Teaching Linear Algebra

Avi Berman	Christine Andrews-Larson	Sepideh Stewart	Michelle Zandieh
Technion – Israel	Florida State University	University of	Arizona State
Institute of	(USA)	Oklahoma (USA)	University (USA)
Technology			

Short description of the Discussion Group: aims and underlying ideas

Research on students' conceptual difficulties with linear algebra first made an appearance in the 90's and early 2000's (e.g. Carlson, 1997; Dorier & Sierpinska, 2001). Over the past decade, research on linear algebra has concentrated on the nature of these difficulties and students' thought processes (e.g. Stewart & Thomas, 2009; Wawro, Zandieh, Sweeney, Larson, & Rasmussen, 2011). The aim of the discussion group is to initiate a multinational research project on how to foster conceptual understanding of Linear Algebra concepts. Key questions and issues to be discussed are listed below:

- a. How can applications of Linear Algebra be used as motivation for studying the topic?
- b. What are the advantages of proving results in Linear Algebra in different ways?
- c. In what ways can a linear algebra course be adapted to meet the needs of students from other disciplines, such as engineering, physics, and computer science?
- d. How can challenging problems be used in teaching Linear Algebra?
- e. In what way should technology be used in teaching Linear Algebra?
- f. What is the role of visualization in learning Linear Algebra?
- g. In what order (picture, symbols, definitions and theorems) should we teach Linear Algebra concepts?
- *h.* How can we educate the students to appreciate the importance of deep understanding of the Linear Algebra concepts?

Tuesday, 16.30-18.00: Planned timeline	Торіс	Material / Working format / presenter	
16:30-17:10	Introductions	Members of the leadership team will give a brief (2-3 minute) overview of their research, and present the initial set of research questions for discussion. Attendees will introduce themselves and their interests/expertise as well.	
17:10-17:35	Breakout Groups: Examining artifacts from projects & research	Attendees will have the opportunity to bring a task, activity, framework, or interesting piece of data for discussion in breakout groups. Members of the leadership team will provide materials for at least 3-4 groups building on themes and resources from their own work which will provide the basis for these breakout	

Planned structure:

		groups.
17:35-18:00	Reconvene for whole group discussion	Each group will share new insights gleaned from their discussion in their breakout group; feedback on research questions will be collected.

Friday, 16.30-18.00: Planned timeline	Торіс	Material / Working format / presenter	
16:30-16:45	Summary of priorities and themes	The leadership team will present a summary of tallies regarding the highest priority questions, as well as a summary of themes from the previous day's discussions.	
16:45-17:15	Breakout groups: revisiting research priorities	Attendees will break into interest groups focused on a similar set of topics/issues identified as high priority following the previous day's discussion. Each group will be charged with laying out a research agenda relative to what is already known in their topic area (with regard to research and resources on the teaching and learning of linear algebra).	
17:15-18:00	Discussion of research agendas; future research.	Each group will share their agenda along with a summary of their discussion. These agendas will be used to form the basis of a publication synthesizing the issues, perspectives, and future agenda for linear algebra education research at the international level. Possibilities for international collaborative efforts will be identified and discussed.	

References:

- Carlson, D. (1997). Teaching linear algebra: Must the fog always roll in? In D. Carlson, C. R. Johnson, D. C. Lay, A. D. Porter, A. Watkins & W. Watkins (Eds.), *Resources for Teaching Linear Algebra, MAA Notes* (Vol. 42, pp. 39-51). Washington: Mathematical Association of America.
- Dorier, J. L., & Sierpinska, A. (2001). Research into the teaching and learning of linear algebra. In D. Holton, M. Artigue, U. Krichgraber, J. Hillel, M. Niss & A. Schoenfeld (Eds.), *The Teaching and Learning of Mathematics at University Level: An ICMI Study* (pp. 255-273). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Stewart, S., Thomas, M.O.J. (2009). A framework for mathematical thinking: the case of linear algebra. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 40(7), 951-961.
- Wawro, M., Zandieh, M., Sweeney, G., Larson, C., & Rasmussen, C. (2011). Using the emergent model heuristic to describe the evolution of student reasoning regarding span and linear independence. Paper presented at the 14th Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education, Portland, OR.