). Under suitable assumptions about \( R_T, F_T \) can be asymptotically spectrally analyzed. When successful, one deduces from the asymptotic behavior of certain eigenfunctions on \( G(R)/K \), such as the spherical functions, that the main term in the asymptotics of \( F_T(1) \) comes from the component of \( F_T \) along constants. The latter is \( \text{Vol} (R_T) / \text{Vol} (G(Z) \backslash G(R)) \).

Various \( L^2 \) averaging arguments reduce the spectral analysis to a minimum so that a result like Theorem 1.3 may be deduced without any detailed knowledge of the nature of the spectrum (here \( \text{Vol} (\Gamma \backslash G(R)) = \infty \)).

\[ ^3 \text{We add, however, that when lifting by the trace formula can be carried out successfully it typically yields the most complete picture known – see for example Arthur-Clozel [A-C].} \]
2. Density Hypothesis

We have noted that the naive Ramanujan conjecture is false in most cases. Borrowing from the analytic theory of the zeta function we formulate certain weaker but still very useful hypotheses. If $\nu \in \hat{G}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is an eigenvalue occurring in $L^2(\Gamma(p)/G)$, $p$ a large prime, then we get a representation of the finite group $\Gamma(1)/\Gamma(p)$ on the eigenspace corresponding to $\nu$. Under suitable assumptions $\Gamma(1)/\Gamma(p) \simeq G(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and we may use the lower bounds on the dimensions of the smallest nontrivial irreducible representations of $G(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ [H-H, LUS] to deduce a lower bound on the multiplicity $m(\nu, \Gamma(p))$ of $\nu$ in $L^2(\Gamma(p)/G)$

$$m(\nu, \Gamma(p)) \geq p^{\delta(G)}, \quad \delta(G) \geq 1.$$

In particular in such a case if an exceptional eigenvalue occurs it must do so with high multiplicity. The substitute for Ramanujan is a sharp upper bound for this multiplicity. Notice that we are sticking to the principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma(q)$. In fact this is forced on us in view of the $Q$-subgroup phenomenon discussed in the previous Section. We begin with a basic conjecture concerning the integer points on the group variety $V_G$.

Main Conjecture 2.1 [S-X]. For $T, q \geq 1$

$$N(T, V, I, q) \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{T^{\alpha+\varepsilon}}{W(q)} + T^{\alpha/2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Remarks 2.1. (a) The point of this conjecture is the uniformity in $T$ and $q$. The value of $T$ for which it is most useful (and hardest to prove) is when the terms on the right hand side are equal. Theorem 1.1 implies (2.2) is true for fixed $q$.

(b) The Main Conjecture (MC) follows from the naive Ramanujan conjecture 1.13.

(c) MC has been established in two important cases:

(i) If $\Gamma$ is any arithmetic lattice in $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (see [BO] for a classification) and $\Gamma(q)$ are corresponding congruence subgroups then MC is true [S-X].

(ii) J. Katznelson [KA] has recently established MC for $G = SL(m)$, $m \geq 2$.

We consider the implications of MC. We begin with the density hypothesis and consider only the case $G(\mathbb{R})$ of (real) rank 1. The reason is that in this case there is a natural ordering of the spectrum. In the general case one must order by regions. If $G(\mathbb{R})$ is rank 1 then $\hat{G}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in

$$i \alpha^*_R \cup (0, q] \subset \alpha^*_C.$$

For $0 \leq \nu \leq q$ let

$$M(\nu, q) = \sum_{\nu \leq \mu \leq q} m(\mu, \Gamma(q)).$$
Thus $M(v,q)$ counts the number of exceptional eigenvalues which are more nontempered than $v$. Clearly

$$M(q,q) = 1$$
$$M(0,q) = O(W(q)).$$

The Density Hypothesis is the linear interpolation of these

**Density Hypothesis 2.2** [S-X]. Assume $G(\mathbb{R})$ is rank 1, then

$$M(v,q) = O_c(W(q)^{1-v/e+\varepsilon}), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Proposition 2.3** [S-X]. $MC \Rightarrow$ Density Hypothesis.

**Remarks 2.3.** (a) Combining (2.3) with Remark 2.1 (c) we find that the Density Hypothesis is true for any arithmetic lattice $\Gamma$ (relative to its principal congruence subgroups) in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. For $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ this was first proved by H. Iwaniec and J. Szmidt [I-S] and M. Huxley [HU] using trace formulae. Iwaniec [12] has obtained an even stronger bound for $\Gamma_0(q)$ in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The Main Conjecture also implies bounds on the multiplicities of the $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$, the unitary dual of $G(\mathbb{R})$, which appear discretely in the decomposition of the regular representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ on $L^2(\Gamma(q) \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))$. Denote this multiplicity by $m(\pi, \Gamma(q))$. For $\pi$ class 1, $\pi$ corresponding to $v$ as in the previous Section, we have $m(\nu, \Gamma(q)) = m(\pi, \Gamma(q))$ by the duality theorem [G-G-PS]. If $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$ we let $p(\pi)$ be the infimum over all $p \geq 2$ such that all matrix coefficients of $\pi$ are in $L^p(G)$ [KN]. For $\pi = \varrho$ the trivial representation, $p(\pi) = \infty$ and $m(\pi, \Gamma(q)) = 1$. For $\pi \in L^2(G)$, i.e., $\pi$ discrete series, $p(\pi) = 2$ and $m(\pi, \Gamma(q))$ is of order $W(q)$, see deGeorge-Wallach [G-W]. Linearly interpolating leads to

**Conjecture 2.3** [S-X]. Let $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$

$$m(\pi, \Gamma(q)) = O_c(W(q)^{2/p(\pi)+\varepsilon}).$$

We have

**Proposition 2.4** [S-X]. If $G(\mathbb{R})$ is of rank 1 then $MC \Rightarrow$ Conjecture 2.3.

**Remarks 2.4.** (a) If we drop the assumption that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is of rank 1 then we can prove (in the case $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact) that MC implies a somewhat weaker bound for multiplicities.

(b) Conjecture (2.3) as well as the others are typically false for noncongruence families of $G(\mathbb{Z})$. See for example the Abelian covers in Section 3.

(c) Combining the bound in Conjecture 2.3 with Matsushima’s formula [B-W] in the case $\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, gives rather sharp bounds for the Betti numbers of principal congruence subgroups of $\Gamma$.

Finally Conjecture 2.3 implies certain “arithmetic vanishing theorems” and in particular lower bounds on small eigenvalues. The lower bound (2.1) applies to any representation $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and hence we conclude:

Under Conjecture 2.3, if $p(\pi) > 2/\delta(G)$ then $m(\pi, \Gamma(q)) = 0$ for all $q$.  \(2.5\)
As an application of this where MC has been established we have the following [S-X]:

Let \( \Gamma \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) (or \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \)) be arithmetic. Thus \( \Gamma \) is commensurable with \( G(\mathcal{O}_E) \) where \( E \) is a number field over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and \( G \) is the unit group of a quaternion algebra \( A \) over \( E \) which is ramified at all infinite places of \( E \) except one, call it \( v \), and \( A \otimes v \simeq M(2, \mathbb{R}) \). Let \( \Gamma(P) = G(P) \cap \Gamma \), where \( P \) is a prime ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_E \). Denote by \( \lambda_1(P) \) the smallest non zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on \( \Gamma(P) \backslash H \), \( H \) being the hyperbolic plane. Clearly \( \lambda_1(P) \leq \lambda_1(\Gamma) \) and the last may be arbitrarily small, see Randol [R].

**Proposition 2.5 [S-X].** For \( \text{Norm}(P) \) large

\[
\min \left( \lambda_1(\Gamma), \frac{5}{36} \right) \leq \lambda_1(\Gamma(P)) \leq \lambda_1(\Gamma) .
\]

The number \( 5/36 \) also appears in Huxley [HU] for essentially the same reason; viz. (2.5). This kind of vanishing theorem in (2.5) can also be derived for the graphs constructed in [L-P-S] to prove, in an entirely elementary fashion, that they are expanding families with good expansion coefficients.

Arithmetic vanishing results of the type of (2.5) have been established unconditionally for certain (in particular noncompact) congruence lattices in \( SO(n, 1) \) by Elstrodt-Grunewald-Mennicke [E-G-M2] and Li-Piatetski Shapiro-Sarnak [L-PS-S]. The method used in these papers is quite different (and special) and goes via Kloosterman sums as in Selberg [SEL].

### 3. Variations

We have restricted our discussion to \( G(\mathbb{R}) \) and its spectrum. There are numerous Diophantine problems which are related to \( G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) for finite \( p \). We illustrate this with certain Diophantine problems studied by Linnik [LIN, LIN2]. Let \( F(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) be a homogeneous form in \( r \) variables and of degree \( d \). For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^r \) for which

\[
F(x) = m
\]

we associate to \( x \),

\[
x' = \frac{x}{m^{1/d}} .
\]

Thus \( F(x') = 1 \). With the use of his ergodic method Linnik was able, in certain cases, to study the distribution of these points \( x' \) on the surface \( V_1 = \{ F = 1 \} \) as \( m \to \infty \).

For example, let

\[
F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_r^2 , \quad r \geq 3 .
\]

The basic problem is the equidistribution with respect to Lebesgue measure of the \( x' \) on the unit sphere \( S^{r-1} \). Linnik [LIN] proved this for \( r \geq 4 \) and conditionally for \( r = 3 \) [LIN]. By the use of classical \( \theta \)-functions [S1] this problem is immediately reduced to one of estimating Fourier coefficients of classical modular forms (of
half integer weight if \( r \) is odd). For \( r \geq 4 \) standard estimates give the result. For \( r = 3 \) the estimates needed lie much deeper and were only proven recently by Iwaniec \[12\]. The general case of this problem for quadratic forms in three variables requires similar estimates for Fourier coefficients of Maass forms as well. These were established by W. Duke \[D\] whose work resolves this instance of Linnik’s problem completely.

Concerning forms of higher degree Linnik and Skubenko \[L-S\] considered the form

\[
F(x_{ij}) = \det(x_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
\] (3.4)

Using the ergodic method they show that if \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a (nice) compact set and

\[
R_\Omega (m) = |\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid F(x') \in \Omega \}|
\] (3.5)

then

\[
R_\Omega (m) \sim \frac{\operatorname{meas}(\Omega')}{\zeta(2)\zeta(3) \cdots \zeta(n)} \prod_{p_i} \frac{(p_i^{k_i+1} - 1)(p_i^{k_i+2} - 1) \cdots (p_i^{k_i+n-1} - 1)}{(p_i - 1)p_i^2 - 1) \cdots (p_i^{n-1} - 1)},
\] (3.6)

where \( \Omega' = \Omega \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \operatorname{meas}(\Omega') \) its Euclidean content, and \( m = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r} \to \infty \).

We outline a proof of (3.6) using harmonic analysis on \( \hat{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \). Let \( G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \). The usual Hecke operators \[TE\], \( T_p \) (or more generally \( T_m \)) are defined by

\[
T_p : L^2 (\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})) \to L^2 (\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))
\]

\[
T_p H(g) = \sum_{\text{det } M_j = p} H \left( \frac{1}{p^{1/n}} M_j g \right)
\]

(3.7)

Let \( f \in C_0^\infty (G(\mathbb{R})) \), then

\[
\sum_{\text{det } X = p, X, n \times n \text{ integral}} f(x') = (T_p H)(I),
\]

(3.8)

where

\[
H(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g).
\]

(3.9)

Now

\[
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})} H(g) dg = \int_{G(\mathbb{R})} f(g) dg
\]

(3.10)

(for a fixed Haar measure \( dg \)) from which it is easy to see that (3.6) follows from

\[
\| T_m \|_2 \ll m^{n-1-\delta}
\]

(3.11)
for $\delta > 0$ ($\delta = \delta(n)$), where

$$B = \left\{ h \in L^2(\Gamma \setminus G(\mathbb{R})) \mid \int_{\Gamma \setminus G(\mathbb{R})} h(g) \, dg = 0 \right\}.$$ 

For $n \geq 3$, (3.11) follows from the classification of the spherical dual $\hat{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ [TA], together with the standard relation between Hecke operators and representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For $n = 2$ we need well known, nontrivial bounds on the Fourier coefficients of holomorphic and Maass forms on $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ to establish (3.11).

By examining more carefully the best $\delta$ that can be achieved in (3.11) (again this is the “Ramanujan Conjecture”) or the best estimates for Fourier coefficients in the other cases, one can go well beyond the equidistribution of the points $x'$. In fact one can examine how well the points $x'$ with $F(x) = m$ cover the set $V$. The harmonic analysis method above leads to sharp results [D-R-S]. For an elementary approach concerning the last problem, in the case $SL(n)$, see Harman [HA]. Determining the optimal covering exponent for these points (as $m \to \infty$) is an interesting and apparently difficult problem [D-R-S].

Finally we make comments related to Conjecture 2.3 but in connection with other families of coverings of $G(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus S$. Assume that $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$ with $r \geq 1$. Then there are uniquely defined $(\mathbb{Z}/q \mathbb{Z})^r$ Galois coverings of $\Gamma \setminus S$ given by

$$\Phi(q) \setminus S \text{ where }$$

$$\Phi(q) = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid p(\gamma) \equiv 0 \text{ (mod } q) \}, \quad (3.12)$$

$p : \Gamma \to H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ being the canonical projection. An interesting example of this is the family $F_q : x^q + y^q = 1$ of Fermat curves. These are $(\mathbb{Z}/q \mathbb{Z})^2$ Galois coverings of $\Gamma(2) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ where $H = \{ z \mid \Im z > 0 \}$ and $\Gamma(2) < SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level 2, see [LA1]. Another example is the family of Hirzebruch surfaces [HI].

For such families the behavior of $m(\pi, \Phi(q))$, for $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$ fixed, is closely tied to another Diophantine problem; one of counting the number of $q$–division points in an $r$ dimensional torus which lie on a real analytic subvariety, see [S2]. For $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$ which correspond to cohomology, the subvariety $V$ in question is algebraic in the sense that it is a zero set (or intersection of such) of a trigonometric polynomial. For these there is a definite structure to the set of such torsion points lying on $V$. This was conjectured by Lang [LA2] and proved in Laurent [LAU]. See also [S2] for an effective solution. As a consequence one has

**Theorem 3.1.** [S2] Let $M(q) = \Phi(q) \setminus S$ as above, then the $j$–th Betti numbers $\beta^{(j)}(M(q))$ are polynomial periodic in $q$, that is

$$\beta^{(j)}(M(q)) = \sum_{v=0}^{r} a_{q^v}^{(j)}(q)q^v$$

with $a_{q^v}^{(j)}(q)$ periodic in $q$.

This is in sharp contrast to the congruence family. For the general $\pi \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{R})$ we cannot conclude such strong results. However, using some recent work of Bombieri-Pila [B-P] one can get asymptotic results for $m(\pi, \Phi(q))$ for the Fermat
and related families [P-S]. Actually for the Fermat family we prove in [P-S] an exact formula for the number of exceptional eigenvectors coming from Eisenstein series residues. In the notation of (2.4) with obvious modifications

\[ M_{\text{Eis}}(0,q) = 6 \left\lceil \frac{q}{8} \right\rceil. \] (3.13)

What is striking about (3.13) is that the constant term of the Eisenstein series for the noncongruence groups \( \Phi(q) \) corresponding to \( F_q \), are not (as far as is known) computable in terms of classical zeta like functions.

We have touched on some aspects of the analysis of \( G(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash G(\mathbb{R}) \) which are directly related to analytic Diophantine problems. The aspects of the theory relating to \( L \)-functions associated to automorphic forms on general \( G \), have been well developed at the hands of Langlands, Piatetski-Shapiro, and their coworkers.
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0. Introduction

The basic idea of Mordell-Weil lattices is to view the Mordell-Weil group (= the group of rational points on an elliptic curve or an abelian variety defined over some "global" field) as a Euclidean lattice by means of suitable inner product.

The necessary setup for this has been known for some time. First of all, the finite generation of such a group was established by Mordell and Weil in 1920s (thus named after them) in the case of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ [Mo] or Jacobian varieties over a number field [W1]. After the general theory of abelian varieties was founded by Weil [W2], it was extended by Néron and Lang in 50s to a more general situation [L]. Second the notion of the canonical height on abelian varieties was developed by Néron, Tate and Manin in 60s [N2, T1, T3, M1; cf. L, Se2]. A more geometric method, based on the theory of elliptic surfaces [K], was used by the author [S1] and Cox-Zucker [CZ] in 70s.

Certainly the lattice-theoretic feature of Mordell-Weil groups has appeared in various works, e.g. in the statement of the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. But the idea to view them as lattices seems relatively new, and a systematic study of Mordell-Weil lattices has been done only very recently by the author [S3, S4, S5, S6, S7] and independently by N. Elkies [E], in the case of elliptic curves over function fields, or equivalently, in the case of elliptic surfaces. Actually the general scope of the theory of Mordell-Weil lattices should cover also the case of algebraic surfaces with higher genus fibration and the case of arithmetic surfaces as well (cf. [F, H] for the latter). But, in this talk, we shall restrict our attention to the case of elliptic surfaces.

In Part I, we review the definition and the basic results on Mordell-Weil lattices, and in Part II, we consider the Galois representations and algebraic equations arising from them, and discuss some applications. In the case of rational elliptic surfaces, we obtain some new insight into various problems related to $E_6$, $E_7$, $E_8$—a mysteriously rich subject in Mathematics. In particular, we can answer some questions raised by Weil [W3] and Manin [M2] concerning the Galois representation arising from the 27 lines on a cubic surface.
Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Professor J-P. Serre for many valuable comments on my work. I have had helpful discussions or correspondences with T. Ekedahl, N. Elkies, D. Gross, E. Horikawa, K. Oguiso, K. Saito, F. Sato, I. Shimada and P. Slodowy, and I thank them all.

Part I

1. Definition of Mordell-Weil Lattices

Let $K = k(C)$ be the function field of a smooth projective curve $C$ over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $K$, and let $E(K)$ denote the group of $K$-rational points of $E$, with the origin $O \in E(K)$. For what follows, the main reference is [S7].

Our basic tool is the associated elliptic surface $f: S \to C$ (the Kodaira-Néron model of $E/K$) and the intersection theory on $S$. Recall [K, N1, T2] that $S$ is a smooth projective surface over $k$ and $f$ is a relatively minimal fibration with the generic fibre $E$. We can naturally identify the global sections of $f: S \to C$ with the $K$-rational points of $E$, and so $E(K)$ denotes the group of sections. For $P \in E(K)$, $(P)$ will denote the image curve of $P : C \to S$.

We assume throughout that $(\ast)$ $f$ is not smooth, i.e., there is at least one singular fibre. Then $E(K)$ is finitely generated by the Mordell-Weil theorem. On the other hand, let $N = NS(S)$ be the Néron-Severi group of $S$, i.e. the group of divisors modulo algebraic equivalence. It is a free module of finite rank under the assumption $(\ast)$, and it becomes an indefinite integral lattice with respect to the intersection pairing $(D \cdot D')$. Let $T$ be the sublattice of $N$ generated by the zero section $(O)$, a fibre $F$ and the irreducible components of fibres. Then $T$ is a direct sum:

$$ T = \langle (O), F \rangle_\mathbb{Z} \oplus V, \quad V = \bigoplus_{v \in R} T_v $$

where $R = \{ v \in C | F_v = f^{-1}(v) \text{ reducible} \}$ and $T_v$ is generated by the irreducible components of $F_v$ other than the identity component; each $T_v$ is a root lattice of type $A, D, E$ up to the sign. Let $L = T^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of $T$ in $N$. Then $L$ is a negative-definite even integral lattice, as follows from the Hodge index theorem, the adjunction formula and the canonical bundle formula; see [S7, §7]. We call $T$ or $L$ the trivial or essential sublattice of $NS(S)$.

Now the map $P \to (P) \bmod T$ induces an isomorphism:

$$ E(K) \simeq NS(S)/T $$

(cf. [S7, Th.1.3]). By splitting this isomorphism, we get a unique homomorphism ([S7, §8])

$$ \phi : E(K) \to NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} $$
such that
\[ \varphi(P) \equiv (P) \mod T \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \text{Im}(\varphi) \perp T \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(\varphi) = E(K)_{\text{tor}}. \] (1.4)

**Theorem 1.1.** For \( P, P' \in E(K) \), let
\[ \langle P, P' \rangle = -(\varphi(P) \cdot \varphi(P')). \] (1.5)

Then it defines the structure of a positive-definite lattice on \( E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}} \), called the Mordell-Weil lattice of \( E/K \) or of \( f : S \to C \).

The explicit formula of the height pairing (1.5) is as follows:
\[ \langle P, P' \rangle = \chi + (PO) + (P'O) - (PP') - \sum_{v \in R} \text{contr}_v(P, P'). \] (1.6)

Here \( \chi \) is the arithmetic genus of \( S \) (a positive integer under \((*)\)). \((PQ) = ((P) \cdot (Q))\) is the intersection number of the curves \((P)\) and \((Q)\). The local contribution term \( \text{contr}_v(P, P') \) is a non-negative rational number, which is non-zero only if both \( P \) and \( P' \) pass through non-identity components of \( F_v \); it is then determined by the type of \( F_v \) and the position of the components hit by \( P, P' \) ([S7, § 8]).

On the other hand, let \( E(K)^0 \) be the subgroup of \( E(K) \) consisting of those sections which pass through the identity component of every fibre. It is a torsion-free subgroup of finite index in \( E(K) \).

**Theorem 1.2.** With respect the height pairing, \( E(K)^0 \) is a positive-definite even integral lattice, which is isomorphic via the map \( \varphi \) to the opposite lattice \( L^- \) of the essential sublattice \( L \). It will be called the narrow Mordell-Weil lattice of \( E/K \) or of \( f : S \to C \).

Observe that
\[ \langle P, P' \rangle = \chi + (PO) + (P'O) - (PP') \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if} \ P \text{ or} P' \in E(K)^0, \] (1.7)
\[ \langle P, P \rangle = 2\chi + 2(PO) \geq 2\chi \quad \text{for any} \ P \in E(K)^0, P \neq 0. \] (1.8)

The former shows that \( E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}} \) is contained in the dual lattice \( M^* \) of \( M = E(K)^0 \).

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume further that \( \text{NS}(S) \) is unimodular. Then \( E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}} \) is equal to the dual lattice \( M^* \) of \( M = E(K)^0 \). Moreover we have \( [M^*: M] = \det M = (\det T)/|E(K)_{\text{tor}}|^2 \) (see [S7, Th.9.1]).

2. **Basic Invariants of MWL**

By the very definition, the Mordell-Weil lattices (abbreviated henceforth as MWL) are of Diophantine nature and have quite rich structure, as will be seen later. But, apart from that, they provide a purely algebraic method for constructing lattices of some interest.

In general, given a lattice \( L \), one would like to know its basic invariants such as the rank \( \text{rk}(L) \), the determinant \( \det(L) \) and, if \( L \) is positive-definite
(which we assume now), the minimal norm $\mu(L)$; recall (cf. [CS] for what follows) that $\det(L) = |\det(<x_i, x_j>)|$ for any $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{x_i\}$ of $L$, and $\mu(L) = \min\{<x, x>|x \in L \setminus \{0\}\}$. Now a lattice $L$ of rank $r$ gives rise to a sphere packing in $L \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^r$ by spheres of radius $q_L = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mu(L)}$. The density $\Delta(L)$ is the ratio of the volume of one sphere to that of the fundamental domain of $L$, i.e. $\sqrt{\det(L)}$. The quantity $\delta(L) = \Delta(L)/\text{vol(unit sphere)}$ is called the center density: we have $\delta(L) = (\sqrt{\mu(L)/2})/\sqrt{\det(L)}$.

As for Mordell-Weil lattices, it follows from the results of §1 that their invariants can be expressed in terms of geometric data on the associated elliptic surface:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $M = E(K)^0$ be the narrow MWL of $E/K$. Then

$$r = \text{rk}(M) = g(S) - 2 - \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}} (m_\nu - 1),$$

(2.1)

where $g(S) = \text{rk} NS(S)$ is the Picard number of $S$ and $m_\nu$ is the number of irreducible components of the singular fibre $F_\nu$. Further we have

$$\det M = \det NS(S) \cdot v^2/\det T, \quad v = [E(K) : E(K)^0].$$

(2.2)

$$\mu(M) = 2\chi + \min\{(PO)|P \in E(K)^0, P \neq 0\} \geq 2\chi.$$  

(2.3)

It is not so easy in general to evaluate the rank and $\det$, though we have a good lower bound $2\chi$ for $\mu$. In particular, it is a nontrivial problem to find $E/K$ with large rank. A notable exception to this is the results of Shafarevich and Tate [ShT] and of the author [S2] that the rank can be arbitrarily large in case $p = \text{char}(K) > 0$; the proof uses supersingular Fermat curves [ShT] or supersingular Fermat surfaces [S2]. From the viewpoint of MWL, Elkies and the author have independently studied these cases and obtained, among others, the following (see [E] and [S4], cf. [G]):

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $E$ be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + t^{q+1} + 1$ over $K = k_1(t)$, $k_1$ any extension of the finite field of $q^2$ elements with $q = p^e \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. Then $E(K) = E(K)^0$ is an even integral lattice with the invariants:

$$r = 2q - 2, \quad \det = q^{2(\chi - 1)/b}, \quad \mu = 2\chi \quad (\chi = (q + 1)/6),$$

(2.4)

where $b$ is a positive integer (an even power of $p$) such that

$$b = 1 \quad (e = 1), \quad b \geq p^{2(e-1)(p-5)/6} \quad (e > 1)$$

(2.5)

in which equality holds if $e = 3$. The integer $b$ is equal to the order of the Shafarevich-Tate group of $E/K$ for $k_1 = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ or of the Brauer group of $S/k_1$ (cf. [T1]). In particular, we have

$$\delta = \sqrt{b \cdot ((q + 1)/12)^{q-1}/q^{(q-5)/6}}.$$  

(2.6)

N.B. This gives denser sphere packings than previously known ones in certain dimensions; for instance, for $q = 41$, we have $r = 80$ and $\log_2 \delta = 40.14$, the previous record being 36 (cf. [CS, Table 1.3], [E], [S4, Ex.1.3]).
3. Rational Elliptic Surfaces

With the notation of § 1, suppose that \( S \) is a rational elliptic surface, i.e. birational to \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Then we have \( C = \mathbb{P}^1, K = k(t), \chi = 1, \) and \( N = NS(S) \) is unimodular of rank \( q = 10 \). Hence \( r \leq 8 \) by (2.1).

The structure of the MWL and MW group of a rational elliptic surface is completely determined by the reducible singular fibres. Namely, look at the embedding of lattices, deduced from (1.1):

\[
V = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{R}} T_v \subset H = \langle (O), F \rangle^\perp \subset N. \tag{3.1}
\]

Note that \( H \) is a negative-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 8, i.e. the root lattice \( E_8 \) up to the sign, and \( V \) is a direct sum of root sublattices (cf. [B, CS] for root lattices). Theorem 1.3 gives:

**Theorem 3.1.** For a rational elliptic surface, the narrow MWL is isomorphic to the orthogonal complement of \( V \) in \( E_8 \), and the MWL is its dual lattice. The torsion subgroup of \( E(K) \) is \( V'/V \), where \( V' \) is the primitive closure of \( V \) in \( E_8 \), i.e. \( V' = V \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap E_8 \). Thus we have

\[
E(K) \simeq (V^\perp)^* \oplus (V'/V), \quad E(K)^0 \simeq V^\perp, \tag{3.2}
\]

where the isomorphism preserves the pairing. (See [S7, Th.10.3]).

The structure theorem in the case of rank \( r = 8, 7 \) or 6 is:

**Theorem 3.2.** The narrow MWL \( E(K)^0 \) is the root lattice \( E_8, E_7 \) or \( E_6 \), according to whether \( f : S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) has (i) no reducible fibres, (ii) only one reducible fibre of type \( I_2 \) or III ([K]), or (iii) only one reducible fibre of type \( I_3 \) or IV. Further \( E(K) \) is torsion-free and isomorphic to \( E_8, E_7^* \) or \( E_6^* \) accordingly. (Cf. [S7, Th.10.4]).

More generally, we have a complete structure theorem by using Dynkin’s classification of \( V \subset E_8 \) (see [OS]). As a consequence, we obtain an effective result on the generators:

**Theorem 3.3.** The Mordell-Weil group of any rational elliptic surface is generated by the sections \( P \) with \( \langle PO \rangle = 0 \), hence by those \( P \) with \( \langle P, P \rangle \leq 2 \). If \( E/K \) is defined by the Weierstrass equation

\[
y^2 + a_1(t)xy + a_3(t)y = x^3 + a_2(t)x^2 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t), \tag{3.3}
\]

with \( a_i(t) \in k[t], \deg a_i(t) \leq i, \) then \( E(K) \) is generated by rational points \( P = (x, y) \) of the form:

\[
x = gt^2 + at + b, \quad y = ht^3 + ct^2 + dt + e \quad (g, a, \ldots, e \in k). \tag{3.4}
\]

There are at most 240 such rational points. (Cf. [S7, Th.10.10]).
Part II

4. Galois Representations Arising from MWL

From now on, we consider the following situation. Let $k_0$ be a perfect field and $k$ its algebraic closure; let $G = \text{Gal}(k/k_0)$. Let $C$ be an absolutely irreducible smooth projective curve defined over $k_0$ and $K = k(C)$ or $K_0 = k_0(C)$ the function field of $C$ over $k$ or $k_0$. Now let $E$ denote an elliptic curve defined over $K_0$ and consider $E/K$. The associated elliptic surface $f : S \to C$ is now defined over $k_0$.

Obviously the Galois group $G$ acts on $E(K)$, and $E(K_0)$ coincides with $E(K)^G$, the subgroup of $G$-invariants. First we note:

**Lemma 4.1.** (i) The map $\varphi$ defined by (1.3) is $G$-equivariant. (ii) The height pairing (1.6) on $E(K)$ is stable under $G$. (Cf. [S7, Prop.8.13]).

Therefore we get a Galois representation on the MW group
\[ \varphi : G = \text{Gal}(k/k_0) \to \text{Aut}(E(K), \langle , \rangle) = \text{a finite group} \] (4.1)
and its variant on the MWL or the narrow MWL of $E/K$, say $M$,
\[ \varphi' : G \to \text{Aut}(M) \subset \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \quad (r = \text{rk}(M)). \] (4.2)
Let $\mathcal{H}/k_0$ be the extension corresponding to $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$; equivalently, $\mathcal{H}$ is the smallest extension of $k_0$ such that $E(\mathcal{H}(C)) = E(K)$. By definition, $\mathcal{H}/k_0$ is a finite Galois extension such that
\[ \text{Gal}(\mathcal{H}/k_0) = \text{Im}(\varphi). \] (4.3)

The basic problem on the Galois representation (4.1) is this:

**Problem 4.2.** Determine the image of $\varphi$. In particular, we ask: (i) How big or (ii) how small can $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ be?

To study this will be a main theme in the subsequent sections.

**Remark 4.3.** The Galois representations $\varphi$ or $\varphi'$ arising from MWL are quite different from those arising from the torsion points of an elliptic curve or an abelian variety (e.g. the Tate modules), because we are dealing with points of infinite order here!

Next we note the connection to the Hasse zeta functions (cf. [M2, Se1]). We state the result in the simplest situation, which is related to the questions of Weil [W3] and Manin [M2]; see Theorem 8.4.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $S$ is a rational elliptic surface (over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) and that the trivial lattice $T$ is a trivial $G$-module. Then the Hasse zeta function of $S$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by
\[ \zeta(S/\mathbb{Q}, s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s - 1)^{10-r}\zeta(s - 2) \cdot L(s - 1, \varphi, \mathcal{H}/\mathbb{Q}), \] (4.4)
where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $L(s, \rho, \mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Q})$ is the Artin $L$-function attached to the representation $\rho$ of $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the MWL.

**Proof.** By [Sel], the Hasse zeta function is determined by the $l$-adic representation of $G$ on $H^i_{\text{et}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_l) \ (0 \leq i \leq 4)$. The other cases being trivial, consider the case $i = 2$. For a rational surface, the cycle map induces a $G$-isomorphism

$$\text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \simeq H^2_{\text{et}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_l(1)).$$

(4.5)

On the other hand, the results in §1 imply

$$\text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus T \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

(4.6)

where the latter is a $G$-isomorphism by Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.1. □

(N.B. We have *exact* equality in (4.4), as pointed out by J-P. Serre.)

### 5. Algebraic Equations Arising from MWL

Given an elliptic curve $E/K_0$, take a singular fibre $F_v = f^{-1}(v)$ and a $G$-stable finite subset $I$ of $E(K)$. Then we define

$$\Phi(X) = \Phi(E/K_0, v, I; X) = \prod_{P \in I} (X - \text{sp}_v(P)) \in k[X].$$

(5.1)

Here, $\text{sp}_v$ is the specialization map at $v$, defined as follows. For any $P \in E(K)$, the section $(P)$ intersects the fibre $F_v$ at a unique smooth point of $F_v$; call it $\text{sp}_v(P)$. The smooth part $F_v^\#$ of $F_v$ is an algebraic group over $k$, which is the product of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by a finite group (at least if $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$; cf. [K, N1, T2]), and the map

$$\text{sp}_v : E(K) \to F_v^\#(k)$$

(5.2)

is a $G$-equivariant homomorphism. We denote by $\text{sp}_v^I(P)$ the projection of $\text{sp}_v(P)$ to the factor $\mathbb{G}_m$.

As a typical example of the set $I$, we have (by Lemma 4.1)

$$I_n = \{P \in E(K) \mid \langle P, P \rangle = n\} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

(5.3)

**Proposition 5.1.** Assume $v \in C(k_0)$. Then $\Phi(X)$ has coefficients in $k_0$, i.e. $\Phi(X) \in k_0[X]$. The splitting field of the algebraic equation $\Phi(X) = 0$ over $k_0$, say $\mathcal{X}'$, is contained in $\mathcal{X}$ defined in §4, and we have $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X}$ if 1) $\text{sp}_v$ is injective and 2) $I$ contains generators of $E(K)$.

**Proof.** Immediate by Galois theory. □

**Remark 5.2.** The algebraic equations (5.1) look somewhat analogous to the classical "division equations" arising from torsion points on an elliptic curve, whose study goes back to Abel and Galois ([ST, Ch.1]). As noted in Remark 4.3, we are concerned here with points of *infinite order* on an elliptic curve $E$, and in general the algebraic equations will lead to highly *non-abelian* extensions (see Theorems 6.1, 7.1).
6. Generic Galois Representation and Algebraic Equation of Type $E_r$

To illustrate the nature of the Galois representations and the algebraic equations arising from MWL, we consider some special cases. Let $E = E_\lambda$ be the elliptic curve, defined by one of the following equations, over $K_0 = k_0(t)$ where $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}(p_0, q_1)$.

\[
E_8: \quad y^2 = x^3 + x \left( \sum_{i=0}^{3} p_i t^i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{3} q_i t^i + t^5 \right)
\]

\[
\lambda = (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{A}^8
\]

\[
E_7: \quad y^2 = x^3 + x(p_0 + p_1 t + t^3) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{4} q_i t^i \right)
\]

\[
\lambda = (p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \in \mathbb{A}^7
\]

\[
E_6: \quad y^2 = x^3 + x \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2} p_i t^i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2} q_i t^i + t^4 \right)
\]

\[
\lambda = (p_0, p_1, p_2, q_0, q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{A}^6.
\]

Let $f: S_\lambda \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the associated elliptic surface. The fibre $f^{-1}(\infty)$ is an additive singular fibre of type II, III or IV according to the case $(E_r)$ for $r = 8, 7$ or $6$ and $f^{-1}(\infty)^\# \simeq G_a \times \mathbb{Z}/(9-r)$ [K, N1, T2]. Assume that $\lambda$ satisfies the condition:

\[
\text{every fibre of } f \text{ over } t \neq \infty \text{ is irreducible.}
\]

Then the MWL $E(k(t))$ is isomorphic to $E_\lambda^*$ by Theorem 3.2, and we get the Galois representation

\[
\rho = \rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}(k/k_0) \to \text{Aut}(E_\lambda^*) = \text{Aut}(E_r).
\]

Recall that $\text{Aut}(E_r) = W(E_r)$ for $r = 8$ or $7$, and $W(E_r) \{ \pm 1 \}$ for $r = 6$, where $W(E_r)$ denotes the Weyl group of type $E_r$ (cf. [B, CS]). In any case, we have $\text{Im}(\rho_\lambda) \subset W(E_r)$. It should be noticed that $W(E_r)$ is almost a simple group: with the notation of simple groups in Atlas [C], $W(E_6)$ contains $U_4(2)$ (order $2^93^45$) as a subgroup of index 2, $W(E_7)/\{ \pm 1 \} \simeq S_6(2) = \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$; order $2^93^45 \cdot 7$, and $W(E_8)$ has a subgroup $H$ of index 2 such that $H/\{ \pm 1 \} \simeq G_8^+(2)$ (order $2^{12}3^55^27$).

Now we assume that $\lambda$ is generic, i.e. $p_i, q_j$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then (6.2) obviously holds.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $\lambda$ be generic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then (i) the image of the Galois representation $\rho_\lambda$ is the full Weyl group $W(E_r)$:

\[
\text{Im}(\rho_\lambda) = W(E_r).
\]

(ii) Let $K_\lambda/k_0$ be the Galois extension corresponding to $\text{Ker}(\rho_\lambda)$, and let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_r\}$ be a basis of $E(k(t)) \simeq E_\lambda^*$ consisting of minimal vectors. Further let $u_i = \text{sp}_\lambda'(P_i) \in K_\lambda \subset k$. Then $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and we have
\[ X_\lambda = k_0(u_1, \ldots, u_r) = \mathbb{Q}(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \]  
(6.4)

\[ \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u_1, \ldots, u_r)/\mathbb{Q}(p_i, q_j)) = W(E_r). \]  
(6.5)

(iii) \( W(E_r) \) acts on the vector space \( \sum \mathbb{Q}u_i \) and hence on the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_r] \), and the ring of the invariants is:

\[ \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_r]^{W(E_r)} = \mathbb{Q}[p_i, q_j]. \]  
(6.6)

In particular, \( p_i \) and \( q_j \) form the fundamental invariants of the Weyl group \( W(E_r) \) and we can explicitly write

\[ p_i \text{ or } q_j = J_d(u_1, \ldots, u_r), \]  
(6.7)

where \( J_d \) denotes a \( W(E_r) \)-invariant of degree \( d \), \( d \in \{2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12\} \), \( \{2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18\} \) or \( \{2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30\} \) for \( r = 6, 7 \) or 8.

The universal polynomial of type \( E_r \) is defined as a special case of (5.1), and it has degree \( N = 27, 56 \) or 240 for \( r = 6, 7 \) or 8:

\[ \Phi_{E_r}(X, \lambda) = \Phi(E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)(t), \infty, I; X) = \prod_{P \in I}(X - \text{sp}_\alpha(P)) \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)[X]. \]  
(6.8)

Here \( I = I_\mu \) is the set of minimal vectors for \( r = 8 \) or 7 (thus \( \mu = 2 \) or 3/2, and \( \#I = 240 \) or 56 accordingly). For \( r = 6 \), we take \( I = I_\mu^\pm \), either one of 2 orbits of \( W(E_6) \) in \( I_\mu \) (\( \mu = 4/3 \), \( \#I = 27 \)), and modify the right hand side of (6.8) by \( \prod(X - ( -2) \text{sp}_\alpha(P)) \). Then we have

**Theorem 6.2.** For \( \lambda \) generic, \( \Phi_{E_r}(X, \lambda) \) is a monic irreducible polynomial in \( X \) with coefficients in the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] = \mathbb{Z}[p_i, q_j] \), and its splitting field over \( \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \) is equal to \( X_\lambda \) in Theorem 6.1.

Considering the elliptic curve (6.1) over the field \( X_\lambda(t) = \mathbb{Q}(u_1, \ldots, u_r)(t) \), we have the following:

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose \( u_1, \ldots, u_r \) are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and define \( \lambda = (p_i, q_j) \) by (6.7) and the elliptic curve \( E_\lambda \) by (6.1). Then the Mordell-Weil group \( E(\mathbb{Q}(u_1, \ldots, u_r)(t)) \cong E_\lambda^* \) is of rank \( r \), and has a basis \( \{P_1, \ldots, P_r\} \) such that \( \text{sp}_\alpha(P_i) = u_i \). More explicitly, we have \( P_1 = (x, y) \) where \( x, y \) are polynomials in \( t \) of the form (3.4) which are given as follows:

(i) If \( r = 8 \), then \( g = u_i^{-2}, h = u_i^{-3} \) and

\[ a, \ldots, e \in \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_8][u_i^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{Q}(p_0, \ldots, q_3)(u_i). \]

(ii) If \( r = 7 \), then \( c = u_i \) and

\[ a, b, d, e \in \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_7] \cap \mathbb{Q}(p_0, \ldots, q_4)(u_i), \quad g = h = 0. \]

(iii) If \( r = 6 \), then \( a = -2 \cdot u_i, c = 1 \), and

\[ b, d, e \in \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \ldots, u_6] \cap \mathbb{Q}(p_0, \ldots, q_2)(u_i), \quad g = h = 0. \]
Let us briefly sketch the proof of the above theorems (for details, we refer to [S6, § 8]). By Theorem 3.2, we know the structure of the MWL $E_8(k(t))$. For instance, if $r = 8$, it is $E_8$ and there exist 240 minimal vectors $P = (x, y)$ of the form (3.4). Substitute (3.4) into the equation $(E_8)$, and we obtain polynomial relations among the coefficients $a, \ldots, g, h$. Then the successive elimination leads to a monic relation of $u = g/h = sp_\alpha(P)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, of degree 240, which must coincide with the universal polynomial of type $E_8$. This implies (6.6) and (6.7) by comparison of the coefficients of $u^d$, $d$ being the degree of fundamental invariants. The rest of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 follow immediately from this, and Theorem 6.3 from a closer look at the elimination process.

**Remark 6.4.** Actually the proof shows that, in the above theorems, the ground field $\mathbb{Q}$ can be replaced by any field $F$, provided that its characteristic is different from a few primes which come into the denominators of the expression (6.7); they are $\{2, \ldots, 7\}$, $\{2, \ldots, 11, 29, 1229\}$ or $\{2, \ldots, 19, 41, 61, 199\}$ for $r = 6, 7$ or 8.

7. Galois Representations of Type $E_r$ over $\mathbb{Q}$

We can compare the results of § 6 with the classical theory of the generic algebraic equation of degree $n$. Let

$$F(X, \varepsilon) = X^n + \varepsilon_2 X^{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^n \varepsilon_n \quad (\varepsilon_i: \text{alg.indep.}/\mathbb{Q})$$

be such an equation, normalized so that the sum of the roots $x_i$ is 0. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the splitting field of $F(X, \varepsilon)$ over $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$. Then

(i) $\mathcal{K} = k_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \mathbb{Q}(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$.

(ii) $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(x_2, \ldots, x_n)/\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)) = \mathfrak{S}_n = W(A_{n-1})$.

(iii) $\mathbb{Q}[x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{W(A_{n-1})} = \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n]$.

(iv) $\varepsilon_i = \text{the fundamental invariants of } \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Thus Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 (based on the theory of MWL) give a complete analogy for the exceptional type $E_r$ ($r = 6, 7, 8$) of what the theory of generic equation does to the classical type $A_{n-1}$.

The latter theory has a standard application to number theory: (a) construction of Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (via specialization "downstairs" $(\varepsilon_i) \to (a_i) \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem (cf. [L, Se2]), and (b) construction of an algebraic equation with the prescribed roots (via specialization "upstairs" $(x_i) \to (b_i) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$), which is indeed trivial.

In what follows, we describe similar application of our theory.

First consider the specialization "downstairs": $\lambda \to \lambda^0 \in \mathbb{Q}$. We keep the same notation as in § 6, except that we write $\lambda$ for $\lambda^0$ (to simplify printing) so that $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}$ is now a Galois extension.

**Theorem 7.1.** Fix $r = 6, 7$ or 8, and let

$$A = A_r = \{\lambda = (p_i, q_j) \in \mathbb{Q}^r | \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}) = W(E_r)\}.$$  

(7.1)
Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a Zariski dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}'$ (more precisely, it is the complement of a "thin set" in $\mathbb{Q}'$ in the sense of [Se2]). There exist infinitely many $\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\{\mathcal{X}_\lambda\}$ are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Proof.** Immediate from Theorem 6.1 (esp. (6.5)) by applying Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem [Se2, Ch.10]. □

We note that, for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$, we have an elliptic curve $E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}(t)$ with the MWL $E_\lambda(\mathbb{Q}(t)) = E_\lambda(\mathcal{X}_\lambda(t)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $E_\lambda(\mathbb{Q}(t)) = \{0\}$, and a rational elliptic surface $S_\lambda$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, having the Hasse zeta function (4.4) with non-abelian Artin $L$-function $L(s, \varrho_\lambda, \mathcal{X}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q})$.

**Theorem 7.2.** Every Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $W(E_r)$ is obtained as $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$. In other words, every such extension arises from the MWL of the elliptic curve $E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}(t)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}'$.

**Proof.** Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be any extension with Galois group $W(E_r)$. Viewed as a $W(E_r)$-module, $K$ is equivalent to the regular representation, and hence it contains a subspace, say $U$, isomorphic to $E_r \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (a natural irreducible representation of $W(E_r)$). Fixing such an isomorphism, choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{u_i\}$ of $E_r^* \subset U$ and define $\lambda = (p_i, q_j)$ by (6.7). Then we have $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}'$, since $p_i, q_j$ are $W(E_r)$-invariant. The splitting field $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ of $\Phi_{E_r}(X, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is contained in $K$ and contains $U$. We claim: $\mathcal{X}_\lambda = K$. Indeed, any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathcal{X}_\lambda)$ acts trivially on $U \subset \mathcal{X}_\lambda$. But $U$ is a faithful representation of $W(E_r)$. Hence $\sigma = 1$, which proves the claim. (Our original proof of the claim used the structure of $W(E_r)$ (cf. § 6). We owe the above simplification to T. Ekedahl). □

We can also prove a formal analogue of Tate’s conjecture for abelian varieties. Let $\lambda = (p_i, q_j), \lambda' = (p'_i, q'_j) \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for $r = 6$ or 7.

**Theorem 7.3.** The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The Galois representations $\varrho_\lambda$ and $\varrho_{\lambda'}$ are equivalent.

(ii) $\mathcal{X}_\lambda = \mathcal{X}_{\lambda'}$ and the roots $\{u_i\}$ and $\{u'_i\}$ of the polynomials $\Phi(X, \lambda)$ and $\Phi(X, \lambda')$ defined by (6.8) are related (up to ordering) by

$$u'_i = A(u_i) \quad (\text{all } i) \quad \text{for some } A(X) = \sum a_v X^v \in \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

(iii) $\mathcal{X}_\lambda = \mathcal{X}_{\lambda'}$ and $p_i, q_j$ can be expressed by certain polynomials in $p'_i, q'_j$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

**Proof.** We consider the case $r = 6$. First assume (i). Then clearly $\mathcal{X}_\lambda = \mathcal{X}_{\lambda'}$, which we denote by $K$. There are exactly $n = 27$ minimal subfields $M$ of $K$ such that $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, because $K/\mathbb{Q}$ has Galois group $W(E_r)$, which has so many maximal subgroups of index $n$ (cf. [C]). Thus the $n$ subfields $\mathbb{Q}(u_i) \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$ of $K$ give all such, and hence $\mathbb{Q}(u'_i) = \mathbb{Q}(u_i)$ (all $i$) up to reordering. Let $u'_i = \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} a_v u^v$ ($a_v \in \mathbb{Q}$).

Then by the action of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ on both sides, we see (i) implies (ii). Conversely, if (ii) holds, then the permutations induced by $\sigma$ on the sets $\{u_i\}$ and $\{u'_i\}$ are identical. Hence we have $\varrho_\lambda \sim \varrho_{\lambda'}$, i.e. (i). The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from (6.6), (6.7).
The case \( r = 7 \) can be treated in the same way. (We omit the case \( r = 8 \) here, since more work is needed in addition to the information on the maximal subgroups in [C].)

Our method allows us to find explicit examples.

**Example 7.4** \((r = 6)\). Take \( \lambda = (1, 0, 1; 1, 1, 1) \). The elliptic curve is:

\[
E = E_\lambda : y^2 = x^3 + x(1 + t^2) + (1 + t + t^2 + t^4).
\] (7.2)

Then the MWL \( E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t)) \cong E_6^\ast \). We claim: \( \text{Gal}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Q}) = W(E_6) \), i.e. \( \lambda \in A_6 \). Further \( \mathcal{X}_1 \) is the splitting field of \( F(X) = \Phi(X, \lambda) \) below:

\[
F(X) = X^{27} + 12X^{25} + 60X^{23} + 264X^{21} + 1302X^{19} - 1344X^{18} \\
+ 3792X^{17} - 5568X^{16} + 22252X^{15} - 8832X^{14} + 57560X^{13} \\
+ 4224X^{12} + 39025X^{11} - 49728X^{10} + 88516X^9 - 50880X^8 \\
- 95024X^7 - 150016X^6 - 35840X^5 - 16384X^4 - 104192X^3 \\
+ 5888X - 4096.
\]

This can be verified as follows. Look at the decomposition of \( F(X) \) mod \( p \) into irreducible factors. For \( p = 3 \), it has 3 irreducible factors of degree 9 each, denoted symbolically by \((9)\)^3. Similarly, for \( p = 19 \), it has \((2)(5)^3(10)\). Then the claim follows from:

**Lemma 7.5** (Serre). Let \( C_1 \) or \( C_2 \) be the conjugacy classes in \( W(E_6) \) of the elements of order 9 or 10 with cycle type \((9)^3\) or \((2)(5)^3(10)\) in \( S_{27} \) (cf. [Sw, p.57]). Suppose a subgroup \( H \) of \( W(E_6) \) has the property that \( H \cap C_i \neq \emptyset \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( H = W(E_6) \).

**Proof.** If not, take a maximal subgroup containing \( H \). The possible orders of such are given in [C], but none of them are divisible by both 9 and 10 except one. But this last group does not contain any element of order 9. Hence a contradiction.

(We can show further that the ramification occurs only at \( p = 2, 137, 15784603 \).)

**Example 7.6** \((r = 7)\). Let \( \lambda = (1, 1; 1, 1, 0, 1) \) and

\[
E = E_\lambda : y^2 = x^3 + x(1 + t + t^3) + (1 + t + t^2 + t^4).
\] (7.4)

Then the MWL \( E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t)) \cong E_7^\ast \), and \( \text{Gal}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Q}) = W(E_7) \), i.e. \( \lambda \in A_7 \). The universal polynomial \( F(X) = \Phi(X, \lambda) \) equals \( f(Y) \) below \((Y = X^2)\), and the splitting field of \( f(Y) \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) has the Galois group isomorphic to \( W(E_7)/\{ \pm 1 \} \cong S_6(2) \) (a simple group of order 1451520).

\[
f(Y) = Y^{28} - 36Y^{27} + 594Y^{26} - 6084Y^{25} + 43935Y^{24} - 240192Y^{23} \\
+ 1039392Y^{22} - 3661764Y^{21} + 10681839Y^{20} - 26088660Y^{19} \\
+ 53894394Y^{18} - 95282532Y^{17} + 145821463Y^{16} - 194265660Y^{15}
\]
\[ + 22372862 Y^{14} - 216948108 Y^{13} + 168475770 Y^{12} - 98229852 Y^{11} \\
+ 42796234 Y^{10} - 19590492 Y^{9} + 14262444 Y^{8} - 9949084 Y^{7} \\
+ 4609696 Y^{6} - 1118808 Y^{5} + 53521 Y^{4} + 160 Y^{3} + 4288 Y^{2} \\
- 5312 Y + 1024. \]  

(7.5)

The proof is similar to Example 7.4. The decomposition type of \( F(X) \mod p \) is \((7)^8\) for \( p = 47\), and \((3)^2(5)^4(15)^2\) for \( p = 131\). This suffices to conclude \( \text{Gal}(\mathcal{X}_2/Q) = W(E_7) \) in view of [C].

In the above, we have taken \( Q \) as the ground field, but the same idea works in more general situation. Here is one such example.

**Example 7.7** \((r = 6)\). Let \( k_0 = \mathbb{F}_3(s) \) be the rational function field over the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_3 \). Then the following simple equation

\[ F(X) = X^{27} + X^{12} - X^7 - X^2 - s^3 \in \mathbb{F}_3[s][X] \]  

(7.6)

has the Galois group \( W(E_6)! \) It arises from the elliptic curve \( E/k_0(t) \)

\[ y^2 = x^3 + xt + st + t^4 \]  

(7.7)

having the MWL \( E(k_0(t)) = E^*_6 \). This is proven by checking that \( F(X) \mod p \) has the conjugacy type \( C_x \) or \( C_2 \) for the prime ideal \( p = (s - 1) \) or \( (s + 1) \) of \( \mathbb{F}_3[s] \) and by applying Lemma 7.5.

### 8. Further Applications

We briefly mention other applications of Theorems 6.1, etc.

1) **Construction of Elliptic Curves over \( Q(t) \) with Rank \( r = 6, 7, 8 \)**

We consider the analogy for \( E_r \), mentioned before, of (b) writing down an algebraic equation with prescribed roots. By specializing the parameters "upstairs" \((u_i)\) to some \((u_0^0)\) in \( Q' \) in Theorems 6.1 or 6.3 in such a way that the condition (6.2) is satisfied, we obtain an elliptic curve \( E = E_\lambda \over Q(t) \), together with \( r \) rational points \( P_i \). Observe that each \( P_i \) has the prescribed value \( u_0^0 \) as its essential parameter; e.g. \( P_i = (-2u_0^0 t + \cdots, t^2 + \cdots) \) for \( r = 6 \). The condition (6.2) is equivalent to certain polynomial \( \delta_0(u^0) \neq 0 \) (see [S6]).

**Theorem 8.1.** Assume \( \delta_0(u^0) \neq 0 \). Then the Mordell-Weil group \( E(Q(t)) \cong E^*_r \) has rank \( r (= 6, 7, 8) \), and \( \{P_i\} \) forms a set of generators.

See [S6] for the proof, as well as for explicit examples.

**Corollary 8.2.** Given \( E/Q(t) \) as above, we have an infinite family \( \{E^{(t)} | t \in Q - \sum \} \) \((\sum < \infty)\) of elliptic curves over \( Q \) of rank \( \geq r \), with \( r \) independent points \( P_i^{(t)} \), by specializing \( t \rightarrow \tau \in Q \). Further
\[
\det(\langle P_i^{(z)}, P_j^{(z)} \rangle_{can}/h(\tau)) \to 1/2d \quad \text{as } h(\tau) \to \infty, \quad (8.1)
\]

where \(\langle \, , \rangle_{can}\) is the canonical height and \(h(\tau)\) the standard height (esp. \(h(\tau) = \log|\tau|\) for \(\tau \in \mathbb{Z}\)), and \(d = 1, 2\) or \(3\) for \(r = 8, 7\) or \(6\).

This follows from a result of Néron, Silverman [Si], Tate [T3].

2) The 27 Lines on a Smooth Cubic Surface

In the equation \((E_6)\) of (6.1), let \(y = y' \pm t^2\) and \((x : y' : t : 1) = (X : Y : Z : W)\). Then we have a cubic surface \(V = V_{\lambda}^\pm\) in \(\mathbb{P}^3\):

\[
Y^2W \pm 2YZ^2 = X^3 + X(p_0W^2 + p_1ZW + p_2Z^2) + q_0W^3 + q_1ZW^2 + q_2Z^2W. \quad (8.2)
\]

It is smooth if and only if (6.2) holds. Under this assumption, the narrow MWL \(E_6(k(t))^0 \simeq E_6\) is isomorphic to the primitive part of \(NS(V) = \langle \omega_\nu \rangle_{\pm}\) in the notation of \([M2, \text{Ch.4}]\). The 27 minimal sections of the form \(P = (at + b, t^2 + dt + e)\) in the MWL \(E_6(k(t))\) are transformed into the 27 lines on \(V = V_{\lambda}^+\) defined by the equation

\[
X = aZ + bW, \quad Y = dZ + eW. \quad (8.3)
\]

(Similarly, the 27 sections \(-P\) are mapped to the 27 lines on \(V_{\lambda}^-\).)

Hence the universal polynomial \(\Phi(X, \lambda)\) of type \(E_6\) becomes the "algebraic equation of the 27 lines" on \(V_{\lambda}\). As a consequence, we can easily deduce the following from our previous results.

**Theorem 8.3.** For \(\lambda = (p, q)\) generic over any field \(F\) of char. \(p \neq 2\), the Galois group of the 27 lines on the cubic surface \(V_{\lambda}\) over \(F(\lambda)\) is equal to the full Weyl group \(W(E_6)\).

**Proof.** This is immediate from Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.4 if \(p\) is different from 3, 5, 7, in which case the assertion can be verified as in Example 7.7. (A similar result holds also for \(p = 2\).) \(\square\)

**Theorem 8.4.** For \(\lambda \in \mathbb{A}_6 \subset \mathbb{Q}^6\), the Galois group of the 27 lines on \(V_{\lambda}\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) is \(W(E_6)\), and the Hasse zeta function of \(V_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}\) is given by

\[
\zeta(V_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}, s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s - 1)\zeta(s - 2)L(s - 1, q_{\lambda}, \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}). \quad (8.4)
\]

**Proof.** Immediate from Theorem 7.1. \(\square\)

**Example 8.5.** We easily obtain an explicit example of a cubic surface over \(\mathbb{Q}\) with the Galois group \(W(E_6)\). For instance, Example 7.4 gives:

\[
Y^2W + 2YZ^2 = X^3 + XW^2 + XZ^2 + W^3 + ZW^2 + Z^2W. \quad (8.5)
\]

The 27 lines are defined over \(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}\) given by (7.3). Compare [Ek].

We believe that these results clarify some of Weil's statements at the end of his 1954 Congress address [W3]. Also we can essentially answer the problems raised
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by Manin [M2, Ch.4, 23.13] by translating Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 into the language of cubic surfaces.

We also have a systematic construction of cubic surfaces over \( \mathbb{Q} \) such that all of the 27 lines (8.3) are defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

**Example 8.6.** Let \( V \) be the cubic surface

\[
Y^2W + 2YZ^2 = X^3 - X(78Z^2 + 59475W^2) + 18226Z^2W + 2848750W^3. \tag{8.6}
\]

The 27 lines are given by

\[
(X, Y) = (411W, -6916W), \quad (-2Z + 575W, 74Z - 12600W),
\]

\[
(-4Z + 275W, 124Z - 2700W), \quad (-6Z + 15W, 126Z + 1400W),
\]

\[
(-8Z - 85W, 56Z + 2700W), \quad (-10Z - 49W, -110Z - 2376W), \tag{8.7}
\]

etc.; the above 6 correspond to the generators of \( E(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \cong E_6^* \).

3) The 28 Double Tangents to a Plane Quartic Curve

This classical topic is closely connected with the case \((E_7)\). In the same way as in 2) above, we can prove the following:

(i) The Galois group of 28 double tangents on a generic quartic curve over any prime field is \( W(E_7)/\{ \pm 1 \} \cong S_6(2) \).

(ii) Construction of a quartic over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with the Galois group \( \cong S_6(2) \).

(iii) Construction of such with all double tangents defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

As a by-product, we can also prove

(iv) the rationality of the moduli space of plane quartic curves (genus 3) with a flex (over any base field of char \( p \neq 3 \)).

4) Deformation of \( E_r \)-Singularities

The equation \((E_r)\) in (6.1) defines the universal deformation of the rational double point of type \( E_r \); at \( \lambda = 0 \), we have \( y^2 = x^3 + t^4 \), \( y^2 = x^3 + xt^3 \) and \( y^2 = x^3 + t^5 \) for \( r = 6, 7, 8 \). By Theorem 6.1, we can easily reprove some results due to Brieskorn, Tjurina and others (cf. [Br, DP, SI]). Indeed (6.6) implies immediately that the map

\[
\pi : \mathfrak{A}^r \to \mathfrak{A}^r/W(E_r) \cong \mathfrak{A}^r, \quad (u_i) \to \lambda = (p_i, q_i) \tag{8.8}
\]

is a finite covering, ramified along the discriminant locus \( D ([B]) \), so that (in case \( k = \mathbb{C} \)) the monodromy map below is surjective:

\[
\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^r - D) \to W(E_r). \tag{8.9}
\]

Further we can describe the stratification of \( D \) according to the type of singularities (see [S5]); also it will be evident that our method applies to the case of char \( p > 0 \) as well.

On the other hand, let \( \mathfrak{g} \) denote the simple Lie algebra of type \( E_r \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \) the Cartan subalgebra, \( \mathcal{A} = \{ \alpha \} \) the root system in \( \mathfrak{h}^* \), then the characteristic
polynomial of the adjoint representation $\text{ad}(H)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ ($H \in \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{A}^r$) is given by

$$
\det(X - \text{ad}(H)) = X^r \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X - \alpha(H))
$$

(8.10)

$$
= X^r \cdot \Phi^*(X, \lambda) \quad (\lambda = \pi(H)),
$$

where $\Phi^*(X, \lambda) = \Phi(E_4/k_0(t), \infty, I_2; X)$ is the special case of our algebraic equation (5.1) (cf. (6.8)), of degree 72, 126 or 240 for $r = 6, 7, 8$. According to K. Saito and Slodowy, the study of the roots of (8.10) led Killing [Ki] (about 100 years ago) to introduce the "root" systems in classifying Lie algebras.

Thus the theory of Mordell-Weil lattices has unexpectedly rich applications even in the simplest case of rational elliptic surfaces. We close this paper with the hope to see much more fruits in future coming from the study of the Mordell-Weil lattices.
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§1

One of the typical problems to which Hausdorff convergence and collapsing of Riemannian manifolds can be applied is the study of local structure of Riemannian manifolds.

Problem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of Riemannian manifolds. Can we find a positive number $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that for each $p \in M \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a neighborhood $U_p$ of $p$ with the following properties?

1. $U_p$ contains $B_p(\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}, M)$, the metric ball of radius $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ and centered at $p$.
2. We can control the topology of $U_p$.

The essential point here is that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ is independent of $p$ and $M$.

In the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of homogeneous spaces, this problem is solved in Zassenhaus [Z] and Kazdan-Margulis [KM]. In that case, $U_p$ is controlled by nilpotent groups. In the case of negatively curved manifolds, this problem is studied by Margulis [M], Heintze [H] and Gromov [G1].

We consider the following two classes.

$$
\mathcal{M}_n = \{M | \text{dim } M = n, |K_M| \leq 1\},
\mathcal{N}_n = \{M | \text{dim } M = n, K_M \geq -1\}.
$$

Here $K_M$ stands for the sectional curvature. Problem 1.1 for class $\mathcal{M}_n$ is first studied by Gromov [G2].

§2

In §§2–6, we deal with the class $\mathcal{M}_n$. First let us take the following two extremal cases.

1. When we consider the subclass $\mathcal{M}_n(i_0)$ of $\mathcal{M}_n$ consisting of manifolds with injectivity radius $> i_0$, we can choose $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}} = i_0$, since $i_0$-ball is diffeomorphic to Euclidean space.
When we consider the subclass consisting of manifolds with small diameter, we can apply the following theorem. (In this case we choose $U_p = M$.)

**Theorem 2.1** (Gromov [G2], Ruh [R]). There exists $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that if

$$|K_M| \cdot \text{Diam } M^2 < \varepsilon_n,$$

then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $N/\Gamma$, where

1. $N$ is a nilpotent Lie group,
2. $\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of the semidirect product $N \rtimes \text{Aut } N$, the group of affine diffeomorphisms of $N$,
3. The index, $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap N]$ is finite.

(1) is the case when no direction of $M$ is degenerate. (2) is the case when every direction of $M$ is degenerate. In general there are both degenerate and nondegenerate directions. Hence we have to separate those two directions. For this purpose we study the following:

**Problem 2.2.** For a small neighborhood $U_p$ of $p$ in a Riemannian manifold $M^n$, find a Riemannian manifold $V^m$ such that

1. The injectivity radius of $V$ is larger than a constant depending only on the class $\mathcal{C}$ containing $M$,
2. $V$ is Hausdorff close to $U_p$.

The Hausdorff closeness between spaces is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.3** (Gromov [G3]). Let $X$ and $Y$ be compact metric spaces. A (not necessary continuous) map $f : X \to Y$ is said to be an $\varepsilon$-Hausdorff approximation if

1. The $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $f(X)$ is $Y$,
2. $|d(f(x), f(y)) - d(x, y)| < \varepsilon$, for each $x, y \in X$.

We say that the Hausdorff distance, $d_H(X, Y)$, between $X$ and $Y$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$ if there exist $\varepsilon$-Hausdorff approximations from $X$ to $Y$ and from $Y$ to $X$.

If $V$ is as in Problem 2.2, a nondegenerate direction in $U_p$ is one which is "parallel" to some direction in $V$.

**§3**

In fact the answer to Problem 2.2 itself is negative. But we can prove a bit modified statement. The construction of the space $V$ in 2.2 is based on a limit argument. In other words, the space $V$ is a limit of Riemannian manifolds contained in class $\mathcal{M}_n$. Hence to study Problem 2.2, we need to study the limit behavior of the sequence of Riemannian manifolds.
First we need to show that there exist sufficiently many convergent sequences. The following result ensures it.

**Theorem 3.1** (Gromov [G3]). Let $\mathcal{S}(D)$ be a set of all Riemannian manifolds with diameter $\leq D$ and Ricci curvature $\geq -1$. Then $\mathcal{S}(D)$ is precompact in the set of all compact metric spaces, with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

Next we need to study the following:

**Problem 3.2.** Let $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $X$ be a compact metric space. Suppose that $M_i$ converges to $X$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance. What kind of singularity can $X$ have?

We are mainly interested in the case when the dimension of $X$ is smaller than that of $M$. In this case, we say that $M_i$ collapses to $X$.

The first important example of collapsing Riemannian manifolds is discovered by M. Berger. In his example, a family of metrics on $S^3$ collapses to $S^2$. Here $S^2$ is regarded as the quotient of $S^3$ by an action of $S^1$.

In general, one can construct a collapsing family of metrics on manifolds $M$ with torus action, provided each orbit is of positive dimension. The Hausdorff limit of this family is the quotient space $M/T$, which, in general, is singular. The other important example is a nilmanifold, which collapses to a point. (See [G2, BK].)

The systematic study of the collapsing phenomena for Riemannian manifolds was initiated by Cheeger-Gromov [CG1]. We discuss their results in §5.

Now we present results about Problem 2.2. In case when $M_i$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-space, we can prove the following:

**Theorem 3.3** ([F4]). Let $M_i$ and $X$ be as in Problem 3.2. Assume $\pi_k(M_i) = 1$, for $k > 1$. Then $X = Y/\Gamma$, where $Y$ is a contractible manifold and $\Gamma$ a discrete group of isometries.

In fact we can prove the following:

1. $\overline{M_i}$ converges to $Z$. $Z$ is a manifold of the same dimension as $M_i$. The convergence is compact $C^{1,\alpha}$-convergence of metric tensors.
2. $\Gamma_i$ converges to a group $G$ of isometries of $Z$.
3. The connected component $G_0$ of $G$ is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group.
4. $Y = Z/G_0$, $\Gamma = G/G_0$.

Thus, roughly speaking, the Hausdorff convergence of $K(\pi; 1)$-manifolds is a generalization of the convergence of discrete subgroups of Lie group. Collapsing phenomenon occurs when discrete groups converge to a continuous group.

Before discussing the general case, we give applications of Theorem 3.3.
**Theorem 3.5** ([F4]). There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_D$ such that if an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ ($n > 1$) satisfies

\begin{align}
(3.5.1) & \quad \pi_k(M) = 1, \text{ for } k > 1, \\
(3.5.2) & \quad |K_M| \leq 1, \text{ Diam } M \leq D, \\
(3.5.3) & \quad \pi_1(M) \text{ does not contain } \mathbb{Z}^2,
\end{align}

then

$$\text{Vol } M > \varepsilon_D.$$  

**Conjecture 3.6.** The assumption $\text{Diam } M \leq D$ can be removed.

In case $K_M \leq 0$, this was proved by Heintze [H], Gromov [G1], Buyalo [Bu].

The following result is not a direct application of Theorem 3.3 but its proof uses an idea of the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.7** (Fukaya-Yamaguchi [FY1]). There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_D$ such that if

$$\varepsilon_D > K_M > -1,$$

$$\text{Diam } M < D,$$

then the universal covering space of $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 3.7 is an affirmative answer to a conjecture by Gromov [G4].

As we remarked before, the limit of manifolds in class $\mathcal{M}_n$ is not necessary a manifold. The reason is that a singular space can arise as the quotient space $M/T$ of a manifold $M$ by its torus action. Note, however, that the quotient space $FM/T$ is always nonsingular. Here $FM$ is the frame bundle of $M$ and the action of $T$ on $FM$ is a lift of one on $M$. This observation leads us to the following:

**Theorem 3.8** ([F3]). Let $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$, $FM_i$ be their frame bundles equipped with metrics induced by ones on $M$. Let $X$ be a compact metric space. Suppose that $FM_i$ converges to $X$ with respect to Hausdorff convergence.

Then $X$ is a smooth manifold with $C^{1,\alpha}$-metric.

Furthermore, there exists a smooth $O(n)$ action on $X$ such that $M_i$ converges to $X/O(n)$ and that the connected components of isotropy groups are abelian.

Using Theorems 3.1 and 3.8, we can prove the following:

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$, $p \in M$. Then there exists a manifold $V$ such that

\begin{align}
(3.9.1) & \quad \text{injectivity radius of } V > \text{const} > 0, \\
(3.9.2) & \quad d_H(FB_p(e, M), V) \text{ is small.}
\end{align}
To apply Problem 2.2 to Problem 1.1, we need to study the relation between the topological structures of $U_p$ and $V_p$ in 2.2. Namely:

**Problem 4.1.** Let $M_i$ and $X$ be as in Problem 3.2. Find the relation between the topological structures of $M_i$ and $X$.

We have the following two results:

**Theorem 4.2** (Fukaya [F1,2], Yamaguchi [Y2]). Let $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $N$ be a compact Riemannian manifold. Suppose that $M_i$ converges to $N$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance. Then for each sufficiently large $i$, there exists a map $f_i : M_i \to N$, such that

(4.2.1) $f_i$ is a locally trivial fibre bundle,

(4.2.2) $f_i$ is an almost Riemannian submersion, namely

$$e^{\varepsilon_i} > \frac{|f_*(V)|}{|V|} > e^{-\varepsilon_i}$$

holds for vectors $V \in TM$ perpendicular to the fibre,

(4.2.3) the fibre of $f_i$ is of almost nonnegative curvature. (See §7).

If we assume $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_n$ in addition, then

(4.2.4) the fibre is almost flat, namely it satisfies (2.1.1)–(2.1.3),

(4.2.5) the structure group of fibration is reduced to the group of affine diffeomorphisms.

**Theorem 4.3** ([F3]). Let $M_i$ and $X$ be as in Theorem 3.8. Then, for each sufficiently large $i$, there exist $O(n)$ maps $f_i : FM_i \to X$ satisfying (4.2.1), (4.2.2), (4.2.4), (4.2.5).

It follows from Theorem 4.3 that there exists a singular fibration: $M_i \to X/O(n)$. The singular fibre corresponds to the singular point of $X/O(n)$.

Using the results of §§2, 3, 4, we can prove the following:

**Theorem 4.4** ([F5]). There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_n$ such that if $p \in M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ then there exists a neighborhood $U_p$ of $p$ with the following properties.

(4.4.1) $U_p$ is diffeomorphic to a vector bundle over $N_p/\Gamma_p$, where $N_p$ and $\Gamma_p$ is as in (2.1.1)–(2.1.3).

(4.4.2) $U_p$ contains $B_p(\varepsilon_n, M)$.

Ghanaat, Min-no, Ruh [GMR] proved a closely related result.
§5

The nilpotent Lie group $N_p$ in Theorem 4.4 depends on the point $p$. In other words the dimension of the collapsing directions changes from point to point. In fact, consider the metric

$$dt^2 + e^{-2C}dx^2 + e^{-2C}dy^2,$$

on $[-C, C] \times T^2$. (Here $x$ and $y$ are coordinates of $T^2$.) Then, in a neighborhood of $\{\pm C\} \times T^2$, the dimension of collapsing direction is one, and in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times T^2$ the dimension of collapsing direction is two.

In order to study the global behavior of the collapsing part of the manifolds, we need to study the above phenomenon. Cheeger and Gromov introduced the notion of the local action of the groups for this purpose.

**Definition 5.1** (Cheeger-Gromov [CG1]). An $F$-structure on a manifold $M$ is a subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of the sheaf of vector fields such that

(5.1.1) $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of abelian Lie algebra,
(5.1.2) for each $p \in M$ there exists a neighborhood $U_p$, its finite covering space $\tilde{U}_p$, an action of torus, $T_p$, on $\tilde{U}_p$, such that the lift of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\tilde{U}_p$ is induced by the action of $T_p$.

They proved the following two results:

**Theorem 5.2** ([CG1]). Let $M$ be a manifold. Assume that there exists an $F$-structure on $M$ such that the dimension of each orbit is nonzero. Then there exists a family of metrics $g_\varepsilon$ on $M$ such that

(5.2.1) $(M, g_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{M}_n$,
(5.2.2) the injectivity radius of $(M, g_\varepsilon)$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 5.3** ([CG2]). There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_n$ with the following properties. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Put

$$M_{\text{thin}} = \{p \in M \mid \text{injectivity radius at } p < \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Then there exists an $F$-structure $\mathcal{F}$ on $M_{\text{thin}}$ such that every orbit of it is of positive dimension.

Cheeger-Gromov applied these results to study Gauss-Bonnet type formula for noncompact manifolds. ([CG 3,4] etc.)
§6

In Theorem 4.4, we obtained a nilpotent structure but the result is local. In Sect. 5, we obtain more global structure but the structure is abelian and not nilpotent. To combine them, we need to introduce the action of sheaf of nilpotent Lie algebra.

**Definition 6.1** (Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov [CFG]). A nilpotent structure on a manifold $M$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ of vector fields such that for each $p$ there exists a neighborhood $U_p$ and an action of group $G_p$ on a Galois covering $\widetilde{U}_p$ of $U_p$ with the following properties.

(6.1.1) The connected component $N_p$ of $G_p$ is nilpotent.
(6.1.2) The deck transformation group $\Gamma_p$ of the covering: $\widetilde{U}_p \to U_p$ is contained in $G_p$.
(6.1.3) $G_p$ is generated by $N_p$ and $\Gamma_p$.
(6.1.4) $[\Gamma_p : \Gamma_p \cap N_p] = [G_p : N_p]$ is finite.
(6.1.5) The lift of $\mathcal{N}$ to $\widetilde{U}_p$ is generated by the action $N_p$.

**Theorem 6.2** ([CFG]). There exist $\varepsilon_n$ and $k_n$ with the property that, for each $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ there exists a nilpotent structure $\mathcal{N}$ such that the following holds in addition.

(6.2.1) $U_p$ contains $B_{\varepsilon_n}(p, M)$.
(6.2.2) The injectivity radius of $\widetilde{U}_p$ is larger than $\varepsilon_n$.
(6.2.3) $[G_p : N_p] < k_n$.

**Definition 6.3** ([CFG]). A Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ is said to be $(\varepsilon, k)$-round, if there exists a nilpotent structure $\mathcal{N}$ on $M$ such that it satisfies (6.2.1)–(6.2.3) in addition and that the section of $\mathcal{N}$ is a Killing vector field of the metric $g$. (In other words the action of $N_p$ is isometry for induced metric.)

**Theorem 6.4** ([CFG]). For each $\delta$ there exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, n)$ and $k = k(\delta, n)$ such that for each Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ in the class $\mathcal{M}_n$ we can find a metric $g_\delta$ on $M$ with the following properties.

(6.4.1) $(M, g_\delta)$ is $(\varepsilon, k)$-round.
(6.4.2) $|g - g_\delta|_{C^{1,*}} < \delta$.

We expect that there is a result similar to Theorem 5.2 for nilpotent structure. The proof of it is not yet complete.

§7

In this section we consider the class $\mathcal{N}_n$. To study the collapsing phenomena of Riemannian manifolds in class $\mathcal{M}_n$, the starting point was Theorem 2.1, which characterize the manifolds collapsing to a point. The corresponding problem for class $\mathcal{N}_n$ is the study of almost nonnegatively curved manifolds.
Problem 7.1. Find a characterization of manifolds $M$ admitting a metric with

$$K_M \cdot \text{Diam } M^2 > -\varepsilon_n,$$

where $\varepsilon_n$ is a positive small number depending only on dimension.

This problem should be very difficult, since it includes the characterization of nonnegatively curved manifolds. We describe some results concerning the topology of almost nonnegatively curved manifolds. (Some of them hold for manifolds of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature.)

Theorem 7.2 (Gromov-Gallot [G3, Ga]). There exists a positive number $C_n$ such that if $M$ is an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with

$$\text{Ricci}_M \cdot \text{Diam } M^2 > -D,$$

then

$$b_1(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank } H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}) \leq n - 1 + C_n^D.$$

In particular, if $M$ is of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature then the first Betti number does not exceed the dimension. This is a generalization of a classical result by Bochner [B].

Theorem 7.3 (Yamaguchi [Y2]). There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_n$ depending only on dimension such that if an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ satisfies

$$K_M \cdot \text{Diam } M^2 > -\varepsilon_n,$$

then a finite cover of $M$ is a fibre bundle over $b_1(M; \mathbb{Q})$-dimensional torus.

If the first Betti number is equal to the dimension then $M$ is diffeomorphic to a torus.

(Compare also [Y1].)

Theorem 7.4 (Fukaya-Yamaguchi [FY2]). If $M$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 7.3 then the fundamental group of $M$ contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

Theorem 7.4 is an affirmative answer to another conjecture in [G4].

In fact we can prove a bit more than Theorem 7.4. (The precise statement is omitted. See [FY2].) As a corollary we have:

Theorem 7.5 ([FY2]). There exists $c_n$ such that if $M$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 7.3 then we have

$$b_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n,$$

for each prime $p > c_n$.

In case when the equality holds, $M$ is diffeomorphic to a torus.
Using Theorem 7.4 we can control the fundamental group of the small neighborhood. Namely we have the following:

**Theorem 7.6 ([FY2]).** There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_n$ depending only on dimension such that if $M \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and $p \in M$ then the image

$$\text{Im} \left[ \pi_1(B_p(\varepsilon_n, M)) \to \pi_1(B_p(1, M)) \right]$$

contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

**Conjecture 7.7.** Theorems 7.3–7.6 still hold if we replace sectional curvature by Ricci curvature.

In the case when $M \in \mathcal{N}_n$ one can choose a neighborhood $U_p$ which is $K(\pi_1;1)$ and whose fundamental group is almost nilpotent. (See Theorem 4.4.) But we can not choose such $U_p$ of uniform size for $M$ in $\mathcal{N}_n$, since the nonnegatively curved manifold is not necessary a $K(\pi_1;1)$-space. Hence Theorem 7.6 does not give enough information to determine the local topological structure of manifolds in the class $\mathcal{N}_n$. So far, our knowledge about higher homology or homotopy groups of (almost) nonnegatively curved manifolds is quite restricted. The best result seems to be Gromov's Betti number estimate, [G5].

As for Hausdorff convergence of manifolds in the class $\mathcal{N}_n$, we have Theorem 4.2 due to Yamaguchi. But we do not know so much about Problem 3.2 for this class. (Recently Burago and Gromov proved that the limit space is almost everywhere a manifold.)

On the other hand, Yamaguchi [Y2] constructed examples of collapsing family of metrics using an action of compact groups. Namely, on a manifold $M$ on which a compact Lie group $K$ acts, there exists a sequence of metrics $g_\epsilon$ such that $(M, g_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and that $(M, g_\epsilon)$ converges to $M/K$ for Hausdorff distance. This construction is closely related to the fact that compact Lie group admits a metric of positive sectional curvature.

In noncollapsing situation, (namely the case we assume $\text{Vol}_M > \text{constant} > 0$, in addition,) Grove-Petersen-Wu [GPW] proved that the limit space is a topological manifold in this case.
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1. Introduction

Soit $S$ une surface compacte orientée munie d'une métrique riemannienne $g$ de classe $C^\infty$ à courbure variable strictement négative. Il est bien connu que le revêtement universel $\tilde{S}$ de $S$ peut naturellement être compactifié par l'adjonction d'un bord à l'infini, noté $\partial \tilde{S}$ (voir par exemple [1]). Par définition, un point de $\partial \tilde{S}$ est représenté par un rayon géodésique, c'est-à-dire un plongement isométrique $r : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ et deux tels rayons $r_1$ et $r_2$ définissent le même point du bord si la distance entre $r_1(t)$ et $r_2(t)$ est uniformément bornée. Si $p$ est un point de $\tilde{S}$, on peut représenter chaque point de $\partial \tilde{S}$ par un unique rayon issu de $p$. Ainsi, le bord $\partial \tilde{S}$ s'identifie à l'espace des vecteurs unitaires de l'espace tangent à $\tilde{S}$ en $p$; il est donc homéomorphe à un cercle. Bien sûr, le groupe fondamental $\Gamma$ de $S$ opère sur $\tilde{S}$ et donc sur le cercle $\partial \tilde{S}$. Nous nous proposons ici de décrire quelques résultats relatifs à cette action. Notre but n'est pas de les démontrer mais d'essayer de les motiver et d'indiquer les liens qui les unissent.

2. L'aspect topologique et la cohomologie bornée

D'un point de vue topologique, il n'y a qu'une action à étudier. C'est un fait bien connu depuis longtemps.

**Théorème.** Soient $g_1$ et $g_2$ deux métriques à courbure négative sur la même surface compacte $S$. Alors les actions du groupe fondamental de $S$ sur les bords pour $g_1$ et $g_2$ du revêtement universel $\tilde{S}$ sont topologiquement conjuguées.

Pour montrer ce théorème, on constate qu'un rayon $r_1$ dans $\tilde{S}$ pour la métrique $g_1$ n'est pas nécessairement un rayon pour $g_2$ mais que c'est un "quasi-rayon": la $g_2$-distance entre $r_1(t)$ et $r_1(t')$ est comprise entre $c^{-1}|t - t'|$ et $c|t - t'|$ pour une certaine constante $c > 0$. Ceci permet de montrer l'existence d'un rayon $r_2$ pour $g_2$ qui est à distance bornée de $r_1$. Les bords de $\tilde{S}$ pour $g_1$ et $g_2$ sont alors naturellement identifiés de manière $\Gamma$-équivariante.

De manière plus conceptuelle, on peut associer un bord à un groupe de type fini $G$ (voir [7] et [19]). Pour cela, on se fixe une partie génératrice finie et on considère
le graphe de Cayley de $G$ correspondant à cette partie génératrice. Si une arête relie les éléments $\gamma_1$ et $\gamma_2$ de $G$, on équipe cette arête d'une métrique qui la rend isométrique à un intervalle de longueur $(n + 1)^{-2}$ où $n = \inf(||\gamma_1||, ||\gamma_2||)$ et $||\gamma||$ désigne la longueur de $\gamma_1$ par rapport à la partie génératrice choisie. Le graphe de Cayley de $G$ devient ainsi un espace métrique non complet (si $G$ est infini). Le bord $\partial G$ de $G$ est alors défini comme l'espace qu'il faut ajouter à ce graphe pour le compléter. Il ne dépend pas du choix de la partie génératrice. Le groupe $\text{Aut}(G)$ des automorphismes de $G$ opère naturellement sur $\partial G$. En particulier, $G$ opère sur $\partial G$ (via les automorphismes internes). Ce bord est particulièrement utile lorsque $G$ est un groupe hyperbolique au sens de M. Gromov [19]. Par exemple, si $G$ est le groupe fondamental $\Gamma$ d'une surface compacte $S$ de genre supérieur ou égal à 2, le bord $\partial S$ s'identifie à $\text{d}S$. Ainsi, l'action que nous étudions n'est autre que celle du groupe $\Gamma$ sur son bord.

Examinons rapidement le cas particulier où la courbure de la métrique $g$ est $-1$. Le revêtement universel $\tilde{S}$ s'identifie alors au disque de Poincaré $D^2$ et son groupe d'isométries directes à $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Le bord de $D^2$ est le cercle, ici identifié à la droite projective réelle $P^1$. Dans ce cas, l'action étudiée de $\Gamma$ sur $P^1$ est projective et provient d'un plongement de $\Gamma$ dans $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ comme sous-groupe discret co-compact. L'espace de ces plongements (à conjugaison près) est l'espace de Teichmüller; il est de dimension finie. Bien que toutes ces actions projectives soient topologiquement conjuguées, il est facile de s'assurer qu'elles ne sont $C^1$-conjuguées que si elles sont projectivement conjuguées. D. Sullivan [31] montre même que si deux de ces actions sont conjuguées par une application mesurable qui respecte les ensembles négligeables au sens de Lebesgue, alors ces actions sont projectivement conjuguées.

Comment caractériser le type topologique de cette action? Si un groupe discret $G$ opère sur le cercle en respectant l'orientation, on peut construire un fibré en cercles au dessus de l'espace d'Eilenberg-MacLane $K(G, 1)$. La classe d'Euler de ce fibré, élément de $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})$, est évidemment un invariant de conjugaison topologique (respectant l'orientation). Cet invariant est cependant insuffisant. Si $G = \mathbb{Z}$ par exemple, ce second groupe de cohomologie est trivial. Dans [12], nous introduisons un invariant plus fin, élément de $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})$, second groupe de cohomologie bornée à coefficients entiers [18]. Cette cohomologie est celle du sous-complexe du complexe d'Eilenberg-MacLane formé des cochaînes bornées (comme fonctions de $G^n$ vers $\mathbb{Z}$). Voici comment on procède pour définir l'invariant en question.

Soient $f_1, f_2, f_3$ trois homéomorphismes directs du cercle $S^1$ et $x$ un point base sur $S^1$. Posons $c(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 1$ si les points $f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x)$ sont distincts et placés dans un ordre cyclique rétrograde sur le cercle ou si $f_1(x) = f_2(x) 
eq f_3(x)$ et posons $c(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 0$ dans les autres cas. Il est aisé de s'assurer que $c$ est un cocycle. Si $\phi$ est une action du groupe discret $G$ sur le cercle par homéomorphismes directs, on obtient ainsi, par image réciproque, une classe $[\phi^*(c)]$ dans $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

On vérifie facilement que l'image de cette classe dans la cohomologie usuelle est la classe d'Euler (voir aussi [25]). Nous appelons cette classe la classe d'Euler bornée de l'action $\phi$. Si $G = \mathbb{Z}$, on a $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ et la classe d'Euler bornée n'est autre que le nombre de rotation du générateur de l'action.
Théorème [12]. Soient $\phi_1$ et $\phi_2$ deux actions du même groupe $G$ sur le cercle, par homéomorphismes directs. On suppose que toutes les orbites de $\phi_1$ et $\phi_2$ sont denses dans le cercle. Alors, $\phi_1$ et $\phi_2$ sont topologiquement conjuguées, par un homéomorphisme direct, si et seulement si les classes d'Euler bornées $\phi_1^*(c)$ et $\phi_2^*(c)$ sont égales dans $H_1^2(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lorsque les orbites ne sont pas supposées denses, on a un énoncé plus faible qui utilise une notion de semi-conjugaison (voir [12] et aussi [32]).

Revenons au cas où $G$ est le groupe fondamental $\Gamma$ de $S$. La classe d'Euler d'une action de $\Gamma$ sur le cercle est un élément de $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, c'est-à-dire un entier que nous noterons $\eu$. Un théorème de Milnor-Wood [35], qui est d'ailleurs l'une des origines de la notion de cohomologie bornée, affirme que cet entier vérifie l'inégalité $|\eu| \leq |\chi(S)|$ où $\chi(S)$ désigne la caractéristique d'Euler-Poincaré de $S$. Dans le cas de l'action de $\Gamma$ sur le cercle $\partial S$ qui correspond à une métrique à courbure négative, on a en fait l'égalité $\eu = \pm \chi(S)$.

Dans un joli article [28], S. Matsumoto montre que si $\Gamma$ opère sur le cercle et si le nombre d'Euler est maximal, i.e. si $\eu = \chi(S)$, alors la classe d'Euler bornée ne peut prendre qu'une valeur, à savoir celle correspondant à l'action de $\Gamma$ sur son bord. Il peut alors en déduire la caractérisation topologique suivante qui répond positivement à une conjecture de W. Goldman [17].

Théorème [28]. Considérons une surface compacte orientée $S$ de genre supérieur ou égal à 2 et une action du groupe fondamental de $S$ sur le cercle, par homéomorphismes directs. On suppose que toutes les orbites sont denses sur le cercle et que le nombre d'Euler vérifie $\eu = \pm \chi(S)$. Alors, cette action est topologiquement conjuguée à l'action naturelle de ce même groupe sur le bord $\partial S$ du revêtement universel de $S$, pour n'importe quelle métrique à courbure négative sur $S$.

L'hypothèse de densité des orbites est en fait inutile si l'on se restreint à des actions suffisamment différentiables.

Théorème [13]. Considérons une surface compacte orientée $S$ de genre supérieur ou égal à 2 et une action du groupe fondamental de $S$ sur le cercle, par difféomorphismes directs de classe $C^2$ (resp. analytiques réels). On suppose que le nombre d'Euler du fibré associé vérifie $\eu = \pm \chi(S)$ (resp. $\eu \neq 0$). Alors, toutes les orbites sont denses dans le cercle.

La démonstration se fonde sur les théorèmes de structure des feuilletages de codimension 1, à la Denjoy-Sacksteder.

Citons encore un résultat, obtenu avec J. Barge, et dont la démonstration utilise aussi la cohomologie bornée. Soit $x$ un point base sur le bord $\partial S$ correspondant à une métrique $g$. Si $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ sont trois éléments de $\Gamma$, on peut considérer l'aire $a(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ du triangle idéal de $S$ de sommets $\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x), \gamma_3(x)$. C'est un 2-cocycle borné sur $\Gamma$ à valeurs réelles. Dans le cas de courbure $-1$, l'aire de ces triangles vaut $\pm \pi$ et la classe de cohomologie bornée de $a$ est égale à $2\pi$ fois la classe d'Euler bornée (considérée comme classe réelle). La réciproque est plus délicate.
Théorème [2]. Soit g une métrique riemannienne à courbure négative sur la surface compacte S. On suppose que tous les triangles idéaux du revêtement universel \( \tilde{S} \) sont d'aire \( \pi \). Alors, la courbure de g est constante, égale à \(-1\).

3. La différentiabilité du bord

Soient \( p \) et \( q \) deux points de \( \tilde{S} \). Nous avons déjà observé que \( \partial \tilde{S} \) est naturellement identifié aux cercles unités \( S^1_p \) et \( S^1_q \) dans les espaces tangents en \( p \) et \( q \) à \( \tilde{S} \). Ainsi, il existe un homéomorphisme naturel \( \pi_{pq} \) entre \( S^1_p \) et \( S^1_q \). Quel est le degré de régularité de ces homéomorphismes? Un résultat classique de E. Hopf affirme qu'ils sont de classe \( C^1 \) [23] (voir aussi [22]). Un résultat plus fort a été obtenu par S. Hurder et A. Katok.

Théorème [24]. Les homéomorphismes \( \pi_{pq} \) sont de classe \( C^{2-\varepsilon} \) pour tout \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

Ce résultat est à comparer au suivant sur lequel nous allons nous attarder un peu plus.

Théorème [15]. Si les homéomorphismes \( \pi_{pq} \) sont de classe \( C^2 \), alors la courbure de la métrique considérée est constante.

Ce théorème fait suite à un théorème local de S. Hurder et A. Katok [24] qui se fondait sur un résultat de [11] que nous mentionnerons plus loin. Il a donné lieu par la suite à un certain nombre de développements, tout spécialement en dimension supérieure. Puisque nous ne traitons ici que du cas des surfaces, nous ne décrirons pas ces développements qui aboutissent à une caractérisation analogue des espaces localement symétriques à courbure négative mais nous renvoyons à [3, 5, 6, 8, 20, 26]. Grâce à ces travaux, et tout particulièrement à ceux de M. Kanai, la démonstration du théorème précédent s'est significativement simplifiée. Nous allons esquisser ici une preuve assez élémentaire.

Soit \( T_1 S \) le fibré unitaire tangent à \( S \) et \( \phi \) le flot géodésique de \( S \), agissant sur \( T_1 S \). Soient \( F^s \) et \( F^u \) les feuilletages stables et instables faibles de \( \phi \). Les feuilles du relevé \( \tilde{F}^s \) de \( F^s \) au fibré unitaire \( T_1 \tilde{S} \) sont constituées de tous les vecteurs unitaires qui définissent des rayons géodésiques asymptotes. L'espace des feuilles de \( \tilde{F}^s \) est donc homéomorphe au bord \( \partial \tilde{S} \). Les fibres de la fibration en cercles de \( T_1 \tilde{S} \) sont transverses à \( \tilde{F}^s \) et les applications d'holonomie induites sur ces fibres sont précisément les homéomorphismes \( \pi_{pq} \). Ainsi, la différentiabilité des \( \pi_{pq} \) est équivalente à celle du feuilletage \( F^s \) (ou, d'ailleurs, de \( F^u \) puisque ces deux feuilletages sont conjugués par l'involution de \( T_1 S \) envoyant un vecteur sur son opposé).

Plaçons nous donc dans l'hypothèse où ces feuilletages sont de classe \( C^2 \). La première étape consiste à montrer qu'ils sont en fait transversalement projectifs. Un disque \( D \) transverse à \( \phi \) est muni d'une forme d'aire \( \Omega \) (provenant de la forme de Liouville) et de deux champs de directions \( L^s \) et \( L^u \), traces de \( F^s \) et \( F^u \). Ces structures permettent de définir une métrique pseudo-riemannienne \( q \) sur \( D \): si \( v \) est un vecteur tangent à \( D \) et si \( v_u \) et \( v_s \) sont ses composantes sur \( L^u \) et \( L^s \), on pose \( q(v) = \Omega(v_u, v_s) \). C'est une métrique de classe \( C^2 \) si \( F^s \) est de classe \( C^2 \) et \( L^s \) et \( L^u \) sont les directions
isotropes de \( q \). On a ainsi construit une métrique pseudo-riemannienne sur le fibré normal à \( \phi \), évidemment invariante par \( \phi \). La courbure de \( q \) est donc une fonction continue constante sur les orbites de \( \phi \); elle est donc constante car \( \phi \) est transitif.

En d’autres termes, tous les disques transverses sont localement isométriques à un même plan lorentzien de courbure constante. Il est très facile d’en conclure que \( \mathcal{F}^s \) est muni d’une structure transverse projective (ou affine si la courbure de \( q \) était nulle). Chaque fibre de \( T_1 S \), étant transverse à \( \mathcal{F}^s \), est ainsi équipée d’une structure projective ou affine et les applications d’holonomie préserveront cette structure. Puisque nous connaissions a priori la topologie de l’action de \( \Gamma \) sur le cercle, on montre que c’est en fait le cas projectif qui se présente et que l’action de \( \Gamma \) sur le cercle est \( C^2 \)-conjuguée à celle d’un sous-groupe discret co-compact de \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \).

Ainsi, si le feuilletage \( \mathcal{F}^s \) est de classe \( C^2 \), il est \( C^2 \)-conjugué au feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d’une métrique à courbure \( -1 \). Il faut encore en conclure que la métrique considérée est elle même à courbure constante. On dispose actuellement de deux méthodes pour y parvenir. La première consiste à développer encore des arguments analogues à ceux utilisés plus haut et à montrer qu’en fait \( \phi \) est conjugué au flot géodésique d’une métrique à courbure constante. On peut alors conclure en appliquant le théorème de rigidité de A. Katok [27] qui caractérise la courbure constante en termes d’entropie. La seconde méthode est due à Y. Mitsumatsu et elle est reliée à l’invariant de Godbillon-Vey. Nous avons choisi de décrire ici cette méthode car cet invariant interviendra encore par la suite.

Rappelons la définition de cet invariant. Soit \( \mathcal{F} \) un feuilletage de codimension 1, transversalement orientable et de classe \( C^2 \) sur une variété \( M \) et soit \( \omega \) une 1-forme différentielle qui le définit. Il existe alors, d’après le théorème de Frobenius, une forme \( \omega_1 \) telle que \( d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega_1 \). Il se trouve que la 3-forme \( \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_1 \) est fermée et que sa classe de cohomologie ne dépend que de \( \mathcal{F} \); c’est la classe de Godbillon-Vey de \( \mathcal{F} \), notée \( \text{GV}(\mathcal{F}) \). Lorsque \( M \) est une variété fermée orientée de dimension 3, l’évaluation de \( \text{GV}(\mathcal{F}) \) sur la classe fondamentale de \( M \) est un nombre réel; c’est l’invariant de Godbillon-Vey que nous noterons \( \text{gv}(\mathcal{F}) \). Par exemple, considérons le cas du feuilletage stable \( \mathcal{F}^s \) du flot géodésique d’une métrique à courbure constante \( -1 \). Nous savons que le fibré \( T_1 S \) s’identifie à un espace homogène \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma \). Sur \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), il existe une base de formes invariantes à droite \( \omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \) telle que:

\[
d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega_1; \quad d\omega_1 = \omega \wedge \omega_2; \quad d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2.
\]

La forme \( \omega \) passe au quotient sur \( T_1 S \) et définit le feuilletage \( \mathcal{F}^s \). L’invariant de Godbillon-Vey est alors facile à calculer: c’est le volume de \( T_1 S \). Le théorème de Gauss-Bonnet donne:

\[
\text{gv}(\mathcal{F}^s) = 4\pi^2 \chi(S).
\]

Dans [29], Y. Mitsumatsu eut l’idée de calculer l’invariant de Godbillon-Vey du feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d’une métrique à courbure négative variable, en supposant celui-ci de classe \( C^2 \). Voici le principe de son calcul. Sur \( T_1 S \), la théorie du repère mobile permet de construire trois champs de vecteurs \( V, X_1, X_2 \) qui forment en chaque point un repère orthonormé et sont tels que:
i) $V$ est le champ associé à l’action de $S_0(2)$ sur $T_1S$,
ii) $X_1$ engendre le flot géodésique,
iii) $[V, X_1] = X_2$; $[V, X_2] = -X_1$; $[X_1, X_2] = -(k \circ p)V$ où $k$ est la courbure de $S$ et $p$ est la projection de $T_1S$ sur $S$.

Il existe une fonction $F$ définie sur $T_1S$ telle que le feuilletage stable $\mathcal{F}^s$ soit engendré par $X_1$ et $X_2 + FV$. La condition d’intégrabilité est facile à écrire; elle est équivalente à l’équation de Ricatti:

$$X_1(F) + F^2 + k \circ p = 0$$

où $X_1(F)$ désigne la dérivée de la fonction $F$ dans la direction $X_1$. On dispose alors de toutes les données nécessaires à l’évaluation de l’invariant $gv(\mathcal{F}^s)$. Tous calculs faits, on trouve:

$$gv(\mathcal{F}^s) = 4\pi^2\chi(S) - 3\int V(F)^2 \, d\text{vol.}$$

Revenons à notre situation. Si le feuilletage $\mathcal{F}^s$ est de classe $C^2$, nous avons vu qu’il est $C^2$-conjugué au feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d’une métrique à courbure $-1$. L’invariant $gv(\mathcal{F}^s)$ est donc égal à $4\pi^2\chi(S)$. La formule précédente montre alors que $V(F)$ est identiquement nul, c’est-à-dire que $F$ est constant sur les fibres de $p$. Il est facile d’en conclure que la courbure est constante.

4. Le problème de l’invariance topologique de la classe de Godbillon-Vey

Soit $\mathcal{F}^s$ le feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d’une métrique de $S$ à courbure $-1$. Nous avons déjà vu que l’invariant de Godbillon-Vey de $\mathcal{F}^s$ ne dépend pas du choix de cette métrique. Est-il possible de perturber $\mathcal{F}^s$ parmi les feuilletages de classe $C^\infty$, hors de l’espace des feuilletages stables de flots géodésiques, de façon à faire varier la classe de Godbillon-Vey?

Nous savons que $\mathcal{F}^s$ peut être défini par une forme $\omega$ telle que $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega_1$ avec $\omega_1 \wedge d\omega_1$ non singulière. Il est clair que cette même propriété est satisfaite pour tous les feuilletages $\mathcal{F}$, disons de classe $C^\infty$, qui sont $C^3$-proches de $\mathcal{F}^s$. En dérivant la relation $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega_1$, on constate qu’il existe une forme $\omega_2$ telle que $d\omega_1 = \omega \wedge \omega_2$. Les formes $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2$ sont alors linéairement indépendantes partout et on peut donc définir deux champs de vecteurs $X$ et $Y$, tangents à $\mathcal{F}$ par:

$$\omega(X) = 0; \quad \omega_1(X) = 1; \quad \omega_2(X) = 0$$
$$\omega(Y) = 0; \quad \omega_1(Y) = 0; \quad \omega_2(Y) = 1$$

Des calculs extrêmement simples montrent alors que les champs $X$ et $Y$ préservent le volume $\omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ et que $[X, Y] = -Y$. Cette dernière relation décrit l’algèbre de Lie du groupe affine $GA$ de la droite, i.e. du groupe des transformations $x \mapsto ax + b$ ($a > 0$). On conclut donc que le feuilletage $\mathcal{F}$ est défini par une action localement libre de $GA$ sur $T_1S$, préservant le volume $\omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$. Ces actions sont complètement classées dans [11], ce qui permet alors d’obtenir le résultat de rigidité suivant:
Théorème [11]. Tout feuilletage de classe $C^\infty$ qui est suffisamment $C^3$-proche du feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d'une métrique à courbure $-1$, est $C^\infty$-conjugué au feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d'une (autre) métrique à courbure $-1$.

Résumons la situation. Toutes les actions de $\Gamma$ de classe $C^2$ sur le cercle, de classe d'Euler maximale, sont topologiquement conjuguées. Deux actions projectives provenant de métriques à courbure $-1$ ne sont $C^1$-conjuguées que si les métriques correspondantes sont isométriques. Une action de classe $C^\infty$, qui est $C^3$-proche d'une de ces actions projectives est $C^\infty$-conjuguée à une autre action projective. Peut-on globaliser ce dernier énoncé?

Problème. Soit $\Gamma$ le groupe fondamental d'une surface compacte orientée $S$ de genre supérieur ou égal à 2. Considérons une action de $\Gamma$ sur le cercle par difféomorphismes directs de classe $C^\infty$. Si le nombre d'Euler de l'action est maximal (i.e. égal à $\pm \chi(S)$), peut-on affirmer que l'action est $C^\infty$-conjuguée à une action projective (associée à une métrique à courbure $-1$)?

Ainsi, les déformations des feuilletages stables de flots géodésiques, dans l'espace des feuilletages de classe $C^\infty$, gardent un type topologique constant mais ont aussi un invariant de Godbillon-Vey constant. C'est l'une des motivations de la question suivante.

Problème. Soient $(M_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ et $(M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ deux variétés compactes feuilletées, de codimension 1, transversalement orientables, et de classe $C^2$. On suppose qu'il existe un homéomorphisme $h$ entre $M_1$ et $M_2$ qui envoie $\mathcal{F}_1$ sur $\mathcal{F}_2$. L'isomorphisme $h^*$ entre les cohomologies de $M_1$ et $M_2$ envoie-il la classe de Godbillon-Vey de $\mathcal{F}_2$ sur celle de $\mathcal{F}_1$?

Si l'on consent à un élargissement du domaine de définition de la classe de Godbillon-Vey, la réponse à la question précédente est négative. Nous allons mentionner rapidement deux tels élargissements.

Il n'est pas possible de définir un invariant pour les feuilletages de classe $C^1$. Ceci résulte de la contractibilité du classifiant des feuilletages transversalement orientables, de codimension 1 et de classe $C^1$, démontrée par T. Tsuboi [33]. La classe de Godbillon-Vey est cependant invariant par conjugaison de classe $C^1$ (voir [16] et [30]). D'autre part, dans [24], S. Hurder et A. Katok définissent un invariant pour les feuilletages de classe $C^{1+\varepsilon}$, avec $\varepsilon > 1/2$. En particulier, on peut calculer l'invariant du feuilletage stable du flot géodésique d'une métrique à courbure négative variable. Dans ce cas, la formule de Y. Mitsumatsu est encore valable et montre que l'invariant de Godbillon-Vey varie effectivement si la courbure varie. Cette même formule montre d'ailleurs que l'invariant prend sa valeur maximale précisément sur les métriques à courbure constante.

Dans une autre direction, on peut aussi définir l'invariant de Godbillon-Vey pour les feuilletages de classe $C^2$ par morceaux [10] et on peut aussi se poser le problème précédent dans ce contexte élargi. Nous y répondons négativement à travers le résultat suivant:
L'action du groupe fondamental d'une surface à courbure négative sur le bord de son revêtement universel est topologiquement conjuguée à une action affine par morceaux.

Ceci donne effectivement une réponse négative au problème car un feuilletage transversalement affine par morceaux a un invariant de Godbillon-Vey nul.

Pour démontrer ce dernier théorème, on utilise le fait que le flot géodésique $\phi_i$ possède une section de Birkhoff, c'est-à-dire qu'il existe une surface à bord $\Sigma$ dans $T_1S$ dont l'intérieur est transverse à $\phi_i$ et dont le bord est constitué d'orbites périodiques de $\phi_i$. De plus, toute orbite de $\phi_i$ coupe $\Sigma$ une infinité de fois et on peut donc définir une application de premier retour $r : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ (voir [4, 9]). Les feuilletages $F^s$ et $F^u$ tracent sur $\Sigma$ deux feuilletages transverses ayant un comportement bien contrôlé au voisinage du bord. On montre alors que $r$ est topologiquement conjugué à un difféomorphisme pseudo-Anosov qui agit de manière affine sur l'espace de ses feuilles stables. Par conséquent, $F^s$ est topologiquement conjugué à un feuilletage transversalement affine par morceaux, les cassures correspondant aux feuilles contenant les courbes du bord de $\Sigma$. Une description explicite des homéomorphismes affines par morceaux ainsi obtenus a été récemment donnée par N. Hashiguchi [21].

D'une certaine façon, ce contre-exemple à l'invariance topologique de la classe de Godbillon-Vey est peu satisfaisant. On est tenté de penser que par cette conjugaison topologique, la classe de Godbillon-Vey s'est concentrée dans les singularités du feuilletage affine par morceaux. Il est effectivement possible de définir un "invariant discret" qui tient compte de ces singularités mais on montre que, même en ajoutant cette contribution, la classe n'est pas un invariant topologique.

Les deux extensions du domaine de définition de la classe de Godbillon-Vey que nous venons d'évoquer ont été récemment unifiées par T. Tsuboi [34]. Il définit un pseudogroupe d'homéomorphismes de la droite qui contient à la fois les difféomorphismes de classe $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 1/2$) et les homéomorphismes qui sont de classe $C^2$ par morceaux. Il montre ensuite comment définir une classe de Godbillon-Vey pour les feuilletages de codimension 1 dont la structure transverse est modelée sur ce pseudogroupe. Il semble bien que ce ceci soit "le" domaine maximal d'existence de l'invariant.

Le problème de l'invariance topologique dans le cadre des feuilletages de classe $C^2$ reste cependant ouvert.
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A basic question in Riemannian geometry asks how properties detected by metric measurements of a Riemannian manifold are reflected in properties detected by topological measurements. If \((M, g)\) is a Riemannian manifold, a metric measurement can by definition be given in terms of its distance function. A topological measurement on the other hand is given in terms of algebraic topological invariants of \(M\) and/or of objects associated with \(M\). Examples of invariants resulting from such measurements are e.g. diameter, volume, and sectional curvature bounds on the metric side, and Betti numbers, characteristic numbers etc. on the topological side.

Here we consider only metric invariants that assign to any (closed) Riemannian manifold a number. These invariants can therefore be thought of as functions on the collection \(\mathcal{M}\) of all isometry classes of (closed) Riemannian manifolds, and as such they divide this class into smaller natural subclasses. Since topological properties are not affected by scaling of the metrics, one needs to normalize, or at least bound the size of \((M, g)\). Quantities commonly chosen for this purpose are diameter or volume.

Ultimately one would like to give a complete topological description of a Riemannian manifold making as few metric measurements as possible. As a first step one tries to bound the topology in terms of its geometry. Results of that type are usually referred to as finiteness theorems. In metrically extreme cases the goal is to determine all the possible topological types. Results of this kind are called pinching theorems.

As an illustration, suppose we normalize all closed, connected Riemannian \(n\)-manifolds, \(M, n \geq 2\), so as to have the same diameter as the unit \(n\)-sphere, i.e. \(\text{diam } M = \pi\). The function \(\text{minsec}\), that assigns to any such manifold the minimum of its sectional curvatures, is then bounded above by 1, i.e. \(\text{minsec } M \leq 1\), according to the classical Bonnet-Myers theorem. For the superlevel sets, \(\text{minsec } \geq \kappa\) one has the following finiteness theorem.

**0.1 Betti Number Theorem** [G2]. Let \(n \geq 2\) be an integer and \(\kappa \leq 1\) a real number. There is a constant \(C = C(n, \kappa)\), such that for any field, \(F\) and any closed Riemannian \(n\)-manifold, \(M\) with \(\text{diam } M = \pi\) and \(\text{sec } M \geq \kappa\) one has \(\dim H_*(M; F) \leq C\).
Near the extreme value 1 of minsec there is only one manifold topologically as stated in the following pinching theorem.

0.2 Diameter Sphere Theorem [GS]. Any closed riemannian n-manifold \( M \), \( n \geq 2 \), with \( \text{diam} \ M = \pi \) and \( \text{sec} \ M > 1/4 \) is homeomorphic to the n-sphere.

The bound \( \text{sec} \ M > 1/4 \) is optimal in this theorem as e.g. the real projective space of constant curvature 1/4 shows. A corresponding rigidity theorem for manifolds with \( \text{diam} \ M = \pi \) and \( \text{sec} \ M > 1/4 \) was proved in [GG1, 2].

In the general setting of (0.1), one cannot expect much more information (other than possibly improving the constant \( C \ [A] \)). To get more refined information it seems therefore natural to subdivide the superlevel sets \( \text{minsec} \geq \ell \) in terms of other metric measurements.

The purpose here is to report on recent progress in this general area. This progress involves on the metric side the use of critical point theory for distance functions as conceived in [GS] (cf. [G2] and for a survey [Gr]), and the use of Hausdorff convergence of metric spaces as initiated in [G1] (cf. [P2] for a survey). On the topological side, powerful results from controlled topology as developed in [CF, F] and [Q1, 2, 3] play a crucial role.

1. Distance and Volume Comparison

Throughout we let \( M \) denote a closed, connected riemannian n-manifold with \( n \geq 2 \). Following Rinow [R], \( S^*_\ell \) is the complete, simply connected n-dimensional space form of constant curvature \( \ell \). The distance function on \( M \), \( S^*_\ell \), or any other metric space will be denoted by \( d \). To distinguish point in \( S^*_\ell \) from points in other metric spaces we use the notation \( p, q, \ldots \), etc., rather than \( p, q, \ldots \), etc.

For each \( p \in M \) and \( r > 0 \), let \( B(p, r) \), resp. \( D(p, r) \) be the open, resp. closed r-ball in \( M \) centered at \( p \). Moreover, let \( \mathcal{D}(p) \subset T_p M \) be the region bounded by the tangent cut locus \( \mathcal{C}(p) \), i.e. \( v \in \mathcal{D}(p) \) if and only if the exponential map \( \exp_p : T_p M \to M \) maps the segment, \([0, v] \) in \( T_p M \) to a segment, i.e. minimal geodesic in \( M \).

The injectivity radius of \( M \), \( \text{inj} \ M \), is by definition the largest \( r > 0 \) so that \( \exp_p|B(0, r) \) is injective for all \( p \in M \). The smallest \( R > 0 \) so that some \( \exp_p|D(0, R) \) is surjective is easily seen to be the number \( \min_p \max_q d(p, q) \), which makes sense in any compact metric space. This number is called the radius of \( M \) and is denoted by \( \text{rad} \ M \).

Obviously \( \text{rad} \ M \leq \text{diam} \ M \leq 2\text{rad} \ M \). In particular, imposing a bound on the diameter is equivalent to imposing a bound on the radius.

Now suppose \( \text{sec} \ M \geq \ell \). This condition can be viewed in the following way: For each \( p \in M \) replace the euclidean metric on \( B(0, r) \subset T_p M \) by a constant curvature \( \ell \) metric via a radial conformal change \( (r \leq \pi/\sqrt{\ell} \text{ if } \ell > 0) \). Then \( \mathcal{D}(p) \) is a proper compact subset of \( S^*_\ell \) for every \( p \in M \), except when \( \ell > 0 \) and \( M \) isometric to \( S^*_\ell \). In the latter case we interpret \( \mathcal{D}(p) \) as \( S^*_\ell \). The Toponogov triangle comparison theorem is then equivalent to
1.1 Distance Comparison Theorem. The exponential map $\exp_p : \mathcal{D}(p) \to \mathcal{M}$ is distance nonincreasing, when $\mathcal{D}(p) \subset S^r_\mathcal{M}$ is given the induced metric from $S^r_\mathcal{M}$.

This is the basis also for volume comparison of metrically defined subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ with corresponding subsets in $S^r_\mathcal{M}$. For clarity, we list three situations of obvious interest although they are all special cases of one general result [GP2] (cf. also [Du]).

1.2 Half Spaces. Fix $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and a closed $Q \subset \mathcal{M}$. Consider $\bar{p} = \exp^{-1}_p(p)$ and $\bar{Q} = \exp^{-1}_p(Q)$ in $\mathcal{D}(p) \subset S^r_\mathcal{M}$. For the half spaces $H(p, Q) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} | d(x, p) \leq d(x, Q)\}$ and $H(\bar{p}, \bar{Q})$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $S^r_\mathcal{M}$ respectively we have

$$\text{vol } H(p, Q) \leq \text{vol } H(\bar{p}, \bar{Q}).$$

1.3. Swiss Cheeses. Define the swiss cheese $K$ relative to $D(p, R)$ and $r : Q \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as the complement $K((Q, r); (p, R)) = D(p, R) - \bigcup_{q \in Q} B(q, r(q))$. Then

$$\text{vol } K((Q, r); (p, R)) \leq \text{vol } K((\bar{Q}, \bar{r}); (\bar{p}, R))$$

where $\bar{r} = r \circ \exp_p : \bar{Q} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. 

1.4 Ball Collection. For the union $D(Q, r) = \bigcup_{q \in Q} D(q, r(q))$ one has $\text{vol } D(Q, r) \leq \text{vol } D(I(Q), r \circ I^{-1})$, provided $I : Q \to I(Q) \subset S^r_\mathcal{M}$ is an isometry. 

The estimates (1.2)–(1.4) and (1.7), (1.8) below do not hold under the weaker curvature assumption $\text{Ric } \mathcal{M} \geq (n - 1)\kappa$. This, however, is sufficient for the following simple extension of the so-called Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem.

1.5 Relative Volume Comparison. For finite $Q \subset \mathcal{M}$, the function $\text{vol } D(Q, R)/v^n_\mathcal{M}(R)$ is non-increasing in $R$. Here $v^n_\mathcal{M}(R) = \text{vol } D(\bar{p}, R)$ in $S^r_\mathcal{M}$.

This theorem is the basis for various packing arguments. A subset $Q \subset \mathcal{M}$ is called a weak $\kappa$-net in $\mathcal{M}$ if $D(Q, R) = \mathcal{M}$. Examples of such nets are provided by maximal sets $Q$ for which $B(q, R/2)$, $q \in Q$ are mutually disjoint. For fixed $n \geq 2$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D > 0$ this together with (1.5) yields a covering function.

$$N(\epsilon) = C_1 \epsilon^{-n}, \quad \epsilon \in (0, D], \quad C_1 = C(n, \kappa, D) > 0.$$ 

For any closed riemannian $n$-manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with diam $\mathcal{M} \leq D$ and $\text{Ric } \mathcal{M} \geq (n - 1)\kappa$, i.e. for every $\epsilon \in (0, D]$, any such $\mathcal{M}$ can be covered by $\leq N(\epsilon)$ closed $\epsilon$-balls.

The relative volume comparison (1.5) can also be used to estimate the right hand sides of (1.2) and (1.3) in an important special case: (i) there is an $\bar{r} > 0$ such that $d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = \bar{r}$, $\bar{q} \in \bar{Q}$, and (ii) the set of directions of segments $\bar{p}\bar{q}$, $\bar{q} \in \bar{Q}$ form a weak $\theta$-net, $0 \geq \pi/2$ in the unit sphere $S^{r-1}_\mathcal{M} \subset T_{\bar{p}} S^r_\mathcal{M}$. If furthermore $\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2 \in S^r_\mathcal{M}$ are chosen so that $d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}_1) = d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}_2) = \bar{r}$ and $\text{ang } (\bar{p}\bar{q}_1, \bar{p}\bar{q}_2) = 2\pi - 2\theta$, then

$$\text{vol } H(\bar{p}, \bar{Q}) \leq \text{vol } H(\bar{p}, \bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2),$$

and

$$\text{vol } K((\bar{Q}, \bar{r}); (\bar{p}, R)) \leq \text{vol } K(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2, \bar{r}); (\bar{p}, R)),$$

$r$ constant.
Now fix \( v > 0 \) in addition to \( N, \kappa \) and \( D \) as above. Combining (1.2) and (1.7) with a critical point theory argument for \( d : M \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) considered as the distance function in \( M \times M \) from the diagonal \( \Delta \subset M \times M \) gives constants \( C_2 = C_2(n, \kappa, D, v) \geq 1 \) and \( R = R(n, \kappa, D, v) > 0 \) such that

\[
q(\varepsilon) = C_2 \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, R]
\]

is a contractibility function for any closed riemannian \( n \)-manifold \( M \) satisfying \( \text{sec} \ M \geq \kappa \), \( \text{diam} \ M \leq D \) and \( \text{vol} \ M \geq v \), i.e. every \( \varepsilon \)-ball in such \( M \) is contractible in the concentric \( C_2 \cdot \varepsilon \)-ball.

The existence of covering and contractibility functions as in (1.6) and (1.9) are key ingredients in the finiteness and pinching theorems reported on here.

2. The Gromov-Hausdorff Topology

In this section we outline topological and geometric properties of Gromov-Hausdorff limit spaces (cf. [G1]) suited for our purposes.

Let \( X, Y, Z, X_t, i = 1, 2, \ldots \) be compact metric spaces. If \( X \) and \( Y \) are isometrically embedded in \( Z \), the classical Hausdorff distance, \( d^H \), satisfies \( d^H(X, Y) < \varepsilon \) if and only if \( Y \subset B(X, \varepsilon) \) and \( X \subset B(Y, \varepsilon) \). For the Gromov-Hausdorff distance \( d_{GH} \) one has \( d_{GH}(X, Y) < \varepsilon \) if and only if \( d^H(X, Y) < \varepsilon \) from some metric on \( Z = X \sqcup Y \) extending the ones on \( X \) and \( Y \). Similarly \( X = \lim X_i \) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology if and only if \( d^H(X, X_i) \to 0, i \to \infty \) in some extended metric on \( Z = X \sqcup_i X_i \).

2.1 Precompactness Theorem [G1]. A class \( \chi \) of compact metric spaces is precompact if and only if there is a common covering function for all \( X \in \chi \).

Now let \( \chi(N, q) \) be the class of compact metric spaces which have \( N \) in (1.6) as a covering function and \( q \) in (1.9) as a contractibility function. Since all spaces having (1.6) as covering function have dimension \( \leq n \) by [PS], a result of Borsuk [Bo] combined with (2.1) implies (cf. also [P1]).

2.2 Compactness Theorem [GPW]. The class \( \chi(N, q) \) is compact and \( \dim X \leq n \) for any \( X \in \chi(N, q) \).

Using the contractibility properties of \( X \in \chi(N, q) \) one can construct explicit homotopy equivalences between nearby spaces as in [GP1] (see [P1]). In particular

2.3 Homotopy Finiteness Theorem [P1]. The class \( \chi(N, q) \) contains only finitely many (simple) homotopy types.

The application of controlled topology is based on the important observation that the homotopy equivalences and corresponding homotopies alluded to above are controlled in size in terms of \( d_{GH}(X, Y) \). In the context of manifolds, this together with the results of Begle [B] and Quinn [Q3] proves
2.4 Almost Compactness Theorem [GPW]. Let $\mathcal{M}(N, q)$ be the subset of closed topological $n$-manifolds in $\chi(N, q)$. Any $X \in \mathcal{M}(N, q)$ is a generalized $n$-manifold and if $n \neq 3$ it admits a manifold resolution.

Since $X \times S^1 \times S^1$ satisfies the disjoint disc property (cf. [D]) it follows from Edwards approximation theorem [E] that it is a manifold. Consequently, by results of Chapman and Ferry [CF, F] there are only finitely many homeomorphism types among $M \times S^1 \times S^1$, $M \in \mathcal{M}(N, q)$. The controlled $h$-cobordism theorem [Q1, 2] is then used to complete the proof of

2.5 Topological Finiteness Theorem [GPW]. The class of closed $n$-manifolds, $n \neq 3$, with metrics having (1.6) as covering functions and (1.9) as contractibility function contains at most finitely many homeomorphism types.

We conclude this section with a brief discussion of geometric properties of limit spaces $X = \text{lim } M_i$, where $\text{sec } M_i \geq \kappa$ and $\text{diam } M \leq D$. First of all, $X$ is an inner metric space, i.e. the distance between points in $X$ is the infimum of lengths of curves joining them. In particular, one has the notion of geodesics in $X$. Moreover,

2.6 Exponential Maps [GP2]. Let $p \in X = \text{lim } M_i$, $\text{sec } M_i \geq \kappa$ and $\bar{p} \in S^p_i$. There is a compact subset $\mathcal{D}(p) \subset S^p_i$ and a distance nonincreasing surjective map $\exp_p : \mathcal{D}(p) \to X$. Moreover, $\exp_p$ maps segments from $\bar{p} \in \mathcal{D}(p) \subset S^p_i$ to segments in $X$ from $p$, and any segment from $p$ is the image of a segment from $\bar{p}$.

It should be pointed out that the pairs $(\mathcal{D}(p), \exp_p)$ in (2.6) are by no means unique! Using the nontrivial fact that geodesics in $X$ are limits of geodesics in $M_i$ one gets

2.7 Curvature for Limits [GP2]. $X = \text{lim } M_i$, $\text{sec } M_i \geq \kappa$ has Toponogov curvature, $\text{sec } X \geq \kappa$, i.e. standard distance comparison holds for geodesic triangles in $X$.

As an interesting consequence, $X$ has everywhere constant dimension. This is of interest in situations of collapse (cf. also [Fu]).

3. The Volume Function

In this and the next section we consider sub- or superlevel sets of functions restricted to the classes of $\mathcal{M}_0^p(n)$, and $\mathcal{M}_1^p(n)$ of closed connected riemannian $n$-manifolds $M$, $n \geq 2$ with $\text{sec } M \geq \kappa$ and $\text{diam } M \leq D$, $\text{rad } M \leq R$ respectively.

The following finiteness theorem is an immediate corollary of (1.6), (1.9), (2.3), (2.5) and the fact that every closed topological $n$-manifold carries at most finitely many inequivalent smooth structures, when $n \neq 4$ (cf. [KS] for $n \geq 5$).
3.1 Diffeo/Top-Theorem [GP1, GPW]. Fix \( n \geq 2, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( D, v > 0 \). The class of closed riemannian \( n \)-manifolds \( M \) with \( \sec M \geq \kappa \), \( \diam M \leq D \) and \( \vol M \geq v \) contains at most finitely many diffeomorphism-, homeomorphism-, and homotopy types when \( n \neq 3, 4, n = 4 \), and \( n = 3 \) respectively.

We now turn to the corresponding metrically extreme cases of small and large volume.

Let \( \{M_i\} \) be a sequence in the \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa^n(n) \) where \( \vol M_i \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \). Then a subsequence will converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology (cf. (1.6) and (2.1)) to a lower dimensional inner metric space \( X \) with \( \diam X \leq D \) and Toponogov curvature \( \sec X \geq \kappa \). In the exceptional case where \( X \) is a riemannian manifold, a thorough investigation has been carried out in [Y2]: For large \( i \), \( M_i \) fibers almost riemannian over \( X \), and a finite cover of the fibers, \( F \) fiber almost riemannian over the \( b_1(F) \)-dimensional flat torus.

In the (weakly) extreme case of manifolds \( M \) with \( \sec M \geq \kappa \), \( \diam M \leq D \) and \( \vol M \leq \varepsilon \), \( \varepsilon \) small, one would expect some similar kind of decomposition of \( M \). This of course is related to the structure of general inner metric spaces \( X \) with \( \sec X \geq \kappa \). For progress in this direction we refer to [BGP].

3.2 Large Volume Theorem [GP4]. Given \( n \geq 2, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) (\( \leq \pi/2\sqrt{\kappa} \) if \( \kappa > 0 \)). There is an \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \kappa, R) > 0 \) such that any riemannian \( n \)-manifold \( M \) with \( \sec M \geq \kappa \), \( \rad M \leq R \) and \( \vol M \geq \nu_n^\kappa(R) - \varepsilon \) is topologically either \( S^n \) or \( \mathbb{R}P^n \).

3.3 Large Volume Theorem [GP4]. Given \( n \geq 2, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) (\( \leq \pi/2\sqrt{\kappa} \) if \( \kappa > 0 \)). There is an \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \kappa, R) > 0 \) such that any riemannian \( n \)-manifold \( M \) with \( \sec M \geq \kappa \), \( \rad M \leq R \) and \( \vol M \geq \nu_n^\kappa(R) - \varepsilon \) is topologically either \( S^n \) or \( \mathbb{R}P^n \).

In the case \( \sec M \geq \kappa > 0 \) and \( \rad M > \pi/2\sqrt{\kappa} \), of course \( M \) is \( S^n \) topologically by (0.2). In all cases, however, there are (singular) constant curvature \( \kappa \) models with equality in (3.2), and manifolds with volume close to this are metrically similar to these models (cf. [GP4]). In the special cases \( \kappa > 0 \) and \( R = \pi/2\sqrt{\kappa} \), \( \pi/\sqrt{\kappa} \) corresponding to the only nonsingular models, it is possible to conclude diffeomorphism [OSY].

These pinching theorems may also be viewed as a solution to a generalized analog of Aleksandrov's area problem for convex surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) [Al].
4. The Excess and Other Shape Invariants

The Theorems 0.1, 0.2, 3.1 and 3.3 are in essence exhaustive. Clearly therefore, in order to gain more information about individual manifolds $M \in \mathcal{M}^D_v(n)$ where $\text{vol} M \geq v$, it is necessary to make more measurements.

Other than $\text{maxsec}$, $\text{inj}$, and to some extent, $\text{FillRad}$ [G3], no such invariants seem to have been studied very extensively. This includes the intermediate diameters, $\text{diam} M = \text{diam}_0 M \geq \text{diam}_1 M \geq \cdots \geq \text{diam}_{n-1} M > \text{diam}_n M = 0$ considered by Urysohn [U] and more recently in [G3] (cf. also [P2]). Here we discuss briefly a measurement based on the excess in the triangle inequality. Following [GP3] we define the excess of $M$ by $\text{exc} M = \min_{(p, q)} \max_x (d(p, x) + d(x, q) - d(p, q))$. Obviously $0 < \text{exc} M < \text{diam} M$.

A smooth manifold $M$ admits a Riemannian metric with $\text{exc} M = 0$ if and only if $M$ is a twisted sphere (cf. [GP3]). On the other hand, any $M$ admits a Riemannian metric with $\text{exc} M / \text{diam} M$ arbitrarily small.

When restricted to $\mathcal{M}^D_v(n)$, however, the sublevel sets $\text{exc} \leq \epsilon$, $\epsilon$ small, contain only one topological type:

4.2 Exotic Sphere Theorem [GP3]. Fix $n \geq 2$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D, v > 0$. There is an $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, \kappa, D, v)$ such that any closed Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$, with $\text{sec} M \geq \kappa$, $\text{diam} M \leq D$, $\text{vol} M \geq v$ and $\text{exc} M \leq \epsilon$, is a homotopy sphere.

This result is also optimal in its context. Observe that in contrast to all other known sphere theorems in Riemannian geometry, the metrically extreme case is represented not only by the unit sphere $S^n$ but by any exotic sphere!

The other extreme $\text{exc} M = \text{diam} M$ occurs when $M$ contains three points at maximal distance. This is related to the triameter of $M$, which is the second in an infinite sequence of invariants beginning with the diameter. That and another related infinite sequence beginning with twice the radius and the excess is currently under investigation in [GM].

5. Curvature Free Problems

We conclude in the generality we started, now scaling all closed Riemannian $n$-manifolds $M$ so that $\text{vol} M = \text{vol} S^n_1 = v^n_1(\pi)$. In this generality we consider the superlevel sets $\text{inj} \geq i$.

5.1 Isoembolic Rigidity Theorem [Be]. The injectivity radius of any closed Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ so that $\text{vol} M = v^n_1(\pi)$ satisfies $\text{inj} M \leq \pi$, with equality if and only if $M$ is isometric to $S^n_1$.

5.2 Isoembolic Pinching Theorem [C2]. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)$, such that any closed Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ with $\text{vol} M = v^n_1(\pi)$ and $\text{inj} M \geq \pi - \epsilon$ is homeomorphic to $S^n$. 
5.3 Isoembolic Finiteness Theorem [GPW, Y1]. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varrho \in (0, \pi]$. There are at most finitely diffeomorphism-, homeomorphism-, or homotopy types of closed riemannian $n$-manifolds $M$ satisfying $\text{vol } M = v^*_i(\varrho)$, $\text{inj } M \geq \varrho$ and $n \neq 3, 4, n = 4$, or $n = 3$ respectively.

The geodesic flow plays an essential role in the proof of these results. Here we only point out that a basic volume estimate from [C1] implies that the class of closed riemannian $n$-manifolds $M$ with $\text{vol } M \leq \nu$ and $\text{inj } M \geq \varrho$ has a covering function as in (1.6). Since it has a contractibility function as in (1.9) with $C_2 = 1$ by assumption, (5.3) is a corollary of (2.3) and (2.5) just like (3.1) was.

The subclass consisting of closed riemannian $n$-manifolds $M$ with $\text{Ric } M \geq (n - 1)\varrho$, $\text{diam } M \leq D$ and $\text{inj } M \geq \varrho$ has recently been investigated in [AC]. In particular it is shown there, that this class contains at most finitely many diffeomorphism types also in dimensions 3 and 4.
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1. Introduction

In 1985 M. Gromov proved in his seminar paper *Pseudoholomorphic Curves in Symplectic Manifolds* [17] among other things a striking rigidity result, the so-called *squeezing theorem*.

Consider the vectorspace $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with its usual Hermitian inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \Re (\cdot, \cdot)$ the associated real inner product and by $\sigma = -\Im (\cdot, \cdot)$ the usual induced symplectic form. If $B_{2n}(r)$ denotes the Euclidean $r$-ball and $Z_{2n}(R) = B^2(R) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ the symplectic cylinder of radius $R$, M. Gromov proved that $B_{2n}(r)$ admits a symplectic embedding into $Z_{2n}(R)$ iff $r \leq R$. This result exhibits a striking $C^0$-rigidity phenomenon in the symplectic category. Hence already on the $C^0$-level, volume-preserving and symplectic maps can be distinguished.

Coming from the variational theory of Hamiltonian dynamics, I. Ekeland and the author observed in [5, 6] that the study of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems can be effectively used to prove the squeezing theorem and — more important — gives even infinitely many new symplectic invariants.

Finally combining the key construction in [5, 6] with Floer's Instanton Homology [12-15] one is able to construct a new theory called Symplectic Homology [16].

2. Symplectic Capacities

Let us first motivate why periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems occur as obstructions in a *symplectic rigidity theory*.

Given any hypersurface $S$ in a symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ we see that $S$ carries a distinguished 1-dimensional distribution $\mathcal{L}_S \rightarrow S$ which is defined by $\mathcal{L}_S = \ker(\omega |_S)$. We denote by $L_S(x)$ the leaf through $x \in S$. If $S$ is a compact hypersurface, of particular interest are the closed characteristics, i.e. closed integral curves $L_S(x) \approx S^1$. We shall write $\mathcal{P}(S)$ for the set of periodic characteristics.

Now, suppose, coming back to Gromov's Theorem that we have an optimal symplectic embedding of some open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ into $Z_{2n}(r)$. Optimal here
means that there is no symplectic embedding for any $r' < r$. Of course, it is in general not clear, if there exists an optimal embedding. Identifying $\mathcal{U}$ with its image, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r)$. Let us even suppose that $\partial \mathcal{U}$ is smooth. If we try to do, what is impossible by our assumption, namely to make $\mathcal{U}$ smaller, one likes to proceed as follows. It seems reasonable to try to push the set $(\partial \mathcal{U}) \cap (\partial \mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r))$ into $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r)$. Then the new set $\mathcal{U}'$, at least if $\mathcal{U}'$ is compact, would strictly stay away from $\partial \mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r)$ and we would be able to replace $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r)$ by a smaller symplectic cylinder. The optimal way to carry out this procedure is, at least locally, to take a Hamiltonian $H : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, which is increasing on the parts of the characteristics of $\partial \mathcal{U}$ which touch $\partial \mathbb{Z}^{2n}(r)$. The associated Hamiltonian flow pushes (locally) $\partial \mathcal{U}$ into $\mathcal{U}$. However, there are obvious global obstructions against the existence of Hamiltonians increasing on leaves, namely characteristics in $\mathcal{P}(\partial \mathcal{U})$, i.e. periodic characteristics. There is another more diffuse obstruction, namely Poincare's recurrence: The characteristics properly parameterized define a volume preserving flow on $\partial \mathcal{U}$. So, there is a set of full measure consisting of points which return to every neighbourhood of themselves under the flow. Obviously this is a second obstruction.

The surprising fact is, that the above can be turned into a qualitative and quantitative theory of symplectic rigiditiy.

We start with the theory of symplectic capacities initiated in [5, 6], see also [20, 21, 23, 35]. Motivated by a break through results of C. Viterbo [34] concerning the existence of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems on a prescribed energy surface, a very simple, refined approach was given by E. Zehnder and the author in [24]. This is best described as follows: If $E$ denotes the loop space of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is any bounded open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ let $H : \mathbb{C}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ be a smooth Hamiltonian vanishing on $\mathcal{U}$ but growing sufficiently fast to infinity outside from $\mathcal{U}$. We define a map $\Phi_H : E \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi_H(x) = \int x^* \lambda - \int H(x),$$

where the integrals are taken over $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda$ is a primitive of $\sigma$. Now, if $H$ is in some sense “sharp” enough at $\mathcal{U}$ it turns out that every critical point of $\Phi_H$ with $\Phi_H(x) > 0$ is a 1-periodic solution of $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$, which is close to $\partial \mathcal{U}$. How close the periodic solutions are to $\partial \mathcal{U}$ depends solely on the growth of $H$ outside of $\mathcal{U}$. This observation leads to an existence result of periodic solutions on almost all energy surfaces [24]. Precisely this abundance of periodic solutions is used in [5, 6]. One constructs a universal subset $\mathcal{F}$ of the power set of $E$ and defines for every $H : \mathbb{C}^n \to [0, +\infty)$, which vanishes on some nonempty open set $\mathcal{U}$ a min-max-characterisation defining a number $c(H) \in [0, +\infty)$

$$c(H) := \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \inf \Phi_H(F).$$

Obviously if $H \geq K$ then $c(K) \geq c(H)$. Finally one puts for a bounded subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$c(\mathcal{U}) := \inf c(H),$$
where the infimum is taken over all such $H$ which vanish on $\mathcal{U}$. One can even show that for good sets $\mathcal{U}$ the number $c(\mathcal{U})$ is the action of a closed (perhaps iterated) characteristic on $\partial \mathcal{U}$. This number turns out to be a symplectic invariant. Moreover, different choices of $\mathcal{F}$ lead to infinitely many invariants.

These invariants satisfy a set of axioms, and are called symplectic capacities. To give the axioms, let us denote by $\Gamma = (0, +\infty)$ the extended strictly positive half line equipped with the obvious ordering “$\leq$“. We view $(\Gamma, \leq)$ as a category. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of $(2n)$-dimensional symplectic manifolds together with the symplectic embeddings as the morphisms.

**Definition 1.** A symplectic capacity is a covariant functor $c : \mathcal{S} \to \Gamma$ such that
- $c(M, \alpha \omega) = | \alpha | c(M, \omega)$ for $\alpha \neq 0$,
- $c(Z^{2n}(1), \sigma) < +\infty$,
where $Z^{2n}(1)$ is equipped with the symplectic structure induced from $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We note that a convex combination of symplectic capacities as well as positive multiples are again capacities. So the collection of all capacities build a cone. One can show that this cone is infinite dimensional.

The first capacity constructed in [5] satisfies in addition the normalisation $c(B^{2n}(1)) = c(Z^{2n}(1)) = \pi$ and is therefore similar to Gromov’s width [19]. But there are many other capacities [6], allowing for example to prove that the polydisk $B^2(1) \times \ldots \times B^2(1)$ can only be symplectically embedded into the round ball $B^{2n}(R)$ iff $R \geq \sqrt{n}$.

Next we present some results which emphasize the importance of symplectic capacities.

**Theorem 2.** A smooth embedding $\Psi : B^{2n}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserving the capacity of open subsets of $B^{2n}(\varepsilon)$ for some capacity $c$, is either symplectic or anti-symplectic.

Even stronger, a homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserving locally capacity, has at every point $x$, where it is differentiable, either a symplectic or anti-symplectic linearization. If $h$ and $h \times Id_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserve some capacities and $h$ is smooth it is even symplectic. This motivates the following definition

**Definition 3.** A homeomorphism $h : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ between two open sets $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be $C^\infty$-symplectic if $h$ and $h \times Id_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{C}$ preserve every capacity of open subsets of their domains.

In view of the above results this is a natural $C^\infty$-definition of “symplectic”. It is not very practical yet since our knowledge of the cone of capacities is very limited. However, it is by now clear that the symplectic notion is a $C^\infty$- rather than a $C^1$-concept. There are many known constructions for a symplectic capacity [5, 6, 16, 20, 21, 23, 35, 36]. A very interesting one is the following, which is only defined for subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$ [20].

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the vectorspace of all compactly supported smooth maps $H : [0,1] \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. We define a norm $\|H\|$ by

$$
\|H\| := \int_0^1 \left[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n} H(t, x) - \inf_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n} H(t, x) \right] dt
$$
Associated to $H \in \mathcal{C}$ is the time-1-map $\Psi_H$ obtained by integrating the Hamiltonian system associated to $H$. The collection of all time-1-maps forms a group $\mathcal{D}$ under composition. We define a map $E : \mathcal{D} \to [0, +\infty)$, called the "Energy", by

$$E(\Psi) = \inf \{ \|H\| \mid \Psi_H = \Psi \}.$$ 

One verifies easily that

$$E(\Psi^{-1}) = E(\Psi) = E(\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\Psi \circ \Phi) \leq E(\Psi) + E(\Phi).$$

Of course the above would be true if $E \equiv 0$ (in fact that was everyone's favorite guess). However,

**Theorem 4.** $E(\Psi) = 0$ iff $\Psi = Id$. In particular $d : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to [0, +\infty)$ defined by $d(\Psi, \Phi) = E(\Psi^{-1} \Phi)$ defines a bi-invariant metric on $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover the map $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} : H \to \Psi_H$ is globally Lipschitz continuous with constant one.

Thus the infinite dimensional group $\mathcal{D}$ admits a bi-invariant metric. This distinguishes $\mathcal{D}$ from many other diffeomorphism groups. The $d$-topology on $\mathcal{D}$ is very strange. So, one has for example the following fact: Given any volume preserving diffeomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ there exists a sequence $(\Psi_k) \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $\Psi_k \to Id$ in $(\mathcal{D}, d)$ but

$$\int_K |\Psi_k(x) - \Psi(x)|^p \, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to +\infty$$

for every compact set $K$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and every $1 \leq p < \infty$. Hence there seems to be no relation between the $d$-topology and the $L^p_{\text{loc}}$-topology, $1 \leq p < \infty$. However, [20], we have

**Theorem 5.** The inclusion map $j : (\mathcal{D}, d) \to C^o$ (which of course is not continuous) has closed graph. Here $C^o$ stands for the Frechet space of continuous maps $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, equipped with the metrizable compact open topology.

See also [36] for related results. The $d$-topology had been introduced in [20] in order to study symplectic fixed point problems.

As Y. Eliashberg pointed out recently [9] the $d$-topology occurs naturally in the study of the question to what extend does the interior of a symplectic manifold determine the symplectic topology on the boundary, see also [11].

Another important question, which one should mention is the following: *Does there exist a good model for the completion $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ of $\mathcal{D}$?* Note that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ turns out to be a topological group! It is interesting to note that for every continuous Hamiltonian $H$ which vanishes at infinity there exists a unique "time-1-map" in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$.

The $d$-topology on $\mathcal{D}$ and the symplectic phase space geometry in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are closely related. Let $S$ be a compact, connected, smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Denote by $B_S$ the bounded component of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$. We write $c_{EH}$ for the particular symplectic capacity introduced in [5]. We say the hypersurface $S$ is of contact type if there exists a 1-form $\lambda$ on $S$ such that $d\lambda = \omega | S$ and $\lambda$ does not vanish on the fibres of $\mathcal{L}_S \to S$. We say $S$ is of restricted contact type if $\lambda$ can be extended to
$C^n$ satisfying globally $d\lambda = \omega$ (see [1] for examples). To get a quantitative idea about $c_{EH}$ we just mention the following estimate

$$c_{EH}(B_S) \geq \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid \text{There exists a symplectic embedding } \mathbb{B}^{2n}(r) \to B_S\}.$$ 

**Theorem 6.** Let $S$ be a smooth, compact connected hypersurface of restricted contact type in $C^n$. If $\Psi \in \mathfrak{P}$ with $E(\Psi) \leq c_{EH}(S)$ then there exists $x \in S$ with $\Psi(x) \in L_S(x)$.

This result has nice applications in a global Poincaré perturbation theory [28, 20]. As a consequence of 2.6 we can define a very intuitive capacity, called the displacement energy.

**Definition 7.** For a bounded subset $\mathfrak{U}$ of $C^n$ define $c(\mathfrak{U}) = \inf\{E(\Psi) \mid \Psi(\mathfrak{U}) \cap \overline{\mathfrak{U}} = \emptyset\}$. For an unbounded subset $T \subset C^n$ put $c(T) = \sup\{c(S) \mid S \subset T, S \text{ bounded}\}$. We call $c$ the displacement energy.

One can show that $c(\mathbb{B}^{2n}(1)) = c(Z^{2n}(1)) = \pi$. We recall the fact that a $\Psi \in \mathfrak{P}$ with small energy could be close to a translation in $L^p_{loc}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Nevertheless 2.6 shows that small energy implies a lot of local recurrence.

### 3. Symplectic Homology

We have already explained the importance of closed characteristics in the theory of symplectic capacities. For the following they might be even more important. The starting point is similar to the construction of symplectic capacities. Namely we associate to suitable Hamiltonians $H$ some invariant. Whereas it were numerical invariants in the construction of capacities, we associate now to a Hamiltonian $H$ a Floer-Homology group [16]. The construction is well behaved with respect to the pointwise ordering on the Hamiltonians, in the sense that $H \leq K$ induces a unique morphism between the Floer groups, [16]. Thus instead of taking the infimum of numbers $c(H)$ over the set of Hamiltonians $H$ vanishing on a set $S$ in order to obtain some invariant for $S$, we just take the direct limit of the Floer groups. This construction explained now in more detail leads to symplectic homology.

The domain of the theory consists of all pairs $(M, A)$, where $M$ is a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and $A$ an open subset of $M \setminus \partial M$, whose closure $\overline{A}$ is contained in some open subset $\mathfrak{U}$ of $M$, with $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ being compact, such that $\partial \mathfrak{U}$ is smooth and of contact type. Moreover $\tau_2(M) = 0$ (however, weaker hypotheses will do as well). A morphism $\Psi : (M, A) \to (N, B)$ is a symplectic embedding $\Psi : M \to N$ satisfying $\Psi(A) \subset B$. One studies now for each pair $(M, A)$ the Hamiltonian systems associated to Hamiltonians $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy $H \mid \overline{A} < 0$, and $H(x) \equiv m(H) \in (0, +\infty)$, if $x \in M \setminus V$ for some open neighbourhood $V$ of $\overline{A}$ contained in $M \setminus \partial M$. Moreover $H(x) \leq m(H)$ for all $x \in M$. Let us denote this class of Hamiltonians by $\mathfrak{H}(M, A)$. One is interested in the 1-periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian system $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$. This problem is
variational, i.e. the 1-periodic solutions are critical points of some functional $\Phi_H$. Using an equivariant version of Floer's construction of a homology theory one can associate to $\Phi_H$ a cochain complex $C(H)$, which is essentially spanned by the 1-periodic solutions of $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$. As a new ingredient we have a real filtration of this cochain complex since the basis vectors carry a numerical invariant, namely their $\Phi_H$-value. There is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading through some kind of Maslov-index. All homological algebra constructions like chain homotopy, chain map etc., are supposed to be compatible with the $\mathbb{R}$-filtration. We write

$$C = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^k_{\lambda} \right), \quad C_{\lambda} \subset C_{\mu} \text{ for } \lambda \leq \mu.$$ 

Given two Hamiltonian $H \geq K$ one obtains a natural chain homotopy class (preserving the extra structure) $C(H) \to C(K)$, and for $\lambda \leq \mu$ induced maps $C_{\lambda}(H)/C_{\mu}(H) \to C_{\lambda}(K)/C_{\mu}(K)$. Applying the functor Hom$(\cdot, R)$ for some commutative ring we obtain for every pair $(M, A)$ a directed system

$$(\text{Hom}(C_{\lambda}(H)/C_{\mu}(H)), R)_{H \in \mathcal{H}(M, A)}.$$ 

We pass to Homology and take the direct limit denoted by $I^\text{d}(M, A)$. Symplectic embeddings $\Psi : (M, A) \to (N, B)$ induce group morphisms $\Psi^* : I^\text{d}_\mu(N, B) \to I^\text{d}_\mu(M, A)$. One has long exact sequences as well as isotopy invariance. For the details we refer the reader to [16] or [22]. We list now some applications. The first is a solution of Gromov's polydisk conjecture [22]. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a $n$-tuplet of positive real numbers. We shall write $o(a)$ for the associated ordered $n$-tuplet (the $a_i$'s written in increasing order). We write $D^{2n}(a)$ for the open polydisk $D^2(a_1) \times \ldots \times D^2(a_n)$.

**Theorem 8.** Let $a, b$ be ordered $n$-tuplets. Suppose $a_j \leq b_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $b_j^2 < a_j^2 + a_j^2$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Assume $D(r)$ is a third polydisk such that there exist symplectic embeddings $\Psi : D(a) \to D(r)$ and $\Phi : D(r) \to D(b)$ with $\Phi \circ \Psi$ being isotopic to the standard inclusion. Then we have for some permutation $\sigma(\tau)$ of $\tau$

$$a \leq \sigma(\tau) \leq b.$$ 

In particular if $a = b$ we have $a = o(r)$.

Hence, if $D(a) \simeq D(b)$ we must have $o(a) = o(b)$, which is Gromov's conjecture.

It follows from the $H$-principle [18], that the space of symplectic embeddings $\overline{B}^2(2) \to D^4(1, 1)$ is connected for the compact open topology. Take any such embedding, say $\hat{\Psi}$. Then $\hat{\Psi} : (\overline{B}^2(2))$ has a symplectic tubular neighbourhood. Hence, we might slightly thicken $\overline{B}^2(2)$ to obtain a symplectic embedding $\Psi : D^4(e, 2) \to D^4(1, 1)$ for some $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$. If now $\Phi : D^4(e, \delta) \to D^4(1, 1)$ is the composition of the standard inclusion of $D^4(e, \delta) \to D^4(e, 2)$ with $\Psi$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$, we obtain the following result

**Theorem 9.** Assuming $\varepsilon^2 + \delta^2 > 1$ the symplectic embedding $\Phi$ is not isotopic to the standard inclusion $j : D^4(e, \delta) \to D^4(1, 1)$.
This result is obtained by studying the maps induced by $\Phi$ and $j$ in symplectic homology.

There is another application concerned with the question to which extent is the symplectic topology on the boundary determined by the symplectic topology of the interior [9, 11]. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold with $\omega = d\lambda$ being an exact symplectic form. Suppose $\partial M$ is of restricted contact type. We define the action spectrum $A(M)$ by

$$A(M) = \left\{ m \int (\lambda \mid P) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}(\partial M), m \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}.$$

**Theorem 10.** Let $(M, \omega)$ and $(N, \sigma)$ be of the type described above. Suppose $M \setminus \partial M$ and $N \setminus \partial N$ are symplectomorphic by an exact symplectic map. Then $A(M) = A(N)$, provided the periodic trajectories on $\partial M$ and $\partial N$ are nondegenerate.

One can even strengthen the statements by incorporating the Maslov-type-index giving the grading of the symplectic homology groups. The symplectic homology is so far not complete, but already it allows to prove some not so obvious results.
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1. Introduction

The adjunction formula expresses the genus of a smooth curve $C$ in a complex surface $X$ in terms only of the homology class of $C$ and the canonical class $K$ of the surface:

$$2g - 2 = C \cdot C + K \cdot C .$$

An old and still elusive conjecture [Ki] asserts that, if $Z$ is any smoothly embedded 2-manifold in the same homology class as $C$, then the genus of $Z$ is at least as big as the genus of $C$, so

$$2g - 2 \geq Z \cdot Z .$$

With Donaldson's introduction of gauge theory into 4-dimensional differential topology, there seems reason to hope that such a conjecture might well be approachable. However, almost the best result to date on questions of this sort is now twenty years old, proved independently by Rohlin [R] and Hsiang and Szczarba [HS]. Their result is not special to complex surfaces, but exploits a branched covering to prove a non-trivial lower bound on the genus whenever the homology class of $Z$ is divisible. Results due to Donaldson do allow an occasional improvement of this lower bound, but this still falls short of (1) by a factor of about 2, even in favourable cases.

A somewhat looser version of the above conjecture has been formulated by Morgan; we adapt it slightly here:

**Conjecture A.** If $X$ is a simply-connected, oriented 4-manifold for which Donaldson's polynomial invariants are defined and non-zero, and if $Z$ is any smoothly embedded, oriented 2-manifold with positive self-intersection, then the genus of $Z$ satisfies the inequality

$$2g - 2 \geq Z \cdot Z .$$

To explain this conjecture we need to recall a few facts about the polynomial invariants [D2]. These are invariants of $X$ which are defined whenever $b^+(X)$ (the dimension of a maximal positive subspace of the intersection form on the second homology) is odd and not less than 3. This is the case, for example, if $X$ is a complex surface whose canonical bundle admits a non-zero holomorphic
section, and it is one of the two key results of [D2] that the invariants are indeed non-zero for such a surface. Thus simply-connected complex surfaces with $p_g > 0$ satisfy the hypotheses of the conjecture. (Here $p_g$, the geometric genus, is the dimension of the space of sections of $K$).

For a complex surface, the condition that $K$ has a section is easily seen to imply that $K \cdot C$ is non-negative whenever $C$ is a curve with positive self-intersection. So Conjecture A is consistent with the adjunction formula and the conjecture (1). It is weaker, in that the delicate term involving the canonical class is missing, and it says nothing about rational surfaces; on the other hand, it is a conjecture which is not restricted to algebraic cycles. An important case in which the two conjectures essentially coincide is when $X$ is a $K3$ surface (a simply-connected surface with trivial canonical class). On account of this case, Conjecture A implies various local versions of (1). Thus it implies that if $B^4$ is a standard ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $C$ is a smooth, plane algebraic curve meeting $\partial B^4$ transversely, then the genus of $C \cap B^4$ is minimal among all 2-manifolds in $B^4$ with the same oriented boundary. This in turn implies, for example, that the unknotting number of the $(p, q)$ torus knot is $\frac{1}{2}(p - 1)(q - 1)$; see [Mi].

Conjecture A is known to hold if the genus of $E$ is 0 or 1; in other words, an embedded sphere or torus cannot have positive self-intersection if $X$ has non-zero polynomial invariants. The fact that a sphere cannot have self-intersection 1 is a special case of the results of [D2] concerning connected sum decompositions; the other cases are due to Morgan, Mrowka and Ruberman [MMR].

The purpose of this article is to outline a proof of Conjecture A, but a proof with a hole. The argument uses gauge theory, but with a modification which makes it a little different from the usual set-up. We consider connections in some auxiliary $SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$ bundle over the manifold, but connections which are defined on the complement of the surface $\Sigma$ and have non-trivial holonomy around the small linking circles of $\Sigma$. Such a set-up has been considered elsewhere, and the similar situation of a punctured 2-manifold is quite well-understood [Se, Si]. For the 4-dimensional case, some important analysis has been done by Sibner and Sibner [SS], but still some things are missing.

The basic properties of the moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections associated to a compact 4-manifold are deduced from a simple package of results. This is the Kuranishi-type deformation theory, built on a particular Fredholm complex combined with the implicit function theorem. This package is missing for the "branched instantons" which we wish to exploit. In Section 2 we shall explain what one would expect of such a package were it to exist in our case. This will be our black box conjecture. Assuming the existence of a black box with the expected properties, we shall deduce Conjecture A.

This is not the place for a very detailed exposition. If the author, or anyone else, succeeds in making the necessary box, then full details will appear. If it turns out that such a box does not exist, then the reader will be glad that he or she did not read a longer paper.

The author wishes to thank Simon Donaldson for his generous help and advice. The key tunnelling trick described in Section 4 was suggested by him. The author must also thank Ed Witten for clearing up some of the author's early misconceptions and confusion concerning these branched instantons. Finally, thanks are due to Tom Mrowka and Carlos Simpson for their help at various times.
2. Connections with Holonomy

For some basic definitions and properties of anti-self-dual connections over 4-manifolds, we refer to [D2] and the references therein. We consider here an extension of the usual constructions which seems naturally suited to the problem at hand. Let $\Sigma$ be a connected, oriented 2-manifold embedded in the oriented, Riemannian 4-manifold $X$, and let $E$ be a hermitian vector bundle over $X \setminus \Sigma$. For the moment we shall take it that $E$ has structure group $SU(2)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all connections $A$ in $E$ (compatible with the $SU(2)$ structure) which are anti-self-dual and have finite action; that is, the curvature $F(A)$ should satisfy

$$*F(A) = -F(A),$$

and

$$\int_{X \setminus \Sigma} |F(A)|^2 < \infty,$$

where $*$ is the Hodge star operator on 2-forms. Let $M$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{N}$ by the action of the automorphisms of the bundle $E$. This $M$ is the moduli space we would like to study. Note that the connections $A$ are defined only on the complement of the surface $\Sigma$ and are anti-self-dual with respect to an incomplete metric.

A local study of such connections was made by Sibner and Sibner in [SS]. Their first result is that the finite action condition alone implies that a connection on $X \setminus \Sigma$ has a well-defined holonomy on the small linking circles. To be precise, choose polar coordinates on a small element of plane normal to $\Sigma$ at a point $\sigma$. Let $h_r$ be the holonomy of the connection around the circle of radius $r$ in this plane. Then the result is that, for almost all $\sigma$, the conjugacy class of $h_r$ has a well-defined limit as $r$ approaches zero, and this limit is independent of the choice of $\sigma$. Thus we can write

$$h_r \sim \exp 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

for some real $\alpha$ in the interval $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. Let us write $M_\alpha$ for the subset of the moduli space $M$ where the holonomy is $\alpha$ in this parametrization.

The first simple point is that the values 0 and 1/2 are very special values for the holonomy. When $\alpha = 0$, the holonomy matrix is the identity; so there is no geometrical obstruction to extending the connection. It follows from the technical result of [SS] that in this case, an anti-self-dual connection $A$ will extend smoothly to all of $X$, or to be more precise, there is a bundle $E_0$ over $X$ with an anti-self-dual connection whose restriction to $X \setminus \Sigma$ is isomorphic to $A$. When $\alpha$ is 1/2, the holonomy is $-1$. In this case, the connection will not necessarily extend as an $SU(2)$ connection, but in the associated $SO(3)$ bundle the holonomy is again trivial; so $A$ extends to a smooth anti-self-dual connection in some $SO(3)$ bundle $E_{1/2}$ over $X$. In this sense then, $M_0$ and $M_{1/2}$ are both ordinary moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections associated to $X$. (We shall generally write $M_E$ for the usual moduli space of anti-self-dual connections on a bundle $E.$)

When $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, there are new phenomena. First, whereas an $SU(2)$ connection on a closed 4-manifold has only one characteristic number, namely the second Chern class $k$, a connection $A$ with holonomy such as we are considering has two invariants. To defines these, first take a small tubular neighbourhood
$N$ of $\Sigma$, and let $Y$ be its boundary, a circle bundle over $\Sigma$. Since the holonomy around the circle fibres is non-trivial, its eigenspaces give a decomposition of $E|_Y$ as a sum of line bundles $L \otimes L^*$. We take $L$ to be the eigen-bundle corresponding to the eigenvalue $e^{-2\pi i \alpha}$. On each circle fibre, we can choose a trivialization of $E$ for which the $\theta$ component of the connection is approximately

$$A_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix} \, d \theta.$$ 

This preferred trivialization on the fibres allows us to extend $L \otimes L^*$, in an essentially unique way, as a topological bundle on $N$. Thus we get an extension $\tilde{E}$ of $E$ to all of $X$, and a decomposition of $\tilde{E}|_\Sigma$ as a sum of line bundles. We define

$$k = c_2(\tilde{E})[X],$$

$$l = -c_1(L)[\Sigma],$$

and call these the *instanton* and *monopole* number (the latter by analogy with the topology of monopole solutions on 3-space; see [A]).

Given suitable regularity of the connection near the surface, we can also define $k$ and $l$ by a formula of Chern-Weil type, which involves some correction terms due to the non-trivial topology of the neighbourhood. Near $\Sigma$, we should expect the curvature $F(A)$ to be approximately abelian, since the subbundle $L$ on $Y$ should be asymptotically parallel. If this is true to a sufficient degree, we can write

$$F(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega$ having a well-defined limit on $\Sigma$, in which case

$$k = -2\alpha l + \alpha^2 \cdot \Sigma + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \setminus \Sigma} \text{Tr}(F \wedge F),$$

and

$$l = \alpha \Sigma \cdot \Sigma - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \omega.$$ 

We shall write $M_{a,k,l}$ for the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections with holonomy $\alpha$, instanton number $k$ and monopole number $l$. Our definition makes it, at present, just a set. We can topologize it, mimicking [T2] for example, but it is not clear what sort of a space would result. The rest of this article will be largely based on the assumption that, for a generic choice of Riemannian metric on $X$, the moduli space $M_{a,k,l}$ will be (except at the reducible or flat connections) a smooth manifold whose dimension is given by the formula

$$d = 8k - 3(b^+ - b^1 + 1) + 4l - (2g - 2).$$

The first two terms here, involving the 4-dimensional characteristic number of the bundle and the topology of $X$, are familiar as the dimension of the ordinary moduli spaces associated to a closed 4-manifold. The remaining terms, $4l - (2g - 2)$, involve the surface. The formula is motivated by consideration of parabolic bundles on a complex surface, as is explained in the next section. For the moment, it might be helpful to note that in the case that $\Sigma$ is $S^2$ contained in $S^4$ and $k$ is zero, the formula gives $4l - 1$, in accordance with the dimension of the moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles; see [A] again.
The arguments we shall use later will assume a little more. We wish to consider the moduli spaces $M_{a,k}$ as a family as $a$ varies. Let us write $M_{*,k,l}$ for the union of $M_{a,k}$ as $a$ runs through $(0, \frac{1}{2})$. We cannot expect that, for a given metric, all the $M_{a,k,l}$ will be smooth manifolds, but we can hope that the union $M_{*,k,l}$ is a manifold with a smooth map to to the half-interval:

**Conjecture (Black Box).** For a generic choice of Riemannian metric on $X$, the space $M_{*,k,l}$ is, except at the reducible or flat connections, a smooth manifold of dimension $d + 1$, with $d$ as shown in (3), and the map $a : M_\ast \to (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is smooth. The usual transversality and weak compactness arguments, as applied to the usual moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections, carry over to this case.

It is on the basis of this conjecture, and one other described in Section 3, that we shall deduce Conjecture A. The conjecture is, necessarily, loosely phrased. Indeed, it would not be sufficient for our application if the conjecture were correct only by some accident. We have in mind that $M_a$ should be generically a manifold for the same reasons that the usual moduli spaces $M_E$ are, and that as $a$ varies, $M_a$ should behave rather as the ordinary moduli spaces do when the Riemannian metric varies in a 1-parameter family [D2]. The principal thing is that there should be a deformation theory describing the local structure of $M_a$, based on a Fredholm complex. Smoothness and compactness are secondary matters which should follow from standard techniques if the primary machinery is in place. In particular, the results of [SS] are what is needed for a weak compactness theorem along the lines of the essential theorem of Uhlenbeck [U]. Here there will be differences, though, since there will be a bubbling off phenomenon in which both the instanton and monopole number change in the weak limit. Detailed information concerning the possibilities is not important to our argument, but we do need to know that the limiting connection lives in a moduli space of smaller dimension.

### 3. Parabolic Bundles

Suppose $X$ is a Kähler surface and $\Sigma \subset X$ is a smooth curve. By a parabolic $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-bundle on $X$ we shall mean a rank-2 holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E} \to X$ with $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}$ trivial, together with a holomorphic line sub-bundle $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{E}|_\Sigma$. Pick a positive real number $a$ and define the $\alpha$-degree of a rank-1 subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ by the formula

$$\deg_{a}(\mathcal{F}) = \langle c_1(\mathcal{F}), [\omega] \rangle \pm a \langle [\Sigma], [\omega] \rangle,$$

where $\omega$ is the Kähler form. Here the sign is to be $+$ if $\mathcal{F}|_\Sigma$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}$ and is to be $-$ otherwise. Define $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$ to be $a$-stable if $\deg_{a}(\mathcal{F})$ is strictly negative for every such subsheaf.

**Conjecture (Parabolic Bundles).** If $\Sigma$ is a smooth curve in a Kähler surface $X$ and $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$, then the set of irreducible connections $M_{*,k,l}^{\text{HT}} \subset M_{a,k,l}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of $a$-stable parabolic bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$ with $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = k$ and $c_1(\mathcal{L})[\Sigma] = -l$.

This result is the expected analogue of the theorem of [D1] relating anti-self-dual connections to stable bundles on a complex surface. The terminology
'parabolic' is taken from [Se] which contains a corresponding result in complex dimension 1. An analytic proof of the result of [Se], together with a large part of what is necessary for the higher-dimensional case, is contained in [Si].

The above conjecture motivates everything else in this paper, but our later arguments are not logically dependent on this general result and will appeal to only one particular case, that of a ruled surface. To be precise, let \( N \rightarrow \Sigma \) be a holomorphic line bundle of positive degree, and let \( X \) be the \( \mathbb{CP}^1 \) bundle obtained by adding a section at infinity. Let \( \Sigma \) be embedded in \( X \) as the zero section. We shall assume the truth of the parabolic bundles conjecture for this pair \((X, \Sigma)\) in the case \( k = 0 \).

There are actually a couple of points which make this case technically a little easier. One is the circle symmetry in \( X \), another is the local product structure near \( E \). Exploiting these and the results of [Si], the author has no difficulty in constructing the map from parabolic bundles to \( \mathcal{M}_{a,0,l} \). Surjectivity is still in question.

### 4. Outline of the Proof

Assuming the package of results in the black box, and assuming also the parabolic bundles conjecture in the case of the ruled surface described above, let us deduce Conjecture A.

For ease of exposition, we shall first treat a slightly simplified situation; the proof will occupy this section and the next. The necessary modifications for the general case are described in Section 6. For the simplified argument, we shall suppose that there is an \( SO(3) \) bundle \( E \) over \( X \), with \( w_2(E) \) non-zero, such that the (ordinary) moduli space of anti-self-dual connections \( M_E \) is a finite set of points, cut out transversely by the anti-self-duality equations. The number of points in this moduli space, counted with sign according to their orientation, is a simple example of one of the polynomial invariants of \( X \). We shall denote this number by \( q \) and we shall suppose it is non-zero.

Concerning \( E \), we shall assume that its genus is even and that \( w_2(E) \) is non-zero on \( \Sigma \). This will simplify the excision argument in the following section. We assume also that there is an integer homology class \( \eta \) in \( X \setminus \Sigma \) on which \( w_2(E) \) is again non-zero. Finally, we shall suppose that \( \Sigma \cdot \Sigma \) is square-free. The point of this condition is that it ensures that \( \pi_1(X \setminus \Sigma) \) has no non-trivial representations in \( SO(3) \), as can be deduced from the Chern-Weil formulae for \( k \) and \( l \) in the case that the curvature terms vanish.

The assumptions on \( \Sigma \) which we have just listed do not involve a loss of generality. If \( \Sigma_0 \) is any surface which satisfies the hypotheses of Conjecture A but violates the inequality which is the conclusion of that conjecture, then we can soon construct many other surfaces with the same property, and in particular, we can find surfaces \( \Sigma \) which satisfy the additional hypotheses of the previous paragraph. The trick is to take \( n \) nearby copies of \( \Sigma_0 \), intersecting transversely with positively-oriented intersection points, and then replace the intersection points with small handles. This gives a surface representing the class \( n[\Sigma_0] \) which will violate the inequality of Conjecture A by a margin which is \( n \) times larger. We can then form a connected sum of this new surface with any other surface \( T \) disjoint from \( \Sigma_0 \) in \( X \), to obtain a representative of \([T] + n[\Sigma_0]\) which still violates Conjecture A once \( n \) is large.
We shall take for granted the slight modification of the contents of the previous sections which is necessary to include the case of $SO(3)$ bundles. The difference from the $SU(2)$ case is the presence of the Steifel Whitney class; we shall not build $w_2(E)$ into our notation for the moduli spaces, but shall take it that $M_{a,k,l}$ denotes now the moduli spaces of connections with the same $w_2$ as $E$ on $X \setminus \Sigma$. The instanton number now lives in $\mathbb{Z}/4$, and the monopole number $l$ will be a half-integer if $w_2(E)$ is non-zero on $\Sigma$.

After these preliminaries, we can begin the proof. Let $k$ be the instanton number of $E$ and consider the corresponding moduli spaces $M_{a,k,l}$. We shall consider a special value for the monopole number: we take

$$l = \frac{1}{4}(2g - 2).$$

(4)

The significance of this choice is that the dimension of $M_{a,k,l}$ is now the same as the dimension of the ordinary moduli space $M_E$: the terms from $\Sigma$ in the dimension formula (3) cancel out. Note that since $g$ is even, $l$ is a half-integer, as it should be when $w_2(E)$ is non-zero on $\Sigma$.

Since, the dimension of $M_E$ is zero, so too is the dimension of $M_{a,k,l}$. The latter will be generically an oriented 0-manifold to which we can attach an integer by counting the points with their signs (here we appeal to the compactness assertion in the black box). Further, the family $M_{*,k,l}$ gives a compact (again), oriented, 1-dimensional cobordism between any two of these 0-manifolds, so the integer we obtain is independent of $\alpha$. Let us call this integer $p$. (It is important here that $b^+ \geq 3$, to avoid reducible connections in this one-dimensional family). To prove Conjecture A, we will calculate $p$ in two different ways, using the limiting behaviour as $\alpha$ approaches first 0 and then $1/2$.

The first is technically the harder of the two in our case. We shall argue, using small values of $\alpha$, that

$$p = 2^g q.$$

So, roughly speaking, every point of the original moduli space $M_E$ gives rise to $2^g$ points in $M_{a,k,l}$, counted algebraically, when $\alpha$ is small. The origin of the number $2^g$ is in the following result.

**Proposition.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a stable rank-2 holomorphic bundle on a curve $\Sigma$. Assume that the degree of $\mathcal{E}$ is even if the genus of $\Sigma$ is odd, and that the degree is odd otherwise. Let $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \deg(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{4}(2g - 2)$. Then generically the bundle has precisely $2^g$ holomorphic line sub-bundles of degree $\lambda$. Here the word generic refers to a Zariski open set in the set of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \Sigma)$.

For the proof, the Riemann-Roch theorem for families shows that $2^g$ is the right number: one applies the theorem to the family of bundles $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$ as $\mathcal{L}$ runs through the Jacobian of line bundles of the given degree. It remains to show that the generically expected behaviour does occur, and for this one can consider a particularly degenerate curve, such as a sphere intersecting $g$ tori, for which the problem is easy to analyse.

The idea here is that the above proposition tells us that $p = 2^g q$ in the case that $\Sigma$ is a complex curve in a complex surface, provided we accept the conjecture of Section 3 concerning parabolic bundles. The proposition tells us that, when $l$ is given by (4), the moduli space of parabolic bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$ generically covers the ordinary moduli space of bundles $2^g$ times (though there are some provisos here, to do with stability). Of course, the point is precisely that our 2-manifold $\Sigma$ is not holomorphic; nevertheless, we can use an excision argument, based on
the fact that a neighbourhood of $\Sigma$ can always be given a complex structure, to show that the conclusion $p = 2^g q$ is valid generally. Some details of the excision argument are given in the next section.

We have not yet referred to the inequality $2g - 2 \geq \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$, but consider now what happens as $\alpha$ approaches $1/2$. As we said in Section 2, when $\alpha$ is $1/2$ we can identify the moduli space $M_{1/2,k,l}$ with an ordinary moduli space $M_{E_{1/2}}$ for some $SO(3)$ bundle $E_{1/2}$. This bundle is different from the $E$; it differs by a sort of twist along $\Sigma$, and its topology depends on $l$. The essential piece of information is the formula which relates the instanton number of $E_{1/2}$ to the old $k$ and $l$: a short calculation gives

$$k(E_{1/2}) = k(E) + l - \frac{1}{4} \Sigma \cdot \Sigma.$$ 

(Compare this with (2) when $\alpha = 1/2$). If we recall that $l$ is given by (4), we see that in our case the difference in the instanton numbers is a quarter of $(2g - 2) - \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$, which is precisely the number whose sign we are interested in.

Thus if the inequality of Conjecture A is violated, then $k(E_{1/2})$ is less than $k(E)$. Since the dimension of $M_{E}$ is zero, this means that $M_{E_{1/2}}$ will have negative dimension and will therefore be empty. (Here again we use the fact that $w_2$ is non-zero, to rule out the possibility that the moduli space contains the trivial flat connection.) This would mean, however, that $p$ must be zero. For otherwise the moduli spaces $M_{(1/2-\epsilon),k,l}$ are non-empty for all $\epsilon > 0$, and as $\epsilon$ goes to zero, Uhlenbeck's compactness theorem gives a limiting anti-self-dual connection which is either in $M_{E_{1/2}}$ (if there is no bubbling off) or in some moduli space of still more negative dimension (if some action is lost in the limit). Thus we have obtained a contradiction, since $p = 2^g q$, and $q$ was supposed to be non-zero.

5. Excision

Excision arguments have become a flexible tool to analyse moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections. Necessary ingredients are described and used in [T1, T2, Mr] and [MMR].

Consider deforming the Riemannian metric on $X$ so that a collar of the boundary, $Y$, of the tubular neighbourhood $N \supset \Sigma$ is stretched out into a long cylinder $Y \times [-T, T]$. Let $M(T)$ be the corresponding moduli space of anti-self-dual connections in $E$. This contains $q$ points, counted with sign. As $T$ goes to infinity, connections in $M(T)$ converge weakly to give us connections on the disjoint union $X' \cup N'$, where these two are non-compact manifolds, with cylindrical ends isometric to $Y \times \mathbb{R}^+$. In our case, a simple dimension count shows that the limiting connection on $N'$ must be flat, and the limiting connection on $X'$ must have total action $k$ (no action is lost). On the end of $X'$, the connection will approach a flat connection on $Y$, and if we recall that $w_2(E)$ is non-zero on $N$, we see that this flat connection $q$ must be the pull-back of a flat $SO(3)$ connection on $\Sigma$ with odd $w_2$. Write $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}$ for the space of these flat connections; it is a smooth manifold since all these connections are irreducible.

In the other direction, given an anti-self-dual connection in $E$ over $X'$ with action $k$, asymptotic to a flat connection from $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}$, we can “glue” it to the corresponding flat connection on $N'$ to obtain a connection on $X$. The analysis of this gluing is simplified by the fact $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}$ is smooth. It can thus be shown that, when counted with signs, the number of such connections on $X'$ is the same
integer \(q\). Let us write these connections as \(A_1, \ldots, A_r\), and let \(q_1, \ldots, q_t\) be the limiting elements of \(\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}\).

So far, this has been for the ordinary connections, without holonomy around \(\Sigma\). Consider now the moduli space of connections on \(N' \setminus \Sigma\) which have holonomy \(\alpha\) and monopole number given by (4). There is a component of this moduli space which has the the same dimension as \(\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}\) (which can be regarded as a moduli space of flat connections on \(N')\) and in which every connection is asymptotic to some element of \(\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}}\) on the end. Calling this moduli space \(M_\alpha(N')\), we have a smooth map \(r : M_\alpha(N') \to \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{odd}},\) given by this limiting connection [T2]. Although the former space is not compact due to possible bubbling off of monopoles, the weak compactness theorem will guarantee that \(r\) has a well-defined degree, say \(D\), provided \(\alpha\) is small. (For larger \(\alpha\), the degree may jump, on account of reducible connections.) Using Proposition 6.4 from [T2], we can ensure that the connections \(Q_j\) are regular values for \(r\); so on \(N'\) there will be exactly \(D\) anti-self-dual connections with holonomy \(\alpha\) asymptotic to each \(q_t\).

For small \(\alpha\), the analysis of the ordinary case goes through, and shows that the anti-self-dual connections with holonomy on \(E\) over \(X\) can be regarded as being obtained by gluing one of the connections \(A_i\) on \(X'\) to one of the connections of \(M_\alpha(N')\). Whereas in the original set-up each \(A_i\) could be glued to just one flat connection on \(N'\), now there are \(D\) possibilities for each (counted algebraically). Thus we see that the total number of instantons with holonomy \(\alpha\) (the number we called \(p\)) is equal to \(D\) times \(q\).

The important thing we have gained now is the information that \(D\) depends only on the neighbourhood of \(\Sigma\), not on the geometry of the rest of \(X\); this is the excision principle. We can therefore calculate this covering degree using any convenient model. The simplest thing is the ruled surface described in Section 3, where the answer comes out as \(2^g\), for small \(\alpha\), by virtue of the proposition from Section 4 and the conjecture on parabolic bundles.

6. The General Case and Further Comments

For the general case we must start with an \(SU(2)\) bundle, not an \(SO(3)\) bundle. We therefore arrange that \(g\) is odd. Our original moduli space \(M_E\) will not be zero-dimensional, but as in [D2] we can cut down the moduli space by divisors \(V_j\) corresponding to 2-dimensional homology classes \(\beta_j\) in \(X\). We choose the \(\beta_j\) so that they are orthogonal to \([\Sigma]\) in \(H_2(X)\) and are therefore supported in \(X \setminus \Sigma\). Provided we are in the stable range [D2], we can cut down the moduli space to a finite set of points whose number (counted algebraically) is an invariant of \(X\) and the chosen homology classes: this is the definition of the polynomial invariants.

Everything can be carried through for these cut-down moduli spaces. Being in the stable range means that simple dimension-counting arguments ensure the compactness which the non-zero Stieffel-Whitney class previously provided (see [D2] again). The only technical difference is in the excision step, where we are now forced to deal with \(\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)_{\text{even}}\). Since this space contains reducible connections, we need to be sure that, after deformation, we can arrange for the flat connections \(q_t\) involved in the gluing to be in the irreducible part. For this step, the positive sign of the self-intersection of \(\Sigma\) puts us in a good situation (see [T2], Proposition 12.2).
At the end of the day, the argument shows that the polynomial invariants vanish on the orthogonal complement of $[\Sigma]$ if the surface contradicts the inequality of the conjecture. But since infinitely many pairwise-independent homology classes can be represented by surfaces contradicting the inequality (see the previous section), it follows that the invariant must vanish identically.

In a more general setting, it seems that the ideas of this paper, if they can be carried through, would be useful for establishing relations between the polynomial invariant associated to a bundle $E_0$ and that associated to a corresponding twisted bundle $E_{1/2}$; each choice of $l$ would give some relation. To calculate these, one first needs to know the analogue of the proposition of Section 4, for different values of the monopole number. For example, if $\mu$ is greater than the value $\lambda$ given in that proposition, then only special rank-2 bundles $E$ admit line sub-bundles of degree $\mu$. This condition defines a subvariety of complex codimension $2(\mu - \lambda)$ in the moduli space of stable bundles over $\Sigma$, and one would need to know its dual cohomology class in terms of standard generators. Via the excision argument, this sort of information establishes the relationship between $M_0$ and $M_{\alpha}$ for small $\alpha$, with similar considerations at $\alpha = 1/2$.
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§ 1. Introduction

A symplectic structure on a 2n-dimensional manifold $V$ is a 2-form $\omega$ which is closed and non-degenerate, that is, $d\omega = 0$ and $\omega^n$ does not vanish. The main example is the standard form

$$\omega_0 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dx_3 \wedge dx_4 + \cdots + dx_{2n-1} \wedge dx_{2n}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Darboux showed that every symplectic form is locally diffeomorphic to $\omega_0$. Thus symplectic manifolds, in contrast to Riemannian manifolds, are all locally isomorphic. We are concerned here with questions of their global structure.

When $n = 1$ a symplectic form is just an area form, and the corresponding global topology is well-understood. For example, the existence problem is trivial: clearly, if $V$ is compact, orientable and connected, it has a symplectic structure in each non-zero class in $H^2(V; \mathbb{R})$. The uniqueness problem is almost as easy, since Moser [M] showed that an area form (or, in higher dimensions, a volume form) is determined up to isotopy by its cohomology class. (Two forms $\omega_0$ and $\omega_1$ on $V$ are said to be isotopic if there is a family $g_t$ of diffeomorphisms of $V$ such that $g_0 = \text{id.}$ and $g_1^*(\omega_0) = \omega_1$. They are symplectomorphic if $g_1^*(\omega_0) = \omega_1$ for some diffeomorphism $g_1$.) However, in dimensions $> 2$, these questions are far from being understood.

This paper will describe selected topics in the 4-dimensional case, concentrating on my own work. As we shall see, there are situations in which a symplectic form is determined up to symplectomorphism by its cohomology class. For example, Gromov's celebrated uniqueness theorem says that this holds for symplectic forms on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ which are non-degenerate on some embedded 2-sphere in the homology class of $\mathbb{C}P^1$. However, this does not solve the problem of describing all symplectic structures on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ since it is unknown whether this condition holds for every symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Almost all the results mentioned below use Gromov's technique of $J$-holomorphic spheres. They exploit the almost complex structures associated to a symplectic structure, and so emphasise the similarities between Kähler, complex and symplectic geometry. However, symplectic geometry cannot always be derived from Kähler (or even complex) geometry. For example, there are many non-Kähler
symplectic 4-manifolds. (There are even symplectic 4-manifolds which admit no complex structure: see [FGG].) There is also an example in [McD7] of a symplectic 4-manifold which has a disconnected “symplectically convex” boundary. By contrast, any complex manifold with pseudo-convex boundary must have connected boundary. So far, the geometric significance of a symplectic manifold being Kähler is not well understood. It would be very interesting to have some answers to the following questions:

1.1 *Is every simply-connected symplectic 4-manifold Kähler?*

This is not true in dimensions \( \geq 10 \) by [McD1].

1.2 *Is every symplectic form on a 4-manifold determined up to symplectomorphism (or even isotopy) by its cohomology class?*

This is not true in dimension 8, and in dimension 6 there are examples of symplectomorphic but non-isotopic forms: see [McD2].

1.3 *Which 4-manifolds admit a symplectic structure?*

Very little is known about this unless \( V \) is a fibration or the form \( \omega \) is invariant under a non-trivial \( S^1 \) action (see [Au, AH]).

§ 2. *J-Holomorphic Curves in Almost Complex 4-Manifolds*

Because the linear symplectic group deformation retracts onto the unitary group, there is a homotopy class of almost complex structures \( J \) associated to a symplectic form \( \omega \). (Recall that an almost complex structure \( J \) on \( V \) is an automorphism of the tangent bundle \( TV \) of \( V \) such that \( J^2 = -Id \). Thus \( TV \) may be considered as a complex \( n \)-dimensional vector bundle, with \( J \) corresponding to multiplication by \( i \).) When \( n = 1 \), every almost complex structure is integrable, that is, it comes from an underlying complex structure on \( V \). This fact is one of the reasons why \( J \)-holomorphic curves have such nice properties.

Gromov realised that one could get a handle on the geometry of a symplectic manifold by considering properties of a more restricted family of almost complex structures on \( V \), namely the set \( \mathcal{J}(\omega) \) of all \( J \) such that \( \omega(v, Jv) > 0 \) for all non-zero \( v \in TV \). Such \( J \) are said to be \( \omega \)-tame. Recall from [G1] that the set \( \mathcal{J}(\omega) \) is non-empty and contractible.

A map \( f \) from a Riemann surface \((S, J_0) \) to \((V, J) \) is said to be \( J \)-holomorphic if \( f \) satisfies the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equation \( df \circ J_0 = J \circ df \). Because this equation is elliptic, the space \( M_p(J, A) \), of all \( J \)-holomorphic maps \( f \) which have a fixed compact domain \( S \) and represent a fixed homology class \( A \), is a finite dimensional manifold for generic \( J \). Moreover, if \( J \) is \( \omega \)-tame, the quotient of this space by the automorphism group \( G = \text{Aut}(S) \) of \((S, J_0) \) is either compact, or has a nice compactification. In fact, as the following proposition shows, homological conditions are often enough to guarantee compactness. For simplicity, we state a result about rational curves, i.e. curves \( C = f(S) \) which are \( J \)-holomorphic images.
of spheres. The first Chern class of the complex vector bundle \((TV, J)\) will be denoted by \(c\). A proof may be found in \([G1]\) or \([McD4]\).

**Theorem 2.1** \([G1]\). Suppose that \(\omega\) is integral (i.e. \([\omega] \in H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})\)) and that \(\omega(A) = 1\). Then, for generic \(\omega\)-tame \(J\), the moduli space \(M_p(J, A)/G\) of rational \(A\)-curves is a compact manifold of dimension \(2(c(A) + n - 3)\). Moreover, the bordism class of the evaluation map

\[
e(J): M_p(J, A) \times G \to S^2 \to V
\]

given by \((f, z) \mapsto f(z)\) is independent of \(J \in \mathcal{J}(\omega)\).

This result already has many interesting consequences: see \([G1, 2]\) for example. In dimension 4 the theory is much sharper because, as the following results show, the geometric behaviour of the curves is governed by their homology classes.

**Theorem 2.2. Positivity of Intersections** \(([G1])\). Let \(C\) and \(C'\) be distinct closed \(J\)-holomorphic curves in the almost complex 4-manifold \(V\). Then \(C\) and \(C'\) have only a finite number of intersection points. Each such point \(x\) contributes a number \(k_x \geq 1\) to the algebraic intersection number \(C \cdot C'\). Moreover, \(k_x = 1\) iff the curves \(C\) and \(C'\) intersect transversally at \(x\).

In particular, the curves \(C\) and \(C'\) are disjoint iff \(C \cdot C' = 0\). This result is almost obvious if neither curve is singular at the point of intersection. The best way to prove the result in general is to perturb the curves so that their intersections avoid the singular points: see \([McD8]\). The next result gives a homological criterion for a curve to be embedded. By analogy with the integrable case, we define the virtual genus \(g(C)\) of a closed curve \(C\) in an almost complex 4-manifold to be the number

\[
g(C) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(C \cdot C - c(C)).
\]

If \(C\) is an embedded copy of a closed Riemann surface \(S\), it is easy to check that the virtual genus \(g(C)\) equals the genus \(g_0\) of \(S\). Conversely:

**Theorem 2.3** \([McD5]\). Let \(C \subset V^4\) be the \(J\)-holomorphic image of a closed Riemann surface \(S\) of genus \(g_0\). Then \(g(C)\) is an integer which is greater than or equal to \(g_0\), with equality if and only if \(C\) is embedded.

The crucial point here is that one can define for each point \(x \in C = \text{Im} \, f\) a local self-intersection number \(L\). \(\text{Int.}(f, x)\) of \(\text{Im} \, f\) at \(x\). One proves that this is always \(\geq 0\), and is strictly \(> 0\) iff \(x\) is a singular point of \(f\). In fact, it is not hard to see that one can choose local coordinates near \(x \in V\) so that the lowest order terms in the Taylor expansion of \(f\) when regarded as a polynomial in \(z\) and \(\bar{z}\) involve only \(z\). More precisely, one can suppose that \(f: D \to \mathbb{C}^2\) has the form

\[
z \mapsto (z^k, z^m) + \text{terms in } z, \bar{z} \text{ of order } > m,
\]

where \(m > k \geq 1\) and \(k\) does not divide \(m\). (Here, \(D\) is the unit disc in \(\mathbb{C}\), and we identify a neighbourhood of \(x\) in \(V\) with a neighbourhood of \(\{0\}\) in \(\mathbb{C}^2\) in such a way that \(J\) corresponds to an almost complex structure on \(\mathbb{C}^2\), which equals the
standard almost complex structure $J_0$ at $\{0\}$. If $m$ and $k$ are mutually prime, it is easy to see that $L.\text{Int.}(f, x) = L.\text{Int.}(f_0, x) = (m - 1)(k - 1)$, where $f_0(z) = (z^k, z^m)$. In general, the proof of Theorem 2.3 involves perturbing $f$, using the techniques of Nijenhuis and Woolf. A more detailed analysis of the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equation shows that the jet of the $J$-holomorphic map at a critical point is dominated by its $J_0$-holomorphic part. More precisely,

**Theorem 2.4 [McD8].** Let $f : (D, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a $J$-holomorphic map, where $J$ is an almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2$ which equals the standard structure $J_0$ at $\{0\}$. Then there is a $J_0$-holomorphic map $f_0$ such that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the knots formed by intersecting $f(D)$ and $f_0(D)$ with the sphere $S^3(\varepsilon)$ of radius $\varepsilon$ about $\{0\}$ are isotopic.

§ 3. Applications to Symplectic 4-Manifolds; Uniqueness Results

In order to apply this theory one needs to know that the bordism class of the evaluation map $e(J)$ of Theorem 2.1 is non-trivial. For example, suppose that there is a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $C$ in $V^4$. It is not hard to see that $C$ can be parametrized in such a way that it is $J$-holomorphic for some $\omega$-tame $J$. If in addition the self-intersection number $p = C \cdot C$ is $\geq -1$ one can show that that the corresponding moduli space is generically non-empty. Thus $V$ contains a $2(p + 1)$-dimensional family of (unparametrized) curves which may be used to analyse the structure of $V$.

The case $p = -1$ is rather special since then, by Theorem 2.2, there is at most one $J$-holomorphic curve in each homology class. Such an embedded 2-sphere is said to be an exceptional sphere. By analogy with the complex case, a symplectic 4-manifold which contains no exceptional spheres is said to be minimal.

The following results describe all minimal symplectic 4-manifolds which contain a symplectic 2-sphere with non-negative self-intersection.

**Theorem 3.1 [McD6].** Let $(V, \omega)$ be a closed symplectic 4-manifold $(V, \omega)$ which contains a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $C$ with $C \cdot C = 0$. Suppose further that $(V - C, \omega)$ is minimal. Then $(V, \omega)$ is ruled, that is, there is a fibration $\pi : V \to M$ whose fibers are symplectically embedded 2-spheres, one of which we may assume to be $C$. Moreover the symplectic form $\omega$ is determined up to symplectomorphism by its cohomology class.

**Sketch of proof.** Let $A$ be the homology class of $C$. One first shows that, for any $\omega$-tame $J$, the set of $A$-curves may be compactified by adding "$A$-cusp-curves". These must consist of two exceptional curves joined at one point, and, because $A \cdot A = 0$, must be disjoint from all $J$-holomorphic $A$-curves by Theorem 2.2. Therefore, if $J$ is chosen so that $C$ is $J$-holomorphic, the minimality of $V - C$ implies that the moduli space of $A$-curves is compact. Using Theorem 2.2 again, one sees that there is at most one $A$-curve through each point. It follows that the evaluation map $e(J)$ has degree 1, and that there is exactly one curve through each point. Now observe
that these curves are embedded by Theorem 2.3. With a little more work, one can show that they form the fibers of a fibration.

To prove the uniqueness statement, one first reduces to the case $V = S^2 \times S^2$ by some cutting and pasting. Next one constructs a symplectic section of $V$ in the class $B = [pt. \times S^2]$. We are now in a situation considered by Gromov. Since $B \cdot B = 0$, the $B$-curves also fiber $V$. Thus there is a symplectomorphism from $(V, \omega)$ to $(S^2 \times S^2, \varphi)$ where $\varphi$ is non-degenerate on all the slices $pt. \times S^2$ and $S^2 \times pt$. The result now follows from:

**Lemma 3.2 [K].** Suppose that $\omega$ is a symplectic form on the product $M' \times M''$ of two compact 2-manifolds which is non-degenerate on all the slices $M' \times pt.$ and $pt. \times M''$. Then $\omega$ is isotopic to a product form.

**Proof.** This is a straightforward calculation.

An explicit description of all possible symplectic ruled 4-manifolds is given in [McD6] §4. Basically, one gets all the Kähler ruled surfaces considered as symplectic manifolds, i.e. one forgets the complex structure, since this is not relevant. However, note that when $V$ is a non-trivial bundle the cohomology classes $a = [\omega]$ which can be realised in this way satisfy the condition $(a(C))^2 < a^2(V)$, and so do not include every class $a$ with $a^2(V) > 0$. Note also that $(V, \omega)$ is itself minimal unless $V$ is the non-trivial bundle over $S^2$, in which case $(V, \omega)$ is $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up at one point with a standard Kähler form.

There is a corresponding and even simpler result when the sphere has positive self-intersection. It is the symplectic analogue of the fact that the only minimal complex surfaces which contain a rational curve of positive self-intersection are $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$.

**Theorem 3.3 [McD6].** Let $(V, \omega)$ be a closed minimal symplectic 4-manifold $(V, \omega)$ which contains a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $C$ with $p = C \cdot C > 0$. Then $(V, \omega)$ is symplectomorphic either to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with its standard Kähler form or to $S^2 \times S^2$ with a product form. In the former case $p$ must equal 1 or 4 and $C$ is either $\mathbb{C}P^1$ or a quadric, and in the latter case $p$ is even, and $C$ is the graph of a holomorphic self-map of $S^2$.

We will see in §4 below that, just as in the complex case, one can get rid of exceptional spheres by blowing them down. Thus these two theorems classify all symplectic 4-manifolds which contain a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $C$ with $C \cdot C \geq 0$, modulo the question of the uniqueness of blow ups. They generalize and sharpen uniqueness results which Gromov obtained in [G1] for the manifolds $S^2 \times S^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2$. One expects that there are corresponding results for manifolds which contain a symplectically embedded 2-manifold $M$ of higher genus, provided that $M \cdot M$ (or equivalently, $c(M)$) is sufficiently large to guarantee that the index (i.e. formal dimension of the corresponding moduli space) is positive. But it is unknown what happens if $M \cdot M$ is small. For example, if $\omega$ is a symplectic form on
$T^2 \times S^2$ which is non-degenerate on one slice $T^2 \times \text{pt.}$, it is not known whether $\omega$ must be symplectomorphic to a product form.

Note. These uniqueness results all derive from considering families of $J$-holomorphic spheres, and so apply only to 4-manifolds which contain such spheres. In some circumstances it is better to consider $J$-holomorphic discs whose boundary is constrained to lie on a 2-manifold $M$ which is totally real, i.e. $J(T_xM) \cap T_xM = \{0\}$, except at isolated points. This approach yields the sharpest results when $M$ is a 2-sphere which is contained in a $J$-convex hypersurface: see [E, B]. Note that $M$ need not be symplectic.

§ 4. Blowing Up and Down, and Embeddings of Balls

In the category of complex manifolds, there is a well-known operation of blowing up a point in which one replaces a point $x$ by the space of all complex lines through $x$. More formally, one cuts out a neighbourhood of $x$ which is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ and glues back in a copy of the total space $L$ of the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ by identifying the complement of the zero section in $L$ with the deleted neighbourhood $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ of $x$. Thus the point $x$ is replaced by an “exceptional divisor” which is a copy of $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ with normal bundle $L$. When $n = 2$, this divisor is simply an embedded rational curve $C$ with $C \cdot C = -1$. The converse process of “blowing down” replaces an exceptional divisor by a point.

In the symplectic case, when one blows down an exceptional divisor $P$ one gets not a point but a ball $B(\lambda)$ whose radius $\lambda$ is related to the cohomology class of $\omega$ by the formula $\pi \lambda^2 = \omega(A)$, where $A$ is the positive generator of $H_2(P; \mathbb{Z})$. Conversely, in order to “blow up a point” of $(V, \omega)$ one chooses a symplectic embedding $g$ of $B = B(\lambda)$ into $V$, cuts out the interior of the ball $g(B)$ and then forms the blow up $\tilde{V}$ by identifying the boundary sphere $g(\partial B)$ with $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ via the Hopf map and smoothing the result: see [McD3]. It is not hard to check that $\omega$ induces a symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\tilde{V}$. Note that $\tilde{V}$ is diffeomorphic to the manifold obtained by putting any integrable tame almost complex structure on a neighbourhood of some point $x \in V$, and then blowing $V$ up at $x$. The point of the above description is that it gives the construction of $\tilde{\omega}$.

Clearly, by successive blowing down of a finite sequence of exceptional divisors one can make any manifold minimal, i.e. such that it does not contain any exceptional divisors. When $n = 2$, one can use the theory of $J$-holomorphic curves to control the exceptional divisors and hence can show:

Theorem 4.1 [McD3]. Every symplectic 4-manifold $(V, \omega)$ covers a minimal symplectic manifold $(V', \omega')$ which may be obtained from $V$ by blowing down a finite collection of disjoint exceptional curves. Moreover, $(V', \omega')$ is determined up to symplectomorphism by the homology classes of the blown down curves.

Another important question is that of the uniqueness of blow ups. Not much is known about this except when one blows up one point of the standard $\mathbb{C}P^2$. In this
case, the resulting manifold \((X, \omega)\) is the non-trivial \(S^2\) bundle over \(S^2\). Moreover, it is not hard to show that \(X\) contains a symplectically embedded 2-sphere \(C\) with \(C \cdot C = 0\). Hence the theory of §3 applies, and one can show that all cohomologous forms are symplectomorphic. As a corollary, one finds:

**Theorem 4.2 [McD3]**. For each \(\lambda < 1\), the space of symplectic embeddings of the ball \(B(\lambda)\) into the open unit ball in \(\mathbb{C}^2\) is connected.

The analogous statement is true if the target space is the standard \(\mathbb{C}P^2\). However, this result is surprisingly delicate, and it is unclear if this holds for any other target space, even those as simple as \(S^2 \times S^2\) or a convex subset of \(\mathbb{C}^2\). This question is of interest because of the following result.

**Proposition 4.3 [McD3]**. Let \(X_k\) be the complex surface obtained by blowing \(\mathbb{C}P^2\) up at \(k\) points, and let \(S\) be a fixed copy of \(\mathbb{C}P^1\) in \(X_k\) (disjoint from the blown up points). Then, there is a non-Kähler structure on \(X_k\) which is non-degenerate on \(S\) iff there are \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\) such that the space of symplectic embeddings of the disjoint union \(\bigsqcup_i B(\lambda_i)\) into \(B(1)\) is disconnected.
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We begin by recalling that Sullivan showed how to recover explicitly the rational homotopy type of a simply-connected manifold $M$ by replacing the de Rham algebra $\mathcal{A}^*(M)$ on $M$ by a minimal free differential graded algebra quasi-isomorphic to it having finite dimensional cochain groups and decomposable differential. We recall that two differential graded algebras $A$ and $B$ are quasi-isomorphic if there is a chain of homomorphisms

$$A = A_0 \to A_1 \leftarrow A_2 \cdots \to A_n = B$$

all of which induce isomorphisms of cohomology. The rational homotopy type of $M$ can be calculated from any differential graded algebra quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^*(M)$.

We will make use of one concept from the now well-developed homotopy theory of differential graded algebras.

**Definition.** A differential graded algebra $A$ is formal if it is quasi-isomorphic to a differential graded algebra $B$ with zero differential. The underlying algebra $B$ is then necessarily isomorphic to the cohomology algebra of $A$.

We now recall the celebrated theorem of [DGMS].

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-85-01742.
Theorem. The de Rham algebra $\mathcal{A}^*(M)$ of a compact Kähler manifold $M$ is formal.

The theorem is a consequence of the following considerations. We use the complex structure on $M$ to decompose the exterior differential $d$ on $M$ in the usual way, $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$. Then we have the following quasi-isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{A}^*(M), d) \leftarrow (\ker \partial, \bar{\partial}) \to \left( \frac{\ker \partial}{\text{im} \partial}, 0 \right)$$

The fact that $\bar{\partial}$ induces the zero differential on $\frac{\ker \partial}{\text{im} \partial}$ is an immediate consequence of the "$\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma" of Kähler geometry, [DGMS].

To carry Sullivan's ideas over to deformation theory, we start with a differential graded Lie algebra over a field $k$ (we will consider only those algebras with finite dimensional first cohomology groups). Given such an algebra $L$ we choose a complement $C^1(L)$ to the 1-coboundaries $B^1(L) \subset L^1$. We define a functor $A \to Y_L(A)$ on the category of Artin local $k$-algebras by

$$Y_L(A) = \{ \eta \in C^1(L) \otimes m : d\eta + \frac{1}{2} [\eta, \eta] = 0 \}.$$ 

Here $m$ is the maximal ideal of the Artin local $k$-algebra $A$. It is evident that the functor $Y_L$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.11 of [Sc1] and is consequently pro-representable by a complete local $k$-algebra $R_L$ (we will see later as a consequence of our main theorem that the isomorphism class of $R_L$ does not depend on the complement $C^1(L)$).

One can apply the above construction to the following geometric situations:

(i) The twisted de Rham algebra with coefficients in the flat Lie algebra bundle $\text{ad} P$ associated to a flat principal $G$-bundle $P$ over a compact manifold $M$;

(ii) the Kodaira-Spencer algebra $(\otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(M, T^{1,0}(M)), \bar{\partial})$ associated to a complex manifold $M$;

(iii) the tangent complex $T$ associated to the germ $(V, x)$ of an isolated singularity in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

It is then reasonably clear (and proved in [GM2]) from the above construction that in cases (i) and (ii) the algebra $R_L$ is the completion of the analytic local ring $\mathcal{O}_X$ associated to the versal deformation space as constructed by Kuranishi of the given flat connection on $P$ (resp. complex structure on $M$). In case (iii), it is proved in [BM] following ideas in [SS] that the ring $R_T$ is isomorphic to the completion of the analytic local ring of the versal deformation space of the isolated singularity $(V, x)$. We note two other important cases of differential graded Lie algebras $L$ over a field $k$ in which $R_L$ is the completion of an analytic local $k$-algebra.

In case $L$ has zero differential, then $R_L$ is the completion of the analytic local $k$-algebra associated to the germ $(\mathfrak{L}_L, 0)$ where

$$\mathfrak{L}_L = \{ \eta \in L^1 : [\eta, \eta] = 0 \}.$$ 

We note that $\mathfrak{L}_L$ is a quadratic cone canonically associated to $L$. In case $L^1$ is finite dimensional, then $R_L$ is the completion of the analytic local $k$-algebra of the germ $(Y, 0)$ where
\[ Y = \{ \eta \in C^1 : d\eta + \frac{1}{2}[\eta, \eta] = 0 \}. \]

Henceforth we will use \( \mathcal{H}_L \) to denote the corresponding analytic germ.

**Definition.** If \((X, x)\) is an analytic germ which parameterizes a versal family for a deformation theory and \( L \) is a differential graded Lie algebra such that \( R_L \approx \mathcal{D}_X \), then we will say that \( L \) is a controlling differential graded Lie algebra for that deformation theory.

We have the following theorem (Theorem 4.1 of [GM2]).

**The Comparison Theorem.** Suppose \( f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \) is a homomorphism of differential graded Lie algebras such that \( f \) induces an isomorphism on first cohomology and an injection on second cohomology. Then \( R_{L_1} \) and \( R_{L_2} \) are isomorphic.

**Corollary.** The isomorphism class of \( R_L \) does not depend on the choice of \( C^1(L) \).

**Corollary.** If \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are quasi-isomorphic, then \( R_{L_1} \) and \( R_{L_2} \) are isomorphic.

**Remarks.** We do not require \( f \) to carry the complement \( C^1(L_1) \) into \( C^1(L_2) \). In case \( R_{L_1} \) and \( R_{L_2} \) are the complete local \( k \)-algebras associated to analytic germs \((X_1, x_1)\) and \((X_2, x_2)\), it follows from [A] that \((X_1, x_1)\) and \((X_2, x_2)\) are isomorphic.

If \( L \) is quasi-isomorphic to a differential graded Lie algebra with zero differential (one says \( L \) is formal), then it follows that

\[ \mathcal{H}_L = \{ \eta \in H^1(L) : [\eta, \eta] = 0 \}. \]

Somewhat surprisingly this frequently happens. Carlos Simpson [S] has shown that the twisted de Rham algebra is formal if \( M \) is Kähler, the structure group \( G \) of the underlying principal bundle is linear and the monodromy representation \( \rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G \) is completely reducible. Using Simpson’s Theorem, the above general results and some standard results relating representations of \( \pi_1(M) \) and flat connections we obtain the following theorem (recall that if \( G \) is a linear algebraic group over \( \mathbb{R} \) (or \( \mathbb{C} \)) then the space of representations \( \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G) \) is an affine scheme over \( \mathbb{R} \) (or \( \mathbb{C} \))). The proof of this theorem is in [GM1].

**Theorem.** Let \( G \) be a linear algebraic group over \( \mathbb{R} \) (or \( \mathbb{C} \)) and \( M \) be a compact Kähler manifold. Let \( \rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G \) be a completely reducible representation. Then the analytic local ring of \( \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G) \) at \( \rho \) is isomorphic to that of the quadratic germ \((2, 0)\) where

\[ 2 = \{ u \in Z^1(\pi_1(M), g) : [u, u] = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(\pi_1(M), g) \}. \]

Here \( Z^1(\pi_1(M), g) \) is the space of Eilenberg-MacLane 1-cocycles with values in the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G \) and \([u, u]\) denotes the product obtained by combining the cup-product on group cochains with the bracket on \( g \).
The proofs of the above results are too long to be given here; however, to emphasize the analogy with the theorem of [DGMS] referred to earlier, we give the original proof of [GM1] of the formality of the twisted de Rham algebra in case G is compact. We may decompose the exterior covariant differential \( d_V \) by type as \( d_V = \partial_V + \bar{\partial}_V \) (here \( V \) is the covariant derivative operator on sections of \( \text{ad} \ P \otimes \mathbb{C} \) associated to the flat connection on \( P \)). We then have the quasi-isomorphism

\[
(\mathcal{A}^n(M, \text{ad} P_{\mathbb{C}}), d_V) \leftarrow (\ker \partial_V, \bar{\partial}_V) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\ker \partial_V}{\text{im} \partial_V}, 0 \right).
\]

Once again the induced differential on \( \frac{\ker \partial_V}{\text{im} \partial_V} \) is zero by a \( \partial_V \bar{\partial}_V \)-lemma, see [GM1].

There is also an interesting formality result for the Kodaira-Spencer algebra.

**Theorem.** Let \( M^n \) be a compact Kähler manifold admitting a nowhere zero top degree holomorphic form. Then the Kodaira-Spencer algebra of \( M \) is formal. Moreover, the cup-square from \( H^1(M, T^{1,0}(M)) \) to \( H^2(M, T^{1,0}(M)) \) is zero.

**Corollary.** The Kuranishi space (versal deformation space) of \( M \) is \( H^1(M, T^{1,0}(M)) \).

The corollary is Bogomolov's Theorem. Our proof of the above theorem is a reinterpretation of proofs of Tian [Ti] and Todorov [To]. We let \( \omega \) be the nowhere zero top degree holomorphic form on \( M \). We then obtain an isomorphism of complexes \( \Phi : (\mathcal{A}^{0,*}(M, T^{1,0}(M)), \partial) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{n-1,*}(M, \bar{\partial}) \) given by

\[
\Phi(\eta) = i_\eta \omega.
\]

Here \( i_\eta \omega \) denotes the contraction [FN] of the scalar form \( \omega \) by the vector form \( \eta \). We use \( \Phi \) to transfer the graded Lie bracket from the Kodaira-Spencer algebra to \( \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \mathcal{A}^{n-1,q}(M) \).

**The Tian-Todorov Lemma.** Suppose \( \eta_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1,q_1}(M) \) and \( \eta_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1,q_2}(M) \) are both \( \partial \)-closed differential forms. Then the (transported) bracket \([\eta_1, \eta_2]\) is \( \partial \)-exact.

**Remark.** This lemma is the analogue of the lemma in symplectic geometry that the bracket of two symplectic vector fields corresponds to an exact 1-form. It may be proved in the same way using the formalism of vector-valued forms of [FN].

Once the Tian-Todorov Lemma is proved, the formality of the Kodaira-Spencer algebra follows from the now familiar diagram

\[
(\mathcal{A}^{n-1,*}(M, \bar{\partial}) \leftarrow (\ker \partial, \bar{\partial}) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\ker \partial}{\text{im} \partial}, 0 \right).
\]

Here \( \ker \partial \) denotes \( \ker (\partial : \mathcal{A}^{n-1,*}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n,*}(M)) \) and \( \text{im} \partial \) denotes \( \text{im} (\partial : \mathcal{A}^{n-2,*}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n-1,*}(M)). \) For details and the vanishing of the cup square the reader is referred to [GM2].
A class of examples which are easily analyzed is the class of compact complex parallelizable nilmanifolds. Let $M = \Gamma \backslash N$, $N$ nilpotent complex with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma$ a cocompact lattice. Let $L$ be the Kodaira-Spencer algebra and $\tilde{L} \subset L$ the image of the left $N$-invariants. The inclusion $\tilde{L} \to L$ is a quasi-isomorphism so $\mathcal{H}_L \cong \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$. It is easy to see that
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}} = \text{End}_{\text{alg}}(\mathfrak{n})
\]
the (germ at 0 of the) affine variety of Lie algebra endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$. If we describe $\mathfrak{n}$ by generators and relations we can produce a very large number of germs that are Kuranishi spaces of complex manifolds. For example, let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the free Lie algebra on two generators $X$ and $Y$ subject to the relations

(i) all $(n + 1)$-fold commutators = 0
(ii) $\text{ad}^{n-1} X(Y) = \text{ad}^{n-1} Y(X)$.

Then
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}} = \{(X', Y') \in \mathfrak{n}^2 : X', Y' \text{ satisfy (ii)}\}
\]
so the Kuranishi space of $M$ is a homogeneous cone of degree $n$, see [GM2] for more details.

Remark. These examples provide realizations of all the obstructions to integrating an infinitesimal deformation of the complex structure of a complex manifold $M$.

We observe that $\tilde{L}$ controls the deformation theory of locally left-invariant (i.e., descended from left-invariant complex structure on $N$) structures on $M$. Since $\tilde{L} \to L$ is a quasi-isomorphism it follows that the two deformation theories are the same, that is, every complex structure on $M$ sufficiently close to the locally bi-invariant one is locally left-invariant. The rest of this paper outlines deeper examples of such a comparison of two deformation theories.

We now describe applications of the above ideas to deformation of isolated singularities. We first summarize the fundamental results of Kuranishi in [K2]. Let $V$ be an analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$ with a normal isolated singularity at the origin. Let $M$ be a link of $V$ (the intersection of $V$ with a small sphere centered at the origin). Then $M$ has an induced CR-structure. Let $T^{1,0}(M)$ be the $(1,0)$ subspace of the complexified horizontal subspace $H \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Choose a complement $F$ to $H$ in $T(M)$. The map $\tau$ of [K2], (8), gives
\[
E = T^{1,0}(M) \otimes (F \otimes \mathbb{C})
\]
the structure of a holomorphic vector bundle over $M$. Then $(\oplus \mathfrak{a}^{0,*}_0(M, E), \overline{\partial}_b)$ is a complex, and Kuranishi used it to construct a finite-dimensional family $(\mathcal{H}_M, 0)$ of integrable CR-structures on $M$ which is a versal deformation of the given CR-structure modulo a relation (coarser than isomorphism) designed to account for the above choice of sphere. However, he did not give $\mathcal{H}_M$ a complex analytic structure nor did he relate $\mathcal{H}_M$ to the versal deformation space of $(V, 0)$. The first problem was solved for the case $\dim V \geq 4$ by Miyajima in [Mi1] completing earlier work of Akahori [Ak1]. In the rest of this paper we will
show how the Comparison Theorem can be used to identify $\mathcal{X}_M$ with the versal deformation space of $(V,0)$. The following result is proved in [BM]. It was proved independently by Miyajima in [Mi2] using results of Fujiki [F].

**Theorem.** Suppose $(V,0)$ is normal and satisfies

(1) $\dim V \geq 4$;
(2) $\text{depth}_{\{0\}} V \geq 3$.

Then the base space of the versal deformation of $(V,0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_M$.

**Remarks.** The assumption (2) is equivalent to the assumption that the Kohn-Rossi cohomology group $H^1(M, \mathfrak{D})$ vanishes by [Y]. If we do not assume (2), it can be shown that the base space for the versal deformation of $(V,0)$ is isomorphic to a closed subgerm of $\mathcal{X}_M$. In [BM] we give a family of examples such that $(V,0)$ is normal but the deformation space of $(V,0)$ is a proper subgerm of $\mathcal{X}_M$.

We will now prove the above theorem by applying the Comparison Theorem many times.

Let $T$ be the tangent complex associated to $(V,0)$, see [B], [Sc3] or [P]. Then as stated above, $T$ is a differential graded Lie algebra that controls the deformation theory of $(V,0)$. We recall that this means that there is an isomorphism

$$R_T \cong \mathfrak{D}_{X,0}$$

where $(X,0)$ is the analytic germ parametrizing the versal deformation of $(V,0)$. Choose any Stein representative $V$ of $(V,0)$ and let $L$ be the Kodaira-Spencer algebra of $U = V - \{0\}$. In [BM] we prove, following [Sc2], that under the assumption $\text{depth}_{\{0\}} V \geq 3$ we have

$$R_T \cong R_L.$$ 

It remains to compare the deformation theory of $U$ with that of $M$.

We now consider the image of $L$ under the restriction map from $U$ to $M$. Unfortunately brackets in $L$ do not behave well under this map and consequently it is necessary to replace $L$ by a subalgebra $L_{\text{tan}}$ of forms whose restrictions to $M$ take values tangent to $M$. The definition of the algebra $L_{\text{tan}}$ is somewhat involved. We may assume that $r$ has no critical points on $U$ and note that $\omega = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (r^2)$ is the restriction of the Kähler form of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider the sub-graded vector space $D^*$ of $L$ defined by

$$D^0 = \{ Z \in L^0 : i_{\bar{\partial}Z} \partial r|_M = 0 \}$$

and for $i \geq 1$

$$D^i = \{ \mu \in L^i : i_{\mu} \partial r|_M = 0, \quad i_{\mu} \omega|_M = 0 \}.$$ 

It is proved in [Ak2] that $(D^*, \bar{\partial})$ is a complex and it is easily seen that

$$D^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} D^i.$$
is closed under the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket \([FN]\). Furthermore, it is a result of Akahori [Ak2] that the inclusion \(D^* \to L^*\) is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. Thus if we choose a complement \(C^1\) to \(\tilde{\partial}D^0\) in \(D^1\) and define
\[
L_{\text{tan}} = C^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} D^i,
\]
we find that \(L_{\text{tan}}\) is a differential graded Lie algebra and that the inclusion \(L_{\text{tan}} \to L\) induces an isomorphism of cohomology in degree greater than or equal to one. Thus by the Comparison Theorem we have (for any choice of \(C^1\))
\[
R_{L_{\text{tan}}} \approx R_L.
\]

We now identify the image of \(j^*\). To do this we need the CR-analogue of \(L_{\text{tan}}\) which was constructed by Akahori in [Ak1] and was the basis of the proof of the Akahori-Miyajima Theorem referred to above. We define \(D^*\) to be the following sub-graded vector space of Kuranishi's complex \((\mathfrak{A}^*(M,E), d)\). Let \(\theta\) be a contact form on \(M\) compatible with \(H\). Then we define
\[
D^0 = \{Z \in \mathfrak{A}^0(M,E) : i^*\partial_h Z \theta = 0\}
\]
and for \(i \geq 1,
\[
D^i = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}^i(M,E) : i^*\partial_h \theta = 0, \quad i^*d\theta = 0\}.
\]
We then choose a complement \(\bar{C}^1\) to \(\partial_h \tilde{\partial}D^0\) in \(\tilde{D}^1\) and define
\[
\bar{L} = \bar{C}^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} \bar{D}^i.
\]
We also define
\[
\bar{D}^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \bar{D}^i.
\]

Now the restriction map \(j^*\) followed by the canonical map \(\tau : T^{1,0}(U) | M \to E\) of [K2] gives a homomorphism of complexes
\[
j^+ : D^+ \to \bar{D}^+.
\]
Let \(I^+ = \ker j^+\).

**Lemma.** \(I^+\) is an ideal in \(D^+\).

As a consequence of this lemma we find that \(\bar{D}^+\) carries the structure of a differential graded Lie algebra such that \(j^+\) is a homomorphism. Since \(j^*\) is surjective we may choose the complement \(C^1\) so that it is carried into \(\bar{C}^1\) by \(j^+\). We obtain a homomorphism of differential graded Lie algebras
\[
j^+ : L_{\text{tan}} \to \bar{L}.
\]
In [Ak1], Akahori proved that the inclusion of \(\bar{L}\) into Kuranishi's complex induced an isomorphism of cohomology of degree one or greater. In [Ak1] and
[Mi1], Akahori and Miyajima proved that Kuranishi's family \( \mathcal{K}_M \) was isomorphic to the analytic subvariety \( \mathcal{K}_L \subset H^1(\bar{L}) \) obtained by applying the well-known construction of [K1] to \( \bar{L} \) with a suitable choice of complement \( \bar{C}_1 \), provided \( \dim V \geq 4 \). In particular, it is immediate that

\[ R_L \cong \bar{S}_{\mathcal{K}_M,0}. \]

Our theorem then follows by the Comparison Theorem from the fact that \( j^+ \) induces isomorphisms on cohomology in degrees 1 and 2 provided \( \dim V \geq 4 \). To prove this latter fact we consider the following diagram of complexes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
L \\
\uparrow \\
L_{\text{tan}} \\
\end{array} \quad \quad \begin{array}{c}
\oplus \mathcal{A}^0,*(M,E) \\
\uparrow \\
\bar{L} \\
\end{array}
\]

We have seen that the two vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. But it follows from the discussion in [Y], pp. 81-82, that the top horizontal arrow induces an isomorphism on \( H^1 \) and \( H^2 \) in case \( \dim V \geq 4 \).

The theorem of Buchweitz-Millson and Fujiki-Miyajima can be used to compute \( \mathcal{K}_M \) if one has enough information about the ideal of the corresponding singularity. In [M] we use differential geometry to compute \( \mathcal{K}_M \) directly for a certain class of CR-manifolds. Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a negative line bundle over a compact Kähler manifold \( N \) and let \( U(\mathcal{L}) \) be its unit circle bundle. In [M] we compute \( \mathcal{K}_M \) for \( M = U(\mathcal{L}) \) in case \( \mathcal{L} \) is sufficiently negative. Recall that if \( \mathcal{L} \) is sufficiently negative, then \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \) gives a projective embedding of \( N \) into \( \mathbb{CP}^m \) for some \( m \). We then have the following theorem, [M], the CR-analogue of Theorem 2 of [Sc2].

**Theorem.** Let \( M = U(\mathcal{L}) \) as above. If \( \mathcal{L} \) is sufficiently negative then \( \mathcal{K}_M \) is isomorphic to the parameter space for the versal projective deformation of \( N \) in \( \mathbb{CP}^m \). Moreover, by Schlessinger's Theorem, this latter space is isomorphic to the deformation space of the singularity \( (C_N,0) \), where \( C_N \) is the affine cone over \( N \).

**Example.** Let \( N \) be a complex torus of dimension \( n \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) any negative line bundle over \( N \). Then by a direct computation one can show

\[
\mathcal{K}_{U(\mathcal{L})} \cong \mathbb{C}^\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.
\]

In case \( \mathcal{L} \) is sufficiently negative, the above Theorem produces an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{K}_{U(\mathcal{L})} \) and the parameter space of the versal deformation of \( (C_N,0) \) even though

\[
\text{depth}_{(0)} C_N = 2.
\]

**Remark.** For any \( \mathcal{L} \) as above one can obtain an analytic germ \( (C_N,0) \) by collapsing the zero section. It is reasonable to expect that the parameter space of the versal deformation of \( (C_N,0) \) is again \( \mathbb{C}^\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \).
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Introduction

The subject of this lecture is some aspects of the theory of deformations of plane real and complex algebraic singular curves and its applications. Namely, in this large classical problem we shall consider (i) the independence of singular points deformations, (ii) connections between deformations of singularities and discriminant hypersurface in moduli space of plane curves of a given degree, (iii) applications of these results to classification of algebraic real and complex curves.

First results in this direction concerned the curves with nodes (in complex case – Severi [17], in real case – Brusotti [2]): any reduced curve with nodes can be deformed in the space of curves of a given degree so that arbitrary set of nodes is smoothed, and all other singularities are preserved.

The proof idea is that the germ of locus of curves with a given number of nodes is a transversal intersection of smooth germs of hypersurfaces corresponding to each singularity. The last assertion follows from nonspeciality of some linear system on the curve by means of Riemann-Roch theorem. It should be noted that Harris theorem on irreducibility of variety of given degree curves with a given genus [10] was proved, in fact, by the same ideas. We also point out that many methods of construction of real algebraic curves [8] are based on Brusotti theorem.

In this lecture we shall develop above results and approaches.

1. Notions and Notations

Further on we shall consider only plane algebraic projective real and complex curves (including irreducible and reducible curves). The polynomial defining a curve and the locus of curve points in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ we denote identically. The set of curves of degree $n$ is parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^N$, $N = n(n + 3)/2$ (from now on $\mathbb{P}^N$ means $\mathbb{C}P^N$ and $\mathbb{R}P^N$ at the same time).

Let $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$ and $p \in F$ be an isolated singular point. The singular point type, or singularity, means the list of characteristic Puiseux exponents of all local curve branches and the list of intersection numbers of branches. This is complete topo-
logical invariant of singular point. Symbols $\mu(p, F)$, $\delta(p, F)$ mean the Milnor number and $\delta$-invariant of the point $p \in F$ respectively. By $U_p$ we denote an open sufficiently small ball in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ centred at point $p$.

**Remark 1.** If $F \in \mathbb{R}P^N$, $p \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^2$ then there is a conjugated singular point $\text{conj} p \in F$. In this case we call the pair $(p, \text{conj} p)$ by “singular point” understanding correspondingly all other terms.

The perturbation of $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is a sufficiently close to $F$ curve $G \in \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \{F\}$. By perturbation of the point $p \in F$ we call any set $G \cap U_p$, where $G$ is a perturbation of curve $FL^m$ for some integer $m \geq 0$ and some fixed line $L \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ non-crossing $U_p$. Nonsingular perturbations of the real point are called smoothings [25].

Two perturbations of $p \in F$ are called equivalent, if they can be transformed one into another by (equivariant in real case) diffeomorphism of $U_p$ close to identity. Two perturbations of $p$ are called algebraic-topologically (AT) equivalent, if they have the same sets of singularities, and (in real case) some neighbourhoods of their real parts can be transformed one into another by equivariant homeomorphism of $U_p$, close to identity.

By $V(n, S_1, \ldots, S_r)$ we’ll denote the locus of degree $n$ irreducible curves with $r$ singular points of types $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ respectively. The germ $M(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of equisingular (ES) stratum (this is $\mu = \text{const}$ stratum) centered at reduced curve $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is called regular, if it is smooth and has codimension $\sum_{z \in F} c(z)$, where $c(z)$ is codimension of $\mu = \text{const}$ stratum in miniversal deformation base of singular point $z$.

## 2. Independence of Versal Deformations

Let $p$ be an isolated singular point of curve $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$. Let $\Pi, \Pi'$ denote two generic polar curves of $F$. For any branch $Q$ of curve $\Pi$ at point $p$ we assign $\tau(Q) = s/r$, where $s$ is the sum of orders of $Q$ at point $p$ and at the infinitely close to $p$ points belonging to $\Pi'$. For a reduced curve $F$ we assign $\tau = \max \tau(Q)$. It depends on different singularities of $F$, and is ever less than $1$. Put

$$
\lambda(F) = \begin{cases}
25/729, & \tau < 5\sqrt{2}/18 \\
2\tau^2/9, & 5\sqrt{2}/18 \leq \tau < 5/9 \\
(1 - \tau + \tau^2 + (1 - 2\tau)(1 + 2\tau - 2\tau^2))/9, & 5/9 \leq \tau
\end{cases}
$$

**Theorem 1** [23, 24]. If (i) $F$ is reduced and satisfies

$$
\sum_{z \in F} \mu(z, F) \leq 4n - 5 \tag{1}
$$

or (ii) $F$ is reduced and irreducible and satisfies

$$
\sum_{z \in F} (\mu(z, F) + \delta(z, \Pi)) \leq \lambda(F)n^2, \tag{2}
$$

then some neighbourhood of $F$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$ represents a joint versal deformation of all singular points of $F$. 


This theorem fully describes properties of the discriminant hypersurface germ at $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$ under above conditions. The proof is based on the following: the intersection transversality of $\mathbb{P}^N$ and tangent spaces to orbits of $\text{Diff} U_p$-action for all $p \in \text{Sing } F$ can be concluded from nonspeciality of some linear system on suitable curve by means of Riemann-Roch theorem (in particular, polar curve $\mathcal{L}$ can be taken as such a curve, and then one obtains condition (1)).

**Corollary 1.** Under conditions of Theorem 1 a suitable perturbation of $F$ realizes perturbations of any singular points, equivalent to a priori given perturbations of these points, while other singularities are preserved.

This statement does not cover Severi and Brusotti theorems, but it does not contain restrictions on singular points types and their perturbations; also the right hand side of (2) is sharp with respect to exponent at $n$. For example, if $F$ has only ordinary cusps as their singularities then (2) ensures the independent deformation of all cusps when

$$\#(\text{Sing } F) \leq (7 - \sqrt{13}) n^2 / 162,$$

since the other known estimates [4, 7] give

$$\#(\text{Sing } F) \leq 3n - 1.$$

**Corollary 2.** Under conditions of Theorem 1 $M(F)$ is regular.

The above assertions do not contain restrictions on a position of singular points. Adding such restrictions one can weaken inequalities (1), (2) (in [3] there are those estimates for curves with ordinary singular points).

Compare (1) with known counterexamples. According to [14] varieties $V(9, A_{35})$, $V(11, A_{40})$ are not smooth. The Corollary 2 implies the smoothness of $V(9, A_k)$, $V(11, A_m)$ only for $k \leq 31$, $m \leq 39$.

### 3. Independence of Certain Perturbations Classes

Corollary 1 embraces every perturbation of arbitrary singularities, but it does not show what types of perturbations of each singular point exist. Here we'll use certain other approaches to our problem.

#### 3.1 Perturbations of Quasiordinary Singular Points

Consider the following approach to problem of regularity of $M(F)$. Using Cremona transformations we can obtain that $F$ had only ordinary singularities. Then regularity of $M(F)$ follows (see [3, 7]) from nonspeciality of some linear system on $F$. The exact assertions are as follows.
Definition 1. Let us consider the full resolution of singular point \( p \in F \). Let \( p_0 = p, p_1, \ldots, p_k \) be consequent infinitely close to \( p \) points of this resolution, which belong to branch \( Q \) of \( F \) centered at \( p \). Define \( \bar{\beta}(Q, F) \) as sum of orders of branch \( Q \) at \( p_0, \ldots, p_k \) (i.e. at those points, where proper inverse image of \( F \) has singularity, or intersection number of \( F \) with exceptional divisor exceeds 1). Let \( \beta(Q, F) \) be equal to \( \bar{\beta}(Q, F) - 1 \) (resp. \( \bar{\beta}(Q, F) \)), if one of \( p_1, \ldots, p_k \) is touch node, i.e. point of two smooth branches contact (resp. no touch node) of full inverse image of \( F \). If order of \( F \) at \( p \) is 2, then we must subtract the order of \( Q \) at \( p \) from above defined value \( \beta(Q, F) \). Put also
\[
\bar{\beta}(p, F) = \sum \bar{\beta}(Q, F), \quad \beta(p, F) = \sum \beta(Q, F).
\]
It is easy to show that
\[
c(p, F) - \delta(p, F) \leq \beta(p, F) \leq \mu(p, F) - 1
\]
and that \( \beta(p, F) = r \) for ordinary singular point of order \( r \) (this is an intersection point of \( r \) smooth branches with different tangents).

Definition 2. For any reduced curve \( F \) with irreducible components \( F_1, \ldots, F_k \) and for a set \( S \subset \text{Sing} F \) we define vectors \( d(F), b(F, S) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \) as follows:
\[
[d(F)]_i = 3 \deg F_i - 1,
[b[F, S]]_i = \sum \beta(Q_1, F) + \sum \bar{\beta}(Q_2, F),
\]
where \( Q_2 \) (resp. \( Q_1 \)) runs all branches of \( F_i \) centered at points \( z \in S \) (resp. \( z \in \text{Sing} F \setminus S \)).

Every inequality for vectors below means inequalities for each pair of corresponding coordinates of vectors.

Theorem 2 [18, 22, 24]. If \( F \) is reduced and satisfying
\[
b(F) \leq d(F)
\]
them then \( M(F) \) is regular. If
\[
\bar{b}(F) \leq d(F)
\]
then the variety \( V \subset \mathbb{C}P^N \) of curves, having singularities as \( F \) and disintegrating into components with the same singularities sets, is irreducible.

This theorem covers the Severi and Brusotti theorems and analogous results on curves with cusps and ordinary singularities [6, 7, 13, 26]. According to [27] varieties \( V(6, 6A_2), V(6, 3E_6) \) are reducible. Theorem 2 claims the irreducibility of \( V(6, kA_2), V(6, mE_6) \) for \( k \leq 4, m \leq 2 \).

Remark 2. The point \( p \in F \setminus \text{Sing} F \) is called quasisingular of order \( k \geq 1 \) with respect to fixed line \( f \), smooth at \( p \), if intersection number \( (F \cdot f)_p = k \). We can take into account quasisingularities in Theorem 2 by adding to left hand sides of (3), (4) the values \( \beta(p, F) = k - 1, \bar{\beta}(p, F) = k \) respectively. Thus, using the supplemented
Theorem 2 one can prove Harris theorem [10] on irreducibility of \( V(n, kA_1), \) \( k \geq 1, \) Ran theorem [16] on irreducibility of \( V(n, kA_1, S), \) where singularity \( S \) is transformed into set of quasisingularities and nodes by one blowing-up. Analogous approach gives

**Theorem 3.** The variety \( V(n, kA_1, S_1, \ldots, S_r), \) where \( k > 0, 0 \leq r \leq 9, S_1, \ldots, S_r \) are ordinary singularities with \( \sum \beta(S_i) \leq 2n, \) is irreducible.

In particular, this implies that the variety of curves on quadric \( \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \) with a given bidegree and a given genus is irreducible (see also [16]).

**Remark 3.** From proof of Theorem 2 it is possible to deduce that coefficients at monomials lying under Newton diagrams of all singular points can be varied independently. Therefore perturbations determined by these monomials are independent too. Effective construction of those perturbations was suggested by Viro [25].

**Definition 3.** Singular point \( p \in F \in \mathbb{CP}^N \) is called *quasiordinary (QO-point)*, if (i) for some affine coordinates \( p = (0, 0), \) and all sections of polynomial \( F \) on edges of the point \( p \) Newton diagram are nondegenerated (normal QO-point), or (ii) some embedding \( \varphi : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \) transforms \( p \) into normal QO-point (in the case \( p \in \mathbb{RP}^2, \) \( F \in \mathbb{RP}^N \) we assume \( \varphi \circ \text{conj} = \text{conj} \circ \varphi \)). Quasisingular points are QO-points in a natural sense.

This notion includes, for instance, zero-modal points, quasihomogeneous singular points. For normal QO-point \( \tilde{\beta}(p, F) = k + m - r + 1, \) where \( k, m \) are maximal absciss and ordinate of points on the Newton diagram, and \( r \) is a number of integer points on the Newton diagram.

Modifying the Viro construction [25] we’ll define a set of \( \mathcal{V}\text{-perturbations} \) of QO-point \( p \in F. \) Normalize the point \( p, \) and denote the Newton diagram of \( p \) by \( \Gamma \) and the Newton polygon of normalized curve \( F_0 \) by \( A_0. \) Take a set of polynomials \( F_i(x, y) = \phi_i(x, y)x^iy^m, \) where \( x, y \mid \phi_i, \) with Newton polygons \( A_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \) lying under \( \Gamma. \) Assume that (i) \( \Delta = \bigcup A_i \) is convex, any nonempty intersection \( A_i \cap A_j \) is a common edge of \( A_i, A_j \) or a common apex, there is also a continuous convex function \( v : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \) linear on each \( A_i \) and nonlinear on each union \( A_i \cup A_j, \) (ii) if \( A_i \cap A_j = \gamma \) is a common edge, then sections of \( F_i, F_j \) on \( \gamma \) are identical square-free (except \( x, y \)) polynomials. Now \( \mathcal{V}\text{-perturbation} \) is constructed by means of set \( \{F_i\} \) according to [25]: (i) lift each curve \( \varphi_i \) upon toric manifold determined by \( A_i, \) (ii) glue these toric manifolds according to arrangement of \( A_0, \ldots, A_k, \) (iii) project the correspondingly glued curves \( F_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \) on the plane.

For a given \( \mathcal{V}\text{-perturbation} \) \( \pi \) we’ll define the set \( T(\pi) \) of oriented graphs without oriented circles. Vertexes of graph \( A \in T(\pi) \) correspond to polynomials \( A_i, \) arcs of \( A \) correspond to common edges of \( A_i, 0 \leq i \leq k. \) To curve \( F_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \) and to graph \( A \in T(\pi) \) we assign the vector \( v(F_i, A). \) Its coordinates correspond to irreducible components of \( \varphi_i, \) and are equal to numbers of local branches of each component, defining by those edges of \( A_i, \) which correspond to arcs of \( A \) coming in \( A_i. \)
Theorem 4 [19, 22]. Let $F \in \mathbb{P}^N$ be a reduced curve, $\sigma \subset \text{Sing} F$ be some set of zero-modal singular points, $\tau \subset \text{Sing} F|\sigma$ be some set of $QO$-points, $\{\pi_z|z \in \tau\}$ be a set of $V$-perturbations, defined by curves $F_{zi}, z \in \tau, 1 \leq i \leq j_z$. If there exist graphs $A_z \in T(\pi_z), z \in \tau$, for which
\[
b(F, S) + \sum_z v(F, A_z) \leq d(F),
\]
\[
b(F_{zi}, S_{zi}) + v(F_{zi}, A_z) \leq d(F_{zi}),
\]
where $z \in \tau, 1 \leq i \leq j_z, S = \{z \in \tau|v(F, A_z) \neq 0\}, S_{zi} = \text{Sing} \phi_{zi} \cap \mathbb{C}^2\{xy = 0\}$, then a suitable perturbation of $F$ realizes perturbations of $z \in \tau$, which are $AT$-equivalent to $\pi_z, z \in \tau$, and perturbations of points $z \in \sigma$, which are equivalent to their a priori given perturbations, while other singularities are preserved.

Remark 4. If all curves $F_{zi}, z \in \tau, 1 \leq i \leq j_z$, are smooth in $\mathbb{C}^2\{xy = 0\}$, or have there only nodes, then (5), (6) can be replaced by (3).

Remark 5. $V$-perturbations can be defined for arbitrary singular point as follows: the singular point should be resoluted and all infinitely close singular and quasi-singular points should be consequently perturbed.

3.2 Equigeneric and Equiclassical Perturbations

The independence of above perturbations is connected with properties of ES-stratum. Here we consider perturbations, which are connected with equigeneric (EG) stratum $g = \text{const}$ and equiclassical (EC) stratum $g = \text{const}$ and $c = \text{const}; c$ is class of curve, i.e. degree of dual curve.

Theorem 5 (see [1, 15]). For any reduced curve $F \in \mathbb{C}P^N$ there exists a perturbation that turns independently each singular point $z \in F$ into an arbitrary number of nodes from 0 to $\delta(z, F)$.

This follows from that the tangent cone to EG-stratum at $F \in \mathbb{C}P^N$ is a linear system of adjoining curves of degree $n$, which is evidently nonspecial. It implies that curves with nodes form a dense subset of EG-stratum in $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

A perturbation from EC-stratum turns (see [5]) a singular point into $3\delta - \chi$ nodes and $\chi - 2\delta$ cusps, where $\chi$ is an intersection number of curve and its polar curve at this point. Namely (see [5]),

Theorem 6. If a reduced irreducible curve $F \in \mathbb{C}P^N$ satisfies $c > 2g - n$, then the suitable perturbation of $F$ turns independently each singular point $p$ into $d$ nodes and $k$ cusps, where $d, k$ are arbitrary satisfying
$$0 \leq d \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq k \leq \min\{\chi - 2\delta, \delta - d\}.$$  

According to [1, 4] EG-germ and EC-germ in a versal deformation base are irreducible. Therefore
Theorem 7. If $F \in \mathbb{C}P^N$ satisfies (1) or (2), then $EG$-germ and $EC$-germ at $F$ in $\mathbb{C}P^N$ are irreducible.

3.3 Independence of Minimal Smoothings

Give one more example of unconditionally independent perturbations – minimal smoothings (see [21]). Minimal smoothing of singular point $p \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ of order $k$, at which there are $r$ real local branches of curve $F \in \mathbb{R}P^N$, is (i) a set of $r$ disjunct intervals connecting the points of $F \cap U_p \cap \mathbb{R}P^2$ for $r > 0$, or (ii) a set of $s < k/2$ concentrated circumferences in $U_p \cap \mathbb{R}P^2$ for $r = 0$.

Theorem 8 [21]. A suitable perturbation of any reduced curve $F \in \mathbb{R}P^N$ realizes arbitrary a priori given minimal smoothings of all real singular points modulo $AT$-equivalence, while nodes can be preserved.

Remark 6. Perturbations from germs of almost all linear families $F + tG$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $G \in \mathbb{R}P^N$, realize only minimal smoothings of all singular points.

4. Perturbations of Singular Points and Algebraic Curves

The approach used in Section 3.1 raises the following questions: 1) what part of all perturbations form $V$-perturbations? 2) what $V$-perturbations can be realized for a given singular point?

Consider semiquasihomogeneous singular point (that means Newton diagram lies on straight line). Then $V$-perturbations are constructed by gluing affine curves with complementary Newton triangle. On the other hand (see [23]) any perturbation can be obtained by formal gluing of those affine curves and replacing their singular points by suitable perturbations. If singular points of above affine curves have independent perturbations, then we have (i) property $A$: each perturbation of initial singular point can be obtained from affine curve with a given Newton triangle by means of (equivariant in real case) homeomorphism $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow U_p$, or (ii) property $A'$: the same modulo $AT$-equivalence. If we can vary coefficients of a curve with a given Newton triangle at monomials on the edge of gluing, while a curve topology is preserved, then singular points of the same type have the same sets of $V$-perturbations modulo homeomorphisms of singular points neighbourhoods (property $B$), or modulo $AT$-equivalence (property $B'$).

Zero-modal singular points, ordinary 4th order point, point of quadratic contact of 3 smooth branches hold properties $A$ and $B$. The ordinary 5th order point holds property $A$ [23], and if all local branches are real then property $B'$ is fulfilled for its smoothings [20]. Properties $A'$, $B'$ are fulfilled for smoothings of point of quadratic contact of 4 real branches [20]. Property $A'$ is fulfilled for smoothings of ordinary 6th order point [12].

5. Applications to Study of Topology of Algebraic Curves

Firstly, above results on irreducibility mean that curves of a given kind are rigidly isotopic, i.e. they are connected by isotopy consisting of the same curves.
It might be interesting to construct curves with a given singularities. For example (see [19]), Theorem 4 implies existence of degree \( n \) complex curves with arbitrary cusps number from 0 to \( 7n^2/36 \), and existence of degree \( n \) curves over \( \mathbb{R} \) with arbitrary number of real cusps from 0 to \( 3n^2/16 \).

All known types of nonsingular real curves were realized in the way of perturbation of singular curves. The majority of latest results about real curves [11, 12, 22, 25] were obtained by Viro method [25] through perturbation of singular points mentioned in Section 4. It should also be noted that there is \( M \)-curve of degree 8, whose construction does not satisfy conditions of Viro method and is based on Theorem 4 [22].

Another example of using above results is the complete description of discriminant in the space of plane real quartic curves and complete classification of inflexion points arrangements on these curves [9].

At last we'll mention the Hilbert-Rohn method (see [8, 20]), which allows to construct or to prohibit certain classes of real curves.
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1. Introduction

We will describe some old and recent results in the theory of complex hypersurface singularities which have been obtained using methods from mixed Hodge theory. In the last 15 years, there has been a fruitful interaction between singularity theory and Hodge theory. The common interest is the study of degenerations. In the work of W. Schmid [21] the limit mixed Hodge structure of a one parameter polarized variation of Hodge structure was constructed. This has consequences for projective degenerating families, but does not apply to the case of a singularity. (However, Scherk's trick [19] made it apply to the isolated singularity case.) As P. Deligne observed in Metz 1974, the author's geometric approach [23] (filling in a divisor with normal crossings as a special fibre and analyzing the relative logarithmic de Rham complex) works also for the case of a singularity [24, 11].

In cases where a resolution of singularities is readily available, e.g. the Newton non-degenerate singularities, the resulting mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology has very computable discrete invariants (Hodge numbers, spectrum) which are closely related to interesting topological invariants like monodromy and intersection form. In the isolated singularity case, a description of the Hodge structure without resolution of singularities was first given by A. Varchenko [29] who used asymptotics of integrals over vanishing cycles. This work was the starting point of a description in the language of D-modules, inspired by Pham's Gauss-Manin system [12] and leading to M. Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules [13, 14, 15]. This formalism enables one to generalize many results to the case of non-isolated singularities.

2. Vanishing Cycles

Let $X$ be a complex manifold of dimension $n + 1$ and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. Let $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $i : X_0 \to X$ be the inclusion mapping. Define

$$X_\infty = \{(x, u) \in X \times \mathbb{C} \mid f(x) = \exp(2\pi i u)\}$$

and let $k : X_\infty \to X$ be given by $k(x, u) = x$. Choose an injective resolution $I^\bullet$ of $C_{X_\infty}$ and define the sheaf of nearby cycles of $f$ as

Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Kyoto, Japan, 1990
Let $x \in X_0$ and choose $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ with $\eta < \varepsilon \ll 1$. By [10], the restriction of $f$ to \( \{ z \in X \mid |z - x| < \varepsilon, 0 < |f(z)| < \eta \} \) is a $C^\infty$ fibre bundle (the Milnor fibration). Let $X_{f,x}$ denote a Milnor fibre, i.e. a typical fibre of the Milnor fibration. Then

$$H^k(\psi_f(C_X)_x) \simeq H^k(X_{f,x}, \mathcal{C}).$$

There is a natural morphism of complexes $\mathcal{C}_{X_0} \rightarrow \psi_f(C_X)$ and one obtains the sheaf of vanishing cycles $\phi_f(C_X)$ as the cone over this morphism. This gives a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{C}_{X_0} \longrightarrow \psi_f(C_X) \longrightarrow \phi_f(C_X) [+1]$$

and we have

$$H^k(\phi_f(C_X)_x) \simeq \tilde{H}^k(X_{f,x}, \mathcal{C}).$$

So $\phi_f(C_X)$ measures the difference in the local topology of the zero fibre and the nearby fibres of $f$. In general, we have $\tilde{H}^k(X_{f,x}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $k > n$ and in the isolated-singularity case, $\tilde{H}^k(X_{f,x}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $k \neq n$.

Since [10], the study of the topology of the Milnor fibre of a holomorphic function germ has been an important issue in singularity theory. A first topic is the monodromy. Define $h : X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ by $h(x,u) = (x, u+1)$. Then we have an induced action $T = (h^*)^{-1}$ on $\psi_f(C_X)$ and $\phi_f(C_X)$ and their cohomology sheaves. The latter action is quasi-unipotent by the monodromy theorem [1, 7] and the Jordan blocks of $T$ on $H^k(\phi_f(C_X)_x)$ are of size at most $k+1$.

3. Hodge Structure via Resolution

Let $f$ be a holomorphic function on the complex manifold $X$ and let $x \in f^{-1}(0)$. Then there exists a projective bimeromorphic mapping $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that

- $\tilde{X}$ is smooth;
- $E = (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor with normal crossings on $\tilde{X}$;
- $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a union of irreducible components of $E$.

Let $e$ be a common multiple of all multiplicities of components of $E$. We map $C$ to itself by $t \mapsto t^e$ and let $\tilde{Y}$ be the normalization of \( \{(z, t) \in \tilde{X} \times C \mid f(\pi(z)) = t^e\} \). The natural projections from $\tilde{Y}$ to $\tilde{X}$ and $C$ are denoted by $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ respectively. By the Semi-stable Reduction Theorem [5], $\pi$ and $e$ can be chosen in such a way that $\tilde{Y}$ is smooth. Then $D = \tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$ is a reduced divisor with normal crossings and $\tilde{g}$ is a cyclic covering of degree $e$ branched only along $E$. We put $D_x = \tilde{g}^{-1}(E_x)$.

By [24] we have isomorphisms

$$H^k(\psi_f(C_X)_x) \simeq H^k(D_x, \Omega^*_{\tilde{Y}/C}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_x})$$

$$\simeq H^k(E_x, \tilde{g}^* (\Omega^*_{\tilde{Y}/C}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_x}))$$

where $H$ denotes hypercohomology.

In [25], a quasi-isomorphism between $\Omega^*_{\tilde{Y}/C}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_x}$ and a certain complex $K^*$ has been constructed. The complex $K^*$ carries an increasing weight filtration...
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L. and a decreasing Hodge filtration $F^*$ which make it into a cohomological mixed Hodge complex in Deligne's sense (after a suitable rational structure has been added). In this way, $H^k(\psi_f(C_X)\chi)$ carries a mixed Hodge structure for each $k$.

The monodromy action $T$ on $H^k(\psi_f(C_X)\chi)$ can be described in the following way. The semisimple part $T_s$ is induced by the automorphism $(x, t) \mapsto (x, t \exp(2\pi i/\epsilon))$ of $\tilde{Y}$. Its nilpotent logarithm $N = -\log(T_\epsilon)/2\pi i$ is induced by an endomorphism $v$ of the complex $K^*$ which maps $F^p$ to $F^{p-1}$ and $L_w$ to $L_{w-2}$. Hence $N$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures of type $(-1, -1)$.

In the isolated singularity case, there is a nice description of the space $F^2H^n(X_{f,x}, \mathbb{C})$ due to Varchenko [29], in terms of the geometrical weight of holomorphic $(n + 1)$-forms on $X$. As a consequence of this description one concludes that, if $Gr_{F}^{\mathbb{C}}H^n(X_{f,x}) \neq 0$, then $T_s$ has an eigenvalue 1 on it. This implies the following surprising supplement to the monodromy theorem in the isolated singularity case [2]: if $T$ has a Jordan block of size $n + 1$, then for the eigenvalue 1 it has a Jordan block of size $n$.

4. Hodge Structure via $\mathcal{D}$-Modules

For each complex manifold $X$ we have the coherent sheaf of rings $\mathcal{D}_X$ of germs of holomorphic differential operators on $X$. It is equipped with the increasing filtration $F$, by the order of differential operators, such that $Gr_F^F\mathcal{D}_X \simeq \text{Sym}(\Theta_X)$ where $\Theta_X$ is the tangent sheaf of $X$.

Each $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $M$ has its de Rham complex

$$DR(M) = \Omega^*_X \otimes_{\Theta_X} M[\dim X].$$

This de Rham functor defines an equivalence of categories

$$DR : D^b_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X) \sim \rightarrow D^b_{C}(C_X)$$

between the derived categories of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with regular holonomic cohomology sheaves and of bounded complexes of $C_X$-modules with constructible cohomology sheaves. The single regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules correspond in this way to the so-called perverse $C_X$-complexes. These are characterized by the fact that for $K \in \text{Perv}(C_X)$

$$\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(K), \dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(DK) \leq -i$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes Verdier duality. See [9, 3].

It appears that for $X$ a complex manifold of dimension $n + 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a holomorphic function, $\psi_f(C_X)[n]$ and $\phi_f(C_X)[n]$ are perverse [8]. Hence they correspond via the de Rham functor to regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\psi_f(\Theta_X)$ and $\phi_f(\Theta_X)$. These are defined as follows. Consider the $\mathcal{D}_X[t, \partial_t]$-modules $\mathcal{O}_X[t, \partial_t]$ and $\mathcal{O}_X[t, \partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}]$ where the action is defined by

$$g(h\partial_t^k) = gh\partial_t^k$$
$$v(h\partial_t^k) = v(h)\partial_t^k - v(f)h\partial_t^{k+1}$$
$$l(h\partial_t^k) = fh\partial_t^k - kh\partial_t^{k-1}$$

where $\{g, v, l\}$ denote the $\mathcal{D}_X$-structure on $\mathcal{O}_X[t, \partial_t]$.
\[ \partial_t (h \partial_t^k) = h \partial_t^{k+1} \]

for \( g, h \) sections of \( \Theta_X \) and \( v \) a section of \( \Theta_X \).

By [8], on \( \Theta_X[\partial_t] \) and \( \Theta_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}] \) there exist unique decreasing filtrations \( V^* \), discretely indexed by \( \mathbb{Q} \), such that

1. \( tV^\alpha \subset V^{\alpha+1} \) with equality for \( \alpha > 0 \)
2. \( \partial_t V^\alpha \subset V^{\alpha-1} \)
3. each \( V^\alpha \) is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{D}_X \)-module
4. \( \partial_t - \alpha \) is nilpotent on \( \text{Gr}_F \).

One has

\[ \psi_f(\Theta_X) = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_F^F \Theta_X[\partial_t] = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \psi_f^\alpha \]

\[ \phi_f(\Theta_X) = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \text{Gr}_F^F \Theta_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}] = \bigoplus_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} \phi_f^\alpha. \]

Observe that \( \text{can} : \psi_f^\alpha \sim \phi_f^\alpha \) for \( \alpha \neq 1 \).

The modules \( \Theta_X[\partial_t] \) and \( \Theta_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}] \) carry the increasing filtration \( F \) given by

\[ F_p \Theta_X[\partial_t] = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \Theta_X \partial_t^i \]

\[ F_p \Theta_X[\partial_t, \partial_t^{-1}] = \bigoplus_{i \leq p-1} \Theta_X \partial_t^i. \]

These induce filtrations \( F \) on \( \psi_f(\Theta_X) \), \( \phi_f(\Theta_X) \) and their de Rham complexes. Moreover we have

\[ T_s = \exp(-2\pi i \alpha), \quad N = -\partial_t t + \alpha \text{ on } \text{Gr}_F. \]

The nilpotent endomorphism \( N \) of \( \psi_f(\Theta_X) \) determines uniquely an increasing weight filtration \( L \) on it by \( \mathcal{D}_X \)-submodules in such a way that \( N(L_j) \subset L_{j-2} \) and \( N^k : \text{Gr}_F^{n+k} \sim \text{Gr}_F^{n-k} \). The data of the bifiltered \( \mathcal{D}_X \)-module

\[ (\psi_f(\Theta_X), F, L) \]

together with the rational structure

\[ \text{DR} \psi_f(\Theta_X) \simeq \psi_f(C_X)[n] \simeq \psi_f(Q_X)[n] \otimes_{Q_X} C_X \]

constitute the ingredients of a mixed Hodge module on \( X \) [15]. We similarly have a mixed Hodge module structure on \( \phi_f \), with the same \( L \) on \( \phi_f^\alpha \simeq \psi_f^\alpha \) for \( \alpha \neq 1 \) but with \( N^k : \text{Gr}_L^{n+k+1} \sim \text{Gr}_L^{n-k+1} \) on \( \phi_f^1 \). The natural map \( \text{can} : \psi_f \to \phi_f \) is a morphism of mixed Hodge modules.

If \( f : (X,x) \to (C,0) \) has an isolated singularity, \( \phi_f \) has support at \( x \). Because mixed Hodge modules with support at a point correspond to mixed Hodge structures, we have a mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology again. This case has been studied in detail in [20]. In general, \( \phi_f \) has support along the critical locus \( \Sigma \) of \( f \), and one has to take the restriction to \( \{x\} \) in the sense of mixed Hodge modules. This is a very delicate affair, even if \( \Sigma \) is a curve. One has to take iterated restrictions to divisors, and each of these steps involves
taking the cone over the morphism can between nearby and vanishing cycles (for a defining function for the divisor). The resulting object \( i^*_x \phi_f \) is no longer a single mixed Hodge structure, but a complex of mixed Hodge structures, satisfying \( H^k(i^*_x \phi_f) \simeq H^{k+n}(X, x) = 0 \) for \( k \not\in [\dim \Sigma, 0] \).

If \( \Sigma \) has dimension one, there is a description of \( \phi_f \) in terms of certain topological mappings and its restriction \( H \) to \( \Sigma \setminus \{x\} \). Choose a holomorphic function germ \( g \) on \( (X, x) \) such that \( \Sigma \cap g^{-1}(0) = \{x\} \). Observe that \( H \) is a variation of mixed Hodge structure (up to a shift) and that we have mixed Hodge structures \( \psi_g(H) \) and \( \phi_g(\phi_f) \). These have the following topological interpretation.

At each point of \( \Sigma \cap g^{-1}(\delta) \) for some small non-zero \( \delta \), take a slice transverse to \( \Sigma \) and let \( F^b \) denote the union of the Milnor fibres of the restriction of \( f \) to all of these transverse slices. There is a natural way to embed \( F^b \) into the Milnor fibre \( F \) of \( f \). We have (taking \( n \geq 2 \) for convenience)

\[
\psi_g(H) \simeq H^{n-1}(F^b)
\]
\[
\phi_g(\phi_f) \simeq H^n(F, F^b).
\]

The structure of \( \phi_f \) is now determined by \( H \) and a pair of mappings

\[
can : \psi_g(H) \longrightarrow \phi_g(\phi_f)
\]
\[
v\text{ar} : \phi_g(\phi_f) \longrightarrow \psi_g(H).
\]

Here \( can \) is interpreted as the natural map \( u : H^{n-1}(F^b) \rightarrow H^n(F, F^b) \) whereas \( v\text{ar} \) is related to the map \( v \) on homology defined in the following way. Represent \( \gamma \in H_{n-1}(F^b) \) by a cycle on \( F^b \) and sweep it around \( x \) once following a characteristic homeomorphism of the monodromy of \( g \) the local system \( H \). This gives a chain on \( F \) with boundary on \( F^b \), representing the element \( v(\gamma) \) of \( H_n(F, F^b) \). One has

\[v\text{ar} = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i v^i (uw^i)^t / (i + 1)\].

One has the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

\[0 \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(F) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(F, F^b) \overset{can}{\longrightarrow} H^n(F) \longrightarrow 0\].

Let \( f : (X, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0) \) and \( g : (Y, y) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0) \) be holomorphic function germs. Put

\[Z = X \times Y; \ z = (x, y); \ h = f + g : (Z, z) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)\].

(We will also denote \( h \) as \( f \oplus g \). A result of Thom and Sebastiani [22] expresses the vanishing cohomology and monodromy of \( h \) in terms of the monodromies for \( f \) and \( g \). Using the \( \mathcal{D} \)-module description above, one can show that

\[
\phi_h(\mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq p^*_X \phi_f(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes p^*_Y \phi_g(\mathcal{O}_Y)
\]

and relate the Hodge filtrations at both sides. This is a weakened version of a general Thom-Sebastiani formula for mixed Hodge modules due to M. Saito and P. Deligne (unpublished).
5. Discrete Invariants

The main discrete invariants of a mixed Hodge structure \((V,F,W)\) are its \textit{Hodge numbers}

\[
h^{pq}(V) = \dim \text{Gr}^p_F \text{Gr}^W_{p+q} V_C.
\]

If moreover an automorphism \(\gamma\) of finite order of \(V\) is given (like \(T_s\) in the case of the vanishing cohomology) it is convenient to split into eigenspaces of \(\gamma\) and to put

\[
h^{pq}_{\lambda}(V,\gamma) = \dim \ker(\gamma - \lambda I \mid \text{Gr}^p_F \text{Gr}^W_{p+q} V_C).
\]

These invariants involve the weight filtration, which is in general quite hard to handle. Forgetting about the weight filtration leads one to the weaker invariant of the \textit{spectrum}. For \(f : (X, x) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\) a hypersurface singularity one defines

\[
h^{p}(f,x) = \sum (-1)^j h^{pq}_{\lambda}(H^j i^*_x \phi f, T_s).
\]

For each \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\) let \(n_{\alpha} = h^{p}(f,x)\) where \(\lambda = \exp(-2\pi i \alpha)\) and \(p \in \mathbb{Z}\) is determined by \(n - p < \alpha \leq n - p + 1\). Then

\[
\text{Sp}(f,x) := \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}.
\]

If \(e \in \mathbb{N}\) is such that \(T^e_s = I\), then \(\text{Sp}(f,x) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/e}].\)

There are formulas for spectra of isolated quasi-homogeneous singularities in terms of the weights, and for Newton-nondegenerate isolated singularities in terms of the Newton diagram \([16, 6]\). A formula describing the behaviour of the spectrum in series of singularities was proven by M. Saito \([17]\).

For spectra the Thom-Sebastiani formula takes the elegant form

\[
\text{Sp}(f \oplus g, (x,y)) = \text{Sp}(f,x) \text{Sp}(g,y)
\]

(the right hand side is the product in the ring of fractional polynomials). This was conjectured in \([24, 27]\), proved in the isolated singularity case in \([29, 30]\) and in \([18]\) in general.

For isolated singularities, the spectrum is semicontinuous under deformation of the singularity in a certain sense \([30, 26]\). The proof is based on a semicontinuity result for Hodge numbers and the Thom-Sebastiani formula. It appears that a similar semicontinuity result also holds for certain deformations of non-isolated singularities \([28]\). This gives e.g. necessary conditions for the existence of (globalizable) deformations between arbitrary normal surface singularities. These correspond to admissible (in the sense of \([4]\)) deformations of weakly normal surface singularities, of which one considers the spectra. The proof uses the full strength of the formalism of mixed Hodge modules.
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A geometric analogue of the celebrated "Riemann hypothesis" is stated as

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda_0(\tilde{M}), \quad (1)$$

where \(\lambda_1(M)\) is the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian \(\Delta_M\) on a closed Riemannian manifold \(M\), and \(\lambda_0(\tilde{M})\) is the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian on the universal covering manifold \(\tilde{M}\) (see [21]). For instance, if \(M\) is a Riemann surface with constant negative curvature, the inequality (1) turns out to be equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis for the Selberg zeta function defined by an Euler product over prime closed geodesics in \(M\) (A. Selberg [17]).

The view that we regard (1) as an analogue of the Riemann hypothesis is supported also by the fact that, under finite-fold covering maps, the eigenvalues behave like the non-trivial zeros of the zeta functions of number fields [19]. It is worthwhile, on the other hand, to note that prime closed geodesics play the same role as prime ideals, though, in general, there does not exist a well-suited zeta function connecting the eigenvalues directly with closed geodesics. We may establish, however, a weak relationship between those objects, which is embodied as an analogue of the Weil's explicit formula (cf. S. Lang [13]). This illustrates more intimate kinship between spectral geometry and number theory. To explain it, let \(\varrho : \pi_1(M) \to U(N)\) be a unitary representation, and let \(\lambda_0(\varrho) \leq \lambda_1(\varrho) \leq \cdots\) be the eigenvalues of the Laplacian \(\Delta_\varrho\) acting on sections of the flat vector bundle associated with \(\varrho\) (which may be regarded as an analogue of the non-trivial zeros of an \(L\)-function). We define the (even) distribution \(\Theta(\varrho) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})\) by

$$\langle \Theta(\varrho), f \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\sqrt{\lambda_k(\varrho)}), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where \(\hat{f}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \cos(st) \, dt\). We also associate an (even) distribution \(\Theta_\alpha\) with each free homotopy class \(\alpha \in [S^1, M]\) of closed curves by setting

$$\langle \Theta_\alpha, f \rangle = \int_{[\Gamma]} U_f(\sigma x, x) \, dx, \quad (2)$$

where \(X = \tilde{M}, \quad \Gamma = \pi_1(M)\), and we identify \([S^1, M]\) with the set \([\Gamma] = \{[\sigma]\}\) of
conjugacy classes in $\Gamma$, and write $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ for the centralizer of $\sigma$. The function $U_{f}(x; y) \in C^{\infty}(X \times X)$ is the kernel function of the smooth operator

$$\hat{f}(\sqrt{A_{x}}) = \int f(t) \cos(t, \sqrt{A_{x}}) \, dt.$$  

We then obtain the following theorem which gives a refinement of the result by J. Chazarain [5] (see also [7, 9] and [25]).

**Theorem I.** (a) (trace formula) $\Theta(\varphi) = \sum_{[\sigma] \in \Gamma} \operatorname{tr} \varphi(\sigma) \Theta_{[\sigma]}$.

(b) The support of the distribution $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$ is contained in the set $\{ t \in \mathbb{R}; |t| \geq l_{[\sigma]} \}$, where $l_{[\sigma]}$ is the length of the shortest closed geodesics in $M$ whose homotopy class is $[\sigma]$, so that, when the right hand side of (a) is applied to a test function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, the sum over $[\Gamma]$ reduces to a finite one.

(c) The singular support of $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$ is contained in the set $\{ \pm \text{length of closed geodesics in } M \text{ with the homotopy class } [\sigma] \}$.

(d) For the unit element $1$ in $\Gamma$, the distribution $\Theta_{[1]}$ is temperate.

(e) The distribution $\Theta_{[\sigma]} (\sigma \neq 1)$ is extended to a functional on the space of test functions $f$ satisfying

$$|f^{(k)}(t)| \leq C \exp(- (h + \delta)|t|), \quad 0 \leq k < 1 + n/2$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$. Here $h$ is the exponential growth rate of volume of geodesic balls in $X$. Moreover, the sum $\sum_{[\sigma]} \operatorname{tr} \varphi(\sigma) \langle \Theta_{[\sigma]}, f \rangle$ converges absolutely and equals $\langle \Theta(\varphi), f \rangle$. If $\Gamma$ is of polynomial growth, then $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$ is also a temperate distribution.

(f) For $f_{t}(t) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-t^{2}/4\pi)$, we have

$$\langle \Theta(1), f_{t} \rangle = \mu_{t}(\Omega),$$

$$\langle \Theta_{[\sigma]}, f_{t} \rangle = \mu_{t}(\Omega_{[\sigma]}),$$

where $1$ denotes the trivial representation, $\Omega_{[\sigma]}$ is the connected component of the free loop space $\Omega = C^{0}(S^{1}, M)$ corresponding to the homotopy class $[\sigma]$, and $\mu_{t}$ is the Wiener measure on $\Omega$.

We should point out that, by a slight modification, all the statements above are generalized to the case of normal covering manifolds. We shall give an outline of the proof.

Let $X$ be a normal covering space of $M$ with covering transformation group $\Gamma$. From the finite propagation property of the wave equation, it follows that, if $d(x, y) > R$ and $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset \{ t \in \mathbb{R}; |t| < R \}$, then $U_{f}(x, y) = 0$. For each $R > 0$, if we put

$$H_{R} = \{ x \in \Gamma_{\sigma} \setminus X; d(x, \sigma x) \leq R \},$$

then $H_{R}$ is compact, so that $U_{f}(\sigma x, x)$ has compact support in $\Gamma_{\sigma} \setminus X$. Hence the distribution $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$ is well-defined (see (2)) and satisfies (b). Since $\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup R^{-1} \times$
log(vol(H_R)) \leq h$, applying the estimate established by J. Cheeger, M. Gromov and M. Taylor [6], we obtain (e).

Let $U_{e,f}(p, q) \in C^\infty(M \times M)$ be the kernel function of the operator

$$f_\ell(t) = \int f(i) \cos(t\sqrt{A_e}) \, dt.$$  

If we denote by $\omega$ the covering map of $X$ onto $M$, then

$$U_{e,f}(\omega(x), \omega(y)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}(\sigma) U_f(\sigma x, y).$$

Moreover, we find

$$\langle \Theta(\omega), f \rangle = \text{tr} f_\ell(\sqrt{D_e}) = \int_M U_{e,f}(p, p) \, dp.$$  

The proof of (a) is now carried out in the same way as that of the Selberg trace formula (see [17, 18]). Actually, (a) is specialized down to the Selberg’s formula when $M$ is a constant negatively curved surface.

The assertion (c) is shown in a similar manner as [5], by analyzing the wave front set of $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$. In fact, one has the following expression for $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$ in terms of the distribution kernel $U_x(t, x, y)$ of the operator $\cos(t\sqrt{A_x})$:

$$\Theta_{[\sigma]} = (\pi_\sigma)_*(p_{\sigma}^*)^{-1}(D_{\sigma}^*) U_X,$$

where $D_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R} \times X \to \mathbb{R} \times X \times X$ is the map defined by $D_{\sigma}(t, x) = (t, \sigma x, x)$, $p_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R} \times X \to \mathbb{R} \times (\Gamma_{\sigma} \backslash X)$ is the map induced by the covering projection $X \to \Gamma_{\sigma} \backslash X$, and $\pi_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R} \times (\Gamma_{\sigma} \backslash X) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first factor. We should note that $(D_{\sigma}^*) U_X$ is a $\Gamma_{\sigma}$-invariant distribution on $\mathbb{R} \times X$, and that the pull-back $p_{\sigma}^*$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times (\Gamma_{\sigma} \backslash X))$ onto the space of $\Gamma_{\sigma}$-invariant distributions on $\mathbb{R} \times X$. We then make use of the fact that the map $\pi_{\sigma}$ restricted to the support of $(p_{\sigma}^*)^{-1}(D_{\sigma}^*) U_X$ is proper (recall that $H_R$ is compact), so that the push-forward $(\pi_{\sigma})_*$ can be applied to this distribution. The rest of the proof is carried out by using the wave-front calculus.

The assertion (f) is a consequence of the observation that, for $f = f_\ell$, $U_f$ is the fundamental solution $k(\tau, x, y)$ of the heat equation on $X$ ([18]).

We now proceed to the proof of (d). We first recall that $\Theta(\varphi)$ is a temperate distribution. Indeed, this is deduced from the fact that the counting function $\varphi_\ell(\lambda) = \# \{ \lambda_k(\varphi) \leq \lambda \}$ satisfies $\varphi_\ell(\lambda) \sim C_\sigma \text{vol}(M) \lambda^{n/2}$ as $\lambda \uparrow \infty$, and the equality $\langle \Theta(\varphi), f \rangle = \int \hat{f}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \, d\varphi_\ell(\lambda)$. We wish to establish a similar expression for $\Theta_{[\sigma]}$, say

$$\langle \Theta_{[\sigma]}, f \rangle = \int \hat{f}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \, d\varphi(\lambda).$$

To define the increasing function $\varphi(\lambda)$, we first note that $\hat{f}(\sqrt{A_X})$ lies in the von Neumann algebra $\text{End}_f(L^2(X))$ of $\Gamma$-equivariant endomorphisms of $L^2(X)$ and $\langle \Theta_{[\sigma]}, f \rangle = \text{tr}_f \hat{f}(\sqrt{A_X})$, where $\text{tr}_f$ is a trace (called $\Gamma$-trace) in the sense of von Neumann algebras, and is equal, in this case, to

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} U_f(x, x) \, dx.$$
being a fundamental domain for the $\Gamma$-action (see M.F. Atiyah [1]). Let $A_x = \int \lambda \, dE_{\lambda}$ be the spectral resolution of $A_x$, so that

$$f(\sqrt{A_x}) = \int f(\sqrt{\lambda}) \, dE_{\lambda}.$$  

We observe that $E_{\lambda}$ is of $\Gamma$-trace class, and

$$\text{tr}_\Gamma f(\sqrt{A_x}) = \int f(\sqrt{\lambda}) \, d(\text{tr}_\Gamma E_{\lambda}).$$

Hence we have only to set $\phi(\lambda) = \text{tr}_\Gamma E_{\lambda}$.

Consider the special case $f = f_\tau$. Then $f_\tau(s) = e^{-\tau s^2}$, and

$$\int f(\tau, x, x) \, dx = \text{tr}_\Gamma f_\tau(\sqrt{A_x}) = \int e^{-\tau x^2} \, d\phi(\lambda).$$

The function $k(\tau, x, x)$ has the same asymptotic behavior as that of the heat kernel on $M$, and hence $k(\tau, x, x) \sim (4\pi\tau)^{-n/2}$ as $\tau \downarrow 0$, so that, applying the Tauberian theorem to $\phi$, we get

$$\phi(\lambda) \sim (4\pi)^{n/2} \frac{\text{vol}(M) \lambda^{n/2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right)}.$$  

This completes the proof of (d). 

The function $\phi(\lambda)$ includes much information of the spectrum of $A_x$. For instance, $\phi(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda < \lambda_0(X)$, and $\phi(\lambda) > 0$ if $\lambda > \lambda_0(X)$. The spectrum $\sigma(A_x)$ coincides with the set of increasing points of $\phi$. Let $\phi(\lambda) = \phi_{\text{ac}}(\lambda) + \phi_s(\lambda) + \phi_p(\lambda)$ be the (unique) decomposition into an absolutely continuous function $\phi_{\text{ac}}$, a singular continuous function $\phi_s$, and a step function $\phi_p$. If

$$(A_x, L^2(X)) = (A_x, H_{\text{ac}}) \oplus (A_x, H_s) \oplus (A_x, H_p)$$

is the decomposition into the absolutely continuous, singular, and pure point parts of $A_x$, then $\phi_{\text{ac}}(\lambda) = \text{tr}_\Gamma E_{\lambda} P_{\text{ac}}$, $\phi_s(\lambda) = \text{tr}_\Gamma E_{\lambda} P_s$, and $\phi_p(\lambda) = \text{tr}_\Gamma E_{\lambda} P_p$, where $P_{\text{ac}}$, $P_s$, and $P_p$ are the orthogonal projections onto $H_{\text{ac}}$, $H_s$, and $H_p$ respectively. Therefore the sets of increasing points of the functions $\phi_{\text{ac}}$ and $\phi_s$ are just the absolutely continuous spectrum and the singular spectrum of $A_x$ respectively. The point spectrum coincides with the set of jumping points of $\phi_p$.

When $X = \tilde{M}$ and $M$ has no null-homotopic closed geodesics except for point geodesics, the assertion (c) says that the singular support of $\Theta_{(1)}$ is $\{0\}$. It is an interesting problem to examine the decay of the function $\Theta_{(1)}$ at infinity. For instance, if $\Theta_{(1)}$ is in $L^2$ at infinity, it is shown that $A_x$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. In fact, from the above argument, we have

$$\langle \Theta_{(1)} f \rangle = \int f(\lambda) \, d\psi(\lambda) = \langle \psi, f \rangle \quad (\psi(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda^2)),$$

so that $\hat{\psi} = \Theta_{(1)} = T_1 + T_2$, where $T_1$ is a distribution with compact support and $T_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In view of the Fourier inversion formula and the Parseval equality, we
may write \( \tilde{\psi} = S_1 + S_2 \), where \( S_1 \) is analytic and \( S_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Hence \( \tilde{\psi} \) is in \( L^2_{\text{loc}} \), and \( \varphi \) is absolutely continuous. We conjecture that, if \( M \) is nonpositively curved, the function \( \Theta_{[11]} \) decays with the order of \( |t|^{-\alpha} \) at \( t = \pm \infty \) with some \( \alpha > 0 \).

**Example 1.** When \( M \) is a flat torus and \( X = \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\varphi(\lambda) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \text{vol}(M) \lambda^{n/2}/I\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \quad (\lambda \geq 0).
\]

This follows from the Jacobi's inversion formula for the \( \theta \)-series (a special case of (a) in Theorem I).

**Example 2.** Let \( M \) be a closed Riemann surface with constant negative curvature \((-1)\), and let \( X \) be the universal covering. The Selberg trace formula leads to the identity

\[
\text{tr}_F \exp(-tA_X) = (4\pi)^{-1} \text{vol}(M) \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-t\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right)\right) \tanh \pi \sqrt{\lambda} \, d\lambda,
\]

from which we easily deduce

\[
\varphi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
(4\pi)^{-1} \text{vol}(M) \int_0^{\lambda^{-1/4}} \tanh \pi \sqrt{\lambda} \, d\lambda & \text{for } \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & \text{for } \lambda < \frac{1}{4}.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( \varphi(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{6} \text{vol}(M)(\lambda - \frac{1}{4})^{3/2} \) as \( \lambda \downarrow \frac{1}{4} \).

**Example 3.** Let \( X \to M \) be an infinite-fold covering with an abelian covering transformation group of rank \( b \). Then \( \varphi(\lambda) \) is smooth on an interval \((0, \delta)\) for some \( \delta > 0 \), and \( \varphi(\lambda) \sim C\lambda^{b/2} \) as \( \lambda \downarrow 0 \). To see this, we make use of (e) in Theorem I:

\[
\text{tr} \exp(-\tau A_X) = \sum_{[\sigma]} \text{tr} \chi(\sigma) \langle \Theta_{[1]}, f(X) \rangle,
\]

\( \chi \) being a character of \( \Gamma \). Integrating the both sides over the character group \( \hat{\Gamma} \) with respect to the normalized Haar measure, we have

\[
\text{tr}_F \exp(-tA_X) = \int_{\hat{\Gamma}} \text{tr} \exp(-tA_X) \, d\chi,
\]

where we have applied the orthogonal relations of characters. Thus we obtain the equation

\[
\int_{\hat{\Gamma}} \, d\chi \int e^{-t\lambda} \, d\varphi_X(\lambda) = \int e^{-t\lambda} \, d\varphi(\lambda),
\]

which implies \( \varphi(\lambda) = \int_{\hat{\Gamma}} \varphi_X(\lambda) \, d\chi \). We now recall the fact that \( \lambda_0(\mathbb{1}) = 0 \) and \( \lambda_0(\chi) \) is simple for \( \chi \) in a small neighborhood of \( \mathbb{1} \), from which it follows that when \( \lambda \geq 0 \) is small enough, \( \varphi_X(\lambda) = 1 \) for \( \chi \) with \( \lambda_0(\chi) \leq \lambda \), and \( \varphi_X(\lambda) = 0 \) otherwise. Hence we have
\[ \varphi(\lambda) = \text{vol}\{ \chi \in \hat{\Gamma}; \lambda_0(\chi) \leq \lambda \}. \]

Since \( \lambda_0(\chi) \) smoothly depends on \( \chi \) around a neighborhood of \( \mathbb{1} \), and the Hessian of \( \lambda_0(\chi) \) at \( \chi = \mathbb{1} \) is positive definite [11], the assertion follows easily.

For a normal infinite-fold covering \( X \to M \), the bottom \( \lambda_0(X) \) lies in the continuous spectrum of \( \Delta_X \) [21], that is, \( \varphi(\lambda) \to 0 \) as \( \lambda \downarrow \lambda_0 \). In view of the above examples, we conjecture that there exist positive constants \( c \) and \( C \) such that

\[ \varphi(\lambda) \sim C(\lambda - \lambda_0)^c \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \downarrow \lambda_0. \quad (4) \]

We now go back to the analogue of "Riemann hypothesis". The inequality (1) does not hold in general. Even for constant negatively curved surfaces, we have many counter examples (B. Randol [15], P. Buser [4], and [19]). H. Donnelly [8] established, however, a weak version of (1). To be exact, consider a set \( \{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) of finite-fold subcoverings of a normal covering \( X \to M \), and let \( I_i \) be the subgroup of finite index in \( \Gamma \) corresponding to the covering map \( X \to M_i \). Let us introduce the counting function \( \varphi_{M_i}(\lambda) = \max\{k; \lambda_1(M_i) \leq \lambda \} \), where \( \lambda_1(M_i) \leq \lambda_2(M_i) \leq \cdots \) are the positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian on \( M_i \) (so that \( \varphi_{M_i} = \varphi_i - 1 \)). The "Riemann hypothesis" (1) for \( M_i \) is stated as \( \varphi_{M_i}(\lambda) = 0 \) for \( \lambda < \lambda_0(M) \).

Suppose that \( d(M_i) \to \infty \), where

\[ d(M_i) = \inf_{\sigma \in I_i} \inf_{x \in X} d(x, \sigma x), \]

which, in the case that \( X = \hat{M} \), coincides with the infimum of length of non-null homotopic closed geodesics in \( M_i \). Then, by [8], we have

\[ \lim \sup_{i \to \infty} \text{vol}(M_i)^{-1} \varphi_{M_i}(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda < \lambda_0(X), \]

\[ \lim \inf_{i \to \infty} \text{vol}(M_i)^{-1} \varphi_{M_i}(\lambda) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda > \lambda_0(X). \]

In other words, the set of eigenvalues in the interval \([0, \lambda_0(X)]\) is "thin" in the totality of eigenvalues on covering manifolds \( M_i \).

We shall give a more precise statement.

**Theorem II.** Let \( X \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \) be a normal covering, and let \( \{\varrho_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of finite-dimensional unitary representations of \( \Gamma \). Suppose that

\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} (\text{dim } \varrho_i)^{-1} \text{Re } \text{tr } \varrho_i(\sigma) = 0 \]

for every \( \sigma \neq 1 \) in \( \Gamma \). Then

\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} (\text{dim } \varrho_i)^{-1} \varphi_{\varrho_i}(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda) \]

at every continuity point \( \lambda \) of \( \varphi \).

Let \( \{M_i\} \) be as above. As an example of \( \{\varrho_i\} \), let us take the regular representation \( \varrho_i \) of \( \Gamma \) on \( L^2(\Gamma/I_i) \). Then
It is easily checked that \( d(M_i) \to \infty \) if and only if, for \( \sigma \neq 1 \), \( \text{tr} \varrho_i(\sigma) = 0 \) for sufficiently large \( i \). Furthermore we observe that \( A_{M_i} \) is unitarily equivalent to \( A_{\varrho_i} \). Thus we obtain

**Corollary 1.** If \( d(M_i) \to \infty \), then

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \text{vol}(M_i)^{\frac{1}{d}} \varphi_{M_i}(\lambda) = \text{vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{d}} \varphi(\lambda)
\]

at all the continuity points of \( \varphi \), and hence for continuity points \( \alpha < \beta \) of \( \varphi \), we have

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \text{vol}(M_i)^{\frac{1}{d}} \# \{ \lambda_k(M_i); \alpha < \lambda_k(M_i) \leq \beta \} = \text{vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{d}} (\varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha)).
\]

Especially, \( \sigma(A_X) \subset \text{the closure of } \bigcup_i \sigma(A_{M_i}) \).

This corollary gives a partial generalization of a result by H. Huber [10] (see also [26]).

**Corollary 2.** Under the same condition as above, if \( \Gamma \) is amenable, then \( \sigma(A_X) = \text{the closure of } \bigcup_i \sigma(A_{M_i}) \).

In fact, from amenability, it follows that \( \sigma(A_{M_i}) \subset \sigma(A_X) \) for every \( M_i \) (see R. Brooks [2], and [19]).

It is interesting to observe a similarity of Corollary 1 to the thermodynamical-limit-results in statistical physics. The conjecture (4) on \( \varphi \) bears a resemblance to that on critical exponents for phase transitions.

To prove Theorem II, it suffices to show

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} (\text{dim} \varrho_i)^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d\varphi_{\varrho_i}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d\varphi(\lambda)
\]

(cf. M.A. Shubin [16]). The left hand side of (5) is equal to

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} (\text{dim} \varrho_i)^{-1} \text{tr} \exp(-tA_{\varrho_i})
\]

\[
= \text{tr} \Gamma \exp(-tA_X) + \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{\sigma \neq 1} (\text{dim} \varrho_i)^{-1} (\text{Re} \text{tr} \varrho_i(\sigma)) \langle \Theta_{[\sigma], f_i} \rangle
\]

\[
= \text{tr} \Gamma \exp(-tA_X) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d\varphi(\lambda).
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We are now concerned with a fine structure of the spectrum of \( A_X \) on a normal infinite-fold covering manifold \( X \). If the covering transformation group \( \Gamma \) is abelian, the spectrum has band structure, namely \( \sigma(A_X) \) consists of a series of intervals without accumulations ([12]). We conjecture that this is true for general cases. An evidence supporting this conjecture will be explained below.
We identify $L^2(X)$ with $L^2(\Gamma, V) = L^2(\mathcal{D}) \otimes V$ in a natural manner, where $V = L^2(\mathcal{D})$. We define the subalgebra $C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ of $\text{End}_\mathcal{H}(L^2(X))$ to be the completion of $C_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}) = C_0(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the operator norm, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the algebra of compact operators of $L^2(\mathcal{D})$, and $C_0(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ acts on $L^2(X)$ by
\[
f \in L^2(\Gamma, V) \mapsto Af \in L^2(\Gamma, V)
\]
\[\left( (Af)(\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} A(\mu^{-1}\sigma)f(\mu), \quad A \in C_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}). \right]
\]
The algebra $C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ is what we call the reduced group $C^*$-algebra with value in $\mathcal{H}$. From the finite propagation property for the wave equation, we deduce that $\hat{f}(\sqrt{A_X}) \in C_0(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. Given a rapidly decreasing function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, by approximating $f$ by functions $f_n$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ in the $L^1$-sense, we find that $\hat{f}(\sqrt{A_X}) \in C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. In fact,
\[
\|\hat{f}(\sqrt{A_X}) - \hat{f}_n(\sqrt{A_X})\| \leq \sup |\hat{f} - \hat{f}_n| \leq \|f - f_n\|_{L^1}.
\]

Especially $\exp(-tA_X) \in C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. Applying again an approximation-argument, we observe that if two real numbers $a$ and $b$ are not in the spectrum of $A_X$ ($b > a$), then the projection $E_b - E_a$ is in $C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. We thus have

**Theorem III** [22]. Suppose that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\text{tr}_\Gamma P \geq C$ for every non-trivial orthogonal projection $P$ in $C^*_\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. Then the spectrum of $A_X$ has band structure (possibly with degenerate intervals, say $[\lambda, \mu]$ with $\lambda = \mu$, corresponding to isolated eigenvalues). Moreover, the number of components of the spectrum which intersect with $(-\infty, \lambda]$ has the following asymptotic estimate
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sup \frac{C\Gamma(1 + n/2)N(\lambda)}{(4\pi)^n \text{vol}(M)\lambda^{n/2}} \leq 1.
\]

The asymptotic estimate is a consequence of the asymptotic formula (3) for $\varphi(\lambda)$. A large class of discrete groups seems to satisfy the condition in the above theorem (cf. M. Pimsner [14]). For example, a free product of finite number of finite groups and infinite cyclic groups satisfies the condition with $C =$ the reciprocal of the least common multiple of the orders of the finite groups. The Kadison conjecture says that one may take 1 as $C$ if $\Gamma$ is torsion free.

We conclude the discussion with pointing out that the method employed throughout may be applied to a Schrödinger operator on $X$ with a $\Gamma$-invariant potential, thereby leading to non-abelian Bloch's theory.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to H. Donnelly for drawing their attention to the paper [8].
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1. Introduction

It is one of fundamental problems in differential geometry to find a distinguished metric on a smooth manifold. H. Poincaré's Uniformization theorem settles this problem for Riemann surfaces. That is, there is a unique metric with constant curvature in each Kähler class on a Riemann surface. Trying to generalize it to higher dimensions, E. Calabi conjectured in the 50s the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a compact Kähler manifold with its first Chern class definite. A Kähler-Einstein metric is a Kähler metric with constant Ricci curvature.

In the middle of the 70s, this conjecture was solved by S. T. Yau in case the first Chern class is vanishing and Aubin and Yau, independently, in case the Chern class is negative (cf. [Y1]). The uniqueness in these two cases was done by E. Calabi himself in the 50s. Such Kähler-Einstein metrics were then applied to studying projective manifolds. For instance, Yau used these metrics to show the Miyaoka-Yau inequality on surface of general type, its generalized version in higher dimensions and the characterization of the quotients of the complex hyperbolic spaces (cf. [Y2]). We also refer readers to [CY, Ko, Ts, TY1] for the generalizations of these to quasi-projective manifolds.

However, this conjecture of Calabi still remains open in general in case the first Chern class is positive. In this paper, we will survey the recent progress on this part of Calabi's conjecture, including the uniqueness and the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, the outline of the complete solution for Calabi's conjecture in case of complex dimension two, etc. Some related problems will also be discussed.

From now on, we always denote by $M$ a compact Kähler manifold with positive first Chern class $C_1(M)$, that is, $M$ is a smooth Fano variety. Then we can choose a Kähler metric $g$ with its Kähler class $\omega_g$ representing $C_1(M)$. In local coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of $M$ with $\dim C M = n$, if $g$ is represented by positive hermitian metrics $\{g_{i\bar{j}}(z)\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$,

$$\omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{i\bar{j}} \, dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$
It is well-known that the Ricci curvature form $\text{Ric}(g)$ also represents the first Chern class, therefore, there is a smooth function $f$ in $C^\infty(M, R)$ such that

$$
\text{Ric}(g) = \omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} f
$$

and

$$
\int_M (e^f - 1) \omega^n_g = 0
$$

where $\omega^n_g = \omega_g \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g$. In local coordinates, the Ricci curvature has the following expression

$$
\text{Ric}(g) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{ij} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.
$$

It follows from this that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on $M$ is equivalent to the solvability of the following complex Monge-Ampère equation,

$$
\left\{
\begin{align*}
(\omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n & = e^{f - \varphi} \omega^n_g \quad \text{on } M \\
(\omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi) & > 0 \quad \text{on } M
\end{align*}
\right.
$$

where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$.

In case (1.1) has a solution $\varphi$, then $\omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$ gives the Kähler form of a Kähler-Einstein metric.

**Theorem 1.1** (Bando and Mabuchi [BM]). *The solution of (1.1) is unique modulo the connected component $\text{Aut}_0(M)$ of $\text{Aut}(M)$ containing the identity if it exists, where $\text{Aut}(M)$ denotes the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of $M$. In particular, it implies the uniqueness of the Kähler-Einstein metric on $M$ if it exists.*

Since Yau’s solution of Calabi’s conjecture in case of vanishing first Chern class more than fifteen years ago, it has been known that the solvability of (1.1) follows from an a priori $C^0$-estimate for the solutions, namely, there is a uniform constant $C$ depending only on $M$ and $g$ such that for any solution $\varphi$ of (1.1),

$$
\sup_M |\varphi| \leq C. \quad (1.2)
$$

However, such an estimate (1.2) does not exist in general due to the analytic obstructions discussed in the next section.
2. Some Obstructions

Let \( \eta(M) \) be the Lie algebra of the automorphism group \( \text{Aut}(M) \), that is, \( \eta(M) \) consists of all holomorphic vector fields on \( M \). In [Fu], Futaki defined an analytic invariant \( F : \eta(M) \to \mathbb{C} \) as follows,

\[
F(X) = \int_M X(f) \omega^n, \quad X \in \eta(M).
\]

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose that \((M, g)\) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature. Then

1. (Matsushima, 1957 [Ma]) The Lie algebra \( \eta(M) \) is reductive;
2. (Futaki, 1983, [Fu]) The Futaki invariant \( F \) is identically zero on \( \eta(M) \).

Blowing up a one or two points in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \), we obtain a complex surface with positive first Chern class and non-reductive Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields. Therefore, by the result of Matsushima, such a surface does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric. In [Fu], Futaki constructed a Fano 3-fold with reductive Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields, but nonvanishing Futaki invariant. These obstructions indicate that there is no a priori \( C^0 \)-estimate for the solution of (1.1), in general.

Both obstructions of Matsushima and Futaki come from holomorphic vector fields. One might expect that the absence of holomorphic vector field, as is the case with most Fano varieties, would exclude these obstructions. However, there is a very disturbing example constructed in [T1]. Before we give this example, we first remark that Calabi’s conjecture can be generalized to compact Kähler orbifolds with positive Chern class and the previous discussions are still effective. Using Volume Comparison Theorem [Bi], one can show

**Theorem 2.2** [T1]. Let \((M, g)\) be a \( n \)-dimensional Kähler-Einstein orbifold with positive first Chern class. Then for any singular point \( x \) in \( M \),

\[
\#(G_x) < \frac{(2n - 1)^n \text{Vol}(S^{2n})}{C_1(M)^n}
\]

where \( G_x \) is the local uniformization group of \( x \) in \( M \), \( C_1(M)^n \) is the volume of \( M \) with respect to any Kähler metric with the associated Kähler form in \( C_1(M) \) and \( \text{Vol}(S^{2n}) \) is the volume of \( S^{2n} \) with respect to the standard metric. In particular, in case \( n = 2 \), (2.1) becomes

\[
\#(G_x) < \frac{48}{C_1(M)^2}.
\]

**Example 2.1** [T1]. By blowing up \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) successively at \([0,0,1], [0,1,0], [1,0,0], [0,1,1]\) seven times, one can obtain a rational surface \( \tilde{M} \) without holomorphic vector fields and containing a Hirzebruch-Jung string \( D = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 \) with \( E_1 \) smooth rational curves and \( E_1^2 = E_2^2 = -3, E_3^2 = E_4^2 = -2, E_1 \cdot E_j = 1 \) if \( i - j = \pm 1 \); \( = 0 \) otherwise.

Let \( M \) be the 2-dimensional Kähler orbifold obtained from \( \tilde{M} \) by collapsing the Hirzebruch string \( D \). Then \( M \) has positive first Chern class \( C_1(M) \) with \( C_1(M)^2 = 3 \) and an orbifold singular point \( x \) of type \( C^2/\sigma \), where \( \sigma : (z_1, z_2) \to

\[
(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) \mapsto \frac{z_1}{z_2}.
\]
(e^{(\frac{1}{16})z_1, e^{(\frac{7}{16})z_2}}) for the Euclidean coordinates z_1, z_2 on C^2, e^{(\frac{1}{m})} = \exp^{(\frac{2\pi i \sqrt{-1}}{m})}.

In particular, #(G_X) = 16 in equal to 48/C_1(M)^2. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, M does not admit any Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric. On the other hand, M has no holomorphic vector field since M does not.

This example indicates that holomorphic vector fields may not be only obstructions in solving Calabi's conjecture. The author believes that the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature should be closely related to the geometry of pluri-anti-canonical divisors.

3. Some Existence Theorems

In this section, let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with the Kähler form \( \omega_g \) in \( C_1(M) \) as given in the first section. We will give some existence results. First of all, let us mention two known results among others, for special Kähler manifolds with positive first Chern class, in particular, those manifolds having a lot of holomorphic automorphisms. In [Ma2], Matsushima showed that every simply-connected homogeneous Kähler manifold admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. In case M is a \( P^1 \)-bundle of certain type with \( C^* \)-actions which restrict to \( C^* \)-actions on the fibers \( P^1 \), Koiso and Sakane [KS] showed that M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric iff the Futaki invariant is zero. The manifold M in all these examples has very high homogeneity. In fact, until 1986, Siu [Si] and the author [T2] provided the first examples of compact Kähler-Einstein manifolds with positive first Chern class and without holomorphic vector fields. Precisely, Siu showed the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on the 2-dimensional Fermat surface and the surface obtained by blowing up \( CP^2 \) at three generic points, while the author showed the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on n-dimensional Fermat hypersurfaces of degree n or \( n+1 \). Their proofs are completely different. In fact, the examples of the author are just corollaries of a general existence theorem proved in the same paper [T2]. Let us first describe this result.

Let G be any compact subgroup in Aut(M). This group G preserves the first Chern class \( C_1(M) \), therefore we may take g, f to be \( G \)-invariant. Define

\[
P_G(M, g) = \left\{ \phi \in C^\omega(M, g) \right\}
\]

\[
| \phi \text{ is } G \text{-invariant on } M, \omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0, \sup_M \phi = 0 \}
\]

\[
\alpha(M) = \sup\{ \alpha \mid \exists C_\alpha > 0 \text{ s.t. } \int_M e^{-\alpha \phi} dV_g \leq C_\alpha \text{ for all } \phi \in P_G(M, g) \}.
\]

It is not hard to check that \( \alpha(M) \) is independent of the particular choice of G, g, that is, \( \alpha(M) \) is a holomorphic invariant.

**Theorem 3.1** [T2]. Let M be a compact Kähler manifold with \( C_1(M) > 0 \) as above. Then M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric whenever \( \alpha(M) > n/n+1 \).

Theorem 3.1 is proved by deriving an a priori \( C^0 \)-estimate for the solutions of (1.1).
Remark. There is another proof of Theorem 3.1 given by W. Ding later in [Di]. His approach is interesting by itself and was based on an inequality proposed by T. Aubin.

Example 3.1. Let \( M \) be a smooth complete intersection in \( CP^{pq} \) defined by Fermat polynomials \( \sum_{i=0}^{pq} a_i z_i^p = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq q \), where \([z_0, \ldots, z_{pq}]\) is the homogeneous coordinate of \( CP^{pq} \) and \( p \geq 2, q \geq 1 \) are positive integers. Then by Adjunction Formula (cf. [GH]), one can easily see that \( C(M) \) is just the restriction of the hyperplane section on \( CP^{pq} \). and the maximal compact subgroup \( G \) in \( \text{Aut}(M) \) contains all transformations of form \( \sigma_j: [z_0, \ldots, z_{pq}] \rightarrow [z_0, \ldots, e^{(1/p)} z_j, \ldots, z_{pq}] \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq pq \). The dimension \( n \) of \( M \) is \((p - 1)q\). One can show (cf. [T3])

\[ \alpha(M) \geq 1. \]

In particular, these complete intersections have Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature.

Besides those mentioned above, one can find in [TY2, T3, Na] etc. more examples of Kähler-Einstein manifolds with positive scalar curvature by using this theorem.

The same proof as that for Theorem 3.1 in [T2] also shows

**Theorem 3.2** (cf. [TY2]). Any compact Kähler manifold \( M \) with positive first Chern class admits a Kähler metric \( g \), with Kähler form \( \omega_g \), in \( C^1(M) \) and Ricci curvature \( \geq t \) for any \( t \) between \( \min\{1, \frac{n+1}{n} \alpha(M)\} \) and 1.

By Bishop's Volume Comparison Theorem, one can derive from it

**Corollary 3.1.** For any compact Kähler manifold \( M \) with \( C_1(M) > 0 \), we have

\[ C_1(M)^n \leq C_n \alpha(M)^{-n} \]

where \( C_n \) is a constant depending only on \( n \).

In case \( n = 2 \), we have the following complete solution of Calabi conjecture.

**Theorem 3.3** [T4]. Let \( M \) be a complex surface with positive first Chern class, i.e., a Del-Pezzo surface. Then \( M \) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric iff \( \eta(M) \) is reductive.

We will outline the proof of this theorem in the next section. Next we briefly discuss the application of \( L^2 \)-estimate for \( \bar{\partial} \)-operators to estimating \( \alpha(M) \) from below.

**Theorem 3.4** (Theorem 3.1 in [T2]). Let \( \{\phi_i\} \) be a sequence of functions in \( P(M, g) \), \( \lambda \) be a positive number. Then there exists a subsequence \( \{i_k\} \) of \( \{i\} \) and a subvariety \( S_k \) of \( M \) with \( \text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_k \leq \text{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M - 1 \) such that

1. \( \forall \ z \in M - S_k, \ \exists \ r > 0, \ C > 0, \ \text{s.t.} \)

\[ \int_{B_r(z)} e^{-\lambda \phi_{i_k}(w)} dV_g(w) \leq C \]
2. \( \forall z \in S_\lambda, \)
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_r(z)} e^{-\lambda \phi_k(w)} dV_g(w) = +\infty \quad \text{for all } r.
\]

This theorem can be proved with help of Theorem 5.2.4 in Hörmander's book [Ho]. It implies that the solution \( \varphi_t \) of (1.1) should behave well outside a subvariety. Note that the degree of this subvariety can be controlled in terms of the Chern numbers of \( M \). Obviously, \( \alpha(M) \geq \lambda_0 \) is equivalent to \( S_\lambda = \emptyset \) for \( \lambda < \lambda_0 \). Therefore, it is important to understand \( S_\lambda \) for \( \lambda \leq 1 \). Since there is no nonconstant holomorphic function on \( M \), one can easily show that each \( S_\lambda \) is connected by the same arguments as in the proof of the Theorem 3.4 (cf. [T2]).

In fact, recently, A.Nadel has obtained more information on such a subvariety \( S_\lambda \) for \( \lambda < 1 \) by Bochner identities for \((0,q)\)-forms with \( 0 < q \leq n \). It can be explained as follows. Denote by \( I_\lambda \) the ideal sheaf of \( S_\lambda \) counting multiplicity, then for each open subset \( U \subset M, I_\lambda(U) \) consists of those local holomorphic functions \( f \) such that \( \int_U |f|^{2e^{-\lambda \phi_k}} dV_g \) are uniformly bounded for all \( k \). On the other hand, one can easily choose the metric \( g \) such that \( \text{Ric}(g) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_k > 0 \) on \( M \). Therefore \( h'(M, I_\lambda) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1, \lambda < 1 \) by same argument as that in the proof of Kodaira's Vanishing Theorem (cf. [KM, Na]).

For more applications of \( L^2 \)-estimates to bounding \( \alpha(M) \) from below, the readers may refer [T5, TY2].

4. Solution of Calabi's Conjecture for Surfaces

Theorem 3.3 gives the complete solution to Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces. In this section, we describe briefly the three major steps in the proof. Let \( M \) be a complex surface with positive first Chern class. In the first step, we deform the complex structure on \( M \) to obtain a smooth family of Kähler surfaces \( \{M_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \) with positive first Chern class such that \( M_1 = M \) and \( M_0 \) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric \( g_0 \). The existence of such a family follows from the main theorem in [TY2] and the classification theory of complex surfaces. Now we define \( E = \{t \in [0,1]| M_t \text{ has a Kähler-Einstein metric for any } t' \leq t\}. \) Then \( E \) is nonempty. It is not hard to prove by the Implicit Function Theorem that \( E \) is open in \([0,1]\). Therefore, in order to show that \( M = M_1 \), has a Kähler-Einstein metric, it suffices to prove that \( E \) is closed. We should point out that the closedness is the most difficult part in the solution of Calabi's conjecture. We accomplish this in the following two steps. Without losing generality, we may assume that \( E = [0,1) \). Let \( g_t \) \((0 \leq t < 1) \) be the Kähler-Einstein metrics on \( M_t \). We need to show that \( g_t \) converges to a metric \( g_1 \) on \( M \) in \( C^2 \)-topology. Since \( \{M_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \) is a smooth family of Kähler surfaces with positive first Chern class, there are Kähler metrics \( \tilde{g}_t \) on \( M_t \) \((0 \leq t \leq 1) \) such that their Kähler forms \( \omega_{\tilde{g}_t} \) are in \( C_1(M_t) = C_1(M) \). Therefore, for each \( t < 1 \), \( \omega_{\tilde{g}_t} = \omega_{\tilde{g}_t} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_t \) for a unique function \( \varphi_t \) such that

\[
\omega_{\tilde{g}_t}^2 = e^{\tilde{f}_t-\varphi_t} \omega_{\tilde{g}_t}^2 \quad \text{on } M_t \tag{4.1_t}
\]

where \( \tilde{f}_t \) are smooth functions determined by \( \tilde{g}_t \) \((0 \leq t \leq 1) \), namely,
Now, in order to have \( g_t \) converge to a metric on \( M \), it suffices to derive an a priori \( C^0 \)-estimate of \( \varphi_t \) (0 ≤ \( t \) ≤ 1).

In the second step in the solution of Calabi's conjecture, we give a partial \( C^0 \)-estimate for \( \varphi_t \).

**Theorem 4.1.** For any positive integer \( m \equiv 0 \mod 6 \), there is a constant \( C_m \) independent of \( \varphi_t \) such that there are an orthonormal basis \( \{ S_i(t) \}_{0 \leq i \leq N_m} \) of \( H^0(M, K_M^{-m}) \) with respect to the inner product induced by \( \bar{g}_t \), and a sequence of positive numbers \( 0 < \lambda_0(t) \leq \lambda_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{N_m}(t) = 1 \) satisfying:

\[
\left\| \varphi_t - \sup_M \varphi_t - \frac{1}{m} \log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N_m} \lambda_i(t) \| S_i(t) \|_{\bar{g}_t}^2 \right) \right\|_{C^0(M_t)} \leq C_m \tag{4.2}
\]

where \( \| \cdot \|_{\bar{g}_t} \) are the norms of \( K_{-m}^\ast \) induced by \( \bar{g}_t \).

**Remark.** It is interesting to know whether or not \( m \) has to be the multiple of 6 in (4.1).

The estimate in (4.1) in particular implies that each \( \varphi_t - \sup_M \varphi_t \) is uniformly bounded in any compact subset outside the zero locus of \( S_{N_m}(t) \). That is why (4.2) is called a partial \( C^0 \)-estimate of \( \varphi_t \). Since there is no known local estimate for the solutions of complex Monge-Ampère equations, such a partial \( C^0 \)-estimate is very precious. The key observation in the proof of (4.2) is: by some computations and the maximum principle, one can show that (4.2) is equivalent to

\[
\log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \| S'_i(t) \|_{\bar{g}_t}^2 \right) \geq C'_m \tag{4.3}
\]

where \( C'_m \) is a constant independent of \( t \), \( \| \cdot \|_{\bar{g}_t} \) is the hermitian metric on \( K_{-m}^\ast \) induced by \( g_t \) and \( \{ S'_i(t) \}_{0 \leq i \leq N_m} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( H^0(M, K_M^{-m}) \) with respect to the inner product induced by \( g_t \). In [T4], (4.3) is proved by using Cheeger-Gromov's compactness Theorem, Uhlenbeck's Yang-Mills estimates and \( L^2 \)-estimates for \( \bar{\partial} \)-operators.

In the last step of our solution for Calabi's conjecture, we first prove a numerical criterion for the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on \( M \). To describe it, let us denote by \( P_{G, m, k}(M, \bar{g}_1) \) the collection of all \( G \)-invariant functions of the form

\[
\frac{1}{m} \log(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \| S_i \|_{\bar{g}_1}^2),
\]

where \( \{ S_i \}_{0 \leq i \leq N_m} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( H^0(M, K_M^{-m}) \) with respect to the metric \( \bar{g}_1 \), \( 0 \leq k \leq N_m \) and \( N_m = h^0(M, K_M^{-m}) - 1 \). Define

$$
\alpha_{m,k}(M) = \sup \left\{ \alpha | \exists C_\alpha > 0, \text{ s.t. } \int_M e^{-\alpha \varphi} dV_{\bar{g}} \leq C_\alpha, \text{ for any } \varphi \text{ in } P_{G, m, k}(M, \bar{g}_1) \right\}.
$$
Theorem 4.2. Fix an integer $m$ such that (4.1)$_t$ hold for all $t < 1$. If either $\alpha_{m,1}(M) > 2/3$ or $\alpha_{m,2}(M) > 2/3$ and $\alpha_{m,1}(M) > l_0/(l_0 + 1)$, where $l_0 = \max\{0, 2 - \frac{3\alpha_{m,2}(M) - 2}{\alpha_{m,2}(M)}\}$, then $M$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

Remark. In general, if we replace 3 by $n + 1$ and 2 by $n$ in Theorem 4.2 and the partial $C^0$-estimate in Theorem 4.1 is valid for solutions of (1.1)$_t$, then the above conclusion is still true. It is just Theorem 6.1 in [T5].

In [T4], by direct computation, it is proved that $\alpha_{6,1}(M) > 2/3$, $\alpha_{6,2}(M) > 2/3$ for any complex surface $M$ with positive first Chern class. Therefore, the proof for Theorem 3.3 is finished.

The lower bounds of these $\alpha_{m,k}(M)$ seem to be closely related to Mumford's stability of $M$ in $CP^N_{\text{an}}$ in the Chow variety. Therefore, it is quite possible that there is a connection between the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on $M$ and Mumford's stability of $M$ in the Chow variety.

5. Some Problems Related to Calabi's Conjecture in Higher Dimensions

According to the author's opinion, in order to solve Calabi's conjecture in higher dimensions, one has to understand further the behavior of the solutions of (1.1)$_t$ (cf. §1). The difficulty is the lack of some fundamental estimates for complex Monge-Amperé equations. To get around it, we posed and verified a partial $C^0$-estimate for the solutions of (1.1)$_t$, on complex surfaces. Such an estimate is crucial in the solution of Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces. The author believes such an estimate holds on n-dimensional complex Kähler manifold $(M,g)$ with positive first Chern class. For reader's convenience, we state its stronger version.

Conjecture. Given $t > 0$ and $\mu > 0$. There are constants $m_0 < m_1$, $C$, depending only on $n, t, \mu$, satisfying: For any n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold $(M,g)$ with $\text{Ric}(g) \geq t\omega_g$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} |b_i(M)| \leq \mu$, where $b_i(M)$ is the $i$th betti number of $M$, there is an integer $m$ in $[m_0, m_1]$ such that

$$\inf_{M} \log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N} ||S_i||^2_{g} \right) \geq C \tag{5.1}$$

where $|| \cdot ||_g$ is the hermitian metric on $K_{M}^{-m}$ with $\omega_g$ as its curvature form, $\{S_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ is any orthonormal basis of $H^0(M, K_{M}^{-m})$ with respect to the inner product induced by $g$ and $|| \cdot ||_g$ and $N = \dim H^0(M, K_{M}^{-m}) - 1$.

The author is able to affirm this conjecture under two more assumptions: $\text{Ricci}(g) \leq \mu\omega_g$ and $\int_M |\text{Rm}|^\frac{n}{2} dV_g \leq \mu$, where $\text{Rm}$ denotes the riemannian curvature tensor of $g$.

One may try to establish (5.2) by using Hörmander's $L^2$-estimate for $\bar{\partial}$-operator and constructing local nonvanishing sections of $K_{M}^{-m}$ for $m$ large, as we did in [T4] for complex surfaces. It boils down to understanding the local structure of a compact Kähler manifold with positive Ricci curvature. Naturally, it leads to the following problem.
Problem. Classify all complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds $X$ with the following properties:

1) There is a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the geodesic balls $B_r(x_0)$ have Euclidean volume growth as $r$ goes to infinity.

2) $\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{-2n+4} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \|R(g)\|^2 dV_g = 0$ where $R(g)$ denotes the curvature tensor of $g$.

In case $n = 2$, $(X, g)$ is clearly the Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^2$. In case $n \geq 3$, we can obtain such $(X, g)$ as follows. Define $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_l$. Each $X_i$ is a resolution of $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}/\Gamma_i$ with $n_i \geq 3$ and $\Gamma_i \subset SU(n_i)$ such that the push-down to $(\mathbb{C}^{n_i}\setminus\{0\})/\Gamma_i$ of the standard holomorphic $n_i$ form on $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ can be nonvanishingly extended across $X_i$. In [T6], by using the method in [TY3], it is proved that there exists a complete Ricci flat Kähler metric $g_i$ on $X_i$ with Euclidean volume growth and $\int_{X_i} \|R(g_i)\|^n dV_{g_i} < +\infty$. Take $g$ to be the product metric of $g_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Then $(X, g)$ satisfies 1) and 2) above.

Are such $(X, g)$ the only ones with 1) and 2)?

6. Degeneration of Kähler-Einstein Manifolds

In order to compactify the moduli space of Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, we are bound to study Kähler-Einstein orbifold metrics as indicated by the work of M. Anderson [An] and Nakajima [Nk]. Such a metric is defined to be a Kähler orbifold metric on a normal Kähler orbifold such that its Ricci curvature form is a constant multiple of its Kähler form. On one hand, there are some analytic obstructions from holomorphic vector fields to the existence of Kähler-Einstein orbifold metrics analogous to those by Matsushima and Futaki in case of Kähler manifolds, on the other hand, the absence of holomorphic vector field does not assure the existence of a Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric as indicated by the example in §2 (cf. §2).

Problem 6.1. Determine when a Kähler orbifold $\tilde{M}$ with $C_1(\tilde{M}) > 0$ has a Kähler-Einstein metric.

It can be regarded as a generalized form of Calabi's conjecture. Of course, the discussions in previous sections, as well as those methods in [T2, TY2], etc., can be applied to this general case with slight modification. However, there are some additional difficulties due to the presence of singularities. For instance, the singularity may cause higher multiplicity of the anticanonical divisor, consequently, the invariant $\alpha(\tilde{M})$ gets smaller (cf. Section 3). Nevertheless, one can produce a lot of Kähler-Einstein orbifolds by means of the methods in [T2], etc. For example, we can prove that there is a Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric on the minimal model of the surface obtained by blowing up $CP^2$ at 7 or 8 points such that no six of them are on a common quadratic curve and exact three of them are collinear.

The simplest Kähler orbifold with positive first Chern class is the 2-dimensional projective variety with only rational double points as singularities. The minimal resolution of it is an almost Del-Pezzo surface, i.e., the rational surface with numerically positive anticanonical line bundle $K^1$ and $K^2 > 0$. It
seems to be even nontrivial to solve Problem 6.1 in this particular case. The methods in [T2, T4] can be used for this purpose.

Not every Kähler-Einstein orbifold can be in the boundary of the moduli space of Kähler-Einstein metrics. Here the distance function on the moduli is defined in terms of some suitable limit, such as Hausdorff limit (cf. [Gr, T4]). In [T4], it is proved that the dimensions of all plurianticanonical divisors are preserved under Hausdorff limit for compact complex surfaces. In fact, the same proof also yields

Theorem 6.1. Let \( \{(M_i, g_i)\} \) be a sequence of Kähler-Einstein manifolds of complex dimension \( n \). Suppose that \( (M_i, g_i) \) converge to a Kähler-Einstein orbifold \( (M_\infty, g_\infty) \) in the sense (cf. [Gr]): there are subsets \( V_i \) in \( M_i \) and \( V_\infty \) in \( M_\infty \) of real Hausdorff dimension less than \( 2n-2 \) with respect to \( g_i \) and \( g_\infty \), respectively, such that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there are diffeomorphisms \( \phi_i \) from \( M_\infty - B_{2\varepsilon}(V_\infty, g_\infty) \) into \( M_i - B_{\varepsilon}(V_i, g_i) \) satisfying: (1) The image of \( \phi_i \) contains the exterior of \( B_{2\varepsilon}(V_\infty, g_\infty) \) for each \( i \); (2) The pull-backs \( \phi_i^* g_i \) converge to \( g_\infty \) in \( C^\infty \)-topology. Then \( H^0(M_i, K_{M_i}^{-m}) \) converge to \( H^0(M_\infty, K_{M_\infty}^{-m}) \) for all \( m \) in \( \mathbb{Z} \). In particular, the dimensions of pluri-anticanonical or canonical sections stay same under the above convergence.

Problem 6.2. Characterize the Kähler-Einstein orbifold in the boundary of the moduli space of Kähler-Einstein manifolds.

In fact, the author does not know an example of Kähler-Einstein orbifold with positive scalar curvature in the boundary of the moduli space such that its anticanonical ring is different from that of those Kähler-Einstein manifolds in the moduli. It is certainly an interesting question to be explored. We end this paper by a thereom on the degeneration of Kähler-Einstein surfaces (cf. Theorem 7.1 in [T4]).

Theorem 6.2. Let \( \{(M_i, g_i)\} \) be a sequence of Kähler-Einstein surfaces with \( C_1(M_i)^2 = 9-n \) (5 ≤ \( n \) ≤ 8) and positive first Chern class. By taking a subsequence, we may assume that they converge to a Kähler-Einstein orbifold \( (M_\infty, g_\infty) \) (cf. [An], [Nk]). Then

1. if \( n = 8 \), then \( M_\infty \) has either only rational double points or two singular points of type \( C^2/Z_{l,2} \) besides rational points (2 ≤ \( l \) ≤ 7);
2. if \( n = 7 \), then \( M_\infty \) has either only rational double points or two singular points of type \( C^2/Z_{1,2} \) besides rational points;
3. if \( n = 5, 6 \), \( M_\infty \) has at most two singular points of type \( C^2/Z_{l,2} \) or \( C^2/Z_{1,3} \) (1 ≤ \( l \) ≤ 3) besides rational double points. Moreover, in case \( M_\infty \) has two of such singular points, one of them must be of type \( C^2/Z_{l,2} \), while the other is of type \( C^2/Z_{1,3} \).

Here \( Z_{p,q} \) denotes the finite cyclic group in \( U(2) \) generated by \( \text{diag} \left( e\left(\frac{1}{p}i\right), e\left(-\frac{1}{p}i\right) + \frac{1}{q}\right) \).

We in fact also have the explicit description of those \( M_\infty \) with singular points other than rational double points (cf. §6, §7 in [T4]). In fact, there are only a few of them.
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Nous nous proposons de faire le point sur quelques progrès récents accomplis dans l'étude des ensembles limites des variétés à courbure négative, et surtout de montrer comment on peut utiliser les ensembles limites comme moyen d'attaque pour d'autres problèmes de géométrie et de topologie.

Les travaux de l'auteur ont été partiellement subventionnés par la N.S.F. et la Fondation A.P. Sloan. Cet article a été écrit en grande partie pendant que l'auteur visitait l'Université de Californie à Davis, qu'il souhaite vivement remercier de son hospitalité.

1. Ensembles Limites des Variétés de Courbure Négative

Considérons une variété riemannienne complète $M$ à courbure majorée par une constante strictement négative. Dans son revêtement universel $\tilde{M}$, les rayons géodésiques issus d'un point base $x_0$ balaient $\tilde{M}$ et, puisque la courbure est négative, ne se recoupent pas deux à deux. On peut ainsi compactifier $\tilde{M}$ par sa sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$, en adjoignant un point au bout de chacun de ces rayons géodésiques. L'espace $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$ ainsi défini est homéomorphe à une boule fermée.

L'hypothèse sur la courbure permet de rendre cette construction indépendante du choix du point base $x_0 \in \tilde{M}$ (voir par exemple [EbON]). En effet, pour chaque rayon géodésique issu d'un autre point base $x'_0$, il existe un unique rayon géodésique issu de $x_0$ qui lui est asymptote. Ceci fournit une identification naturelle entre les rayons géodésiques issus de $x_0$ et ceux issus de $x'_0$, et montre que l'espace $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$ est en fait indépendant du choix du point base $x_0$. En particulier, l'action du groupe fondamental $\pi_1 (M)$ sur $\tilde{M}$ s'étend continûment à $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$.

Un cas important est celui où la métrique de $M$ a courbure constante $-1$. Le revêtement universel $\tilde{M}$ est alors isométrique à l'espace hyperbolique $\mathbb{H}^n$, défini comme la boule ouverte unité de $\mathbb{R}^n$ munie de la métrique qui est $2/(1-\|x\|^2)$ fois la métrique euclidienne au point $x$. La compactification de $\mathbb{H}^n$ par sa sphère à l'infini $\mathbb{H}_\infty^n$ est simplement la compactification usuelle de la boule unité par la sphère unité dans $\mathbb{R}^n$. Dans ce cas, $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$ a une structure particulièrement riche. Par exemple, $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$ admet une structure différentiable qui est respectée...
par les isométries de $\tilde{M}$. Ceci n'est en général plus vrai si la courbure n'est pas constante (voir [Gh] et les références qui y sont mentionnées).

Par un argument simple, toutes les orbites de l'action de $\pi_1(M)$ sur $\tilde{M}$ ont le même ensemble $A_M$ de points d'accumulation dans $\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{M}_\infty$. Ce sous-ensemble fermé de $\tilde{M}_\infty$ est l'ensemble limite de $M$. Pour une définition plus "physique", imaginons un observateur situé en un point $x_0$ de $M$. La sphère visuelle de cet observateur, formée de tous les rayons géodésiques issus de $x_0$, s'identifie à l'ensemble de tous les rayons géodésiques issus d'un point $x_0 \in \tilde{M}$ de la préimage de $x_0$, et donc à la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$. Si l'on suppose que la lumière se propage le long de géodésiques, notre observateur (ponctuel) voit de nombreuses copies de lui-même, correspondant aux arcs géodésiques de $M$ joignant $x_0$ à lui-même. L'ensemble limite est alors formé des points d'accumulation de ces images de l'observateur dans sa sphère de directions.

Quand $M$ est compacte, l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ toute entière. Mais sinon, $A_M$ peut avoir une structure particulièrement complexe dans $\tilde{M}_\infty$. Par exemple, considérons le cas classique où $S$ est une surface fermée et où $M = S \times \mathbb{R}$ est munie d'une métrique de courbure constante $-1$ pour laquelle $S \times [-1,+1]$ est à bord convexe. Alors, l'ensemble $A_M$ dans $\tilde{M}_\infty = \mathbb{H}^3_\infty = S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ est une courbe de Jordan qui, ou bien est un cercle, ou bien n'est nulle part différentiable et est de dimension de Hausdorff strictement comprise entre 1 et 2 (voir [Bow, Su]). A ce propos, on peut signaler une caractéristique non négligeable des ensembles limites: ils donnent lieu à de jolis dessins (voir par exemple [Th2] pour quelques spécimens). En effet, l'action de $\pi_1(M)$ sur la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ respecte l'ensemble limite $A_M$, et lui confère ainsi de multiples symétries fractales.

2. Propriétés de Mesure des Ensembles Limites

Pour simplifier, limitons-nous au cas où la métrique de $M$ a courbure constante, par exemple égale à $-1$. Nous avons déjà indiqué que son revêtement universel $\tilde{M}$ est alors isométrique à l'espace hyperbolique $\mathbb{H}^n$, ce qui identifie la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ à la sphère unité $S^{n-1} = \mathbb{H}^n_\infty$ de $\mathbb{R}^n$. Cette identification $\tilde{M}_\infty \cong S^{n-1}$ est bien définie modulo composition par isométrie de $\mathbb{H}^n$, c'est à dire modulo composition par un élément du groupe de transformations de $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty$ engendré par les inversions par rapport aux sphères (rondes) de dimension $n-1$ qui sont orthogonales à $S^{n-1}$. Il s'ensuit facilement dans ce cas que l'on peut alors parler de propriétés de négligeabilité pour la mesure de Lebesgue, ainsi que de dimension de Hausdorff, pour l'ensemble limite $A_M$ dans $\tilde{M}_\infty$.

L'un des premiers résultats dans cette direction est dû à L.V. Ahlfors [Ah2] qui a montré en dimension inférieure ou égale à 3 que, sous l'hypothèse que l'action de $\pi_1(M)$ sur $\tilde{M}$ admet un polyhèdre fondamental borné par un nombre fini de faces totalement géodésiques (on dit alors que $M$ est géométriquement finie), l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est ou bien de mesure de Lebesgue nulle dans la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ ou bien égal à $\tilde{M}_\infty$ tout entière. Ahlfors émit aussi la conjecture que cette propriété est vraie sous une hypothèse beaucoup plus faible [Ah1]:
**Conjecture.** Si $M$ est une variété de dimension $3$ à courbure négative constante dont le groupe fondamental $\pi_1(M)$ est de type fini, alors l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est ou bien de mesure de Lebesgue nulle dans la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ ou bien égal à $\tilde{M}_\infty$ tout entière.

En dimension $2$, une surface à courbure négative constante dont le groupe fondamental est de type fini est toujours géométriquement finie.

Ces résultats d'Ahlfors ont depuis été améliorés par D. Sullivan dans [Su3], où il montre que la dimension de Hausdorff de l'ensemble limite $A_M$ d'une variété géométriquement finie $M$ est strictement inférieure à la dimension de la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ quand $A_M$ n'est pas $\tilde{M}_\infty$ tout entière. On pourra également considérer [Su1] pour une extension de ces résultats en dimension supérieure, ainsi que pour une relation entre la dimension de Hausdorff de $A_M$ et le spectre du Laplacien sur $M$. D'un autre côté, Sullivan a aussi exhibé dans [Su2] des variétés (géométriquement infinies) $M$ de dimension $3$ pour lesquelles $A_M$ est de dimension de Hausdorff $2$ sans être égal à $\tilde{M}_\infty$, et pour lesquelles $\pi_1(M)$ est de type fini.

De gros progrès vers une solution complète de la conjecture d'Ahlfors ont été accomplis depuis une quinzaine d'années, grâce à un programme lancé vers le milieu des années 1970 par W.P. Thurston. En effet, Thurston a alors proposé un modèle conjectural pour la géométrie des variétés de dimension $3$ à courbure négative constante et dont le groupe fondamental est de type fini. Selon cette conjecture, une telle variété $M$ est nécessairement difféomorphe à l'intérieur d'une variété compacte, de sorte que ses bouts sont topologiquement de la forme $S \times [0, \infty[$ où $S$ est une surface compacte. De plus, pour un tel bout difféomorphe à $S \times [0, \infty[$, la surface $S$ peut être décomposée en un nombre fini de sous-superficies $S_i$ telles que la géométrie de la métrique de $M$ sur chacun de ces $S_i \times [0, \infty[$ est de deux types possibles: Le premier type est analogue à celui que l'on observe pour tous les bouts d'une variété géométriquement finie, et est tel que la métrique sur les sections $S_i \times t$ croît exponentiellement avec $t$. Le second type se comporte plutôt comme un tube de section bornée, et les tranches $S_i \times t$ avec $t$ entier ont une aire bornée.

Par une généralisation d'un argument de L.V. Ahlfors pour les variétés géométriquement finies [Ah2], Thurston avait observé que toute variété satis­faisant cette conjecture satisfait aussi la conjecture d'Ahlfors, en ce sens que l'ensemble limite $A_M$ dans la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty$ est ou bien de mesure de Lebesgue nulle ou bien égal à $\tilde{M}_\infty$.

Cette conjecture de Thurston est maintenant démontrée par les travaux successifs de Thurston [Th1], l'auteur [Bo1], et R. Canary [Ca] si l'on sait déjà que $M$ est topologiquement sage, c'est à dire que $M$ est difféomorphe à l'intérieur d'une variété compacte. Les résultats de [Bo1], améliorant ceux de [Th1], ne nécessitent pas l'hypothèse de sagesse topologique sur $M$ (et l'entraînent en fait comme corollaire), mais réclament une condition supplémentaire sur le groupe fonda­mental $\pi_1(M)$, par exemple que celui-ci ne se décompose pas en un produit libre non-trivial. Les résultats récents de [Ca], qui requièrent la sagesse topologique
3. Topologie des Ensembles Limites

Que peut-on dire de l'espace topologique $A_M$, muni de son action de $\pi_1(M)$?

Un point de départ est le cas où $M$ a un cœur convexe compact. Un cœur convexe pour $M$ est une sous-variété $M_c$ à bord convexe telle que $M - \text{int}(M_c)$ est difféomorphe à $\partial M_c \times [0,\infty[$. En particulier, toute variété compacte $M$ admet un cœur convexe compact $M_c = M$.

Quand $M$ admet un cœur convexe compact, il est alors connu depuis longtemps que l'espace topologique $A_M$ et son action de $\pi_1(M)$ peuvent être décrits uniquement en termes de la structure algébrique du groupe $\pi_1(M)$ (voir [Mo, Ni, Fl, Gr]). En effet, identifions $\pi_1(M)$ à l'orbite d'un point base $x_0 \in M$ situé au-dessus du cœur convexe $M_c$, ainsi qu'à l'ensemble des sommets du graphe de Cayley de $\pi_1(M)$ associé à un choix de générateurs. Par convexité et compacité de $M_c$, la fonction distance induite par la métrique de $M$ sur cette orbite est équivalente à celle provenant du graphe de Cayley. Par ailleurs, l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est l'espace des suites de l'orbite de $x_0$, où l'on identifie deux telles suites quand elles sont asymptotes, c'est-à-dire quand l'angle sous lequel elles sont vues depuis $x_0$ converge vers 0. Les références mentionnées plus haut développent plusieurs méthodes pour traduire cette relation d'asymptoticité en termes de la métrique induite sur l'orbite par la métrique de $M$. Ceci permet de décrire $A_M$ de manière purement combinatoire, en termes d'une certaine relation d'asymptoticité sur les suites de sommets du graphe de Cayley de $\pi_1(M)$.

Par exemple, comme on l'a vu au Chap. 1 dans le cas d'une surface, si $M$ est une variété fermée à courbure négative, l'ensemble limite de n'importe quelle métrique de courbure négative à cœur convexe compact sur $M \times \mathbb{R}^n$ est homéomorphe à l'ensemble limite de $M$, et donc à la sphère unité de l'espace euclidien de même dimension que $M$.

Quand la métrique de $M$ n'admet pas de cœur convexe compact, on conjecture que l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est un certain quotient de l'ensemble limite algébrique $A_M^a$ associé au groupe $\pi_1(M)$ par la recette qui produirait l'ensemble limite si $M$ avait un cœur convexe compact. Cette conjecture est notamment vérifiée quand la métrique de $M$ est géométriquement finie (voir [Fl, Tu]), auquel cas $A_M$ est obtenu à partir de $A_M^a$ en pinçant certaines paires de points associées aux sous-groupes de $\pi_1(M)$ correspondant aux cusps de $M$.

Quand $M$ n'est pas géométriquement finie, cette conjecture n'est guère vérifiée que dans des cas très particuliers, dus à J.W. Cannon et W.P. Thurston [CaTh]. Dans certains de leurs exemples, l'ensemble limite algébrique $A_M^a$ est homéomorphe au cercle tandis que l'ensemble limite $A_M$ est une sphère de dimension 2, ce qui fait que la projection $A_M^a \to A_M$ fournit une courbe de Peano recouvrant toute la sphère!
4. Utilisations des Ensembles Limites

Après avoir parlé de la structure des ensembles limites, nous nous proposons maintenant de montrer comment on peut utiliser ceux-ci pour attaquer d'autres problèmes de topologie et de géométrie. L'idée générale est, pour analyser des objets qui ne sont définis que modulo déformation, de considérer des structures sur l'ensemble limite qui sont associées à ces objets et qui sont définies sans aucune relation d'équivalence particulière.

Pour éviter trop de généralité, concentrons-nous sur un exemple. Dans [Bo1], on est amené à considérer l'espace $I$ des classes d'homotopie libre de courbes fermées sur une surface fermée $M$ de courbure négative, et on se trouve confronté au problème suivant: définir et étudier des directions asymptotiques dans $I$ vis-à-vis de la forme d'intersection géométrique $i : I \times I \to \mathbb{N}$, où le nombre d'intersection géométrique $i(\alpha, \beta)$ de $\alpha$ et $\beta \in I$ est le minimum du nombre de points d'intersection (avec multiplicités) de courbes $a$ et $b$ représentant respectivement $\alpha$ et $\beta$.

Pour une approche formelle de ce problème, on peut considérer l'espace $\mathbb{R}_+^{I}$ des applications de $I$ dans $\mathbb{R}_+$, muni de la topologie produit, et plonger $I$ dans $\mathbb{R}_+^{I}$ en envoyant $\gamma \in I$ sur l'application $\alpha \mapsto i(\alpha, \gamma)$. Les directions asymptotiques que l'on veut étudier correspondent alors aux demi-droites de $\mathbb{R}_+^{I}$ qui sont dans l'adhérence des demi-droites $\mathbb{R}_+^{I} \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{I}$, $\gamma \in I$.

Cette approche formelle n'est malheureusement pas commode à manier. On peut toutefois raisonner de manière plus géométrique, de la façon suivante.

Le point de départ est que, à cause de l'hypothèse de courbure négative, chaque courbe fermée de $M$ est homotope à un unique multiple d'une géodésique fermée. (Par convention, une géodésique fermée ne tourne pas plusieurs fois autour d'une autre géodésique, et représente donc un élément indivisible du groupe fondamental). Ceci établit une bijection entre les éléments de $I$ et les géodésiques fermées de $M$ munies d'un poids entier positif.

Relevons la situation dans le revêtement universel $\tilde{M}$. La préimage d'une géodésique fermée de $M$ donne une famille de géodésiques de $\tilde{M}$, invariante par l'action du groupe fondamental $\pi_1(M)$. A chaque élément de $I$, on a ainsi associé un poids entier positif et un sous-ensemble $\pi_1(M)$-invariant de l'espace $G(\tilde{M})$ des géodésiques de $\tilde{M}$. On vérifie que ce sous-ensemble est fermé et discret. Maintenant, pour analyser un sous-ensemble fermé discret $A$ muni d'un poids positif, un moyen naturel est de considérer la mesure de Dirac ainsi définie, pour laquelle la masse d'un sous-ensemble est le produit du poids par le nombre d'éléments de $A$ contenus dans ce sous-ensemble. On associe ainsi à chaque élément de $I$ une mesure de Dirac $\pi_1(M)$-invariante sur $G(\tilde{M})$.

Nous venons ainsi d'interpréter les éléments de $I$ comme éléments de l'espace $\mathcal{C}(M)$ des mesures (de Radon positives) sur $G(\tilde{M})$ qui sont invariantes par l'action de $\pi_1(M)$. Pour des raisons expliquées dans [Bo1], les éléments de $\mathcal{C}(M)$ sont appelés courants géodésiques.

Munissons $\mathcal{C}(M)$ de la topologie vague, pour laquelle une suite de mesures $\alpha_n$ converge vers $\alpha$ si et seulement si les intégrales $\alpha_n(f)$ convergent vers $\alpha(f)$ pour toute fonction $f : G(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ à support compact. Notons que l'on peut
additionner deux éléments de $\mathcal{C}(M)$, et multiplier un élément de $\mathcal{C}(M)$ par un nombre réel positif. Le résultat suivant est alors démontré dans [Bo3].

**Proposition.** Le sous-espace $\mathbb{R}^+ \Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}(M)$, formé des éléments de la forme $\lambda \gamma$ où $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ et $\gamma \in \Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}(M)$, est dense dans $\mathcal{C}(M)$.

On peut donc considérer les courants géodésiques sur $M$ comme des “classes d’homotopie diffusées”.

Pour le moment, cette construction semble dépendre du choix d’une métrique à courbure négative sur $M$. Toutefois, chaque géodésique de $\hat{M}$ est caractérisée par ses deux points limites distincts dans la sphère à l’infini $\hat{M}_\infty$, laquelle est aussi égale à l’ensemble limite $A_M$ puisque $M$ est compacte. Par conséquent, $G(\hat{M})$ s’identifie à $A_M \times A_M - A$, où $A$ est la diagonale du produit. Ainsi qu’on l’a vu au Chap. 3, l’ensemble limite $A_M$ peut être décrit en termes de la structure algébrique du groupe fondamental $\pi_1(M)$, et l’espace $\mathcal{C}(M)$ est par conséquent indépendant de la métrique de $M$ et dépend uniquement du groupe $\pi_1(M)$. En fait, toute cette construction peut être développée dans le cadre plus général des groupes à courbure négative (aussi appelés hyperboliques) au sens de Gromov [Gr]; ce point de vue est exposé dans [Bo3].

Notons que, pour la surface compacte $M$, l’espace $G(\hat{M})$ est particulièrement simple puisqu’il est homéomorphe à un anneau ouvert. Toutefois, l’action de $\pi_1(M)$ sur cet anneau est nettement plus complexe.

Revenons maintenant à la forme d’intersection géométrique $i: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Dans [Bo1], on montre:

**Proposition.** La forme d’intersection géométrique $i: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s’étend en une (unique) application bilinéaire continue $i: \mathcal{C}(M) \times \mathcal{C}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\Gamma$.

En particulier, il existe une application continue $\mathcal{C}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\Gamma$ qui associe $\gamma \mapsto i(\alpha, \gamma)$ à $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(M)$, et on vérifie que cette application est propre. Un résultat de J.-P. Otal [Ot] affirme que, réciproquement, un courant géodésique $\alpha$ est complètement déterminé par ses nombres d’intersection avec les éléments de $\Gamma$ (c’est non-trivial même dans le cas apparemment plus simple où $\alpha$ est un élément de $\Gamma$). Par densité des multiples réels d’éléments de $\Gamma$ dans $\mathcal{C}(M)$, on en déduit:

**Théorème.** L’application $\mathcal{C}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\Gamma$ qui associe $\gamma \mapsto i(\alpha, \gamma)$ à $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ induit un homéomorphisme de $\mathcal{C}(M)$ sur l’adhérence de $\mathbb{R}_+^\Gamma$ dans $\mathbb{R}_+^\Gamma$.

Ainsi, les directions asymptotiques de $\Gamma$ que l’on voulait étudier au début de cette section correspondent exactement au demi-droites issues de l’origine dans $\mathcal{C}(M)$.

La définition de $\mathcal{C}(M)$ a été inspirée par une construction antérieure, due à W.P. Thurston [Th1, Th3], de “classes d’homotopie de courbes simples diffusées” sur les surfaces appelées *laminations géodésiques mesurées*. En fait, l’espace $\mathcal{ML}(M)$ des laminations géodésiques mesurées se plonge de manière naturelle dans $\mathcal{C}(M)$. De plus, de la même façon qu’un élément $\gamma \in \Gamma$ est représenté par
Dans [Th1, Th3], Thurston a introduit une compactification de l'espace de Teichmüller $T(M)$ en lui adjoignant à l'infini le projectivisé de l'espace $ML(M)$ des laminations mesurées. L'espace $G(M)$, qui contient les deux espaces $T(M)$ et $ML(M)$, fournit un cadre pour cette construction qui est sans doute plus naturel que celui originellement utilisé par Thurston. En effet, on démontre dans [Bo2] le résultat suivant :

**Théorème.** L'union des demi-droites issues de l'origine dans $G(M)$ qui sont asymptotiques à $T(M) \subset G(M)$ est exactement égale à $ML(M)$. La compactification de $T(M)$ par l'espace de ces demi-droites que l'on obtient de cette façon est exactement la compactification de Thurston.

De plus, par des travaux de W.P. Thurston et S. Wolpert [Wo], la restriction à $T(M) \subset G(M)$ de la forme d'intersection géométrique $i$ se trouve reliée à la forme de Weil-Petersson sur $G(M)$ ; voir [Bo2].

L'idée motivant ce programme est que, si l'on convertit des espaces d'objets définis seulement modulo isotopie en des espaces de mesures, on peut utiliser des méthodes d'analyse pour démontrer des propriétés de continuité et développer un calcul différentiel sur ces espaces. Par exemple, la continuité de la forme d'intersection sur $G(M)$ fournit immédiatement des propriétés de continuité intéressantes sur la compactification de Thurston de $T(M)$.

Le développement d'un calcul différentiel se heurte toutefois à une difficulté: En analyse classique, un vecteur tangent à un espace de mesures est une distribution. Mais, comme on l'a indiqué plus haut, la sphère à l'infini $\tilde{M}_\infty = A_M$ et donc l'espace $G(\tilde{M})$ ne possèdent pas de structure différentiable privilégiée. On ne peut donc pas parler de distributions sur $G(\tilde{M})$ de manière intrinsèque.

On résoud cette difficulté en observant que $A_M$ possède une structure Hölder privilégiée, c'est à dire une fonction distance bien définie modulo la relation d'équivalence qui identifie deux distances $d_1$ et $d_2$ quand il existe des constantes $A > 0$ et $v > 0$ telles que $A^{-1}d_1(x,y)^{1/v} \leq d_2(x,y) \leq Ad_1(x,y)^v$ pour tous $x, y$ (voir par exemple [Gr]). On peut ainsi parler de fonctions Hölder $G(\tilde{M}) \to \mathbb{R}$. Définissons une distribution Hölder sur $G(\tilde{M})$ comme une forme linéaire continue sur l'espace des fonctions Hölder $G(\tilde{M}) \to \mathbb{R}$ à support compact. Ainsi, si l'on choisit sur $G(\tilde{M})$ une structure différentiable compatible avec la structure Hölder, une distribution Hölder est une distribution au sens usuel avec une forte propriété de régularité. L'espace $H(M)$ des distributions Hölder sur $G(\tilde{M})$ qui sont invariantes par l'action de $\pi_1(M)$ semble être le bon cadre pour développer un calcul différentiel du type cherché.

Par exemple, considérons l'espace des laminations géodésiques mesurées $ML(M) \subset G(M) \subset H(M)$. Thurston a construit une structure linéaire par morceaux naturelle sur $ML(M)$, ce qui fournit une notion combinatoire de vecteur tangent à $ML(M)$. Dans [Bo4], on établit une correspondance entre ces vecteurs tangents et les vecteurs de $H(M)$ qui sont tangents à son sous-espace $ML(M)$. Ceci fournit une description beaucoup plus géométrique des vecteurs tangents de $ML(M)$, interprétant ceux-ci comme laminations géodésiques mu-
nies de distributions Hölder transverses invariantes. Réciproquement, il existe une classification combinatoire simple des distributions Hölder transverses invariantes dont on peut munir une lamination géodésique donnée, ainsi qu'un critère simple pour déterminer celles qui proviennent de vecteurs tangents à $\mathcal{ML}(M)$. Par ailleurs, un grand nombre d'objets définis sur $\mathcal{ML}(M)$ ont une extension naturelle au cadre Hölder. En particulier, ceci permet de calculer les dérivées de certaines fonctions longueur sur $\mathcal{ML}(M)$.

De manière similaire, l'espace de Teichmüller $\mathcal{F}(M) \subset \mathcal{C}(M) \subset \mathcal{H}(M)$ admet en chacun de ses points un espace linéaire tangent dans $\mathcal{H}(M)$, isomorphe à l'espace tangent défini par la structure différentiable naturelle de $\mathcal{F}(M)$.
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The goal of this talk is two fold. First in Sections 1 and 2 I survey some key results relating foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. In particular in Section 2 I will follow the evolution of one enormously important idea "foliated height functions" as it has evolved over the last century. Second in Section 3 I discuss a recent development, the essential lamination.

1. 1-Dimensional Foliations

Since \( x(M) = 0 \) for all closed 3-manifolds \( M \), it follows that \( M \) has a smooth vector field, hence a smooth codimension-2 foliation. By requiring a bit of structure on the foliation one imparts great structure to the 3-manifold. I briefly discuss one such structure.

A Seifert fibred space is a compact 3-manifold \( M \) which is almost a \( S^1 \) bundle over a compact surface, i.e. there exists a projection \( \pi : M \rightarrow N \) such that for each \( x \in N \) there exists a \( D^2 \) neighborhood of \( x \) such that \( \pi^{-1}(D^2) = D^2 \times S^1 \) and \( \pi((r, \theta_1), (1, \theta_2)) = (r, p\theta_1 + q\theta_2) \) where \( p \neq 0 \) and \( p, q \) are relatively prime and depend on \( x \) and \( \theta \in \mathbb{R} \) mod 2\( \pi \).

Remark. Seifert fibred spaces were classified up to fibre preserving homeomorphism in 1928 by Seifert [S]. Their topological classification was obtained by Orlik, Vogt, and Zieschang [OVZ] in 1967. Seifert fibred spaces have one of six geometric structures as discussed in Scott's survey article [Sc1].

In 1972 Epstein [E] showed that \( M \) has a \( C^1 \) foliation by circles if and only if \( M \) is a Seifert fibred space.

Seifert fibred spaces play a central role in 3-dimensional topology. In fact Thurston's geometrization conjecture [Th3] for 3-manifolds asserts that a closed oriented 3-manifold which has no torus [JS], [Jo] or sphere decomposition [M], [K] is either a Seifert fibred space or a hyperbolic 3-manifold.

Very recently (fall 1990) Casson and the author [Gl] have independently announced proofs of the conjecture that \( S^1 \) convergence groups [GM] are Fuchsian groups. This result implies the Seifert fibred space conjecture, i.e. if \( M \) is a closed
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orientable irreducible 3-manifold, then $M$ is a Seifert fibred space if and only if $\pi_1(M)$ contains an infinite cyclic normal subgroup. [Me] using the work of [Sc2] and others reduced the Seifert fibred space conjecture to the convergence group conjecture.

Regarding the qualitative types of codimension-2 foliations on 3-manifolds I cite the following two remarkable results.

**Theorem** (Schweitzer [Sw] 1976). $S^3$ has a $C^1$ foliation by lines.

**Theorem** (Vogt [V] 1989). $\mathbb{R}^3$ has a $C^1$ foliation by circles.

### 2. 2-Dimensional Foliations

I will try to give a chronological account of codimension-1 foliations in 3-manifolds. For simplicity, unless otherwise stated all manifolds will be closed and orientable.

In 1863 Möbius proved the following result (see [St]).

**Theorem.** If $S$ is a smooth closed surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then $S$ is diffeomorphic to a surface of genus $g$.

**Idea of Proof.** Find enough parallel planes to chop $S$ up into discs, annuli, and spheres with 3-holes. Manipulate the pieces to get a standard form. 

This is a foliations proof of a topological theorem. Möbius uses a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parallel planes to decompose $S$, then rebuilds $S$ in a recognizable way. This "idea" will be generalized many times over for increasingly sophisticated applications. Here is one such generalization.

**Theorem** (Alexander 1923 [A]). A PL embedded $S^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ bounds a 3-Ball. (*The PL Shoenflies theorem*).

**Idea of Proof.** Consider a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parallel planes. A generic such foliation induces a foliation on $S^2$ which has a finite number of critical points at isolated levels. Analyzing the foliation and the embedding one observes that saddles can be cancelled with centers after an isotopy of $S^2$, thus, after isotopy, one obtains an $S^2$ with exactly one maximum and one minimum. This $S^2$ evidently bounds a 3-cell, hence so does the original one.

The study of foliations did not begin as a recognized subject in its own right until the late 1940s with the work of Ehresmann and Reeb. (Perhaps as an offshoot of the study of fibre bundles which was a hot new topic in the 1940s.) The story goes that Ehresmann suggested the following thesis problem to his student Reeb. Show that there exists no codimension-1 foliation on $S^3$.

**Ehresmann-1940s**
- Introduced the idea of holonomy [Eh]. Advisor to Reeb and influenced Haefliger's thesis work (whose advisor was DeRham).
Reeb-1948 [R]
- Reeb foliation of $S^3$.
- Reeb Stability theorem - If $F$ is a codimension-1 foliation on $M$ and $L$ is a
  compact leaf with trivial holonomy group, then $L$ has a neighborhood in $M$
  homeomorphic to $L \times I$ and $F| L \times I$ is the product foliation.
- If $F$ is transversely orientable and $F$ contains a $S^2$ leaf, then $M = S^2 \times S^1$.
  (He more generally showed that the set of compact leaves with finite $\pi_1$ is
closed.)

Remark. Later Lickorisch [L], Zieschang [N], and Wood [W] showed that all
closed 3-manifolds have codimension-1 foliations.

Haefliger 1959 [H]
- Showed that if $y$ is a closed curve transverse to $F$, an analytic foliation of $M$,
  then $0 \neq y \in \pi_1(M)$. In particular this implied that $M$ has infinite fundamental
group.
- Showed that the union of compact leaves of a codimension-1 foliation is
closed in $M$.

Novikov 1962 [N]. If $M \neq S^2 \times S^1$, $F$ is a $C^2$ foliation and has no Reeb
components then
- $\pi_2(M) = 0$.
- $\pi_1$ (leaves) inject into $\pi_1(M)$.
- $y$ transverse to $F$ implies that $0 \neq y \in \pi_1(M)$.

Remark. It was known to experts that $C^2$ was not crucial, being used only to
push curves normally off of surfaces. Thus a transverse line field provides the
needed structure in the $C^0$ case. See also [So] for a $C^0$ proof.

Sacksteder 1962 [Sa]. If $F$ is a $C^2$ foliation on $M$ then
- $F$ has no holonomy implies that $F$ is defined by a closed 1-form. In particular
  the lifted foliation on the universal cover $\bar{M}$ is the product foliation $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.
- exceptional minimal sets have resilient leaves, i.e. leaves with holonomy ele­
ments which are contractions.

Remark. A $C^0$ “version” of Sacksteder’s first theorem can be found in [I]. Tischler
[Ti] showed that a foliation defined by a closed 1-form can be perturbed slightly
to obtain a fibration over $S^1$.

Stallings 1962 [St]
- If $M$ is irreducible, $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ and the inclusion map of $T \to M$ induces an
  isomorphism on $\pi_1$ where $T$ is a component of boundary $M$, then $M = T \times I$.

Rosenberg 1968 [Ro]
- If $F$ is a foliation without Reeb components, then $M$ is irreducible.
- (with Sondow). If $M$ is $C^2$ foliated by planes, then $M$ is the 3-torus.
Remark. The Rosenberg-Sondow theorem uses $C^2$ in an essential way for it invokes Sacksteder's theorem. Although unstated there (see Sect. 3) a $C^0$ proof follows by [I].

The main result of Novikov's paper is that a Reeb component is the obstruction to isotoping a disc rel boundary into a leaf, where the induced foliation of the $D^2$ is the standard foliation by circles with one singular point at the origin. (Consider a meridional disc transverse to a Reeb foliated $D^2 \times S^1$.)

Rosenberg's key observation in the proof of his first theorem is that Novikov's result implies that there is no obstruction to carrying out Alexander's argument to show that a smoothly imbedded $S^2$ in a foliated manifold without Reeb components bounds a $B^3$.

A generalization of the Möbius, Alexander, Rosenberg line is the following result of Rousserie and Thurston 1972 [Rou, Th1]

- If $T$ is a compact embedded injective surface in $M$ and $F$ is a foliation on $M$ which has no Reeb components, then $T$ can be isotoped to be transverse to $F$ except at a finite number of saddle and circle tangencies.

Remark. A circle tangency looks exactly like the rim of a volcano. Rousserie further shows that the obstruction to isotoping away the circle tangencies in the case of $T$ a torus is the existence of a cylindrical component, i.e. an annulus bundle over $S^1$ whose boundary components are leaves and whose interior leaves are annuli whose ends spiral "in the same direction" about the ends. More generally it is well known to experts that the obstruction to eliminating the circle tangencies is the existence of generalized Reeb components, i.e. of bundles over $S^1$ with fibre a compact surface $S$ with boundary. The boundary tori are leaves and the interior leaves are homeomorphic to $S$, and nearly tangent to $S$ except near the ends which spiral in the same direction about the boundary tori.

Thurston 1976 [Th2]

- Defined the Thurston norm on $H_2(M)$. $\|z\| = \{\min -\chi(S') \mid [S] = z \in H_2(M) \text{ where } S' = S - S^2 \text{ components}\}$.
- Compact leaves of foliations without Reeb components are Thurston norm minimizing, i.e. compact leaves are topologically minimal in their homology class.

Remark. Corresponding definitions and results were given for manifolds with boundary. Thurston's Pseudo-Anosov theory [FLP] is also of central importance.

Palmeira 1978 [P]

- If $F$ is a foliation on $M$ without Reeb components, then the universal covering of $M$ is $\mathbb{R}^3$. Furthermore the induced foliation on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is topologically equivalent to a product of a foliation on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}$.

Sullivan 1979 [Su]

- If $F$ is $C^2$ and taut, (i.e. there exists a closed curve transverse to $F$ which hits all the leaves), then there exists a Riemannian metric on $M$ such that all the leaves are minimal, i.e. locally area minimizing.
Remark. Sullivan’s result was inspired by a letter from Herman Gluck. This result was generalized by Harvey and Lawson [HL] who showed that $F$ was in fact calibrated, i.e. there exists a metric so that compact portions of leaves are minimal area in their homology class. A corresponding topological statement can be made regarding the Thurston norm.

Gabai [G2, G3]

- 1981 – If $T$ is a Thurston norm minimizing surface in an oriented irreducible manifold $M$, $(\partial M$ possibly nonempty) then there exists a finite depth taut transversely oriented foliation $F$ on $M$ such that $T$ is a leaf.
- 1985 – If $T$ is a minimal genus surface for a knot in $S^3$, then there exists a finite depth taut transversely oriented foliation $F$ of $S^3 - \tilde{N}(k)$ such that $T$ is a leaf of $F$ and $F | \partial N(k)$ is a foliation by circles.

Remark. The difference between the 1985 and 1981 theorems is that the foliation $F | \partial N(k)$ given by the former would be a suspension of a homeomorphism of the circle with some fixed points. See [G3] for other constructions.

Applications

- If $z \in H_2(M, \partial M)$, then $g(z) = 2t(z)$ where $g$ denotes the gromov norm and $t$ denotes the Thurston norm. Viewed another way we obtain a positive solution to a conjecture of Thurston [Th2] that the norm on homology based on singular or immersed surfaces is equal to the “classical” norm based on embedded surfaces. In particular the immersed genus of a knot equals the embedded genus of a knot, which is the higher genus analogue to Dehn’s lemma. [G2]
- Positive solutions to Property R and Poenaru conjectures. More generally we show that a homology $S^2 \times S^1$ manifold $N$ obtained by surgery on a knot $k$ in $S^3$ is irreducible or $S^2 \times S^1$. Furthermore the extension of a minimal genus surface for $k$ becomes a Thurston norm minimizing surface representing the generator of $N$. One can view Property R as asserting that \{S^3 knot theory\} \cap \{S^2 \times S^1 knot theory\} = 0. [G3]
- Knots in $S^2 \times S^1$ or torus bundles over $S^1$, which are not contained in 3-cells, are determined by their complements. [G4]
- If $T$ is a Thurston norm minimizing surface in $M$ disjoint from a boundary component $P$, then $T$ remains norm minimizing (and hence injective) in all but at most one of the manifolds obtained by Dehn filling on $P$. [G4]
- Sela showed by example that the bad surgeries corresponding to distinct norm minimizing surfaces may be distinct. [Se]
- Computing the genus of an oriented link in $S^3$. [G5], [G6]
- Property P for satellite knots. [G7]
- Superadditivity of knot genus under band connect sum. [G8], [Sch]
- The classification of knots in solid tori such that non trivial surgery yields a solid torus. In particular there exists a unique knot in $D^2 \times S^1$ such that exactly two surgeries yield $D^2 \times S^1$. [G7] reduced the problem to 1-bridge braids in solid tori and Berge [B] solved the problem for 1-bridge case. See also [G9].
Key elements of the proofs of the theorems.

- Sutured manifold theory. Just as a Haken 3-manifold can be decomposed to a 3-cell via splitting along incompressible surfaces, the content of sutured manifold theory is that a 3-manifold $M$ with nontrivial $H_2(M,\partial M)$ can be decomposed, with control, along taut surfaces to obtain a 3-cell. Dual to this decomposition is a finite depth foliation such that the cores of the finite depth leaves correspond to the splitting taut surfaces.

- Finite depth foliations "are like" compact surfaces, i.e. technically finite depth foliations can be treated more like incompressible surfaces rather than "thick" foliations.

- Height function arguments. A crucial moment in [G3] was the defining the notion of thin presentation of a knot in $S^3$. A thin presentation is essentially a bridge presentation where the local maxima are required to be as low as possible and the minima as high as possible, thus from the point of view of the horizontal planes in $S^3$ the knot is as thin as possible. With respect to a thin presentation a lamination can be put into a normal form. In particular there will be level planes where the induced lamination will have no inessential curves. These arguments should be viewed as sophisticated versions of Möbius, Alexander, Rosenberg, ... .

- Combinatorial arguments.

I close this section with the following results, whose proofs push variants of the foliated height function arguments to high technical levels.

Gabai 1984 [G10]

- (Simple Loop Conjecture) $f : S \to T$ is a map of closed surfaces and $\emptyset \neq \ker f_# : \pi_1(S) \to \pi_1(T)$, then there exists a simple loop in $\ker f_#$.

Gabai Kazez 1985 [GK1]

- (Homotopy Classification of Maps of Surfaces) If $f, g : S \to T$ are maps of positive degree of closed oriented surfaces, then there exists a homeomorphism $h : S \to S$ such that $f$ is homotopic to $g \circ h$ if and only if $f_#(\pi_1(S)) = g_#(\pi_1(S))$ and $\deg f = \deg g$.

Remark. The idea behind these results is to view $f$ (or $g$) as a branched immersion in $T \times I$, then put the double curve locus into normal form. See [GK 2] for the version for maps of closed surfaces.

Gordon Luecke 1988 [GL]

- Knots in $S^3$ are determined by their complements.

Remark. The proof involved two steps.

i) If there exists two knots with distinct complements, then each knot complement has a foliation by planar surfaces coming from the foliation of $S^3$ by level $S^2$'s. When these foliations are viewed in a single knot complement one finds two planar surfaces which intersect each other in an essential way. This step was done independently by myself and [GL] using thin presentations.

ii) There do not exist such planar surfaces in $S^3$. This step required a deep combinatorial topology argument.
3. Essential Laminations

Example. If \( f : T \to T \) is a pseudo anosov homeomorphism of a closed surface \( T \) and \( A \) is the \( f \)-invariant (stable measured) foliation, then \( \lambda \), the suspension of \( A \) in \( M \), the \( T \) bundle over \( S^1 \) defined by \( f \), is a singular foliation on \( M \). One can get singular foliations in different manifolds by doing Dehn surgery to the singular circles. Fried was aware of this construction in the late 70's. Independently (at the same time) Ghys and Thurston knew how to create nonsingular foliations when the singular locus consisted of \( 2n \) prongs.

Remark.

i) ([Gil] 1981) \( \lambda \) is an example of a singular foliation with a finite number of singular circles. \( \lambda \) restricted to cross sections of neighborhoods of the circles look like index \( n/2 \) singularities of line fields where \( n = 1 \) or \( n \leq -1 \). The author observed that Novikov’s theorem extends to such singular foliations provided \( n < 0 \). (There exists a singular foliation of \( S^3 \) with a single singular circle of type \( 1/2 \) and no compact leaves. Consider the foliation transverse to the fibration of the \((-2,3,7)\) Pretzel knot.)

ii) ([Gil] 1986) Good singular foliations can be constructed transverse to finite depth foliations. In some sense most manifolds contain such foliations.

iii) The notion of essential lamination [GO] developed with Oertel generalizes the above notion of “good” singular foliation. Recently Hatcher and Oertel have shown that essential laminations in non Haken manifolds can be viewed as singular foliations (of a possibly more general type).

Definition. A codimension-1 lamination \( \lambda \) of \( M^3 \) is a decomposition of a closed subset of \( M \) by surfaces (called leaves) such that \( M \) is covered by charts of the form \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) and leaves of \( \lambda \) pass through in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times \text{pts} \). \( \lambda \) is an essential lamination [GO] of \( M \) if

i) \( M - \lambda \) is irreducible.

ii) No leaf of \( \lambda \) is a torus bounding a solid torus.

iii) If \( V \) is the closure (in the path metric) of a complementary region of \( \lambda \) in \( M \), then \( \partial V \) is incompressible and end incompressible.

Theorem [GO] 1987. Let \( M \) be a closed oriented 3-manifold with an essential lamination \( \lambda \), then

i) \( M \) is irreducible.

ii) \( \pi_1 \) (leaves) inject into \( \pi_1(M) \).

iii) \( \pi_1 \) (transverse efficient loops) inject into \( \pi_1(M) \), transverse efficient arcs cannot be homotoped rel boundary into a leaf.

iv) the universal cover of \( M \) is \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Remark. Essential laminations generalize the notions of foliations without Reeb components and incompressible surfaces. Like finite depth foliations essential laminations can be manipulated as compact surfaces. While in some sense most 3-manifolds do not contain incompressible surfaces, most 3-manifolds do contain essential laminations.
Example. Essential laminations can be obtained by “blowing air” into the singular loci of the above good singular laminations. See [GO, Ha, O, Na, D] for other constructions.

Conjecture. If $M$ is a closed aspherical 3-manifold, then $M$ is finitely covered by a manifold with an essential lamination.

Conjecture. If $M$ is closed oriented and aspherical, then $M$ has an essential lamination or is Seifert fibred.

Remark. The second optimistic conjecture implies the first. The first conjecture is implied by Waldhausen’s conjecture that every aspherical 3-manifold is finitely covered by a Haken manifold. There is also Thurston’s conjecture that every hyperbolic manifold is finitely covered by a surface bundle over $S^1$. The following result combined with [M2, W2] (see [JN]) shows that there exist examples of aspherical Seifert fibred spaces with out essential laminations.

Theorem (Brittenham [B], Claus [C] 1990). If $\lambda$ is an essential lamination of a Seifert Fibred Space, then there exists a sublamination which is either horizontal or vertical (after isotopy).

Remark. Vertical means that it is a union of Seifert fibres and horizontal means that it is transverse to the Seifert fibration.

The case for $\lambda$ a compact surface was due to [Wa]. Thurston [Th1] obtained the result when $\lambda$ was a $C^2$ foliation in a $S^1$ bundle. [EHN] generalized that result to $C^2$ foliations in Haken Seifert fibred spaces.

Theorem ([GK3] 1990). If $M$ has an essential lamination and $0 \neq \gamma \in \pi_1(M)$, then $D^2 \times S^1$ is the covering space of $M$ with $\pi_1$ generated by $\gamma$.

Theorem (Gabai-Kazez 1990). If $M$ has a pseudoanosov flow and $f, g : M \to M$ are homotopic homeomorphisms, then $f$ is isotopic to $g$.

Remark. It suffices to consider the case that $f = \text{id}$. The hypotheses imply the existence of two transverse (stable and unstable) essential laminations. The proof proceeds by first showing that $f$ is isotopic to $f'$ which fixes a simple closed curve common to both laminations.

Homotopy implies isotopy for irreducible 3-manifolds is an old conjecture. Waldhausen [Wa] established the case for $M$ Haken, a number of authors established the case for $M$ a spherical space form or more generally a Seifert fibred space, see [Sc3] and [BO]. See also [HS].

Theorem. If $\lambda$ is an essential lamination of the closed 3-manifold $M$ by planes, then $M$ is the 3-torus.

Proof. The closure of each complementary region of $\lambda$, with the path metric, is $D^2 \times I$, therefore $\lambda$ extends to a foliation also called $\lambda$ of $M$ by planes. It follows
from Theorem 3.1 [I], the lift $\tilde{\lambda}$ of $\lambda$ to the universal covering of $M$ has space of leaves $\mathbb{R}$. Since each leaf of $\lambda$ is a plane, $\pi_1(M)$ acts freely and order preserving on $\mathbb{R}$, thus by a theorem of Holder $\pi_1(M)$ is archimedian and hence free abelian. This last argument is essentially Proposition 4.1 of [I]. Now argue as in [Ro] to conclude that $M = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$.
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The Differential Calculus of Homotopy Functors
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0. Introduction

My purpose here is to explain a method in homotopy theory. The following result is perhaps the best example to date of a statement that can be proved by this method:

**Theorem 1.** For any 2-connected map of topological spaces $Y \to X$ the fiber of $A(Y) \to A(X)$ and the fiber of $TC(Y) \to TC(X)$ are weakly homotopy equivalent.

Here $A$ is Waldhausen's algebraic $K$-theory functor from spaces to spectra, and $TC$ is another functor which I will discuss below. "Fiber" means homotopy fiber.

If we write $\tilde{A}$ for the reduced functor $\tilde{A}(Y) = \text{fiber}(A(Y) \to A(\ast))$ and similarly for $TC$, then in the case when $X$ is a point we have the statement:

**Corollary 2.** For any 1-connected topological space $Y$ the spectra $\tilde{A}(Y)$ and $\tilde{TC}(Y)$ are weakly homotopy equivalent.

I will not say much now about the other functor $TC$, except that $TC(X)$ is closely related to the free loop space $AX$ (the space of all unbased maps from the circle to $X$) and is easier to study than $A(X)$ from the point of view of algebraic topology.

The theorem stated above represents the work of several people. In particular, the definition of the functor $TC$, and of a map $A \to TC$ which is crucial to the proof, uses work of Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen. A $p$-completed version of Theorem 1 (proved by the method outlined below) was the main result of [BCCGHM]. The theorem stated above is only a marginal advance over this, since a rational version ([G1], Corollary on p. 349) has been known for some time. (The final steps in the proof of Theorem 1 will appear in [G6].)

1. Summary of the Method

The proof of Theorem 1 uses a kind of deformation theory. The goal is to describe the change in $A(X)$ produced by a given (small) change in $X$. It turns out that to
achieve this it is enough to describe the infinitesimal change in \( A(X) \) produced by an infinitesimal change in \( X \). By this I mean: to give an approximate description of the change in \( A(X) \) produced by a very small change in \( X \). (A small change in \( X \) is a highly connected map \( Y \to X \).)

In a little more detail, the method is this:

There is a natural map of spectra from \( A(X) \) to \( TC(X) \), called the cyclotomic trace map. Denote its homotopy fiber by \( F(X) \). There is a constant \( c \) such that for any \( k \)-connected map of spaces \( Y \to X \) the map of homotopy fibers

\[
\text{fiber}(A(Y) \to A(X)) \to \text{fiber}(TC(Y) \to TC(X))
\]

is \((c + 2k)\)-connected. In other words, the map \( F(Y) \to F(X) \) is about twice as highly connected as the map \( Y \to X \) upon which it depends. By a certain general principle (Proposition 5 below), it follows that the map \( F(Y) \to F(X) \) is in fact \( \infty \)-connected when the map \( Y \to X \) is at least 2-connected. (In other words, up to weak equivalence \( F(X) \) depends only on \( \pi_1(X) \) if \( X \) is connected.) This yields the conclusion of Theorem 1.

The general principle used above is analogous to the following fact from differential calculus: If a function \( f \) (in a suitable domain, and satisfying suitable differentiability hypotheses) is such that \( |f(x) - f(y)| < C|x - y|^2 \), then \( f \) is locally constant. A more familiar statement of this fact is that if the derivative of \( f \) is identically zero then \( f \) is locally constant.

Section 2 explains the idea "derivative of a homotopy functor". Section 3 states the general principle mentioned above. Section 4 discusses what one needs to know about Waldhausen's functor \( A \) in order to apply the principle here. Section 5 describes the other functor \( TC \). Section 6 discusses that part of the proof which involves the map from \( A \) to \( TC \). Details may be found in \([BCCGHM, G2, G3, G4, \text{and} G6]\).

2. Differentiation of a Functor

For a more detailed account of the ideas below, see \([G2]\).

2.1 The Definition

The idea can be made quite general, but for concreteness let us suppose that \( F \) is a functor from spaces to spectra. We always assume that it is a homotopy functor, meaning that it takes equivalences to equivalences. (Throughout, an equivalence of spaces or spectra means a weak homotopy equivalence.)

In calculus the concept of derivative, or differential, of a function \( f \) at a point \( x \) is a way of systematically describing the quantity \( f(y) - f(x) \) with an accuracy like \( |y - x|^2 \). In a similar way the next two definitions serve to describe the \( 2k \)-homotopy type of the fiber of \( F(Y) \to F(X) \) when the map \( Y \to X \) is \( k \)-connected.

**Definition 3.** The derivative \( \partial_x F(X) \) of \( F \) at the based space \( (X, x) \) is the homotopy colimit (as \( k \to \infty \)) of the spectra \( \Omega^k \text{fiber}(F(X \vee S^k) \to F(X)) \).
The maps in the limit system are (loosely speaking) induced by the diagrams

\[ F(X \vee S^{k-1}) \rightarrow F(X \vee D^k) \sim F(X) \]

\[ F(X) \sim F(X \vee D^k) \rightarrow F(X \vee S^k) \]

(Note that \( F(X \vee D^k) \) is equivalent to \( F(X) \)). Up to equivalence the derivative is determined by \( X \) and by knowing which component of \( X \) contains the point \( x \). The spectrum \( \partial_x F(X) \) is a functor of the based space \((X, x)\), and any based map \((X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)\) which is an equivalence induces an equivalence \( \partial_x F(X) \rightarrow \partial_y F(Y) \).

There is a more general construction. If \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) is a map of spaces, think of \( Y \) as a space over \( X \), think of the mapping cylinder of \( f \) as the fiberwise cone of \( Y \) over \( X \) (another space over \( X \)), and denote it by \( C_X Y \). Let \( \Sigma_X Y \), the fiberwise suspension of \( Y \) over \( X \), be the union along \( Y \) of two copies of \( C_X Y \).

**Definition 4.** The differential of \((D_X F)(Y)\), defined for any map \( Y \rightarrow X \), is the homotopy colimit of the spectra \( \Omega^k \text{fiber}(F(\Sigma_X^k Y) \rightarrow F(X)) \),

The maps in the limit system are defined using diagrams

\[ F(\Sigma_X^{k-1} Y) \rightarrow F(C_X \Sigma_X^{k-1} Y) \sim F(X) \]

\[ F(X) \sim F(C_X \Sigma_X^{k-1} Y) \rightarrow F(\Sigma_X^k Y) \]

For fixed \( X \) the differential \( D_X F \) is a functor from spaces over \( X \) to spectra. It is a homotopy functor in the sense that it preserves equivalences, where a map of spaces over \( X \) is called an equivalence if as a map of spaces it is a (weak homotopy) equivalence. We have \((D_X F)(X) \sim \ast\) and \((D_X F)(X \vee S^0) \sim \partial_x F(X)\).

Note that there is a natural map

\[ \text{fiber}(F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)) \rightarrow (D_X F)(Y) \]

The functor \( D_X F \) is intended to be an excisive functor that approximates \( Y \mapsto \text{fiber}(F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)) \), much as in calculus the differential of a function \( f \) at a point \( x \) is a linear function that approximates \( f(y) - f(x) \). To explain this I need some language.

### 2.2 Excision

A commutative diagram \( \mathcal{X} \) of spaces (or spectra)

\[ \mathcal{X}(') \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(1) \]

\[ \downarrow \]

\[ \mathcal{X}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(1, 2) \]
is a cofiber square if the canonical map to $\mathcal{X}(1,2)$ from the homotopy pushout (union along $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset)$ of the mapping cylinders of $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ and $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(2)$) is an equivalence. It is a fiber square (resp. $k$-connected) if the canonical map from $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset)$ to the homotopy pullback (fiber product over $\mathcal{X}(1,2)$ of the path fibrations of $\mathcal{X}(1) \to \mathcal{X}(1,2)$ and $\mathcal{X}(2) \to \mathcal{X}(1,2)$) is an equivalence (resp. $k$-connected). Equivalently, a square diagram $\mathcal{X}$ of spectra is $k$-connected if the iterated fiber, the homotopy fiber of the map

$$\text{fiber}(\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(1) \to \text{fiber}(\mathcal{X}(2) \to \mathcal{X}(1,2)))$$

of homotopy fibers, is $(k-1)$-connected.

A functor $F$ (say from spaces, or spaces over $X$, to spectra) is excisive if it takes cofiber squares to fiber squares. This is a very strong condition. Homotopy functors occurring in nature usually satisfy a much weaker, but useful, condition, called stable excision: there is a constant $c_2$ such that if the maps $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ and $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(2)$ in a cofiber square are respectively $k_1$- and $k_2$-connected, then the diagram $F(\mathcal{X})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\mathcal{X}(\emptyset)) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{X}(1)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(\mathcal{X}(2)) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{X}(1,2))
\end{array}$$

is $(k_1 + k_2 + c_2)$-connected.

If $F$ satisfies stable excision then, for each $X$, $D_X F$ satisfies excision; we may think of $D_X F$ as a (reduced) homology theory on the category of spaces over $X$. Moreover, stable excision for $F$ implies that the map from $\text{fiber}(F(Y) \to F(X))$ to $(D_X F)(Y)$ is approximately $2k$-connected for any $k$-connected map $Y \to X$.

### 2.3 The Principle

Theorem 1 is proved by applying the following principle with $F = \text{fiber}(A \to TC)$ and $q = 1$. The term "$q$-analytic" will be explained in Section 3.

**Proposition 5.** If $F$ is a $q$-analytic functor from spaces to spectra such that $(D_X F)(Y)$ is trivial for all $X$ and all $Y \to X$, then for every $(q+1)$-connected map $Y \to X$ of spaces the map $F(Y) \to F(X)$ is an equivalence.

"Trivial" means equivalent to a point (all homotopy groups are trivial). If $F$ satisfies a suitable limit axiom, so that up to equivalence it is determined by its behavior on finite CW complexes, then it is enough to assume that $\partial_X F(X)$ rather than $D_X F$ is trivial.

### 3. Analytic Functors

"Analyticity" of a homotopy functor $F$ has to do with the behavior of $F$ with respect to cubical diagrams of spaces. By an $n$-cubical diagram we mean a functor $\mathcal{X}$ from
the partially ordered set of all subsets of \{1, \ldots, n\} to the category of spaces. Analyticity of \( F \) involves one condition, stable \((n - 1)\)st order excision, for each \( n \). Stable first-order excision is stable excision as defined in Sect. 2.2.

Stable \((n - 1)\)st order excision concerns certain \( n \)-cubical diagrams \( \mathcal{D} \), namely the strong cofiber cubes. Call \( \mathcal{D} \) a strong cofiber cube if, for each \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \) and \( S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\} - \{i, j\} \), the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{D}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(S \cup \{i\}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{D}(S \cup \{j\}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(S \cup \{i, j\})
\end{array}
\]

is a cofiber square. The condition is that there is a constant \( c_n \) such that, whenever \( \mathcal{D} \) is a strong cofiber cube in which the map \( \mathcal{D}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{D}(i) \) is \( k_i \)-connected for all \( i \), with \( k_i > 0 \), then \( \mathcal{D}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{D}(i) \) is \( (c_n + \Sigma k_i) \)-connected. (An \( n \)-cubical diagram of spectra is called \( k \)-connected if its iterated fiber – the spectrum obtained by taking homotopy fibers in each of the \( n \) directions in turn – is \((k - 1)\)-connected.) Note that \( c_n \) is allowed to be negative.

For \( n = 1 \) this simply says that there is a constant \( c_1 \) such that for any \( k \)-connected map \( \mathcal{D}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{D}(1) \) of spaces the map \( \mathcal{D}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{D}(1) \) is \((k + c_1)\)-connected, at least if \( k > \rho \).

**Definition 6.** The functor \( F \) is \( \rho \)-analytic if it satisfies \((n - 1)\)st order as above for all \( n \geq 1 \), and if the numbers \( c_n \) are bounded below by \( c - \rho n \) for some constant \( c \).

Most homotopy functors occurring in nature are \( \rho \)-analytic for some \( \rho \), and in many cases it is a routine matter to verify this. The identity functor from spaces to spaces is \( 1 \)-analytic, as is Waldhausen's functor \( A \).

The proof of Proposition 5 uses an unusual inductive argument. It is not difficult, but I will not take time to explain it here; see [G3].

Proposition 5 expresses one of two main consequences of analyticity. The other, the existence of a "Taylor tower" for a functor in analogy with the Taylor series of a function, is not used in the proof of Theorem 1. It is explained in [G5].

**4. The Derivative of \( K \)-Theory**

In order to use Proposition 5 for proving Theorem 1, it is necessary first of all to know (up to natural equivalence) what the derivative of the functor \( A \) is. The answer turns out to be this:

**Theorem 7.** For a based space \((X, x)\) the spectrum \( \partial_x A(X) \) is related by a chain of natural equivalences to \( \Sigma^{\infty}(\Omega(X, x)_+) \).

This is the unreduced suspension spectrum of the based loopspace of \( X \). (The subscript " + " adds a disjoint basepoint.)
Theorem 7 (3.3 of [G2]) is proved indirectly; it is reduced to a corresponding statement (Theorem 7' below) about smooth manifolds, using a major theorem of Waldhausen:

**Theorem 8** (Waldhausen [W1]). There is a natural weak equivalence of spectra between $A(X)$ and the product $\Sigma^\infty(X_+) \times WH\text{Diff}(X)$, where $WH\text{Diff}(X)$ is a natural double delooping of the differentiable pseudoisotopy spectrum $\mathcal{P}\text{Diff}(X)$.

In view of Theorem 8, Theorem 7 may be rewritten:

**Theorem 7'.** For a based space $(X, x)$ the spectrum $\partial_2 \mathcal{P}\text{Diff}(X)$ is related by a chain of natural equivalences to $Q^2 \Sigma^\infty(\Omega(X, x)/X)$.

It is notable that, while the relationship between $K$-theory and pseudoisotopy theory expressed in Theorem 8 is usually viewed as a way of reducing geometry to algebra, in this instance the flow of information is in the other direction. In this connection see also Sect. 5.3.

Recall that the underlying space of the spectrum $\mathcal{P}\text{Diff}(X)$ is essentially defined as a limit of spaces $P\text{Diff}(M)$ for manifolds $M$ (compact, with boundary, of arbitrarily large dimension) of the homotopy type of $X$. The space $P\text{Diff}(M)$ is the simplicial group of all diffeomorphisms of $M \times I$ which are the identity along $(M \times 0) \cup (\partial M \times I)$.

Therefore, to “compute” $\partial_2 \mathcal{P}\text{Diff}(X)$ is essentially to solve the following problem: For a smooth manifold $M$ with an attached handle $h$ of index $k \geq 3$, determine the $2k$-homotopy type of the fiber of $P\text{Diff}(M) \to P\text{Diff}(M \cup h)$. This is done in [G2] using Morlet’s “disjunction lemma” and an old-fashioned differentiable general-position argument.

5. The Functor $TC$

I will now say something about the functor $TC$ which occurs in the statement of Theorem 1. There are really two questions to address: How is it defined, and what does it turn out to be?

5.1 Definition of $TC$

I will not be very specific about this. $TC$ is related to Bökstedt’s “topological Hochschild homology” ($THH$). For details see [BCCGHM], [BHM], or [G4].

Recall that, according to one way of thinking about the $K$-theory of (based, connected) spaces, $A(BG)$ is the $K$-theory spectrum of the “ring up to homotopy” $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(|G|_+)$. The latter is to be thought of as the “group ring” $k[G]$ of the simplicial group $G$ over the ground “ring” $k = QS^0$. Heuristically,

- **connective spectrum** = infinite loop space
- = abelian group up to homotopy
- = $k$-module

and the group structure of $G$ gives $k[G]$ a multiplication compatible with its additive structure. These ideas can be made precise by using a suitable notion of “ring up to homotopy”, for example Bökstedt’s notion of $FSP$ (functor with smash product).
For such a "ring" $R$, Bökstedt defines a $K$-theory spectrum $K(R)$. Both the Quillen $K$-theory of rings and the Waldhausen $K$-theory of spaces are included as special cases (the cases of a discrete ring $R$ and a group ring $k[G]$ respectively). He also defines a spectrum $THH(R)$; heuristically it is the simplicial object

$$
\begin{align*}
R & \\
\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow & \\
R \otimes R & \\
\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow & \\
R \otimes R \otimes R & \\
\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

with face and degeneracy maps given by the product and unit of $R$, respectively, as in the definition of the standard chain complex for Hochschild homology. The "tensor products" are meant to be over $k$ and are really smash products of spectra.

Bökstedt defines a map of spectra $K(R) \rightarrow THH(R)$; it is modeled on the "trace map" from $K$-theory to Hochschild homology defined by Dennis for an ordinary ring $R$.

Very roughly speaking, $TC$ is related to $THH$ as cyclic homology is related to Hochschild homology. For any FSP there is a spectrum $TC(R)$ with a map $TC(R) \rightarrow THH(R)$. The trace $K(R) \rightarrow THH(R)$ lifts to a map $K(R) \rightarrow TC(R)$, called the **cyclotomic trace**. (After $p$-completion this is the same as the map of that same name constructed in [BHM]).

Let the simplicial group $G$ be a loop group for the space $X$, and let $R$ be $k[G]$. In this case we sometimes write $TC(X)$ instead of $TC(R)$. Thus in this case the cyclotomic trace is a map $A(X) \rightarrow TC(X)$. It is this which is used in the proof of the theorem.

### 5.2 Description of $TC$

From a computational point of view the main thing to know about $TC(X)$ is that it is related in a certain way to the free loop space $AX = \text{Map}(S^1, X)$. Again let $G$ be a simplicial loop group for $X$.

First of all, it is fairly easy to see that $THH(k[G])$ is equivalent to $\Sigma^\infty(AX_+)$. This is essentially because $AX$ is equivalent to the realization of the simplicial space

$$
\begin{align*}
G & \\
\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow & \\
G \times G & \\
\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow & \\
G \times G \times G & \\
\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

(the "cyclic bar construction" or "cyclic nerve" of $G$).
To describe $TC(X)$ we must consider some additional structure that the space $AX$ has. Let the circle group $S^1$ act on $AX$ in the usual way, and let $A_p: AX \to AX$ be the $p$th power map (composition with the standard map $S^1 \to S^1$ of degree $p$).

It turns out that the functor $X \mapsto TC(X)$ is very closely related to the functor $X \mapsto B(X) = \Sigma\infty \Sigma((ES^1 \times_{S^1} AX)_+)$, although to say exactly how they are related it is apparently necessary to consider separately the profinite homotopy type and the rational homotopy type.

Concerning the profinite type, the statement is that after $p$-completion ($p$ a prime) the spectrum $TC(X)$ becomes part of a fiber square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
TC(X) & \longrightarrow & B(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow_{\text{Trf}} \\
\Sigma^\infty AX_+ & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty AX_+ \\
\end{array}
$$

Here Trf is the $S^1$-transfer associated to the bundle

$$(AX \sim) ES^1 \times AX \to ES^1 \times_{S^1} AX$$

and $1 - A_p$ is the difference between two stable maps, the identity and the map induced by $A_p$.

This, it turns out, has the consequence that for 1-connected spaces $X$ there is a natural equivalence, after $p$-completion and passage to reduced functors, between $TC(X)$ and

$$\Sigma^\infty(X_+) \times \text{fiber}(e \circ \text{Trf}: B(X) \to \Sigma^\infty(X_+))$$

where the map is the composition of the transfer and the map induced by evaluation $AX \to X$ at a point in the circle.

Concerning the rational type, the statement is that for 2-connected maps $Y \to X$ there is a natural equivalence, after rationalization, between the fiber of $TC(Y) \to TC(X)$ and the fiber of $B(Y) \to B(X)$. (This is not, however, induced by a natural map $TC \to B$ or $B \to TC$.)

### 5.3 Generalizations

Theorem 1 can be generalized so as to apply to more than the $K$-theory of spaces. There is considerable evidence for the following:

**Conjecture 9.** For any 1-connected map $R \to S$ of FSP's the resulting map of spectra from $\text{fiber}(K(R) \to K(S))$ to $\text{fiber}(TC(R) \to TC(S)$ is an equivalence.

This can be deduced from Theorem 1 in some cases, namely those in which $\pi_0(R) (= \pi_0(S))$ is an integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$. In particular, it is true for the map $QS^0 = k = R \to S = \mathbb{Z}$. Unfortunately, this does not yet amount to a computation of the fiber of $A(*) \to K(\mathbb{Z})$ in any real sense, because $TC(\mathbb{Z})$ is still a fairly mysterious object.
6. The Derivative of $TC$

After producing a map from $A$ to $TC$, it remains to show that it induces an equivalence $\partial_x A(X) \to \partial_x TC(X)$. This is done in two steps.

The first step is to show that $\partial_x A(X)$ and $\partial_x TC(X)$ are abstractly equivalent, in the sense that these two functors from based spaces $(X, x)$ to spectra are related by a chain of natural equivalences. I have already said that $\partial_x A(X)$ is abstractly equivalent to $\Sigma^\infty \Omega(X, x)_+$. The same is true of $\partial_x TC(X)$. Of course I cannot begin to explain why, since I have not even defined $TC$ here, but to get the idea I invite the reader to work out the equivalences (see Section 2 of [G2]):

\[
\partial_x \Sigma^\infty A(X)_+ \sim \text{Map}(S^1, \Sigma^\infty \Omega(X, x)_+)
\]
\[
\partial_x B(X)_+ \sim \Sigma^\infty \Omega(X, x)_+.
\]

The second step is to prove:

**Lemma 10.** The cyclotomic trace $A \to TC$ induces an equivalence $\partial_x A(X) \to \partial_x TC(X)$.

The trick in proving this is to begin with the case when $X$ is the suspension $\Sigma Y$ of a connected space $Y$.

To see that this special case is enough, one classifies all the natural maps $\Sigma^\infty \Omega(X, x)_+ \to \Sigma^\infty \Omega(X, x)_+$ in the homotopy category of homotopy functors from based spaces to spectra. It turns out that the only maps which are equivalences when $X$ is a simply-connected suspension are those which are equivalences for all $X$.

The argument which proves the lemma in the case $X = \Sigma Y$ is essentially the main argument of [CCGH]. It relies on a tool which is only available in the suspension case: the cyclotomic trace can be composed with another natural map as follows:

\[
\prod_{n \geq 1} D_n(Y) \to \Omega \tilde{A}(\Sigma Y) \to \Omega \tilde{TC}(\Sigma Y).
\]

Here $D_n(Y)$ is the divided power $\Sigma^\infty (E(\mathbb{Z}/n) \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}/n} Y^{[n]})$. (I am writing $Y^{[n]}$ for the smash product of $n$ copies of $Y$.) The composed map above induces a map of derivatives

\[
\partial_y \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} D_n(Y) \right) \to \partial_y \Omega \tilde{TC}(\Sigma Y) \sim \Omega \Sigma^\infty \Omega \Sigma Y_+
\]

which, more or less by direct examination, is seen to be an equivalence. It follows that the map

\[
\Omega \Sigma^\infty \Omega \Sigma Y_+ \sim \partial_y \Omega \tilde{A}(\Sigma Y) \to \partial_y \Omega \tilde{TC}(\Sigma Y) \sim \Omega \Sigma^\infty \Omega \Sigma Y_+
\]

induced by the cyclotomic trace is a split surjection, and from this one concludes without much trouble that it is an equivalence.

As a by-product this yields the main result of [CCGH], which can now be viewed as a special case of Corollary 2:
Theorem 11. For connected spaces $Y$ there is a natural equivalence of spectra

$$\Omega A(\Sigma Y) \sim \Omega A(*) \times \prod_{n \geq 1} D_n(Y).$$
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1. Introduction

In [D], Dehn considered the following method for constructing 3-manifolds: remove a solid torus neighborhood \( N(K) \) of some knot \( K \) in the 3-sphere \( S^3 \) and sew it back differently. In particular, he showed that, taking \( K \) to be the trefoil, one could obtain infinitely many non-simply-connected homology spheres in this way. Let \( M_K = S^3 - \overline{N(K)} \). Then the different resewings are parametrized by the isotopy class \( r \) of the simple closed curve on the torus \( \partial M_K \) that bounds a meridional disk in the re-attached solid torus. We denote the resulting closed oriented 3-manifold by \( M_K(r) \), and say that it is obtained by \( r \)-Dehn surgery on \( K \).

More generally, one can consider the manifolds \( M_L(r) \) obtained by \( r \)-Dehn surgery on a \( k \)-component link \( L = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_k \) in \( S^3 \), where \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \). It turns out that every closed oriented 3-manifold can be constructed in this way [Wal, Lic]. Thus a good understanding of Dehn surgery might lead to progress on general questions about the structure of 3-manifolds.

Starting with the case of knots, it is natural to extend the context a little and consider the manifolds \( M_L(r) \) obtained by attaching a solid torus \( V \) to an arbitrary compact, oriented, irreducible (every 2-sphere bounds a 3-ball) 3-manifold \( M \) with \( \partial M \) an incompressible torus, where \( r \) is the isotopy class (slope) on \( \partial M \) of the boundary of a meridional disk of \( V \). We say that \( M(r) \) is the result of \( r \)-Dehn filling on \( M \).

An observed feature of this construction is that

generically, the topology of \( M \) persists in \( M(r) \).

We shall illustrate this slogan by stating some results that give restrictions on the “exceptional” slopes \( r \) for which \( M(r) \) represents some sort of degeneration of \( M \). Specifically, we shall consider the following questions:

(1) When is an essential surface destroyed by Dehn filling?
(2) When is an essential surface created by Dehn filling?
(3) When is \( M(r) \) “small”?

The results we shall state show that the present state of knowledge on these questions is quite good.
First notice that, roughly speaking, anything can happen under a single Dehn filling. For if we are interested in when \( M(r) \) has a certain property, then we can simply start with a closed 3-manifold \( Q \) with that property, and let \( M = Q - \hat{N}(K) \) for some suitable knot \( K \) in \( Q \). Then there is a Dehn filling on \( M \) that yields \( Q \). This puts a limitation on the kind of results one expects to obtain. However, the theorems we shall state will usually assert that (for any \( M \)) the set of exceptional slopes (for the particular kind of degeneration in question) is small. In fact, if \( A(r,s) \) denotes the minimal geometric intersection number of two slopes \( r, s \) on \( dM \), then the theorems will often be of the form that give an upper bound on \( A(r,s) \) for any pair of exceptional slopes \( r \) and \( s \).

We conclude this introduction with some conventions that we shall adopt throughout the paper. All 3-manifolds and surfaces will be assumed to be compact and orientable, and \( M \) will always denote (as above) an irreducible 3-manifold with \( \partial M \) an incompressible torus. A knot \( K \) will always be assumed to be a (non-trivial) knot in \( S^3 \) unless otherwise stated, and we reserve the notation \( M_K = (S^3 - \hat{N}(K)) \) for this case. Slopes on \( dM_K \) will be parametrized by \( Q \cup \{ \infty \} \) in the usual way, using a meridian-longitude basis \( \{ \mu, \lambda \} \) for \( H_1(\partial M_K) \). Thus \( r \leftrightarrow a/b \) if and only if \( [r] = a\mu + b\lambda \) in \( H_1(\partial M_K) \). Here, \( \infty \) denotes \( 1/0 \) (so \( M_K(\infty) \cong S^2 \)).

Finally, since the condition \( A(r, s) = 1 \) occurs frequently in the sequel, we remark that any set of slopes, such that \( A(r, s) = 1 \) for every pair of distinct slopes in the set, has at most 3 elements. Also, for slopes on \( dM_K \), \( A(r, \infty) = 1 \) if and only if \( r \) is an integer.

2. When Is an Essential Surface Destroyed by Dehn Filling?

A properly embedded surface \( F \) in a 3-manifold \( Q \) is essential if either \( F \) is incompressible, not parallel into \( \partial Q \), and not a 2-sphere, or \( F \) is a 2-sphere which does not bound a 3-ball in \( Q \).

Essential surfaces play an important role in the theory of 3-manifolds. For instance there is the Kneser-Milnor prime factorization theorem, which involves cutting the manifold up into canonical pieces along essential 2-spheres. Essential tori feature in a similar way in the torus decomposition theory of Jaco-Shalen and Johannson. And of course there is the Haken-Waldhausen theory of irreducible 3-manifolds that contain essential surfaces (Haken manifolds), based on the idea of successively cutting the manifold along essential surfaces to eventually obtain a disjoint union of 3-balls.

Coming to the title of this section, let us take the case of a closed essential surface in \( M \).

As examples, first consider the case of a \((p, q)\)-cable \( K \) in a solid torus \( S^1 \times D^2 \). In other words, take a simple closed curve on \( \partial(S^1 \times D^2) \) that winds around \( p \) times meridionally and \( q \) times longitudinally \((q \geq 2)\) and push it into int\((S^1 \times D^2)\).

Then it turns out that there are infinitely many Dehn surgeries on \( K \) that yield a solid torus again. In particular, there are infinitely many Dehn surgeries on \( K \) under which \( \partial(S^1 \times D^2) \) compresses. To put this in the setting of our question, we simply take a knot \( K_0 \) in a closed 3-manifold \( Q \) (such that \( M_0 = Q - \hat{N}(K_0) \) is irreducible and \( \partial M_0 \) is incompressible), and identify \( N(K_0) \) with \( S^1 \times D^2 \) by some homeomorphism, so that \( K \) becomes a knot in \( Q \). (We say that \( K \) is a \((p, q)\)-cable
of $K_0$.) Then the torus $S = \partial N(K_0)$ is essential in $M = Q - \overset{\circ}{N}(K)$, but compresses in $M(r)$ for infinitely many slopes $r$.

Analogous examples with surfaces $S$ of higher genus can be constructed by starting with a curve $C$ in the boundary of a handlebody $X$ of genus $\geq 2$ such that $\partial X - C$ is incompressible in $X$, pushing $C$ into $X$ to get $K$, say, taking a suitable embedding of $X$ in some closed 3-manifold $Q$, and letting $M = Q - \overset{\circ}{N}(K)$ and $S = \partial X$.

An example of a different kind is the knot $K$ in $S^1 \times D^2$ illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1](image)

Let $W = S^1 \times D^2 - \overset{\circ}{N}(K)$. Then, parametrizing slopes on $\partial N(K)$ using the meridian and longitude that come from the embedding of $K$ in $S^3$ defined by Fig. 1, we have

$$W(\infty) \cong W(18) \cong W(19) \cong S^1 \times D^2,$$

so $\partial(S^1 \times D^2)$ compresses in $W(r)$ for 3 distinct slopes $r$. (In fact $K$ is the unique non-cable curve in $S^1 \times D^2$ (which is not a core and does not lie in a 3-ball) with this property [Ga2, B1, Sc].) Note that

$$\Lambda(18, 19) = \Lambda(18, \infty) = \Lambda(19, \infty) = 1.$$ 

Similar examples in handlebodies of genus $\geq 2$ have been constructed by Berge (unpublished). Again, as above, these can be used to construct essential closed surfaces $S$ in irreducible 3-manifolds $M$ with torus boundary such that $S$ compresses under 3 distinct Dehn fillings on $M$.

With these examples in mind we have the following result of Wu.

**Theorem 2.1** [Wu2]. Let $S$ be a closed essential surface in $M$ which compresses in $M(r)$ and $M(s)$. Then either $\Lambda(r, s) = 1$, or the core $K$ of the solid torus $V$ in $M(s) = M \cup V$ can be isotoped onto $S$ and $S$ compresses in $M(r)$ for infinitely many $r$. 


In particular, if $S$ is a torus then either $A(r, s) = 1$ or $K$ is a cable. In this case the theorem was originally proved in [CGLS].

Let us now consider when an essential surface with boundary in $M$ is destroyed by Dehn filling. By this we mean the following. Let $F$ be an essential surface in $M$ with non-empty boundary, so each boundary component of $F$ has slope $r$, say. (We say that $r$ is a boundary-slope.) Then $F$ gives rise to a closed surface $\hat{F}$ in $M(r) = M \cup V$ by capping off the boundary components of $F$ with meridian disks of $V$, and we are interested in the question: is $\hat{F}$ essential in $M(r)$?

It is clear that the answer is not always "yes." For instance, we can start with a closed surface $S$ in $S^3$, and take a knot $K$ which punctures $S$ in such a way that $F = S - N(K)$ is essential in $M_K$. But then $\hat{F} = S$ is necessarily inessential in $M_K(\infty) = S^3$. (It is not hard to explicitly construct such examples.) However, it turns out that the failure of $\hat{F}$ to be essential can be accounted for either by the presence of a closed essential surface in $M$ (in which case Theorem 2.1 applies), or by a bad choice of $F$ as a representative of the boundary-slope $r$.

**Theorem 2.2** [CGLS]. Suppose that $M$ contains no closed essential surface, and let $r$ be a boundary-slope on $\partial M$. Then there exists an essential surface $F$ in $M$ with boundary-slope $r$ such that $\hat{F}$ is essential in $M(r)$.

One can also show that if the surface $\hat{F}$ is not a 2-sphere, then $M(r)$ is irreducible, and if $\hat{F}$ is a 2-sphere, then $M(r)$ is either $S^1 \times S^2$ or a connected sum of two lens spaces.

### 3. When Is an Essential Surface Created by Dehn Filling?

Suppose that $M(r) = M \cup V$ contains an essential surface $S$. It is straightforward to show that if $S$ is moved (by an isotopy if $M(r)$ is irreducible, or in general, by a sequence of disk-swappings) so as to minimize the number of components of $S \cap V$, then either $S$ lies in $M$ or $F = S - \partial V$ is an essential surface in $M$ with boundary-slope $r$. The title of this section refers to the second possibility. In this context we have the following basic result of Hatcher.

**Theorem 3.1** [Ha]. $M$ has only finitely many boundary-slopes.

**Corollary 3.2.** If $M$ does not contain a closed essential surface then $M(r)$ contains a closed essential surface for only finitely many $r$.

Since essential spheres and tori play a special role in 3-manifold theory, it is of interest to consider when they are created by Dehn filling.

In the case of essential spheres, the cabling construction described in Sect. 2 provides some examples. For if $K$ is a $(p, q)$-cable of a knot $K_0$ in a 3-manifold $Q$, then $M = Q - \hat{N}(K)$ contains an essential annulus with boundary-slope $r$ where $A(r, s) = 1$, $s$ being the meridian of $K$. This annulus becomes a 2-sphere in $M(r)$, and in fact it splits $M(r)$ as a connected sum $M_0(r_0) \# L(q, p)$, where $M_0 = Q - \hat{N}(K_0)$ and $r_0$ is some slope on $\partial M_0$. If we now choose $Q$ to contain an essential sphere (and $K_0$ to be any knot in $Q$ such that $M_0$ is irreducible), then
$M$ is irreducible, whilst $M(r)$ and $M(s) = Q$ contain essential spheres. (It is not obvious, but in fact follows from [GLu1], that, here, $M_0(r_0)$ cannot be $S^3$.)

Another example where $M(r)$ and $M(s)$ contain essential spheres with $\Delta(r, s) = 1$, which does not come from cabling, is given in [GLi].

The next theorem says that this is the worst that can happen.

**Theorem 3.3** [GLu3]. If $M(r)$ and $M(s)$ each contain an essential sphere then $\Delta(r, s) = 1$.

Turning to essential tori, a key example here is the exterior $M_K$ of the figure eight knot $K$ (see Fig. 2). $M_K$ does not contain an essential torus, but $M_K(4)$, which is homeomorphic to $M_K(-4)$ since $K$ is amphicheiral, does. Note that $\Delta(4, -4) = 8$.

![Fig. 2](image)

**Theorem 3.4** [Go]. If $M$ does not contain an essential torus, but $M(r)$ and $M(s)$ do, then $\Delta(r, s) \leq 8$.

In fact one can do a little better. The figure eight knot exterior belongs to the family of manifolds obtained by Dehn surgery on one component of the Whitehead link $L$ (see Fig. 3). More precisely, let $W = S^3 - N(L)$ and let $W(p/q)$ be the manifold with torus boundary obtained by $p/q$-Dehn filling on one boundary component of $W$ (where we use the obvious meridian-longitude parametrization). Then $W(1)$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of the figure eight knot. It also turns out that for $M = W(-5), W(-5/2), \text{ and } W(2)$, $M$ is irreducible and contains no essential torus, whilst there are $r, s$ such that $M(r)$ and $M(s)$ contain essential tori with $\Delta(r, s) = 8, 7, \text{ and } 6$ respectively. One can show that these examples are the only ones with $\Delta(r, s) > 5$.

**Addendum 3.5** [Go]. In the setting of Theorem 3.4,

- if $\Delta(r, s) = 8$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to $W(1)$ or $W(-5)$;
- if $\Delta(r, s) = 7$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to $W(-5/2)$;
- if $\Delta(r, s) = 6$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to $W(2)$.
4. When Is $M(r)$ Small?

Examples of what we might mean by "small" are:

- When is $\pi_1(M(r))$ trivial? finite? cyclic?
- When is $M(r)$ homeomorphic to $S^3$? a lens space? $S^1 \times S^2$? a Seifert fibred space?

This is a convenient point at which to recall that by [T], $M$ is either hyperbolic, or Seifert fibred, or contains an essential torus. (For instance, if $M = M_K$ then the last two possibilities correspond respectively to $K$ being a torus knot or a satellite knot.) As it is straightforward to describe $M(r)$ when $M$ is Seifert fibred [He], and as the case when $M$ contains an essential torus is to a large extent covered by Theorem 2.1, the hyperbolic case is the most important to understand. Here there is the following result of Thurston.

**Theorem 4.1** [T]. If $M$ is hyperbolic then $M(r)$ is hyperbolic for all but finitely many $r$.

A hyperbolic manifold is one whose interior has a complete Riemannian metric of constant negative (sectional) curvature. If we are willing to sacrifice "constant" in the conclusion of Theorem 4.1, then we have the following theorem, due to Gromov and Thurston, and improved in [BH] using a result of Adams.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $M$ is hyperbolic then $M(r)$ has a Riemannian metric of negative curvature for all but at most 24 values of $r$.

It is conjectured that a 3-manifold with negative curvature is in fact hyperbolic. Even in the absence of a proof of this conjecture it is known that such a manifold must have infinite fundamental group, cannot contain an essential sphere or torus, cannot be Seifert fibred, etc.

Let us turn to the more specific question: When is $\pi_1(M(r))$ cyclic?

As a first set of examples, let $K$ be a torus knot. Then $M_K(r)$ is a lens space for infinitely many $r$ [Mo]. Note that in this case $M_K$ is Seifert fibred.

A set of examples of a different flavor comes from the knot $K$ in $S^1 \times D^2$ depicted in Fig. 1 and discussed in Sect. 2. Embedding $S^1 \times D^2$ in $S^3$ by applying $k$ meridional twists to the embedding shown in Fig. 1, we obtain an infinite family of
knots $K_k$, $-\infty < k < \infty$, (which are in fact hyperbolic). The two non-trivial Dehn surgeries on $K$ that yield $S^1 \times D^2$ determine two Dehn surgeries on $K_k$, with slopes $r_k$ and $s_k$, say, that yield lens spaces, where $A(r_k, s_k) = A(r_k, \infty) = A(s_k, \infty) = 1$.

For instance $K_0$ is the $(-2, 3, 7)$ pretzel knot shown in Fig. 4 (this example was discovered earlier by Fintushel and Stern [FS]), for which

$$M_{K_0}(18) \cong L(18, 5), \quad M_{K_0}(19) \cong L(19, 7), \quad M_{K_0}(\infty) \cong S^3.$$  

Fig. 4

The following Cyclic Surgery Theorem shows that these examples are extremal.

**Theorem 4.3** [CGLS]. Suppose that $M$ is not Seifert fibred. If $\pi_1(M(r))$ and $\pi_1(M(s))$ are cyclic then $A(r, s) = 1$.

Less is known about when $\pi_1(M(r))$ is finite. An interesting example here is the manifold $W(-5)$ defined in Sect. 3. It is shown in [We] that there exist $r, s$ with $A(r, s) = 3$ such that $\pi_1(W(-5)(r))$ and $\pi_1(W(-5)(s))$ are finite.

**Question 4.4.** If $M$ is hyperbolic and $\pi_1(M(r))$ and $\pi_1(M(s))$ are finite, is $A(r, s) \leq 3$?

The case where $M = M_K$ for a satellite knot $K$ (so $M$ contains an essential torus) is discussed in [BH], where it is shown that $A(r, s) \leq 5$, and that this bound is attained.

Regarding the question of when $\pi_1(M(r))$ is trivial, the following conjecture is still open.

**Conjecture 4.5.** $\pi_1(M(r))$ is trivial for at most one slope $r$. 
5. Dehn Surgery on Knots in $S^3$

Continuing the theme of the previous section, let us ask: when can we have two Dehn fillings on $M$ that give small manifolds, one of which is $S^3$? Clearly there is a Dehn filling on $M$ that gives $S^3$ if and only if $M$ is homeomorphic to $M_K$ for some knot $K$ in $S^3$, with the slope that determines the filling corresponding to the meridian of $K$. So our question becomes: given a knot $K$ in $S^3$, when does non-trivial Dehn surgery on $K$ yield a small manifold?

First we have the result that $S^3$ can never be obtained by non-trivial Dehn surgery.

**Theorem 5.1** [GLu2]. $M_K(r) \not\cong S^3$ if $r \neq \infty$.

An immediate corollary of this theorem is that knots are determined by their complements.

**Corollary 5.2.** If $K_1$ and $K_2$ are knots in $S^3$ such that $S^3 - K_1$ is homeomorphic to $S^3 - K_2$, then there is a homeomorphism of pairs $(S^3, K_1) \cong (S^3, K_2)$.

The following so-called Property P Conjecture, however, is still open, although it is known to be true for many classes of knots.

**Conjecture 5.3.** $\pi_1(M_K(r)) \neq 1$ if $r \neq \infty$.

Of course, Conjectures 4.5, 5.3, and Theorem 5.1 are closely related, and are equivalent if the Poincaré Conjecture is true.

After $S^3$ (the unique 3-manifold of Heegaard genus zero), we might consider when Dehn surgery on a knot $K$ yields a manifold of Heegaard genus one, i.e., $S^1 \times S^2$ or a lens space.

For homological reasons, the only Dehn surgery that could possibly give $S^1 \times S^2$ is 0-Dehn surgery. However, a result of Gabai implies that this never happens.

**Theorem 5.4** [Ga1]. $M_K(0)$ is irreducible.

Regarding lens spaces, Berge [B2] gives an explicit construction which yields several infinite classes of knots with a Dehn surgery yielding a lens space. (These include the infinite family described in Sect. 4 with two such Dehn surgeries.) It appears to be not entirely outside the bounds of possibility that every such knot can be constructed in this way.

**Question 5.5.** Does every knot $K$ such that $M_K(r)$ is a lens space for some $r$ appear in Berge’s list?

An affirmative answer to this question would imply, in particular, the truth of the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 5.6.** $M_K(r) \not\cong \mathbb{RP}^3 (= L(2, 1)), L(3, 1), \text{ or } L(4, 1)$. 
Finally, we mention that for satellite knots, the question of when $\pi_1(M_K(r))$ is cyclic is completely solved.

**Theorem 5.7** [Wan, Wu1, BL]. Let $K$ be a satellite knot. Then $\pi_1(M_K(r))$ is cyclic if and only if $K$ is the $(2pq \pm 1, 2)$-cable of a $(p, q)$-torus knot and $r = 4pq \pm 1$, in which case $M_K(r) \cong L(4pq \pm 1, 4q^2)$.

We now turn to the creation of essential spheres and tori by Dehn surgery on a knot $K$.

As far as spheres are concerned, recall the discussion of cables in Sect. 3. In our present setting of knots in $S^3$, if $X$ is the $(p, q)$-cable of $X_0$ then the boundary-slope of the essential annulus in $M_K$ is $pq$, and

$$M_K(pq) \cong M_{K_0}(p/q) \# L(q, p).$$

(It is convenient here to allow $X_0$ to be unknotted, in which case $X$ is the $(p, g)$-torus knot and $M_{K_0}(p/q) = L(p, q) \# L(q, p)$. Also, if $K_0$ is non-trivial then $\pi_1(M_{K_0}(p/q)) \neq 1$ by [CGLS, Corollary 2].) The following Cabling Conjecture of González-Acuña and Short asserts that these are the only examples where essential spheres arise.

**Conjecture 5.8** [G-AS]. $M_K(r)$ contains an essential sphere if and only if $K$ is a $(p, q)$-cable and $r = pq$.

Although this conjecture is still open, several partial results exist. For instance, there is Theorem 5.4 above. Also, it is known to be true if $K$ is a satellite knot [Sc], an alternating knot [MT], a strongly invertible knot [E-M], and others [G-AS]. It is also known that if $M_K(r)$ contains an essential sphere then $r$ is an integer [GLu1] and $M_K(r)$ has a lens space summand [GLu2]. (Incidentally, it is an immediate consequence of this last result that no non-prime homology sphere can be obtained by Dehn surgery on a knot in $S^3$. There remains the interesting question of whether there are prime homology spheres that cannot be so obtained.)

Regarding essential tori, some examples are known, but the general picture is not yet clear. However, the following conjecture seems reasonable. (Recall that $M_K$ contains an essential torus if and only if $K$ is a satellite knot.)

**Conjecture 5.9.** If $K$ is not a satellite knot and $M_K(r)$ contains an essential torus then $\Delta(r, \infty) \leq 2$.

(There are examples with $\Delta(r, \infty) = 2$.)

### 6. The General Knot Complement Problem

Theorem 5.1 above asserts that if $M(r) \cong M(s) \cong S^3$, then $r = s$. More generally, one can ask: when is $M(r) \cong M(s)$? One has to be a little careful with orientations here, for $M$ may have an orientation-reversing automorphism. For instance, if $K$ is an amphicheiral knot (such as the figure eight knot), then $M_K(r) \cong M_K(-r)$. However, if we orient $M$, then this determines an orientation of $M(r)$, and we
can ask: when is \( M(r) \cong M(s) \) by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism? No counterexamples are known to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.1.** If \( M(r) \cong M(s) \) by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism then \( r = s \).

This would imply the truth of the following Oriented Knot Complement Conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.2.** If \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are knots in a closed, oriented 3-manifold \( Q \) such that \( Q - K_1 \) and \( Q - K_2 \) are homeomorphic by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism, then there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \( h: Q \to Q \) such that \( h(K_1) = K_2 \).

Mathieu [Ma] has given examples where \( Q - K_1 \) and \( Q - K_2 \) are homeomorphic (by an orientation-reversing homeomorphism), but there is no homeomorphism of pairs \( (Q, K_1) \cong (Q, K_2) \).

### 7. Intersections of Surfaces

The proofs of the theorems stated above use several techniques from modern 3-dimensional topology. See [Sh] for instance, for a nice account of some of the ideas that went into the proof of the Cyclic Surgery Theorem (Theorem 4.3). However, here we shall focus on just one technique, namely, the analysis of intersections of properly embedded surfaces. The point is that many of the particular forms of what we have called the "degeneration" of the topology of \( M \) in \( M(r) \) imply the existence of a (useful) surface \( F \) in \( M \) with non-empty boundary such that each boundary component has slope \( r \) on \( \partial M \). For instance, as was pointed out in Sect. 3, if \( M(r) \) contains an essential surface \( S \) which cannot be moved into \( M \), then \( M \) contains an essential surface \( F \) with boundary-slope \( r \) such that \( T \cong S \). Hence if \( M(r_1) \) and \( M(r_2) \) contain such surfaces \( S_1, S_2 \) respectively, then we get corresponding essential surfaces \( F_1, F_2 \) in \( M \) with boundary-slopes \( r_1, r_2 \). Putting \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) in mutual general position, \( F_1 \cap F_2 \) will consist of circles and arcs properly embedded in \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). Note that the pattern of intersections on the boundary, that is, the triple \( (\partial M; \partial F_1, \partial F_2) \) is completely standard: all the components of \( \partial F_i \) are parallel, \( i = 1, 2 \), and each component of \( \partial F_1 \) meets each component of \( \partial F_2 \) in \( A(r_1, r_2) \) points. A final important point is that we may assume that no arc of \( F_1 \cap F_2 \) is isotopic in \( F_1 \) (keeping its endpoints fixed) into \( \partial F_i, i = 1, 2 \). This easily follows from the fact that \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are essential.

The idea is to then analyze the pattern of arcs of \( F_1 \cap F_2 \) as they lie on \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). We record the intersections on the boundary by numbering the boundary components of \( F_i \) in order as they appear on \( \partial M \), \( i = 1, 2 \), and labelling the points of \( \partial F_1 \cap \partial F_2 \) on \( F_1 \) with the number of the corresponding boundary component of \( F_2 \) (and vice versa). It is convenient to regard the disks \( \hat{F}_1 - \hat{F}_j \) as "fat" vertices, and the arcs of \( F_1 \cap F_2 \) as edges, so that we get graphs \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) in \( \hat{F}_1, \hat{F}_2 \) respectively, whose vertices and edge-endpoints are labelled as just described. Typically, one now proceeds to show that if \( A(r_1, r_2) \) is greater than some \( A_0 \), then the pair of graphs \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) must contain certain configurations of faces which have topological
implications for $M$ (or $M(r_1)$ or $M(r_2)$) inconsistent with the hypotheses. This type of argument was first used by Litherland [Lit].

Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 are proved in this way, the surfaces $S_i$ being spheres and tori respectively. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is similar in spirit, only here the surfaces $S_i$ are disks, whose boundaries lie on the closed surface $S$, realizing the compression of $S$ in $M(r_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. (This set-up is also an ingredient of the proof of Theorem 4.3.) In Theorem 5.1, the hypothesis is that $M(r_1) \cong M(r_2) \cong S^3$, which of course does not contain any essential surface. Nevertheless, using an idea of Gabai [Ga1], 2-spheres $S_i$ in $M(r_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, can be chosen so that the corresponding punctured spheres $F_i$ in $M$ still satisfy the key condition that no arc of $F_1 \cap F_2$ can be isotoped into the boundary in either $F_1$ or $F_2$. This allows one to apply the same philosophy.

8. Conclusion

As mentioned in the Introduction, the importance of Dehn surgery lies in the fact that every closed oriented 3-manifold can be obtained by Dehn surgery on some link in $S^3$. Thus one might regard a Dehn surgery presentation of a 3-manifold as being analogous to a Heegaard splitting, with the study of Dehn surgery on knots corresponding to the study of Heegaard splittings of genus one. However, I think this analogy is misleading, because, unlike Heegaard splittings of genus one, Dehn surgery on knots already seems to contain much of the complexity of the general case. This, together with the progress that has been made in the case of a single component, makes it hopeful that Dehn surgery might be a useful way to approach general problems about 3-manifolds. At any rate, the next step should be to find appropriate generalizations of the results discussed above to the case where solid tori are attached along the boundary components of an irreducible 3-manifold $M$ whose boundary is a disjoint union of an arbitrary number of tori.
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Parametrized Morse Theory and Its Applications
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We will discuss the basic concepts of parametrized Morse theory and show how they are related to the stability theorem, Waldhausen's \( A(X) \) and higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion.

§ 1. Standard Morse Theory

In standard Morse theory one usually takes a compact smooth \( (C^\infty) \) manifold \( M \) with boundary the union of three manifolds \( \partial_0 M \cup \partial_1 M \cup \partial \times I \) where \( I = [0, 1] \), \( \partial \times 0 = \partial \partial_0 M \) and \( \partial \times 1 = \partial \partial_1 M \). However for the purpose of these notes we will stick mainly to the case when \( \partial \) is empty. We will also write \( X = \partial_0 M \). Thus \( \partial M = X \coprod \partial_1 M \).

Any generic smooth function \( f: M \rightarrow I \) with \( f^{-1}(i) = \partial_i M \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) will be a Morse function with a finite number of nondegenerate singularities \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \). Each critical point \( x_i \) has an index \( \text{ind}(x_i) \geq 0 \). We can construct a finite relative \( CW \) complex

\[
Y = X \cup e_1 \cup e_2 \cup \cdots \cup e_m
\]

with one cell \( e_i \) for each critical point \( x_i \) and \( \text{dim}(e_i) = \text{ind}(x_i) \). From this relative cell complex we can construct the cellular chain complex \( C_*(Y, X) \) with coefficients in a ring \( R \) or with twisted coefficients given by a locally constant sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) over \( M \). If this chain complex is acyclic then we get a torsion invariant \( \tau(Y) = \tau(M) \) which is an element of some quotient group of \( K_1 R \) independent of the choice of the function \( f \) (assuming \( K_0 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0 R \) is a monomorphism).

Example 1.1. \( M = S^1 \times I, X = \partial_0 M = \emptyset, \partial_1 M = S^1 \times \{0, 1\} \) and \( f \) is the "height" in the following drawing.

In Example 1.1 there are two critical points \( x_0, x_1 \) of indices 0, 1 and \( Y = e_0 \cup e_1 \) is a circle. There is no torsion unless we take twisted coefficients in which case \( \tau(Y) = \tau(M) = \pm(1 - h^{\pm 1}) \) where \( h \) is the holonomy of the coefficient sheaf around the circle \( S^1 \times 1/2 \) in \( M \) and the sign ambiguities are due partially to the fact that the 1-cell \( e^1 \) is unoriented.

* Supported by NSF Grant No. DMS9002512.
§ 2. Parametrized Morse Theory

The study of parametrized Morse theory began with J. Cerf who used 1 and 2 parameter families of functions on $M = X \times I$ to prove the following theorem with definitions given below.

**Theorem 2.1** [C2]. If $X$ is a simply connected with dimension $\geq 5$ then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is connected.

**Definition 2.2.** If $X$ is a compact smooth manifold then the concordance space of $X$ is defined to be the space $\mathcal{C}(X) = \text{Diff}(X \times I \text{ rel } X \times 0 \cup \partial X \times I)$ where $\text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } A)$ denotes the space of all self diffeomorphisms of $M$ which are the identity on $A$ with the strong $C^\infty$ topology [C1].

Instead of taking families of functions on a single manifold we will consider functions on “families of manifolds.” This is an equivalent point of view. We take a smooth bundle (i.e. submersion) $p : E \to B$ with fiber $M$ so that $E$ contains the trivial subbundle $X \times B$. If $B = S^{k+1}$, the isomorphism classes of such bundles are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $\pi_1 \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } X)$. We are interested in calculating these groups and of finding computable invariants.

Given a smooth bundle $M \to E \to B$ as above we will construct maps:

$$
\theta : B \to |\mathcal{S}. (X)| = \{\text{cell complexes and characteristic maps}\}
$$

$$
\lambda \theta : B \to |\mathcal{K}. (Z, F)| = \{\text{filtered chain complexes}\}
$$

The second map is constructed from the first by composition with a map $\lambda : |\mathcal{S}. (X)| \to |\mathcal{K}. (Z, F)|$. Taking $B = S^{k+1}$ we will get homomorphisms

$$
\theta_* : \pi_k \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } X) \to \pi_{k+1}|\mathcal{S}. (X)|
$$

$$
\lambda_* \theta_* : \pi_k \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } X) \to \pi_{k+1}|\mathcal{K}. (Z, F)|.
$$

It turns out that $\theta_*$ is an isomorphism in many cases. Its purpose it to compute the group $\pi_k \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } X)$. The map $\lambda_* \theta_*$ is used to obtain algebraic invariants to detect particular elements of $\pi_k \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } X)$.
The idea behind \( \theta \) and \( \lambda \) is as follows. We take a "good" function \( f : E \to I \) and consider it as a family of functions on the fibers \( M_t = p^{-1}(t) \) of \( p : E \to B \). This will give us a "family of cell complexes" \( Y_t \) which we interpret as a map from \( B \) to the "space of all cell complexes." By a "linearization" process we get a map to the "space of all filtered chain complexes." We will explain what these terms mean.

§ 3. Waldhausen's Expansion Category

We use a model for the "space of all cell complexes" due to Waldhausen [W1]. Our nomenclature is however different: what we call the "expansion space" is the loop space of Waldhausen’s expansion space. Our expansion space \(|\mathcal{E}.(X)|\) is the geometric realization of a simplicial category \( \mathcal{E}.(X) \). The objects of \( \mathcal{E}_0(X) \), which form the vertices of \(|\mathcal{E}.(X)|\), are given by pairs \((Y, \{\psi_e\})\) where \( Y \) is a finite relative cell complex \( Y = X \cup e_1 \cup \cdots \cup e_m \) and \( \psi_{e_i} : D^n \to Y \) are characteristic maps (i.e. parameterizations) for the cells. The objects of \( \mathcal{E}_k(X) \), which form the \( k \)-simplices of \(|\mathcal{E}.(X)|\), are given by pairs \((Y, \{\psi_e\})\) where \( Y \) is a \( k \) parameter family of finite relative cell complex \( Y = X \times A^k \cup e_1 \times A^k \cup \cdots \cup e_m \times A^k \) and each \( \psi_{e_i} : D^n \times A^k \to Y \) is a \( k \) parameter family of characteristic maps. To avoid set theoretic difficulties we assume that \( Y \) is a subspace of a fixed infinite dimensional contractible space, e.g., \( \mathbb{R}^\infty \). This also clarifies our assertion that morphism are inclusion maps. Figure 3.1 gives an example for \( k = 1 \).

The morphisms of \( \mathcal{E}_k(X) \), which may be viewed as gluing maps for the \( k \)-simplices of \(|\mathcal{E}.(X)|\), are given by expansions. Expansions are compositions of elementary expansions which are given by inclusion maps: \( Y \to Y \cup D^n \times A^k \) where the \( k \) parameter family of disks \( D^n \times A^k \) is attached to the \( k \) parameter family of cell complexes \( Y \) along the southern hemisphere \( S^{n-1} \times A^k \). Figure 3.2 shows a path in \(|\mathcal{E}.(X)|\) which is obtained by gluing two edges \( A, B \in \mathcal{E}_k(X) \) along a morphism \( f : \partial_1 A \to \partial_0 B \) from an endpoint of \( A \) to an endpoint of \( B \).
An old unpublished result of Waldhausen gives a computation of the homotopy type of some of the components of the expansion space in terms of the Waldhausen $K$-theory of $X$. A proof of this is being prepared for publication in [IW].

**Theorem 3.3** (Waldhausen). $A(X) \simeq Q(X_+) \times B[\mathcal{E}.h(X)]$ where $A(X) =$ Waldhausen $K$-theory of $X$ [W2], $Q(X_+) = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty (X \prod \text{pt.}) B[\mathcal{E}.h(X)]$ is a nonconnected de-looping of $[\mathcal{E}.h(X)]$, $\mathcal{E}.h(X)$ is the simplicial full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}.(X)$ consisting of pairs $(Y, \psi)$ where $Y \simeq X$.

§ 4. The Framed Function Theorem

Suppose we have a smooth bundle $M \to E \to B$ as in Section 2 above. Then we would like to construct a map $\theta : B \to |\mathcal{E}.(X)|$. This is accomplished by the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4.1** [II]. If $\dim B \leq \dim M$ there exists a smooth function $f : E \to I$ so that for each $t \in B$, the map $f_t : M_t \to I$ given by restricting $f$ to the fiber over $t$ is a generalized Morse function (GMF), i.e. it satisfies the following conditions.

a) $f_t^{-1}(0) = X$, $f_t^{-1}(1) = \partial_t M_t$,

b) $f_t$ is nonsingular on $\partial M_t$,

c) $f_t$ has only $A_1$ and $A_2$ singularities.

Since $f : E \to I$ is being considered as a family of functions $f_t : M_t \to I$ we consider the fiberwise singular set $\sum(f)$ of $f$ which is defined to be the set of all $x$ in $E$ so that $x$ is a singularity of $f_t : M_t \to I$ where $t = p(x)$ is the image of $x$ in $B$.

**Definition 4.2.** $y \in M$ is an $A_k$ singularity of $g : M \to \mathcal{R}$ if $g$ can be written in local coordinates as

$$g = \pm x_0^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \pm x_i^2 + C.$$
By the Morse lemma $A_1$ singularities are the same as nondegenerate singularities. $A_2$ singularities are also called birth-death singularities. This is because an $A_2$ singularity can be perturbed to give either two $A_1$ singularities in "cancelling position" or to give no singularities. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.3. (As before $f$ is the "height" function given by the distance from the bottom of the page.)

Theorem 4.1 is not sufficient for our purposes. Given a smooth bundle $M \to E \to B$ and a smooth function $f: E \to I$ as described in Theorem 4.1 we can do Morse theory on the family of generalized Morse functions $f_t: M_t \to I$ and we get a family of cell complexes parametrized by $B$. However we do not get a family of characteristic maps $\{\psi_e\}$ as required in the definition of the expansion category. Therefore we do not get a map from $B$ into its geometric realization. To remedy this situation we have another theorem.

**Theorem 4.4** [I2] (Framed Function Theorem). In Theorem 4.1 the smooth map $f: E \to I$ can be chosen so that it admits a "framing." This consists of a Riemannian metric for $E$ and a function $\xi$ on the fiberwise singular set of $f$ of the following form.

a) For each critical $x$ of $f_t: M_t \to I$, $\xi(x) = \xi_t(x) = (\xi^1_t, \ldots, \xi^l_t)$ is an orthonormal framing for the nonpositive eigenspace of $D^2f_t(x)$, (i.e. the sum of all eigenspaces of $D^2f_t(x)$ corresponding to nonpositive eigenvalues).

b) For each $j$, $\xi^j_t(x)$ is a continuous function on its domain.

c) At each birth-death singularity the last vector $\xi^l_t$ lies in $\ker D^2f_t(x)$ and the intrinsically defined third derivative $D^3f_t(x)(\xi, \xi^l_t)$ is positive.

Furthermore, if $\dim B < \dim M$ then $(f, \xi)$ is unique up to framed homotopy.

We will call the pair $(f, \xi)$ a fiberwise framed function on $E$.

Figure 4.5 illustrates the definition of a framing. In Fig. 4.5.1 there are two $A_1$ singularities of indices 0, 1. Thus a framing associates a unit tangent vector $\xi^1$ to the $A_1$ point of index 1. This goes continuously to the indicated framed $A_2$ singularity. The unit vector $\xi^1$ must point to the right at the $A_2$ singularity by condition (c) in Theorem 4.4. In Fig. 4.5.2 the same $A_1$ singularities with the opposite framing cannot be cancelled in the same way, although there is a more complicated deformation which eliminates these two framed singularities. In Fig. 4.5.3 the framing vector $\xi^2$ plays the role of $\xi^1$ in the first two examples.
Now suppose that $M \to E \to B$ is a smooth bundle and $(f, \xi) : E \to I$ is a fiberwise framed function. Then for each $t$ in $B$ we get a GMF $f_t : E \to I$ and a framing $\xi_t$. The GMF $f_t$ tells us now to construct a finite relative cell complex $Y_t$ and the framing $\xi_t$ is exactly what is needed to construct the characteristic maps $\{\psi_t\}$ for $Y_t$. Consequently we get a map $\theta : B \to |\psi \cdot (X)|$. The uniqueness of $(f, \xi)$ up to framed homotopy implies that the map $\theta$ is unique up to homotopy. We note that the dimension condition $\dim B < \dim M$ can be circumvented by "stabilization," i.e. by taking the product with a large disk $D^N$ to get $M \times D^N \to E \times D^N \to B$.

Figure 4.6 gives an example of this construction.
§ 5. Application to Pseudoisotopy

Suppose that \( M = X \times 1 \). Then smooth bundles \( M \rightarrow E \rightarrow S^{k+1} \) are classified by the homotopy group \( \pi_k \mathcal{E}(X) \) and the framed function theorem gives us a homomorphism

\[
\theta_* : \pi_k \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1} | \mathcal{E} \cdot h(X) |
\]

This map is an isomorphism if \( 3k \leq \dim X - 9 \) basically because a smooth bundle can be reconstructed from a family of cell complexes by embedding it in Euclidean space and taking a neighborhood. Another way to say this is that we get a highly connected map

\[
\theta : B \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow | \mathcal{E} \cdot h(X) |
\]

where \( B \mathcal{E}(X) \) is a nonconnected delooping of \( \mathcal{E}(X) \). This leads to the following stability theorem. The details can be found in [13].

**Theorem 5.1** (Stability Theorem). The suspension map \( \sigma : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X \times I) \) is \( \sim \dim X/3 \)-connected.

Using Theorem 3.1 we also get another proof of the following famous theorem of Waldhausen [W2]:

**Theorem 5.2** (Waldhausen). \( A(X) \simeq Q(X_+) \times B^2 \mathcal{P}(X) \) where \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) is the direct limit of concordance spaces \( \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X \times I) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X \times I^n) \) with respect to suspension and \( B^2 \mathcal{P}(X) \) denotes a two-fold nonsimply-connected delooping of \( \mathcal{P}(X) \).

The space \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) is called the stable pseudoisotopy (or concordance) space of \( X \).

§ 6. The Space of Filtered Chain Complexes

This section is a report on recent joint work with John Klein.

One way to obtain \( K \)-theory invariants for smooth bundles is to triangulate the base space \( B \) and associate to each simplex the total singular complex of its inverse image. This gives a functor from the category of simplices of \( B \) to a category of chain complexes with additional structure. If this category is carefully constructed it will have homotopy groups closely related to algebraic \( K \)-groups. One suitable construction is the simplicial category of “filtered chain complexes.”

Suppose that \( Z \) is a space, \( R \) is an associative ring with 1 and \( \mathcal{F} \) is a locally constant sheaf of nonzero finitely generated (f.g.) free \( R \)-modules over \( Z \). Then we construct the “space of filtered chain complexes.” This is the geometric realization of a simplicial category \( \mathcal{K} \cdot (Z, \mathcal{F}) \) whose objects in every degree are quadruples \( (S, C, \{ S^A \}, \{ I_{A,e} \}) \) where \( S \) is a free \( R \)-complex, \( C \) is a finite poset over \( Z \). \( \{ S^A \} \) is a family of subcomplexes of \( S \) indexed by the order ideals in \( C \) and \( \{ I_{A,e} \} \) is a family of cohomology classes indexed by pairs \( (A, e) \) where \( A \) is an order ideal in \( C \) and \( e \) is a minimal element of the complement \( C \setminus A \) of \( A \) in \( C \). The precise definition is
rather technical so we will explain it with two examples. We recall that an order ideal in a poset $C$ is a subset $A$ of $C$ so that $A$ contains any element of $C$ which is less than any element of $A$.

**Example 6.1.** Let $f: M \to I$ be a Morse function as explained in Section 1, let $\xi$ be a framing for $f$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be any locally constant sheaf of f.g. free $R$-modules over $M$. Then an object $(S, C, \{S^A\}, \{l_{A,e}\})$ of $\mathcal{K}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ is given as follows.

a) $S = C_\ast(M, X; \mathcal{F})$ is the relative singular complex of $(M, X)$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}$.

b) $C = \sum (f)$ is the singular set of $f$ ordered by critical value. Thus $a < b$ iff $f(a) < f(b)$.

i) $\text{ind}: C \to N$ is given by $\text{ind}(x) = \text{index of } f \text{ at } x$.

ii) $p: C \to M$ is the inclusion map.

c) $S^A = C_\ast(f^{-1}[0,f(e)]\backslash(C \backslash A), X; \mathcal{F})$ for any order ideal $A$ in $C$ where $e$ is a minimal element in $C \backslash A$. (If no such $e$ exists then $A = C$ and $S^c = S$.)

d) If $A$ is an order ideal in $C$ and $e \in A$ is maximal with $\text{ind}(e) = i$ then let

$$l_{A,e}: H_i(S^A, e), S^A) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{p(e)}$$

be the isomorphism specified by the framing $\xi(e)$ where $\mathcal{F}_{p(e)}$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ over $p(e)$.

**Example 6.2.** Let $\pi = \pi_1 X$ and let $B\pi$ be an open $K(\pi, 1)$ neighborhood of $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any locally constant sheaf of f.g. free $R$-modules over $B\pi$. Let $\mathcal{E}.\pi(X)$ denote the simplicial full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}.(X)$ whose objects are pairs $(Y, \psi)$ so that $Y$ is a subspace of $B\pi$. Then there is a simplicial functor $\lambda: \mathcal{E}.\pi(X) \to \mathcal{K}.(B\pi, \mathcal{F})$ given in degree zero by $\lambda(Y, \psi) = (S, C, \{S^A\}, \{l_{A,e}\})$ where $S, C, \{S^A\}, \{l_{A,e}\}$ are given as follows.

a) $S = C_\ast(Y, X; \mathcal{F})$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $Y$.

b) $C$ is the set of cells of $Y$. (The indexed poset $C$ is actually part of the structure of $(Y, \psi)$.

i) $\text{ind}: C \to N$ is given by $\text{ind}(x) = \text{dim}(x)$.

ii) $p: C \to B\pi$ is given by $p(x) = \psi_x(0)$.

c) $S^A = C_\ast(Y^A, X; \mathcal{F})$ where $Y^A$ is the closed subcomplex of $Y$ corresponding to $A$.

d) If $A$ is an order ideal in $C$ and $e \in A$ is maximal with $\text{ind}(e) = i$ then let

$$l_{A,e}: H_i(S^A, e), S^A) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{p(e)}$$

be the isomorphism specified by the characteristic map $\psi_e$.

Let $\mathcal{K}.h(Z, \mathcal{F})$ denote the simplicial full subcategory of $\mathcal{K}.(Z, \mathcal{F})$ whose objects are those quadruples $(S, C, \{S^A\}, \{l_{A,e}\})$ so that $S$ is acyclic. Assume that $Z$ is connected and that the natural map $K_0 Z \to K_0 R$ is a monomorphism. Then using ideas from the proof of Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following.

**Theorem 6.3 [IK].** There is a homotopy fiber sequence:

$$|\mathcal{K}.h(Z, \mathcal{F})| \to Q(Z_+) \to \mathbb{Z} \times B \text{GL}(R)^+.$$
Corollary 6.4 (Higher Franz-Reidemeister Torsion). Suppose that $\pi_1 M = \pi_1 X = \pi$ is a finite group, $R = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ has unitary holonomy, i.e. $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a unitary representation of $\pi$. Let $M \to E \to S^{2k}$ be a smooth bundle as in Section 2 so that the map in homology $H_\ast(M, X; \mathcal{F}) \to H_\ast(E, X \times S^{2k}; \mathcal{F})$ induced by the inclusion of $M$ in $E$ is a monomorphism. Then there is a naturally defined torsion invariant $\tau(E) \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore these invariants detect the rational homotopy groups of $\mathcal{P}(\ast)$ and are thus nontrivial for all even $k$.

Remark. Higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion was first constructed by Wagoner [W] but only for bundles over circles. Klein [K] was the first to construct higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion invariants for bundles over higher dimensional spheres but he assumed that $H_\ast(M, X; \mathcal{F}) = 0$.
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Here is an outline of the paper.

In § 1 we consider whether the class of closed Riemannian manifolds (closed = connected, compact, with no boundary) with sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$ everywhere is smoothly rigid or is topologically rigid. In other words if $M, N$ are two such manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups, then are $M, N$ diffeomorphic to one another or homeomorphic to one another? We review some of the history of the problem beginning with the rigidity results of Bieberbach and Mostow. Our two main results state that this class of manifolds is not smoothly rigid, but it is topologically rigid in dimensions greater than four.

In §2 we analyze the space $\text{TOP}(M)$ of all self-homeomorphisms of a closed Riemannian manifold $M$ whose sectional curvature satisfies $K \leq 0$ everywhere. In this setting rigidity expresses itself through the simplicity of the homotopy type of $\text{TOP}(M)$, e.g. the rational homotopy groups of $\text{TOP}(M)$ vanish through a stable range of dimensions greater than one. We review results which reduce this problem to the study of the space $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of stable topological pseudoisotopies of $M$. Our main result in this section states that up to homotopy the space $\mathcal{P}(M)$ can be constructed in a simple way from the stable pseudoisotopy spectrum $\mathcal{P}(S^1)$ of the circle.

In §3 we formulate a general conjecture whose truth would certainly imply the main topological results of §1, §2, and would also imply many other well known conjectures in algebraic $K$-theory and algebraic topology (e.g. the Novikov Conjectures, the Borel Conjecture in dimensions $\geq 5$, and Conjectures 1.8, 1.9, 2.8 of this paper). Roughly speaking our conjecture states that for any connected CW complex $X$ the stable topological pseudoisotopy $\Omega$-spectrum $\mathcal{P}(X)$ can be constructed in a simple way from the $\Omega$-spectra $\{\mathcal{P}_H(X_H) : H \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}$, where $\mathcal{G}(X)$ denotes the collection of all cyclic by finite subgroups of $\pi_1 X$ and where $X_H \to X$ denotes the covering projection corresponding to any $H \in \mathcal{G}(X)$. This same conjecture is also made for the $\Omega$-spectra valued functors associated to surgery $L$-theory, algebraic $K$-theory, and to smooth pseudoisotopy theory. Based on our recent computations of the surgery $L$-groups and the stable pseudoisotopy spectra of cocompact discrete subgroups of virtually connected Lie groups (cf. 3.4), we believe it is only a matter of time before these conjectures are verified when $\pi_1 X$ is a discrete subgroup of a virtually connected Lie group. For more general classes of fundamental groups the authors regard these conjectures as only estimates which best fit the known data at
this time. If these estimates prove incorrect for a larger class of groups, then one should look for the minimal class of subgroups $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of each $\pi_1 X$ which makes the preceding conjecture true ($\mathcal{B}(X)$ is now forced to be larger than the class of cyclic by finite subgroups of $\pi_1 X$).

§ 1. Rigidity

We begin with a statement of the rigidity results of Bieberbach [5] and of Mostow [26, 27].

1.1 Bieberbach's Rigidity Theorem. Let $f : N \to M$ be a homotopy equivalence between closed flat (i.e. sectional curvature satisfies $K = 0$ everywhere) Riemannian manifolds. Then $f$ is homotopic to an affine diffeomorphism.

1.2 Mostow's Rigidity Theorem. Let $f : N \to M$ be a homotopy equivalence between closed locally symmetric spaces with sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$ everywhere. Suppose that $M$ has no closed one or two-dimensional totally geodesic subspaces which are local factors of $M$. Then $f$ is homotopic to a diffeomorphism, which becomes an isometry after adjusting the normalizing factors of $M$.

These two results suggest the following problem.

1.3 Problem. Let $f : N \to M$ denote a homotopy equivalence between two closed Riemannian manifolds which both have sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$ everywhere. Is $f$ homotopic to a diffeomorphism or to a homeomorphism?

1.4 History of Problem. In addition to the Rigidity Theorems of Bieberbach and Mostow, there are the following results which give partial answers to Problem 1.3. Eells and Sampson [9] showed that $f$ is homotopic to a harmonic map $\tilde{f} : N \to M$, and Al'ber [1] and Hartmann [18] showed that $\tilde{f}$ is uniquely determined by $f$ if $K < 0$ holds everywhere for $M$. Lawson and Yau then suggested that $\tilde{f} : N \to M$ should be a diffeomorphism whenever $K < 0$ holds everywhere for both $N, M$. This was proven by Schoen and Yau [33] when $\dim M = 2$, and follows from Mostow's Rigidity Theorem when $N, M$ are locally symmetric spaces. The next theorem (cf. 1.5) gives counterexamples to the Lawson-Yau problem in dimensions greater than six, but the problem remains open in dimensions three through six. If $K = 0$ holds everywhere for $M$ in 1.3 then it follows from work of Gromoll and Wolf [17], and from work of Yau [39], that $K = 0$ also holds everywhere for $N$; thus $f$ must be homotopic to an affine diffeomorphism by Bieberbach's Rigidity Theorem. If $M$ is an irreducible locally symmetric space of rank $\geq 2$ Gromov [3] has shown that after rescaling the metric on $M$ $f$ will be homotopic to an isometry. Eberlein [7, 8] independently proved the same result under the hypothesis that the universal cover of $M$ is reducible. Cheeger showed in the mid-1970s that the bundles of orthonormal two-frames $V_2(N), V_2(M)$ are homeomorphic provided $K < 0$ holds
everywhere for both $N, M$; and then, under the same hypothesis, Gromov showed that the unit sphere bundles $S(N), S(M)$ are homeomorphic, via a homeomorphism which preserves the orbits of the geodesic flows. Mishchenko [25] showed that $f$ pulls the rational Pontrjagin classes of $M$ back to those of $N$; and Farrell and Hsiang [10] showed that $f \times \text{id} : N \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is properly homotopic to a homeomorphism, where $\text{id} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes the identity map.

The authors [11, 15] have proven the following two theorems which more or less settle Problem 1.3. In the first of these theorems we let $M$ denote any closed real hyperbolic manifold with sectional curvature satisfying $K = -1$ everywhere, and we let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots, \Sigma_n$ denote a complete list of nondiffeomorphic exotic spheres of dimension equal $\dim M > 4$.

1.5 Theorem. Given any number $\delta > 0$ there is a finite sheeted covering space projection $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the following properties.

(a) No two of the manifolds $\tilde{M}, \tilde{M} \neq \Sigma_1, \ldots, \tilde{M} \neq \Sigma_n$ are diffeomorphic, but they are all homeomorphic.

(b) Each $\tilde{M} \neq \Sigma_1$ supports a Riemannian metric all of whose sectional curvature values lie in the interval $(-1-\delta, -1+\delta)$.

1.6 Theorem. Let $f : N \rightarrow M$ denote a homotopy equivalence between two closed manifolds, where $M$ is a closed Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$ everywhere. Then $f$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism, provided $\dim M \geq 5$.

1.6.1 Remark. There is the following more general version of 1.6: any homotopy equivalence $h : (N, \partial N) \rightarrow (M \times I^k, \partial(M \times I^k))$ of compact manifold pairs, which is a homeomorphism of the boundaries, is homotopic rel $\partial$ to a homeomorphism, provided $k + \dim M \geq 5$, where $k \geq 0$ is an integer and $I^k$ denotes the $k$-fold Cartesian product of the unit interval with itself.

That the conclusion to Theorem 1.6 should remain true for any closed aspherical manifold $M$ is known as the Borel Conjecture. In the remainder of this section we formulate two conjectures in terms of generalized homology theory and the Whitehead group, which taken together are even more general than Borel's conjecture.

1.7 The Assembly Map and Generalized Homology Theory. We remind the reader that $\Omega$-spectra are the “coefficients” of generalized homology (and cohomology) theories. Let $S_\ast$ denote an $\Omega$-spectrum, that is $S_\ast$ is a collection of spaces $\{S_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ together with given homotopy equivalences $S_i \cong \Omega S_{i+1}$ and base points $s_i \in S_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any topological space $X$ and for each integer $j$ define a space $\mathbb{H}_j(X, S_\ast)$ to be the direct limit space $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^i(X \times S_{j+i} / X \times s_{j+i})$. Note that the collection of spaces $\{\mathbb{H}_j(X, S_\ast) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a $\Omega$-spectrum, which we denote by $\mathbb{H}_\ast(X, S_\ast)$ and call the homology spectrum for $X$ with coefficients in $S_\ast$. Recall that the homology groups $H_j(X, S_\ast)$ for $X$ with coefficients in $S_\ast$ are defined to be the homotopy groups $\pi_j(\mathbb{H}_\ast(X, S_\ast))$ of the $\Omega$-spectrum $\mathbb{H}_\ast(X, S_\ast)$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}^b(\ast)$ denote the $\Omega$-spectra valued homotopy functor whose value on a connected $CW$ complex $X$ is the equal to the $\Omega$-spectrum of surgery classifying
spaces with four-fold periodicity (cf. [30]) for the group \( \pi_1 X \) with orientation data given by the zero homomorphism \( w : \pi_1 X \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \), where the homotopy groups of \( \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(X) \) are the surgery groups \( L^i_\bullet(\pi_1 X, w) \) defined by Wall [37]. Let "pt." denote the space with one point. Note that for each integer \( j \) we get a map \( \phi_j : X \times \mathcal{L}_j^h(pt.) \to \mathcal{L}_j^h(X) \) by identifying each \( y \times \mathcal{L}_j^h(pt.), y \in X \), with the subset \( \mathcal{L}_j^h(y) \subset \mathcal{L}_j^h(X) \). Define \( A_j : \mathbb{H}_j(X, \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(pt.)) \to \mathcal{L}_j^h(X) \) to be the direct limit of the composition of maps

\[
\Omega^j(X \times \mathcal{L}_j^h(pt.)/(pt.)) \xrightarrow{\Omega^j(\phi_{j+1})} \Omega^j(\mathcal{L}_{j+1}^h(X)) \cong \mathcal{L}_j^h(X),
\]

where \( s_{j+1} \) is the base point of \( \mathcal{L}_{j+1}^h(pt.) \). Note that the collection of all such maps \( A_* : \mathbb{H}_* (X, \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(pt.)) \to \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(X) \) is a mapping of \( \Omega \)-spectra, which is called the assembly map (cf. [29, 38]).

1.8 Conjecture. Let \( X \) denote any connected aspherical CW complex with torsion free \( \pi_1 X \). Then the assembly map \( A_* : \mathbb{H}_* (X, \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(pt.)) \to \mathcal{L}_\bullet^h(X) \) is an equivalence of \( \Omega \)-spectra.

1.9 Conjecture. The Whitehead group \( Wh(\Gamma) \) of any torsion free group \( \Gamma \) is zero.

1.10 Remark. There is a more general version of Conjecture 1.8 (which we do not write down because of space limitations) that covers the case of surgery when the surgery orientation data \( w : \pi_1 X \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is not assumed to be the zero homomorphism. Conjecture 1.8 (in its dual cohomology form) and Conjecture 1.9 are possibilities that many experts have been aware of for some time (cf. [20, 28, 31]). It has also been known for some time (cf. [24, 37]) that if \( X \) is a connected aspherical compact manifold (possibly with boundary) satisfying \( \dim(X) \geq 5 \) then Conjectures 1.8, 1.9 (with \( \Gamma = \pi_1 X \) in 1.9) are together equivalent to the following strong topological rigidity property for \( X \): any homotopy equivalence \( h : (N, \partial N) \to (X \times T^k, \partial(X \times I^k)) \) of compact manifold pairs, which is a homeomorphism of the boundaries is homotopic rel \( \partial \) to a homeomorphism, where \( k \geq 0 \) is an integer and \( I^k \) is the \( k \)-fold Cartesian product of the unit interval with itself. Thus it follows from 1.6.1 that Conjectures 1.8, 1.9 are true for \( X \) equal any closed Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature satisfying \( K \leq 0 \) everywhere. Note that \( X \times T^n \) also is a closed Riemannian manifold having \( K \leq 0 \) everywhere, where \( T^n \) is the flat \( n \)-torus. So by 1.9 we have that \( Wh(\pi_1 X \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n) = 0 \) holds for all integers \( n \geq 0 \). Now this last equality together with the Bass-Heller-Swan formula [4] implies that \( \bar{K}_0(Z\pi_1 X) = 0 \) and \( K_{-i}(Z\pi_1 X) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq 1 \). We have just derived the following theorem.

1.11 Theorem. Let \( X \) denote a closed Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature satisfying \( K \leq 0 \) everywhere. Then we have that \( Wh(\pi_1 X) = 0, \bar{K}_0(Z\pi_1 X) = 0, \) and \( K_{-i}(Z\pi_1 X) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq 1 \). Moreover Conjecture 1.8 is also true for \( X \).

§ 2. Spaces of Self-homeomorphisms

Let \( \text{TOP}(M) \) denote the space of all self-homeomorphisms of the closed manifold \( M \). Topologists study \( \text{TOP}(M) \) by analyzing the three associated spaces \( G(M), \)
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TOP(M), P(M) of self-homotopy equivalences of M, blocked homeomorphisms of M, and pseudoisotopies of M. We have the inclusions TOP(M) ⊂ TOP(P(M) ⊂ G(M) since we may think of all of these spaces as being semi-simplicial spaces in which a typical k-simplex A consists of a selfmap f: A^k × M → A^k × M of the Cartesian product of the standard k-simplex with M which satisfies the following properties: if A ∈ TOP(M) then for each p ∈ A^k the restricted map f: p × M → p × M is a homeomorphism; if A ∈ TOP(M) then for each face A ∈ A^k the restricted map f: A × M → A × M is a homeomorphism; if A ∈ G(M) then for each face A ∈ A^k the restricted map f: A × M → A × M is a homotopy equivalence. Recall that a pseudoisotopy of M is a homeomorphism h: M × [0, 1] → M × [0, 1] such that h|M × 0 is equal the inclusion M × 0 ⊂ M × [0, 1].

The following lemma, which is due to Hatcher [19], shows the role of TOP(M) and P(M) in analyzing TOP(M).

2.1 Lemma. There is a spectral sequence with E^1_{p,q} = π_q(P(M × I^p)) which converges to π_{q+p+1}(TOP(M)/TOP(M)).

For any aspherical closed manifold M it is well known that there is a homotopy equivalence G(M) ≅ Out(π_1 M) × K(π_1 M, 1), where Out(π_1 M) denotes the outer automorphism group and where K(Γ, 1) denotes the aspherical space having π_1(K(Γ, 1)) = Γ. If M is a closed aspherical manifold for which Conjectures 1.8, 1.9 hold then we have (by 1.10) that the inclusion TOP(M) ⊂ G(M) is a homotopy equivalence provided dim M ≥ 5. Thus we have the following lemma.

2.2 Lemma. Let M denote a closed aspherical manifold with dim M ≥ 5 for which Conjectures 1.8, 1.9 hold (e.g. M is a Riemannian manifold with K ≤ 0 everywhere). Then there is a homotopy equivalence TOP(M) ≅ Out(π_1 X) × K(π_1 X, 1).

The effect of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 is to reduce the study of TOP(M) to the study of the spaces of pseudoisotopies P(M × I^p), p = 0, 1, 2, 3, .... Note that there is an “inclusion” map P(M × I^p) → P(M × I^{p+1}) gotten by forming the product of any pseudoisotopy h: (M × I^p) × [0, 1] → (M × I^p) × [0, 1] with the identity map id: I → I. Thus we may form the direct limit space P(M) = lim_{p→∞} P(M × I^p), which is more amenable to homotopy analysis than its approximating spaces P(M × I^p). One of the benefits of this stabilization process is that P(M) now fits in as the zero'th space of an Ω-spectrum P_*(M) (cf. [19]). Moreover P_*( ) is an Ω-spectra valued continuous functor defined on the category of all topological spaces; the value of P( ), i ≥ 0, on the space X is the semisimplicial space of all stable pseudoisotopies over X × R^i which have compact support in the factor X and are bounded in the factor R^i. Note that any homotopy information obtained about P(M) can be translated into information about the spaces P(M × I^p) by using the following lemma.

2.3 Lemma. For any closed smooth manifold M the inclusion map P(M × I^p) ⊂ P(M) induces an isomorphism on homotopy groups through dimension d = (dim M + p - 7)/3 provided (dim M + p) > 10 holds.
This last lemma is proven by Igusa [22] for spaces of smooth pseudoisotopies. Results of Burghelea and Lashof [6], and of Goodwillie (unpublished), then imply that the lemma also holds for spaces of topological pseudoisotopies.

We will now precisely formulate a result which states roughly that $\mathcal{P}_*(M)$ can be constructed in a simple way from $\mathcal{P}_*(S^1)$, where $S^1$ is the circle. Towards this end we need the terminology and notation of the following two subsections.

2.4 Homology Theory with Coefficients in Stratified and Twisted $\Omega$-Spectra and the Assembly Map. A mapping $f: E \to X$ is called a generalized Siefert fibration if there is a triangulation $T$ for $X$, and for each simplex $A \in T$ there is a continuous map $g_A: Y_A \times A \to f^{-1}(A)$, such that the following hold: $f \circ g_A$ is equal projection onto the second factor of $Y_A \times A$, and $Y_A$ is connected; the restricted map $g_A|_{Y_A \times (A - \partial A)}$ is a homeomorphism onto $f^{-1}(A - \partial A)$; and the restricted map $g_A|_{Y_A \times (\sigma - \partial \sigma)}$ is a covering space projection onto $f^{-1}(\sigma - \partial \sigma)$ for each face $\sigma \in A$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_*(\ )$ denote a given $\Omega$-spectra valued continuous functor from the category of path connected topological spaces, and let $f: E \to X$ denote a given generalized Siefert fibration. Note that the collection of $\Omega$-spectra $\{\mathcal{P}_*(f^{-1}(p)) : p \in X\}$ is a system of stratified and twisted coefficients over $X$ with respect to which we can (roughly) define the homology spectrum for $X$, denoted by $\mathbb{H}_*(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f))$, by

$$\mathbb{H}_j(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f)) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \Omega^j\left(\bigcup_{p \in X} \mathcal{P}_{i+j}(f^{-1}(p)) \left/ \bigcup_{p \in X} s_{i+j,p}\right\right),$$

where $s_{i+j,p} \in \mathcal{P}_{i+j}(f^{-1}(p))$ are the base points. The $k$-th homology group of $X$ with respect to the system of coefficients $\{\mathcal{P}_*(f^{-1}(p)) : p \in X\}$, denoted by $H_k(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f))$, is defined to be $\pi_k(\mathbb{H}_*(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f)))$. There is also an assembly map $A_*: \mathbb{H}_*(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f)) \to \mathcal{P}_*(E)$ induced as in 1.7 from the inclusions $\mathcal{P}_*(f^{-1}(p)) \to \mathcal{P}_*(E)$. For more details about the homology spectrum $\mathbb{H}_*(X, \mathcal{P}_*(f))$ and the assembly map $A_*$ the reader is referred to Quinn [29], Yamasaki [38], and to Farrell and Jones [14].

2.5 The Generalized Siefert Fibration $p: E \to G$ and the Map $f: E \to M$. Let $S$ denote the space of all maps $\{S^1 \to S^1\}$ which have constant non-zero speed. Note that $S$ is a semi-group with respect to the composition operation. Let $F$ denote the space of all essential closed geodesics $\{g: S^1 \to M\}$ in the closed Riemannian manifold $M$ with sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$. Note that $S$ "acts" on the right of $F$ by $(g, h) \to g \circ h$ for $g \in F, h \in S$, and $S$ "acts" on the right of $F \times S^1$ by $(g, x, h) \to (g \circ h, h^{-1}(x))$ for $g \in F, x \in S^1, h \in S$. We define $p: E \to G$ to be the quotient of the first factor projection $F \times S^1 \to F$ under the right actions of $S$. Note that the map $F \times S^1 \to M$ defined by $(g, x) \to g(x)$ induces a map $f: E \to M$. We note that $G$ parametrizes the collection of (unparametrized) essential $t$-simple closed geodesics $g: S^1 \to M$ (where "$t$-simple" means that there is a no rotation $r: S^1 \to S^1$ other than the identity which satisfies $g = g \circ r$), in fact any such geodesic is equal to the restricted map $f: p^{-1}(y) \to M$ for some $y \in G$. The authors have proven that $p: E \to G$ is a generalized Siefert fibration.

The following two results have been proven by the authors [15].
2.6. Theorem. Let $M$ be a closed Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature satisfying $K \leq 0$ everywhere. Then the composite map

$$H_*(G, P_*(f)) \xrightarrow{P_*(f) \circ A_*} P_*(M)$$

is an equivalence of $\Omega$-spectra, where $A_*$ is the assembly map for the generalized Siefert fibration $p : E \rightarrow G$ (cf. 2.4, 2.5) and where $P_*(f)$ is the image of the map $f : E \rightarrow M$ under the functor $P_*(\ )$.

2.6.1 Remark. Theorem 2.6 reduces the study of $P_*(M)$ to the $\beta$-spectrum $P_*(S^1)$ about which there is a good deal known. For example Waldhausen \[35, 36\] shows that $\pi_i(P_*(S^1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i \geq 0$, and Anderson and Hsiang \[2\] show that $\pi_i(P_*(S^1)) = 0$ for $i < 0$; results of Igusa \[23\] imply that $\pi_0(P_*(S^1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ denotes the direct sum of a countably infinite number of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. By using Theorem 2.6 and a spectral sequence argument we get these same equalities for $\pi_0(P_*(M))$.

2.7 Corollary. Let $M$ be as in 2.6 with $\dim M > 10$ and let $i$ be any integer satisfying $1 \leq i \leq (\dim M - 7)/3$. Then

$$\pi_i(\mathrm{TOP}(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \begin{cases} \text{center}(\pi_1 M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Moreover the forgetful map $\pi_0(\mathrm{TOP}(M)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1 X)$ is surjective with kernel equal $\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$.

The proof of 2.7 consists of combining Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 with Remarks 1.6.1, 2.6.1.

We end this section by recalling a conjecture made by the authors in \[14\]. To precisely formulate this conjecture we associate to any space $X$ a generalized Siefert fibration $\bar{p} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ as follows. Let $\bar{F}$ denote the space of all essential continuous maps $\{g : S^1 \rightarrow X\}$ and let $\bar{S}$ be the semi-group of 2.5. Note $\bar{S}$ acts on the right of $\bar{F} \times S^1$ and of $\bar{F}$ as described in 2.5. Our first candidate for $\bar{p} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is gotten by imitating the construction of $p : E \rightarrow G$ in 2.5, i.e. taking the quotient of the projection map $\bar{F} \times S^1 \rightarrow \bar{F}$ under the right actions of $\bar{S}$. However with this definition it is not clear that $\bar{p} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is a generalized Siefert fibration. So instead we define $\bar{p} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ to be a semisimplicial version of our first candidate: replace $\bar{F}$ and $\bar{S}$ by their associated semisimplicial objects and proceed as before. By imitating the construction of $f : E \rightarrow M$ in 2.5 we get a map $\bar{f} : \bar{E} \rightarrow X$. (The reader is referred to [14; §4] for more details).

2.8. Conjecture. Let $X$ denote any path connected aspherical CW complex with torsion free $\pi_1 X$. Then the composite map

$$H_*(\bar{G}, P_*(\bar{p})) \xrightarrow{P_*(\bar{f}) \circ A_*} P_*(X)$$

is an equivalence of $\Omega$-spectra, where $A_*^\Omega$ is the assembly map for the generalized Siebert fibration $p : E \to G$ and where $R_\Omega(f)$ is the image of the map $f : E \to X$ under the functor $R_\Omega(f)$.

2.8.1 Remark. Unlike Conjectures 1.8, 1.9, which have been around for some time, Conjecture 2.8 was first formulated in 1989 by the authors [14] as an attempt to generalize Theorem 2.6. It requires some argument (cf, [14; §4]) to see that 2.6 and 2.8 give the same calculation for $R_\Omega(M)$ when $M$ is as in 2.6.

§ 3. The Isomorphism Conjectures

The starting point of this section consists of Conjectures 1.8, 2.8. Simply stated these conjectures offer recipes for computing the values of the functors $L_\Omega(\ )$ and $R_\Omega(\ )$ on a space $X$ in terms of their values on a collection of much simpler spaces (a point or a circle respectively). In this section we formulate a more general conjecture along these lines, where we drop the hypothesis of 1.8, 2.8 that $X$ be aspherical with torsion free $\pi_1 X$, and we add to our list of $\Omega$-spectra valued continuous functors $R_\Omega(\ )$ which we wish to analyze by such a conjectured recipe.

3.1 The Functors $R_\Omega(\ ), R_\Omega^{\text{diff}}(\ ), L_\Omega(\ ), K_\Omega(\ )$. We have already discussed $R_\Omega(\ )$ in §2; $R_\Omega^{\text{diff}}(\ )$ is the functor whose value on a space $X$ is the $\Omega$-spectrum defined by Hatcher [19] of stable smooth pseudoisotopies which have compact support in $X$; $L_\Omega(\ )$ is the functor whose value on a path connected space $X$ is the $\Omega$-spectrum (with four-fold periodicity) of surgery classifying spaces for the group $\pi_1 X$ with orientation data given by the zero homomorphism $w : \pi_1 X \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, having the surgery groups $L_\Omega^\alpha(\pi_1 X, w)$ defined by Ranicki [32] for homotopy groups; and $K_\Omega(\ )$ is the functor whose value on the path connected space $X$ is the algebraic K-theory $\Omega$-spectrum for the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1 X$ defined by Gersten [16] and by Wagoner [34].

3.2 The Generalized Siebert Fibration $g(X) : \mathcal{G}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ and the Map $f(X) : \mathcal{G}(X) \to X$. Recall that a group $H$ is cyclic by finite (also called virtually cyclic) if either $H$ is finite or if there is a short exact sequence of groups $Z \to H \to F$ where $F$ is finite and $Z$ is the infinite cyclic group. Let $X$ denote a path connected CW complex, and let $\mathcal{G}(X)$ denote the collection of all cyclic by finite subgroups of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ where $x_0$ is a given base point of $X$. Define a category $\mathcal{G}(X)$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ are equivalence classes of based connected covering space projections $p : (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ such that $\text{Image}(\pi_1 p) \in \mathcal{G}(X)$, where two such covering projections $p : (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ and $p' : (Y', y_0') \to (X, x_0)$ are equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $h : (Y, y_0) \to (Y', y_0')$ satisfying $p = p' \circ h$. A map is $\mathcal{G}(X)$ from $p : (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ to $p' : (Y', y_0') \to (X, x_0)$ consists of an (unbased) covering projection $q : Y \to Y'$ satisfying $p = p' \circ q$. We define $\mathcal{B}(X)$ to be the nerve of the category $\mathcal{G}(X)$. In more detail the vertices of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ are the objects of $\mathcal{G}(X)$, and a $k$-simplex in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ consists of a $k$-tuple $\langle p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \rangle$ of maps $p_i$ in $\mathcal{G}(X)$ such that $\text{domain}(p_i) = \text{range}(p_{i-1})$ holds for all $1 < i \leq k$. The face operators are defined
by $\partial_0 (p_1, \ldots, p_k) = (p_2, \ldots, p_k)$, $\partial_k (p_1, \ldots, p_k) = (p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1})$, $\partial_i (p_1, \ldots, p_k) = (p_1, \ldots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, p_{i+2}, \ldots, p_k)$ for all $0 < i < k$. We get $q(X) : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ as follows. For each $k$-simplex $\Delta = (p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ we set

$$q(X) : q(X)^{-1} (\Delta - \partial_0 \Delta) \to \Delta - \partial_0 \Delta$$

equal to the standard projection

$$(\Delta - \partial_0 \Delta) \times Y \to \Delta - \partial_0 \Delta,$$

where $Y$ is the domain of $p_1$ (or more precisely where the covering projection $(Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ is the domain of $p_1$). We note that the union of all the composite maps

$$(\Delta - \partial_0 \Delta) \times Y \overset{\text{proj}}{\longrightarrow} Y \overset{p_1}{\longrightarrow} X$$
gives a well defined map $f(X) : \mathcal{S}(X) \to X$.

3.3 Conjecture. Let $X$ denote any path connected CW complex and let $\mathcal{S}_* (\ )$ denote any of the $\Omega$-spectra valued continuous functors $\mathcal{P}_* (\ )$, $\mathcal{P}_*^{\text{diff}} (\ )$, $\mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (\ )$, $\mathcal{K}_* (\ )$. Then

the composite map

$$\mathbb{H}_* (\mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{S}_* (q(X))) \overset{\mathcal{S}_*(f(X)) \circ A_*}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{S}_* (X)$$
is an equivalence of $\Omega$-spectra, where $A_*$ denotes the assembly map for the generalized Siebert fibration $q(X) : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ (cf. 2.4, 3.2) and where $\mathcal{S}_*(f(X))$ is the image of the map $f(X) : \mathcal{S}(X) \to X$ under the functor $\mathcal{S}_*(\ )$.

There is a more general version of Conjecture 3.3 for $\Omega$-spectra associated to surgery theory in which the orientation data $w : \pi_1 X \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not assumed to be the zero homomorphism. Space limitations prevent us from stating it here.

We can verify Conjecture 3.3 for many spaces $X$ for the functor $\mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (\ )$, but for these same spaces our proofs often break down for the functor $\mathcal{L}_h^h (\ )$ or for the functor $\mathcal{L}_h^s (\ )$ if $\pi_1 X$ contains some elements of finite order. So at this time we do not know if 3.3 is a reasonable conjecture for $\mathcal{L}_h^h (\ )$ (or for $\mathcal{L}_h^s (\ )$). It is possible that the truth of our 3.3 for $\mathcal{L}_h^h (\ )$ (or for $\mathcal{L}_h^s (\ )$) is just a formal consequence of the truth of 3.3 for both $\mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (\ )$ and $\mathcal{K}_* (\ )$?

In the following remarks we consider some of the consequences of the truth of Conjecture 3.3 for each of the $\Omega$-spectra valued functors in 3.1.

3.3.1 $\pi_1 X$ Torsion Free and $X$ Aspherical. Conjecture 1.8 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3 for $\mathcal{S}_* (\ ) = \mathcal{L}_h^h (\ )$ in 3.3. Conjecture 2.8 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3 for $\mathcal{S}_* (\ ) = \mathcal{P}_* (\ )$ in 3.3, and each of these conjectures implies Conjecture 1.9. Conjecture 3.3 for $\mathcal{K}_* (\ )$ is equivalent to the usual assembly map $A_* : \mathbb{H}_* (X, \mathcal{K}_* (\text{pt.})) \to \mathcal{K}_* (X)$ being an equivalence of $\Omega$-spectra, which in turn is equivalent to $\text{Wh}_i (\pi_1 X) = 0$ and $K_{-i} (\mathbb{Z} \pi_1 X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $K_0 (\mathbb{Z} \pi_1 X) = 0$.

3.3.2 The Novikov Conjectures. In general the truth of Conjecture 3.3 for the functor $\mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (\ )$ implies the truth of Novikov's original conjecture, which stated in more modern terms claims that the assembly map $A_* : \mathbb{H}_* (X, \mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (\text{pt.})) \to \mathcal{L}_*^{\text{co}} (X)$
is rationally injective on the homotopy group level. The truth of Conjecture 3.3 for either $\mathcal{K}_*()$, $\mathcal{P}_*()$, or for $\mathcal{P}^\text{diff}( )$ implies the truth of the $K$-theoretic Novikov conjecture, which claims that the assembly map $A_* : \Omega \mathcal{H}_*(X, \mathcal{K}_*(pt.)) \to \mathcal{K}_*(X)$ is rationally injective on the homotopy group level.

3.3.3. On the Role of Finite Subgroups of $\pi_1X$. Many authors (Quinn [31] and Yamasaki [38] were among the first) have recognized the role that finite subgroups should play in any calculation of the $\Omega$-valued functors $\mathcal{P}_*( )$ of 3.1. However that the class of cyclic by finite subgroups of $\pi_1X$ might be enough to detect all of $\mathcal{P}_*(X)$ first occured as a possibility in the authors' Theorem 2.6 and in their Conjecture 2.8.

The authors obtain the following partial verification of Conjecture 3.3 in an ongoing research project.

3.4 Theorem. Suppose that in 3.3 $\pi_1X$ is isomorphic to a cocompact discrete subgroup of a virtually connected Lie group. Then the map of 3.3 is an equivalence for $\mathcal{P}_* = \mathcal{P}_*$, $\mathcal{P}^\text{diff}_*$, or $\mathcal{P}^\omega_*$, and is a rational equivalence for $\mathcal{P}_* = \mathcal{K}_*$.
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1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma_g$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g$ and let $\mathcal{M}_g$ be its mapping class group. Namely it is the group of all isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $\Sigma_g$. It is also called the Teichmüller modular group because it acts on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_g$ properly discontinuously with the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_g$: the Riemann moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$. A classical theorem of Nielsen asserts that $\mathcal{M}_g$ can be naturally identified with the proper outer automorphism group of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. Thus the mapping class group appears in diverse branches of mathematics and have been investigated from various points of view. In this article we would like to describe some of the recent progress in the topological aspects of the theory of the mapping class group. More precisely we will concern ourselves with the following three topics which are all of cohomological nature and mutually closely related: a brief review of some of the known results about the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$, in particular various properties of some canonical cohomology classes of $\mathcal{M}_g$, called the characteristic classes of surface bundles ($\S$2), the study of $\mathcal{M}_g$ via its action on the lower central series of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ ($\S$3) and the interplay between the structure of $\mathcal{M}_g$ and topological invariants of three dimensional manifolds ($\S$4).

There has been a great deal of results which are relevant to the subjects of this article and our coverage here is necessarily limited. The reader is referred to survey articles [3, 10, 13, 14, 40] and their bibliographies for more informations.

2. The Cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$

By the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$ we mean the Eilenberg-MacLane cohomology of the group $\mathcal{M}_g$ whose definition is given purely algebraically. However it has also geometrical meanings at least from the following two viewpoints. One is that, by virtue of the result of Earle-Eells [6], the classifying space for oriented $\Sigma_g$-bundles has the homotopy type of an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathcal{M}_g, 1)$ for $g \geq 2$ so that elements of the cohomology group of $\mathcal{M}_g$ can be naturally considered as characteristic classes of surface bundles. The other is the fact that the rational
cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is canonically isomorphic to that of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$. For both of technical and theoretical reasons it is convenient to introduce the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}$ of a general compact oriented surface $\Sigma_g^{p,q}$ of genus $g$ with $p$ distinguished points and $q$ boundary components (or equivalently $q$ embedded discs). Here $\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}$ is defined to be the group of path components of diffeomorphisms of $\Sigma_g^{p,q}$ which fix the $p$ points and restrict to the identity on the boundary.

One of the most useful methods to compute (co)homology of a group is to find a space or a cell complex on which it acts naturally and then analyse the action. As for the mapping class group, besides the Teichmüller space it is natural to consider various cell complexes which are constructed out of isotopy invariants of surfaces, e.g. simple closed curves or arcs. By an extensive use of this method Harer obtained several fundamental results concerning the homology of the mapping class group (see [10] for a survey of his results). One of his results is about the stability of the (co)homology with respect to the genus and it may be stated roughly as

**Theorem** (Harer [8]). The cohomology $H^k(\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}; \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of $g$ and $q$ for $g \geq 3k + 1$ and the same is true for the rational cohomology for $g \geq 3k$.

In the case where $q \neq 0$ Ivanov has improved the stability range (see [14]).

Now we recall the definition of some canonical classes in the stable cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}$. Thus let $\pi : E \to X$ be an oriented $\Sigma_g^{p,q}$-bundle. This means that it is an oriented differentiable fibre bundle with fibre $\Sigma_g$ and there are given $(p+q)$ cross sections $s_i : X \to E$ ($i = 1, \cdots, p + q$) such that their images are disjoint and the normal bundles of the last $q$ sections are trivialized. Let $\xi$ be the tangent bundle along the fibres, namely it is an oriented plane bundle over $E$ consisting of all tangent vectors of $E$ which are tangent to the fibres. We write $e \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ for the Euler class of $\xi$ and consider the element $e_i = \pi_*(e^{i+1}) \in H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ where $\pi_* : H^{2i+2}(E; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the Gysin homomorphism. We also set $\sigma_i = s_i^*(e) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ ($i = 1, \cdots, p$). These definitions are functorial in the obvious sense so that we have well defined cohomology classes $e_i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\sigma_i \in H^2(\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}; \mathbb{Z})$. We may call $e_i$ the i-th characteristic class of surface bundles. Mumford [31] defined, in the context of algebraic geometry, canonical classes $\kappa_i$ in the Chow ring of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$ (or in fact its compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$) and it turned out that the class $e_i$ is the topological version of $\kappa_i$ (up to signs) although our work has been done independently. Miller [23] also considered the same class. According to a recent paper of Witten [39], these characteristic classes have physical meanings.

**Theorem.** The homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{Q}[e_1, e_2, \cdots, \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_p] \to H^*(\mathcal{M}_g^{p,q}; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective up to degree $\frac{1}{3}g$. 

The above theorem for the case $p = 0$ is due to Miller [23] and the author [24] independently and was proved by generalizing an earlier work by Atiyah [1]. Miller also observed that the stable cohomology $\lim H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; \mathbb{Q})$, which exists by the stability theorem of Harer, is the tensor product of a polynomial algebra on even generators with an exterior algebra on odd generators. The general cases of the above theorem were proved by the author [24]. As a corollary to the above theorem, we have the following result which was one of our original motivation for the present work.

**Corollary** [24]. The natural homomorphism $\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g \to \mathcal{M}_g$ does not have a right inverse for $g \geq 18$.

We mention that the original Nielsen realization problem was solved affirmatively by Kerckhoff [20] and also that it is still unknown whether the homomorphism $\text{Homeo}_+ \Sigma_g \to \mathcal{M}_g$ has a right inverse or not. As Mumford says in [31] for the case $p = 0$ one of the main questions concerning the cohomology of the mapping class groups (or the moduli spaces of compact Riemann surfaces) is whether the stable cohomology $\lim H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,q}; \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[e_1, e_2, \ldots, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p]$ or not. In low dimensions it is known that $\mathcal{M}_{g,q}$ is perfect except for finite cases ([7, 33]) and also we have

**Theorem** (Harer [7]). $H^2(\mathcal{M}_{g,q}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{g+1}$ with the generators $\frac{1}{12}e_1, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p$ for $g \geq 5$.

On the other hand for a fixed $g$ it is known that the homomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}[e_1, e_2, \ldots, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p] \to H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,q}; \mathbb{Q})$ is far from being injective nor surjective. The latter statement is due to Harer-Zagier and is a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem** (Harer-Zagier [11], see also Penner [32]). The orbifold Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_g$ is equal to $\zeta(1 - 2g)$ where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function.

By making use of the above result, they have shown that the true Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_g$ grows more than exponentially with respect to $g$ and even takes negative values infinitely often.

Finally we consider the kernel of the homomorphism $\Phi$, namely relations between the characteristic classes of surface bundles. It has certainly a big kernel simply because the rational cohomology of the mapping class groups are finite dimensional. More precisely Harer [9] has determined the virtual cohomological dimensions of the mapping class groups and in particular $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $k \geq 4g - 4$. There are several known relations between the characteristic classes. For example Mumford proved that for a fixed $g$, the classes $e_i$ are polynomials on $e_1, \ldots, e_{g-2}$ for all $i \geq g - 1$ (see [24, 31] for the proof as well as other relations). Here we describe one particular relation which was proved by Harris [12] and the author [26] independently and by completely different methods. The following is along the lines of our papers [25, 26]. First of all it was proved that $H^1(\mathcal{M}_g; H)$,
where $H$ stands for the first homology of $\Sigma_g$, is an infinite cyclic group and a characterization was given for a crossed homomorphism $k: M_g^1 \to H$ to represent its generator. It is amusing to point out here that there have been known various ways of describing such a crossed homomorphism reflecting the many-sided features of the mapping class group; complex analytic construction due to Earle [5], two definitions in the context of combinatorial group theory [25, 26], determinant of certain matrix representation [27], a very simple geometrical one due to Furuta (unpublished, see [30]) and a closely related one due to Trapp [36] in terms of the winding number and finally Wagoner's definition [37], a particular example of his pseudo isotopy invariants using algebraic $K$-theory, should be completed to give yet another one. By making use of the above crossed homomorphism, we proved in [26] a topological version of Earle's embedding theorem [5] which embeds any surface bundle into its associated family of Jacobian manifolds explicitly. We also proved that the crossed homomorphism $k$ gives rise to a canonical cocycle for the first characteristic class $e_1 \in H^2(M_g^1; \mathbb{Z})$. Namely the 2-cochain $c$ of $M_g^1$ defined by $c(\phi, \psi) = k(\phi) \cdot k(\psi^{-1})$ ($\phi, \psi \in M_g^1$) is a cocycle representing the class $e_1$, where $\cdot$ denotes the intersection number. Then by pulling back a canonical cohomology class of the total space of the family of Jacobian manifolds to that of the given surface bundle, we obtain

**Theorem** (Harris [12], Morita [26]). Let $e \in H^2(M_g^1; \mathbb{Q})$ be the Euler class of the tangent bundle along the fibres of the universal $\Sigma_g$-bundle $M_g^1 \to M_g$ and let $e_1 \in H^2(M_g^1; \mathbb{Q})$ be the first characteristic class. Then we have $\{2g(2g-2g)e-e_1\}^{g+1} = 0$ in $H^{2g+2}(M_g^1; \mathbb{Q})$.

### 3. Representations of $M_g$ via Its Action on $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$

As was mentioned in the introduction, the mapping class group $M_g$ is naturally isomorphic to the proper outer automorphism group of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$, and similarly $M_g^1$ can be identified with a certain subgroup of the automorphism group of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1)$ which is a free group of rank $2g$. These isomorphisms provide us with an algebraic method of investigating the structure of the mapping class groups in terms of their actions on the fundamental groups of surfaces. Apart from the general theory due to Magnus and his school on the structure of the (full) automorphism groups of free groups, it was Johnson who has first employed the above idea systematically in the study of the structure of (various subgroups of) the mapping class groups. By this method he obtained several fundamental results concerning the structure of the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_g$ which is the subgroup of $M_g$ acting trivially on the homology of $\Sigma_g$ (see [18]). In this section we summarize the method and results of Johnson and their extensions by the author.

We denote $\Gamma_1$ for $\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1)$ and inductively define $\Gamma_k = [\Gamma_{k-1}, \Gamma_1]$. We may call the quotient group $N_k = \Gamma_1/\Gamma_k$ the $k$-th nilpotent quotient of $\Gamma_1$. We simply write $H$ for $N_2 \cong H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ and let $L = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L_k$ be the free graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the elements of $H$. It is a classical result that we can identify $L_k$
with $\Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k+1}$ so that there exists a central extension $0 \to \Delta_k \to N_{k+1} \to N_k \to 1$. Now the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ on $\Gamma$ induces that on $N_k$ and we have a representation

$$\varrho_k : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \to \text{Aut}N_k.$$

The image of the representation $\varrho_k$ is equal to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}N_2$ which preserves the skew symmetric pairing on $N_2 = H$ defined by the intersection number so that in particular $\varrho(1) = \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ and $\varrho(2)$ is the Torelli group $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$. $\varrho(k)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ acting trivially on $N_k$. Now it can be shown that we have a central extension

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(H, J_{k+1}) \to \text{Aut}N_{k+1} \to \text{Aut}N_k \to 1,$$

where the abelian group $\text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_k)$ acts on $N_{k+1}$ by the formula $f(\gamma) = \gamma f(\bar{\gamma}) (f \in \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_k), \gamma \in N_{k+1}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \in H$ is the image of $\gamma$ in $H_1(N_{k+1}) = H$). Hence we obtain a homomorphism

$$\tau_k : \mathcal{M}(k) \to \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_k)$$

which is the restriction of the homomorphism $\varrho_{k+1} : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \to \text{Aut}N_{k+1}$ to the subgroup $\mathcal{M}(k)$. The canonical isomorphism $H^* \cong H$ makes it possible to write $\tau_k : \mathcal{M}(k) \to \mathcal{L}_k \otimes H$ and $\text{Ker} \tau_k = \mathcal{M}(k+1)$. The homomorphisms $\tau_k$ were introduced by Johnson [16, 18] and we call them Johnson’s homomorphisms.

Before going further, here we review Johnson’s results on the structure of the Torelli group. There are two natural types of mapping classes which belong to the Torelli group. One is the Dehn twist along a bounding simple closed curve and is called a BSCC map. The other is the composition $\varphi \varphi^{-1}$ of two (say right handed) Dehn twists $\varphi$ and $\varphi$ along mutually homologous simple closed curves on $\Sigma_g$ (or $\Sigma_{g,1}$) and is called a BP (bounding pair) map. At the time when Johnson began his study on the Torelli group, it was known that the Torelli group is generated by these two types of mapping classes (Powell [33]) and also it had been asked whether the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_g$ of $\mathcal{I}_g$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$) generated by all BSCC maps has a finite index or not.

**Theorem** (Johnson [17]). The Torelli group is generated by a finite number of BP maps for $g \geq 3$.

**Theorem** (Johnson [16, 18]). The image of the homomorphism $\tau_2 : \mathcal{K}_{g,1} \to \Lambda^2 H \otimes H$ is equal to $\Lambda^3 H \subset \Lambda^2 H \otimes H$ and $\text{Ker} \tau_2 = \mathcal{M}(3)$ coincides with $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ so that we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{K}_{g,1} \to \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \to \Lambda^2 H \to 1.$$

In particular $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ has an infinite index in $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$.

There are other abelian quotients of the Torelli group than the homomorphism $\tau_2$. Namely Birman and Craggs [4] have produced many $\mathbb{Z}/2$-valued
homomorphisms on the Torelli group by making use of the Rohlin invariant for homology 3-spheres. Combining these two types of abelian quotients, Johnson [19] succeeded to determine the abelianization of the Torelli group completely.

Now we go back to the general theory. We have Johnson's homomorphism \( \tau_k : \mathcal{M}(k) \to \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_k) = \mathcal{L}_k \otimes \mathcal{L} \) Let us define a submodule \( \mathcal{H}_k \) of \( \mathcal{L}_k \otimes \mathcal{L} \) to be the kernel of the natural surjection \( \mathcal{L}_k \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}_{k+1} \) defined as \( \xi \otimes u \mapsto [\xi, u] \) \( (\xi \in \mathcal{L}_k, u \in H) \). Then one can show that \( \text{Im} \tau_k \subset \mathcal{H}_k \) (see [28]). For \( k = 2, \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \) so that \( \text{Im} \tau_2 = \mathcal{H}_2 \) and in [27] we have shown that \( \text{Im} \tau_3 \) is a submodule of \( \mathcal{H}_3 \) of index a power of two. Now there is a natural structure of a Lie algebra over \( \mathbb{Z} \) on the graded module \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_k) \) such that the graded submodule \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{H}_k \) is a Lie subalgebra (the degree should be shifted down by one). The graded module \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{M}(k) / \mathcal{M}(k+1) \) also admits a similar structure and Johnson's homomorphisms \( \{\tau_k\}_{k \geq 2} \) induce an injection

\[
\bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{M}(k) / \mathcal{M}(k+1) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{H}_k
\]

of graded Lie algebras. It is a very important problem to identify the image of this homomorphism. Computations so far show that the rank of \( \text{Im} \tau_k \) is much smaller than that of \( \mathcal{H}_k \) for even \( k \geq 4 \). Now \( \text{Aut} N_k \) is an extension of \( \text{GL}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \) by a nilpotent group whose associated graded module is \( \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_i) \) and the representation \( q_k : M_{g,1} \to \text{Aut} N_k \) induces an identification of the quotient group \( M_{g,1} / \mathcal{M}(k) \) as an extension of \( \text{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \) by a nilpotent group whose graded module is \( \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} \text{Im} \tau_i \). Now we apply Sullivan's general theory [35]. Thus let \( N_k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) be the Malcev completion of the nilpotent group \( N_k \). Then any automorphism of \( N_k \) extends uniquely to that of \( N_k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) so that there is an embedding \( \text{Aut} N_k \to \text{Aut} N_k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \), where the latter group is an extension of \( \text{GL}(2g; \mathbb{Q}) \) by a nilpotent Lie group \( \mathcal{G}_k \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) whose associated graded vectorspace is \( \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} \text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Now it is easy to see that \( \text{Aut} N_k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is a linear algebraic group over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and its maximal normal unipotent subgroup is precisely equal to \( \mathcal{G}_k \). Hence, by virtue of the Levi-Chevalley decomposition theorem, we can conclude that \( \text{Aut} N_k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is isomorphic to a split extension \( \mathcal{G}_k \rtimes \text{GL}(2g; \mathbb{Q}) \). Although there is no canonical splitting, we can make explicit calculations involving choices of \( \text{GL}(2g; \mathbb{Q}) \)-invariant 2-cocycles for the successive extension classes of the nilpotent group \( N_k \) for small \( k \) and we obtain

**Theorem.** (i) The representation \( q_3 : M_{g,1} \to \text{Aut} N_3 \) induces an embedding of \( M_{g,1} / \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \) into the split extension \( \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \rtimes \text{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \) as a subgroup of finite index such that the associated crossed homomorphism \( \tilde{k} : M_{g,1} \to \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \) has the property that its restriction to the Torelli group \( \mathcal{G}_{g,1} \) is equal to \( 2\tau_2 \).

(ii) The representation \( q_4 : M_{g,1} \to \text{Aut} N_4 \) induces an embedding of \( M_{g,1} / \mathcal{M}(4) \) into \( (\mathcal{H}_3 \times \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H}) \rtimes \text{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \) as a subgroup of finite index where \( \mathcal{H}_3 \times \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \) denotes the central extension of \( \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \) by \( \mathcal{H}_3 \) defined by the bracket operation \( \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_3 \) and \( \text{Sp}(2g; \mathbb{Z}) \) acts on it naturally.

In view of the fact that the crossed homomorphism \( \tilde{k} \) in the above theorem followed by the contraction \( \mathcal{L}^3 \mathcal{H} \to H \) is nothing but the crossed homomorphism \( k : M_{g,1} \to H \) of §2, it seems to be worthwhile to propose
Problem. Give a definition of the crossed homomorphism $\tilde{k} : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \to \Lambda^3 H$ in the context of complex analysis and/or algebraic K-theory.

4. Algebraic Structures of $\mathcal{M}_g$ and Topological Invariants of 3-Manifolds

Let us recall the classical theorem on the existence and stable uniqueness of the Heegaard splittings of 3-manifolds. First of all it says that any closed oriented 3-manifold can be expressed as $M_\phi = H_g \cup_{\phi} -H_g$ for some $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ where $H_g$ is an oriented handlebody of genus $g$ with $\partial H_g = \Sigma_g$ and $M_\phi$ is the manifold obtained from the disjoint union of $H_g$ and $-H_g$ by identifying the boundaries by the map $\phi$. Secondly two such manifolds $M_\phi(\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1})$ and $M_\psi(\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1})$ are orientation preserving diffeomorphic each other if and only if the two elements $\phi$ and $\psi$ are equivalent in the disjoint union $\Pi_{g,\mathcal{M}_{g,1}}$ of the mapping class groups $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ generated by the following two types of moves. One is to replace $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ by any other element in its double coset with respect to the subgroup $\mathcal{N}_{g,1}$ consisting of all elements which extend to diffeomorphisms of $H_g$. The other is to replace $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ by $i_* \phi \in \mathcal{M}_{g+1,1}$ where $i : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \to \mathcal{M}_{g+1,1}$ is a natural injection and $i$ is the right angled rotation of the “last handle” of $\Sigma_{g+1}$. Thus to define a topological invariant of 3-manifolds is equivalent to give a function defined on the mapping class groups $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ which is invariant under the above two moves. Although the situation here is much more subtle than that in the case of oriented links in $S^3$ and the braid groups, it seems to be still reasonable to expect that there should exist a deep connection between the algebraic structure of the mapping class group and topological invariants of 3-manifolds. As a supporting evidence for that, extending and completing earlier works by Birman-Craggs [4] and Johnson [15], we will describe below how the Casson invariant of homology 3-spheres influences the structure of the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$.

Let $t_g \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ be the product of right angled rotation of each handle of (an appropriate model of) $\Sigma_g$ so that $M_{t_g}$ is the 3-sphere. For each element $\phi \in \mathcal{N}_{g,1}$ we consider the manifold $W_\phi = H_g \cup_{\phi \sigma} -H_g$ which is easily seen to be an oriented homology 3-sphere. It was proved in [27] that any homology 3-sphere appears like this and two such manifolds $W_\phi(\phi \in \mathcal{N}_{g,1})$ and $W_\psi(\psi \in \mathcal{N}_{g,1})$ are orientation preserving diffeomorphic if and only if $\phi$ and $\psi$ are equivalent in $\lim_{g \to \infty} \mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ generated by the move which replaces a given element $\phi \in \mathcal{N}_{g,1}$ by $i_* \phi \in \mathcal{N}_{g+1,1}$ for any $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{N}_{g,1}$. Thus to define a topological invariant for oriented homology 3-spheres is equivalent to give a function on $\lim_{g \to \infty} \mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ which is invariant under the above move.

Now let $\lambda^* : \mathcal{K}_{g,1} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the mapping defined by $\lambda^*(\phi) = \lambda(W_\phi)(\phi \in \mathcal{K}_{g,1})$ where $\lambda(W_\phi)$ is the Casson invariant of the homology 3-sphere $W_\phi$. Recall that $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ generated by all BSCC maps. Suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ is such a Dehn twist along a simple closed curve $\omega$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Then since the surface $\Sigma_g$ is now considered as a particular Heegaard surface of $S^3 = H_g \cup_{t_g} -H_g$, $\omega$ is naturally a knot in $S^3$. Moreover it is easy to see that the homology sphere $W_\phi$ is nothing but the one obtained by performing $-1$ surgery on $S^3$ along this
knot. Hence \( \lambda(W_g) \) is equal to the negative of the Casson invariant of the knot \( \omega \) and this in turn can be calculated at the level of the surface \( \Sigma_g \) (and not in \( S^3 \)) because the compact surface which \( \omega \) bounds on \( \Sigma_{g,1} \) is naturally a Seifert surface of \( \omega \). Combining these considerations, it can be shown that the mapping \( \lambda^*: \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \to \mathbb{Z} \) is a homomorphism. Here we recall from §3 that we have Johnson's homomorphism \( \tau_3: \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \to \mathcal{H}_3 \) which gives a large abelian quotient of \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \). However it turns out that the homomorphism \( \lambda^* \) cannot be covered by \( \tau_3 \) and we need a deeper additive invariant for \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \). Such an invariant can be obtained by making use of the first characteristic class \( e_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{M}_{g,1};\mathbb{Z}) \) of surface bundles introduced in §2 as follows. As was described in §2, the cohomology class \( e_1 \) can be represented by a cocycle \( c \in Z^2(\mathbb{M}_{g,1};\mathbb{Z}) \) defined as \( c(\varphi,\psi) = k(\varphi) \cdot k(\psi^{-1}) \) where \( k: \mathbb{M}_{g,1} \to H \) is a crossed homomorphism representing a generator of \( H^1(\mathbb{M}_{g,1};\mathbb{H}) \). On the other hand Meyer [22] defined a 2-cocycle \( \tau \) of the Siegel modular group \( \text{Sp}(2g;\mathbb{Z}) \) which represents the signature class \( e_1 \) and its pull back under the representation \( \mathbb{M}_{g,1} \to \text{Sp}(2g;\mathbb{Z}) \) is \( -\frac{1}{3} e_1 \). Hence there exists a mapping \( d: \mathbb{M}_{g,1} \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \delta d = c + 3\tau \). Although the mapping \( d \) does depend on the choice of the crossed homomorphism \( k \), its restriction to the subgroup \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \) does not and moreover it is a homomorphism there. This is the invariant we seek for. Namely there exists a certain homomorphism \( q: \mathcal{H}_3 \to \mathbb{Q} \) and we have

**Theorem** [27]. The function \( \frac{1}{4\pi} d + q \circ \tau_3 \) defined on \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \) is invariant under the equivalence relation on \( \lim_{g \to \infty} \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \) so that it defines an invariant for oriented homology 3-spheres and this coincides with the Casson invariant.

**Remarks.**
1. At present there are only a few known informations about the structure of the groups \( \mathcal{H}_g \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \). For example it is not known whether they are finitely generated or not for \( g \geq 3 \). Also in view of the results in this section, it would be worthwhile to determine the abelianizations of them.
2. Recently the author obtained an interpretation of the invariant \( d \) as the signature defect of certain framed 3-manifolds [30]. It would be desirable to have further geometrical or analytical meaning of it, perhaps along the lines of Atiyah's paper [2] which treats the case of genus 1.
3. In some sense the invariant \( d \) can be understood to be the effect of the Casson invariant for knots on the structure of the group \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \) (see [29]). It would be interesting to investigate how other invariants for knots influence the structure of \( \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \).
4. It seems to be reasonable to conjecture that we can generalize the definition of the Casson invariant to possibly arbitrary 3-manifold by making use of the invariant \( d \) and the explicit description of the quotient \( \mathbb{M}_{g,1}/\mathbb{M}(4) \) given in §3. In particular one can ask whether Walker's extension to rational homology 3-spheres [38] can be expressed like this or not.
5. Recently Reshetikhin and Turaev [34] have defined new topological invariants for 3-manifolds, motivated by Witten's ideas in quantum field theory, and there are certain representations of \( \mathbb{M}_g \) associated to them. Kohno [21] has also made use of certain projective representations of \( \mathbb{M}_g \), which arise naturally.
in the conformal field theory, to derive topological invariants via the Heegaard splittings. There seems to be much work to be done here from the point of view of the structure of $\mathcal{M}_g$. For example we can ask whether there exists a natural number $k$ such that the subgroup $\mathcal{M}(k)$ is contained in the kernel of these representations or not.
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To motivate the subject-matter of this report, let me begin by recalling two of the early applications of the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem, concerning two important manifold invariants. The first result, which was in fact a precursor of the Index Theorem, is the Hirzebruch Signature Theorem. It asserts that the $L$-genus $\lambda(M) = L(M)[M]$ of a closed oriented smooth $4k$-dimensional manifold $M$ is equal to the index of the signature operator $\mathcal{B}^+$ attached to a Riemannian metric, which in turn coincides with the signature of the manifold. The second application requires $M$ to be in addition a Spin-manifold and equates its $\tilde{A}$-genus $\alpha(M) = \tilde{A}(M)[M]$ with the index of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+$ associated to a Spin-structure. These results not only explain the integrality of the above invariants (under the given conditions) but also have other, more subtle, implications. Thus, Hirzebruch's theorem implies that the $L$-genus is an invariant of the oriented homotopy type of $M$. On the other hand, in conjunction with Lichnerowicz's formula for the Dirac Laplacian, the index theorem for the Dirac operator implies that if $\alpha(M) \neq 0$, then $M$ can admit no metric of (strictly) positive scalar curvature.

It is a remarkable fact that for simply connected manifolds both results are 'sharp'. That is, the $L$-genus is, up to a scalar multiple, the only rational oriented cobordism invariant which is also a homotopy type invariant. The parallel result for the $\tilde{A}$-genus, asserting that for a simply connected closed Spin-manifold $M$ of dimension $4k$ ($k > 1$) the vanishing of $\alpha(M)$ is the only obstruction to the existence on $M$ of a metric of positive scalar curvature, was recently proved by S. Stolz.

The uniqueness part of the preceding statements ceases to hold in the non-simply connected case. Indeed, let $T$ be a torus and let $f : M \to T$ be a continuous map. For each cohomology class $\eta \in H^*(T; \mathbb{Q})$, define the corresponding higher $L$ (resp. $\tilde{A}$)-genus by

$$\lambda_{f,\eta}(M) = (L(M) \cup f^*(\eta))[M] \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \alpha_{f,\eta}(M) = (\tilde{A}(M) \cup f^*(\eta))[M]).$$

Lusztig [Lu] found an ingenious index theoretical interpretation for the higher $L$-genus $\lambda_{f,\eta}(M)$, in terms of the index bundle of a family of twisted signature operators, and used it to prove that they are invariants of the oriented homotopy
type of $M$. The same method can be adapted to give the vanishing of the higher $\hat{A}$-genera $\alpha_{f,\eta}(M)$ in the presence of a metric of positive scalar curvature (cf. [GL1]).

More generally, the torus in the above definition may be replaced by any $K(\Gamma,1)$ space, where $\Gamma$ is a finitely presented group. According to a conjecture of Novikov [N], the numbers $\lambda_{f,\eta}(M)$, $\eta \in H^*(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q})$ ought to be homotopy invariants. There is a parallel conjecture for the $\hat{A}$-genera, made by Gromov and Lawson [GL2], implying that if the Spin-manifold $M$ admits a metric of positive scalar curvature then $\alpha_{f,\eta}(M) = 0$, for all $\eta \in H^*(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q})$. For both conjectures one may assume without loss of generality that $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ and $f$ is the classifying map of the universal cover $\widetilde{M} \to M$.

The preceding discussion was meant to underscore the following points. First, for non-simply connected manifolds, there are 'higher' versions of the classical genera, which are involved in interesting open problems. Secondly, an index theoretical interpretation of the higher genera provides an effective tool for handling such problems. It was also noted above that the Families Index Theorem supplies the desired interpretation in the case when $\Gamma$ is free abelian, essentially because its dual, the torus $\hat{\Gamma}$, is a nice space. In general, though, the 'dual object' of $\Gamma$ is no longer an ordinary space and therefore beyond the scope of standard index theory. It is, however, a 'non-commutative space' in the sense of A. Connes [C1]. Moreover, Connes' theory of cyclic cohomology and its pairing with $K$-theory [C1, C2] offers precisely the right context for developing the appropriate generalization of index theory. The first two sections of this report will survey the 'higher' index theorems obtained along these lines in [CM2] (cf. also [CM1]) and [CGM], as well as their applications to the conjectures of Novikov and Gromov-Lawson.

Like the higher genera, the higher indices of elliptic operators are manufactured out of group cohomology classes, viewed as classes in the cyclic cohomology of the group ring $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. Somewhat surprisingly, the unstable component of the cyclic cohomology of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ appears to be intimately related to non-local invariants when $K(\Gamma,1)$ is a manifold. This point of view will be put forth in the last section, where I will interpret in this light the results in [MS1] and [MS2], relating $\eta$-invariants and analytic torsion to periodic geodesics.

1. Group Cocycles and Higher Indices

1.1 Covering Indices of Elliptic Operators

Let $M$ be a closed smooth manifold, $\Gamma$ a finitely presented group, $f : M \to B\Gamma$ a continuous map to the classifying space of $\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{M}$ the pull-back of the universal cover $E\Gamma \to B\Gamma$. Let $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ denote the $C^*$-algebra obtained as the completion of the group ring $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ acting on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. Then $\widetilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is a $C^*_r(\Gamma)$-bundle over $M$. Using it, Mishchenko [Ms2] and Kasparov [K1] have associated to an elliptic pseudodifferential operator $D$ on $M$ a $K$-theory class $Index_{f,C^*_r(\Gamma)} D \in K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma))$. In [CM2] this assignment was refined to produce an
element $\text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D \in K_0(\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathcal{R})$, where $\mathcal{R}$ stands for the ring of infinite complex matrices $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \infty}$ satisfying the rapid decay condition $\sup((i + j)^k|a_{ij}|) < \infty$, for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We sketch the construction of this covering index.

Let $\Psi(M, E)$ denote the algebra of pseudodifferential operators of classical type and integral order acting on the space of smooth sections of a vector bundle $E$ over $M$. Let $\Psi^{-\infty}(M, E)$ denote the ideal formed by all smoothing operators. The quotient algebra $CS(M, E)$ is referred to as the algebra of complete symbols with coefficients in $E$. When $E$ is the trivial line bundle, we simplify the notation by omitting $E$ and by setting $\mathfrak{A} = \Psi(M)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$. Upstairs, on the covering manifold $\tilde{M}$, we let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the subalgebra of $\Psi(\tilde{M})$ consisting of all $\Gamma$-invariant, properly supported pseudodifferential operators and let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ formed of smoothing operators. Clearly $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$ is isomorphic to $CS(M) = \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$.

For $E$ a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector bundle on $M$, an odd elliptic pseudodifferential operator $D \in \Psi(M, E)$ defines an $\mathfrak{A}$-linear map $D^+$ between two finitely generated projective right $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, which becomes an isomorphism, $CS(D^+)$, on tensoring with $CS(M) = \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$. Note that $D^+$ can also be viewed as a quasi-isomorphism over $\mathfrak{B}$. Now identify $CS(M)$ with $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$. A well-known construction in algebraic $K$-theory, employed in the definition of the connecting homomorphism $\partial : K_1(\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}) \to K_0(\mathfrak{B})$, associates to $CS(D^+)$ an element $\partial(D^+)$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{B})$. We remark parenthetically that the same construction applied to $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B} = CS(M)$ gives rise to the ordinary index of $D^+$, on identifying $K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ with $\mathbb{Z}$.

The algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ can also be regarded as the convolution algebra of smooth compactly supported functions on the differentiable groupoid $\tilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} \tilde{M}$. As such, it can be homomorphically mapped to $\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ and further, after identifying $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ with $\mathfrak{B}$, to $\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}$. Although these homomorphisms are non-canonical they induce however a well-defined map, independent of all choices made,

$$\theta : K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B})$$

**Definition 1.** The index of $D^+$ associated to the covering $\tilde{M}$ is defined as

$$\text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D^+ = \theta(\partial(D^+)) \in K_0(\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B})$$

**Remarks.** 1. The ring $\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ is very likely the smallest group ring associated to $\Gamma$ with the property that its $K_0$-group is nontrivial. Indeed, for $\Gamma$ torsion-free, the reduced $K_0$-group of the group ring $\mathcal{C}^*$ is believed to be $0$. By contrast, the group $K_0(\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ appears to be, in general, uncountable.

2. Under the map from $K_0(\mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ to $K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma) \otimes \mathfrak{B}) = K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma))$, induced by the inclusion of $\mathcal{C}^*$ into $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ and of $\mathfrak{B}$ into the ring $\mathfrak{B}$ of compact operators on the infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space, the image of $\text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D^+$ coincides with $\text{Index}_{f,C^*_r(\Gamma)} D^+$. However, our covering index seems to be a finer invariant, which depends on the complete, not just the principal, symbol of the given operator.
1.2 Higher Analytic Indices

Next, we will use group cocycles to produce numerical invariants out of the covering index. Let \( c \in Z^k(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \) be a homogeneous group cocycle; without loss of generality, we may assume that \( c \) is alternating. One associates to \( c \) a cyclic cocycle \( \tau_c \) on the algebra \( \mathcal{C} \Gamma \otimes \mathfrak{A} \) by

\[
\tau_c(a_0 \otimes A_0, \ldots, a_k \otimes A_k) = \text{Tr}(A_0 \cdots A_k) \sum_{g_0 \otimes 1 \otimes g_1} a_0(g_0)a_1(g_1)\cdots a_k(g_k) \cdot c(1, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1g_2\cdots g_k);
\]

the cyclic cohomology class \( \tau_c = [\tau_c] \in HC^k(\mathcal{C} \Gamma \otimes \mathfrak{A}) \) depends only on the group cohomology class \( [c] \in H^k(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \).

One employs now Connes' pairing \([C1]\) between cyclic cohomology and \( K \)-theory to define the covering higher indices of the elliptic operator \( D^+ \), as follows:

\[
\text{Index}_{f,\eta} D^+ = \langle \tau_\eta, \text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D^+ \rangle, \quad \eta = [c] \in H^k(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}), \quad k \text{ even}.
\]

When \( \eta = [1] \in H^0(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \), the above index coincides with the \( \Gamma \)-index of Atiyah and Singer \([A]\). Their Index Theorem for Covering Spaces asserts that

\[
\text{Index}_{f,[1]} D^+ = \text{Index } D^+,
\]

the right hand side being the ordinary index of \( D^+ \).

In the same spirit, relying on the fact that the differentiable groupoids \( \tilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} \tilde{M} \) and \( M \times M \) are locally isomorphic, one can show that the pairing \( \langle \tau_\eta, \text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D^+ \rangle \) depends only on the Alexander-Spanier cohomology class \( f^*(\eta) \in H^k(M, \mathbb{C}) \) and the \( K \)-homology class \( [D^+] \in K_0(M) \). More precisely, one has the equality

\[
\langle \tau_\eta, \text{Index}_{f,\Gamma} D^+ \rangle = \langle f^*(\eta), [D^+] \rangle,
\]

where the right hand side is a certain natural pairing between \( H^{ev}(M, \mathbb{C}) \), viewed as Alexander-Spanier cohomology, and the \( K \)-homology group \( K_0(M) \). This pairing can also be used to attach intrinsic higher indices to the elliptic operator \( D^+ \) by setting

\[
\text{Index}_\xi D^+ = \langle \xi, [D^+] \rangle,
\]

for each Alexander-Spanier cohomology class \( \xi \in H^{ev}(M, \mathbb{C}) \). When \( \xi = [1] \in H^0(M, \mathbb{C}) \) one recovers the ordinary index of \( D^+ \). The above discussion can thus be summarized as follows.

**Proposition 1** ([CM2], §5). \( \text{Index}_{f,\eta} D^+ = \text{Index}_{f^*(\eta)} D^+, \forall \eta \in H^{ev}(B\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \).
1.3 Cohomological Formulae

To relate the higher indices introduced above to higher genera, one needs to express them, just like in the classical case, in purely cohomological terms. The basic result in this direction is the following generalization of the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem.

**Theorem 2** ([CM2], §3). Let $\xi \in H^{2q}(M, \mathbb{C})$. With $\sigma(D^+) = \text{principal symbol of } D^+$ and $\mathcal{I}(M) = \text{the index class of } M^n$, one has:

$$\text{Index}_{\xi} D^+ = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^q} \frac{q!}{(2q)!} (-1)^n (\text{ch } \sigma(D^+) \cup \mathcal{I}(M) \cup \xi)[T^*M].$$

**Remarks.**

3. In fact we obtained a more refined result, at the level of differential forms not just cohomology classes, which extends the Local Index Theorem. Its proof, based on the heat equation method, uses the full force of Getzler's symbolic calculus [G].

4. The above result, in a non-compact variant due to J. Roe [R], was also used, in a rather striking way, by S. Weinberger [W] to give a direct analytic proof of Novikov's celebrated theorem on the topological invariance of rational Pontrjagin classes.

Combining Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, one obtains the desired Higher Index Formula for Covering Spaces:

**Theorem 3** [CM2], §5). Let $\eta \in H^{2q}(B\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\text{Index}_{f,\eta} D^+ = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^q} \frac{q!}{(2q)!} (-1)^n (\text{ch } \sigma(D^+) \cup \mathcal{I}(M) \cup f^*(\eta))[T^*M].$$

1.4 Applications to the Novikov and Gromov-Lawson Conjectures

Theorem 3, applied to the signature operator $\mathcal{B}^+$ on an even-dimensional manifold $M^{2k}$, gives the identity

$$\lambda_{f,\eta}(M) = C_{k,q} \langle \tau_{\eta}, \text{Index}_{f,\eta} \mathcal{B}^+ \rangle, \quad \forall \eta \in H^{2q}(B\Gamma, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $C_{k,q}$ is an explicit (nonzero) constant depending only on the dimension of $M$ and the degree of $\eta$. Thus, the Novikov conjecture would follow if one could prove that $\text{Index}_{f,\eta} \mathcal{B}^+ \in K_0(C\Gamma \otimes K)$ is an invariant of the homotopy type of $M$. In view of Remark 2, this is unlikely to happen. However, its image $\text{Index}_{f,C^*(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}^+ \in K_0(C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma))$ is a homotopy invariant. Indeed, this follows from Mishchenko's fundamental construction of an a priori homotopy invariant $\sigma[M, f]$ in the Witt group $L(C\Gamma)$ [Ms1], together with the fact that $\text{Index}_{f,C^*(\Gamma)} \mathcal{B}^+$ coincides with the image of $\sigma[M, f]$ under the map sending $L(C\Gamma)$ to $L(C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma)) = K_0(C^*_\Gamma(\Gamma))$ (cf. [MsS], [K2]).
The problem which emerges is therefore to extend the pairing of $H^m(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ with $K_0(\mathcal{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{R})$ to a pairing with $K_0(C^*_r(\Gamma))$. There is a strategy for that, devised by Connes in a similar context [C3]. It consists of finding an intermediate subalgebra, which is closed under holomorphic functional calculus and therefore has the same $K_0$-group, to which cyclic cocycles of the form $\tau_c$ extend by continuity. In our situation, the natural candidate for this subalgebra is $\mathcal{C}\Gamma = \mathcal{C}^*$ of the smallest subalgebra of $C^*_r(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{R}$ (C*-tensor product) which contains $\mathcal{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{R}$ and is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. The following two conditions, involving the choice of a word length function $g \mapsto |g|$, are sufficient for the successful application of the above strategy to $\Gamma$:

**Polynomial Cohomology:** $\forall \eta \in H^{2q}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}), \exists c \in Z^{2q}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ of polynomial growth, with $\eta = [c]$;

**Rapid Decay** (cf. [J]): $\exists s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that $\forall a \in \mathcal{C}\Gamma
\|a\|_{C^*_r(\Gamma)} \leq C(\sum (1 + |g|)^{2s}|a(g)|^2)^{1/2}$.

**Theorem 4** ([CM2], §6). Any finitely presented group $\Gamma$ with the above two properties satisfies both the Novikov and the Gromov-Lawson conjectures.

Indeed, for such a group one has, $\forall \eta \in H^{2q}(B\Gamma, \mathcal{C}),$

$$\lambda_{f,\eta}(M) = C_{k,q}\langle \tau_\eta, \text{Index}_{f,C^*_r(\Gamma)}\mathcal{D}^+ \rangle,$$

which proves the homotopy invariance of the higher $L$-genera. On the other hand, applying Theorem 3 to the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+$ gives

$$\alpha_{f,\eta}(M) = (2\pi)^q \frac{(2q)!}{q!} \langle \tau_\eta, \text{Index}_{f,C^*_r(\Gamma)}\mathcal{D}^+ \rangle.$$

By an extension of Lichnerowicz’ argument, Rosenberg [Ro] has shown that if $M$ admits a metric of positive scalar curvature then $\text{Index}_{f,C^*_r(\Gamma)}\mathcal{D}^+ = 0$. Therefore, all higher $\widehat{A}$-genera also vanish under this hypothesis.

**Remark.** 5. The conclusion of the above theorem can be strengthened to assert that the assembly map (see [K2], [K3]) $\mu : K_*(B\Gamma) \to K_*(C^*_r(\Gamma))$ is rationally injective.

The two properties stated above are satisfied by a large class of finitely presented groups, including the remarkable class of Gromov’s hyperbolic groups [Gr]. The fact that a hyperbolic group satisfies the Polynomial Cohomology property is due to Gromov, while the Rapid Decay property was proved by P. de la Harpe [Ha], building on prior results by Haagerup [H] and Jolissaint [J].

**Corollary 5** ([CM2], §6). The hyperbolic groups satisfy the Novikov and the Gromov-Lawson conjectures.
2. Asymptotic Cocycles and Higher Indices

We now turn to a different procedure for constructing numerical indices, based on Connes' pairing for entire cohomology [C2].

2.1 Almost Flat Bundles and Almost Representations

Let $M$ be a closed connected Riemannian manifold, $E$ a Hermitian vector bundle over $M$ and $\nabla$ a metric preserving connection on $E$. With $\Theta = \nabla^2$ being the curvature of $\nabla$, we set

$$\|\Theta\| = \sup\{\|\Theta(x, Y)\| ; \|X \wedge Y\| \leq 1, \ X, Y \in T_xM, \ x \in M\}.$$ 

**Definition 2.** (i) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, the connection $\nabla$ is called $\varepsilon$-flat if $\|\Theta\| < \varepsilon$.

(ii) A vector bundle $E$ is called $\varepsilon$-flat if it admits a metric preserving connection $\nabla$ with $\|\Theta\| < \varepsilon$.

(iii) A class $\xi \in K^0(M)$ is called almost flat if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon$-flat bundles $E^+, E^-$ such that $[E^+] - [E^-] = \xi$.

Note that the last notion is independent of the Riemannian structure on $M$. We denote by $K^0_{\text{af}}(M)$ the subgroup of $K^0(M)$ formed of almost flat classes. If $h : N \to M$ is a continuous map, then $h^* : K^0(M) \to K^0(N)$ maps $K^0_{\text{af}}(M)$ to $K^0_{\text{af}}(N)$.

**Remark.** 6. If $M$ is simply connected, there exists $\varepsilon_M > 0$ such that any $\varepsilon_M$-flat bundle is flat and therefore, trivial. On the other hand $K(\Gamma, 1)$-manifolds with $\Gamma$ ‘large’ tend to have ‘many’ almost flat bundles (see 2.4).

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach algebra. Given a linear map $\varrho$ of $\mathcal{B}$ to the algebra $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ of all linear operators on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $V$, we introduce the ‘curvature’ operators $\theta(a, b) = \varrho(ab) - \varrho(a)\varrho(b)$ and set, for any finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{B}$,

$$\|\varrho\|_F = \sup\{\|\theta(a, b)\| ; a, b \in F\}.$$ 

**Definition 3.** Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{B}$, an $(\varepsilon, F)$-representation of $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear map $\varrho : \mathcal{B} \to \mathfrak{L}(V)$ such that: (i) $\|\varrho(a)\| \leq \|a\|, \forall a \in \mathcal{B}$; (ii) $\varrho(1) = 1$; (iii) $\|\varrho\|_F < \varepsilon$.

Let $p \in M$ be a fixed base point and let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, p)$. We denote by $\Omega(M, p)$ the space of loops based at $p$. Choose a cross-section $\gamma : \Gamma \to \Omega(M, p)$ to the canonical projection $\pi : \Omega(M, p) \to \Gamma$ such that: $\gamma(1) = 1$ and $\forall g \in \Gamma$ with $g^2 \neq 1$, $\gamma(g^{-1}) = \gamma(g)^{-1}$. Given $F \subset \ell^1(\Gamma)$, one can associate to any $\varepsilon$-flat bundle $E$ an $(\varepsilon, F)$-representation $\varrho_E : \ell^1(\Gamma) \to \mathfrak{L}(E_p)$, by assigning to an element $g \in \Gamma$ the parallel transport operator $\varrho_E(g)$ along the loop $\gamma(g)$; if $g^2 = 1$, $\varrho_E(g)$ is replaced by $\frac{1}{2}(\varrho_E(g) + \varrho_E(g)^*)$. Indeed, since the effect of the parallel transport along loops can be estimated in terms of the curvature, one has

$$\|\varrho_E\|_F \leq c_F\|\Theta_E\|,$$
where $\Theta_E$ denotes the curvature of $E$, $\theta_E$ is the curvature of $q_E$ and $c_F$ is a constant depending on $F$.

2.2 Pairing with $K$-Theory and an Index Formula

Let $\varrho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V)$ be an $(\varepsilon, F)$-representation of a Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Its character $\phi = (\phi_{2k})$ is a discrete version of the asymptotic cocycles introduced in [CM2, §4], and is given by the following formula:

$$\phi_{2k}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2k}) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!} \text{Tr}(\varrho(a_0)\theta(a_1, a_2) \cdots \theta(a_{2k-1}, a_{2k})),$$

$$(a_j \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Recall that asymptotic cocycles pair with $K$-theory, by means of the pairing formula for entire cohomology ([C2], [GS]):

$$\langle \phi, e \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \phi_{2k}(e - \frac{1}{2}, e, \ldots, e), \quad e^2 = e \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Proposition 6** ([CGM], Prop. 9). Let $e^2 = e \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{B})$. There exists a finite set $F \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $\delta > 0$, such that for any $(\varepsilon, F)$-representation $\varrho$ of $\mathcal{B}$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, the above series converges. Moreover, with $\phi$ denoting the character of $\varrho$ one has

$$\langle \phi, e \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Pi - \frac{1}{2}),$$

where $\Pi \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{L}(V)) = \mathcal{L}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ is the projection defined by

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z| = \frac{1}{2}} ((\varrho \otimes 1)(e) - z)^{-1} \, dz.$$

In the remainder of this section $\widetilde{M}$ will denote the universal cover of $M^n$ and $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ will be its classifying map. Consider an elliptic operator $D^+$ on $M$. From the preceding discussion, it follows that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon$-flat bundle $E$ on $M$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, the pairing

$$\text{Index}_{E, \Gamma} D^+ := \langle \phi_E, \text{Index}_{f, \Gamma} D^+ \rangle,$$

between the character $\phi_E$ of the associated almost representation $q_E : \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_p)$ and the covering index $\text{Index}_{f, \Gamma} D^+ \in K_0(\mathcal{C}^\infty \otimes \mathfrak{R})$ is well-defined.

**Theorem 7** ([CGM], Thm. 10). With the above assumptions, one has

$$\text{Index}_{E, \Gamma} D^+ = (-1)^n (\text{ch}\, \sigma(D^+) \cup \Xi(M) \cup \text{ch}\, E)[T^*M] = \text{Index}_{D^+}.$$

The proof is similar in spirit with that of Theorem 3 and involves two stages. The first, corresponding to Proposition 1, converts the above pairing into one between the 'asymptotic' Alexander-Spanier cocycle associated to the character $\phi_E$ and the $K$-homology class of $D^+$. The second consists in establishing the analogue of the index formula of Theorem 2.
2.3 Pairing with \( L \)-Theory and a Signature Formula

The extra virtue of the type of cocycles considered above is that they can be paired directly with the \( L \)-theory. Indeed, \( \ell^1(\Gamma) \) is not merely a Banach algebra, but one equipped with a compatible involution. So, it is natural to work in the context of Banach \(*\)-algebras. An obvious modification of Definition 3 gives the notion of an \((e,F)\) - \(*\)-representation for a Banach \(*\)-algebra \( \mathfrak{B} \).

Proposition 8 ([CGM], Prop. 5). Let \( \mathfrak{B} \) be a Banach \(*\)-algebra and let \( H = H^* \) be a self-adjoint invertible element in \( \mathcal{M}_N(\mathfrak{B}) \).

(i) If \( \varrho : \mathfrak{B} \to \Omega(V) \) is an \((e,F)\) - \(*\)-representation, with \( F \) the set of entries of \( H \) and \( H^{-1} \) and \( 0 < e < 1/2N^2 \), then \( (\varrho \otimes 1)(H) \in \mathcal{M}_N(\Omega(V)) = \Omega(V \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) \) is self-adjoint and invertible.

(ii) If \( H' \) is another representative of the Witt class \([H] \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{B})\), there exists a finite set \( F' \subset \mathfrak{B} \) and \( \delta > 0 \), such that for any \((e,F')\)-representation \( \varrho \) of \( \mathfrak{B} \) with \( 0 < e < \delta \) one has:

\[
\text{Signature} (\varrho \otimes 1)(H) = \text{Signature} (\varrho \otimes 1)(H').
\]

Remark that the almost representation associated to an almost flat bundle is automatically a \(*\)-representation, therefore the above construction applies. From Proposition 6 and Theorem 7, one obtains:

Theorem 9 ([CGM], Thm. 6). Let \( H \in \mathcal{M}_N(\ell^1(\Gamma)) \) be a representative of the Mishchenko class \( \sigma[M,f] \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^1(\Gamma)) \). There exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that, for any \( \varepsilon \)-flat bundle \( E \) on \( M^{2k} \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon < \delta \), one has:

\[
\text{Signature} (\varrho_E \otimes 1)(H) = 2^k(\mathcal{L}(M) \cup ch E)[M],
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}(M) \) denotes the stable \( L \)-class of \( M \).

Corollary 10 [CGM]. Let \( M, N \) be two closed oriented manifolds and let \( h : N \to M \) be an oriented homotopy equivalence. Then, for any class \( \xi \in K_{\text{an}}^0(M) \), one has

\[
(\mathcal{L}(N) \cup ch h^*\xi)[N] = (\mathcal{L}(M) \cup ch \xi)[M].
\]

Remark. 7. According to Theorem 7, the higher indices constructed in this section, unlike the higher indices of Section 1, are genuine indices of elliptic operators with suitable coefficients. Thus, it makes sense to try to obtain the above result by proving directly the homotopy invariance of the index of the signature operator with coefficients in an almost flat bundle. Such a direct proof was recently achieved by Hilsum and Skandalis [HS].

2.4 Application to the Novikov Conjecture

The notion of an almost flat bundle can be extended to the case when the base space is a simplicial complex and the bundle is infinite-dimensional (but endowed with a ‘superconnection’, in a suitable sense). Moreover, Theorem 9 continues to
function in this extended context. With these modifications, one can show that
\(K^0(B\Gamma) = K^0_{af}(B\Gamma)\), and therefore that the Novikov conjecture holds, for a ‘very
large’ class of finitely presented groups \(\Gamma\). This class includes all the cases for
which the conjecture was previously established, in particular the following:

1. \(\Gamma = \pi_1(N)\) where \(N\) is a complete Riemannian manifold of non-positive
sectional curvature (cf. [K3]) or, more generally, \(\bar{N}\) is hyperspherical (see [GL2]);
2. \(\Gamma\) is a discrete subgroup of a finitely connected Lie group (cf. [K3]) or of
an algebraic group over a local field (cf. [KS]);
3. \(\Gamma\) is hyperbolic in Gromov’s sense (cf. [CM2]).

3. Unstable Cyclic Cohomology and Non-Local Invariants

3.1 Cyclic Cohomology of Group Rings

Let \(\Gamma\) be a finitely generated group. For simplicity, we will assume that it is
torsion-free and of finite cohomological dimension (over \(\mathbb{Q}\)) \(n\). This implies that
the cyclic cohomology of \(C\Gamma\) stabilizes above \(n\) : \(HC^{2k+n}(C\Gamma) = HC^n(C\Gamma)\) and
\(HC^{2k+1+n}(C\Gamma) = HC^{n-1}(C\Gamma)\), for \(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\)

Burghelea [B] showed that \(HC^*(C\Gamma)\) decomposes into a direct sum
parametrized by the conjugacy classes \([\gamma]\) in \(\Gamma\) :

\[HC^*(C\Gamma) \cong H^*(B\Gamma, C) \otimes HC^*(C) \oplus \sum_{[\gamma] \neq 1} H^*(BN_\gamma, C),\]

where \(N_\gamma = \Gamma_\gamma / C_\gamma\), \(\Gamma_\gamma = \text{the centralizer of } \gamma\) and \(C_\gamma = \text{the (free) group generated by } \gamma\). On the other hand, for certain classes of groups \(\Gamma\), including discrete
subgroups of general linear groups over fields of characteristic 0, Eckmann [E]
showed that in the stable range, i.e. for \(k \geq n\), \(H^k(BN_\gamma, C) = 0, \forall [\gamma] \neq 1\).

Thus, it was precisely the stable component of \(HC^*(C\Gamma)\) which was used
in the preceding sections to construct higher indices of elliptic operators. The
aim of this section is to suggest that the unstable component of \(HC^*(C\Gamma)\) plays
a similar, albeit more subtle, rôle with respect to non-local invariants such as
analytic torsion and \(\eta\)-invariants.

3.2 \(\eta\)-Invariants and Analytic Torsion via Cyclic Homology

Let \(M^{4k-1}\) be a closed connected Riemannian manifold. The \(\eta\)-invariant \(\eta\) of \(M\)
(see [APS]), is meant to measure the ‘signature’ of the signature operator \(\mathcal{B}^{ev}\)
acting on the space \(\Omega^{ev} = \Omega^{ev}(M)\) of exterior differential forms of even degree on
\(M\). We recall that \(\eta\) is defined as the value at \(s = 0\) of the analytic continuation
of the function

\[\eta(s) = \sum (\text{sign} \lambda) |\lambda|^{-s}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 0,\]

where \(\lambda\) runs over the set of non-zero eigenvalues of \(\mathcal{B}^{ev}\), each eigenvalue being
repeated according to its multiplicity. It can be easily shown that \(\eta\) involves only
the ‘middle’ degree forms, more exactly than \(\eta = \eta^{2k}(0)\), where
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\[ \eta^{2k}(s) = \sum \text{sign } \lambda |\lambda|^{-s}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 0, \]

with \( \lambda \) running this time over the set of non-zero eigenvalues of the operator \( *d \) acting on \( d^* \Omega^{2k} \).

This definition can be reformulated in terms of the cyclic homology of the algebra \( \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M) \). We recall ([C1]) that:

(i) \( HH_* (\mathcal{A}) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( \Omega^* (M) \); under this isomorphism, the exterior derivative \( d : \Omega^n (M) \to \Omega^{n+1} (M) \) corresponds to the operator \( \beta = B \circ I : HH_n (\mathcal{A}) \to HH_{n+1} (\mathcal{A}) \);

(ii) \( HC_* (\mathcal{A}) \cong \Omega^n (M) / d^* \Omega^{n-1} (M) \oplus H^{n-2} (M) \oplus H^{n-4} (M) \oplus \cdots \).

By transport of structure, both \( HH_* (\mathcal{A}) \) and \( HC_* (\mathcal{A}) \) become (graded) pre-Hilbert spaces.

Thus, ignoring the zero modes, \( \eta \) can be viewed as the \textit{regularized signature} of \( *\beta : HC_{2k-1} (\mathcal{A}) \to HC_{2k-1} (\mathcal{A}) \).

There is a similar interpretation for the analytic torsion. Namely, if \( \tau \) denotes the analytic torsion of \( M \) (see [RS]), with the above notation one has:

\[ \log \tau = \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \log \det \beta : HC_q (\mathcal{A}) \to HC_q (\mathcal{A}). \]

Here \( \deg_{\beta} \) denotes the \( \zeta \)-determinant of the corresponding positive elliptic operator, with the zero modes removed. Regarding \( \log \deg_{\beta} (\beta^* \beta : HC_q (\mathcal{A}) \to HC_q (\mathcal{A})) \) as a regularized dimension of \( HC_q (\mathcal{A}) \), the above formula identifies \( \log \tau \) as the \textit{regularized Euler characteristic} of \( HC_* (\mathcal{A}) \).

Let \( \mathcal{E}_q = \widetilde{M} \times \Gamma E \) be a flat bundle associated to a unitary representation \( q \) of \( \Gamma = \pi_1 (M) \) on \( E \). Twisted invariants \( \tau_q \) and \( \eta_q \) can be defined in similar terms.

To this end, one needs a twisted version of the cyclic homology of the algebra \( \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M) \). This is obtained by replacing the entries \( C(\mathcal{A})_{p,q} \) with \( \mathcal{A}^{q-p+1} \), if \( q \geq p \) and 0 otherwise, in the \((b,B)\)-bicomplex of the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \), with \( C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}_q)_{p,q} = (E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{q-p+1})^\Gamma \), if \( q \geq p \) and 0 otherwise. Here \( \mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M) \) and \( (E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})^\Gamma \) is the subspace of \( \Gamma \)-invariant elements of \( E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \). Note that \( 1 \otimes b \) and \( 1 \otimes B \) commute with the (tensor product) action of \( \Gamma \) and therefore induce boundary operators for the bicomplex \( C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}_q) \), which we will continue to denote \( b \) and \( B \). One can thus define the cyclic homology of \( \mathcal{A} \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{E}_q \) as \( HC_* (\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}_q) \), which is the homology of the total complex associated to \( C(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}_q) \), and the Hochschild homology of \( \mathcal{A} \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{E}_q \) as \( HH_* (\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}_q) \), which is the homology of the 'vertical column complex' \( \{(E \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})^\Gamma, b\} \).

### 3.3 Regularized Index Formulae

In [MS1] and [MS2], the \( \eta \)-invariants and the torsion invariants of locally symmetric manifolds of non-positive sectional curvature were expressed as special values of zeta-functions constructed out of the periodic geodesics. Our purpose here is to explain how to understand these results as some kind of regularized index formulae.
Let $M = \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{M}$ with $\widetilde{M}$ a globally symmetric space of non-compact type and $\Gamma$ a discrete, torsion-free, co-compact subgroup of orientation-preserving isometries. $M$ inherits a (locally symmetric) Riemannian metric $g$, of non-positive sectional curvature. The connected components of the periodic set of the geodesic flow $\Phi$, acting on the unit tangent bundle $SM$, are parametrized by the non-trivial conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$. Each connected component $M_\gamma$ is itself a closed locally symmetric manifold of non-positive sectional curvature. $\Phi$ restricts to a periodic flow on each $M_\gamma$ and the quotient $M_\gamma / \Phi$ is an orbifold; we denote by $\chi(M_\gamma)$ its Euler characteristic. All orbits of $\Phi$ in $M_\gamma$ have the same length $\ell_\gamma$ and $m_\gamma$ will denote the multiplicity of a generic orbit.

Let $\varphi$ be an acyclic unitary representation of $\Gamma$ and denote by $T_{\varphi}$ the analytic torsion of $M$ with coefficients in $\varphi$. The main result in [MS2] reads as follows:

$$\log T_{\varphi} = - \sum_{[\gamma] \neq 1} \chi(M_\gamma) \text{Tr}_{\varphi}(\gamma) e^{-s_{M_\gamma}^{\gamma}} \bigg|_{s=0},$$

where the evaluation at 0 is made after analytic continuation.

We remark that, as a topological space, $\widetilde{M}_\gamma = BN_\gamma$ (see 3.1). Moreover, the orientation of $\widetilde{M}_\gamma$ defines a fundamental class $[\widetilde{M}_\gamma]$ in the top-degree rational homology of $BN_\gamma$ and one has:

$$\chi(\widetilde{M}_\gamma) = e(\widetilde{M}_\gamma)[\widetilde{M}_\gamma],$$

where $e(\widetilde{M}_\gamma)$ denotes the Euler class of the orbifold. The collection of classes $e(M_\gamma, g) = \{e(\widetilde{M}_\gamma) \in H^*(BN_\gamma, \mathbb{Q}); [\gamma] \neq 1\}$ can be viewed as an element of a suitable completion $HC^*(\mathbb{Q} \Gamma)$ of $HC^*(\mathbb{Q} \Gamma)$, while the collection $[M_\gamma, g] = \{[\widetilde{M}_\gamma] \in H_*(BN_\gamma, \mathbb{Q}); [\gamma] \neq 1\}$ defines an element in a similar completion of $HC_*(\mathbb{Q} \Gamma)$. Therefore, the right hand side of the above formula for torsion can be interpreted as a regularized pairing $\langle e(M_\gamma, g), [M_\gamma, g]\rangle_{\varphi}$, depending on the representation $\varphi$, the regularization being given by the geodesic spectrum. We recall that $\log T_{\varphi}$ is also defined by a regularization procedure, but involving the ‘dual’ data, namely the spectrum of the Laplace operator associated to the metric.

A similar interpretation can be given to the $\eta$-invariant formula proved in [MS1].

We conclude by observing that all the above expressions make sense for any closed $K(\Gamma, 1)$-manifold which admits a metric of non-positive sectional curvature. Examples of such formulae, for the torsion of certain manifolds which are not locally symmetric, can be found in the work of D. Fried (cf. [F] and references therein). It is plausible that such formulae continue to hold on more general non-positively curved closed $K(\Gamma, 1)$-manifolds.
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1. Introduction

In recent years certain fundamental ideas of statistical mechanics and quantum physics have penetrated through psychological barriers between physics and topology. The original impetus to this development was given in 1984 by the V. Jones’s discovery of a new polynomial invariant of links in the 3-sphere $S^3$. His construction uses braids, Hecke algebras and von Neumann algebras. The later progress has involved 2-dimensional conformal field theory, theory of quantum groups and other subjects, creating a unified overwhelmingly rich area of study. Of especial importance have been the methods of statistical mechanics first applied by L. Kauffman to reproduce the Jones polynomial, and the ideas of quantum field theory brought into the subject by E. Witten.

From the viewpoint of topology the main new notion attained in the course of this development is the notion of topological quantum field theory (TQFT; see [At]). There are several complementary approaches to constructing TQFTs in dimension 3. Here I present an approach based on the theory of quantum groups (see [Dr1, FRT1, J1]).

The state sum models appear in this context as a technical tool to define invariants of geometric objects. I give here an abstract description of the models; examples are abundant below. On the geometric part the models use certain “images” of the objects and “moves” relating these images. The moves should be local transformations of images following certain patterns. Any images of topologically equivalent objects should be related by a finite sequence of moves. One also distinguishes two sorts of local geometric blocks of the images called atoms and interactions. (This terminology is due to G. Kuperberg). Each interaction should give rise to a tuple of atoms, “involved in this interaction”. On the statistical mechanics side one uses the notions of “algebraic initial data”, “state”, and “state sum”. The initial data (in its simplest form) consists of a finite set $I$ of “colors” and a weight function which is a numerical function on certain tuples of colors. A state on an image is an $I$-valued function on the set of atoms. Having such a state one associates with each interaction the tuple of colors of atoms involved in this interaction. One forms the product of weights of these tuples over all interactions and sums up these products over all states. To ensure topological invariance of the sum it suffices to check invariance under the moves. Their local nature usually enables one to reformulate the invariance
as a collection of algebraic equations on the weights. Solving these equations is fundamental to justify the model.

This scheme admits variations and extends to relative situations, producing linear operators (rather then numbers) forming a TQFT.

It seems that in the last decades of the 20th century characterized by the Bourbaki style in mathematics one may reject the methods outlined above as old-fashioned and non-elegant. A good excuse is that due mainly to E. Witten we have a global physical ideology behind the constructions. To justify this mathematically non-rigorous ideology we apply the down-to-earth technique of state sum models, moves et cetera. The models play here the role similar to that of simplicial chain complexes in homology theory.

The theory of state sum models has revived certain geometric ideas of K. Reidemeister, M. Newman, J. Alexander developed in the 1920–1930s; it involved more recent results of J. Cerf, R. Kirby, R. Fenn and C. Rourke, as well as the theory of special spines of 3-manifolds due to B. Casler, S. Matveev, and R. Piergallini, and the theory of categories of tangles due to D. Yetter and the author. Bringing these topological results in a row and relating them to the methods coming from physics and representation theory seem to be one of the most attractive features of the theory.

I will describe here 3 types of models: vertex models on link diagrams in $\mathbb{R}^2$, face models on link diagrams and link shadows, simplicial models on triangulations of 3-manifolds.

For an earlier discussion of state sum models in knot theory see [Jo2]. For other approaches see [Ja1, Ku1].

2. Vertex Models on Link Diagrams

The 2-dimensional vertex models of statistical mechanics are concerned with 4-valent graphs in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the edges and vertexes being respectively the atoms and interactions (see [Ba1]). These models have been adjusted to the topological setting of link diagrams in $\mathbb{R}^2$ where one has to take into account the over/undercrossing information and to treat the local extremums of the height function $(x, y) \mapsto y : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ (reduced to the diagram at hand) as interactions. This produces isotopy invariants of colored framed oriented links in $S^3$ (see [AW1, Jo1, Tu1, Re1, RT1]).

Fix a set $I$ of left modules over a commutative ring with unit $K$. Assume that each module $V \in I$ is equipped with a finite $K$-basis $J_V$.

By a colored link in $S^3$ we mean a finite collection of disjoint imbedded circles in $S^3$, each circle being equipped with a "color" $V \in I$. A link $L \subset S^3$ is framed (resp. oriented) if $L$ is equipped with a non-singular normal vector field (resp. with orientation of $L$). Link diagrams are the images of links under pushing off into $\mathbb{R}^3 = S^3 \setminus \{\infty\}$ and projecting to $\mathbb{R}^2$, with an account taken of over/undercrossings. (To construct a diagram of a framed link $L$ one first deforms $L$ to make its framing orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}^2$).

We consider only the diagrams whose self-crossings are distinct from local extremums (of the height function). The classical Reidemeister moves relate any two diagrams of a link modulo ambient isotopy of the diagrams in $\mathbb{R}^2$. After a small modification the moves work also for diagrams of framed links. D. Yetter and independently the author gave a list of moves relating ambiently isotopic
diagrams (see [Ye1, Tu2, Tu3]); the simplest of these moves introduces 1 point of local maxima and 1 point of local minima on a small vertical segment of a diagram.

The initial algebraic data of the model consists of 6 weight functions

\[
R, \tilde{R} : \prod_{V, W \in \mathcal{I}} J^2_V \times J^2_W \to K ; \quad \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu : \prod_{V \in \mathcal{I}} J^2_V \to K .
\]

(1)

Let \( \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a diagram of a colored framed oriented link \( L \). The self-crossings of \( \mathcal{D} \) and the local extremums of the height function split \( \mathcal{D} \) into a finite number of arcs. A state \( s \) on \( \mathcal{D} \) is a mapping, associating with each such arc \( e \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) an element of the set \( J_{V(e)} \) where \( V(e) \in \mathcal{I} \) is the color of the component of \( L \) projecting to the loop of \( \mathcal{D} \) which contains \( e \). For a state \( s \) on \( \mathcal{D} \) and a positive (resp. negative) self-crossing \( a \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) we put \( \langle a \rangle_s = R(i, \ell, j, k) \) (resp. \( \langle a \rangle_s = \tilde{R}(i, \ell, j, k) \)) where \( i, \ell, j, k \) are the values of \( s \) on the 4 arcs incident to \( a \); see Fig. 1. For a local extremum \( b \), as in Fig. 2, we put \( \langle b \rangle_s = f(i, \ell) \) where respectively \( f = \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \). Put

\[
\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_s = \prod_a \langle a \rangle_s \prod_b \langle b \rangle_s \text{ and } \langle L \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = \sum_s \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle_s
\]

where \( a, b, s \) run respectively over crossings, local extremums and states of \( \mathcal{D} \).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

To describe equations ensuring invariance of \( \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle \) under the moves one treats (1) as matrices of linear operators

\[
R_{V, W}, \tilde{R}_{V, W} : V \otimes K W \to W \otimes K V ; \quad \alpha_V, \beta_V, \mu_V, \nu_V : V \to V .
\]

(2)

The state sum \( \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle \) depends solely on \( \mathcal{D} \) and these operators. Here are equations corresponding to certain moves: \( \beta_V = \alpha_V^{-1}, \nu_V = \mu_V^{-1}, \tilde{R}_{V, W} = R_{W, V}^{-1} \).
The latter equation is the Yang-Baxter equation; the operators satisfying the equation are called R-matrices. This equation ensures invariance of $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ under the third Reidemeister move, known also as the braid relation. There are also a few equations relating $R, \alpha, \mu$ (see [Tu3]). Note that without loss of generality one may assume that $\alpha_V = \beta_V = 1_V$ for all $V \in I$. Note also that one usually imposes an additional condition that a positive $360^\circ$-twist of the framing along a link component of color $V$ leads to multiplication of the link invariant by an invertible constant depending only on $V$. This condition is fulfilled in the examples below.

According to [Re2], any system of invertible R-matrices $\{R_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V\}_{V,W \in I}$ satisfying a non-degeneracy condition may be enriched by $\{\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu\}_V$ to satisfy all equations ensuring isotopy invariance of $\langle L \rangle$.

Using the operators (2) one may extend the invariant $L \mapsto \langle L \rangle$ to operator invariants of $I$-colored framed oriented tangles in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]$ (see [Tu2, Tu3, Re2, RT1]). This produces a linear tensor representation of the category of tangles in the category of $K$-modules.

3. Quantum Groups as a Source of Invariants

A general method of constructing R-matrices starts with an algebra with unity $A$ and an invertible element ("universal R-matrix") $R \in A \otimes A$ satisfying the equation $R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}$, where $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$, $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R \in A^{\otimes 3}$, and $R_{13}$ is obtained from $R_{23}$ by the permutation of the first two tensor multiples. For any left $A$-modules $V, W$ one defines $R_{V,W}$ to be the composition of the permutation $V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ and multiplication by $R$. The Yang-Baxter property of $R$ implies (3).

The theory of quantum groups associates with each simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ possessing a canonical universal R-matrix (here $q \in \mathbb{C}$). All irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules are known to be deformable into $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. Thus, one may use these $\mathfrak{g}$-modules as the colors of link components. The system $\{R_{V,W}\}$ arising in this way may always be enriched by $\alpha_V = \beta_V = 1_V, \mu_V, \nu_V = \mu_V^{-1}$ to produce isotopy invariants of links (see [Re1], [We1]). Example: $\mathfrak{g} = sl_n(\mathbb{C}), V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the standard action of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the canonical basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. Let $E_i^j$ be the homomorphism $V \to V$ which transforms $e_i$ in $e_j$ and transforms $e_k$ with $k \neq i$ into 0. Then

$$R_{V,V} = -q \sum_i E_i^i \otimes E_i^i + \sum_{i \neq j} E_i^j \otimes E_j^i + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{i < j} E_i^i \otimes E_j^j;$$

$$\mu_V = \sum_i q^{2i-m-1} E_i^i$$

(see [Ji1, Tu1]). One may color all components of an oriented framed link $L \subset S^3$ with this $V$ and get an invariant $\langle L \rangle$ which is a Laurent polynomial over $q$. Multiplying $\langle L \rangle$ by a power of $-q^{-n}$ one makes it independent of the framing.
The resulting polynomial is (up to reparametrization) a reduction $P_L(q^n, q - q^{-1})$ of the Homfly polynomial $P_L(x, y)$ (see [Jo1, Tu1, Li1]). For $n = 2$ this reduction is the Jones polynomial. In the case $n = 2$ one may reformulate the model to produce the Kauffman [Ka1] bracket model for the Jones polynomial. Similarly, the fundamental representations of the Lie algebras of series $B, C, D$ give rise to a series of 1-variable reductions of the 2-variable Kauffman polynomial (see [Tu1]). For invariants corresponding to $g = G_2$ see [Re1, Ku2].

For any $m$-component link in $S^3$ one may define a universal invariant sitting in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes m$ and comprising all invariants corresponding to various colorings of components by $g$-modules (see [La1]).

**4. Invariants of 3-Manifolds**

The invariants of links in $S^3$ discussed above may be combined to produce topological invariants of closed oriented 3-manifolds and links in these manifolds. The relevant construction was introduced and applied to link invariants derived from $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ in [RT2]. For the case of other classical semisimple Lie algebras see [TW1]. The construction is based on the classical theorem of V. Rochlin and W. Lickorish presenting any closed oriented (connected) 3-manifold as the result of surgery on $S^3$ along a framed link. R. Kirby introduced in 1978 moves on links which relate any presentations of homeomorphic manifolds. An equivalent system of local moves was introduced by R. Fenn and C. Rourke.

On the algebraic part the construction uses a modular Hopf algebra (see [RT2]). This is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra $A$ with an $R$-matrix $R \in A \otimes A$ equipped with a finite collection $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ of irreducible finite dimensional $A$-modules satisfying certain axioms. Let, now, a closed oriented 3-manifold $N$ be the result of surgery on a framed link $L \subset S^3$ with $m$ components $L_1, \ldots, L_m$. Provide $L$ with an arbitrary orientation. For each sequence $j = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in I^m$ denote by $L^j$ the link $L$ whose components $L_1, \ldots, L_m$ are colored respectively with $V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_m}$. Here is the invariant of $N$:

$$\tau_A(N) = b^m c^\sigma(L) \sum_{j = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in I^m} \left( \langle L^j \rangle \prod_{r=1}^m \langle 0_{i_r} \rangle \right)$$

where: $b, c$ are normalizing constants, associated with $A, R, \{V_i\}_i$; $0_l$ is the trivial knot in $S^3$ with zero framing and the color $V_l$; $\sigma(L)$ is the signature of the oriented 4-manifold $W_L$ bounded by $N$ and obtained by adding 2-handles to the 4-ball $B$ along $L \subset \partial B$. This definition slightly differs from the one in [RT2] (cf. also [KM1]); equivalence of definitions follows in the case $A = U_q(sl_n)$ from computations of [RT2, TW1] and in general case from results of K. Walker (private communication). If $L'$ is a colored framed oriented link in $N$ then one pushes $L'$ in $S^3 \setminus L$ and defines $\tau_A(N, L')$ using $L' \mathbin{\text{\smash{$\prod$}^m}} L^j$ instead of $L^j$.

With a primitive complex root of unity $q$ of degree $r \geq 3$ one associates a finite-dimensional modular Hopf algebra $U = U_q$ which is a quotient of $U_q(sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see [RT2]). Here $I = \{0, 1/2, 1, \ldots, (r-2)/2 \}$ and $V_i$ is the $q$-deformation of the irreducible $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$-module of dimension $2i + 1$. Thus with the pair $(N, L')$ we may associate the function $q \mapsto \tau_{U_q}(N, L')$ which is a topological invariant of this pair. For colored links in $S^3$ this function coincides with the value of the colored Jones
polynomial in \( q \). In general it is unknown if this function may be extended in a topologically invariant manner to the unit circle or, what is even more intriguing, to the open unit disc in \( \mathbb{C} \). For a further study of \( \tau_U(N) \) see [KM1, Li2].

For even \( r \) one may refine \( \tau_U(N) \) to an invariant \( \tau_U(N; \theta) \) where \( \theta \) is an arbitrary spin structure on \( N \), if \( r = 0 \pmod{4} \), and \( \theta \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \), if \( r = 2 \pmod{4} \). Such \( \theta \) defines a sublink \( L(\theta) \) of the link \( L \subset S^3 \) presenting \( N \); it consists of components of \( L \) such that \( \theta \) does not extend across the corresponding 2-handles of \( W_L \). One defines \( \tau_U(N; \theta) \) in the same way as \( \tau_U(N) \) but using only integral colors \( 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (r - 2)/2 \rfloor \) for the components of \( L(\theta) \). Using [RT2, §7] and [KM1, Theorem 4.20] one may show that \( \tau_U(N; \theta) \) is a topological invariant of \( (N; \theta) \) and \( \tau_U(N) = \sum_\theta \tau_U(N; \theta) \). The same refinement applies to \( (N, L') \).

In particular, for \( q = \sqrt{-1}, r = 4 \) the invariant \( \tau_U(N; \theta) \) is equivalent to the Rochlin invariant \( \mu(N; \theta) \in \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z} \); for any spin structure \( \theta \) on \( N \) one has \( \tau_U(N, \theta) = \exp(-3\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu(N; \theta)/8) \).

5. The Jones-Witten TQFT

Witten [Wi1] conjectured existence of a 3-dimensional TQFT extending the Jones polynomial of links in \( S^3 \) (or, more exactly, extending the value of this polynomial in a fixed root of unity \( q \)) to oriented 3-dimensional cobordisms and to 3-cobordisms with tangles sitting inside. It was shown in [RT2] that each modular Hopf algebra \( (A, R, \{V_i\}_{i \in I}) \) gives rise to a 3-dimensional TQFT. For \( A = U_q \) one gets the Jones-Witten TQFT. (Though [RT2] treats only cobordisms with links, one may apply the same methods to tangles in cobordisms). The construction uses presentations of 3-cobordisms by tangles in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and operator invariants of tangles. One of the advantages of this approach is the relative simplicity of the definition of the linear space associated with a surface. For the closed oriented surface \( \Sigma_g \) of genus \( g \) this linear space is

\[
\Psi_g = \bigoplus_{i_1, \ldots, i_g \in I} (V_{i_1} \otimes V_{i_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_g} \otimes V_{i_g}^*)^0,
\]

where for an \( A \)-module \( V \) one denotes by \( V^* \) the dual module and by \( V^0 \) the maximal splitting submodule of \( V \) with trivial action of \( A \). (In [RT2] we involved a projector acting in \( \Psi_g \) which was later proven by K. Walker and the author, and independently N. Reshetikhin to be the identity). The Teichmüller modular group of \( \Sigma_g \) projectively acts in \( \Psi_g \); the corresponding 2-cocycle is closely related to the 2-cocycle of the projective Shale-Weil representation of the symplectic group, see [CLM1], [Tu5]. For \( A = U_q, q \) being a primitive root of even degree, one may refine the TQFT along the lines of Sect. 4.

6. Face Models on Link Shadows

Face models differ from vertex models mainly in that the role of atoms is played by 2-faces. A face model on link diagrams in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) producing the value in \( q \in \mathbb{C} \) \((q^r = 1)\) of the Jones polynomial and its versions for colored links in \( S^3 \) was introduced in [KR1]. In [Tu4] this model was reformulated avoiding the use of coordinates, and generalized to diagrams on oriented surfaces. The natural
topological setting for the model is the one of shadows (see [Tu4]). A shadow on an oriented closed surface \( \Sigma \) is a finite collection \( s \) of closed curves on \( \Sigma \) lying in general position (possibly with intersections) such that each component of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) is equipped with a number, called the gleam of the component. Each link diagram \( \mathcal{D} \) on \( \Sigma \) (presenting a link in \( \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \)) canonically produces a shadow \( s(\mathcal{D}) \) on \( \Sigma \); it has the same underlying loops as \( \mathcal{D} \) whereas the gleam of a component \( X \) of \( \Sigma \backslash s(\mathcal{D}) \) is defined as the number of jumps up along \( \partial X \) diminished by the number of jumps down along \( \partial X \) and divided by 2 (the orientation in \( \partial X \) is specified by that of \( X \subset \Sigma \)). One defines Reidemeister-type moves on shadows and calls a class of shadows related by these moves a (framed) shadow link on \( \Sigma \). If \( M \) is a closed oriented 3-manifold fibering over \( \Sigma \) with the fiber \( S^1 \) then each (framed) link in \( M \) considered up to isotopy canonically produces a (framed) shadow link on \( \Sigma \). In particular, each link \( L \subset S^3 \) produces a shadow link on \( S^2 \) via the Hopf mapping of the diagrams. One may reconstruct \( L \) looking at its shadow on \( S^2 \).

The algebraic data used in the model consist of a finite set \( I \), a set \( \text{adm} \subset I^3 \) invariant under permutations, two functions \( i \mapsto u_i, i \mapsto v_i : I \to \mathbb{C} \setminus 0 \) and a function \( I^6 \to \mathbb{C} \) called "the symbol".

A coloring of a shadow \( s \) is an \( I \)-valued function on the set of underlying loops of \( s \). Let \( s \) be a colored shadow on \( \Sigma \). An area-coloring \( \eta \) of \( s \) is an \( I \)-valued function on the set of components of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) such that if 2 components \( X, Y \) of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) meet along an arc of \( s \) of color \( i \) then \( (i, \eta(X), \eta(Y)) \in \text{adm} \). For each crossing point \( a \) of \( s \) one defines \( |a|_a \) to be the symbol of the 6-tuple formed by the properly ordered colors of 2 loops of \( s \) traversing \( a \) and \( \eta \)-colors of 4 components of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) incident to \( a \). The symbol should be symmetric enough to make \(|a|_a\) well-defined. For a component \( X \) of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) with \( \eta \)-color \( i \) put \( |X|_a = \exp(2u_i x)v_i^2 \) where \( x \) and \( \chi \) are the gleam and Euler characteristic of \( X \). One forms the product of \(|a|_a, |X|_a\) over all crossings and all components of \( \Sigma \backslash s \) and sums up the products over all \( \eta \). Under proper algebraic conditions, this model yields invariants of colored shadow links on \( \Sigma \) and colored framed links in \( M \).

The model extends to colored shadow 3-valent graphs on \( \Sigma \) (and the graphs in \( M \); a graph is colored if its edges are equipped with elements of \( I \)). The new ingredients are the additional multiples \( |f|_a \) which are symbols of 6-tuples associated in the obvious way with all 3-valent vertices \( f \) of the graph. In fact, the setting of 3-valent graphs in 3-manifolds rather then links is natural for all models in this paper (cf. [RT1]).

The algebra \( U_q(sl_2) \) with \( q = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}h/r) \) gives rise to a suitable algebraic data. Here: \( r \geq 3 \); \( (h, r) = 1 \); \( I = \{0, 1/2, 1, \ldots, (r - 2)/2\} \); the symbol is the slightly normalized \( q \)-deformation of the Racah-Wigner 6\( j \)-symbol (see [KR1]);

\[
u_i = \pi \sqrt{-1}(i - i(i + 1)hr^{-1}), \quad v_i = (-1)^{2i}(q_0^{2i+1} - q_0^{-2i-1})(q_0 - q_0^{-1})^{-1},
\]

where \( q_0 = \exp(\pi \sqrt{-1}h/r) \); a triple \((i, j, k)\) belongs to \( \text{adm} \) if \( r - 2 \geq i + j + k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( |i - j| \leq k \leq i + j \). For colored links in \( S^3 \) the corresponding face model on \( S^2 \) produces the values in \( \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}h/2r) \) of the invariants considered in Sect. 3, the case \( A = U_q(sl_2) \).

Using shadow links on \( S^2 \) with complex gleams, and using the shadow version of the Kirby-Fenn-Rourke moves one may define shadow 3-manifolds (over \( \mathbb{C} \)) and the corresponding shadow version of the Jones-Witten TQFT. A remarkable property of shadow links and 3-manifolds over \( \mathbb{C} \) is that one may continuously deform their topological types.
7. Simplicial Models

By a simplicial model I mean a statistical model on triangulations of polyhedra producing a topological invariant. Such a model for 3-manifolds was introduced in [TV1]. The geometric result underlying the model is the theorem of J. Alexander applicable in all dimensions: any two triangulations of a compact PL-manifold may be related by star subdivisions and their inverses. (Note that each 3-manifold admits a essentially unique PL-structure.) The algebraic data for the model is the same as in Sect. 6. The model gives rise to a 3-dimensional TQFT defined for non-oriented (possibly, non-orientable) 3-cobordisms (see [TV1]), and 3-cobordisms with links sitting inside (see [Tu6]). In particular for links in \( S^3 \) one gets a simplicial model on the link exteriors producing the values of the Jones polynomial in the roots of unity.

A state \( \eta \) on a triangulated compact 3-manifold \( N \) is an \( I \)-valued function on the set of edges of \( N \). For a 3-simplex \( T \) of \( N \) denote by \( |T|_\eta \) the symbol of the 6-tuple consisting of the \( \eta \)-colors of edges of a 2-face of \( T \) followed by the \( \eta \)-colors of the opposite edges of \( T \). Put

\[
|N, \partial N|_\eta = w^{-2\alpha} \prod_{e} v_{\eta(e)} \prod_{T} |T|_\eta
\]

where \( e, T \) run respectively over all edges and 3-simplexes of \( N \); \( \alpha \) is the number of vertexes of \( N \); \( w \) is a constant computed from the algebraic data. For a closed \( N \) the state sum \( |N| = \Sigma_\eta |N|_\eta \) is a topological invariant of \( N \) if the algebraic data satisfy simple conditions which mimic the orthogonality relation and Biedenharn-Eliot identity for 6j-symbols. Under the same algebraic conditions one similarly constructs for each 3-cobordism \( (N, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) \) a topologically invariant linear operator \( Q(\Sigma_1) \to Q(\Sigma_2) \) where \( Q(\Sigma) \) is a finite-dimensional Euclidean space associated with any closed surface \( \Sigma \) (see [TV1]). Elements of \( Q(\Sigma) \) are geometrically represented by \( I \)-colored 3-valent graphs imbedded in \( \Sigma \).

The invariant \( N \mapsto |N| \) extends to \( I \)-colored framed links in \( N \) with oriented neighborhoods. Let \( L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_m \subset N \) be such a link with \( m \) components (here \( \partial N = \emptyset \)). Let \( U \) be a closed regular neighborhood of \( L \). Put \( M = \overline{N \setminus U} \) and triangulate \( M \). Put \( \Sigma = \partial M = m(S^1 \times S^1) \) and equip \( \Sigma \) with the triangulation induced by that of \( M \) and the orientation induced by that of \( U \). Let \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m \subset \Sigma \) be meridians of \( L_1, \ldots, L_m \).

For a sequence \( j = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in I^m \) equip each \( \psi_r \) with the color \( i_r \) and denote by \( \psi_j \) the union of these \( m \) colored loops on \( \Sigma \). Denote by \( L' \) the family of \( m \) colored loops on \( \Sigma \) obtained by shifting \( L \) along its framing. Denote by \( y \) the 3-valent graph on \( \Sigma \) formed by the segments \( \{e^*\} \) dual to edges \( \{e\} \) of \( \Sigma \). Here \( e^* \) crosses \( e \) once and connects the barycenters of the two 2-simplexes of \( \Sigma \) meeting along \( e \). For a state \( \eta \) of \( M \) denote by \( y^\eta \) the graph \( y \) with the coloring \( e^* \mapsto \eta(e) \).

Put

\[
|N, L| = w^{2-2m} \sum_{j=(i_1, \ldots, i_m)} \left( \prod_{r=1}^{m} v_{i_r} \sum_{\eta} |M, \partial M|_{\eta} \langle y^\eta |L'|_{\psi_j} \rangle \right)
\]

where the last multiple is the state sum invariant of the shadow graph \( s = y^\eta \cup L' \cup \psi_j \) on \( \Sigma \). Here \( y \) is assumed to lie in general position with respect to \( L' \cup \psi_j \); the gleam of a component \( X \) of \( \Sigma \setminus s \) is defined as follows: looking onto \( \partial X \) compute the number of passages from \( \psi_j \) to \( L' \) and from \( L' \) to \( y \), subtract the
number of opposite passages, and divide by 2 (the orientation in $\partial X$ is specified by that of $X \subset \Sigma$). Topological invariance of $[N,L]$ is ensured by the conditions mentioned above and the Racah identity for the symbol.

One may generalize this model to models derived from modular Hopf algebras or modular tensor categories. The definition of $[N,L]$ may be reformulated in terms of simple spines of 3-manifolds and link diagrams on the spines which makes computations more accessible.

The initial data associated with $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1h/r})$ in Sect. 6 satisfy all necessary algebraic equations. The relationships between the corresponding invariants $|N| \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_U(N) \in \mathbb{C}$ (defined for oriented $N$) are the following: $|N|$ equals $\tau_U(N)\overline{\tau_U(N)}$ (up to normalization). For links in $S^3$ the approaches of Sect. 2–3, Sect. 6, and Sect. 7 are equivalent. In particular, if all components of a framed link $L \subset S^3$ are provided with the color $1/2 \in I$ and the right-hand orientation of neighborhoods then $|S^3,L|$ is equal to the value of the Kauffman bracket polynomial $<L>$ in $\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1h/2r})$.

The invariant $|N|$ corresponding to $q$ may be refined to an invariant $|N,A|$ where $A \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ (see [TV1]). It is equal to the sum of $|N|_\eta$ over $\eta$ such that the 1-cocycle $e \mapsto 2\eta(e) \mod 2$ presents $A$. Clearly, $|N| = \Sigma_A |N,A|$. For oriented closed $N$ and even $r$ one has $|N,\theta| = \sum_\theta \tau_U(N;\theta)\tau_U(N;\overline{\theta} + \overline{A})$.

Both $\tau_U(N)$ and $|N|$ have “physical” meaning: they are mathematical versions of invariants which come up respectively in the 3-dimensional quantum field theory with non-abelian Chern-Simons action (see [Wi1]) and (in the limit $q \to 1$) in 3-dimensional quantum gravity (see e.g. [HP1]).
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In this talk we shall explain a minimal model program for arbitrary dimensional algebraic varieties over a field $k$ of characteristic zero. Starting with the working hypothesis on the finite generatedness of the canonical ring, we shall discuss the process to obtain minimal and canonical models (we refer the reader to [KMM] for more general argument, or [Sh2] for more brief survey from the same point of view). We shall also review some recent results on the boundedness of indices of singularities in dimension $3$.

We shall put emphasis on the idea of logarithmic formalism, which provides natural setup for the statements and proofs of new theorems such as vanishing or cone-contraction theorems, rather than just generalizations of previously known results. Many results will be obtained simultaneously for the log and non-log cases. There will also be applications to the reverse direction from the log case to the non-log case.

§ 1. Log-canonical Divisors

1.1 Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety over $k$ and $K_X$ the canonical divisor, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) = \det \Omega^1_X$. The canonical ring $R(X) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, mK_X)$ is the fundamental birational invariant of $X$, and the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ is defined to be trans. deg, $R(X) - 1$ if $R(X) \neq k$ and $-\infty$ otherwise. Thus $\kappa(X) \leq \dim X$, and if the equality holds, then $X$ is said to be of general type. The basic question is the following:

**Question 1.** Is $R(X)$ a finitely generated graded $k$-algebra?
arbitrary characteristic, but our result in dimension 3 needs the assumption that the characteristic is zero because we use the vanishing theorem of Kodaira type.

1.2 The key word of our investigation is the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor. A \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D = \sum_{j=1}^{t} d_j D_j \) is a formal sum of distinct prime divisors \( D_j \) on a normal variety \( X \) with rational coefficients \( d_j \). The integral part \( [D] = \sum [d_j] D_j \) is a usual Weil divisor. \( D \) is said to be \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier if \( mD \) is a Cartier divisor for some positive integer \( m \). \( X \) is called \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial if an arbitrary prime divisor on \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier.

The intersection number \( (D \cdot C) \in \mathbb{Q} \) of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D \) and a complete curve \( C \) on \( X \) is defined by \( (D \cdot C) = (mD \cdot C)/m \). \( D \) is said to be nef (or numerically semipositive) if \( (D \cdot C) \geq 0 \) for all \( C \).

1.3 Let us define the notion of the log-canonical divisor. Let \( X \) be a nonsingular variety and \( B = \sum_{j=1}^{r} D_j \) a normal crossing divisor on \( X \). The sheaf of logarithmic 1-forms \( \Omega_X^1(\log B) \) is a locally free sheaf with a local basis \( dx_1/x_1, \ldots, dx_r/x_r, dx_{r+1}, \ldots, dx_n \) if \( B \) is defined by \( \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_j = 0 \) for a local parameter system \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \). Corresponding to its determinant sheaf, we define the log-canonical divisor to be \( K_X + B \), which is related to questions concerning the open variety \( X - B \).

But more important is its \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor version \( K_X + D \) with \( D = \sum_{j=1}^{t} d_j D_j \) for some rational numbers \( d_j \) which satisfy the inequalities \( 0 < d_j < 1 \), i.e., \( D \) is effective and \( [D] = 0 \). Here, the experience shows that the conditions on the \( d_j \) are necessary to avoid counterexamples. This \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor version of the log-canonical divisor is related to the covering spaces. For example, if \( f: X \rightarrow Y \) is a morphism of affine lines \( X = Y = \mathbb{A}^1 \) given by \( f^*(y) = x^e \), then \( K_X = f^*(K_Y + (e - 1)/e \cdot B) \) for \( B = \text{div}(y) \).

In this paper a smooth pair \((X, D)\) is meant to be a pair consisting of a nonsingular projective variety \( X \) and an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on it such that \( [D] = 0 \) and \( \text{Supp}(D) \) has only normal crossings. We can extend our question to the log-canonical ring of a smooth pair \((X, D)\) defined by \( R(X, D) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, [m(K_X + D)]) \). \((X, D)\) is said to be of log-general type if trans. deg \( R(X, D) = \dim X + 1 \).

**Question 2.** Is \( R(X, D) \) finitely generated?

The answer is known to be "yes" only in dimension 2 [Ka1, Fu2].

1.4 We shall explain Fujita's reduction ([Fu3], also [Mo3], [N]) which reduces Question 1 (non-log-case) for a variety \( X \) with \( 0 \leq \kappa(X) < \dim X \) (or even Question 2 for a smooth pair \((X, C)\), see [Mw]) to Question 2 (log-case) for another smooth pair \((Y, D)\) of log-general type such that \( \dim Y = \kappa(X) \).

After replacing \( X \) by a suitable birational model, one can find a proper surjective morphism \( f: X \rightarrow Y \) with connected fibers to a nonsingular projective variety \( Y \), a
Q-divisor $D = D' + D''$ on $Y$, and a positive integer $d$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $D'$ is nef,
(b) $(Y, D')$ is a smooth pair and $f$ is smooth over $Y - \text{Supp}(D''),$
(c) $\dim Y = \kappa(X)$ and $(Y, D)$ is of log-general type,
(d) $f^*: H^0(Y, [d \cdot m(K_Y + D)]) \cong H^0(X, d \cdot m K_X)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D'$ comes from the moduli of fibers of $f$. The property (a) is proved by using the theory of variation of Hodge structures ([Fu1] if dim $Y = 1$, and [Ka2] in general by using [G]). The degenerations of the fibers of $f$ give rise to $D''$. The contribution of semistable degenerations to $D''$ is zero. So by the semistable reduction in codimension one, we understand that the coefficients of $D''$ are dominated by those of coverings, hence less than one. Then by using Kodaira's lemma (cf. [Ka3]), one can reduce Question 1 for $X$ to Question 2 for some lower dimensional smooth pair.

§ 2. Log-Canonical Model

2.1 In higher dimensional algebraic geometry, varieties must be allowed to have certain mild singularities. Let $X$ be a normal variety and $D = \sum_{j=1}^{t} d_jD_j$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $[D] = 0$. The pair $(X, D)$ is said to have only log-terminal singularities, or simply $(X, D)$ is called log-terminal, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier,
(b) for a resolution of singularities ([H]) $\mu: Y \to X$ with a normal crossing divisor $\sum_{i=1}^{t+s} E_i$ on $Y$ such that the $E_i (1 \leq i \leq t)$ are the strict transforms of the $D_i$ and the $E_i (t+1 \leq i \leq t+s)$ are all the prime divisors such that $\text{codim}_X \mu(E_i) \geq 2$, if we write

$$K_Y + \sum_{i=1}^{t+s} E_i = \mu^*(K_X + D) + \sum_{i=1}^{t+s} a_iE_i \tag{*}$$

then we have $a_i > 0$ for all $i$.

The rational numbers $a_i$ are called log-discrepancy coefficients. Note that $a_i = 1 - d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. In the case $D = 0$, considering the formula

$$K_Y = \mu^*K_X + \sum_{i=t+1}^{t+s} b_iE_i, \tag{**}$$

we say that $X$ has only terminal (resp. canonical) singularities, if $b_i > 0$ (resp. $b_i \geq 0$) hold for all $i$. Since $b_i = a_i - 1$, we have the implications

$$X : \text{terminal} \Rightarrow X : \text{canonical} \Rightarrow (X, 0) : \text{log-terminal}.$$ 

If $(X, D)$ is log-terminal and $D^\#$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $X$, then the pair $(X, D + \varepsilon D^\#)$ is also log-terminal for a sufficiently small positive rational number $\varepsilon$. 
For example, if \( \dim X = 2 \), then

\[
X : \text{terminal} \iff \text{nonsingular}
\]

\[
X : \text{canonical} \iff \text{rational double points } \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma, \Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})
\]

\[
(X, 0) : \text{log-terminal} \iff \text{quotient singularities } \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma, \Gamma \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}).
\]

Though the above definitions are simple, it is hard to understand what these singularities really are. All quotient singularities of any dimension are log-terminal (with \( D = 0 \)), but there are a lot more of them. In dimension 3, a detailed classification of terminal singularities are obtained by [MS], [D] and especially [Mo2]. The results in [Mo2] were fundamental for the success of Mori's flip theorem in dimension 3 ([Mo4]). But it seems too difficult to classify canonical or log-terminal singularities even in dimension 3. A structure theorem of three-dimensional canonical singularities is proved in [Ka8], and it was one of the key steps toward the flip theorem in [Mo4]. In general, we know only that if \((X, D)\) is a log-terminal pair, then \(X\) has only rational singularities (Elkik [E], also [Fu4]).

2.2 Since \( R(X) \) is determined by the function field \( k(X) \), one might say that Question 1 is a purely algebraic one. But the solutions so far are geometric. In fact, the affirmative answer to Question 2 for a smooth pair \((X, D)\) of log-general type is equivalent to the existence of the log-canonical model of \((X, D)\); a pair \((X', D')\) of a normal projective variety and a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor is said to be the log-canonical model of \((X, D)\) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) \((X', D')\) has only log-terminal singularities,
(b) \(K_{X'} + D'\) is an ample \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier divisor,
(c) there exists a birational map \(\gamma : X \to X'\) which is surjective in codimension one (i.e., every prime divisor on \(X'\) has a strict transform on \(X\)),
(d) \(\gamma_* D = D'\) and \(K_X + D \geq \gamma^*(K_{X'} + D')\), where \(\gamma_*\) is the direct image homomorphism of codimension one cycles and \(\gamma^* = \alpha_* \beta^*\) if \(\beta = \gamma \alpha\) for birational morphisms \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\).

If \(R(X, D)\) is finitely generated, then we set \(X' = \text{Proj}(R(X, D))\) (cf. [R]). Conversely, the condition (d) implies that \(R(X, D)\) is isomorphic to the log-canonical ring \(R(X', D')\), which is similarly defined for the log-terminal pair. Hence the existence of the log-canonical model implies the finite generatedness.

§ 3. Minimal Model Program

3.1 We want to construct the log-canonical model via log-minimal models. A log-minimal model \((X', D')\) of a smooth pair \((X, D)\) is a pair which satisfies (a), (c), (d) of (2.2) and (b') \(K_{X'} + D'\) is nef.

A log-minimal model is defined just by a numerical property that \(K + D\) is nef. But it has a good geometric property at least if it is of log-general type:
Theorem (Kawamata, Benveniste, Shokurov). If \((X, D)\) is a log-minimal model of log-general type, then there exists a positive integer \(m\) such that the linear system \(|m(K_X + D)|\) is free. Therefore, the locus on \(X\) where \(K_X + D\) is numerically trivial is contractible, and \(R(X, D)\) is finitely generated.

3.2 Starting from an arbitrary smooth pair \((X, D)\) of log-general type, we should reduce the locus where the log-canonical divisor is numerically negative in order to obtain a log-minimal model. The key idea of the minimal model program is to look at the contraction morphisms associated with extremal rays, or the extremal contractions ([Mo1]). In the following, we combine cone and contraction theorems; in fact, they are proved at the same time ([Ka6]).

Conic-Contraction Theorem (Mori, Kawamata, Reid, Shokurov). Let \(X\) be a normal projective \(Q\)-factorial variety and \(D\) a \(Q\)-divisor such that the pair \((X, D)\) has only log-terminal singularities. Suppose that \(K_X + D\) is not nef. Then there exists a projective surjective morphism \(\varphi : X \to Y\) with connected fibers to a normal projective variety \(Y\) such that (1) \(-(K_X + D)\) is \(\varphi\)-ample, and (2) \(\varphi(X/Y) = 1\) (i.e., \(\varphi\) is not an isomorphism, and for any two curves \(C_i\) \((i = 1, 2)\) with \(\varphi(C_i)\) points, there exists a rational number \(q\) such that \((B \cdot C_1) = q(B \cdot C_2)\) for all prime divisors \(B\) on \(X\).

In the course of the proof of the above two theorems, the log-discrepancy coefficients \(a_i\) play a guiding role. The proof is by induction, and we need the relative version of the cone-contraction theorem over a base space \(S\) in order to have Property (2) (cf. [Ka6]).

3.3 \(\varphi\) is called an extremal contraction. We define its degenerate locus \(E = \text{Exc}(\varphi) = \{x \in X; \varphi\) is not an isomorphism at \(x\}\). \(\varphi\) is a birational morphism if and only if \(E \not= X\). There are three types of \(\varphi\) as follows.

(a) Fibering type: \(\dim Y < \dim X\). Then \(R(X, D) = k\).

(b) Divisorial contraction: \(E\) is a prime divisor. Then \(Y\) is again \(Q\)-factorial and \((Y, \varphi_* D)\) is log-terminal. So we have a log-minimal model or apply the cone-contraction theorem again.

(c) Small contraction: \(\text{codim}_X E \geq 2\). The log-flip conjecture asserts that there exists another projective birational morphism \(\varphi^+ : X^+ \to Y\) such that \(\text{codim}_{X^+} \text{Exc}(\varphi^+) \geq 2\) and \(K_{X^+} + D^+\) is \(\varphi^+\)-ample, where \(D^+\) is the strict transform of \(D\). Then \((X^+, D^+)\) is again \(Q\)-factorial and log-terminal. The birational transformation \(X \dashrightarrow X^+\) is called the log-flip of \(\varphi\).

Given a smooth pair, if the log-flip conjecture is true, and if there does not exist an infinite chain of successive log-flips (termination conjecture), then we obtain a log-minimal model after a finite number of birational transformations of divisorial contractions and log-flips.

Note that the log-flip conjecture is a special case of Question 2, because \(X^+\) should coincide with \(\text{Proj}(\mathcal{H}(X/Y, D))\), where the relative log-canonical ring \(\mathcal{H}(X/Y, D) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X([m(K_X + D)])\) is a graded \(\mathcal{O}_Y\)-algebra. In particular, the conjecture is local. It is easy to see that an affirmative answer to Question 2 implies the finite generatedness of \(\mathcal{H}(X/Y, D)\).
A flip is a log-flip in the case where $D = 0$ and $X$ has only terminal singularities. The existence of flips in dimension 3 is proved in [Mo4]. If $X$ is a nonsingular and of dimension 4, the flip exists by [Ka9]. Shokurov has some idea toward the log-flip conjecture in dimension 3.

One can prove that $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$ is covered by a family of rational curves ([Ka11, appendix] by using the vanishing theorem explained below and [MM]). As an application, Wilson [W] proved that there exists a rational curve on any Calabi-Yau 3-fold $X$ whose Picard number is greater than 19. In fact, he proved the existence of an effective Cartier divisor $D$ which is not nef. Since $(X, \varepsilon D)$ is log-terminal for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, the cone-contraction theorem yields the result.

3.4 The following generalization [Ka3, V] of the Kodaira vanishing theorem is essential in the proof of the above theorems.

**Vanishing Theorem** (Kawamata, Viehweg). Let $(X, D)$ be a smooth pair and $L$ a Cartier divisor on $X$. If $L - (K_X + D)$ is ample, then $H^i(X, L) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

The idea of the proof is as follows. One constructs a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D'$ on $X$ and a Galois covering $\pi: Y \to X$ from a nonsingular projective variety $Y$ such that $\pi^*D$ is a usual divisor, $(X, D')$ is a smooth pair, $K_Y = \pi^*(K_X + D')$ and $D \leq D'$ ([Ka2]). Then by [Kod], $H^i(Y, \pi^*(L + D' - D)) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Taking the Gal($Y/X$)-invariants, we obtain the theorem.

One can extend the theorem to the case where the pair $(X, D)$ is log-terminal ([KMM]). As an application, if $L - K_X$ is nef and big (i.e., $(L - K_X)^{\dim X} > 0$), then $L - (K_X + \varepsilon B)$ is ample for some effective divisor $B$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ by Kodaira’s lemma, hence the vanishing (cf. [Ka3]).

§ 4. Flip to Flop

4.1 In the notation of (3.3), a flop is a special kind of log-flip where $X$ has only canonical singularities and $K_X$ is numerically trivial along the fibers of $\varphi$. We can take $D$ to be a small $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $(X, D)$ is log-terminal and $-D$ is $\varphi$-ample, since $\varphi$ is an isomorphism in codimension one.

Given $\varphi : X \to Y$, the existence of flops in dimension 3 is proved by [R2] in the case where $X$ has only terminal singularities and by [Ka8] in general. By [Ka8] and [Ko1], if $X$ and $X'$ are birationally equivalent minimal 3-folds (i.e., $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective 3-folds with terminal singularities and nef canonical divisors), there exists a sequence of flops which connects $X$ and $X'$. In particular, a 3-fold of general type has only a finite number of minimal models ([KM]). Flops of 3-folds with terminal singularities are geometrically symmetric and preserves the analytic types of singularities ([Ko1]). But they are not symmetric in general even in dimension 3. In dimension $> 3$, the dimensions of $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$ and $\text{Exc}(\varphi^+)$ may also be different.

4.2 There are two approaches to make flips from flops. The first method uses double coverings. Given a small contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$ with $\varphi$-ample $-K_X$ as in
we replace $Y$ by its affine open subset, since flip is local. We take double covers $\tilde{X} \to X$ and $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ which ramify along a general element $B_x \in |-2K_X|$ and its image $B_y \in |-2K_Y|$, respectively. The morphism $\varphi$ induces a small contraction $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ such that $K_{\tilde{X}}$ is numerically trivial for $\tilde{\varphi}$. So if one can prove that $\tilde{X}$ has only canonical singularities, then the existence of flop $\tilde{X} \to X^+$ produces a flip $X \to X^+$ as the $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$-quotient. This approach is first found in [Ka8] to prove the existence of a flop for semistable degeneration of surfaces, and then applied to general 3-folds in [Mo4].

The second approach is the cone construction. Given $\varphi : X \to Y$ as before, we take the “total spaces” of $K$; we let $C_X = \text{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X) \right)$ and $C_Y = \text{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_Y(-mK_Y) \right)$. Here, both graded algebras are finitely generated, since $-K_X$ is a $\varphi$-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. One can prove that $C_X$ has only canonical singularities and $K_{C_X}$ is numerically trivial for the induced morphism $C_\varphi : C_X \to C_Y$. Thus if the flop $C_X \to (C_X)^+$ exists, the strict transform $X^+$ of $X$ gives the flip in one dimension less.

§ 5. Bounding the Indices

5.1 The index $r$ of a terminal singularity is the smallest positive integer such that $rK$ is a Cartier divisor. For example, the cyclic quotient singularity $\mathbb{C}^3/\langle (\zeta^r, \zeta^s, \zeta^t) \rangle$ for $\zeta = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}/r)$ and $(r, a) = 1$ has index $r$. By [Mo2], an arbitrary terminal singularity of dimension 3 has a small deformation with only quotient singularities of the above type. Note that these quotient singularities are rigid [Sch].

We have to deal with singular varieties in dimension 3, and so results on nonsingular varieties must be modified. Let $X$ be a projective 3-fold with only terminal singularities. Then we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{1}{24} \left\{ c_1c_2 + \sum_{r_p} (r_p - 1/r_p) \right\},$$

where $c_1c_2 = -\langle \mu^*K_X, c_2(Y) \rangle$ for a resolution $\mu : Y \to X$, the summation is taken over all virtual quotient singularities of $X$, where singular points of $X$ are replaced by its generic small deformations, and $r_p$ are their indices ([Ka7, R3]). More generally, Fletcher calculated the correction terms for $\chi(mK_X)$ ([FI]).

As applications of the above formula, we have some boundedness results. By [Ka7] and [Ms], if $K_X$ is numerically trivial, then $12K_X \sim 0$ if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$ and $120K_X \sim 0$ if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$.

By [Ka10], there exist universal constants $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that if $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold (a projective 3-fold having only terminal singularities and ample anti-canonical divisor $-K_X$) with Picard number $\rho = 1$, then $m_1K_X$ is a Cartier divisor and $(-K_X)^3 \leq m_2$. Hence all the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds with $\rho = 1$ form a bounded family.

Let $S$ be a minimal nonsingular projective surface with $\kappa(S) = 1$, $f : X \to A = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} ; |t| < 1 \}$ a relative minimal model of a semistable degeneration of surfaces
such that $f^{-1}(t) (t \neq 0)$ are deformations of $S$, and $m_i (i = 1, \ldots, e)$ the multiplicities of multiple fibers of the elliptic fibration of $S$. If $m = \text{l.c.m.} \{12, m_i\}$, then $mK_S$ is a Cartier divisor by [Ka11]. We note that a similar boundedness result for the case $\kappa(S) = 2$ would yield a geometric compactification of the moduli space of such surfaces (cf. [KSB]).
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The study of smooth projective varieties naturally breaks into two parts. One part is to study the relationship between birationally equivalent varieties and the other part is to study the different birational equivalence classes. The aim of this talk is to review some interesting features of birational equivalence for three dimensional varieties over $\mathbb{C}$.

For smooth projective curves birational equivalence implies isomorphism, hence the first part of the problem is not interesting.

For smooth projective surfaces the simplest birational transformation is the blowing up. One removes a point of a surface $S$ and replaces it with a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the local complex directions at the removed point. Any birational equivalence is a composite of blowing ups and their inverses. There are no other kinds of birational transformations.

In dimension three one can blow up points and smooth curves. It is conjectured that any birational transformation is a composite of blowing ups and their inverses, though at the moment no one seems to know how to prove this. However it became clear in the last decade that even if the above factorisation is possible it may not be the best way of factoring birational transformations. A large theory has been developed by several people, I refer to the talk of (Mori 1990) in the same volume for a general overview. My aim in this talk is to concentrate on certain special birational transformations between threefolds, called flip and flop.

For technical reasons it is natural to investigate these transformations not only for smooth threefolds but also for certain singular ones. The smallest class of singularities that allows the theory to work well is given by the following definition:

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a normal variety and let $f : X' \to X$ be a resolution of singularities with exceptional divisors $E_i \subset X'$. Assume that $\mathcal{O}(mK_X)$ is locally free for some $m > 0$. The smallest such $m$ will be called the index of $X$. One can write
\( \mathcal{O}(mK_X) \cong f^* \mathcal{O}(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum a_i E_i) \),

for some integers \( a_i \). We say that \( X \) has terminal (resp. canonical) singularities if \( a_i > 0 \) (resp. \( a_i \geq 0 \)) for every \( i \). The survey paper (Reid 1987) is the best source of information concerning terminal (and canonical) singularities.

The informal definition of flip or flop is the following. Let \( X \) be a threefold and let \( C \subset X \) be a compact curve. Remove \( C \) from \( X \) and try to replace it with another compact curve \( C^+ \) in such a way that \( C^+ \cup (X - C) \) becomes a threefold \( X^+ \). To avoid the unexciting possibility of \( C = C^+ \) we require that \( X \) and \( X^+ \) be nonisomorphic. Such an \( X^+ \) need not exist at all and it also need not be unique. It turns out that the nature of the transformation \( X \rightarrow X^+ \) depends on the sign of \( C \cdot K_X \). The \( C \cdot K_X = 0 \) case is called flop, the \( C \cdot K_X < 0 \) case called flip. Very little is known about the case \( C \cdot K_X > 0 \) and I will not consider it at all.

The formal definitions are the following:

**Definition 2.** (2.1) A three dimensional curve neighborhood is a pair \( C \subset X \) where \( C \) is a proper connected curve and \( X \) is the germ of a normal threefold along \( C \). One can think of \( X \) as an analytic representative of the germ.

(2.2) A three dimensional curve neighborhood \( C \subset X \) is called contractible if there is a morphism \( f : C \subset X \rightarrow P \subset Y \) satisfying the following properties:

(i) \( Y \) is the germ of a normal singularity around the point \( P \);

(ii) \( f(C) = P \);

(iii) \( f : X - C \rightarrow Y - P \) is an isomorphism.

\( f \) and \( Y \) are uniquely determined by \( C \subset X \). \( f \) is called the contraction morphism of \( C \subset X \).

(2.3) Two three dimensional curve neighborhoods \( C_i \subset X_i \) are called bimeromorphic if there is an isomorphism \( (X_1 - C_1) \cong (X_2 - C_2) \).

(2.4) Let \( C_1 \subset X_1 \) be a three dimensional curve neighborhood. Let \( H_1 \) be a line bundle such that \( H_1^{-1}|C_1 \) is ample. A three dimensional curve neighborhood \( C_2 \subset X_2 \) bimeromorphic to \( C_1 \subset X_1 \) is called the opposite of \( C_1 \subset X_1 \) with respect to \( H_1 \) if there is a line bundle \( H_2 \) on \( X_2 \) such that \( H_2|C_2 \) is ample and

\[
(X_2 - C_2, H_2^n|X_2 - C_2) \cong (X_1 - C_1, H_1^n|X_1 - C_1),
\]

for some positive integers \( m, n \). By (Kollár 1991, 2.1.6) the opposite is unique, though it need not exist.

**Definition 3.** Let \( f : C \subset X \rightarrow P \subset Y \) be a three dimensional contractible curve neighborhood. Assume that \( X \) has terminal singularities and that \( K_X|C = 0 \). Let \( H \) be a line bundle on \( X \) such that \( H^{-1}|C \) is ample.

The opposite of \( C \subset X \) (if it exists) will be called the flop of \( C \subset X \). (It turns out that the flop is independent of the choice of \( H \).)

**Definition 4.** Let \( f : C \subset X \rightarrow P \subset Y \) be a three dimensional contractible curve neighborhood. Assume that \( X \) has terminal singularities and that \( -K_X|C \) is ample.
The opposite of $C \subset X$ with respect to $K_X$ (if it exists) will be called the flip of $C \subset X$.

The simplest example of flops is the following:

**Example 5.** Let $V$ be the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1,-1)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Both of the projections $\pi_i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ can be extended to morphisms

$$p_i : (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset V) \rightarrow (C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X_i).$$

It is easy to see that $X_i$ is smooth and the normal bundle of $C_i \subset X_i$ is $\mathcal{O}(-1) + \mathcal{O}(-1)$. $p_2 \circ p_1^{-1} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is bimeromorphic but it is not an isomorphism.

This example was first noticed by (Atiyah 1958). It was used in a systematic way by (Kulikov 1977) to study the birational transformations of threefolds that have a basepoint-free pencil of K3 surfaces.

Examples of flips are much more difficult to present since $X$ cannot be smooth. A fairly exhaustive list is given in (Kollár-Mori 1991).

From the logical point of view (which is the reverse of the historical order) the theory of flips and flops has three large parts.

I. Prove that flops and flips exist.

II. Describe $C^+ \subset X^+$ in terms of $C \subset X$ as precisely as possible.

III. Applications.

The answer to part I is very simple to state:

**Theorem 6** (Reid 1983). *Fllops exist.*

**Theorem 7** (Mori 1988). *Flips exist.*

The existence of flips is one of the most difficult results in three dimensional geometry; see (Kollár 1990a) for an introduction. Previously an important special case was settled by (Tsunoda 1987; Shokurov 1985; Mori 1985; Kawamata 1988) using different methods. (The order corresponds to the order in which the proofs were announced. The only complete published version is the last one.)

It is interesting to note that the above proofs provide very little information beyond the existence of $X^+$. Thus the answer to part II proceeds along very different lines.

For flops there is a detailed structure theory. This is based on the following observation:

**Theorem 8** (Mori 1987). Let $f : C \subset X \rightarrow P \subset Y$ be a three dimensional contractible curve neighborhood such that $K_X|C = 0$. Assume in addition that $X$ is smooth (or that it has only index one terminal singularities). Then $Y$ embedds into $\mathbb{C}^3$ and in suitable local coordinates its equation can be written as $x^2 + h(y,z,t)$
for some power series \( h \). Let \( \tau : Y \rightarrow Y \) be the involution \( (x,y,z,t) \mapsto (-x,y,z,t) \). Then

\[
(f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Y) \cong (\tau \circ f : X \rightarrow Y).
\]

In particular, \( X^+ \) is smooth iff \( X \) is smooth.

If \( X \) has higher index points then the symmetry breaks down in some cases. However in these cases another explicit description is possible, see (Kollár 1989; Kollár 1991, 2.2.2). There are always many similarities between \( X \) and \( X^+ \):

**Theorem 9.** Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be projective threefolds with terminal singularities only. Assume that \( X_2 \) is obtained from \( X_1 \) by a sequence of flops. The following objects do not depend on \( j \) (up to isomorphism):

1. The intersection homology groups \( \text{IH}^k(X_j, \mathbb{C}) \) together with their Hodge structures (Kollár 1989, 4.12).
2. The collection of analytic singularities of \( X_j \) (Kollár 1989, 4.11).
3. The miniversal deformation space \( \text{Def} X_j \) (Kollár-Mori 1991, 12.6).
4. The integral cohomology groups \( H^k(X_j, \mathbb{Z}) \) (Kollár 1991, 3.2.2).
5. \( \text{Pic} X_j \subset \text{Weil} X_j \) (Kollár 1991, 3.2.2).
6. \( h^0(X_j, \mathcal{O}(D)) \) for every Weil divisor \( D \).
7. \( h^i(X, \mathcal{O}(mK_X)) \) for every \( i \) and \( m \).

The isomorphisms are canonical except possibly for (9.2).

Describing \( C^+ \subset X^+ \) in terms of \( C \subset X \) is harder for flips. The first breakthrough was a result of (Shokurov 1985) which showed that the singularities of \( X^+ \) are less "difficult" than the singularities of \( X \) in a complicated technical sense. For a given singularity the "difficulty" is not easy to compute but the definition is very well suited to inductive proofs.

It turns out that the situation is very complicated. The problem is that the singularities of \( X^+ \) depend not only on the singularities of \( X \) but also on the global structure of \( X \). If \( X \) is given by coordinate patches and transition functions along \( C \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \) then the singularities of \( X^+ \) do not depend continuously on the transition functions. This makes any description very subtle. Several special cases are worked out in (Kollár-Mori 1991); many more – but not all – cases are treated in unpublished works of Mori. I would like to mention one pathology that seems interesting:

**Proposition 10** (Kollár-Mori 1991, 13.5, 13.7). Let \( C^+ \subset X^+ \) be the flip of \( C \subset X \). Then the number of irreducible components of \( C^+ \) is less than or equal to the number of irreducible components of \( C \). For every \( m > 0 \) there are examples where \( C^+ \) is irreducible and \( C \) has \( m \) irreducible components.

Let us now turn to some of the applications. The most important application of flops is the following result which shows that birational maps between certain threefolds can be factored into a sequence of flops. This factorisation is much more useful than a factorisation into blowing ups and downs would be. Its
importance is clear only in light of the minimal model theory of threefolds (see e.g. (Mori 1990)).

**Theorem 11** (Reid 1983; Kawamata 1988; Kollár 1989). *Let* $X_1$ *and* $X_2$ *be normal projective threefolds with terminal singularities only. Assume that they have* $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial singularities. Assume furthermore that* $K_{X_i}$ *has nonnegative intersection number with any curve* $C \subset X_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$. *Then any birational map* $f : X_1 \to X_2$ *can be obtained as a composite of flops."

Another application is to compact nonprojective threefolds. Under certain conditions there is a very economical way of finding a birationally equivalent model which is projective.

**Theorem 12** (Kollár 1991, 5.2.3). *Let* $X$ *be a proper algebraic threefold with* $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminal singularities. Assume that* $K_X$ *has nonnegative intersection number with any curve* $C \subset X$. *Then after finitely many flops one obtains a proper algebraic threefold* $X^+$ *with* $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminal singularities such that* $X^+$ *admits a birational morphism* $g : X^+ \to Y$ *onto a projective variety* $Y$. *$Y$ *has terminal singularities and* $g$ *contracts only finitely many curves.*

Flips are one step of Mori's program (also called minimal model program) for threefolds, in fact the most difficult step. Their main importance is thus derived from the importance and applications of the program. See (Kollár 1991) or (Mori 1990) for details.

There are many problems concerning flips even in dimension three. The most interesting open question about flips is the following.

**Conjecture 13.** Reid's Conjecture on General Elephants (Reid 1987; Kollár-Mori 1991). *The contraction map provides a one-to-one correspondence between the following two sets:*  

**Extremal neighborhoods:**  

$$EN := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Three dimensional contractible curve neighborhoods } C \subset X \text{ such that } \\ X \text{ has canonical singularities and } -K_X|C \text{ is ample.} \end{array} \right\}$$

**Flipping singularities:**

$$FS := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Three dimensional normal singularities } P \in Y \text{ such that } K_Y \text{ is not } \\ \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier and some } D \in |-K_Y| \text{ has a Du Val singularity at } P. \end{array} \right\}$$

Reid's original hope was that this equivalence can be used to obtain a proof of the existence of flips. To do this one needs to produce a member of $|-K_Y|$ with Du Val singularity and then to use this member to construct $X^+$. It is still to be seen whether either of these steps can be done in the spirit envisaged by Reid. A proof along these lines would considerably enhance our understanding of flips.
(Kollár-Mori 1991, 3.1) shows that for every flipping singularity there is a corresponding extremal neighborhood. The opposite direction is also proved for extremal neighborhoods with terminal singularities and irreducible \( C \) in (Kollár-Mori 1991, 1.7). The general case probably requires different methods. There is also the possibility that the correspondence is more complicated. The conjecture implies that every non-Gorenstein singularity on an extremal neighborhood is pseudo-terminal. I do not see any a priori reason why this should be so. On the other hand, even certain terminal singularities cannot occur on extremal neighborhoods, thus unexpected restrictions are possible.

The higher dimensional problems are discussed in the talk of (Kawamata 1990).
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In recent years, ideas and methods from the theory of vector bundles have been applied to study some classical sorts of questions concerning linear series on curves, surfaces and other algebraic varieties. Our purpose here is to survey some of the problems to which these and related techniques have been applied.

Many of the results we describe in one way or another involve the equations defining projective varieties. There are several motivations for studying questions along these lines. The first is historical—the equations defining varieties have been of interest to geometers at least since Noether, and it is natural to try to clarify and extend classical results as much as possible. Secondly, there is a “practical” motivation. It has become common for geometers to use computers to analyze explicit varieties, and for the most part the only way to describe a variety to a computer is by giving its equations. Finally, it seems likely that vector bundle methods will play an increasingly important role in the study of linear series, and the classical questions we consider here have proven to be good testing grounds for these techniques.

Limitations of space preclude more than a cursory discussion of methods of proof. We refer to [L3] for an overview of some of these. However we have attempted to survey some of the many interesting open problems in this area. We work throughout over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

§ 1. Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an irreducible variety of dimension $n$, and denote by $\mathcal{I}_X$ the ideal sheaf of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$. Recall that one says that $X$ is $k$-regular if $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X(k - i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ ([M2], [M3]); the regularity $\text{reg}(X)$ of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is the least such $k$. The interest in this concept stems partly from the fact that $\text{reg}(X)$ governs the complexity of computing the syzygies and other invariants of $X$ ([BS1, BS2]). For example, a theorem of Mumford (cf. [EG]) states that $X$ is $k$-regular if and only if for every $p \geq 0$, the minimal generators of the $k$th module of syzygies of the homogeneous

* Partially supported by N.S.F. grant DMS 89-02551.
ideal $I_X$ occur in degrees $\leq k + p$. This has led to a substantial body of work aimed at bounding the regularity of $X$ in terms of its invariants or (more recently) the degrees of its defining equations. In each case there is a fascinating tension between the situation for arbitrary schemes $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ — for which results and examples show that the regularity can be very large — and the geometric situation in which $X$ is smooth or at least reduced, where one expects much stronger statements.

We start with the Castelnuovo problem of bounding the regularity of $X$ in terms of its invariants. For an arbitrary scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, Gotzmann [Gotz, G3] has given a best-possible bound in terms of the Hilbert polynomial of $X$. For instance, if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a curve of degree $d$ and arithmetic genus $g$, his result states that $\text{reg}(X) \leq \binom{d - 1}{2} + d - g$. The question now arises if one can do better assuming $X$ is smooth or reduced.

In practice the main difficulty is to control $H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X(k))$, which is the classical question of whether hypersurfaces of degree $k$ trace out a complete linear series on $X$. A theorem of Castelnuovo asserts that when $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r (r \geq 3)$ is a smooth curve of degree $d$, then $H^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_X(k)) = 0$ for $k \geq d - 2$, and consequently $X$ is $(d - 1)$-regular. This is optimal for curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$, but not in general. In fact, Castelnuovo’s result was completed in [GLP], where vector bundle techniques are used to show that if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a reduced irreducible non-degenerate curve of degree $d$, then $X$ is $(d + 2 - r)$-regular. Furthermore the borderline examples are classified: roughly speaking, $X$ fails to be $(d + 1 - r)$-regular if and only if it has a $(d + 1 + r)$-secant line. This possibility of giving geometric explanations for extremal algebraic behavior is one of the interesting themes in this circle of ideas; another example appears in [G3].

These results for curves have led various people to hope that if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a smooth non-degenerate complex projective variety of degree $d$ and dimension $n$, then $X$ is $(d + n + 1 - r)$-regular [EG]. At least when $r \geq 2n + 1$, this would be the best possible linear inequality. It is proved for surfaces in [P] and [L1], and for 3-folds in [R] when $r \geq 9$. In higher dimensions, the situation is much less clear. There is a rather weak bound of Mumford’s (cf. [BEL, (2.1)]), but new ideas are apparently needed to treat the problem in general. It would already be very interesting to show that $X$ is $d$-regular. There is also no clear understanding of what to expect if $X$ is singular (but reduced and irreducible). For an arbitrary scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, Ravi [Rav] asks whether one has the inequality $\text{reg}(X_{\text{red}}) \leq \text{reg}(X)$; this may be too much to wish for, but it would be nice to settle the matter.

As we have noted, attempts to bound the regularity of a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ are motivated in part by the desire to bound the complexity of computing the syzygies and related invariants of $X$. Bayer has remarked that it is then natural to ask for bounds in terms of the degrees of the defining equations of $X$ — which are usually primary data when one sets out to make an actual computation — rather than the degree of $X$, which may not be so obvious in a given situation. For an arbitrary scheme, this regularity can again be horrendously large: there are examples due to Mayr-Meyer-Bayer-Stillman [BS1] of schemes $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ defined by hypersurfaces of degree $d$ with $\text{reg}(X) \geq (d - 2)^{2r/10}$. However when $X$ is smooth, the regularity grows much more slowly with $d$ and $r$. In fact, it comes as a pleasant
surprise to note that elementary arguments using the Kodaira vanishing theorem lead to the optimal bound in this case:

**Theorem 1.1** [BEL]. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a smooth variety of dimension $n$ and codimension $e$ defined by hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_m$. Then $X$ is $(d_1 + \cdots + d_e - e + 1)$-regular, and $X$ fails to be $(d_1 + \cdots + d_e - e)$-regular if and only if it is the complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_e$.

Note that only the degrees of the first $e = \text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}^r)$ defining equations come into play here. It would be very interesting to know to what extent one can allow $X$ to be singular.

§ 2. Syzygies of Algebraic Varieties

It is useful to think of the results described in the previous section as being extrinsic in nature, in the sense that they refer to a given projective embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, with the main difficulties coming from the fact that $X$ might not be linearly normal. But one can also take a more intrinsic point of view, where one deals with the embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(L))$ defined by the complete linear system associated to a very ample line bundle $L$ on $X$. Here it is usually not so hard to compute $\veg(X)$, so one can ask for more precise information. A very interesting line of inquiry — inaugurated by Green in [Gl] — is to study the syzygies of $X$.

We start with some notation. Let $L$ be a very ample line bundle on a projective variety $X$, defining an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(L))$. Denote by $S = \text{Sym}^* H^0(L)$ the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective space $\mathbb{P}$, and consider the graded $S$-module $R = R(L) = \oplus H^0(X, L^d)$. Let $E_* = E_*(L)$ be a minimal graded free resolution of $R$:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \oplus S(-a_{2,j}) \rightarrow \oplus S(-a_{1,j}) \rightarrow S \oplus \oplus S(-a_{0,j}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.
$$

We have indicated here the fact that $R$ has a canonical generator in degree zero. It is easy to see that all $a_{0,j} \geq 2$ and all $a_{i,j} \geq i + 1$ when $i \geq 1$. To extend classical results on normal generation and presentation we ask when the first few $E_i$ are as simple as possible:

**Definition 2.1.** The line bundle $L$ satisfies property $(N_p)$ if

$E_0 = S$ when $p \geq 0$

and

$$
E_i = \oplus S(-i - 1) \text{ [i.e. all } a_{i,j} = i + 1 \text{]} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq p.
$$
Note that if $E_0 = S$, then $E$ determines a resolution of the homogeneous ideal $I = I_{X/P}$ of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(L))$. Thus the definition may be summarized very concretely as follows:

$L$ satisfies $(N_0) \iff X$ embeds in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(L))$ as a projectively normal variety;

$L$ satisfies $(N_1) \iff (N_0)$ holds for $L$, and the homogeneous ideal $I_X$ of $X$ is generated by quadrics;

$L$ satisfies $(N_2) \iff (N_0)$ and $(N_1)$ hold for $L$, and the module of syzygies among quadratic generators $Q_i \in I$ is spanned by relations of the form

$$\sum L_i Q_i = 0,$$

where the $L_i$ are linear polynomials;

and so on. Properties $(N_0)$ and $(N_1)$ are what Mumford [M3] calls respectively normal generation and normal presentation.

A classical theorem of Castelnuovo, Mattuck and Mumford states that if $X$ is a smooth curve of genus $g$, and if $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 1$, then $L$ is normally generated. Fujita and St. Donat proved that if $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 1 + p$, then $L$ satisfies $(N_2)$. These results were extended and clarified by Green [G1], who showed that if $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 1 + p$, then $L$ satisfies $(N_2)$. Green's theorem was recovered as a consequence of an analogous statement of finite sets in [GL3], where it was also proved that if $\deg(L) = 2g + p$, then $L$ fails to satisfy $(N_p)$ if and only if either $X$ is hyperelliptic or $\Phi_L$ embeds $X$ with a $(p + 2)$-secant $p$-plane.

The result just quoted gives a first indication of the fact that (at least conjecturally) the syzygies of a smooth curve $X$ are intimately connected with its geometry. The crucial invariant here is the Clifford index $\text{Cliff}(X)$ of $X$. Referring for instance to [GL1] for the precise definition, suffice it to say that $\text{Cliff}(X)$ is a non-negative integer which measures from the point of view of special divisors how general $X$ is in moduli. For instance $\text{Cliff}(X) = 0$ if and only if $X$ is hyperelliptic, and $\text{Cliff}(X) = 1$ if and only if $X$ is trigonal or a smooth plane quintic. One has the inequality $0 \leq \text{Cliff}(X) \leq [(g - 1)/2]$, and $\text{Cliff}(X) = [(g - 1)/2]$ when $X$ is a general curve of genus $g$.

The hope is that uniform results on the syzygies of a curve $X$ can be strengthened to take into account its Clifford index. The clearest expression of this philosophy which has actually been proved to date is a theorem of [GL1] to the effect that if $L$ is a very ample line bundle on $X$ with $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 1 + 2 \cdot h^1(L) - \text{Cliff}(X)$, then $L$ is normally generated. This includes the result of Castelnuovo et al. mentioned above, and for example taking $L$ to be the canonical bundle $\Omega$ it yields Noether's celebrated theorem that $\Omega$ is normally generated unless $X$ is hyperelliptic. One conjectures [GL1] that if $L$ is a very ample line bundle with $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 1 + p - 2 \cdot h^1(L) - \text{Cliff}(X)$, then $L$ satisfies $(N_p)$ provided that $\Phi_L$ does not embed $X$ with a $(p + 2)$-secant $p$-plane. This would imply all the known results on syzygies of curves. But its most interesting consequence would be a beautiful conjecture of Green's on the syzygies of canonical curves:

**Conjecture 2.2** [G1]. $\text{Cliff}(X)$ is the least integer $p$ for which $(N_p)$ fails for the canonical bundle $\Omega$. 
Green's conjecture has already sparked a considerable amount of work. For instance, drawing on some ideas of Chang and Ran as well as some computer computations by Bayer and Stillman, Ein [E] proves (2.2) on a general curve for \( p \leq 3 \). The most striking progress to date is due to Voisin [VI] and Schreyer [S], who verify the first non-classical case \( p = 2 \). Schreyer also gives examples to show that (2.2) fails in characteristic 2. A possible approach to this conjecture is suggested in [PR]. In a somewhat different direction, one has

**Conjecture 2.3** ([GL1], Conj. 3.7). One can read off the "gonality" of a curve \( X \) from the grading of the resolution \( E_\cdot = E_\cdot(L) \) of any one line bundle of sufficiently large degree.

In fact, one expects the gonality to be determined by the tail end of \( E_\cdot \). One hopes that (2.3) should be easier to establish than (2.2).

Results on normal generation and presentation of curves have traditionally gone hand in hand with analogous results for abelian varieties. Suppose then that \( X \) is an abelian variety of dimension \( n \), and let \( L \) be an ample line bundle on \( X \). A theorem of Mumford [M1, M3], Koizumi [K] and Sekiguchi [Sek] states that \( L^\otimes k \) is normally generated provided that \( k \geq 3 \), and Mumford [M3] proved that \( X \) is scheme-theoretically cut out by quadrics under the embedding defined by \( L^\otimes k \) provided that \( k \geq 4 \). By analogy with the situation on curves, it is then natural to conjecture [L3] that if \( k \geq p + 3 \), then \( L^\otimes k \) satisfies \((N_p)\). Kempf [Kmf] has recently made considerable progress on this conjecture. Specifically, he proves if \( p \geq 1 \) then \((N_p)\) holds for \( L^\otimes k \) so long as \( k \geq 2p + 2 \). It would be wonderful to prove the conjecture in general.

Finally, what can one say in higher dimensions? Green [G2] proved that on an arbitrary smooth variety \( X \) of dimension \( n \), any sufficiently positive line bundle \( L \) satisfies \((N_p)\). But naturally one would like an explicit result. Mukai observed that the known theorems deal with embeddings defined by bundles of the type \( K_X \otimes P \), where \( P \) is an explicit multiple of a suitably positive bundle. He suggested that in general one should aim for statements having this shape. In this direction one has

**Theorem 2.4** [EL]. Let \( A \) be a very ample line bundle on \( X \), and let \( B \) be a numerically effective bundle on \( X \). If \( k \geq n + 1 + p \), then \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes k \otimes B \) satisfies \((N_p)\).

So for example, \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes n+1 \) is normally generated (which has a quick proof: cf. [BEL], [AS] and [ABS]), and in the embedding defined by \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes n+2 \) the homogeneous ideal \( I_X \) is generated by quadrics. It would be very interesting to prove analogous statements assuming only that \( A \) is ample. Butler [But] shows that if \( X \) is a projective bundle over a curve, and if \( A \) is an ample line bundle on \( X \), then \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes 2n+1 \) is normally generated. A rash optimist might be tempted to speculate that \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes 2n+2+p \) satisfies \((N_p)\) whenever \( A \) is an ample line bundle on an arbitrary smooth variety \( X \). However, even when \( n = 3 \) it is unknown whether \( K_X \otimes A^\otimes 3 \) is very ample (this is a celebrated conjecture of Fujita), so that the moment it seems premature to hope for statements of this type for syzygies. However as Mukai points out, it might be reasonable to ask for sharp theorems for surfaces. When \( X = \mathbb{P}^n \), results on syzygies and related issues amount to statements about multiplicative
properties of subspaces of the polynomial ring. Green [G2] has obtained some general theorems along these lines, for which he has given interesting applications to the Hodge theory of hypersurfaces. We refer the reader to [G4] for a survey.

§ 3. Linear Series and Vector Bundles on Surfaces

As we remarked in the Introduction, most of the results described above are proved using vector bundle techniques. For questions involving regularity and syzygies, the arguments are largely cohomological in nature. However on surfaces, bundles have been used in a more geometrical fashion to study linear series. In this final section we survey two such applications. Henceforth $X$ denotes a smooth complex projective surface.

Consider a curve $C$ on $X$, and a line bundle $A$ on $C$ which is generated by its global sections. Thinking of $A$ as a coherent sheaf on $X$, there is a natural surjective evaluation map $e_{C,A} : H^0(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow A$. Set $F = F_{C,A} = \ker e_{C,A}$; thus $F$ is a vector bundle of rank $h^0(C, A)$ on $X$. Philosophically, $F$ encodes information about the pair $(C, A)$ into a geometric object that lives globally on $X$. When $X$ is a K3 surface, an analysis of these bundles together with a theorem of Mukai's [Mk1, Mk2] on the smoothness of the moduli space of simple bundles on $X$ leads to the following

**Theorem 3.1** [L2]. Let $X$ be a K3 surface, and let $C_0 \subset X$ be a smooth curve having the property that every curve in the linear series $|C_0|$ is reduced and irreducible. Then the general member $C \in |C_0|$ behaves generically in the sense of Brill-Noether theory, i.e. the varieties $W_d'(C)$ of special linear series on $C$ have the postulated dimensions and $W_d'(C)$ is smooth away from $W_{d+1}(C)$.

We refer for instance to [ACGH] for a fuller discussion of the Brill-Noether-Petri package. The hypothesis is satisfied for instance when $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [C_0]$, and the theorem then leads to a very quick proof of an important result of Gieseker's [Gies] concerning the behavior of the varieties $W_d'(C)$ on a general curve of genus $g$. The bundles $F_{C,A}$ were studied on an arbitrary surface by Tjurin [T]. They also lead to the proof [GL2] of a conjecture of Harris, Mumford and Green to the effect that all curves in a given linear series on a K3 surface have the same Clifford index. Related results appear in [DM] and [Par]. Reid [Reid] has some intriguing ideas about how bundles of this type might be relevant to the study of canonical surfaces. More recently, Voisin [V2] has used an infinitesimal analogue of the $F_{C,A}$ to prove a very beautiful result about the Wahl map $\gamma_A : \wedge^2 H^0(C, \Omega) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega^3)$ on a smooth curve $C$ of genus $g$.

Finally, no survey of vector bundles and linear series would be complete without mentioning the very interesting work of Igor Reider [Rdr] on linear series on a smooth projective surface $X$. Suppose that $L$ is a line bundle on $X$ such that $K_X \otimes L$ fails to be globally generated at a point $x \in X$. Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes K_X \otimes L) \neq 0$, and so by Grothendieck duality and a well-known construction of Serre's one
can construct a non-split extension of the form $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to L \to 0$. Reider’s idea — which has its antecedents in a proof by Mumford of the Ramanujam vanishing theorem — is that suitable numerical conditions on $L$ will force $E$ to be Bogomolov-unstable. Analyzing this instability geometrically then leads to the following

**Theorem 3.2** [Rdr]. Assume that $L$ is a nef line bundle on $X$ such that $K_X \otimes L$ is not globally generated. If $c_1(L^2) \geq 5$, then there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ such that either $c_1(L) \cdot D = 0$ and $D^2 = -1$, or $c_1(L) \cdot D = 1$ and $D^2 = 0$.

Reider proves an analogous statement for the failure of $K_X \otimes L$ to be very ample. This theorem has a surprising range of applications, among them a quick proof of Bombieri’s results on pluricanonical maps of surfaces. Reider’s theorem has attracted a lot of attention, and it has been extended in several directions. We refer to [Kot] and the conference proceedings [Som] for a sampling of some of the work in this direction.
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Mixed Hodge Modules and Applications
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1. Mixed Hodge Module

Since the theory of mixed perverse sheaves was established in char $p > 0$ by Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne-Gabber [3], it has been conjectured that there would exist objects in char 0 corresponding philosophically to mixed perverse sheaves. This conjecture was solved recently by introducing the notion of mixed Hodge Modules [25-28].

For a complex algebraic variety $X$, we have an abelian category $\text{MHM}(X)$ of mixed Hodge Modules on $X$ with a forgetful functor $\text{rat} : \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{Perv}(\mathbb{Q}_X)$ which assigns the underlying perverse sheaf over $\mathbb{Q}$. By [2, 3], $\text{rat}$ is naturally extended to a morphism of the bounded derived category $D^b \text{MHM}(X)$ to $D^b(\mathbb{Q}_X)$, which is also denoted by $\text{rat}$. They satisfy the formalism of mixed sheaves, i.e.

**Theorem 1.1.** The categories $D^b \text{MHM}(X)$ are stable by the standard functors like $f_*, f^!, f^*, \varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \otimes, \mathcal{H}om$, and these functors are compatible with the corresponding functors on the underlying complexes of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces via the functor $\text{rat}$.

**Proposition 1.2.** Every mixed Hodge Module $\mathcal{M}$ has a functorial increasing filtration $W$ in $\text{MHM}(X)$, called the weight filtration of $\mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathcal{M} \to \text{Gr}^W_k \mathcal{M}$ is an exact functor and $\text{Gr}^W_k \mathcal{M}$ is semisimple.

If $X$ is smooth, $\text{MHM}(X)$ is a full subcategory of the category of $(M, F, K, W)$ where $M$ is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module with a good filtration $F$ [14] and with rational structure given by an isomorphism $\alpha : \text{DR}(M) \simeq \mathbb{C} \otimes K$ in $\text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ for a perverse sheaf $K$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ [3], and $W$ is a pair of filtrations on $M$ and $K$ compatible with $\alpha$ and gives the weight filtration in Proposition 1.2. Here $\text{DR}$ is the de Rham functor (shifted to the left by dim $X$), and $\text{DR}(M) \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ by [14]. (We assume that an analytic holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-Module on a smooth algebraic variety has an algebraic stratification. We can use also algebraic (filtered) $\mathcal{D}$-Modules [5] by GAGA and the extendability of mixed Hodge Modules.) For $X = \text{pt}$, we have

**Theorem 1.3.** $\text{MHM}(\text{pt})$ is the category of polarizable mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures [8].
Here a mixed Hodge structure is called polarizable if its graded pieces are. This result can be generalized to the case of admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure in the sense of Steenbrink-Zucker [41] (in the one-dimensional case) and Kashiwara [16], cf. Theorem 1.5.

We say that a mixed Hodge Module $\mathcal{M}$ is pure of weight $n$, if $\text{Gr}^W_k \mathcal{M} = 0$ for $k \neq n$. A pure Hodge Module is semi-simple, and a mixed Hodge Module is obtained by successive extensions of pure Hodge Modules. We say that a pure Hodge Module $\mathcal{M}$ has strict support if its support is irreducible and $\mathcal{M}$ has no sub or quotient with smaller support. By semisimplicity, a pure Hodge Module is a direct sum of pure Hodge Modules with different strict supports, called the decomposition by strict support (which is unique). A pure Hodge Module is also called a polarizable Hodge Module in [26], and we denote by $\text{MH}_Z(X,n)^p$ the category of pure Hodge Modules of weight $n$ with strict support $Z$. Then $\text{MH}_Z(X,n)^p$ depends only on $Z$, and $\mathcal{M} \in \text{MH}_Z(X,n)^p$ is generically a polarizable variation of Hodge structure in the sense of Griffiths [13]. An important fact is that its converse is true: any polarizable variation of Hodge structure can be extended uniquely to a pure Hodge Module, i.e.

**Theorem 1.4.** We have an equivalence of categories

$$\text{MH}_Z(X,n)^p \simeq \text{VHS}_{\text{gen}}(Z,n - \dim Z)^p.$$ 

Here the right hand side is the category of polarizable variations of Hodge structures of weight $n - \dim Z$ defined on (nonempty) smooth subvarieties of $Z$. This result may be viewed as analogue of Brylinski’s conjecture [6], cf. (5). Actually, the notion of polarizable Hodge Module is weaker than that of pure Hodge Module. But they coincide by Theorem 1.5 below, because a polarizable variation of Hodge structure is an admissible variation of Hodge structure by Schmid [37], and pure Hodge Modules are stable by intermediate direct images in the sense of [3] by Theorem 1.1 and the estimate of weight for direct images, cf. [27, 2.26].

**Theorem 1.5.** Admissible variations of Hodge structures are mixed Hodge Modules, cf. [27, 3.27].

Since the condition for mixed Hodge Modules is Zariski local, we can construct mixed Hodge Modules by induction on dimension, using Theorem 1.5 and the following:

**Proposition 1.6.** Let $g$ be a function on an algebraic variety $X$. Put $Y = g^{-1}(0)$, $U = X \setminus Y$. Let $\text{MHM}(U,Y)_{\text{ex}}$ be the category whose objects are pairs of mixed Hodge Modules $\mathcal{M}'$ on $U$ and $\mathcal{M}''$ on $Y$ endowed with morphisms $u : \psi_{g,1}\mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}''$, $v : \mathcal{M}'' \to \psi_{g,1}M''(-1)$ such that $vu = N$, where $\psi_{g,1}$ is the unipotent monodromy part of $\psi_g$, and $N$ is the logarithm of the unipotent part of the monodromy, tensored by $(2\pi i)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\text{MHM}(X) \simeq \text{MHM}(U,Y)_{\text{ex}}, \quad \text{cf. [27, 2.28]}.$$ 

We have also a generalization of the Kodaira vanishing:
Theorem 1.7. Let $Z$ be a projective variety with an ample line bundle $L$, and $\mathcal{M} \in \text{MHM}(Z)$. Let $X$ be a smooth variety containing $Z$ so that $\mathcal{M}$ is represented by $(M, F, K, W) \in \text{MHM}(X)$. Then $\text{Gr}_p^F \text{DR} \mathcal{M} := \text{Gr}_p^F \text{DR} M \in D(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ depends only on $\mathcal{M}$, and we have

$$H^i(Z, \text{Gr}_p^F \text{DR} \mathcal{M} \otimes L) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i > 0,$$

$$H^i(Z, \text{Gr}_p^F \text{DR} \mathcal{M} \otimes L^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i < 0.$$

Convention 1.8. In this paper we use left $\mathcal{D}$-Modules. In [26, 27], right Modules are used, because they are more convenient for the theory of direct image and duality. For the correspondence between left and right filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules, we use $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega^\text{dim}_X, F)$ with $\text{Gr}_i^F \Omega^\text{dim}_X = 0$ for $i \neq -\text{dim} X$, see [28, 34].

2. Idea of Construction

2.1 One-Dimensional Case [37]

Let $S$ be an open disc with coordinate $t$, and $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a polarized variation of Hodge structure of weight $n$ on $S^*$ with $L$ the underlying local system defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. For simplicity, we assume the monodromy is unipotent. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_S(L)$ the corresponding locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-Module with integrable connection, and $F$ the Hodge filtration on it. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be Deligne's canonical extension [9] of $\mathcal{O}_S(L)$. By Schmid's nilpotent orbit theorem [37], the filtration $F$ on $\mathcal{O}_S(L)$ is naturally extended to a filtration $F$ of $\mathcal{L}$ whose graduation is free. Let $\mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{L}/t\mathcal{L}$ the fiber of the vector bundle $\mathcal{L}$ at the origin. Let $\pi : \mathcal{S}^* \to S^*$ be a universal covering, and $L_{\infty} = \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^*, \pi^* L)$ the multivalued sections of $L$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}(0) \simeq \mathbb{C} \otimes L_{\infty}. \quad (1)$$

See [9]. The left hand side of (1) is equipped with the induced filtration $F$, called the limit Hodge filtration, and the right hand side with rational structure. The theorem of Schmid [37] (conjectured by Deligne) asserts that they form a mixed Hodge structure, called the limiting mixed Hodge structure, where the weight filtration is given by the monodromy filtration shifted by $n$. Here the monodromy filtration $W$ is a finite increasing filtration which is uniquely characterized by the properties: $NW_j \subset W_{j-2}$ and $N^i : \text{Gr}_i^W \to \text{Gr}_{i-1}^W$ ($i > 0$), cf. [37, 11], and $N$ is the logarithm of the unipotent part of the monodromy. The primitive part $P \text{Gr}_i^W := \text{Ker}\{N^{i+1} : \text{Gr}_{n+i}^W \to \text{Gr}_{n+i-1}^W\}$ is naturally polarized by the polarization induced by that of the variation of Hodge structure (combined with the isomorphism $N^i$). Since the above construction may be viewed as the definition of the nearby cycle functor $\psi_t$ [10] for variations of Hodge structures, the result of Schmid can be interpreted as the stability theorem of polarized variations of Hodge structures under $P \text{Gr}_i^W \psi_t$ in the one-dimensional case.
2.2 Geometric Case [38]

Assume there is a projective morphism \( f : X \to S \) such that \( f \) is smooth over \( S^* \), \( f^{-1}(0) \) is a reduced divisor with normal crossings, and \( L = R^nf_*Q_X|_S \). Steenbrink [38] constructed a theory which gives a geometric proof of Schmid's theorem (see [39] for the nonreduced case). Although the notion of perverse sheaf and monodromy filtration on it was not yet known at that time, he constructed the weight filtration on the nearby cycle sheaf \( \psi_f Q_X \) [10], which represents the monodromy filtration in the abelian category of perverse sheaves (up to a shift of complex, cf. [3]). Moreover, he showed that the primitive part \( P Gr^W_i \psi_f Q_X \) is a direct sum of constant sheaves supported on the intersections of \( i + 1 \) irreducible components of the singular fiber (up to Tate twist and shift of complex), i.e. the stability of constant sheaves by \( P Gr^W_i \) in the reduced normal crossing case. Using \( E_2 \)-degeneration of the monodromy weight spectral sequence, this stability implies Schmid's theorem: the stability of the variations of Hodge structures by \( P Gr^W \) because the direct image of the monodromy filtration on \( \psi_f Q_X \) induces the monodromy filtration on \( \psi_i L \) (cf. also [26, 35] for further information).

The above construction can be extended to the vanishing cycle sheaf \( \varphi_f Q_X \) [10]. Using these, we can show the decomposition theorem for the direct image of filtered \( \mathcal{D} \)-Module \( J_f (\mathcal{O}_X, F) \) which implies that of \( \mathbb{R} f_* Q_X \), cf. [24, II]. The proof uses the \( V \)-filtration on the direct image, which was inspired by Varchenko's theory of asymptotic Hodge filtration [42], see also [24, I]. (Note that the definition of the direct image of filtered \( \mathcal{D} \)-Modules in [24] differs from the standard one by a shift of complex and filtration.)

2.3 Generalization

The first step to generalize the above proof of the decomposition theorem is the use of the filtration of Kashiwara [17] and Malgrange [22]. Let \( g \) be a holomorphic function on \( X \), and \( i_g : X \to X \times \mathbb{C} \) the embedding by graph. For a filtered holonomic \( \mathcal{D}_X \)-Module \( (M, F) \) (i.e. \( M \) is holonomic and \( F \) is a good filtration), we define \( (\tilde{M}, F) = \int_{i_g} (M, F) \) (i.e. \( \tilde{M} = M[\partial_t] \), cf. [28, (1.3)] for \( F \)).

By [15], \( \tilde{M} \) has the filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange, denoted by \( V \). We assume \( M \) regular and quasi-unipotent (i.e. \( V \) is indexed by \( \mathbb{Q} \), and \( t\partial_t - \alpha \) is nilpotent on \( \text{Gr}^V \) locally on \( X \)) and

\[
\begin{align*}
t : F_p V^\alpha \tilde{M} &\to F_p V^{\alpha+1} \tilde{M} & \text{for } \alpha > -1, \\
\partial_t : F_p \text{Gr}^V \tilde{M} &\to F_{p+1} \text{Gr}^V \tilde{M} & \text{for } \alpha < 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We define

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_g (M, F) &= \bigoplus_{-1 < \alpha \leq 0} \text{Gr}^V (\tilde{M}, F), \\
\varphi_g (M, F) &= \bigoplus_{-1 < \alpha < 0} \text{Gr}^V (\tilde{M}, F) \oplus \text{Gr}^{-1} (\tilde{M}, F[-1]).
\end{align*}
\]

(Same for \( \varphi_g M, \varphi_g M, \) forgetting \( F \).) Then we have canonical isomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DR } \psi_g M &= \psi_g \text{ DR } M[-1], & \text{DR } \varphi_g M &= \varphi_g \text{ DR } M[-1],
\end{align*}
\]

generalizing (1). This was obtained by Malgrange [22] in the case \( M = \mathcal{O}_X \), and the general regular holonomic case is due to Kashiwara [17]. In the case
when the Hodge filtrations $F$ of $\psi_g M, \varphi_g M$ are good and (2) is satisfied, these isomorphisms were also constructed in [26, 3.4.12] generalizing the construction of Malgrange (see also [33, 2.4] for further information), and used in the proof of the main theorems in an essential way.

For a smooth variety $X$, we define $\text{MH}(X, n)$, the category of Hodge Modules of weight $n$, to be the largest full subcategory of the category of filtered holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-Modules with rational structure as in $1$, whose objects admit decomposition by strict support and are stable by: (i) restrictions to Zariski-open subsets, (ii) strict support decomposition, (iii) $\text{Gr}^W \psi_g, \text{Gr}^W \varphi_g$ for any function $g$, where $W$ is the monodromy filtration shifted by $n - 1$ or $n$. Here (2) is always assumed when we take $\psi_g, \varphi_g$. We assume also that, if an object has point support, it is isomorphic to the direct image of Deligne's $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure of weight $n$ [8], cf. [26, 5.1.6]. The conditions are well-defined by induction on the dimension of the support. In fact, if $g^{-1}(0) \supset \text{supp} \ M$, we have $\varphi_g M = 0$, and $\varphi_g (M, F) = (M, F)$ is equivalent to $g \text{Gr}_F M \subset F_{p-1} M$ and follows from (2), cf. [26, 3.2.6]. So, in the stability by (iii), it is enough to consider the case when $(M, F, K)$ has strict support and $g^{-1}(0) \not\supset \text{supp} \ M$, using the stability by (ii). Moreover, in this case, it is enough to assume the stability by $\text{Gr}^W \psi_g$ with condition (2) and the surjectivity of $\partial_\alpha$, in (2) for $\alpha = 0$, cf. [26, 5.1.15]. Note that the last conditions are equivalent to

$$F_p \tilde{M} = \sum_i \partial_i (V^{p-1} \tilde{M} \cap j_* \partial_j F_{p-1} \tilde{M})$$

(5)

where $j : X \times \mathbb{C}^* \to X \times \mathbb{C}$. This means that the Hodge filtration $F$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the complement of $g^{-1}(0)$ combined with the filtration $V$ associated with $g$. The inverse functor of the equivalence of categories in Theorem 1.4 is given in this way, where we use also the regularity of the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-Modules [5, 18, 23]. (In the case of analytic $\mathcal{D}$-Modules, the regularity follows from the above inductive condition of Hodge Module, cf. [26, 5.1.18], but we have to assume it at infinity for algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-Modules. Note that the regularity [5, 18, 23] is used only for the uniqueness of the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-Modules of open direct images.) So Theorem 1.4 may be viewed as analogue of Brylinski conjecture [6], where Kashiwara-Kawai’s order filtration is replaced by the filtration $V$. Here it is enough to take one $g$ locally on $X$. But, for the stability by direct images, it is necessary to consider all $g$, because we have to deal with all $f$ and the pull-backs of functions by $f$.

A polarization of a Hodge Module $\mathcal{M} = (M, F, K) \in \text{MH}(X, n)$ is a duality $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(n)$, whose condition is defined inductively by the stability under $P \text{Gr}^W \psi_g$, cf. [26, 5.2.10]. Here $(n)$ is the Tate twist, which is defined essentially by the shift of filtration by $n$, cf. [27, (2.17.7)], and the dual $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}(M, F), \mathcal{D}K)$ is defined using the theory of dual of filtered induced $\mathcal{D}$-Modules and filtered differential complexes (e.g. its compatibility with de Rham functor), cf. [26, 2.4-5]. Note that $\mathcal{D}(M, F)$ is well-defined (e.g. $\mathcal{D}^2 = \text{id}$ holds), because $\text{Gr}^F M$ is Cohen-Macaulay over $\text{Gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X$, cf. [26, 5.1.13], so that the filtration of the dual complex $\mathcal{D}(M, F)$ is strict and $\mathcal{D}(M, F)$ is filtered quasi-isomorphic to a filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module. The category $\text{MH}(X, n)^p$ is defined to be the category of polarizable Hodge Modules.

The merit of the inductive definition using nearby and vanishing cycles is that we can show by induction on dimension the stability of polarizable Hodge Modules by the cohomological direct image under a projective morphism and
also the relative hard Lefschetz property. In fact, generalizing the proof of the decomposition theorem in 2.2, we can prove inductively the stability of the stability condition in the definition of polarizable Hodge Modules by the direct image, where the direct image of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules is defined using a canonical resolution by filtered induced $\mathcal{D}$-Modules [26] (this definition coincides with that of Brylinski and Laumon using a factorization of a morphism $f$). A key point of the proof is the strictness of the Hodge filtration $F$ on the direct image, which allows us to deal with filtered Modules instead of filtered complexes. When the image of the morphism is a point, we use Lefschetz pencil, and the assertion is reduced to the case of the projection of $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a point. Then we can apply Zucker's Hodge theory [44]. For the induced polarization on the direct image, we use also the duality of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-Modules for a proper morphism and its compatibility with Verdier duality [43], see [26, 2.5]. It should be noted that the definition of Hodge Module is obtained by axiomatizing some arguments which are repeatedly used in the proof of the stability by projective direct images. Combining with 1.4, we get a canonical pure Hodge structure on the intersection cohomology of a polarizable variation of Hodge structure. This Hodge structure coincides with that of Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid [7] and Kashiwara-Kawai [19, 20] where the singularity of the variation is a divisor with normal crossings.

2.4 Mixed Case

Once the pure objects are constructed, it is not difficult to define the mixed objects. We denote by $\text{MHW}(X)^p$ the category of $(M,F,K,W)$ as in 1 such that $\text{Gr}_j^p(M,F,K) \in \text{MH}(X,n)^p$. We can show by induction on dimension that $\text{MHW}(X)^p$ is an abelian category such that every morphism is strictly compatible with the filtrations $F$ and $W$ in a strong sense, cf. [26, 5.1.14].

By definition, an object of $\text{MHW}(X)^p$ is obtained by successive extension of pure Hodge Modules. Here arbitrary extensions are not allowed for mixed Hodge Modules, and we control the extensions by using mainly the stability by nearby cycle and vanishing cycle functors. The imposed conditions are natural generalizations of those of Steenbrink-Zucker [41]: the existence of the relative monodromy filtration and some condition on the filtrations $F$ and $W$ (i.e. the compatibility [26, 1.1.13] of the three filtrations $F$, $W$ and $V$ on $\hat{M}$), cf. [27, 2.2-3]. We define the full subcategory of mixed Hodge Modules $\text{MHM}(X)$ in $\text{MHW}(X)^p$ using the stability by nearby cycle and vanishing cycle functors, smooth pull-backs and the existence of (and the stability by) the direct images by open embeddings whose complements are locally principal divisors, cf. [27, 4.2]. For singular $X$, $\text{MHM}(X)$ is defined by using locally defined closed embeddings of $X$ into smooth varieties. We can show the stability of the mixed Hodge Modules by the cohomological direct image under a projective morphism, generalizing the arguments of Steenbrink-Zucker [41] in the one-dimensional base space case. Using this, we can construct the functors in Theorem 1.1, where we use also Theorem 1.5 and Kashiwara's result [16] for the stability by external products $\boxtimes$, cf. [27]. Combining with 1.5, we get a canonical mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure. We have also the decomposition theorem for the direct image of a pure Hodge Module by a proper morphism, using the semisimplicity of pure Hodge Modules, cf. [27, 4.5]. (See [30] for the last two functors in 1.1.)
3. Applications

3.1 Kollár's Conjecture [21, 32]

Let $X$ be an irreducible algebraic variety, and $\mathcal{H}$ a polarizable variation of Hodge structure of weight $n - \dim X$ on a smooth open subvariety $U$ of $X$. By Theorem 1.4, there exists uniquely a polarizable Hodge Module $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$ extending $\mathcal{H}$. If $X$ is a closed subvariety of smooth $X'$, $\mathcal{M}$ is represented by $(M,F,K) \in \text{MH}^1(X',n)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the underlying $\mathcal{O}_U$-Module of $\mathcal{H}$ with Hodge filtration $F$. Put $q(\mathcal{H}) = \max\{p : F^p \mathcal{L} \neq 0\}$ and

$$S_X(\mathcal{H}) = \bigwedge^p \Omega^{\dim X'}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} F_p M \quad \text{for} \quad p = \min\{p : F^p M \neq 0\}.$$  

Then, $S_X(\mathcal{H})$ is an extension of $\bigwedge^p \Omega^{\dim U}_{\mathcal{O}_U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} F^q(\mathcal{H}) \mathcal{L}$, which is expressed using the filtration $V$, cf. (5). (It is more natural to use right $\mathcal{D}$-Modules, cf. 1.8.)

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper surjective morphism of irreducible algebraic varieties with relative dimension $d$. Then for a generic point $y \in Y$, the intersection cohomology $IH^1(U_y, \mathbb{Q})$ has a canonical pure Hodge structure, cf. 2.2, where $U_y = f^{-1}(y)$ and $X_y$ is the smooth points of $U \cap X_y$. They form a variation of Hodge structure on a smooth open subvariety of $Y$, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}^1$. As a corollary of the decomposition theorem for the direct image of pure Hodge Modules, we get

$$Rf_*S_X(\mathcal{H}) \cong \bigoplus_{q(\mathcal{H}^1) = q(\mathcal{H}) + d} S_Y(\mathcal{H}^1)[-i].$$  

(6)

3.2 Illusie's Conjecture [35]

Let $f : X \to S$ be a projective morphism of a complex manifold to an open disc such that $X_0 := f^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor with normal crossings. Consider the (second) spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X_0, \mathcal{H}^q \psi_f \mathcal{Q}_X) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_0, \psi_f \mathcal{Q}_X)(\cong H^{p+q}(X_t, \mathcal{Q})).$$  

(7)

Here $X_t := f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \neq 0$ sufficiently near 0, and the last isomorphism depends on the lifting of $t$ to the universal covering of $S \setminus \{0\}$. The spectral sequence degenerates at $E_3$, and the induced filtration coincides with the kernel filtration [41, 45]. This can be generalized to the theory of kernel spectral sequence, cf. [35].

3.3 Steenbrink's Conjecture [40, 29]

Let $f : (X,x) \to (\mathbb{C},0)$ be a germ of holomorphic function on a complex manifold. We define the spectrum $\text{Sp}(f,x)$ using the Milnor monodromy and the mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology [40], (cf. also [39, 42]). Here we express the spectrum as a fractional Laurent polynomial (i.e., an element of $\mathbb{C}[t^{1/n}, t^{-1/n}]$ for some integer $n$), and multiply $t$ on that of [40] to simplify the multiplication of spectra. Assume $\dim \text{Sing} f = 1$, and let $\{Z_k\}$ be the irreducible components of $\text{Sing} f$. Let $g : (X,x) \to (\mathbb{C},0)$ be a linear form (i.e., $dg(x) \neq 0$) such that $\{f = g = 0\}$ has isolated singularity. Let $m_k$ be the mapping degree of the restriction of $g$ to $Z_k$. Then, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, we have
\[
\text{Sp}(f + g', x) - \text{Sp}(f, x) = \sum_{k,j} t^{a_{k,j} + b_{k,j}/m_k r} (t - 1)/(t^{1/m_k r} - 1). \tag{8}
\]

Here \(a_{k,j}\) are the exponents of the spectrum of the restriction of \(f\) to \(g^{-1}(t)(t \neq 0)\) near \(Z_k\), and \(b_{k,j} \in (0, 1]\) are the logarithm (divided by \(-2\pi i\)) of the eigenvalues of the monodromy along \(Z_k\). The range of \(r\) is determined by the discriminant of \((f, g)\).

### 3.4 Intersection Cohomology of Link [12]

Let \(X\) be a complex algebraic variety, and \(Z\) a closed subvariety of \(X\). Then the **link** of \(Z\) in \(X\) is defined to be a level set of a suitable real analytic function on \(X\) which vanishes exactly on \(Z\). We can define a canonical mixed Hodge structure on the intersection cohomology of the link, and get estimates of weight, e.g. \(IH^i_c(L)\) has weights \(\leq i\) for \(i < \dim X - \dim Z\). Using these, we can show, for example, that a (real) torus cannot be a link of a subvariety of codimension > 1.

### 3.5 Thom-Sebastiani Type Theorems

Let \(f\) and \(g\) be holomorphic functions on \(X\) and \(Y\) respectively. For \(x \in X\) and \(y \in Y\), put \(z = (x, y) \in X \times Y\). Then

\[
\text{Sp}(f + g, z) = \text{Sp}(f, x) \text{Sp}(g, y). \tag{9}
\]

(See [36, 42] for the isolated singularity case.) This follows from the Thom-Sebastiani type theorems for regular holonomic filtered \(\mathcal{D}\)-Modules (with Steenbrink) and for mixed Hodge Modules (with Deligne).

### 3.6 Algebraic Cycles [31]

If the Hodge conjecture is true, the mixed motives over \(\mathbb{C}\) and with \(\mathbb{Q}\)-coefficients, whose existence is still conjectural [1], might be quite close to the mixed Hodge structures of **geometric origin** (using mixed Hodge Modules instead of mixed perverse sheaves in [3]). In fact, let \(\mathbb{Q}^H\) be the trivial Hodge structure of rank 1 and type \((0, 0)\), and \(Q^H_X = a^*_X \mathbb{Q}^H, R\Gamma'(X, \mathbb{Q}^H) = (a_X)_* \mathbb{Q}^H_X\) with \(a_X : X \to \text{pt}\). Then we can define a cycle class map

\[
\text{cl}^{\text{MH}} : \text{CH}^p(X)_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{Ext}^{2p}_{\text{DMHM}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{go}}} (Q^H_X, \mathbb{Q}^H_X(p)) \tag{10}
\]

where \(\text{CH}^p(X)_\mathbb{Q}\) is the Chow group tensored by \(\mathbb{Q}\), \(\text{MHM}(\mathbb{X})^{\text{go}}\) is the category of mixed Hodge Modules of geometric origin, and \(\text{MHS}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{go}} = \text{MHM}(\text{pt})^{\text{go}}\). By the canonical filtration on \(\text{R}\Gamma'(X, \mathbb{Q}^H)\), we get a filtration \(L\) on the target of the morphism such that

\[
\text{Gr}^i_L = \text{Ext}^{2p-i}_{\text{MHS}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{go}}} (Q^H, \text{H}^{2p-i}(X, \mathbb{Q})(p)), \tag{11}
\]

and it induces a filtration \(L\) on \(\text{CH}^p(X)_\mathbb{Q}\) via \(\text{cl}^{\text{MH}}\). The cycle map is surjective if and only if the Hodge conjecture is true for any variety. In this case \(\text{Gr}^i_L \text{cl}^{\text{MH}}\)
are also surjective, and we get a filtration \( L \) on \( CH^p(X)_\mathbb{Q} \) such that (11) holds. Compare [4]. Moreover \( \text{Gr}_i^1 CH^p(X)_\mathbb{Q} = 0 \) for \( i > p \), and this filtration is separated if and only if \( c_1^{MH} \) is injective. The injectivity of \( c_1^{MH} \) seems to be related with the surjectivity of the cycle map of Bloch’s higher Chow group \( CH^p(X, 1)_\mathbb{Q} \) to \( \text{Ext}_{D^b_{MHM}(X)}^{2p-1}(Q_X^H, Q_X^H(p)) \).
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In this article I shall sketch the theory of $L_2$-cohomology and its applications in algebraic geometry. The basic principle is that for appropriate Riemannian metrics on a smooth, Zariski open subset of a complex projective variety $X$, the $L_2$-cohomology represents invariants of the full variety $X$, for example, the intersection cohomology and its Hodge structure. The primary obstacle to proving such results is to relate $L_2$-growth conditions to a suitable theory of "weights" for which one can establish a semi-purity theorem. Two examples will be presented whose proofs, although rather different, exhibit this pattern: the case of Kähler varieties with isolated singularities [37–39], and the case of locally symmetric varieties (Zucker's conjecture) [40, 41].

1. $L_2$-Cohomology

1.1 Definition and Basic Properties

References are [10] and [45]. Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold (assumed oriented for simplicity) and $E$ a flat complex vector bundle with a (not necessarily flat) Hermitian metric. Let $L^2_2(M; E)$ denote the Hilbert space of measurable $E$-valued forms $\phi$ which satisfy the $L_2$-growth condition

$$\int_M |\phi|^2 dV < \infty.$$ 

Note that the dependence of this condition on the metric of $M$ arises in two opposing fashions: in the metric on the exterior powers of the cotangent bundle, and in the volume form.

Definition 1. The $L_2$-cohomology $H^{(2)}_2(M; E)$ is the cohomology of the complex

$$\text{Dom}(d) = \{ \phi \in L^2_2(M; E) \mid d\phi \in L^{2+1}_2(M, E) \}.$$ 

Here $d\phi$ is taken in the sense of currents.
Similarly we can define the $L_2$-$\overline{\partial}$-cohomology $H^{p,q}_{(2),\overline{\partial}}(M; E)$ if $M$ is a Hermitian manifold and $E$ is a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle. By a regularization result of Cheeger [10], we obtain the same cohomology in either case if we require $\phi$ above to be smooth.

**Quasi-isometry Invariance.** Although in general the $L_2$-cohomology depends on the metrics involved, it is an invariant of their quasi-isometry class (two metrics $g_1, g_2$ are quasi-isometric if $Cg_2 \leq g_1 \leq C'g_2$ for constants $C, C' > 0$).

**Hodge Theory.** Clearly we have

$$H^{(2)}_*(M; \mathcal{E}) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d)}{\text{Range}(d)} \bigoplus \frac{\text{Range}(d)}{\text{Range}(d)}$$

where $d^*$ is the Hilbert space adjoint. In general $\text{Dom}(d^*)$ is smaller than $\text{Dom}(\delta) = \{ f \in L_2^p(M; \mathcal{E}) \mid \delta f \in L_2^{p-1}(M; \mathcal{E}) \}$, where $\delta$ is the formal adjoint to $d$; by a result of Gaffney [15], they are equal if $M$ is complete.

Since the second term of (1) is either 0 or infinite dimensional, we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.** Say $\text{Range}(d)$ is closed (e.g., if $\dim H^{(2)}_*(M; \mathcal{E}) < \infty$). Then

1) **Hodge Theorem:**

$$H^{(2)}_*(M; \mathcal{E}) = \text{Ker}(d) \cap \text{Ker}(d^*) = \text{Ker}(\Lambda),$$

where $\Lambda = d^*d + dd^*$ has domain in the sense of unbounded operators.

2) **Poincaré Duality:** If furthermore, $d^* = \delta$ (e.g., if $M$ is complete), then the Hodge star operator induces conjugate linear isomorphisms

$$H^{i}_*(M; \mathcal{E}) \cong H^{n-i}_{*}(M; \mathcal{E}^*),$$

where $m = \dim M$.

**Kähler Package.** Assume now that $M$ is a complete Kähler manifold and $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$. In this case the formal identity $\Lambda = 2\Box$ between the $d$- and $\overline{\partial}$-Laplacians holds in the sense of unbounded operators [47]. Consequently we have the

**Theorem 3.** Let $M$ be complete Kähler of dimension $n$ and say $\text{Range}(d)$ is closed. Then

1) **Hodge Decomposition:**

$$H^{(2)}_*(M; \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p,q}_{(2),\overline{\partial}}(M; \mathbb{C}).$$

2) **Hard Lefschetz:** If $L$ denotes the operation of wedging with the Kähler class, there are isomorphisms

$$L^i : H^{n-i}_{(2)}(M; \mathcal{E}) \cong H^{n+i}_{(2)}(M; \mathcal{E}).$$
Analogous statements hold when \( E \) underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structure (see [44]).

1.2 Example: Conical Metrics

Let \( X \) be a closed triangulated pseudomanifold of dimension \( n \), that is, a compact simplicial complex which equals the union of its \( n \)-simplices and in which every \((n - 1)\)-simplex is a boundary face of exactly two \( n \)-simplices. For example, it is well-known that any compact complex analytic variety admits such a structure. If \( \Sigma \subset X \) is the codimension 2 skeleton, then \( X^{\text{reg}} = X \setminus \Sigma \) is naturally a smooth manifold, dense in \( X \).

**Definition 4.** A Riemannian metric on \( X^{\text{reg}} \) is called *conical* if it is quasi-isometric to a piecewise flat metric with respect to the triangulation of \( X \).

Although the metric on \( X^{\text{reg}} \) is incomplete, Cheeger [10] has proved the

**Theorem 5.** If \( X \) is an admissible triangulated pseudomanifold, the \( L_2 \)-cohomology \( H^i_{(2)}(X^{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) \) of a conical metric is finite dimensional and invariant under subdivision. It satisfies the Hodge theorem and (if \( X^{\text{reg}} \) is oriented) Poincaré duality.

Here admissibility is an inductively defined condition on the links which is always satisfied if \( X \) admits a stratification with even codimensional strata (e.g., a complex analytic variety).

The proof of Theorem 5 is based on the following local calculation [10]:

**Proposition 6.** Let \( N \) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension \( m - 1 \); if \( m \) is even assume \( \text{Range}(d) \) is closed in \( L_2^{m/2-1}(N) \). Let \( c(N) \) be the closed cone on \( N \) and let its regular part \( c(N)^{\text{reg}} \) have the conical metric \( dx^2 + x^2 ds_N^2 \). Then

\[
H^i_{(2)}(c(N)^{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 
H^i_{(2)}(N; \mathbb{C}) & i < m/2, \\
0 & i \geq m/2.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

The truncation at middle degree arises from the interplay between the volume form and the metrics on the bundles of forms; see Sect. 4.1 for a further discussion in the case of a complete Kähler metric.

2. Intersection Cohomology

Independently of the preceding developments, and also partly motivated by the desire to find a homology theory on singular spaces satisfying Poincaré duality, Goresky and MacPherson had also encountered a local calculation such as (2), but in the topological context of their intersection homology. References are [5, 16, 17]; see also the historical survey [20].
2.1 Definition and Basic Properties

Let \( X = X^0 \supset \Sigma = X^1 \supset X^2 \supset \cdots \supset X^n \) be a stratified topological pseudomanifold. In other words, the strata \( S_k = X^k \setminus X^{k+1} \) are manifolds of even codimension \( 2k \) and \( S^0 \) is dense. (The restriction to even codimensional strata is not essential.) Furthermore, a point in \( S_k \) has a cofinal system of local neighborhoods of the form \( B \times c(L) \), where \( B \) is a ball in \( S^k \) and \( L \) is a stratified topological pseudomanifold of dimension \( 2k - 1 \).

Let \( E \) be a flat complex vector bundle on \( X_{\text{reg}} = X \setminus \Sigma \). The (middle perversity) intersection cohomology \( IH^*(X;E) \) was originally defined by imposing restrictions on the dimension of intersection of a geometric chain with the singular strata; it may also be defined as the hypercohomology \( H^*\left(X;\mathcal{F}^\bullet(E)\right) \), where

\[
\mathcal{F}^\bullet(E) = \tau_{<n} R\iota_* \cdots \tau_{<1} R\iota_! E (3)
\]

is Deligne’s sheaf. Here \( \iota_k : X \setminus X^k \hookrightarrow X \setminus X^{k+1} \) is the inclusion and \( \tau_{<k} \) truncates sheaf cohomology in degrees \( \geq k \).

Intersection cohomology is independent of the choice of stratification; when \( X \) is smooth and \( E \) is everywhere defined, it reduces to ordinary cohomology. True to its name, there is an intersection pairing effecting Poincaré duality. And when \( X \) is a projective algebraic variety and \( E \) underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structure, Saito [35, 36] has given \( IH^*(X;E) \) a natural Hodge structure.

It is clear from (3) that intersection cohomology admits a local characterization. Namely, for \( x \in S^k \), let \( U = B_x \times c(L) \) be a local neighborhood of \( x \) as above. Then the local calculation

\[
IH^i(U;E) \cong IH^i(c(L);E) \cong \begin{cases} IH^i(L;E) & i < k, \\ 0 & i \geq k, \end{cases} (4)
\]

essentially characterizes intersection cohomology; here the isomorphism for \( i < k \) is given by the natural “attaching” map.

2.2 Relations with \( L_2 \)-Cohomology

In view of (2) and (4), Cheeger [10] proved:

**Theorem 8.** Let \( X_{\text{reg}} \) have a conical metric. Then \( H^*_\text{(2)}(X_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C}) \cong IH^*(X;\mathbb{C}). \)

One is thus led to try extending the classical Hodge-de Rham theory to singular spaces by using metrics on \( X_{\text{reg}} \) which somehow reflect the singular compactification. However, for complex projective varieties, it would be more fruitful to have a Kähler metric. It is rare that there exist Kähler conical metrics, but there is a natural quasi-isometry class of Kähler metrics – namely, those induced from the Fubini-Study metric by a projective embedding. Cheeger, Goresky, and MacPherson have conjectured [12]:
**Conjecture 9.** For the metric induced on $X_{\text{reg}}$ by the Fubini-Study metric of any projective embedding, $H^*_\mathbb{L}(X_{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) \cong IH^*(X; \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, this induces a natural Hodge decomposition.

Cheeger's work [10, 11] covers the case of varieties with analytically conical singularities, while Hsiang and Pati [18] and Nagase [26] have established the first part of the conjecture for surfaces with isolated singularities. Recently Ohsawa [31] has proven the first part for varieties with isolated singularities, using a result of Saper [39] (see Theorem 11 below). Since the metric is incomplete, the second part of the conjecture does not follow from Theorem 3. Nagase [25] has obtained partial results for surfaces; Pardon [32] has shown that a Hodge decomposition cannot in general be given by the $L_2^\partial$-cohomology groups without conceivably imposing boundary conditions.

### 3. Complete Kähler Metrics

Let $X$ be a projective algebraic variety of complex dimension $n$. In view of Theorem 3, we turn to complete Kähler metrics on $X_{\text{reg}}$ in order to construct Hodge decompositions on intersection cohomology.

#### 3.1 Degenerating Coefficients

Assume $X$ is smooth and let $\mathfrak{E}$ underlie a polarizable variation of Hodge structure on $X_{\text{reg}} = X \setminus D$, where $D$ is a divisor with normal crossings. Endow $X_{\text{reg}}$ with a complete Kähler metric which has Poincaré singularities normal to each component of $D$; in local coordinates, if $D = (z_1 \cdots z_k)$, the metric is quasi-isometric to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{dz_i d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2 (\log |z_i|^2)^2} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n dz_i d\bar{z}_i.
$$

(5)

The isomorphism $H^*_\mathbb{L}(X_{\text{reg}}; \mathfrak{E}) \cong IH^*(X; \mathfrak{E})$ was proved by Zucker [44] when $n = 1$; the general case was proved independently by Cattani, Kaplan, and Schmid [9] and Kashiwara and Kawai [19]. Note that when $\mathfrak{E}$ is trivial, the theorem is in fact quite simple; the main point here is the degeneration of coefficients.

#### 3.2 Locally Symmetric Varieties

The prime examples of complete Kähler metrics naturally associated to singular varieties are those on locally symmetric varieties.

Let $D$ be a bounded symmetric domain endowed with the Bergman metric, or equivalently, a Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type $G/K$ equipped with a group invariant metric. For $\Gamma$ an arithmetically defined group of automorphisms of $D$, the quotient $\Gamma \setminus D$ is called a locally symmetric variety. It may have finite quotient singularities, but this is not important here; the main point is that it may be noncompact and that there is a natural, though singular, compactification $\Gamma \setminus D^*$ (due to Baily and Borel [1] and Satake [42]) as a normal
projective variety. Briefly, $\Gamma \backslash D^*$ is obtained by adjoining arithmetic quotients $\Gamma F \backslash F$ of rational boundary components $F$ of $D$, which are themselves locally symmetric varieties of lower rank and dimension.

For example, when $D$ is the complex $n$-ball, $\Gamma \backslash D^*$ is formed by adding singular cusp points. After resolving the singularities with a smooth exceptional divisor, the metric is quasi-isometric to (compare (5))

$$\frac{dz_1 d\bar{z}_1}{|z_1|^2 (\log |z_1|^2)^2} + \frac{1}{|\log |z_1|^2|} \sum_{i=2}^{n} dz_i d\bar{z}_i.$$  \(6\)

The following theorem was conjectured by Zucker after verifying some cases with $G$ having $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 [45]. It was established independently by Saper and Stern [40, 41], and by Looijenga [22]; Looijenga and Rapoport have also given a third proof [23]. Previously special cases were proven by Borel [4], Borel and Casselman [6] (see also [8]), and Zucker [45, 46]. An indication of Saper and Stern's proof will be given in Sect. 4.3.

**Theorem 10.** Let $E$ be a finite dimensional complex representation space of $G$ with admissible metric, and let $\mathbb{E}$ be the corresponding metrized local system on $\Gamma \backslash D$. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^{(2)}(\Gamma \backslash D; \mathbb{E}) \cong IH^*(\Gamma \backslash D^*; \mathbb{E}).$$

### 3.3 Kähler Varieties

For a general Kähler variety $X$, one would like a complete Kähler metric on $X^{\text{reg}}$ analogous to those on locally symmetric varieties. In particular, one would like a generalization of Theorem 10.

Note that one could always take a resolution $\tilde{X} \to X$ with exceptional divisor $D$ having normal crossings, and consider a Poincaré metric on $\tilde{X} \backslash D$ as in Sect. 3.1. However this is not the metric we want, since its $L_2$-cohomology is strictly larger than $IH^*(X; \mathbb{C})$, namely $H^*(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C})$. Instead, Saper [37–39] constructed a metric which, locally on $D$, is quasi-isometric (modulo a perturbation) to the metrics on toroidal resolutions of locally symmetric models. Using this metric (which depends on $\tilde{X}$), he established the following theorem; a brief indication of the proof will be given in Sect. 4.2. The case of isolated analytically conical singularities was also proven later with a different metric by Ohsawa [30] (see also [28, 29]).

**Theorem 11.** Let $X$ be a Kähler variety with isolated singularities. There exists an infinite discrete set of complete Kähler metrics on $X^{\text{reg}}$ for which we have

$$H^*_2(X^{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) \cong IH^*(X; \mathbb{C})$$

and

$$H^{0,*}_{(2),\bar{\partial}}(X^{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{0,*}_{\bar{\partial}}(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C}).$$

Here $\tilde{X}$ is any resolution of $X$. 
A priori, the different metrics referred to in the theorem could induce different Hodge decompositions on $IH^\bullet(X;\mathbb{C})$ via (7); Zucker [47] has shown in fact that they all agree with Saito's Hodge structure. This is used in the proof of (8), which asserts that the $L_2\bar{\partial}$-Betti numbers $h_{(2),\bar{\partial}}^{0,q}$ are birational invariants. The corresponding assertion for the $L_2\bar{\partial}$-index $\sum_q (-1)^q h_{(2),\bar{\partial}}^{0,q}$ was conjectured in the contexts of Conjecture 9 and Theorem 10 by MacPherson [24]. Recently Pardon and Stern [33] have given a proof of MacPherson's conjecture (and (8)) for the metric induced from the Fubini-Study metric provided one uses $L_2\bar{\partial}$-cohomology with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

4. Outline of Proofs

Let $X$ be a projective variety of dimension $n$. To establish the isomorphism $H^i_\omega((X^{\text{reg}};\mathbb{E}) \cong IH^i(X;\mathbb{E})$ for some metric on $X^{\text{reg}}$, the main point is to show that $L_2$-cohomology has the correct local calculation (see (4)). That is, for a neighborhood $U$ of a point on a complex codimension $k$ stratum with link $L$, we need

$$H^i_\omega(U^{\text{reg}};\mathbb{E}) \cong H^i_\omega(c(L)^{\text{reg}};\mathbb{E}) \cong \begin{cases} H^i_\omega(L^{\text{reg}};\mathbb{E}) & i < k, \\ 0 & i \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

In practice, proving just the vanishing condition is usually sufficient.

4.1 Heuristic Arguments

In order to develop a heuristic device for understanding why the vanishing result might hold, applicable to both Theorem 10 and Theorem 11, we will consider in this subsection the basic example of a space $X$ with a single singular stratum of codimension $k$. For simplicity we will only treat constant coefficients, however this is not necessary.

Write $c(L)^{\text{reg}} = \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \times L$ with $r$ the coordinate on the first factor ($r \to \infty$ near the vertex) and $\pi$ the projection on the second. Analogously to Borel's formula [3] for the metric on a cusp of a locally symmetric variety, consider the metric

$$dr^2 + \sum_\gamma e^{-\gamma r} \pi^* ds^2_\gamma,$$

on $c(L)^{\text{reg}}$, where $TL = \bigoplus_\gamma TL_\gamma$ is some decomposition into subbundles indexed by weights $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ and $ds^2_\gamma$ is a metric on $TL_\gamma$. Then

$$dV = e^{-\delta r} dr dV_L \quad (10)$$

where $\delta = (1/2) \sum_\gamma (\dim TL_\gamma) \gamma$ is the middle weight, while for a pure wedge product $\psi = \psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_l$ ($\psi_j \in \Gamma(TL^*_{\gamma_j})$) with weight $\mu = \sum \gamma_j$,

$$|\pi^* \psi|^2 = e^{2\mu} |\psi|^2_L. \quad (11)$$
By separation of variables, it is reasonable to assume that an \(L_2\)-cohomology class can be represented either as \(\pi^*\psi\) or \(f(r)dr \wedge \pi^*\psi\), where \(\psi\) is a closed form on \(L\). From (10) and (11) we immediately see that \(\pi^*\psi\) is \(L_2\) if and only if \(\mu < \delta\). On the other hand, if \(f(r)dr \wedge \pi^*\psi\) is \(L_2\), it may be expressed as a cocycle via \(\int_0^\infty f(t)dt\pi^*\psi\) or \(-\int_0^\infty f(t)dt\pi^*\psi\), which are \(L_2\) precisely when \(\mu < \delta\) or \(\mu > \delta\) respectively [45]. This suggests that if the above notion of weight actually descends to a decomposition (or at least a filtration) of \(H^*(L;\mathbb{C})\) and \(H^{(2)}_L(c(L)_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C})\), then

\[
H^{(2)}_L(c(L)_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 
H^i(L;\mathbb{C})_{\mu} & \mu < \delta, \\
H^{(2)}_L(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}) \otimes H^{i-1}(L;\mathbb{C})_{\mu} & \mu = \delta, \\
0 & \mu > \delta,
\end{cases}
\]  

(12)

where the subscript \(\mu\) denotes the part of weight \(\mu\). The group \(H^{(2)}_L(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0})\) is infinite dimensional because, as is well known, \(\text{Range}(d)\) on \(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}\) is not closed.

Even ignoring the infinite dimensional contribution, (12) does not achieve the desired truncation (9) at and above middle degree; instead we encounter a truncation at and above middle weight. We are thus forced to prove a semi-purity result, which that asserts these two truncations are the same, namely

\[
H^i(L;\mathbb{C})_{\mu} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} 
i \geq k \text{ and } \mu \leq \delta, \text{ or} \\
i < k \text{ and } \mu \geq \delta.
\end{cases}
\]  

(13)

4.2 Kähler Varieties with Isolated Singularities

Assume \(X\) has isolated singularities and let \(U\) be a contractible neighborhood of \(\text{Sing} \ X\). We will realize the above heuristic argument in the proof of Theorem 11 by relating \(L_2\)-growth weights in \(U_{\text{reg}}\) to the weights of the mixed Hodge structure on \(H^*(U_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C})\).

Let \(\tilde{X} \to X\) be a resolution of \(X\) with normal crossing exceptional divisor \(D\) having smooth components. Let \(D^{(p)}\) denote the disjoint union of \(p\)-fold intersections of components of \(D\). Recall [13] that the \(q\)-th row of the \(E_1\) term of the weight spectral sequence converging to \(H^*(U_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C})\) is

\[
\cdots \to H^{q-4}(D^{(2)}) \to H^{q-2}(D^{(1)}) \to H^q(D^{(1)}) \to H^q(D^{(2)}) \to \cdots,
\]

where the differentials are formed from alternating sums of Gysin maps and of restriction maps.

On the other hand, a principal technical result of [39] is the construction of an analogous spectral sequence \(\{E^{p,q}_{(2)}\}\) converging to \(H^*(U_{\text{reg}};\mathbb{C})\). In this \(L_2\) spectral sequence, the \(q\)-th row of \(E^{(2),1}\) may be represented by forms with \(L_2\)-growth weight \(q\), or by \(dr\wedge\) forms with \(L_2\)-growth weight \(q-1\). Consequently we see that

\[
E^{p,q}_{(2),1} = \begin{cases} 
E_1^{-p,q} & q < n, \\
P^{(2)}_1 H^{(2)}_L(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}) \otimes E_1^{-p,n} & q = n + 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

which is the rigorous version of (12) (note that \(\delta = n\) here).
The required semi-purity (13) follows from the following stronger result. It was deduced as a consequence of the decomposition theorem [2, 35, 36] by Steenbrink [43]; an independent proof was given by Navarro Aznar [27].

**Proposition 12.** The weight filtration \( W \) of the mixed Hodge structure on \( H^*(U_{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) \) satisfies

\[
\text{Gr}_i^W H^i(U_{\text{reg}}; \mathbb{C}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} i \geq n \text{ and } \mu \leq i, \\
 i < n \text{ and } \mu > i. \end{cases}
\]

Consequently, \( E^{p,q}_2 = 0 \) for \( q - p \geq n, p \leq 0 \) or \( q - p < n, p > 0 \).

For the intersection cohomology of the link of nonisolated singularities, the proposition has been generalized by Durfee and Saito [14].

4.3 Locally Symmetric Varieties

Let \( X \) now be the Baily-Borel-Satake compactification of a locally symmetric variety; we present some of the highlights in Saper and Stern's proof of Theorem 10, Zucker's conjecture. The technical approach used is to establish on \( c(L)^{\text{reg}} \) the estimate

\[
\|d\phi\|^2 + \|d^*\phi\|^2 \geq c\|\phi\|^2 \quad (\phi \in \text{Dom}(d) \cap \text{Dom}(d^*), \deg \phi \geq k),
\]

where \( c > 0 \); it is well-known that this implies the desired vanishing of \( L_2 \)-cohomology (9). The argument follows the heuristic reasoning of Sect. 4.1 by exploiting the fairly immediate connection between \( L_2 \)-growth weights and Lie-theoretic weights. In contrast, the proof of Looijenga [22] (and even more so, Looijenga and Rapoport [23]) passes from \( L_2 \)-growth weights to mixed Hodge weights via a local Hecke operator.

When \( H \) is a Lie subgroup of \( G \), we will denote the Lie algebra of \( H \) by \( \mathfrak{h} \), and when appropriate we will use the notation \( \Gamma_H \) (resp. \( K_H \)) to denote the arithmetic subgroup (resp. maximal compact subgroup) induced in \( H \) by \( \Gamma \) (resp. \( K \)).

For simplicity, we begin by assuming we are in the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank 1 case, with a single boundary component \( F \). Let \( Z = A \ltimes^r \mathcal{Z} \) be the centralizer of \( F \), where \( A \cong \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a one-dimensional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-split torus representing the dilations transverse to \( F \), and \( \mathcal{Z} \) is a certain complement, representing movement in the link directions. The link \( L \) is \( \Gamma_Z \backslash \mathcal{Z} / K_Z \); thus since \( A \) commutes with \( K_Z \), there is an adjoint action of \( A \) on the tangent bundle \( TL \). We decompose \( TL \) into weight spaces \( \mathfrak{g} TL \) under this action; after we have fixed a coordinate \( r \) on \( a \) (with \( r \to \infty \) near \( F \)), we may by abuse of notation consider the weights \( \gamma \) as nonnegative real numbers. Then Borel’s formula for the metric [3] is

\[
dr^2 + \sum_\gamma e^{-\gamma r} ds^2_\gamma,
\]

where \( ds^2_\gamma \) is a metric on \( TL_\gamma \). In fact, after suitably rescaling \( r \), we have \( \gamma = 0 \),
1, or 2. Thus we see the connection between $L_2$-growth weights and Lie-theoretic weights.

It turns out that it suffices to prove (14) assuming that $\phi$ is a weight vector for the induced action of $a$, say of weight $\mu$. It also suffices to assume that $\phi$ is an eigenvector for $dr \wedge i_{\partial/dr}$, say with eigenvalue $j$; note that $j = 1$ (resp. 0) if $\phi$ belongs to (resp. is orthogonal to) the ideal generated by $dr$. In realizing the previous heuristic reasoning of Sect. 4.1, we never actually pass to cohomology; we merely seek to establish the estimate (14) for all possible values of $\mu$ and $j$. Thus the first step is accomplished by proving the estimate

$$
\|d\phi\|^2 + \|d^*\phi\|^2 \geq (\mu - \delta)^2 \|\phi\|^2 + (\mu - \delta)(1 - j) \int_{\delta \in (\mathfrak{L})} |\phi|^2 dV_L,
$$

(15)

where $\delta$ is the middle weight (the weight of $a$ on $(\wedge^{\dim \mathfrak{a}})_{1/2}$). This proves (14) whenever

$$
\mu \neq \delta \text{ and } j = 1, \text{ or } \\
\mu > \delta,
$$

which corresponds to (12) of the heuristic argument.

For the second step, we concentrate on the link. Decompose $Z = M \times N$, where $M$ is reductive and $N$ is the unipotent radical. Thus the link fibers over $\Gamma_M \backslash M/K \times \Gamma_N \backslash N$. By a result of Zucker [45], we may assume our forms are harmonic in the fibers. The Koszul Laplacian $\Delta_{m,0}$, on $\wedge^*(m/I_Z) \otimes H^*(n; E) \otimes \delta$ extends to an action on forms and it suffices to assume that $\phi$ is also an eigenvector of $\Delta_{m,0}$, say with eigenvalue $\nu$. Similarly to (15), we may prove the estimate

$$
\|d\phi\|^2 + \|d^*\phi\|^2 \geq \nu \|\phi\|^2.
$$

(16)

The following proposition tells us when (16) yields a nontrivial estimate; it is the analogue of the heuristic semi-purity (13).

**Proposition 13.** In the above context,

$$
\nu > 0 \text{ for } \begin{cases} 
\deg \phi - j \geq k \text{ and } \mu \leq \delta, \text{ or } \\
\deg \phi - j < k \text{ and } \mu \geq \delta.
\end{cases}
$$

(17)

The first line of (17) follows from [41, Prop. 10.2, Prop. 11.1, §12]; the second line follows by a dual argument. The proof uses a result of Raghunathan [34], valid for any coefficient system over $\Gamma_M \backslash M/K \times \Gamma_N \backslash N$, to obtain a condition equivalent to $\nu = 0$; a Weyl group argument using Kostant's theorem [21] allows us to analyze this for the coefficients $H^*(n; E) \otimes \delta$. In the $\mathbb{R}$-rank 1 case, the proposition reduces to a result of Casselman [7].

In the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank $> 1$, we form a distinguished cover of $c(L)^{\text{reg}}$, with each open set consisting of the points that are near a particular flag of boundary components. The above argument generalizes to prove the estimate for forms compactly supported in each such open set; one replaces $Z$ by the $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup $Q$ which is the normalizer of the corresponding flag. In order to combine
the separate estimates into one for all of $c(L)^{\text{reg}}$, we use a partition of unity $\{\eta_\Omega\}$; terms involving $|d\eta_\Omega|$ arise which can be controlled by choosing the elements of the distinguished cover to have sufficiently large overlap.
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Introduction

Classically, Hodge theory and related constructions provided extra structure to abelian topological invariants of the usual topological spaces associated to algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. I would like to explain how, in analogy with these abelian constructions, certain nonabelian topological invariants of complex algebraic varieties have extra structures.

We will be concerned with two related invariants, the space $R$ of framed $n$-dimensional representations of $\pi_1(X,x)$, and $M$, its universal categorical quotient by the action of $G\ell(n)$. These are related to the nonabelian cohomology $H^1(X, G\ell(n))$, which is the set of isomorphism classes of representations, and which has a structure of non-Hausdorff space. The moduli space $M$ is the set of Jordan equivalence classes of representations, the universal Hausdorff space to which the cohomology space maps. The representation space $R$ is the cohomology of $X$ relative to a choice of base point $x$. We will interpret $R$ and $M$ as nonabelian analogues of the abelian cohomology $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$.

The Nonabelian Hodge Theorem

Suppose $X$ is smooth and projective over $\mathbb{C}$. A harmonic bundle on $X$ is a $C^\infty$ vector bundle $E$ with differential operators $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$, and algebraic operators $\theta$ and $\bar{\theta}$ (operators from $E$ to one-forms with coefficients in $E$), such that the following hold. There exists a metric $K$ so that $\partial + \bar{\partial}$ is a unitary connection and $\theta + \bar{\theta}$ is self adjoint. And if we set $D = \partial + \bar{\partial} + \theta + \bar{\theta}$ and $D'' = \bar{\partial} + \theta$, then $D^2 = 0$ and $(D'')^2 = 0$. With these conditions, $(E, D)$ is a vector bundle with flat connection, and $(E, \bar{\partial}, \theta)$ is a Higgs bundle: a holomorphic vector bundle with holomorphic section $\theta \in H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^1_X)$ such that $\theta \land \theta = 0$. Fix a class of Kähler metric for $X$. A Higgs bundle is stable (resp. semistable) if, for any coherent subsheaf $F \subset E$ preserved by $\theta$ with $0 < \text{rk}(F) < \text{rk}(E)$, we have

$$\frac{\text{deg}(F)}{\text{rk}(F)} < (\text{resp.} \leq) \frac{\text{deg}(E)}{\text{rk}(E)}.$$
Theorem 1. There is a natural equivalence between the categories of harmonic bundles on $X$ and semisimple flat bundles (or representations of $\pi_1(X)$). There is a natural equivalence between the categories of harmonic bundles and direct sums of stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes. The resulting correspondence between representations and Higgs bundles can be extended to an equivalence between the categories of all representations of $\pi_1(X)$, and all semistable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes.

The first part of the theorem, relating harmonic bundles and representations, is due to Corlette [2] and Donaldson [6]. It is a generalization of the theorem of Eells and Sampson – a harmonic bundle is equivalent to a representation of the fundamental group in $GL(n)$ together with an equivariant harmonic map from the universal cover of $X$ to $GL(n)/U(n)$. This equivalence uses the Bochner formula of Siu [18]. The part relating harmonic bundles and Higgs bundles is a generalization of the theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri [11] (when $\theta = 0$ a Higgs bundle is just a vector bundle, and the corresponding representation is unitary). Part of this generalization involves the higher dimensional Hermitian-Yang-Mills theory for stable vector bundles developed by Donaldson [5] and Uhlenbeck, Yau [19]. Hitchin [9] gave the definition of stable Higgs bundles, and the proof of their correspondence with irreducible flat bundles, when $X$ is a curve. These two directions are combined for the general statement in [14]. The last statement of the theorem comes from a formality result for the collection of complexes which control extensions [17].

A generalization to the case of noncompact curves is carried out in [16]. The noncompact higher dimensional case is still open (Corlette has some recent work on nonabelian $L^2$ cohomology in the higher dimensional case). For the remainder of the present discussion we will stick with the assumption that $X$ is compact.

The set of flat bundles is analogous to the abelian de Rham cohomology, while the set of Higgs bundles $(E, \theta)$ is analogous to the abelian Dolbeault cohomology, $H^1(\Omega_X) \oplus H^1(\Omega_X^1)$. The first two parts of Theorem 1 may be interpreted as giving harmonic representatives for certain nonabelian de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology classes. The fact that the notion of harmonic representative (harmonic bundle) is the same in both cases, is the analogue of the abelian Kahler identity $\Delta d = 2d\overline{\partial}$.

Variations of Hodge Structure. There is a natural $C^*$ action on the category of semistable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes, defined by $t : (E, \theta) \mapsto (E, t\theta)$.

Lemma 2. The semisimple representations which are fixed by this action of $C^*$ are exactly those which underly complex variations of Hodge structure.

Proof. If $(E, \theta)$ is fixed, then there is an action of $C^*$ on $E$, corresponding to a decomposition $E = \bigoplus E^p$ with $\theta : E^p \to E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega^1_X$. The spaces $E^p$ will be mutually orthogonal with respect to the harmonic metric [14]. A harmonic bundle with orthogonal decomposition which is preserved by $\overline{\partial}$ and shifted once by $\theta$ is exactly a complex variation of Hodge structure. \hfill \square
Rigid Representations. A representation \( \rho \) of \( \pi_1(X) \) is rigid if any nearby representation is conjugate to it. The correspondence given by Theorem 1 is continuous on the moduli space of semisimple representations (see below). Thus, if a semisimple representation is rigid, then it must be fixed by \( \mathbb{C}^* \), and Lemma 2 implies that it comes from a complex variation of Hodge structure. This can be strengthened:

**Proposition 3.** Suppose \( \rho \) is a rigid semisimple representation of \( \pi_1(X) \). Then there is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-variation of Hodge structure \( V_\rho \) such that \( \rho \) is a direct factor of the monodromy representation of \( V_\rho \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) (that monodromy is a sum of conjugates of \( \rho \)). If \( \rho \) is two dimensional, then the variation of Hodge structure \( V_\rho \) can be assumed to have Hodge types \((1,0)\) and \((0,1)\) only.

For an \( \ell \)-adic analogue, suppose \( X \) is defined over \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \). If a representation \( \rho \) is rigid, and integral at a prime \( \ell \), then the resulting representation into \( PGL(n, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \) descends to a representation of the algebraic fundamental group \( \pi_1^{alg}(X_K) \) for some finite extension \( K'/K \). These results suggest the following conjectures (which are actually special cases of the conjectures in [15]).

**Conjecture 4** (rigid \( \Rightarrow \) motivic). Suppose \( \rho \) is a rigid semisimple representation of \( \pi_1(X) \). Then \( \rho \) is a direct factor in the monodromy representation of a motive (i.e. family of varieties) over \( X \).

**Conjecture 5** (rigid \( \Rightarrow \) integral). Suppose \( \rho \) is a rigid semisimple representation of \( \pi_1(X) \). Then \( \rho \) is isomorphic to a representation with coefficients which are algebraic integers.

Note that the first would imply the second. By Proposition 3, a rigid integral two dimensional representation comes from a family of abelian varieties, so in this case the second statement would imply the first. In the case of two dimensional representations, one can show that a Zariski dense representation into \( SL(2) \) is either rigid, or else it is equal in \( PSL(2) \) to a representation pulled back (via an algebraic map) from a curve with orbifold structure. So Conjecture 5 would imply that any such two dimensional representation is pulled back, either from a curve with orbifold structure, or a subspace of the moduli space of abelian varieties.

Restrictions on Fundamental Groups. The nonabelian Hodge theorem, and related considerations, have led to restrictions on which groups can be fundamental groups of smooth projective varieties (or really, of any compact Kähler manifolds). This subject begins with Siu’s rigidity theorem [18]. Siu’s method has been used in [1], [2], and [13]. A typical example is:

**Theorem 6** (Carlson, Toledo). Suppose \( \Gamma \) is a discrete cocompact subgroup of \( SO(n,1) \) \((n>2)\). Then \( \Gamma \) is not the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold.
The monodromy group of a variation of Hodge structure must be a Hodge group [17]. For connected simple groups, the Hodge groups are all except the complex groups, and those isogenous to $SU^*(2n)$ $(n \geq 3)$, $SO(p,q)$ $(p,q \text{ odd})$, $E_6(6)$, or $E_6(-26)$. By work of many people, almost all lattices in real groups are known to be rigid, so some further nonexistence results can be derived from Proposition 3 (again, indirectly, from Siu's Bochner formula).

**Theorem 7.** Suppose $G$ is a real group which is not a Hodge group. Suppose $\Gamma \subset G$ is a rigid lattice. Then $\Gamma$ is not the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold. If $\Gamma$ is a group of the above form, and if $\Gamma'$ is a semi-direct product of $\Gamma$ with any other group (in which $\Gamma$ is the quotient), then $\Gamma'$ is not the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety.

Siu's technique has been used to obtain other topological information. In a related direction, Corlette has used harmonic maps to prove some rigidity results about lattices in real groups. With a generalization of the Bochner technique applying to spaces with quaternionic structures, he proves that any cocompact lattice $\Gamma$ in $Sp(n,1)$ $(n \geq 2)$, or $F_4^{-20}$, is superrigid over archimedean fields [3].

**Moduli Spaces**

Fix a number $n$. The Betti versions of our topological invariants are easy to define. $R_B(X,x)$ is the space of representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X,x)$ on the fixed space $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is an affine variety defined by the generators and relations of the fundamental group. The group $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts. There is a universal categorical quotient $M^B(X)$, sometimes called the character variety. When $X$ is a smooth projective variety, $R$ and $M$ have algebraic de Rham and Dolbeault realizations, as provided by the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, with a point $x \in X$. There exist algebraic varieties $R_{DR}(X,x)$, $R_{Dol}(X,x)$, $M_{DR}(X)$, and $M_{Dol}(X)$, quasiprojective over $\mathbb{C}$, which are moduli spaces as follows. $R_{DR}$ is a fine moduli space for the set of $(E,V,\beta)$ where $(E,V)$ is a vector bundle with integrable algebraic connection and $\beta : E_x \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ is a frame. $R_{Dol}$ is a fine moduli space for the set of $(E,\theta,\beta)$ where $(E,\theta)$ is a semistable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern classes, and $\beta$ is a frame for $E_x$. The group $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts on $R_{DR}$ and $R_{Dol}$, and $M_{DR}$ and $M_{Dol}$ are the universal categorical quotients. Their points parametrize semisimple objects.

The construction of the moduli space of Higgs bundles was treated in Hitchin's paper [9], and later by Nitsure [12], in the case when $X$ is a curve. The higher dimensional case is treated in a preprint by the author (containing some errors – particularly with regard to the next proposition – which have subsequently been corrected).

**Proposition 9.** The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence between bundles with integrable connection and representations provides isomorphisms of the associated complex analytic spaces, $(R_{DR})^an \cong (R_B)^an$ and $(M_{DR})^an \cong (M_B)^an$. 
The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence is not algebraic. It provides an example of two different algebraic varieties whose associated complex analytic spaces are isomorphic, generalizing the example of Serre.

**Proposition 10.** The correspondence of Theorem 1 provides a homeomorphism between the usual topological spaces underlying $M_{\text{Dol}}$ and $M_{B}$. However, the extended correspondence between the points of $R_{\text{Dol}}$ and $R_{B}$ provided by the last part of Theorem 1, is not continuous.

This proposition was treated by Hitchin in his case [9]. Hitchin also discussed several important properties of the moduli space $M_{\text{Dol}}$, all of which carry over to the case of higher dimensional $X$:

**Theorem 11.** There is a natural algebraic action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on the space $M_{\text{Dol}}$, representing the action defined previously. There is a proper map from $M_{\text{Dol}}$ to a vector space, compatible with an action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on the vector space having only positive weights. Thus if $p$ is any point in $M_{\text{Dol}}$, then the limit $\lim_{t \to 0} tp$ exists in $M_{\text{Dol}}$.

A corollary of this theorem, the continuity of Proposition 10, and the characterization of Lemma 2, is that any representation of $\pi_{1}(X)$ can be deformed to a complex variation of Hodge structure. This provides the mechanism for proving the restrictions stated in the second half of Theorem 7.

**The Gauss-Manin Connection.** Suppose $X \to S$ is a smooth projective family over a base scheme $S$, with section of base points $x : S \to X$. Then the above constructions give families of moduli spaces $R_{\text{DR}}(X/S, x)$ and $M_{\text{DR}}(X/S)$ over $S$. There is an analogue of the Gauss-Manin connection.

**Theorem 12.** Suppose $X/S$ is smooth and projective with base point $x(S)$. Let $(S \times S)^{\wedge}$ denote the formal neighborhood of the diagonal in $S \times S$, similarly in $S \times S \times S$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi : p^{*}_{1}(R_{\text{DR}}(X/S))|_{(S \times S)^{\wedge}} \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{*}_{2}(R_{\text{DR}}(X/S))|_{(S \times S)^{\wedge}},$$

such that on $(S \times S \times S)^{\wedge}$ the cocycle condition $p^{*}_{23}(\phi)p^{*}_{12}(\phi) = p^{*}_{13}(\phi)$ is satisfied. The same holds for the universal categorical quotient $M_{\text{DR}}(X/S)$. These isomorphisms are compatible with the local analytic trivializations which arise from the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

**Proof.** The category of vector bundles with connection on $X/S$ can be interpreted as a category of crystals on $X/S$. If $S_{0} \subset S$ is a closed subscheme defined by a nilpotent ideal, and $X_{0}$ is the inverse image of $S_{0}$, then the category of crystals on $X/S$ is equivalent to the category of crystals on $X_{0}/S$. Hence the scheme $R_{\text{DR}}(X/S)$ over $S$ depends functorially only on the family $X_{0} \to S_{0}$ and the inclusion $S_{0} \subset S$, although the existence of $R_{\text{DR}}(X/S)$ seems to depend on the existence of a family $X \to S$. This gives a crystal of schemes over the stratifying site of $S$, which is equivalent to the structure described in the theorem. \(\Box\)
At points where $S$ and $R_{DR}(X/S)$ are smooth, the structure provided by this theorem may be described more simply as a splitting $\pi^*TS \to T(R_{DR}(X/S))$ of the quotient of tangent bundles, satisfying an integrability condition. If $S$ is an artinian scheme, it gives a natural isomorphism $R_{DR}(X/S) \cong R_{DR}(X_0) \times S$.

**The Hyperkähler Structure.** The identification given by Theorem 1 is not complex analytic. Thus, the same space is given several complex structures.

**Theorem 13** (Hitchin). Denote by $M^s$, the set of smooth points of the moduli space. The isomorphism $M_{\text{Dol}}^s \cong M_B^s$ is smooth. Let $I$ and $J$ denote the complex structures on $M^s$ obtained from $M_{\text{Dol}}^s$ and $M_B^s$ respectively. Then $K = IJ$ is a complex structure, and this triple gives a quaternionic structure in the tangent space at any point. The resulting quaternionic manifold $M^s$ has a natural hyperkähler structure.

This was pointed out by Hitchin [9] in the case when $X$ is a curve; the general case has been treated in [4] and recently, in detail, by Fujiki [7]. We refer to Hitchin's paper for the definition of hyperkähler manifold.

**The Space of $\lambda$-Connections.** Here is a definition due to Deligne – the contents of this subsection were all described or outlined by him in correspondence [4]. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. A $\lambda$-connection on a vector bundle $E$ is an operator $V : E \to E \otimes \Omega^1_X$, such that $V(ae) = \lambda d(a)e + aV(e)$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ then a $\lambda$-connection $V$ corresponds to a connection $\lambda^{-1}V$. On the other hand, if $\lambda = 0$ then a bundle with integrable $\lambda$-connection is just a Higgs bundle $(E, \theta)$, $\theta = V$. So this definition provides a deformation from the notion of connection to the notion of Higgs bundle. Its importance comes from the resulting moduli space.

**Theorem 14.** Suppose $X$ is smooth and projective over $\mathbb{C}$, with base point $x$. There exist quasiprojective algebraic varieties $W^+ \to \mathbb{A}^1$ and $Z^+ \to \mathbb{A}^1$ which are moduli spaces for $\lambda$-connections as follows. $W^+$ is a fine moduli space for $(\lambda, E, V, \beta)$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$, $(E, V)$ is a vector bundle with integrable $\lambda$-connection (semistable with vanishing Chern classes in the case $\lambda = 0$), and $\beta$ is a frame for $E_x$. The group $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $W^+$ with universal categorical quotient $Z^+$.

The fibers $W^+_1$, $W^+_0$, $Z^+_1$, and $Z^+_0$ are respectively the moduli spaces $R_{DR}$, $R_{\text{Dol}}$, $M_{DR}$, and $M_{\text{Dol}}$. There are natural actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $W^+$ and $Z^+$, defined by $t : (\lambda, E, V, \beta) \mapsto (t\lambda, E, tV, \beta)$, covering the standard action on $\mathbb{A}^1$.

Deligne thought of the space of $\lambda$-connections as a way of approaching the notion of quaternionic structure for the singular space $M$. There are complex analytic spaces $W$ and $Z$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ characterized by the following properties. There are actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$ covering the standard action on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and antilinear involutions $\sigma$ compatible with the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ (and the map to $\mathbb{P}^1$) relative the involution $t \mapsto (t)^{-1}$. There are identifications

$$W|_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong W^+ \quad Z|_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong Z^+$$
compatible with the action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \). On the fibers \( W_1 \cong R_B \) and \( Z_1 \cong M_B \), the involution \( \sigma \) takes a representation to the complex conjugate representation.

A harmonic bundle \( E \) yields a family of holomorphic bundles \( (E, \bar{\partial} + \lambda \partial) \) with \( \lambda \)-connections \( V = \lambda \partial + \partial \), indexed by \( \lambda \in A^1 \). The resulting section \( A^1 \to W^+ \) is holomorphic and extends to a section \( \mathbb{P}^1 \to W \). Refer to these sections (and their projections in \( Z \)) as preferred sections. The involution \( \sigma \) maps a preferred section to the preferred section corresponding to the complex conjugate representation. We get a trivialization \( Z \cong M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), where \( M \) denotes the moduli space of harmonic bundles.

**Proposition 15** (Deligne). The trivialization \( Z \cong M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a homeomorphism, and on the set of smooth points it identifies \( Z \) with the twistor space for the quaternionic structure of \( M^s \).

**Proof.** There is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) of complex structures \( q \) in the quaternions. The twistor space is \( M^s \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) endowed with a complex structure which makes the horizontal projective lines holomorphic, and which endows each fiber \( M^s \times \{ q \} \) with the complex structure \( q \) deduced from the quaternionic structure of \( M^s \). One has to check that the identification \( Z_q \cong M^s \) takes the complex structure of \( Z_q \) to the complex structure \( q \) of \( M^s \). \( \square \)

Deligne proposes a way of defining the notion of quaternionic structure for a singular space such as \( M \) by some axioms for a twistor space such as \( Z \).

**Compactifications.** The space of \( \lambda \)-connections suggested by Deligne turns out to be very useful for compactifying the moduli spaces.

**Lemma 16.** Suppose \( z \) is a point of \( Z^+ \). Then the limit \( \lim_{t \to 0} tz \) exists in \( Z^+ \). Also, the set of fixed points of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) in \( Z^+ \) is compact.

**Proposition 17.** Suppose \( Z^+ \) is any algebraic variety with an algebraic action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \), such that the limits \( \lim_{t \to 0} tz \) exist, and such that the set of fixed points is compact. Let \( S^+ \subset Z^+ \) denote the subset of points \( s \) such that \( \lim_{t \to 0} ts \) exists in \( Z^+ \). Then there is a universal geometric quotient \( P = (Z^+ - S^+)/\mathbb{C}^* \), and \( P \) is a proper scheme (not necessarily projective).

We can apply this construction to the moduli space of \( \lambda \)-connections \( Z^+ \), to obtain a compactification of \( M_{\text{DR}} \).

**Corollary 18.** Let \( Z^+ \) be the space of \( \lambda \)-connections. Let \( P_{\text{DR}} = (Z^+ - S^+)/\mathbb{C}^* \) be the proper scheme defined above. Then \( M_{\text{DR}} \subset P_{\text{DR}} \) is an open subset, providing a compactification of the space of bundles with integrable connection.

**Proof.** Note that the subset \( S^+ \subset Z^+ \) is contained in the fiber over \( 0 \in A^1 \). Let \( D = (Z^+_0 - S^+)/\mathbb{C}^* \subset P_{\text{DR}} \). It is a closed subset, and the complement is equal to \( (Z^+ - Z^+_0)/\mathbb{C}^* \cong M_{\text{DR}} \). \( \square \)

There is a similar compactification \( M_{\text{Dol}} \subset P_{\text{Dol}} \) where the complement is the same divisor \( D \). In fact, these fit together into a relative compactification of \( Z^+ \) over \( A^1 \).
The Hodge Filtration. Here is another use for the space of \( \lambda \)-connections. It can be thought of as the nonabelian analogue of the Hodge filtration on the de Rham moduli space. Suppose \( V \) is a finite dimensional vector space with an exhaustive decreasing filtration \( F^p \). Define a locally free sheaf \( \xi(V, F) \) over \( A^1 \) as the submodule of \( V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \) generated by the \( t^{-p} F^p \).

**Lemma 19.** The construction \( \xi \) provides an equivalence between the category of vector spaces with exhaustive decreasing filtrations, and the category of locally free sheaves on \( A^1 \) with action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) covering the standard action on \( A^1 \).

The vector space \( V \) is recovered as the fiber of \( \xi(V, F) \) at 1, whereas the fiber at 0 is canonically the associated graded \( \text{Gr}_p(V) \). Given a real structure, one can glue together \( \xi(V, F) \) and \( \xi(V, \bar{F}) \) using the involution \( t \mapsto (\bar{t})^{-1} \), to obtain a locally free sheaf \( \xi(V, F, \bar{F}) \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) with action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) and antilinear involution.

In view of this lemma, we can define a nonabelian filtration to be a scheme over \( A^1 \) with action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \). The spaces \( W^+ \) and \( Z^+ \) over \( A^1 \), together with their \( \mathbb{C}^* \) actions, may then be interpreted as the nonabelian Hodge filtrations of the fibers \( R_{\text{DR}} = W^+_1 \) and \( M_{\text{DR}} = Z^+_1 \). The spaces \( \sigma(W^+) \) and \( \sigma(Z^+) \) (which are the restrictions of \( W \) and \( Z \) to the affine neighborhood of infinity) are the complex conjugates of the Hodge filtrations. The Dolbeault spaces \( R_{\text{Dol}} \) and \( M_{\text{Dol}} \) become the associated graded spaces of the nonabelian Hodge filtrations (just as for abelian cohomology). Lemma 16 is analogous to saying that the Hodge filtration is concentrated in positive degrees.

These definitions are compatible with the mixed Hodge structure defined by Morgan [10] and Hain [8] on the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group. Let \( A = (\mathbb{C}^1)^\wedge \) be the completion of the group algebra at the augmentation ideal. They show that this has a Hodge filtration \( F \) giving a mixed Hodge structure (the real structure being the completion of the real group algebra, and the weight filtration given by powers of the augmentation ideal). By Lemma 19, this data is equivalent to the sheaf of algebras \( \xi(A, F, \bar{F}) \) together with its \( \mathbb{C}^* \) action and involution.

Given a complete augmented algebra such as \( A \), one can define a formal scheme \( R(A) \), the space of representations infinitesimally close to the trivial one. For \( A = (\mathbb{C}^1)^\wedge \) as above, the space \( R(A) \) is naturally isomorphic to the completion of \( R_B \) at the point corresponding to the trivial representation.

**Theorem 20.** The family of algebras \( \xi(A, F, \bar{F}) \) over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) gives rise to a family of formal schemes \( R(\xi(A, F, \bar{F})) \) over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). This family of formal schemes (with \( \mathbb{C}^* \) action and involution) is naturally isomorphic to the formal neighborhood of the trivial preferred section in \( W \). The isomorphism is compatible with the one described above in the fiber over 1.

Conversely, Tannaka duality allows the mixed Hodge structure \( \xi(A, F, \bar{F}) \) to be recovered from the data of the formal neighborhoods of the spaces \( W \), together with some information on how they fit together for different ranks.
Nonabelian Hodge Theory

Speculations

It is natural to wonder how the results outlined above might fit into a notion of nonabelian Hodge structure. The action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the space of representations gives, by Tannaka duality, an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the proalgebraic completion $\varpi_1(X)$ (the inverse limit of the Zariski closures of the images, over all finite dimensional representations of $\pi_1(X)$). The action of $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ on the proreductive quotient $\varpi_1^{\text{red}}$ satisfies some axioms which might be taken as a preliminary definition of pure Hodge structure on a group [17]. The map $S^1 \times \pi_1(X) \to \varpi_1^{\text{red}}$ is continuous when the right side is given the inverse limit of analytic topologies. And the action of $-1 \in S^1$ is a Cartan involution of the real form $(\varpi_1^{\text{red}})^{\mathbb{R}}$ (inverse limit of real Zariski closures). The restrictions of the first half of Theorem 7 may be derived from these axioms (one would like to interpret all those kinds of topological restrictions as following from the nonabelian Hodge structure).

This definition refers to the continuous structure of the space of representations only in the crudest way. Preferable would be a notion of nonabelian Hodge structure for the space of representations. We have defined the nonabelian Hodge filtration. What about weight filtrations and polarizations? Probably the hyperkähler metric will play a role. The mixed Hodge structure on $(\mathbb{C}_{\pi_1})^\Lambda$ will provide the power series for the nonabelian Hodge structure at the trivial representation (Theorem 20).

With or without a precise definition, we are afforded the pleasant opportunity of revisiting all the old glories of Hodge theory, and trying to provide their nonabelian analogues. The subject started with Griffiths’ transversality result. Preliminary heuristic calculations seem to show that an analogous transversality relates the Gauss-Manin connection defined in Theorem 12, to the Hodge filtration interpreted as the space $Z^+$. The lifts of vector fields from the base $S$, to the restriction of $Z^+(X/S)$ over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, will have poles of order $\leq 1$ along $Z^0$ (and hence also along the divisor at infinity in the compactification).

This raises the question of whether there is a good notion of variation of nonabelian Hodge structure over $S$. One could try to replicate the works of Schmid and others about degenerating variations. Is there an appropriate sense in which the Gauss-Manin connection has regular singularities? And is there some type of limiting nonabelian Hodge structure? This type of theory would be in the service of dévissage, trying to obtain information about the topology of a space $X$ by fiber ing $X \to Y$ and studying the family of fundamental groups of the fibers. For example, the moduli space $M(X)$ (with all of its structures) becomes a constant subspace of the varying family $M(X_y)$. The wide array of structures on $M(X_y)$ seems to make this an unlikely event.

Let me close with some wild speculation on how this picture might fit into an overall view of Hodge theory. We have treated two topological invariants, the space $R$ of framed representations, and the quotient $M$. For which topological invariants will there be such a theory? Suppose $F : \text{Top} \to \text{Sch}$ is a functor from the category of topological spaces to the category of schemes. Are there some simple conditions which would guarantee that, for a smooth projective variety $X$, $F(X)$ has a ”Hodge structure”? Perhaps (to say something entirely
unsubstantiated) there might be an action of $C^*$ on the set of such functors $F$, and the functors which are fixed are of Hodge type.
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We begin by recalling Faltings' theorem (née Mordell's conjecture):

**Theorem 0.1** (Faltings, 1983). *Let $C$ be a curve of genus $> 1$ defined over a number field $k$. Then the set $C(k)$ of $k$-rational points on $C$ is finite.*

Compact Riemann surfaces of genus $> 1$ are also characterized by the property that they are hyperbolic, in any of the senses discussed in the next section. Recent work has suggested that a similar link exists, formally at least, between arithmetic properties of algebraic varieties (of any dimension) and complex analytic properties of the corresponding complex manifold. The goal of this talk is to discuss generalizations of Theorem 0.1 which have been motivated by this analogy.

### 1. Hyperbolicity

We begin by defining several notions of hyperbolicity. Throughout this section let $X$ be a connected complex manifold, not necessarily compact.

**Definition 1.1.** The manifold $X$ is **negatively curved** if there exists a $(1, 1)$-form $\omega$ on $X$ and a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that all holomorphic sectional curvatures of $\omega$ are $<-\kappa$.

**Definition 1.2** (Kobayashi, 1970). The Poincaré distance $d_{\text{hyp}}$ on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is the distance given infinitesimally by the form

$$
\frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

Then $X$ is said to be **Kobayashi hyperbolic** if there exists a distance $d_X$ on $X$ (with $d_X(x, y) > 0$ whenever $x \neq y$) such that for all holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{D} \to X$, $f^*d_X \leq d_{\text{hyp}}$.

**Definition 1.3.** The manifold $X$ is **Brody hyperbolic** if all holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to $X$ are constant.
Actually, the latter two definitions are valid when \( X \) is a complex space. We have

\[
\text{negatively curved} \implies \text{Kobayashi hyperbolic} \implies \text{Brody hyperbolic}.
\]

The converse of the second arrow holds if \( X \) is compact, but not in general.

A general reference on hyperbolicity is Lang (1987); see also Kobayashi (1970).

2. Conjectures in Number Theory

The first conjecture relating complex analytic and arithmetic properties is the following.

**Conjecture 2.1** (Lang, 1974). *A complete variety \( X/k \) has only finitely many rational points if the corresponding complex space is Kobayashi hyperbolic.*

More recently the above statement, which is qualitative in nature, has been replaced by a more quantitative version. To describe it, we first state a theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( D \) be an effective divisor on a complete irreducible nonsingular curve \( C \), and assume that \( D \) has no multiple points. Let \( K \) be a canonical divisor on \( C \), let \( A \) be an ample divisor on \( C \), and fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then for almost all symbols "\( ? \)"

\[
m(D,?) + T_K(?) \leq \varepsilon T_A(?) + O(1).
\]

Supplied with one set of definitions, this theorem is Nevanlinna's Second Main Theorem. Indeed, let \( C \) be a compact Riemann surface, and let \( f : \mathbb{C} \to C \) be a holomorphic function, regarded as an infinite collection of maps \( f_r : \mathbb{D}_r \to C \) (here \( \mathbb{D}_r \) is the closed disc of radius \( r \), \( r > 0 \)). Replace the symbol "\( ? \)" with \( r \), and interpret "almost all" to mean all \( r \) outside a set of finite Lebesgue measure. Choose some distance function \( \text{dist}(P, Q) \) on \( C \), and extend it to divisors by the formula

\[
\text{dist}(P, \Sigma n_Q \cdot Q) = \prod \text{dist}(P, Q)^{n_Q}.
\]

Then, for any divisor \( D \) on \( C \) let

\[
m(D, r) = \int_0^{2\pi} -\log \text{dist}(f(re^{i\theta}), D) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi};
\]

\[
N(D, r) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}_r} \text{ord}_w f^*D \log \frac{r}{|w|};
\]

\[
T_D(r) = m(D, r) + N(D, r).
\]

This gives a weak form of the Second Main Theorem for curves.

With a different set of definitions, we obtain an arithmetic result which goes by several names, depending on the genus of the curve. Let \( C \) be a curve defined
over a number field \( k \), and assume also that \( D \) is defined over \( k \). We now replace “?” by points \( P \in C(k) \setminus \text{Supp} \, D \), and interpret “almost all” to mean all but finitely many points \( P \). Fix a finite set \( S \) of places of \( k \), and for all places \( v \) of \( k \) let \( \text{dist}_v() \) denote some fixed distance on \( C \) in the \( v \)-adic topology, extended to divisors as before. It is assumed that these are chosen uniformly in some sense, as in Lang (1983). Then for any divisor \( D \) on \( C \) defined over \( k \), let

\[
\begin{align*}
   m(D, P) &= \frac{1}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in S} -\log \text{dist}_v(P, D) ; \\
   N(D, P) &= \frac{1}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \not\in S} -\log \text{dist}_v(P, D) ; \\
   T_D(P) &= m(D, P) + N(D, P) \\
               &= \frac{1}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_v -\log \text{dist}_v(P, D) .
\end{align*}
\]

The quantity \( T_D \) is thus the Weil height of \( P \) relative to \( D \); in particular if \( D \) is ample then there are only finitely many points \( P \in C(k) \) of bounded height. In the arithmetic case we will also write \( h_D(P) \) for the height.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2 (Algebraic Variant).** Let \( g \) denote the genus of \( C \).

**Case 1.** If \( C(k) \) is finite then the inequality must hold; by Faltings' theorem 0.1 this must hold if \( g > 1 \). Conversely, if \( g > 1 \) then \( K \) is ample, so taking \( D = 0 \), \( A = K \), and \( \varepsilon < 1 \), we see that Theorem 2.2 implies a bound on the height of rational points; hence it implies Mordell's conjecture.

**Case 2.** If \( g = 0 \) then Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to Roth's theorem:

**Theorem (Roth, 1955).** For each \( v \in S \) fix \( \alpha_v \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). Then for all \( x \in k \setminus \{\alpha_v\} \),

\[
\frac{1}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in S} -\log \min(\|x - \alpha_v\|_v, 1) < (2 + \varepsilon)h(x) .
\]

Here \( h(x) = h_A(x) \) with \( A = \mathcal{O}(1) \). For details, see Vojta (1987), Sect. 3.2.

**Case 3.** If \( g = 1 \), then Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to an approximation statement on elliptic curves, derived by Lang from Roth's theorem using methods of Siegel. See Lang (1960b) for details.

Thus we find that the Second Main Theorem of Nevanlinna theory translates into the number field case, giving several known theorems. Generally speaking, any such theorem in Nevanlinna theory should translate into a true statement for number fields. Such statements often agree with diophantine conjectures previously made. See also Sect. 8, as well as Vojta (1987), Chap. 4.

Again, this framework is related to hyperbolicity because proofs of Nevanlinna theory exist using notions of curvature.
In Nevanlinna theory, the analogues of Roth's theorem and Mordell's conjecture are both proved by a common proof. This suggested that Mordell's conjecture should be provable by methods similar to those used in the proof of Roth's theorem. In fact, such a proof was found in Vojta (1989) and Vojta (1990).

3. Sketch of Roth's Proof

Roth's proof proceeds by contradiction. Assume that there are infinitely many $x \in k$ not satisfying (2). Then we choose a finite set with certain properties. These are used to construct an auxiliary polynomial, the properties of which lead to a contradiction.

To start, let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ be all the conjugates of all $\alpha_v, v \in S$. We construct a polynomial $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, of degree $d_i$ in $x_i$, with a specified type of zero at all points $(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_i), 1 \leq i \leq m$. This is viewed as a linear algebra problem, with the coefficients of the polynomial as variables. The vanishing conditions are set up so as to use up almost all of the degrees of freedom in choosing $P$; by the Dirichlet Box Principle, we can find such a polynomial in $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with bounded coefficients, where $R$ is the ring of integers of $k$. This step is often referred to as Siegel's lemma.

Next we choose a set of counterexamples to (2) such that

$$1 \ll h(x_1) \ll \cdots \ll h(x_n)$$

and such that, for all $v \in S$, the numbers

$$\frac{-\log \min(\|x_i - \alpha_v\|, 1)}{h(x_i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

are close to each other. This involves a sphere packing argument.

Finally, for each $v \in S$, using the Taylor expansion of $P$ at $(\alpha_v, \ldots, \alpha_v)$, the type of zero of $P$ at that point, and the bound on the coefficients of $P$, we obtain a bound for $\|P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|$, and therefore for its norm. If the norm is too small, we obtain a contradiction unless $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$. A similar argument applied to certain derivatives of $P$ implies that they vanish as well. Hence $P$ has a certain type of zero at $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. But this contradicts Roth's lemma: the type of zero of $P$ is bounded from above, depending on the size of the coefficients of $P$ and the heights of the $x_i$.

4. Vojta's Proof

A key difficulty in extending Roth's proof to the case of rational points is dealing with the absence of the $\alpha$'s, which are needed to (a) provide vanishing conditions which use up the degrees of freedom in choosing $P$, and (b) prove the vanishing of $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, via the assumption that (2) fails to hold.

This difficulty is overcome by means of intersection theory; in particular, we make use of the fact that the diagonal divisor $\Delta$ on $C \times C$ is a divisor with
negative self-intersection. Also let $F_1$ (resp. $F_2$) be a divisor on $C \times C$ coming from a divisor $F$ of degree 1 on the first (resp. second) factor. Then let

$$\begin{align*}
A' &= A - F_1 - F_2; \\
Y_r &= \Delta' + a_1 F_1 + a_2 F_2,
\end{align*}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ are chosen so that $a_1/a_2 = r$ and $Y_r^2$ is positive but small. Then, by Riemann-Roch, high multiples of $Y_r$ will have relatively few global sections; this is how we use up our degrees of freedom in this case. (Here $r$ is a large rational number.)

The proof replaces many of the classical arguments involving polynomials and absolute values with the language of arithmetic intersection theory, as developed by Arakelov, Gillet, and Soulé. Therefore, we work on an arithmetic scheme $W$ of dimension 3 corresponding to $C \times C$, and replace the Siegel's lemma argument with a use of the Riemann-Roch theorem of Gillet and Soulé, to construct a section $y$ of a multiple of $Y_r$ with certain arithmetical properties.

Next we choose points, only two this time, such that

$$1 < h(P_1) < h(P_2)$$

and satisfying a certain sphere packing condition again – this time in the Mordell-Weil group of the Jacobian of $C$. Let $X$ be the arithmetic surface corresponding to $C$; for $i = 1, 2$ let $E_i$ be the (Arakelov) divisor on $X$ corresponding to $P_i$, and let $E$ be the curve on $W$ corresponding to $(P_1, P_2)$. Then this sphere packing condition implies that $(E_1 \cdot E_2)$ on $X$ will be small, and therefore $(E \cdot A)$ on $W$ will be small. Then $(E \cdot Y_r)$ will be small and in fact negative. Thus $y$ must be zero on $E$; similarly certain derivatives must vanish, giving a lower bound for the type of zero as before. Again, this produces a contradiction.

As is the case with Roth's theorem, this proof – as well as all proofs derived from it – is ineffective; i.e., it can give a bound on the number of rational points but not on their heights. Thus it is often true that one cannot prove that a given set of rational points is the set of all rational points.

5. Extensions Due to Faltings

In Faltings (1990), Vojta’s methods were generalized, giving two new theorems.

Theorem 5.1 (Conjectured in Lang (1960a)). Let $X$ be a complete subvariety of an abelian variety $A$, and assume that $X$ does not contain any translates of any nontrivial abelian subvarieties of $A$. Let $k$ be a number field over which $X$ and $A$ are defined. Then $X(k)$ is finite.

Theorem 5.2. Let $A$ be an abelian variety, and let $Y \subseteq A$ be a subvariety. Let $k$ be a number field over which $A$ and $Y$ are defined, let $v$ be a place of $k$, and let $\text{dist}_v(x, Y)$ be a $v$-adic distance on $A$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and fix a height function $h(x)$ on $A$ relative to some ample divisor. Then the set of $x \in A(k) \setminus Y$ such that
\[ -\log \text{dist}_\nu(x, Y) > \varepsilon h(x) \]

is finite.

**Corollary 5.3** (Conjectured in Lang (1960a)). Let \( H \) be an ample divisor on \( A \). Then the set of integral points on \( A(k) \) relative to \( H \) is finite.

In proving these results, Faltings adds the following ingredients to Vojta's work.

1. Use of the Poincaré divisor on \( A \times A \) in place of \( A' \).
2. Use of the product of \( A \) with itself \( n \) times instead of just two. The divisor he considers is again a combination of divisors from each factor, together with Poincaré divisors associated to the \( i \)-th and \((i + 1)\)st factors, \( 1 \leq i < n \).
3. A new zero estimate (the "product theorem") is needed to deal with technical issues concerning the use of \( n \) factors.
4. Instead of proving separately an upper bound on the type of zero of the section \( y \) at \((P_1, \ldots, P_n)\), he builds this upper bound into the construction of \( y \), using Minkowski's theorem on successive minima. Also, the use of the Gillet-Soulé Riemann-Roch theorem is replaced by more elementary manipulations.

### 6. Recent Work of Bombieri

A few months ago Bombieri reworked Vojta's proof, obtaining a more elementary proof using only the classical theory of heights, Siegel's lemma, and a few necessary results from algebraic geometry over a field; see Bombieri (1990). In particular, he also eliminated the use of the Gillet-Soulé Riemann-Roch theorem, but in a manner independent of the extensions due to Faltings.

Bombieri uses the same divisor \( Y \) as in Sect. 4, but he expresses it as a difference of two very ample divisors, as follows.

First choose a positive integer \( s \) such that

\[
B := sF_1 + sF_2 - A'
\]

is very ample; write

\[
\phi_B : C \times C \to \mathbb{P}^n
\]

for the corresponding embedding. Likewise choose a divisor \( F \) of degree 1 on \( C \) and a positive integer \( N \) such that \( NF \) is very ample, giving an embedding

\[
\phi_{NF} : C \to \mathbb{P}^n.
\]

This gives another embedding

\[
\psi : C \times C \to \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n.
\]

It then follows from the Enriques-Severi-Zariski lemma (Zariski 1952) that for all sufficiently large integers \( \delta_1, \delta_2 \), the map

\[
\Gamma (\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n, \delta(\delta_1, \delta_2)) \to \Gamma (C \times C, \delta_1 NF_1 + \delta_2 NF_2)
\]
is surjective. Thus, writing
\[ dY = d_1NF_1 + d_2NF_2 - dB, \]
we find that for any sections \( s \) of \( dY \) and \( s' \) of \( dB \), the product \( ss' \) is the restriction to \( C \times C \) of a global section on \( \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \). Thus, it is possible to revert to use of polynomials in this proof.

Since he is working with polynomials over a field, the arguments in Vojta’s proof regarding analytic torsion and cohomology over finite fields are unnecessary.

Also, to obtain the upper bound on the type of zero of the section at \((P_1, P_2)\), Bombieri uses suitably chosen projections from \( C \) to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \); thus he is able to use the same lemma as Roth uses.

This latter simplification, together with the ideas of Faltings concerning use of Siegel’s lemma in place of the Gillet-Soulé Riemann-Roch theorem, remove the obstacles to combining this proof with Roth’s original proof. Thus we have a unified proof of the number field version of Theorem 2.2.

Of course, combining the arithmetic proof with the proof in the case of Nevanlinna theory remains a distant goal.

### 7. Algebraic Points of Bounded Degree

The unified proof mentioned above can be further extended to give a generalization of Theorem 2.2 which contains Wirsing’s generalization, Wirsing (1971), of Roth’s theorem to algebraic points of bounded degree.

Before stating the theorem, however, let \( X \) be an arithmetic surface corresponding to \( C \), and for all points \( P \in C(\bar{k}) \) let \( E_P \) denote the corresponding Arakelov divisor on \( X \). Then let
\[ d_a(P) = \frac{(E \cdot E + \omega_{X/B})}{[k(P) : \mathbb{Q}]}, \]
where \( \omega_{X/B} \) is the relative dualizing sheaf.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \( C, k, D, K, A, \) and \( \varepsilon \) be as in Theorem 2.2. Also fix \( \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu > 0. \) Then for all points \( P \in C(\bar{k}) \) with \([k(P) : k] \leq \nu\), we have
\[ m(D, P) + h_K(P) \leq d_a(P) + \varepsilon h_A(P) + O(1). \]

This is a weak form of the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 7.2.** Under the same conditions as Theorem 7.1, we have
\[ m(D, P) + h_K(P) \leq d(P) + \varepsilon h_A(P) + O(1), \]
where
\[ d(P) := \frac{\log |D_{k(P)}/\mathbb{Q}|}{[k(P) : \mathbb{Q}]} \]
This conjecture is very strong; in particular it implies the abc conjecture:

**Conjecture 7.3 (Masser-Oesterlé).** Given $e > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(e) > 0$ such that for all relatively prime integers $a$, $b$, and $c$ with $a + b + c = 0$, we have

$$\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) < C \cdot \prod_{p|abc} p^{1+e}.$$  

This conjecture, in turn, implies the "asymptotic Fermat conjecture:"

**Conjecture 7.4.** For all sufficiently large integers $n$, the only rational solutions to the equation

$$X^n + Y^n + Z^n = 0$$

are the trivial ones, i.e., $XYZ = 0$.

## 8. Open Problems

In addition to the conjectures mentioned just above, the following problems are still unresolved at this time.

1. (Lang, 1965) Replace the error term $\varepsilon h_A(P)$ in the arithmetic variant of Theorem 2.2 with something sharper, e.g., $(1 + \varepsilon) \log h_A(P)$.

2. (Vojta, 1987) Prove a formula similar to (1) for rational points on varieties of higher dimension. In that case, however, one would first have to exclude a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z$ from the set of points $P$. See also Schmidt (1975) and Vojta (1987), Example 3.5.1 for a discussion of a partial answer to this question, where the variety is projective space and the divisor $D$ is a collection of hyperplanes.

3. (Lang, 1960b, p. 29) Generalize Theorem 5.1 to the case where $X$ may contain the translate of an abelian subvariety of $A$. In this case the translated abelian subvarieties may contain infinitely many rational points, but it is conjectured that the rational points are not Zariski dense, unless $X$ itself is a translated abelian subvariety of $A$. In Nevanlinna theory the analogue of this conjecture is called Bloch’s conjecture; it was proved independently by Kawamata (1980) and Green and Griffiths (1980), both using work of Ochiai (1977). (In loc. cit., Lang actually makes the stronger conjecture that the rational points are contained in finitely many translated sub-abelian varieties contained in $X$; this conjecture is a consequence of the above conjecture, by the Kawamata structure theorem.)
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